

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

The Past, Present and Future in the Diagnosis of a Neglected Sexually Transmitted Infection: Trichomoniasis

[Alexandra Ibáñez-Escribano](#) * and [Juan José Nogal-Ruiz](#)

Posted Date: 29 January 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202312.1825.v2

Keywords: trichomoniasis; STI; wet mount; culture; diagnosis; NAAT; point-of-care



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Review

The Past, Present and Future in the Diagnosis of a Neglected Sexually Transmitted Infection: Trichomoniasis

Alexandra Ibáñez-Escribano ^{1,2,*} and Juan José Nogal-Ruiz ^{1,2,†}

¹ PARADET Complutense University of Madrid Research Group Antiparasitic Epidemiology, Diagnostics and Therapy, postal code city, Country; jjnogalr@ucm.es

² Department of Microbiology and Parasitology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Complutense University of Madrid, Plaza Ramón y Cajal s/n, 28040 Madrid, Spain

* Correspondence: alexandraibanez@ucm.es

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: More than one million curable sexually transmitted infections occur every day. *Trichomonas vaginalis* is one of the main responsible for these epidemiological data, however, the diagnosis of this protozoan is still mainly based on microscopic and culture identification. The commercialization of immunological tests and the development of molecular techniques have improved the sensitivity of classical methods. Nevertheless, the fact that trichomoniasis is a neglected parasitic infection, hinders the development of novel techniques and their implementation in routine diagnosis. This review article shows the different methods developed to identify *T. vaginalis* in population and the difficulties to diagnose male and asymptomatic patients. The importance of including this parasite in routine gynecological screening, especially in pregnant women, and the importance of considering *T. vaginalis* as an indicator of high-risk sexual behavior is also discussed.

Keywords: trichomoniasis; STI; wet mount; culture; diagnosis; NAAT; point-of-care

1. Introduction

Sexually Transmitted Infections (STIs) remains a major public health concern. More than 30 pathogens can be transmitted through sexual intercourse, and near one million people are infected with a curable sexually transmitted pathogen every day [1,2]. The World Health Organization estimated an incidence of more than 377 million cases of chlamydia, gonorrhoea, trichomoniasis and syphilis in women and men during 2020 [3]. Although the incident cases of trichomoniasis are near 156 million [4], these epidemiological data may be underestimated due to the high number of asymptomatic patients [5], the low sensitivity of the preferred diagnostic methods used in many regions [6] and the fact that *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection is not a notifiable disease [7]. For all these, trichomoniasis has been included in the list of neglected parasitic infections (NPI) by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [8].

Trichomoniasis is characterized by a wide range of signs and symptoms associated with the inflammatory response triggered by the settlement of the parasite [9]. In women, about 75% of patients develop clinical manifestations. The most common are pruritus, local edema, erythema, dysuria and/or a typical green, frothy and malodorous vaginal discharge, among others [5,10,11]. In men, near 80% are asymptomatic, however, nongonococcal urethritis, epididymitis, or prostatitis may occur [5,10]. Although trichomoniasis has been considered as a “nuisance” infection [12,13], the complications and risks associated with this STI have led to its inclusion in the WHO Global Health Strategy on STIs for the period 2022–2030 [2]. *T. vaginalis* increases 1.5 times the risk of acquiring HIV [14] but also favors its transmission due to the imbalance in the vaginal microbiome, the proinflammatory immune response and the elevated vaginal pH [15]. In this scenario, co-infections with different urogenital pathogens are common among women with trichomoniasis, i.e., *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, *Treponema pallidum*, human papillomavirus, or herpes simplex

virus types 1 and 2 [16,17]. Other serious sequelae include pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) [2], adverse pregnancy outcomes [9,18] and infertility [9]. Moreover, several studies have associated trichomoniasis with an increased risk of cervical carcinogenesis [19–21]; notwithstanding, the association between *T. vaginalis* and prostate cancer remains under discussion [22–24].

This STI has been treated with metronidazole since 1959 [25]. Four decades later the use of tinidazole was accepted [26–28] and recently the Food and Drug Administration has approved the use of secnidazole in the United States [29]. Likewise, in recent years, new recommendations on dosage in the treatment of infected women have been proposed to achieve a better rate of complete cure [28]. However, in spite of all this, almost 10% of clinical cases are resistant [30] and cross-resistance between 5-nitroimidazole drugs has been reported [31]. The absence of pharmacological alternatives to cope with treatment failure, hypersensitivity to 5-nitroimidazoles or side effects [32,33] increase the risk of transmission and the development of chronic infection. Additionally, as trichomoniasis is highly asymptomatic and there are no diagnostic procedures to identify resistant and asymptomatic cases, the diagnosis based on syndromic management or microscopic identification of the parasite [34] hinders the correct management of the infected population. Therefore, the implementation of techniques with high sensitivity and specificity for use in routine and universal screening would reduce the incidence of this STI and therefore, the risk associated with the acquisition of other pathologies, contributing significantly to this serious health problem.

In this review, we describe the different diagnostic techniques that has been used for the identification of *T. vaginalis* in female and male population. In particular, we focus on the classical methods, based on microscopic identification such as wet mount and culture, as they have been the gold-standard. Then, we revise the immunological and molecular techniques that have been recently developed. We discuss the information exposed with the aim of giving a general overview of what is happening in the diagnosis of this “neglected” STI, with special emphasis on the difficulty in low-income countries to implement the latest techniques. Finally, we highlight the growing trend of Point-of-Care (POC) techniques as an easy and fast strategy to improve the diagnosis of this NPI.

2. Diagnosis Based on Clinical Signs and Symptoms

Routine gynecological or urological examination does not include the identification of *Trichomonas*, so clinicians usually manage symptomatic patients who present different and non-specific symptoms [10,11,35], such as vaginal discharge, odour and vulvar irritation. Physical examination of patients may show an erythematous vulva and vaginal discharge in 50% to 75% of cases [36]. A yellowish-green frothy discharge is a clinical sign suggestive of trichomoniasis [37,38], however, this clinical manifestation is unusual. The most prevalent clinical presentation in patients includes vulvar pruritus, and erythema, occasionally accompanied by oedema, dysuria, and dyspareunia [37,39]. Some studies highlight that vulvar irritation is more prominent in trichomoniasis than in bacterial vaginosis [36]. The pathognomonic sign of vaginal and/or exocervical punctate lesions can be observed by colposcopy in 45% of patients [36].

In addition to physical examination, determining the pH of vaginal secretions might facilitate the diagnosis. This pH increases above 4.7 in patients with trichomoniasis [40] or bacterial vaginosis. This biophysical parameter should be considered in the clinical context, considering that cervical fluids or semen may alter it.

The Whiff test can also be performed. Briefly, the addition of a few drops of 10% KOH to the vaginal swab produces an unpleasant, fishy, amine-like odour. A positive odour test is observed in 75% of women with trichomoniasis and/or bacterial vaginosis [40], but not in those with vaginal candidiasis [36]. The high prevalence of asymptomatic patients and the absence of determinant clinical parameters imply the need for appropriate laboratory diagnostic methods to confirm *T. vaginalis* infection [5,36,41–43].

3. Classical Diagnostic Procedures: Microscopy

Diagnosis of trichomoniasis has traditionally consisted of taking a specimen from the patient and examine it immediately under the microscope [44,45], to identify the characteristic morphology

and motility of the trophozoite. The most reliable specimens for the diagnosis of female trichomoniasis include endocervical and vaginal swabs, and urine [46–48], while in male patients are urine, urethral swabs, and semen [48,49]. Cervicovaginal and urethral specimens are obtained with cotton swabs or polyester sponges [44–46].

Today, wet mount microscopy is the fastest and most widely used method for diagnosing trichomoniasis in resource-limited areas [50]. This method can have a specificity of 100%, nevertheless, it must be carried out quickly enough and at a temperature that does not impair the viability of the trophozoite. It is important to note that sensitivity values may decrease depending on the time elapsed from sample collection to microscopic examination [41]. Furthermore, this subjective method achieves a sensitivity that, depending on the experience of the technician, can range between 35–80% in comparison with the culture method [51–53]. In addition, a delay in specimen transport reduces the motility of trichomonads, which affects the procedure's sensitivity [54,55]. This method is the most efficient diagnostic test, but its reliability and sensitivity are not optimal [52,53]. This low potential sensitivity contributes to the under-diagnosis of the disease.

Ideally, the saline wet mount preparations should be examined from the swab collected by the clinician, as well as inoculation of the samples immediately after collection in an appropriate culture medium [35,54]. Also, if samples are not immediately observed, they can be maintained in a suitable transport medium to avoid dehydration and redox potential changes. Stuart's transport culture media and its modifications are the most recommended [56–58]. The average survival time in these transport culture media is approximately 24 h [59]. Inadequate transport or storage conditions may reduce the parasites viability and influence the wet mount technique sensitivity [41]. In this context, permanent staining techniques were developed as a complement to the direct examination of wet mount preparations [45].

3.1. Wet Smears

Microscopic observation of vaginal exudate diluted in saline is the routine procedure for the diagnosis of female trichomoniasis; however, epithelial cells and polymorphonuclear leukocytes in the samples may interfere with the parasite's flagellar motility. The sensitivity of this technique is highly variable due to multiple causes, such as the type of sample, the number of viable organisms, the delay between the obtention and the microscopic diagnosis, among others [51–53,60,61].

Regarding male samples, Feinberg and Whittington observed a greater sensitivity when direct microscopy was used with urethral material than culture methods [60], however, there are discrepancies in other reports [62].

3.2. Staining Techniques

Different stains have been developed to increase the sensitivity of direct examination. Stained smears can be preserved without loss of diagnostic reliability due to adequate fixation and be observed later [45]. The most frequently used stains include Papanicolaou, Giemsa, and acridine orange [63–69]. Furthermore, less well-known stains have also been tested, including de Leishman, periodic acid-Schiff, and Fontana-Masson stains [67–69]. The Giemsa stain is perhaps the most accessible in the laboratory which have been used for more than 100 years in the trichomoniasis diagnosis [70]. In these preparations, the nucleus of trichomonads stains purplish red and the cytoplasm is light red, pink or bright blue, depending on pH, with a darker staining nucleus that may be oval, or spindle shaped. Sometimes axostyle and flagella can be observed [71]. Generally, microscopic examination of Giemsa-stained smears is more effective in detecting infections than wet smear microscopy [72–74] and may have a sensitivity near that of culture [67,72,75].

Other stains such as safranin, methylene blue and malachite green, which do not stain trophozoites, can act as counterstains [76–78]. Fluorescein can also be used to observe wet mount slides under an ultraviolet light microscope [79]. Thus, acridine orange for fluorescence-based detection of *T. vaginalis* has also been suggested by other authors as exhibits a greater sensitivity than Giemsa staining but requires UV fluorescent light microscopy [47,80]. However, these staining

methods have not been convincingly demonstrated to improve the detection rate of trichomonads in secretions and are not recommended for the routine clinical diagnosis.

In Papanicolaou smears of cervicovaginal material, *T. vaginalis* exhibits an ovoid structure and an approximate size of 10–30 µm with a greenish grey cytoplasm which contains very small eosinophilic granules, and the eccentric nucleus stains blue. The sensitivity and specificity of this stain vary depending on the experience of the microscopist [41,77,81] as shows Table 1.

Table 1. Relevant characteristics of the techniques used in the direct diagnosis of *T. vaginalis*.

Type of Diagnosis	Test	Sensitivity (Se) Specificity (Sp)	Advantages	Disadvantages	Ref.
Microscopy	Wet smears	Se: 35–85% Sp: 100%	Fast, simple, and Inexpensive.	Sensitivity depends on the skills of the microscopist. Not applicable to male specimens.	[51–53,60,61]
	Giemsa	Se: 80% Sp: 99.4%	Fast, simple, and inexpensive. Improved sensitivity vs. wet smears	Staining specialists required to improve sensitivity.	[67,72,75]
Staining	Acridine orange	Se: 100% Sp: 100%	Stain used in Pap smears. Fast, simple, and inexpensive.		[45]
	Papanicolaou	Se: 60–95% Sp: 98–100%			[41]
Culture	Diamond medium	Se: 56–95,8% Sp: 100%	Improved sensitivity vs. wet smears. Less handling, simple, easy to transport	Requires equipment and laboratory specialist. Risk of pathogen contamination and false negatives. Long incubation period.	[41,90,91]
	InPouch®	Se: 92% Sp: 98%			[92,93]

The traditional diagnostic methodology is easy to perform; however, these techniques have the disadvantage of not being very sensitive and require careful observation by expert microscopists.

3.3. Culture

Liquid or broth culture of a clinical specimen (cervicovaginal, urethral or urinary sediment) for microscopic observation has been considered the gold standard technique for the diagnosis of trichomoniasis, due to its sensitivity, simplicity, and the relatively low inoculum requirement (300 trichomonads/mL) [82]. Several media have been described for the *T. vaginalis* culture: Kupferberg, Kupferberg STS, Hirsch, Trichosel, Modified Diamond, Lash serum, or the most recent, called InPouch® TV [83–85]. However, the most common are Diamond (TYM), modified Diamond or Roiron® [41].

Diamond’s medium requires refrigeration at 4 °C for storage but should be at room temperature before specimen inoculation. Samples should be inoculated immediately into the culture medium, at least 1 h after collection and incubated at 37 °C in anaerobic conditions (5% CO₂). This should be followed by daily examination for 3–7 days until viable trichomonads are observed [41,82]. Longer incubation times are often required in male specimens to allow the growth of a detectable number of organisms [6].

Thus, this methodology is simple and inexpensive, but requires the direct microscopic examination during a long period [49] in which infected patients may continue to transmit the infection [86]. Moreover, there are inherent limitations to culture diagnosis e.g., culture

contamination with vaginal microbiota (bacteria or yeasts) can be very frequent [87,88]. Nevertheless, sensitivity rates can rise to near 95% depending on the sample and the medium used (Table 1).

To enhance the acceptance of culture diagnosis, a good procedure is the so-called delayed inoculation, a method that combines both techniques: first, the fresh sample for direct examination and, if negative, its incubation in culture medium for 2–5 days [89]. Regarding this, InPouch® TV is a self-contained system, which permits both immediate examination and culture in a single device of vaginal, urethral and urine samples. The sensitivity is comparable to that obtained with wet smears and culture specimens [90,91]. The transparent oxygen-resistant plastic can be examined directly under the microscope, allowing daily examination of the specimen without removing it from its culture medium. InPouch® TV can be kept at room temperature, and even inoculated pouches can remain at room temperature for up to 48 h before incubation at 37 °C [41]. Levi and co-workers demonstrated that the InPouch® TV system was as sensitive as modified Diamond’s medium for *T. vaginalis* detection [92]. Borchardt and collaborators demonstrated that this system is more sensitive than modified Diamond medium or Trichosel medium [93]. However, InPouch® TV continues being a procedure that requires observation of the sample for several days, not being a rapid diagnostic technique [41,49].

Therefore, it has been necessary to develop and implement more rapid, sensitive, and specific tests, that allow early diagnosis in risk population and even the identification of asymptomatic cases. These new methods, some of them POC techniques, are currently being implemented in many diagnostic services [91].

4. Immunodiagnosis

4.1. Latex Agglutination Techniques

One of the techniques used for the serodiagnosis of trichomoniasis is latex agglutination. Among the different commercial tests available for the detection of *T. vaginalis*, Kalon TV latex® agglutination test stands out. The kit includes a suspension containing latex particles sensitized with rabbit anti-*T. vaginalis* [94], which must be brought into contact with a drop of diluted sample of vaginal exudate from the patient [95]. Besides, some laboratories have developed agglutination kits that identify simultaneously other microorganisms that cause vaginal infections, such as *Candida* spp. [96,97]. These tests use two different reagents, one sensitized with anti-*T. vaginalis* antibodies, and another with anti-*Candida* spp. [97]. Latex agglutination methods offer a better sensitivity than fresh examination and comparable to culture [98], reaching up to 100% in more recent studies, becoming a technique with a quite acceptable cost-effectiveness ratio (Table 2) [95]. Therefore, agglutination tests present quite a few advantages, its specificity is comparable to that of cultivation, it takes less than three minutes to offer results, is very simple to carry out and does not require a microscope or any special equipment, nor personnel specialized [98]. However, in those symptomatic patients with a negative agglutination result, a different diagnostic method must be performed, with the aim of (i) avoiding overlooking possible infections after a false negative result and (ii) confirm true positives [95,98]. This is due to their low positive predictive value, which is only 60% [95]. It is worth mentioning that these tests cannot be used for diagnosis in men, as they can only be performed on vaginal exudate samples [95,98].

Table 2. Relevant characteristics of the techniques most used in the immunodiagnostic of *T. vaginalis*.

Test	Trade Name (Manufacturer)	Sensitivity (Se) Specificity (Sp)	Advantages	Disadvantages	Ref.
Agglutination	Kalon® TV latex	Se: 98.9%	Fast, simple, and Inexpensive.	In case of negative results, other method must be carried out. Not designed for male samples.	[98,99]
	agglutination test	Sp: 92.1%			
	(Kalon Biological)	Se: 70%			
	Darari and others	Sp: 96%			

Indirect ELISA	Se: 71–73% Sp: 96.3%	Detects past infections. Admits male specimens.	Requires complex and expensive equipment. Low sensitivity.	[100]
Direct ELISA	Se: 88.9% Sp: 97.1%	Good sensitivity and specificity.	Detects only active infections. High rate of false positive rate.	[109]
DIF *	Se: 96% Sp: ND	Good sensitivity.	Requires complex and expensive equipment.	[114]
IC *	OSOM® TV test (Sekisui Diagnostics) Se: 83–90% Sp: 98.9%	Fast, and simple. Good sensitivity and specificity.	Not suitable for asymptomatic patients.	[34]

* Abbreviations: DIF: Direct immunofluorescence; IC: Immunochromatography.

4.2. ELISA

The indirect ELISA (enzyme-linked immuno-sorbent technique assay) is a serodiagnostic test that detects specific antibodies revealing the exposure of the patient to the parasite. This procedure has been reviewed in numerous scientific works and is one of the most established serological techniques due to its sensitivity and specificity [99,100]. To avoid cross-reactions and false positives, the epitopes recognized by the patient’s antibodies must be specific to *T. vaginalis* and not present homology with those of other microorganisms, nor with the host’s own cells [101,102]. In relation to the antigens of *T. vaginalis*, the following stand out for their immunogenicity: α -actinin, α -enolase, aldolase, and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase [102].

With the aim of designing an ELISA test with the best sensitivity and specificity, some researchers have explored the possibility of obtaining synthetic recombinant peptides, which contain more than one epitope that can be recognized by a greater number of specific antibodies [102]. Over time, these recombinant chimeric proteins have been improved, eliminating those that share certain homology with proteins from other organisms, thus increasing the sensitivity of this technique for screening patient sera [102–104]. The synthesis of recombinant chimeric proteins can be performed using bacterial plasmids, being much more advantageous and efficient than using whole cells or cell lysates to avoid cross-reactions of common epitopes [102]. However, when recombinant antigen technology is not available, it is common to use a lysate of different strains of the parasite to perform the indirect ELISA and quantify the seropositivity of patients. Serum is the most suitable sample for the detection of anti- *T. vaginalis* antibodies, since IgG are found in a higher percentage in serum than in vaginal exudate, while IgM are not even detected in some studies [105]. Moreover, the use of serum makes this methodology suitable for the diagnosis of trichomoniasis in both sexes [103]. However, if the aim is to detect IgA antibodies, the predominant isotype in seromucous secretions, vaginal and endocervical exudate are more appropriate samples [106].

This methodology is only capable of detecting relative recent past infection, as antibody levels can become undetectable around 6–12 months after infection [107] being inadequate to discriminate between an active infection (acute or chronic) and a past exposure. Nevertheless, it is a sophisticated technique that requires specialized personnel, as well as laboratory equipment that may not be available in some areas.

On the other hand, the sandwich ELISA technique uses specific capture antibodies immobilized on the microtiter plate for the detection of *T. vaginalis* antigens [99,108]. Recent studies have confirmed that this type of ELISA has a sensitivity of 88.9% and a specificity of 97.1% in the detection of *T. vaginalis* antigen when compared with culture as the reference method [109]. These values have already been defined in previous studies, using monoclonal antibodies for the detection of parasitic surface antigens present in vaginal exudate samples [110]. However, beyond these excellent data, this method has a false positive rate of 2.9% as shows Table 2. This could be due to the detection of non-

viable trophozoites, something that occurs less frequently in culture, which is based on the identification of organisms viable with motility [109].

4.3. Immunofluorescence

Immunofluorescence techniques are not routinely used for diagnosis. In recent years, direct immunofluorescence (DIF) has been used for research purposes using fluorophore-labeled monoclonal antibodies as a conjugated to detect *T. vaginalis* antigens [111]. DIF is commonly used for the study of morphological and metabolic characteristics of the parasite [111,112] showing higher sensitivity and specificity than direct techniques [113].

The use of immunofluorescence techniques for the diagnosis of *T. vaginalis* can offer certain advantages, being a very specific and accurate test, providing easy interpretation of the results. However, it is quite sophisticated and requires a fluorescence microscope, as well as trained personnel for its management (Table 2) [114]. Some authors consider that it could be a useful technique for confirmation of negative results after direct microscopic examination of the sample or culture, for example [115].

4.4. Immunochromatography

As mentioned above, POC tests are being implemented to improve syndromic management and classical techniques to facilitate prompt diagnosis and treatment. These tests offer results in minutes and are easier to perform [116]. They do not need sophisticated equipment and their simple procedures can be performed with minimal training [117]. The most used POC tests are immunochromatography type, rapid molecular assays or based on agglutination reactions [116]. This is the case of the OSOM® *Trichomonas* test, a lateral flow immunoassay capable of detecting an adhesin of *T. vaginalis* by using monoclonal antibodies immobilized on the nitrocellulose membrane [118]. This FDA-cleared test also uses another antibody conjugated with colored particles blue, so when the immune complex is formed, a line of this color shows a positive result. As in many immunochromatographic test, the control line, which should always appear, is colored red in this test [119]. OSOM® *Trichomonas* test exhibits a sensitivity between 83–90%, comparable to NAAT (nucleic acid amplification test), and a specificity in vaginal exudate samples > 98.8% (Table 2). Furthermore, this test can be also performed by the patient at home [34].

Alderete and Chan (2023) have recently developed a POC using the MedMira Rapid Vertical Flow (RVF®) Technology. The antigen used is a 72.4-kDa truncated version of α -actinin called ACT::SOE3 that can be detected by specific antibodies present in human sera. This immunochromatographic device stands out because it can be used in both men and women with trichomoniasis [120].

5. Molecular Diagnosis

Different commercial and “in house” PCR-based assays to detect *T. vaginalis* nucleic acids have been implemented in the last years. PCR techniques provide a remarkable higher sensitivity than microscopic methods, however, trained staff, equipment and cost reagents are the main drawbacks associated with main of these techniques [91]. Prior to the development of nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT), wet mount and culture methods were the gold standard for trichomoniasis diagnosis, however, in the last decades, molecular techniques have become the most appropriate techniques for the diagnosis of this infection when is possible [121].

There are a dozen FDA-approved assays for the detection of *T. vaginalis* by NAAT. Some of them also comply with European Standards as reflects Table 3 [121,122]. These tests can detect DNA or RNA of the parasite in symptomatic and asymptomatic patients, with a high range of sensitivity and specificity, depending on the assay.

Table 3. Sensitivity and specificity ranges of the Nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT) approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Sens: sensitivity; Spec: specificity; CE-IVD: Devices with the Conformité Européenne marking for in vitro diagnostic medical devices.

Assay	Manufacturer	Average Sens. % (Range) *	Average Spec. % (Range) *	Approval Status	Ref.
Xpert® <i>Trichomonas vaginalis</i>	Cepheid	Female: 99.5–100 Male: 97.2	Female: 99.4–99.9 Male: 99.3	FDA CE-IVD	[121,123]
APTIMA® <i>Trichomonas vaginalis</i>	Hologic/Gen-Probe	98.1 (96.6–100)	98.3 (96.4–100)	FDA CE-IVD	[124–126]
BD Affirm™ VPIII	Becton Dickinson	63.4 (55.0–65.4)	99.9 (99.4–100)	FDA	[124,127]
BD CTGCTV2	Becton Dickinson	Female (86.6–100) Male (97.9)	>98.7	FDA	[122,128]
BD ProbeTec Qx Assay	Becton Dickinson	98.3 (93.93–99.53)	99.0 (98.01–99.53)	FDA CE-IVD	[121,125]
Alinity m STI	Abbott	Female: 95.6–99.7 Male: 98.7	Female: 96.9–99.4 Male: 99.2	FDA	[129,130]
Cobas® TV/MG	Roche	Female: 100	Female: ≥99.2	FDA CE-IVD	[131]
Solana® <i>Trichomonas</i> Assay	Quidel	98.0–100	97.9–98.9	FDA	[132]
AmpliVue™ <i>Trichomonas</i> Assay	Quidel	96.9–100	97.0–98.9	FDA	[132,133]
Visby Medical Sexual Health Test	Visby Medical	95.5–99.9	95.8–97.7	FDA	[123,134]

* In case of many samples, the % indicates the lowest and highest value observed.

All the mentioned tests identify specimens in clinician-collected vaginal swabs. However, the differences between the following techniques are mainly based on the method used for the identification of nucleic acids, the possibility of detecting more than one urogenital pathogen and the type of sample that can be analyzed (Table 4). For example, the Xpert® TV assay (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) stands out because it can be used in self-collected vaginal swabs, as well as female and male urine [123]. This system includes a kit designed to collect, preserve and transport *C. trachomatis*, *N. gonorrhoeae* and *T. vaginalis* specimens that can be analyzed posteriorly in two different assays using the same real time PCR (RT-PCR) platform: Xpert® CT/NG and TV Assays. The studies of microbial interference using a panel of 124 microorganisms revealed no cross-reaction apart from *Trichomonas tenax* when concentration was higher than 200 cell/mL [122]. The Aptima® *T. vaginalis* Assay (Hologic, Bedford, MA, USA) identifies a specific region of the small ribosomal subunit in female endocervical and vaginal samples, which are collected in tubes with transport media (PreservCyt®) that releases and protects RNA during storage. Then, the rRNA is captured by specific oligomers associated to magnetic particles and posteriorly the amplification is performed by transcription mediated amplification (TMA). The Aptima® system is already used to discriminate between *T. vaginalis*, *C. glabrata* and *Candida* spp. (i.e., *C. albicans*, *C. dubliniensis*, *C. parapsilosis*, *C. tropicalis*) as well as *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* using the same Panther® platform [122]. All the devices developed by Hologic are based on the identification of specific rRNA targets of the different pathogens mentioned. Curiously, BD Affirm™ VPIII (Becton Dickinson & Co., Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) also detects rRNA from three urogenital pathogens: the protozoan *T. vaginalis*, the bacteria *Gardnerella vaginalis* and the yeast *Candida* spp. (including *C. albicans*, *C. glabrata*, *C. kefyr*, *C. krusei*, *C. parapsilosis*, and *C. tropicalis*). Female samples should be collected and transported at room temperature or refrigerated (2–8 °C) using a specific collection swab and a transport system provided by the company which conserves the samples up to 72 h [135]. The comparing studies between Xpert® Tv assay and Aptima® system with endocervical swabs, patient-collected vaginal swabs and urine samples have demonstrated similar sensitivity and specificity values, slightly higher than those detected with the InPouch system [123]. While the comparison performed by Andrea and

Chapin (2011) of the two rRNA assays (Aptima® and Affirm VPIII) for the detection of *T. vaginalis* in 41 positive and 740 negative samples indicated that the Aptima® system was significantly more sensitive (41/41) than the Affirm™ VPIII device (26/41) [127]. Roche has also developed a NAAT device to identify *T. vaginalis* and *M. genitalium* by targeting multicopy regions of rRNA from the parasite by RT-PCR. The Cobas® TV/MG highlights for the large number of samples that can be used for the diagnosis of these two urogenital pathogens, including self-collected vaginal swabs, endocervical samples, liquid-based cytology specimens in PreservCyt solution and urine from both male and female patients. As occurs with other NAAT systems, for the correct transport and DNA processing, the swab and urine samples must be taken using a Sample Kit provided by the laboratory [131]. Other two cleared tests that can detect *T. vaginalis* in the same type of samples as indicated for Cobas® are BD CTGCTV2 (Becton Dickinson & Co.) and Alinity m STI Assay (Abbott). Both systems detect simultaneously *C. trachomatis*, *N. gonorrhoeae* and *T. vaginalis* [122,136]. Moreover, both includes and automated DNA extraction prior to the quantitative real-time PCR all in the same benchtop instrument [128]. The only difference is that Alinity also identifies rRNA from *Mycoplasma genitalium* [120]. BD Diagnostics has developed another NAAT system for the detection of *T. vaginalis* in self and clinician-collected vaginal swabs, endocervical swabs and female urine samples. The BD ProbeTec Q^x Assay needs a prewarming step prior to process the sample onto the Viper System which automatically performs the extraction and amplification steps based on the strand displacement amplification (SDA) technique. The DNA target used for the diagnosis of *T. vaginalis* on female patients is the gene that encodes the parasitic adhesion protein AP65 [121,125]. This platform can be used for the detection of other STI pathogens such as *N. gonorrhoeae* or *C. trachomatis* [125].

Although Solana® *Trichomonas* assay identifies only *T. vaginalis* in samples, it should be highlighted that has been developed as POC test for qualitative detection of *T. vaginalis* using isothermal Helicase-Dependent Amplification (HDA) for the detection of a multi-copy DNA fragment of the parasite in the sample [132]. In this sense, another POC using HDA technology has been developed by Quidel Corporation: the AmpliVue™ *Trichomonas* Assay. The main difference between both systems are the samples that can be used and the automatization of the detection technique. For the former, clinician-collected vaginal swabs and female urine samples can be employed. The method consists in two steps: first, the sample is included in a lysis tube and heat-treated at 95 °C. Then, an aliquot is added to the reaction tube which contains the HDA reagents for the automated detection of the *T. vaginalis* DNA target in the Solana instrument [132]. No cross-reaction with 47 different microorganisms including bacteria, virus, and protozoa, neither interference has been detected [122]. While for the latter, only clinician-collected vaginal swabs can be used. The management of the sample by heat treatment and the isothermal DNA amplification is performed as mentioned above, however, the identification of the parasitic DNA target is effectuated in a cassette after the HDA reaction. In other words, the AmpliVue™ test needs a third step with includes a vertical-flow strip for the colorimetric detection of the parasitic DNA. Both POC methods have presented similar sensitivity and specificity as other NAAT assays such as Aptima® [34,133]. The last POC system based on NAAT, is the Visby Medical Sexual Health Test, a compact device that can detect *C. trachomatis*, *N. gonorrhoeae* and *T. vaginalis* ins self-collected female vaginal swabs using a specific collection kit in 30–50 min [122,134].

The development of detection systems that includes (i) the automatization of DNA extraction, (ii) the identification of more than one pathogen in the same sample or (iii) the ability to process self-collected samples, endocervical swabs or/and male urine samples can significantly improve the sensitivity and specificity of classical diagnostic methods becoming much more useful techniques for the diagnosis of STIs [2]. However, the increased cost of these techniques for the diagnosis of *T. vaginalis*, which continues receiving the less attention [13], could explain why their implementation is reduced. Fortunately, the development of diagnostic assays that can be implemented in the systems used for the detection of other STIs have become an interesting tool for laboratories like Abbott, Roche, Hologic/Gen-Probe, BD or Cepheid to invest in the development of NAAT tests to identify *T. vaginalis* (Tables 3 and 4).

Table 4. Type of samples and other sexually transmitted pathogens that can be detected in the same NAAT platform. PC-VS: patient-collected vaginal swab; CC-VS: clinician-collected vaginal swab; ES: endocervical swab; US: urine sample; PC-E: endocervical specimens collected in PrservCyt® solution; MS: maetal swab.

Assay	Test	Samples	STI	Ref.
Xpert® <i>Trichomonas vaginalis</i>	RT PCR	Female SC-VS, CC-VS, ES and US Male US	<i>C. trachomatis</i> , <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>	[123,132]
APTIMA® <i>Trichomonas vaginalis</i>	TMA	Female SC-VS, CC-VS, ES, PC-E and US	<i>C. trachomatis</i> , <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> , <i>Candida</i> spp.	[124]
BD Affirm™ VPIII	rRNA hybridization	Female CC-VS, US	<i>G. vaginalis</i> , <i>C. albicans</i>	[127]
BD CTGCTV2	RT PCR	Female SC-VS, CC-VS, ES, PC-E and US Male US	<i>C. trachomatis</i> , <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>	[128]
BD ProbeTec Q ^x Assay	SDA	Female SC-VS, CC-VS, ES and US	<i>C. trachomatis</i> , <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>	[137]
Alinity m STI	RT PCR	Female SC-VS, CC-VS, ES and US Male US	<i>C. trachomatis</i> , <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> , <i>M. genitalium</i>	[122,130]
Cobas® TV/MG	RT PCR	Female SC-VS, CC-VS, ES, PC-E and US Male US and MS	<i>M. genitalium</i>	[131,138]
Solana® <i>Trichomonas</i> Assay	HDA	Female CC-VS and US		[132]
AmpliVue™ <i>Trichomonas</i> Assay	HDA	Female CC-VS		[132]
Visby Medical Sexual Health Test	RT-PCR	Female SC-VS	<i>C. trachomatis</i> , <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>	[134]

In addition to the cleared systems mentioned above, different RT-PCR assays for the simultaneously detection of more the one STI pathogen (including *T. vaginalis*) have also been published. Recently, different research groups have designed an in-house multiplex RT-PCR for the diagnosis of up to nine pathogens (*C. trachomatis*, *N. gonorrhoeae*, *T. vaginalis*, *C. albicans*, *Mycoplasma hominis*, *M. genitalium*, *Ureaplasma urealyticum*, *U. parvum*, *Gardnerella vaginalis* and human herpes viruses) with sensitivity and specificity values > 91% and an estimated cost per test of near \$3 [139,140]. Also, different groups have developed loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assays for detection of *T. vaginalis*. This methodology presents a high sensitivity and specificity, it can be used with urine and genital samples, and can amplify DNA with only a heat block or water bath in less than 130 min. However, it is not free from contamination and can give false positives [141,142].

Moreover, other research groups are focusing on the development of novel systems based on the use of aptamers for the diagnosis of *T. vaginalis* in vaginal samples. This novel method targets the detection of the parasitic immunogenic protein AP65 and could become an interesting tool based on its potential used in microtiter plate and lateral flow assays [143].

6. Discussion

Sexually transmitted infection is a global public health challenge with an increasing trend in the last years (58.15% since 1990) [144]. Of the different pathogens that can be transmitted by sexual intercourse, *T. vaginalis* causes the most prevalent non-viral sexually transmitted infection worldwide, affecting mainly the most disadvantaged population in both developed and low-income countries [4,145,146]. Its prevalence is underestimated because it is not a notifiable disease. This is compounded by the lack of routine protocols to identify asymptomatic patients, the limited sensitivity of some diagnostic methods and the absence of pharmacovigilance systems to detect

resistance phenomena. In this context, many researchers, and organisms as the CDC have classified trichomoniasis as a “neglected” disease [8,145–147].

In summary, the recommended procedures for the diagnosis of trichomoniasis, according to Eastern European [148] and CDC [149] guidelines, are as follows: (i) diagnostic screening in all patients with any vaginal discharge, in high-prevalence environments (STI clinics) and for the asymptomatic population with high risk of infection (e.g., persons with multiple sexual partners, prostitution, drug abuse or history of STIs) and (ii) employ NAAT if direct microscopic examination fails. According to the updated CDC guidelines for STI diagnosis, culture would no longer be considered the gold standard for diagnosis of *T. vaginalis* infection if alternative molecular methods are available [155].

The priority population groups for preventing STI infections have been changing in the last decades [121], due to the evolution observed in social/sexual behavior. This may explain why the age of first sexual intercourse has been reduced, as well as the perception of certain sexual behaviors as non-risky (i.e., the use of condom), increasing exposure to STIs [147,150,151]. Thus, sexual education and the inclusion of the main STI pathogens in the gynecological revisions could be interesting tools for the reduction of these infections.

This review notes the recent development of novel diagnostic techniques with higher sensitivity and specificity than those based on microscopic identification (i.e., wet mount, Papanicolaou or Giemsa stain and culture). However, the highest prevalence rates of trichomoniasis are associated with low- and middle-income countries and regions [4], where the diagnostic protocols are frequently chosen based on their low cost and ease of use, in which no specialized equipment or personnel are required. Although wet amount diagnosis is easy and inexpensive, their main limitations are: (i) the microscopic visualization of the samples must be taken within 20 min of its collection, (ii) the reduced sensitivity, (iii) and the fact that it is only possible for vaginal swab samples and urine [93,124].

The association between trichomoniasis and the risk of acquiring other pathogens through sexual intercourse has been demonstrated [14,16,17,152]. In this context, *T. vaginalis* could be used as a marker of high-risk sexual behavior. Considering that more than 746 new cases of HIV could be imputable to *T. vaginalis* [153], the diagnosis and treatment of patients with trichomoniasis becomes an interesting public health strategy for the control of STIs. This proposal becomes even more important if we take into consideration that in relation to the economic burden, the total costs of non-viral STIs in the United States are being estimated in \$0.4 billion, with an average lifetime medical costs per *T. vaginalis* infection in \$5 for men and \$36 for women [154,155].

Therefore, it should be a priority to implement methods that could diagnose simultaneously multiple STI pathogens with technologies that could be applied in low-income regions. In this sense, the POC tests seems the best way to cope with this necessity. Currently, four POC devices have been developed for *T. vaginalis* diagnosis: one based on the detection of a parasitic antigen protein (OSOM® *Trichomonas* test) and the other four in DNA detection (Solana®, AmpliVue™, GeneXpert and Visby Medical Sexual Health Test). The first one is a low complex dipstick that can be performed in less than 15 min without specific equipment while Solana® and AmpliVue™ assays requires small heat blocks for the lytic and the isothermal amplification steps [122,132,133].

In addition, another disadvantage that must be overcome in many of the diagnostic techniques described is that many of them are not suitable for male samples. As men are mainly asymptomatic carriers, the recommendations, routine test and methods developed for these patients are scarce [138,156]. Wet mount of urine or urethral samples are inexpensive and easy to perform, however, the main limitation is its reduced sensitivity due to the limited time between sample collection and the observation in the microscope. The identification must be performed within 20 min before collection as not motile *T. vaginalis* can be difficult to recognize by microscopy [124,157]. Fortunately, in the last years, some NAAT devices can identify *T. vaginalis* in both male urine samples and meatal swabs, i.e., GeneXpert assay, Cobas® TV/MG, BD CTGCTV2 or Alinity m STI Assay. It is important to highlight that all these devices can identify other STI as shown in Table 4. Thus, these detection systems together with POC are becoming the most powerful and useful tools to correctly identify this parasitosis in the population.

7. Conclusions

In conclusion, this article reviews the different diagnostic approaches to trichomoniasis in different circumstances. While in developed countries, nucleic acid amplification testing (NAAT) should displace direct examination of wet smears and culture, in middle and low-income regions, this is not possible due to the equipment required in some molecular techniques. In addition, skilled laboratory technicians are also required in many cases. These requirements constitute a serious challenge in low-income countries, due to the high economic cost of providing adequate facilities and training specialists. In these regions, the diagnosis of trichomoniasis relies on anamnesis, clinical diagnosis supported by physiological test (i.e., vaginal pH or Whiff's test) and direct examination. Considering the importance of this STI as a marker of high-risk sexual activity, the implementation of affordable and easy-to-use POC techniques (i.e., OSOM® *Trichomonas* test, GeneXpert, Visby Medical Sexual Health Test or LAMP techniques among others) opens the door for the future management of this "neglected" STI.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.I.-E.; investigation, A.I.-E. and J.J.N.-R.; data curation, A.I.-E. and J.J.N.-R.; writing-original draft preparation, A.I.-E. and J.J.N.-R.; supervision: A.I.-E. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. World Health Organization. Sexually transmitted infections (STIs). Available online: [https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/sexually-transmitted-infections-\(stis\)](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/sexually-transmitted-infections-(stis)) (accessed on 19 June 2023).
2. World Health Organization. Global health sector strategies on, respectively, HIV, viral hepatitis and sexually transmitted infections for the period 2022-2030. Available online: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240053779> (accessed on 27 September 2023).
3. Van Gerwen, O.T.; Muzny, C.A.; Marrazzo, J.M. Sexually transmitted infections and female reproductive health. *Nat. Microbiol.* **2022**, *7*, 1116-1126. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01177-x>.
4. Rowley, J.; Vander Hoorn, S.; Korenromp, E.; Low, N.; Unemo, M.; Abu-Raddad, L.J.; Chico, R.M.; Smolak, A.; Newman, L.; Gottlieb, S.; Thwin, S.S.; Broutet, N.; Taylor, M.M. Chlamydia, gonorrhoea, trichomoniasis and syphilis: global prevalence and incidence estimates, 2016. *Bull. World Health Organ.* **2019**, *97*, 548-562P. <https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.18.228486>.
5. Seña, A.C.; Miller W.C.; Hobbs, M.M.; Schwebke, J.R.; Leone, P.A.; Swygard, H.; Atashili, J.; Cohen, M.S. *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection in male sexual partners: implications for diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2007**, *44*, 13-22. <https://doi.org/10.1086/511144>.
6. Meites, E. Trichomoniasis: The "Neglected" Sexually Transmitted Disease. *Infect. Dis. Clin. North. Am.* **2013**, *27*, 755-764. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idc.2013.06.003>.
7. Hoots, B.E.; Peterman, T.A.; Torrone, E.A.; Weinstock, H.; Meites, E.; Bolan, G.A. A Trich-y question: should *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection be reportable? *Sex. Transm. Dis.* **2013**, *40*, 113-116. <https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0b013e31827c08c3>.
8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Parasites—parasitic infections in the United States. Available online: <https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/npi/index.html> (accessed on 20 July 2023).
9. Mielczarek, E.; Blaszkowska, J. *Trichomonas vaginalis*: pathogenicity and potential role in human reproductive failure. *Infect.* **2016**, *44*, 447-458. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s15010-015-0860-0>.
10. Lewis, D. Trichomoniasis. *Medicine.* **2014**, *43*, 369-371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mpmed.2014.04.004>.
11. Muzny, C.A.; Schwebke, J.R. The clinical spectrum of *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection and challenges to management. *Sex. Transm. Infect.* **2013**, *89*, 423-425. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2012-050893>.
12. Poole, D.N.; McClelland, R.S. Global epidemiology of *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *Sex. Transm. Infect.* **2013**, *89*, 418-422. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2013-051075>.
13. Van Der Pol, B. *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection: the most prevalent nonviral sexually transmitted infection receives the least public health attention. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2007**, *44*, 23-25. <https://doi.org/10.1086/509934>.

14. Masha, S.C.; Cools, P.; Sanders, E.J.; Vaneechoutte, M.; Crucitti, T. *Trichomonas vaginalis* and HIV infection acquisition: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sex. Transm. Infect.* **2019**, *95*, 36–42. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2018-053713>.
15. Kissinger, P.; Adamski, A. Trichomoniasis and HIV interactions: a review. *Sex. Transm. Infect.* **2013**, *89*, 426–433. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2012-051005>.
16. Allsworth, J.E.; Ratner, J.A.; Peipert, J.F. Trichomoniasis and other sexually transmitted infections: results from the 2001–2004 national health and nutrition examination surveys. *Sex. Transm. Dis.* **2009**, *36*, 738–744. <https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0b013e3181b38a4b>.
17. Noël, J.C.; Fayt, I.; Romero Munoz, M.R.; Simon, P.; Engohan-Aloghe, High prevalence of high-risk human papillomavirus infection among women with *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection on monolayer cytology. *Arch. Gynecol. Obstet.*, **2010**, *282*, 503–505. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-009-1291-x>.
18. Cotch, M.F.; Pastorek, J.G.; Nugent, R.P.; Hillier, S.L.; Gibbs, R.S.; Martin, D.H.; Eschenbach, D.A.; Edelman, R.; Carey, C.; Regan, J.A.; Krohn, M.A.; Klebanoff, M.A.; Rao, A.V.; Rhoads, G.G. *Trichomonas vaginalis* associated with low birth weight and preterm delivery. The vaginal infections and prematurity study group. *Sex. Transm. Dis.* **1997**, *24*, 353–360. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00007435-199707000-00008>.
19. Viikki, M.; Pukkala, E.; Nieminen, P.; Hakama, M. Gynecological infections as risk determinants of subsequent cervical neoplasia. *Acta Oncol* **2000**, *39*, 71–75. <https://doi.org/10.1080/028418600431003>.
20. Hammar, B.; Teutsch, B.; Hoffmann, E.; Hegyi, P.; Váradi, A.; Nyirády, P.; Hunka, Z.; Ács, N.; Lintner, B.; Harmánné R.J.; Melczer, Z. *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection is associated with increased risk of cervical carcinogenesis: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 470 000 patients. *Int. J. Gynecol. Obstet.* **2023**, *0*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijgo.14763>.
21. Fazlollahpour-Naghbi, A.; Bagheri, K.; Almkhtar, M.; Taha, S.R.; Zadeh, M.S.; Moghadam, K.B.; Tadi, M.J.; Rouholamin, S.; Razavi, M.; Sepidarkish, M.; Rostami, A. *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection and risk of cervical neoplasia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Plos One*, **2023**, *18*(7), e0288443. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0288443>.
22. Hann, I-H.; Kim, J-H.; Ryu, J-S. Inflammatory response to *Trichomonas vaginalis* in the pathogenesis of prostatitis and benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Parasit. Host. Dis.* **2023**, *61*, 2–14. <https://doi.org/10.3347/PHD.22160>.
23. Marous, M.; Huang, W.Y.; Rabkin, C.S.; Hayes, R.B.; Alderete, J.F.; Rosner, B.; Grubb, R.L.; Winter, A.C.; Sutcliffe, S. *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection and risk of prostate cancer: associations by disease aggressiveness and race/ethnicity in the PLCO Trial. *Cancer Causes Control*, **2017**, *28*, 889–898. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-017-0919-6>.
24. Nagata, M.; Tome, A.; White, K.; Wilkens, L.R.; Park, S-Y.; Marchand, L.L.; Haiman, C.; Hernandez, B.Y. No association of *Trichomonas vaginalis* seropositivity with advanced prostate cancer risk in the multiethnic cohort: a nested case-control study. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 5194. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15215194>.
25. Rodin, P.; King, A.J.; Nicol, C.S.; Barrow, J. Flagyl in the treatment of trichomoniasis. *Brit. J. Vener. Dis.* **1960**, *36*, 147–151. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.36.3.147>.
26. Fung, H.B.; Doan, T-L. Tinidazole: A nitroimidazole antiprotozoal agent. *Clin. Therapeut.* **2005**, *27*, 1859–1884. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinthera.2005.12.012>.
27. Sherrard, J.; Wilson, J.; Donders, G.; Mendling, W.; Jensen, J.S. 2018 European (IUSTI/WHO) International Union against sexually transmitted infections (IUSTI) World Health Organization (WHO) guideline on the management of vaginal discharge. *Int. J. STD AIDS.* **2018**, *29*, 1258–1272. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956462418785451>.
28. Kissinger, P.J.; Gaydos, C.A.; Seña, A.C.; McClelland, R.C.; Soper, D.; Secor, W.E.; Legendre, D.; Workowski, K.A.; Muzny, C.A. Diagnosis and management of *Trichomonas vaginalis*: Summary of evidence reviewed for the 2021 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Sexually Transmitted Infections treatment guidelines. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2022**, *74*, S152–S161. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciac030>.
29. Muzny, C.A.; Van Gerwen, O.T. Secnidazole for Trichomoniasis in women and men. *Sex. Med. Rev.* **2022**, *10*, 255–262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sxmr.2021.12.004>.
30. Kissinger, P. *Trichomonas vaginalis*: a review of epidemiologic, clinical and treatment issues. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **2015**, *15*, 307–315. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-015-1055-0>.
31. Dunne, R.L.; Dunn, L.A.; Upcroft, P.; O'Donoghue, P.J.; Upcroft, J.A. Drug resistance in the sexually transmitted protozoan *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *Cell Res.* **2003**, *13*, 239–249. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.cr.7290169>.
32. Helms, D.J.; Mosure, D.J.; Secor, E.; Workowski, K.A. Management of *Trichomonas vaginalis* in women with suspected metronidazole sensitivity. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **2008**, *198*, 370.e1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2007.10.795>.
33. Forna F.; Gülmezoglu, A.M. Interventions for treating trichomoniasis in women. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2003**, *2*, CD000218. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000218.strat>.

34. Gaydos, C.A.; Klausner, J.D.; Pai, N.P.; Kelly, H.; Coltart, C.; Peeling, R.W. Rapid and point-of-care tests for the diagnosis of *Trichomonas vaginalis* in women and men. *Sex. Transm. Infect.* **2017**, *93*, S31-S35. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2016-053063>.
35. McMillan, A. Laboratory diagnostic methods and cryopreservation of trichomonads. In *Trichomonads Parasitic in Humans*. Honigberg, B.M. ed.; Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, USA, **1990**; pp. 297-310. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-3224-7_16.
36. Rein, M.F. Trichomoniasis. In *Hunter's Tropical Medicine and Emerging Infectious Diseases* (Tenth Edition). Ryan, E.T.; Hill, D.R.; Solomon, T.; Aronson, N.; Endy, T.P. ed.; Elsevier Health Sciences, New York, NY, USA, **2020**; pp. 731-733. ISBN: 9780323555128.
37. Petrin, D.; Delgaty, K.; Bhatt, R.; Garber, G. Clinical and microbiological aspects of *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.*, **1998**, *11*(2), 300-317. <https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.11.2.300>.
38. Schwabke, J.R. *Trichomonas vaginalis*. In *Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases* (Eighth Edition). Bennett, J.E.; Dolin, R.; Blaser, M.J. ed.; Elsevier Saunders, Philadelphia, PA, USA, **2015**; 2, pp. 3161-3164. ISBN: 9781455748013.
39. Donné, M.A. Animalcules observes dans les matières purulentes et le produit des sécrétions des organes génitaux de l'homme et de la femme. *C. R. Acad. Sci.*, **1836**, *3*, 385-386.
40. Sivaranjini, R.; Jaisankar, T.J.; Thappa, D.M.; Kumari, R.; Chandrasekhar, L.; Malathi, M.; Parija, S.C.; Habeebullah, S. Trichomoniasis: How do we diagnose in a resource poor setting? *Indian J. Sex. Transm. Dis. AIDS*, **2013**, *34*(1), 25-31. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2589-0557.112866>.
41. Hobbs, M.M.; Seña, A.C. Modern diagnosis of *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection. *Sex. Transm. Infect.*, **2013**, *89*(6), 434-438. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2013-051057>.
42. Piperaki, E.T.; Theodora, M.; Mendris, M.; Barbitsa, L.; Pitiriga, V.; Antsaklis, A.; Tsakris, A. Prevalence of *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection in women attending a major gynaecological hospital in Greece: a cross-sectional study. *J. Clin. Pathol.*, **2010**, *63*, 249-253. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jcp.2009.070920>.
43. Schwabke, J.R.; Hook, E.W.III. High rates of *Trichomonas vaginalis* among men attending a sexually transmitted diseases clinic: implications for screening and urethritis management. *J. Infect. Dis.*, **2003**, *188*, 465-468. <https://doi.org/10.1086/376558>.
44. Krieger, J.N. Trichomoniasis in men: old issues and new data. *Sex. Transm. Dis.*, **1995**, *22*, 83-96. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00007435-199503000-00003>.
45. Khatoon, R.; Jahan, N.; Khan, H.M.; Rabbani, T.; Ahmad, S. Evaluation of different staining techniques in the diagnosis of *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection in females of reproductive age group. *J. Clin. Diagnostic Res.*, **2014**, *8*(12), 5-8. <https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2014/9765.5261>.
46. Robertson, D.H.H.; Lumsden, W.H.R.; Fraser, K.F.; Hosie, D.D.; Moore, D.M. Simultaneous isolation of *Trichomonas vaginalis* and collection of vaginal exudates. *Br. J. Vener. Dis.*, **1969**, *45*, 42-43. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.45.1.42>.
47. Oates, J.K.; Selwyn, S.; Breach, M.R. Polyester sponge swabs to facilitate examination for genital infection in women. *B. J. Vener. Dis.*, **1971**, *47*, 289-292. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.47.4.289>.
48. Galán-Montemayor, J.C.; Lepe-Jiménez, J.A.; Otero-Guerra, L.; Serra-Pladevall, J.; Vázquez-Valdés, F. Diagnóstico microbiológico de las infecciones de transmisión sexual y otras infecciones genitales. In *Procedimientos en Microbiología Clínica* (24 edición). Cercenado-Mansilla, E.; Cantón-Moreno, R. ed.; Sociedad Española de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica (SEIMC), Madrid, España, **2018**; pp. 1-94. ISBN: 978-84-09-07783-0.
49. Alcamo, I.E. *Fundamentals of Microbiology*. 6th illustrated edition. Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston, MA, USA; **2001**, pp. 486-487. ISBN: 978-0-7637-0067-6.
50. Madhivanan, P.; Li, T.; Trammell, S.; Desai, C.; Srinivas, V.; Arun, A.; Klausner, J.D.; Krupp, K. Performance of the OSOM *Trichomonas* Rapid Test for diagnosis of *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection among women in Mysore, India. *Sex. Health*, **2013**, *10*, 320-324. <https://doi.org/10.1071/SH13015>.
51. Beverly, A.L.; Vengtarik, L.M.; Cotton, B.; Schwabke, J.R. Viability of *Trichomonas vaginalis* in transport medium. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, **1999**, *37*, 3749-3750. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.37.11.3749-3750.1999>.
52. Martin, R.D.; Kaufman, R.H.; Burns, M. *Trichomonas vaginalis*: a statistical evaluation of diagnostic methods. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.*, **1963**, *87*, 1024-1027. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9378\(63\)90096-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9378(63)90096-6).
53. McCann, J.S. Comparison of direct microscopy and culture in the diagnosis of trichomoniasis. *Br. J. Vener. Dis.*, **1974**, *50*, 450-452. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.50.6.450>.
54. Clark DH, Solomons E. An evaluation of routine culture examination for *Trichomonas vaginalis* and *Candida*. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.*, **1959**, *78*, 1314-1319. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9378\(59\)90598-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9378(59)90598-8).
55. Kingston, M.A.; Carlin, D.; Bansal, E.M. Shelf life of *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *Int. J. STD AIDS*, **2003**, *14*, 28-29. <https://doi.org/10.1258/095646203321043228>.
56. Stuart, R.D. Transport problems in public health bacteriology. *Can. J. Public Health*, **1956**, *47*, 114-122. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41980841#:~:text=https%3A/www.jstor.org/stable/41980841>. PMID: 13293933.

57. Bolumburu, C.; Zamora, C.; Muñoz-Algarra, M.; Portero-Azorín, F.; Escario, J.A.; Ibáñez-Escribano, A. Trichomoniasis in a tertiary hospital of Madrid, Spain (2013–2017): prevalence and pregnancy rate, coinfections, metronidazole resistance, and endosymbiosis. *Parasitol. Res.*, **2020**, *119*, 1915–1923. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-020-06688-2>.
58. Amies, C.R. A modified formula for the preparation of Stuart's transport medium. *Can. J. Public Health*, **1967**, *58*, 296–300. PMID: 4859908.
59. Nielsen R. *Trichomonas vaginalis*. Survival in solid Stuart's medium. *Br. J. Vener. Dis.*, **1969**, *45*, 328–331. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.45.4.328>.
60. Feinberg, J.G.; Whittington, M.J. A culture medium for *Trichomonas vaginalis* Donne and species of *Candida*. *J. Clin. Pathol.*, **1957**, *10*, 327–329. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jcp.10.4.327>.
61. Eriksson, G.; Wanger, L. Frequency of *N. gonorrhoeae*, *T. vaginalis* and *C. albicans* in female venereological patients. *Br. J. Vener. Dis.*, **1975**, *51*, 192–197. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.51.3.192>.
62. Hoffman, B.; Kilczewski, W.; Malyszko, E. Studies in trichomoniasis in males. *Br. J. Vener. Dis.*, **1961**, *37*, 172–175. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.37.2.172>.
63. Hughes, H.E.; Gordon, A.M.; Barr, G.T.D. A clinical laboratory study of trichomoniasis of the female genital tract. *Br. J. Obstet. Gynecol.*, **1966**, *73*, 821–827. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.1966.tb06091.x>.
64. Freeman, F. A modified staining technique for *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *S. Afr. Med. J.*, **1958**, *32*(52), 1235. PMID: 13624821.
65. Lowe, G.H. The laboratory diagnosis of trichomoniasis. In *Laboratory Diagnosis of Venereal Disease*. Public Health Laboratory Service Monograph Series No. 1, London, UK, **1972**; pp. 40–42. Record Number: 19732702663.
66. Fripp, P.J.; Mason, P.R.; Super, H. A method for the diagnosis of *Trichomonas vaginalis* using acridine orange. *J. Parasitol.*, **1975**, *61*, 966–967. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3279252>. PMID: 1185441.
67. Levett, P.N. A comparison of five methods for the detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis* in clinical specimens. *Med. Lab. Sci.*, **1980**, *37*, 85–88. PMID: 6155588.
68. Rodriguez-Martinez, H.A.; De la Luz Rosales, M.; Gallaso de Bello, L.; Ruiz-Moreno, J.A.; Adequate staining of *Trichomonas vaginalis* by McManus' periodic acid-Schiff stain. *Am. J. Clin. Pathol.*, **1973**, *59*, 741–746. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcp/59.5.741>.
69. Nagesha, C.N.; Ananthkrishna, N.C.; Sulochana, P. Clinical and laboratory studies on vaginal trichomoniasis. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.*, **1970**, *106*, 933–935. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9378\(70\)90496-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9378(70)90496-5).
70. Tanabe, M. Morphological Studies on *Trichomonas*. *J. Parasitol.*, **1926**, *12*, 120–130. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3271220>.
71. Sorel, C. Trois techniques de recherche du *Trichomonas vaginalis*: leurs valeurs comparées. *Presse Med.*, **1954**, *62*, 602–604. PMID: 13166995.
72. Lowe, G.H. A comparison of current laboratory methods with a new semisolid culture medium for the detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *J. Clin. Pathol.*, **1965**, *18*, 432–434. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jcp.18.4.432>.
73. Rogers, S.; Goldsmid, J.M. A study of the possible value of acridine orange-O stain in the diagnosis of *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection. *Cent. Afr. J. Med.*, **1977**, *23*, 56–58. PMID: 66993.
74. Buharowski, K.; Wolanska, M. Usefulness of staining specimens with acridine orange in the diagnosis of *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *Wiad. Parazytol.*, **1984**, *30*(4), 499–501.
75. Mason, P.R.; Super, H.; Fripp, P.J. Comparison of four techniques for the routine diagnosis of *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection. *J. Clin. Pathol.*, **1976**, *29*, 154–157. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jcp.29.2.154>.
76. Miller, J.R. Contrast stain for the rapid identification of *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *Am. J. Clin. Pathol.*, **1937**, *7*, 40. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcp/7.ts1.2.40>.
77. Eddie, D.A.S. The laboratory diagnosis of vaginal infections caused by *Trichomonas* and *Candida* (Monilia) species. *J Med Microbiol.*, **1968**, *1*, 153–159. <https://doi.org/10.1099/00222615-1-1-153>.
78. Perju, A.; Strimbeanu, I. Valeur de la spermoculture dans le diagnostic de la trichomonase urogenitale chez l'homme. *Wiad. Parazytol.*, **1966**, *12*, 475–480.
79. Coutts, W.E.; Silva-Inzunza, E. Vital staining of *Trichomonas vaginalis* with fluorescein. *Br. J. Vener. Dis.*, **1954**, *30*, 43–46. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.30.1.43>.
80. Bickley, L.S.; Krisher, K.K.; Punsalang, A.; Trupe, M.A.; Reichman, R.C.; Menegus, M.A. Comparison of direct fluorescent antibody, acridine orange, wet mount, and culture for detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis* in women attending a public sexually transmitted diseases clinic. *Sex Transm Dis.*, **1989**, *16*(3), 127–131. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00007435-198907000-00002>.
81. Thin, R.N.T.; Atia, W.; Parker, J.D.J.; Nicol, C.S.; Canti, G. Value of Papanicolaou-stained smears in the diagnosis of trichomoniasis, candidiasis and cervical herpes simplex virus infection in women. *Br. J. Vener. Dis.*, **1975**, *51*, 116–118. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.51.2.116>.
82. Garber, G.E.; Sibau, L.; Ma, R.; Proctor, E.M.; Shaw, C.E.; Bowie, W.R. Cell culture compared with broth for detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, **1987**, *25*, 1275–1279. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.25.7.1275-1279.1987>.

83. Thomason, J.L.; Gelbart, S.M.; Sobun, J.F.; Schullien, M.B.; Hamilton, P.R. Comparison of four methods to detect *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, **1988**, *26*(9), 1869–1870. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.26.9.1869-1870.1988>.
84. Schmid, G.P.; Matheny, L.C.; Zaidi, A.A.; Kraus, S.J. Evaluation of six media for the growth of *Trichomonas vaginalis* from vaginal secretions. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, **1986**, *27*(6), 1230–1233. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.27.6.1230-1233.1989>.
85. Patil, M.J.; Nagamoti, J.M.; Metgud, S.C. Diagnosis of *Trichomonas vaginalis* from vaginal specimens by wet mount microscopy, InPouch TV culture system, and PCR. *J. Glob. Infect. Dis.*, **2012**, *4*, 22–25. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-777X.93756>.
86. Moldwin, R.M. Sexually transmitted protozoal infections. *Trichomonas vaginalis*, *Entamoeba histolytica*, and *Giardia lamblia*. *Urol. Clin. North Am.*, **1992**, *19*, 93–101. PMID: 1736479.
87. Fouts, A.C.; Kraus, S.J. *Trichomonas vaginalis*: reevaluation of its clinical presentation and laboratory diagnosis. *J. Infect. Dis.*, **1980**, *141*, 137–143. <https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/141.2.137>.
88. Van Gerwen, O.T.; Muzny, C.A. Recent advances in the epidemiology, diagnosis, and management of *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection. *F1000Res*, **2019**, *8*, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.19972.1>.
89. Schwebke, J.R.; Burgess, D. Trichomoniasis. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.*, **2004**, *17*, 794–803. <https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.17.4.794-803.2004>.
90. Sood, S.; Mohanty, S.; Kapil, A.; Tolosa, J.; Mittal, S. InPouch TV culture for detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *Indian J. Med. Res.*, **2007**, *125*(4), 567–571.
91. Méndez-Izquierdo, J.E.; Fonseca-Berzal, C.R.; Ibáñez-Escribano, A. *Trichomonas vaginalis*: Diagnosis of a forgotten sexually transmitted infection. *An. Real Acad. Farm.*, **2023**, *89*(2), 135–148. <https://doi.org/10.53519/analesranf.2023.89.02.01>.
92. Levi, M.H.; Torres, J.; Pina, C.; Klein, R.S. Comparison of the InPouch system and Diamond's modified medium for detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **1996**, *35*(12), 3308–3310. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.35.12.3308-3310.1997>.
93. Borchardt, K.A.; Zhang, M.; Shing, H.; Flink, K. A comparison of the sensitivity of the InPouch TV, Diamond's and Trichosel media for the detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *Genitourin. Med.*, **1997**, *73*(4), 297–298. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.73.4.297>.
94. Ibáñez-Escribano, A.; Gómez-Barrio, A. *Trichomonas vaginalis*: la versatilidad de un parásito tenaz. *An. Real Acad. Farm.*, **2017**, *83*:10–47. <https://doi.org/10.53519/analesranf.2017.83.01>.
95. Mahmoud, A.; Sherif, N.A.; Abdella, R.; El-Genedy, A.R.; El Kateb, A.Y.; Askalani, A.N. Prevalence of *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection among Egyptian women using culture and latex agglutination: cross sectional study. *BMC Women's Health*, **2015**, *15*(7), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-015-0169-2>.
96. Darani, H.Y.; Ahmadi, F.; Zabardast, N.; Yousefi, H.A.; Shirzad, H. Development of a latex agglutination test as a simple and rapid method for diagnosis of *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection. *Avicenna J. Med. Biotechnol.*, **2010**, *2*, 63–66.
97. Yousofi-Darani, H.; Sharafi, S.; Heidari, M.; Poor, S.; Jafari, R.; Hosseini, S. Diagnosis of vaginal candidiasis and *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection by antibody coated latex particles. *J. Adv. Med. Med. Res.*, **2017**, *24*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.9734/JAMMR/2017/36600>.
98. Adu-Sarkodie, Y.; Opoku, B.K.; Danso, K.A.; Weiss, H.A.; Mabey, D. Comparison of latex agglutination, wet preparation, and culture for the detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *Sex. Transm. Infect.*, **2004**, *80*, 201–203. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.2003.007864>.
99. Gan, S.D.; Patel, K.R. Enzyme immunoassay and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *J. Invest. Dermatol.*, **2013**, *133* e12, 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2013.287>.
100. Kim, J.H.; Moon, H.S.; Kim, K.S.; Hwang, H.S.; Ryu, J.S.; Park, S.Y. Comparison of seropositivity to *Trichomonas vaginalis* between men with prostatic tumor and normal men. *Korean J Parasitol.*, **2019**, *57*, 21–25. <https://doi.org/10.3347/kjp.2019.57.1.21>.
101. Alderete, J.F.; Neace, C.J. Identification, characterization, and synthesis of peptide epitopes and a recombinant six-epitope protein for *Trichomonas vaginalis* serodiagnosis. *Immunotargets Ther.*, **2013**, *2*, 91–103. <https://doi.org/10.2147/ITT.S46694>.
102. Alderete, J.F. Advancing prevention of STIs by developing specific serodiagnostic targets: *Trichomonas vaginalis* as a model. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, **2020**, *17*(16), 5783–5799. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17165783>.
103. Alderete, J.F. Epitopes within recombinant α -actinin protein is serodiagnostic target for *Trichomonas vaginalis* sexually transmitted infections. *Heliyon*, **2017**, *3* e00237, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2017.e00237>.
104. Alderete, J.F. Recombinant protein of immunogenic metabolic enzyme epitopes of *Trichomonas vaginalis* are common to humans and microorganisms. *Am. J. Biomed. Res.*, **2021**, *13*, 630–638. <https://doi.org/10.34297/AJBSR.2021.13.001930>.

105. Bedair, N.H.; Ali, H.Z. Comparison of trichomoniasis diagnosis by microscopic methods and indirect ELISA technique in a sample of Iraqi women. *Iraqi J. Sci.*, **2020**, *61*, 742–748. <https://doi.org/10.24996/ij.s.2020.61.4.5>.
106. Street, D.A.; Taylor-Robinson, D.; Ackers, J.P.; Hanna, N.F.; McMillan, A. Evaluation of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the detection of antibody to *Trichomonas vaginalis* in sera and vaginal secretions. *Br. J. Vener. Dis.*, **1982**, *58*, 330–333. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.58.5.330>.
107. Cudmore, S.L.; Delgaty, K.L.; Hayward-McClelland, S.F.; Petrin, D.P.; Garber, G.E. Treatment of infections caused by metronidazole-resistant *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *Clin Microbiol Rev.*, **2004**, *17*, 783–793. <https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.17.4.783-793.2004>.
108. Watt, R.M.; Philip, A.; Wos, S.M.; Sam, G.J. Rapid assay for immunological detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, **1986**, *24*, 551–555. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.24.4.551-555.1986>.
109. Adjei, C.; Boateng, R.; Dompok, A.; Okyere, B.; Owiredo, E.W. Prevalence and the evaluation of culture, wet mount, and ELISA methods for the diagnosis of *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection among Ghanaian women using urine and vaginal specimens. *Trop. Med. Health.*, **2019**, *47*, 33–41. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41182-019-0162-9>.
110. Lisi, P.J.; Dondero, R.S.; Kwiatkowski, D.; Spence, M.R.; Rein, M.F.; Alderete, J.F. Monoclonal-antibody-based enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, **1988**, *26*, 1684–1686. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.26.9.1684-1686.1988>.
111. Zhang, Z.; Li, Y.; Wang, S.; Hao, L.; Zhu, Y.; Li, H.; Song, X.; Duan, Y.; Sang, Y.; Wu, P.; Li, X. The molecular characterization and immunity identification of *Trichomonas vaginalis* adhesion protein 33 (AP33). *Front. Microbiol.*, **2020**, *11*, 1433. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01433>.
112. Juliano, C.; Rubino, S.; Zicconi, D.; Cappuccinelli, P. An immunofluorescent study of the microtubule organization in *Trichomonas vaginalis* using antitubulin antibodies. *J. Protozool.*, **1986**, *33*, 56–59. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1550-7408.1986.tb05557.x>.
113. Smith, R.F. Detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis* in vaginal specimens by direct immunofluorescence assay. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, **1986**, *24*(6), 1107–1108. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.24.6.1107-1108.1986>.
114. Bickley, L.S.; Krisher, K.K.; Punsalang, A.; Trupe, M.A.; Reichman, R.C.; Menegus, M.A. Comparison of direct fluorescent antibody, acridine orange, wet mount, and culture for detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis* in women attending a public sexually transmitted diseases clinic. *Sex. Transm. Dis.*, **1989**, *16*, 127–131. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00007435-198907000-00002>.
115. Smith, R.F. Detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis* in vaginal specimens by direct immunofluorescence assay. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, **1986**, *24*, 1107–1108. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.24.6.1107-1108.1986>.
116. Muralidhar, S. Molecular methods in the laboratory diagnosis of sexually transmitted infections. *Indian J. Sex. Transm. Dis. AIDS*, **2015**, *36*, 9–17. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2589-0557.156686>.
117. Adamson, P.C.; Loeffelholz, M.J.; Klausner, J.D. Point-of-Care testing for sexually transmitted infections: a review of recent developments. *Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med.*, **2020**, *144*, 1344–1351. <https://doi.org/10.5858/arpa.2020-0118-RA>.
118. Alderete, J.F. *Trichomonas vaginalis*, reproductive health, and a minority scientist's experiences. *Biol Reprod.*, **2011**, *85* (Suppl_1), 96. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biolreprod/85.s1.96>.
119. Sekisui Diagnostics. Training lesson of OSOM® *Trichomonas* IFU. Sekisui Diagnostics; 2023. Available online <https://sekisuidiagnostics.com/product/osom-trichomonas-test/> (accessed on 12 September 2023).
120. Alderete, J.F.; Chan, H. Point-of-Care diagnostic for *Trichomonas vaginalis*, the most prevalent, non-viral sexually transmitted infection. *Pathogens*, **2023**, *12*, 77. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens12010077>.
121. Boeras, D.; Cohan, J.S.; Vojnov, L.; Wi, T.; Toskin, I.; Barrett, K.; Ghadreshenas, A.; Maatouk, I.; McCartney, D. The diagnostic landscape for sexually transmitted infections; Geneva: World Health Organization, **2013**; pp. 5–38.
122. U.S. Food & Drug Administration. Nucleic Acid Based Test. Available online: <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/in-vitro-diagnostics/nucleic-acid-based-tests#microbial> (accessed on 27 September 2023).
123. Schwabe, J.R.; Gaydos, C.A.; Davis, T.; Marrazzo, J.; Furgerson, D.; Taylor, S.N.; Smith, B.; Bachmann, L.H.; Ackerman, R.; Spurrell, T.; Ferris, D.; Burnham, C.A.D.; Reno, H.; Lebed, J.; Eisenberg, D.; Kerndt, P.; Philip, S.; Jordan, J.; Quigley, N. Clinical evaluation of the Cepheid Xpert TV Assay for detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis* with prospectively collected specimens from men and women. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2018**, *56*, e01091–17. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.01091-17>.
124. Chapin, K.; Andrea S. APTIMA® *Trichomonas vaginalis*, a transcription-mediated amplification assay for detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis* in urogenital specimens. *Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn.* **2011**, *11*(7), 679–688. <https://doi.org/10.1586/ERM.11.53>.
125. Van Der Pol, B.; Williams, J.A.; Taylor, S.N.; Cammarata, C.L.; Rivers, C.A.; Body, B.A.; Nye, M.; Fuller, D.; Schwabe, J.R.; Barnes, M.; Gaydos, C.A. Detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis* DNA by use of self-obtained vaginal swabs with the BD ProbeTec Q⁺ assay on the BD viper system. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, **2014**, *52*(3), 885–889. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02966-13>.

126. Shipitsyna, E.; Unemo, M. A profile of the FDA-approved and CE/IVD-marked Aptima *Mycoplasma genitalium* assay (Hologic) and key priorities in the management of *M. genitalium* infections. *Exp. Rev. Mol. Diagnostics*, **2020**, 20(11), 1063-1074. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14737159.2020.1842198>.
127. Andrea, S. B.; Chapin, K. C. Comparison of Aptima *Trichomonas vaginalis* transcription-mediated amplification assay and BD affirm VPIII for detection of *T. vaginalis* in symptomatic women: performance parameters and epidemiological implications. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, **2011**, 49, 866-869. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.02367-10>.
128. Van Der Pol, B.; Torres-Chavolla, E.; Kods, S.; Cooper, C.K.; Davis, T.E.; Fife, K.H.; Taylor, S.N.; Augenbraun, M.H.; Gaydos, C.A. Clinical performance of the BD CTGCTV2 assay for the BD MAX System for detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, and *Trichomonas vaginalis* infections. *Sex Transm. Dis.*, **2021**, 48(2), 134-140. <https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0000000000001280>.
129. Goldstein, E.; Martinez-García, L.; Obermeier, M.; Glass, A.; Krügel, M.; Maree, L.; Gunson, R.; Onelia, F.; Pacenti, M.; Nelson, K.; Joseph, A.; Palm, M.; Lucic, D.; Marlowe, N.; Dhein, J.; Reinhardt, B.; Pfeifer, K.; Galan, J.; Azzato, F. Simultaneous identification of *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, *Mycoplasma genitalium*, and *Trichomonas vaginalis* – multicenter evaluation of the Alinity m STI assay. *J. Lab. Med.*, **2021**, 45, 213-223. <https://doi.org/10.1515/labmed-2020-0136>.
130. Matysiak, C.; Cheng, A.; Kirby, J.E. Evaluation of the Abbott Alinity m STI assay for diagnosis of the primary cases of sexually transmitted infections in the United States. *Pract. Lab. Med.*, **2023**, 36, e00332. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plabm.2023.e00332>.
131. Marlowe, E.M.; Gohl, P.; Steidle, M.; Arcenas, R.; Bier, C. *Trichomonas vaginalis* detection in female specimens with cobas® TV/MG for use on the cobas® 6800/8800 Systems. *Eur. J. Microbiol. Immunol.*, **2019**, 9(2), 42-45. <https://doi.org/10.1556/1886.2019.00004>.
132. Gaydos, C.A.; Schwebke, J.; Dombrowski, J.; Marrazzo, J.; Coleman, J.; Silver, B.; Barnes, M.; Crane, L.; Fine, P. Clinical performance of the Solana® Point-of-Care *Trichomonas* assay from clinician-collected vaginal swabs and urine specimens from symptomatic and asymptomatic women. *Exp. Rev. Mol. Diagn.*, **2017**, 17, 303-306. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14737159.2017.1282823>.
133. Gaydos, C.A.; Hobbs, M.; Marrazzo, J.; Schwebke, J.; Coleman, J.S.; Masek, B.; Dize, L.; Jang, D.; Li, J.; Chernesky, M. Rapid diagnosis of *Trichomonas vaginalis* by testing vaginal swabs in an isothermal helicase-dependent AmpliVue™ Assay. *Sex. Transm. Dis.*, **2016**, 43, 369-373. <https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0000000000000447>.
134. Morris, S.R.; Bristow, C.C.; Wierzbicki, M.R.; Sarno, M.; Asbel, L.; French, A.; Gaydos, C.A.; Hazan, L.; Mena, L.; Madhivanan, P.; Philip, S.; Schwartz, S.; Brown, C.; Styers, D.; Waymer, T.; Klausner, J.D. Performance of a single-use, rapid, point-of-care PCR device for the detection of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, *Chlamydia trachomatis*, and *Trichomonas vaginalis*: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.*, **2021**, 21(5), 668-676. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30734-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30734-9).
135. Brown, H.L.; Fuller, D.D.; Davis, T.E.; Schwebke, J.R.; Hillier, S.L. Evaluation of the Affirm ambient temperature transport system for the detection and identification of *Trichomonas vaginalis*, *Gardnerella vaginalis*, and *Candida* species from vaginal fluid specimens. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, **2001**, 39, 3197-3199. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.39.9.3197-3199.2001>.
136. Taylor, S.; Rucky, A.; Lockamy, E.; Wolfe, D.; Streck, N.; Uribe, G.; Cammarata, C.; Diodene, D.; Cooper, C.K.; Vaughan, L.; Greene, W. Validation of a new high-throughput BD COR System using the BD CTGCTV2 assay. *J. Mol. Diagnost.*, **2022**, 24, 485-493. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoldx.2022.01.010>.
137. Tipple, C.; Rayment, M.; Mandalia, S.; Walton, L.; O'Neill, S.; Murray, J.; O'Riordan, A.; Rebec, M.; Harrington, L.; Jones, R.; Wilkinson, D. An evaluation study of the Becton-Dickinson ProbeTec Qx (BDQx) *Trichomonas vaginalis* trichomoniasis molecular diagnostic test in two large, urban STD services. *Sex. Transm. Infect.*, **2018**, 94(5), 334-336. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2016-052902>.
138. Van Der Pol, B.; Rao, A.; Nye, M.B.; Chavoustie, S.; Ermel, A.; Kaplan, C.; Eisenber, D.; Chan, P.A.; Mena, L.; Pacheco, S.; Waites, K.B.; Xiao, L.; Krishnamurthy, S.; Mohan, R.; Bertuzis, R.; McGowin, C.L.; Arcenas, R.; Marlowe, E.M.; Taylor, S. N. *Trichomonas vaginalis* detection in urogenital specimens from symptomatic and asymptomatic men and women by use of the cobas TV/MG Test. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, **2021**, 59(10), 10-1128. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.00264-21>.
139. Bui, H.T.V.; Bui, H.T.; Chu, S.V.; Nguyen, H.T.; Nguyen, A.T.V.; Truong, P.T.; Dang, T.T.H.; Nguyen, A.T.V. Simultaneous real-time PCR detection of nine prevalent sexually transmitted infections using a predesigned double-quenched TaqMan probe panel. *PLoS ONE*, **2023**, 18, E0282439. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0282439>.
140. Hu, X-M.; Xu, J-X.; Jiang, L-X.; Deng, L-R.; Gu, Z-M.; Xie, X-Y.; Ji, H-C.; Wang, W-H.; Li, L-M.; Tian, C-N.; Song, F-L.; Huang, S.; Zheng, L.; Zhong, T-Y. Design and evaluation of a novel multiplex Real-Time PCR melting curve assay for the simultaneous detection of nine Sexually Transmitted Disease pathogens in genitourinary secretions. **2019**, *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 9, 382. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00382>.

141. Reyes, J.C.B.; Solon, J.A.A.; Rivera, W.L. Development of a loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay for detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.*, **2014**, *79*(3), 337-341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2014.03.016>.
142. Li, Y.; Wang, S.; Li, H.; Song, X.; Zhang, H.; Duan, Y.; Luo, C.; Wang, B.; Ji, S.; Xie, Q.; Zhang, Z. Development of a convenient detection method for *Trichomonas vaginalis* based on loop-mediated isothermal amplification targeting adhesion protein 65. *BMC Infect. Dis.*, **2020**, *20*(1), 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-020-05048-w>.
143. Espiritu, C.A.L.; Justo, C.A.C.; Jauset Rubio, M.; Svobodova, M.; Bashammakh, A.S.; Alyoubi, A.O.; Rivera, W.L.; Rollon, A.P.; O'Sullivan, C.K. Aptamer selection against a *Trichomonas vaginalis* adhesion protein for diagnostic applications. *ACS Infect. Dis.*, **2018**, *4*, 1306-1315. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfectdis.8b00065>.
144. Fu, L.; Sun, Y.; Han, M.; Wang, B.; Xiao, F.; Zhou, Y.; Gao, Y.; Fitzpatrick, T.; Yuan, T.; Li, P.; Zhan, Y.; Lu, Y.; Luo, G.; Duan, J.; Hong, Z.; Fairley, C.K.; Zhang, T.; Zhao, J.; Zou, H. Incidence trends of five common sexually transmitted infections excluding HIV from 1990 to 2019 at the global, regional, and national levels: results from the global burden of disease study 2019. *Front. Med.* **2022**, *9*, 851635. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2022.851635>.
145. Hotez, P.J.; Gurwith, M. Europe's neglected infections of poverty. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.*, **2011**, *15*(9), e611-e619. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2011.05.006>.
146. Secor, W.E.; Meites, E.; Starr, M.C.; Workowski, K.A. Neglected parasitic infections in the United States: trichomoniasis. *The Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, **2014**, *90*(5), 800. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.13-0723>.
147. CDC. Sexual Risk Behaviours. 2023. Available online: <https://www.cdc.gov/healthyouth/sexualbehaviors/index.htm>
148. Workowski, K.A.; Bolan, G.A. Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines, 2015. *Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.*, **2015**, *64*, 1-137. PMID: 26042815.
149. Domeika, M.; Zhuravskaya, L.; Savicheva, A.; Frigo, N.; Sokolovskiy, E.; Hallen, A.; Unemo, M.; Ballard, R.C. Guidelines for the laboratory diagnosis of trichomoniasis in East European countries. *J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol.*, **2010**, *24*(10), 1125-1134. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-3083.2010.03601.x>.
150. Clifton, S.; Mercer, C.H.; Sonnenberg P.; Tanton C.; Field N.; Gravningen K.; Hughes, G.; Mapp, F.; Johnson, A.M. STI risk perception in the British population and how it relates to sexual behaviour and STI healthcare use: findings from a cross-sectional survey (Natsal-3). *J. Clin. Med.*, **2018**, *2*, 29-36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2018.08.001>.
151. Lara, L.A.S.; Abdo, C.H.N. Age at time of initial sexual intercourse and health of adolescent girls. *J. Pediatr. Adolesc. Gynecol.* **2016**, *29*, 417-423. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaga.2015.11.012>.
152. Menezes, C.B.; Frasson, A.P.; Tasca, T. Trichomoniasis—are we giving the deserved attention to the most common non-viral sexually transmitted disease worldwide? *Microb. Cell*, **2016**, *3*(9), 404-419. <https://doi.org/10.15698/mic2016.09.526>.
153. Chesson, H.W.; Blandford, J.M.; Pinkerton, S.D. Estimates of the annual number and cost of new HIV infections among women attributable to trichomoniasis in the United States. *Sex. Transm. Dis.* **2004**, *31*, 547-551. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.olq.0000137900.63660.98>.
154. Chesson, H.W.; Blandford, J.M.; Gift, T.L.; Tao, G.; Irwin, K.L. The estimated direct medical cost of Sexually Transmitted Diseases among American youth, 2000. *Persp. Sex. Reprod. Health.* **2007**, *35*, 11-19. <https://doi.org/10.1363/3601104>.
155. Kumar, S.; Chesson H.W.; Spicknall, I.H.; Kreisel, K.M.; Grift, T. The estimated lifetime medical cost of Chlamydia, Gonorrhea, and Trichomoniasis in the United States, 2018. *Sex. Transm. Dis.* **2021**, *48*, 238-246. <https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0000000000001357>.
156. Hobbs, M.M.; Lapple, D.M.; Lawing, L.F.; Schwebke, J.R.; Cohen, M.S.; Swygard, H.; Atashili, J.; Leone, P.A.; Miller, W.C.; Seña, A.C. Methods for detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis* in male partners or infected women: implications for control of trichomoniasis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, **2006**, *44*, 3994-3999. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JMC.00952-06>.
157. Garber, G.E. The laboratory diagnosis of *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *Can. J. Infect. Dis. Med. Microbiol.*, **2005**, *16*, 35-38. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2005/373920>.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.