Preprints.org

Article

Sustainable Culinary Skills: Fostering Vegetable-Centric Cooking Practices Among Young Adults for Health and Environmental Benefits – A Qualitative Study

Rajshri Roy [*] , Alshaima Alsaie , Jessica Malloy , Joya A Kemper

Article

Sustainable Culinary Skills: Fostering Vegetable-Centric Cooking Practices Among Young Adults for Health and Environmental Benefits – A Qualitative Study

Rajshri Roy [1,2, 3]*, Alshai Alsaie [3], Jessica Malloy [3] and Joya A Kemper [4]

[1] Charles Perkins Centre, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, 2006, Australia; Rajshri.roy@sydney.edu.au
[2] Discipline of Nutrition and Dietetics, Susan Wakil School of Nursing and Midwifery, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, 2006, Australia; Rajshri.roy@sydney.edu.au
[3] Discipline of Nutrition and Dietetics, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand; r.roy@auckland.ac.nz; aals888@aucklanduni.ac.nz; jessica.malloy@auckland.ac.nz
[4] Management, Marketing, and Entrepreneurship, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand; joya.kemper@canterbury.ac.nz
* Correspondence: rajshri.roy@sydney.edu.au

Abstract: With young adults (18-30 years) exhibiting the lowest vegetable intake, primarily due to inadequate cooking skills, this study aims to explore the usability and desirability of educational tools such as written recipes and cooking videos to foster sustainable eating habits. Employing a descriptive, qualitative, and user-centered design, three focus groups were held at a major urban university campus in New Zealand, engaging students, and staff within the target age group. Discussions with 15 participants identified key facilitators for vegetable-based cooking, including access to ingredients, ease of recipe visualization, and cost-effectiveness. Consequently, 13 vegetable-centric recipes and instructional videos were created, incorporating nutritional value, affordability, and preparation efficiency. These resources, tailored to young adults' preferences, were designed to mitigate the identified barriers to vegetable use, contributing to sustainable food practices. The application of a user-centered approach in developing educational content yielded a set of recipes and videos that not only address the gaps in cooking skills among young adults but also promote the broader goals of sustainability in food consumption patterns. This approach offers actionable insights for practitioners and food marketers to enhance vegetable utilization and sustainable eating behaviors in this demographic.

Keywords: young adults; vegetables; cooking; user-centered; nutrition education

1. Introduction

Adequate vegetable consumption is an essential part of a healthy diet protective against major non-communicable diseases [1], obesity [2] and reduces environmental impact [3]. In New Zealand, a third (34%) of the adult population consumes less than the previously recommended intake of three servings a day (75g per serve), with young adults aged 15 to 30 years reporting the lowest rates of meeting the guidelines relative to those in older age groups [4]. This trend is consistent in other countries, including Australia [5], the UK [6], and the US [7]. These unfavorable trends are consistently more exacerbated amongst groups of low socioeconomic status and ethnic minorities (e.g., Māori and Pacific) [8,9].

Young adulthood represents a valuable target for promoting dietary habits as the impact of negative dietary habits early in life often manifests later in adulthood [10,11]. With this transition such as leaving school, going to university or college, leaving the parental home, and starting employment often comes unique or significant challenges that contribute to their suboptimal dietary behavior, including the lack of culinary skills and the knowledge of practical ways to incorporate vegetables into meals (particularly breakfast and lunch) [12–15], as they often require cooking [16,17] as well as limited time, perceived cost, and/or the availability of convenient and cheap (take-away) options [13,14,18]. However, while many studies have examined vegetable consumption and the

barriers to consumption in young adults [19–21], there is currently a lack of research on how to encourage cooking with vegetables [13].

Past research links low cooking confidence and poor cooking skills with unhealthy food choices [22]. Thus, interventions often target cooking skills to improve healthy food consumption [18]. While past research has examined more resource-intensive cooking courses or food skills programs [23,24], there is a clear interest from young adults in more easily accessible recipes [16,25,26] and cooking videos [16] to address the barriers to cooking and/or vegetable consumption. These types of tools are ubiquitously available online [25,27] and are largely appealing (based on the engagement metrics).

The format in which the recipe is presented, whether it be through text, video, or a combination of both, significantly impacts the learning experience during the cooking process. [28–32]. Videos have been perceived to help over and above recipe cards through improved comprehension, real-time reassurance, and enjoyment of the cooking process as well as the acquisition of new cooking skills [28].

Previous research has investigated the impact of video-based learning on cooking skills, finding significant improvements in culinary skills and food knowledge [33,34]. Other research has found that the use of a short video was effective at improving self-efficacy for cooking simple (and short) meals [35] and motivation   [13]. Cooking videos have also been shown to influence food choice behavior, liking of the foods, and intentions to eat and prepare the foods portrayed [36]. Nour et al. (2018) discovered that the utilization of short videos enhances motivation and reduces the perception of time as a barrier to cooking with vegetables. However, their study did not specifically examine the impact of visual and audio features in the videos.

However, research has rarely examined the use of recipe (cards) on cooking motivation and skills. For example, Liu et al., [37]provided three interventions, food samples, recipe cards, and promotional discounts on fruits and vegetables, and found that recipe cards were significantly associated with the frequency of fruit and vegetable consumption. Yet, no research has examined what features aid consumers to use recipe cards.

Our research objective is to create usable and desirable recipe cards and videos to be disseminated on the internet for young adults to cook with vegetables. We utilize the findings of the focus group (user-centered design) to prepare written recipes and video recipes that address barriers, are salient, engaging and relevant.to young adults to help motivate them to cook with vegetables. Overall, this study aimed to:

1. Understand the barriers and enablers faced by young adults when cooking with vegetables.
2. Understand what format and characteristics of recipes (written and videos) appeal to young adults.
3. Design and develop user-centered written recipes and video recipes that address barriers, are salient, engaging and relevant.to young adults to help motivate them to cook with vegetables.
4. Test the acceptability of these cooking videos.

2. Materials and Methods

Table 1 provides an overview of the aims and actions during each phase of the study [38].

Table 1. Overview of the iterative development steps.

Phase	Aim	Actions
Understand	Understand the barriers and enablers faced by young adults when cooking with vegetables.	Focus group discussions
Plan	Concept recipes and cooking videos development.	Five concept recipes and videos were developed
Prototype test	Testing of the concept versions: perceived relevance and user requirements.	Focus group discussions
Develop	Development of the final version of the recipe cards and cooking videos	Thirteen recipes and cooking videos were developed

We draw on the COM-B theoretical model, which stipulates that changing behavior requires positive changes in Capabilities, Opportunities, and Motivation [39], to provide a theoretical basis for the use of recipe cards and videos. Providing recipes and cooking videos can address 'opportunities' such as time, cost, and taste while improving culinary knowledge and skills can improve 'capabilities. Altogether, alongside appealing imagery, this can enhance the 'motivation' to cook and ultimately promote a behavior change.

We also adopt a user-centered design approach to develop a series of recipe cards (written recipes) and cooking videos based on the qualitative findings. User-centered design is an iterative design process in which designers focus on the users and their needs in each phase of the design process [13,40,41]. The major difference between user-centered and participatory design is agency. Participatory design gives the user agency and control over the design process whereas user-centered design involves consulting them for more data to help make decisions about design [42]. The user-centered design focuses on the final technology product as demonstrated through usability, which includes learnability, memorability, efficiency, and satisfaction, resulting in greater adoption of the product [43]. Overall, the user-centered design allows practitioners to create products and services which appeal directly to the target audience.

Five prototype recipes were developed by student dietitians that provided at least one serving of vegetables based on the New Zealand Eating and Activity Guidelines [44]. These were available in two versions: a recipe card (i.e., a written version/poster) and a complimentary video and were based on existing research. The purpose of these was to serve as a prototype for qualitative feedback.

The recipe cards were designed based on previous research [12] to be suitable for sharing on social media but were printed on paper for each participant. Two different prototype recipe card templates were developed for each of the 5 recipes by a professional graphic designer. These varied significantly in terms of the design and color schemes and the types of information they included. For example, one template included photos of the final product, the steps being carried out, and/or the ingredients. Other included additional information such as nutrition tips, cooking and storage tips, preparation time, cost, swap ideas, servings, and vegetable servings. Both recipe cards included a nutrition information panel that was produced using the nutrition analysis software FoodWorks which uses New Zealand food composition database [45].

The videos produced ranged in length from 1-to 3 minutes as this was considered acceptable length by young adults in previous research [13]. All the videos were filmed primarily from a bird's-eye angle, focusing on the food, and only showing the person's hands preparing the dish. Some also showed the people who prepared the dish at the end of the video. All videos had music playing in the background, none had a voiceover, but all included text instructions. None of the videos included a complete list of the ingredients. Instead, the ingredient's name and amount were stated while they were being used. A few videos started by showing the final dish, and only one video included the number of serves, preparation time, and the cost at the start.

Participants were recruited for focus group discussions using convenience sampling through flyers, emails, and online advertisements on a large urban university website and social media

accounts in Auckland, New Zealand. Focus group participants were offered NZD 50 shopping vouchers as reimbursements for their time. Participants were initially recruited by completing a screener survey that gathered demographic information and assessed their eligibility. Participants reviewed the participant information documentation prior to giving their written informed consent to be involved. Participants were briefed on the purpose of the study and asked if they understood it or had any questions. Eligible participants were young adults aged 18 to 30 years. Those with a current nutrition major or a degree were excluded as their diet-related knowledge, attitudes, and habits might not reflect those of the general population. A total of three focus groups were conducted with a total of 15 participants, young adults ranging in age from 18–30 years.

Qualitative feedback was gathered through the focus group discussions to capture the acceptability and viewer perceptions of the created (prototype) short cooking videos and recipe cards, as well as the development of the final short cooking videos and recipe cards [38,46,47]. As a form of qualitative research, the focus group is ideal for examining the experiences, beliefs, needs, and concerns of individuals [48]. Visual elicitation stimuli, in this case recipe cards and videos, were used to provide discussion points [49]. Visual elicitation stimuli are artefacts used in interviews such as drawings, photographs and video-clips [50], which enable insight that may not be possible with verbal discussion alone [51]. The use of visual elicitation stimuli is common in user centered design as it allows prototypes to be discussed [38,46].

Focus groups were facilitated by two of the female authors, active researchers of qualitative studies, with doctorates using a standardized moderator guide. Three 90-minute sessions were conducted in the university with a mix of males and females in each group. There were 5 participants in each focus group. Open-ended focus group questions explored perceived motivators to home food preparation to assess attitudes to commonly perceived barriers and enablers to home cooking and motivation to cook with vegetables [52]. While we did not present an in-depth discussion of these barriers and enablers in this paper due to space limitations, we used them to inform our final recipe cards and videos. Next, five prototype recipes and videos were presented in varying order to avoid order bias. An open group discussion was held regarding the acceptability of the videos asking participants to share their likes and dislikes. Questions regarding cooking and vegetable consumption were raised throughout the session as deemed appropriate to maintain the conversation's natural flow. No further sessions were arranged, as no new concepts were generated in the third group. Thus, we stopped data collection at theoretical saturation [53,54]. Examples of final cooking videos were shown across 3 follow-up focus groups with another group of young participants (n=19) for pilot testing. One focus group moderator led an open group discussion regarding acceptability of the final videos, asking participants to share their likes, dislikes, and overall feelings about the videos seen. The main ideas were summarized.

Focus group discussions were audio and video recorded and transcribed into electronic format via Microsoft Word. Following this, all the data was migrated to a Microsoft Excel worksheet and thematic analysis of the transcripts was conducted [55]. Thematic analysis of transcripts was conducted by one researcher and independently moderated by other two researchers. An deductive thematic analysis approach was taken to analyze the focus group discussions, where the data was read and interpreted to generate codes related to the key aims of the study (barriers and enablers to vegetable cooking and consumption, and recipe and video format and characteristics)[56] , as such, our process adhered to template analysis (a form of thematic analysis)[57,58]. Template analysis is a broad and flexible approach which utilizes a prior codes related to the research objective or aims [58] Firstly, the transcripts were read to familiarize oneself with the data, then a coding template was created, based initially on a prior codes related to the research aims, which was then followed by an iterative process where codes and themes were modified, created, or deleted throughout the analytical and reflective process of coding [58], this process was particularly aided with discussions between the researchers and reflection on the literature.

To ensure trustworthiness, the coding process was discussed with all the authors and continual discussions took place to understand and clarify the relationships between codes and themes[59].

Credibility was addressed through triangulation (i.e., triangulation using quotes from different participants) [59], while thick descriptions and quotes from the focus groups were used to increase the transferability of the findings to other contexts/individuals . The audit trail discussed above also provides greater trustworthiness [59].

3. Results

Firstly, we discuss the characteristics of the participants, followed by the barriers and enablers to vegetable consumption and cooking.

Of the 15 focus group participants, 6 (41%) were male; and half (54%) were current students, 29% were past students or employees, 13% were current students also working for the university, and 4% were current employees. Many lived with flat-mates, and a few lived with their partners, parents, or in a catered residence (i.e., meals are provided). One participant was a mother who lived with her husband and children. The cultural backgrounds also varied, including NZ European, Asian, South Asian, and one Middle Eastern. All were native English speakers.

3.1. Barriers

3.1.1. Cooking confidence

The majority (n=14) appeared to be confident cooking familiar dishes, and either avoided taking the 'risk' of experimenting with new recipes: *"[I am] risk averse … I just have recipes that I just alternate in my head" (Participant 4C),* or would take the risk in the absence of the barriers discussed in the following themes, such as time and cost: *"It depends on how much time we have, … the other thing I wanted to say ... that's when the inventiveness comes in, when it's really really cheap and you bought it without even knowing how you're going to use it…" (Participant 1C).* As a result, ingredients used in the recipe represent a major factor influencing interest in a recipe, some expressed a lack of confidence with excluding/substituting undesirable ingredients, and a sense of obligation to use the listed ones as diverting away from them would render the recipe unsuccessful.

3.1.2. Price

Price was frequently reported to be the most importance barrier influencing the shopping behavior. A few (n=6) expressed a preference to shop at a local supermarket known to supply products at lower prices, and two emphasized that low prices and promotions motivate them to try unfamiliar products. Cost was also a major barrier to utilizing convenience services, such as online shopping and meal-box services (seven participants). *"If it's big sale signs, cheap or 'Reduced to clear'. Yeah, Pak'n'save sometimes be like '99 cents week', SO good! (Participant 4A).* Many (n=10) reported changing their shopping behavior in response to seasonal price changes. Frozen vegetables were noted to be cheaper sometimes. As a result, participants discussed how inaccessible ingredients are deterring (i.e., not readily available at home/shops or expensive),*"The list of ingredients I think to some extent would put me off just because there's too many things to buy" (Participant 2B).*

3.1.3. Shared living spaces

Sharing storage spaces with flat mates (e.g., fridge) limits how much food and vegetables can be purchased and the quantities of meals the individual can prepare and store for future consumption. Sharing the kitchen space when many want to cook around the same time was also noted to exert pressure on the individual, leading them to compromise on things like the healthiness of food in favor of time as shown in these comments. *"…you have to cook very fast and it tends to be not the most healthiest because there's five people that want to cook around at the same time…" (Participant 1A).*

3.1.4. Busy schedule

Most participants (n=13) agreed that the lack of time due to the university/work schedule is a major barrier to cooking, to enjoying it, and to experimenting with new recipes. Many (n=13) noted

that would trial new recipes if they have spare time (e.g., in the holidays), but would otherwise default to cooking the same recipes they are familiar with. University/work commitments limit the time available to cook as reported in these comments: *"It's just the time with uni and everything. The time with uni is like the biggest problem…" (Participant 3A).*

Many (n=11) consistently expressed that vegetable preparation (e.g., chopping and slicing) was time and energy consuming. Some noted using pre-chopped frozen vegetables to overcome this and provide convenience. One also noted frozen vegetables to be even easier to cook (e.g., microwavable), while another expressed that she opts for salads, which require no cooking. However, half of the participants reported finding vegetables easy to cook, particularly when compared to meat. This means that many versatile cooking methods can be used (e.g., boiling, baking): *"Vegetables are pretty easy to cook, like if you're just going to boil them or put it in the oven, not too difficult" (Participant 3C)*, with minimal risk of food poisoning associated with undercooked meat: *"…with vegetables you don't always have to have them cooked, like when I'm cooking chicken and stuff I'm always worried that I'm going to undercook it, because obviously you can get food poisoning from that…" (Participant 4A).*

There was a consensus that recipes requiring minimal equipment are desired, such those requiring one pot/pan to combine and cook all the ingredients. This was noted to provide convenience (i.e., less effort) and saves on the preparation and clean-up time. Recipes that consist of multiple components that need to be prepared separately and assembled to produce the final dish were deemed relatively more ''complicated and troublesome''. For example, one stated: *"There just seem [s to be] too many steps, I was so confused at what was going [on]" (participant 3C, Recipe 5).* Some (n=5) participants also expressed a preference for recipes that require no heat and/or reheating. Recipes requiring minimal effort (e.g., few equipment, no heat) are preferred by the participants.

3.2. Enablers

3.2.1. Enjoyment

Many (n=12) perceived cooking as a positive experience and described it as enjoyable, relaxing, engaging, and rewarding. However, some emphasized that this is only the case in the absence of pressure from barriers. For example, one stated: *"I do like it but only if I have time" (Participant 7C).* Cooking with others, particularly friends, was also deemed fun and reduces the preparation time. Six participants also noted that cooking for other people/special occasions (e.g., birthdays) is rewarding and justifies cooking complex recipes that require longer time *"… if you're cooking with friends, that will make it more enjoyable… if you're doing it with friends it's ok, but if I'm going to do it on my own it's time consuming" (Participant 4C).*

3.2.2. Batch cooking

Around a third of the participants stated batch cooking regularly, meaning cooking food in excess (i.e., for more than one meal) and storing it in the fridge or freezer to be consumed in the future. Many cook in batches to reduce the following barriers: time, price, shared storage and kitchen spaces, vegetable spoilage, vegetable preparation time, and helps with eating healthy: *"It saves time on the cooking, you do one-time cooking, get two meals out of it" (Participant 1C).*

3.2.3. Health and Nutrition

For most (n=12), health as a factor was at least as important as price when grocery shopping and was noted to motivate them to cook at home instead of opting for fast-food and takeaways. Two participants perceived healthier food products to be more expensive, one of which stated that a *"…bigger salary…" (Participant 1A)* would allow her to prioritize health over price. The participants consistently expressed an appeal for healthy recipes. Their perception of how healthy a recipe was influenced by the ingredients used (e.g., recipe 3 was deemed unhealthy due to the use of noodles), and by the health and diet trends (e.g., the words 'paleo', 'buddha', 'turmeric', and 'Mediterranean' in some recipes were associated with health).

3.2.4. Grocery shopping appeal

Grocery shopping was overwhelming to two, one of which opts for online shopping to avoid going during rush hours, particularly when there is no plan of what to purchase or cook in the upcoming week. The majority, however, reported enjoying grocery shopping and feeling inspired by the different products. Pre-planning at least part of what to purchase before going appeared to be a common practice, with the remaining purchases being influenced by other factors such as sales and promotions. The majority enjoy grocery shopping but two find it overwhelming. Preplanning what to purchase for the week is helpful as suggested: *"I just like seeing the different products you can get, sort of makes you feel inspired sometimes, if you see new things" (Participant 7A).*

3.2.5. Taste

While taste was acknowledged to be an important factor, many (n=10) agreed that it could be compromised on while shopping in favor of other factors (such as price), as it can be enhanced during cooking using other ingredients (e.g., spices). *"Taste? Oh, it's very important. Yeah, but you can buy the plain ingredients and make it tasty with spices and stocks and sauces and stuff, once you're confident, you know?" (Participant 1B).* As a result, the recipe ingredients represent a major factor determining the individual's interest in a recipe, for example, personal taste/ dietary/cultural preferences influence the acceptability of a recipe.

3.2.6. Social media and influence

Around half mentioned learning to cook from their family, primarily the parents. Half also learn recipes from their friends. There was a consensus that online sources (e.g., Google, YouTube, and Instagram) are frequently used to learn new recipes and to research unfamiliar ingredients. *"I've actually learned most of my cooking from YouTube" (Participant 3C).*

Three reported being influenced by celebrity chef shows, while five were deterred by them due to the recipes often being too difficult or requiring inaccessible ingredients. Parents, friends, and the internet influenced the individual's cooking. Celebrity chef shows attracted some and deterred others. *"…I was watching Master Chef so and then, one of the judges was making pumpkin Gnocchi, and so I decided to try that out…" (Participant 7C).*

3.3. Recipe cards and videos

Figure 1 displays the themes and codes related to the preferred (appealing) format and characteristics of recipes (written and videos).


Figure 1. Themes and codes related to the preferred (appealing) format and characteristics of recipes (written and videos).

We also mapped the different elements of the recipe card and video design to the behavior change framework, COM-B model, these can be seen in Table 2.

Table 2. Elements linkages to COM-B model.

Element	C	O	M
Recipe card			
Design			
Minimalism			x
Light colors			x
Short			x
Photos			x
Steps	x		
Location			x
Close-up			x
Information			
Allergy info	x		
Title			x
Clear and adequate	x		
Cooking and storage tips	x		
Difficulty level	x		
Serving size	x	x	
Time prep	x	x	
Cost per serve	x	x	
Ingredients substitutes	x		
Nutrition			
Protein, sugar, or fat	x		x
Small size font			x
Top location of card			x
Number of vegetables per serve	x		x
Video			
Format			x
Video vs card			x
Short video			x
Visualize	x		x
Film			
Birds eye			x
Clean, props, décor	x		x
How to prep	x		
Video sounds			
Music			x
Voice over			x
Graphics			
Sequential ingredients	x		
Text included	x		
Font size			x
Text speed			x
Show final product at beginning	x		x
Speed up			x
List of ingredients at start			x

Where possible, we link these themes to the barriers and enablers for vegetable cooking identified by focus group and whether these address capabilities, opportunities, or motivation.

3.4. Formative user-testing of recipe cards

The following themes emerged concerning what the participants deemed to be important aspects of the recipe cards: (1) design, (2) recipe information, and (3) nutrition information.

3.4.1. Design

In terms of the color scheme, the majority disliked a dark background and preferred a white/light-colored background. The green color was also associated with health and generally appealed to most. A minimalistic design (i.e., the inclusion of minimal text and/or visual information) was desirable and enhanced motivation. Notably, while the recipe card appearance was consistently noted to be important, two participants expressed that this can be compromised to an extent provided that the recipe card provides clear and adequate information required to replicate the recipe.

Participant 6C: "…t*he presentation doesn't matter that much because you're mostly there to find out the recipe*"

Participant 7C: "*yeah no, essentially you just want to know how to make it yourself*".

Including an appetizing photograph of the meal is one of the most important features, as it serves to capture the person's attention and determines their interest in cooking the recipe, thus affecting motivation. The photo may also overcome negative taste perceptions.

"*If there's no photo so it doesn't motivate me to make it*" (Participant 1A).

The food must also be visible, meaning the photo should be large enough, well-located (ideally at the top as opposed to the bottom), not covered by text, and up-close. The availability of visual cues, such as images illustrating the desired outcome at each step of the recipe, enables participants to effectively comprehend the subsequent actions they need to take. Thus, this increased perceived capability. Most of the participants wanted the inclusion of additional photos of the steps and ingredients in the recipe card. Such directions would allow users to overcome barriers to the perceived cooking burden, ingredient appeal, and lack of convenience and cooking confidence.

Some (n=6) preferred a shorter version (all information on one page) as it is more convenient and motivating and because any additional photos are deterring and visually overwhelming. Interestingly, one noted that a more extended version of the template implied that the recipe would be longer and more complicated. Notably, one participant indicated that the photos of the steps, but not the ingredients, were helpful.

"*I like those photos of the method, but I think those [ingredients] aren't really necessary*" (Participant 7A).

3.4.2. Recipe information

The majority (n=12) stressed the importance of providing clear information and adequate details to enhance motivation, the lack of which can cause anxiety, and uncertainty, and makes the recipe difficult to understand.

"*…how do you know when the Kumara is cooked? What consistency? should you poke test it? Should you bite into it? How do people know that? And that's one of the anxieties around cooking, when is it ready? ... especially cooking with meat, I'm like [gasp] is it ready? Is it overdone? … I remember trying to teach a 22-year-old to cook, and she was SUPER anxious, she's like: I don't know if it's ready and how long should it be in there for …*" (Participant 1B).

For some (n=8), the title appeared to be as important as the final product photo when it comes to determining their interest in the recipe. The title should be clear, concise, and informative (i.e., includes the key ingredients characterizing the recipe). For example, one participant stated that a recipe titled 'marvelous meatballs' was deceiving as fish was used. "*I find the title a bit misleading because it says meatballs, but it's made of fish, so I'd probably say fish-balls*" (Participant 7C). Specifying

whether the recipe is suitable for different dietary preferences such as allergies was deemed important by most participants, and was suggested to be presented visually using symbols, ticks, or icons instead of statements for a minimalistic design.

Cooking and storage tips in the recipe cards were considered helpful by some enhancing capability. These tips aim to make the cooking process simpler and more efficient and provide information on how to store the cooked recipe for longer periods safely. A few (n=9) suggested including the difficulty level in the recipe card, meaning whether the recipe is suitable for people with beginner, intermediate, or advanced cooking experience/skills. Overall, this provided more confidence to participants in their ability to cook the recipe.

> *"Maybe here it could have commentated like, writing like uh, how long does it take to prepare,*
>
> *what's the level of difficulty, is it easy to bake, things like that" (Participant 3C)*

Five participants also voiced that it is helpful to include the time needed to prepare the recipe, with one stating that they would only consider making the recipe if this information was available. Around half of the participants found it helpful to include the number of serves or portions the recipe caters for. Most participants believed that recipe cards should be including an estimated cost per portion of food, as opposed to 'total cost', which was perceived to be expensive and unappealing. Such additions created both enhanced capability and opportunity by overcoming the barriers of increased cost and time and thereby also providing the knowledge of how long the meal should take and what the meal should 'produce' (i.e., serving size). *"I like how it does have the cost on it. Like an estimated cost." (Participant 1A)*

The majority (n=11) also discussed the need to include a list of ingredient substitutes, particularly ones that are not readily available or not commonly used (e.g., tahini, blood orange, sesame oil). This was noted to provide flexibility and enhance confidence in modifying the recipe. Overall, all these suggested tips and tricks try to address the perceived cooking burden, cooking/preparation time, ingredient appeal, lack of convenience, and cooking confidence.

> *"I think it actually depends on the person because if you're a person who's trying to lose weight*
>
> *and if you're obese or something or you have diabetes or something then you'd probably be more*
>
> *focused on these things. For us, we're generally healthy so we usually look at something that's*
>
> *appealing and not too unhealthy I think?" (Participant 7C).*

3.4.3. Nutrition Information

Some (n=6) participants reported using nutrition information panels (NIPs) for one or two nutrients (mainly protein, sugar, or fat), and around half noted that including it in the recipe card would not pertain to them as they are unable to interpret it without reference values, with two expressing interests in education information on how to do so. While none opposed the inclusion of NIP for those who need it, there was a consensus that it should not be too large (taking over a quarter of the recipe card, and ideally reserve the important locations (e.g., top) for more valuable information (e.g., photos). Interestingly, however, a couple of participants implied that emphasizing the NIP by enlarging it or placing it at the top implies that the recipe targets health-conscious people.

> *"It's interesting to have this, you know, sort of expanded out in your face. Even though I might*
>
> *not read it, it feels like if the people who made this recipe are confident enough to bold it and kind*
>
> *of zoom it out, they're confident enough to say that it's healthy enough for us to do that [recipe].*
>
> *That's kind of the impression I get" (Participant 2B).*

Including the number of vegetable servings, the recipe provides was deemed important to some participants. Despite the majority seeming to lack knowledge of the recommended intake, including this information can be a motive to cook the recipe. *"I don't really, but if you show me [that] this is a good serving, then it'll make me feel even better [Facilitator: like a bonus] Yeah" (Participant 5A).*

There was a consensus that information such as 'how many calories the recipe contains' is not necessary and is of no interest to the participants, who perceived it to be targeting people with health conditions or those aiming to lose weight.

3.5. User-testing of cooking videos

The first theme (1) 'format preference' relates to the participant's perceptions of the video format relative to the traditional infographics. Three additional themes emerged relating to the features that appealed to the participants: (2) 'video sounds', (3) 'filming', and (4) 'graphics.

3.5.1. Format Preference

Some (n=4) participants indicated that stand-alone cooking videos without any recipe cards would suffice, but only if they include sufficient information. This was seen to enhance motivation. *"I think in a way yes, but also if there was a little, like, description, maybe a list with the ingredients at least, that would be good" (Participant 2A).* Many (n=11) participants noted the video version helped improve their confidence in cooking the recipes shown. *"I think the video improved it, like: 'oh it's not that hard. When you see something done it's like oh yeah, it's easier" (Participant 1A).*

Participants noted that cooking videos that help with visualizing the steps and presenting them sequentially (i.e., one by one) improve the understanding of the cooking instructions. Seeing the desired colors, textures, and consistencies, provides validation and removes doubts about whether the steps are followed properly. However, the recipe cards need to accompany the videos so the steps can be followed while simultaneously watching the video.

The videos ranged in length from 1 to 3 minutes. More than half of the participants reported preferring short videos as it helps retain their interest and attention. The appropriate video length suggestions ranged from 1 to 4 minutes, though some perceived videos exceeding 2 minutes to be too long. The length of the video initially provides a 'hook' to (continue) watch, but the length also provides some indication of convenience and cooking time.

> *"It was quite long [video length: 2.5mins], compared to the Black Bean Chili [video length: 1min].*
> *If I saw that on Instagram or something I wouldn't have watched the whole thing, just halfway,*
> *because my attention for that [is] not that long" (Participant 3A).*

Notably, four participants said that they would be interested in watching a longer, non-sped-up version when they are preparing the recipe to provide them with more detailed, step-by-step instructions. Such longer videos seem to help those who are less confident cooks. *"If I'm interested to find out how to actually properly do it then I'd probably click the full thing [i.e., full video]" (Participant 7C).*

3.5.2. Video Sounds

In terms of music and voice-over for the video, none of the participants opposed the use of music, but the majority frequently commented on the appeal of (i.e., liked, or disliked) the music choice in the video, indicating its importance, especially in capturing attention and motivating to use the recipes. Some noted that the videos would benefit from a voice-over to provide additional information (e.g., cooking tips, ingredient suggestions). Most, however, voiced that including a voice-over with music and/or text instructions would be overwhelming and deterring.

> *"I feel like if I have visual information, especially when they're labelling things as you go, and*
> *then on top of that if I also have audio cues it just becomes a bit too overwhelming. I'd like to*
> *follow one thing at a time, and if they're showing me exactly what to do and labelling it as well,*
> *having additional voice over just seems a bit too much" (Participant 2B).*

3.5.3. Filming

In terms of film angles, the birds-eye angle appealed to most as it emphasizes the food and its appearance over the person preparing it. Thus, overcoming the lack of perceived appeal of vegetables and cooking as well as increasing the perceived taste of the meal.

> *"I really like how it was zoomed in as opposed to, like, zoomed out and having a person go 'oh*
> *today I'm going to teach you this'. Like it was really focused on the food. And the first shot itself*

when you focus on, you know, those chickpeas, it makes you kind of hungry, makes you more

interested in knowing what's to come" (Participant 2B).

Using appropriate props, decorations, and clean working surfaces are important for the participants, providing something 'nice' to look at and thus, increasing motivation to use the recipe. The ingredient preparation process (e.g., vegetable chopping) was deemed to be helpful, particularly for unfamiliar ingredients. Such advice helped provide confidence to those who lacked the skills to prepare vegetables.

"There's too much missing though, and like, where are these magical zucchini ribbons coming

from? And how do you make them? The tomato's diced, it doesn't say canned, how do you make

these diced tomatoes? I mean we know this but young people who are inexperienced won't"

(Participant 1B)

3.5.4. Graphics

The videos included instructions in text format, which three participants explicitly stated to be important, particularly in the absence of voice-over instructions. The text is also important as videos on social media such as Facebook and Instagram may not have the audio on and thus, people watching the video on the bus only read the text. The text also aided the clarity of instructions, increasing participants perceived confidence in cooking the meal.

"Also, I really like how they labelled the food items as they were putting it in. I'd like to follow

one thing at a time, and like if they're showing me exactly what to do and labelling it as well"

(Participant 2B).

As with the recipe card, some commented on the font's importance to be clear and easy to read. Six participants also reported the text speed to be important, as they were unable to read the full text sometimes due to it being too long and/or disappearing too quickly. There was a consensus that it is important to show the final product (i.e., a pre-prepared dish) in the beginning, and for the food itself to look appetizing, as it captures the viewers' attention and forms their decision to watch and learn the recipe.

"If I see delicious food that first image of the video maybe it appeals [to] me to see the video, check

it out and see how can I cook it. But if the food is not delicious at the first look, I will not continue"

(Participant 6A).

The ingredients' names and quantities were shown sequentially as they were being used in the videos. Participants suggested also supplementing the video with an ingredients list at the beginning of the video. This ingredient list helped assess the recipe and its level of difficulty, enhancing the motivation to cook the recipe. There was a consensus about speeding up the videos, particularly the repetitive actions where real speed would be non-informative (and thus, losing interest). Again, related to the timing of the videos, participants noted the lack of time to watch videos initially to capture attention. *"I quite like those videos that are quite sped up … because people are really impatient…"* *(Participant 1B).*

3.6. Final recipe and video development

Based on the focus group results, thirteen cooking videos suitable for sharing on social media pages were produced. These final recipes were developed to be simple, quick, affordable, and healthy using readily available ingredients to address the barriers the participants reported. These aimed to include sufficient details to allow for the recipe to be replicated by watching the video alone, with the complimentary infographic made available if further details are needed. The videos were all under 2 minutes long and included the features described in Table 3, which were based on the findings from the focus group discussions. Many were also made suitable for breakfast and lunch as these were previously noted to be more challenging for incorporating vegetables [60].

Table 3. The features of the videos developed to reflect what appeals to young adults.

Feature	Description	Screenshot (where applicable)
	Filming	
Birdseye angle	▪ The food was primarily filmed from the point of view of the person preparing it.	
Props and backgrounds	▪ Decorations and props were used to ensure that the background and food are visually appealing.	
	Graphics	
Final product shot	▪ Shown as the first shot in the video. ▪ Professional food styling was done to ensure the food is visually appealing.	
Recipe information*	▪ The number of servings, vegetable serves, preparation time, and the cost per serve were deemed important in discussions about the infographic. These were included in the video to minimize the need for the written version by those who prefer the video format.	
Ingredient's list	▪ A list of all the ingredients was included prior to starting the preparation to allow the viewer to easily review them and take a screenshot of the list if needed.	
Fast speed	▪ The videos were sped up	N/A
Length	▪ All videos were under 2 minutes long.	N/A

Text instructions	▪ Standard font type and color were used to ensure that it is readable. ▪ Instructions were aimed to be concise.	

Figure 2. The final design and presentation features of the recipe cards to reflect what appeals to young adults.

3.7. Acceptability of cooking videos

Qualitative feedback on the cooking videos was organized under themes. Overall, feedback on the videos was mixed. Most participants (n=12) appreciated the inclusion of price per serve and the omission of energy content, whilst others commented on the realistic nature of the videos and praised the 'quite normal' ingredients used compared to other cooking videos that are common across social media. Other positive feedback included the "delicious looking" food, the completeness of information provided, and the accessibility of the recipes shown.

"[The price] reminds people that it's not expensive to prepare your own food. And when I see that, I'm encouraged. I think that really helps because a lot of people say that money is a barrier to eating healthy. And so, it kind of shows that can help to mitigate that barrier" [Young Adult 5].

"I absolutely loved these ones. I feel like out of the three slides you have shown us, this is the one that would actually force me to take action" [Young Adult 17].

Consensus on the length of the videos was they were slightly too long and could be trimmed to retain attention. One young woman acknowledged the saturation of similar cooking videos across social media, whilst another stated the music used as "annoying and distracting", adding that it is

"giving BuzzFeed". Participants suggest having the recipe in the caption or comments as well as the video to enhance usability, as well as a descriptive title such as "Food in a Minute" that flashes at the start of the video so that viewers know what to expect. Another helpful suggestion included the rewording the serving size from "serves four" to "serves up to four" to be more inclusive. Finally, there were concerns over the Euro-centric repackaging of recipes. To combat this, participants suggest that recipes should be prepared in a culturally appropriate manner, reflective of the culture the food(s) belong to.

"When I was watching this video, I was laughing because I realised I lost attention to this video and that happens because there are so many cooking videos on the internet, and it only caught my interest back because of the money" [Young Adult 7].

"The only thing I would say is like I wouldn't retain all of that information – I feel like, say, if it was posted to Instagram, I'd really like having the recipe and instructions in the captions or in the comments" [Young Adult 13].

4. Discussion

Young adults have the lowest rates of meeting dietary guidelines [4] [5] [6] [7]. Adhering to dietary guidelines have a minimal impact on the environment, contribute to food security, and promote a healthy life for present and future generations [61]. Given that young adults lack culinary skills, especially knowledge of practical ways to utilize vegetables [12–14]. It is important to address this issue as dietary habits established during the formative years after leaving home often persist into adulthood [10,11]. Additional research is warranted to understand how to encourage and facilitate vegetable cooking among this demographic [13].

The objective of this study was to understand the major factors influencing the usability and desirability of written recipes and cooking videos for young adults, with specific attention to how these elements can help overcome barriers and enhance enablers. Both the video and written recipes represent a quick, convenient, and appealing resource that can be accessed by young adults practically anywhere. We make several contributions to the education of young adults and dissemination of information in the context of vegetable preparation and cooking skills.

We demonstrate the importance of a user-centered design approach to interventions in healthy cooking behaviors, namely vegetables. The importance of user-centered design is becoming increasingly important to create digital health interventions [62]. Utilizing user-centered design provides researchers the ability to determine the attitudes, values, interests, and capabilities of the target audience and is more likely to provide an 'acceptable' intervention tool [62]. Using user-centered design, we were able to provide unique insight into what the target audience (young adults) desired from cooking videos and recipe cards.

Our research provides several suggestions about the format and presentation of videos and recipe cards as discussed in more detail below. To address the barriers and help stimulate interest (enablers) the focus group discussions provide key insights into how cooking with vegetables is experienced and how recipe cards and videos may help. Overall, we find that recipe cards and cooking videos must be easily accessible, simple, and minimal, quick, and appealing with adequate information and extra tips. They must also provide nutritional, cost, and time-saving information that appeals to young adults and aim to address their perceived barriers to cooking with vegetables.

Firstly, using the COM-B framework we demonstrate the importance of providing elements in the recipe card and video which appeal to capabilities, opportunities, and motivation. As one example, using behavioral modeling in terms of watching people cook increases self-efficacy in these learned skills and may enhance motivation to make behavioral changes[13] and is one of the 93 behavior change techniques that inform the COM-B model [39]. The visual appeal of the video, recipe card, and final meal image also enhanced the motivation to cook the meal. Overall, it is important to use behavior change models in social marketing and health interventions to enhance effectiveness, surprisingly there is still a lack of integration with one study finding that 89% of health interventions appear not to be based on theory [63].

Secondly, the findings show the importance of both recipe cards and videos. The videos and recipe cards have the potential for improving the capability (COM-B) by building skills and increasing motivation through their visual appeal[13].   The participants expressed an appeal for both recipe formats (i.e., written and video versions). However, the videos were noted to confer several advantages over the recipe card, such as visualization [28,64], reassurance, and convenience (i.e., played at their own pace), enabling the learning of new skills or reinforcing existing skills [28,64]. The written version remains important to the participants as other research demonstrates [28,64].

Thirdly, our findings lead to important implications for designing recipe cards. The research demonstrates the importance of simplicity and minimalism (minimal visual/written information), a clear and easy-to-read layout/text, and short length, which was associated with a simpler recipe. All of this was able to enhance motivation to try the recipe. Young adults in another study expressed an appeal for a simple app interface and noted having a lot of information to be discouraging [13], which can be explained by the concept of cognitive overload (i.e., processing too much information)[27]. The photo of the food was also found to be of extreme import to provide an effective appeal for trying the recipe. Moreover, the information provided on the recipe card demonstrated that the young adult participants wanted to know the difficulty level, time prep, serving size, vegetable servings, cost and possible ingredient substitute [13,27]. They also wanted to know the nutritional content, focusing mainly on protein, sugar, and fat but wanted these displayed in small text to not focus too much on being 'healthy' (as this was perceived to detract from its attractiveness) while calories were deemed not important [65].

Fourthly, the findings also have important implications for designing videos. The visual appearance of food is highly important and essential to attract interest in   the recipe [31]. Thus, a food styler was employed to ensure the foods presented in the videos were appetizing. This was also reinforced by the participants who discussed the importance of visuals to enhance motivation and capability. As found in previous research, the birds-eye angle was appealing as it focuses on the food [13]. The participants emphasized showing the food in a still-shot at the beginning of the video and in a photograph on the recipe card to capture attention and enhance its appeal. But there must be a balance between looking appetizing and appealing but not too complex, as young adults in another study preferred homemade-looking dishes over gourmet ones [27]. Additionally, the participants found voice-overs (in addition to text captions and music) to be helpful[13], while only a few others found this to be overwhelming [27]. This is also explained by cognitive overload from processing multiple sensory information (visual, audio, and text) [27] and simple visual content can be understood without audio [66]. Speeding up the videos to keep them short (under 2 minutes) but with sufficient information to understand the steps was highly desirable, especially as it retained attention [35]. Videos exceeding two minutes were deemed too long by some participants, which raises a question about some studies' reference to longer videos as 'short' (e.g., 6 minutes) [65]. Providing too much information is unmotivating while providing too little information will fall short of improving cooking confidence [28]. Further, there may also be a need in 'hooking' attention while individuals are scrolling through social media, thus, future research may consider the role of two videos – one for attention, one for following while cooking. Thus, interventions must pay special attention to the visual and audio appeal of video content.

The final cooking videos in this study were generally well received by all participants. The price-per-serving aspect of the cooking videos was considered beneficial because it highlighted that preparing healthy meals can be cost-effective, countering the notion that healthy eating is expensive which is a barrier to cooking with vegetables for young adults [67]. Participants commented positively on the realistic nature of the videos. They noted that the ingredients used were "quite normal," which likely made the recipes more relatable and achievable for them. The participants found the food presented in the videos to be "delicious looking," which could contribute to their motivation to try the recipes [67]. Additionally, the completeness of the information provided in the videos was appreciated, indicating that comprehensive instructions and details are crucial for user engagement [68]. Accessibility was considered a positive aspect of the videos. It's important for cooking resources to be accessible to a wide audience [69], and this feedback suggests that the videos

achieved that goal. There was a consensus that the videos were slightly too long, and participants suggested that shorter videos would be more effective in retaining their attention. Attention span is a critical factor in online content [70], and shorter videos tend to perform better which will be tested in future studies involving these cooking videos.

Overall, this is one of a limited number of studies that utilized user-centred design of cooking videos for saliency and relatability [13,33], and the first to directly involve the target group in the development and tailoring of the videos and recipes. Additionally, this study included many non-Caucasian participants and almost half were males, both of which are underrepresented in many studies in the literature [13,28,33]. That said, the sample remains small and non-representative of the wider population, as it was obtained using convenience sampling from one university in an urban area of New Zealand. As such, the findings are not to be generalized. It might also be worthwhile to further subdivide this age group, as the opposite ends of this age range (i.e., 18-30) might have distinctive experiences (e.g., starting university compared to starting families). While there is evidence for positive improvements in the motivation and confidence in one's cooking skills [13,28], it is plausible that when it comes to the actual cooking skills, videos might be less effective than the hands-on and interactive cooking classes [34]. Thus, future research is needed to further explore the relative effectiveness of different types of cooking with vegetable education as well as examine the effectiveness of the videos and recipe cards designed in our study.

5. Conclusions

The findings presented in this research may serve as a guide for other researchers, public health professionals and marketers to design and pilot-test video-based nutrition education and identify whether these videos and recipe cards lead to improvements in attitudes and motivation which translate to change in intake. Participants made several suggestions to enhance the usability of the videos. These suggestions included having the recipe in the caption or comments for easy reference, using descriptive titles to set expectations, and rewording serving size descriptions to be more inclusive. The cooking videos and recipe cards resulting from this study will be tested as part of participatory co-design research with a more diverse sample of young adults. They will also be posted on social media platforms to see if they are well received using social media engagement metrics and whether they are an effective medium for reducing perceptions of barriers around cooking with vegetables.

References

1. Hartley, L., et al., *Increased consumption of fruit and vegetables for the primary prevention of cardiovascular diseases*. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2013(6).
2. Mytton, O.T., et al., *Systematic review and meta-analysis of the effect of increased vegetable and fruit consumption on body weight and energy intake*. BMC Public Health, 2014. **14**(1): p. 886.
3. Reynolds, C.J., et al., *Are the dietary guidelines for meat, fat, fruit and vegetable consumption appropriate for environmental sustainability? A review of the literature*. Nutrients, 2014. **6**(6): p. 2251-2265.

4.   Ministry of Health, *Annual Data Explorer 2020/21: New Zealand Health Survey*. 2021.

5.   Australian Bureau of Statistics *Australian Health Survey: Nutrition First Results - Food and Nutrients* 2011-12. **4364.0.55.007**.

6.   Bates, B., et al., *National diet and nutrition survey*. 2012, Department of Health London.

7.   Centers for Disease Control Prevention, *Fruit and vegetable consumption among adults--United States, 2005*. 2007. p. 213-217.

8.   Theodore, R., R. McLean, and L. TeMorenga, *Challenges to addressing obesity for Māori in Aotearoa/New Zealand.* Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2015. **39**(6): p. 509-12.

9.   Ministry of Health, *Annual Data Explorer 2018/19: New Zealand Health Survey*. 2019: Wellington, New Zealand.

10.   Larson, N.I., et al., *Fruit and vegetable intake correlates during the transition to young adulthood.* American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 2008. **35**(1): p. 33-37.

11.   Riddell, L.J., et al., *Impact of living arrangements and nationality on food habits and nutrient intakes in young adults.* Appetite, 2011. **56**(3): p. 726-731.

12.   Pelletier, J.E. and M.N. Laska, *Balancing Healthy Meals and Busy Lives: Associations between Work, School, and Family Responsibilities and Perceived Time Constraints among Young Adults.* Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior. **44**(6): p. 481-489.

13.   Nour, M., et al., *Short videos addressing barriers to cooking with vegetables in young adults: Pilot testing.* Journal of the American College of Nutrition, 2018. **37**(8): p. 724-730.

14.   Larson, N.I., et al., *Food Preparation by Young Adults Is Associated with Better Diet Quality.* Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 2006. **106**(12): p. 2001-2007.

15.   Larson, N., et al., *Predictors of Fruit and Vegetable Intake in Young Adulthood.* Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, 2012. **112**(8): p. 1216-1222.

16.   Hartman, H., et al., *Psychosocial determinants of fruit and vegetable consumption among students in a New Zealand university. Results of focus group interviews.* Appetite, 2013. **65**: p. 35-42.

17.   Graham, D.J., et al., *Perceived social-ecological factors associated with fruit and vegetable purchasing, preparation, and consumption among young adults.* Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, 2013. **113**(10): p. 1366-1374.

18.   Ha, E.-J. and N. Caine-Bish, *Effect of Nutrition Intervention Using a General Nutrition Course for Promoting Fruit and Vegetable Consumption among College Students.* Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, 2009. **41**(2): p. 103-109.

19.   Herbert, G., et al., *Young UK adults and the 5 A DAY campaign: perceived benefits and barriers of eating more fruits and vegetables.* International Journal of Consumer Studies, 2010. **34**(6): p. 657-664.

20.   de Borba, T.P., et al., *Self-efficacy in cooking and consuming fruits and vegetables among Brazilian university students: the relationship with sociodemographic characteristics.* British Food Journal, 2021.

21.   Menozzi, D., G. Sogari, and C. Mora, *Understanding and modelling vegetables consumption among young adults.* LWT - Food Science and Technology, 2017. **85**: p. 327-333.

22.   Hartmann, C., S. Dohle, and M. Siegrist, *Importance of cooking skills for balanced food choices.* Appetite, 2013. **65**: p. 125-131.

23.   Bernardo, G.L., et al., *Nutrition and Culinary in the Kitchen Program: a randomized controlled intervention to promote cooking skills and healthy eating in university students–study protocol.* Nutrition Journal, 2017. **16**(1): p. 1-12.

24.   Garcia, T., et al., *Development and implementation of a community health centre-based cooking skills intervention in Detroit, MI.* Public Health Nutrition, 2021. **24**(3): p. 549-560.

25.   Nour, M.M., A.S. Rouf, and M.J.A. Allman-Farinelli, *Exploring young adult perspectives on the use of gamification and social media in a smartphone platform for improving vegetable intake.* Appetite, 2018. **120**: p. 547-556.

26.   Murray, D.W., et al., *Culinary efficacy: an exploratory study of skills, confidence, and healthy cooking competencies among university students.* Perspectives in Public Health, 2016. **136**(3): p. 143-151.

27.   Bramston, V., A. Rouf, and M.J.N. Allman-Farinelli, *The development of cooking videos to encourage calcium intake in young adults.* Nutrients, 2020. **12**(5): p. 1236.

28.   Surgenor, D., et al., *The impact of video technology on learning: A cooking skills experiment.* Appetite, 2017. **114**: p. 306-312.

29.   Buykx, L.J.S.I., *Interactive cookbooks: how recipe format affects the cooking experience.* 2011: p. 35.

30.   Buykx, L. and H. Petrie. *What cooks needs from multimedia and textually enhanced recipes.* in *2011 IEEE International Symposium on Multimedia*. 2011. IEEE.

31.   Mechling, L.C., E.J.E. Stephens, and T.i.D. Disabilities, *Comparison of self-prompting of cooking skills via picture-based cookbooks and video recipes.* Education and Training in Developmental Disabilities, , 2009. **44**(2): p. 218-236.

32.   Danforth, S.K., et al., *Evaluation of format preference and effectiveness of vodcasts for recipedemonstrations and nutrition education.* Nutrition and Dietary Supplements, 2014. **6**: p. 85-91.

33.   Surgenor, D., et al., *The use of video to maximise cooking skills.* British Food Journal, 2021.

34.   Schaeffer, L. and J. Warren, *Will Culinary Videos Increase Dietetic Students' Culinary Skills and Food Knowledge?* Journal of Family & Consumer Sciences Education, 2013. **31**(1): p. 50-61.

35.   Kaesberg, Z., et al., *Effects of video technology on cooking self-efficacy of college students.* Journal of American College Health, 2021: p. 1-7.

36.   Ngqangashe, Y. and C.J. De Backer, *The differential effects of viewing short-form online culinary videos of fruits and vegetables versus sweet snacks on adolescents' appetites.* Appetite, 2021. **166**: p. 105436.

37.   Liu, E., et al., *Peer Reviewed: Marketing Strategies to Encourage Rural Residents of High-Obesity Counties to Buy Fruits and Vegetables in Grocery Stores.* Preventing Chronic Disease, 2017. **14**.

38.   Preuhs, K., et al., *A Tailored Web-Based Video Intervention (ParentCoach) to Support Parents With Children With Sleeping Problems: User-Centered Design Approach.* JMIR Form Res, 2022. **6**(4): p. e33416.

39.   Michie, S., M.M. van Stralen, and R. West, *The behaviour change wheel: A new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions.* Implementation Science, 2011. **6**(1): p. 42.

40.   Monk, A. *User-centred design.* in *International Conference on Home-Oriented Informatics and Telematics.* 2000. Springer.

41.   Biediger-Friedman, L., et al., *User-centered design of a Texas WIC app: a focus group investigation.* American journal of health behavior, 2016. **40**(4): p. 461-471.

42.   Pope, A.R., *Open Technical Writing: An Open-Access Text for Instruction in Technical and Professional Writing.* 2019.

43.   Lyon, A.R. and K. Koerner, *User-centered design for psychosocial intervention development and implementation.* Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice, 2016. **23**(2): p. 180.

44.   *Eating and Activity Guidelines for New Zealand Adults.* 2015, Ministry of Health: Wellington.

45.   Xyris, *FoodWorks 10 Professional.* 2019: Brisbane.

46.   Sungur, H., et al., *Development and Evaluation of a Digital Intervention for Fulfilling the Needs of Older Migrant Patients With Cancer: User-Centered Design Approach.* J Med Internet Res, 2020. **22**(10): p. e21238.

47.   *Findings from Texas State University Update Knowledge of Health Behavior (User-centered Design of a Texas WIC App: A Focus Group Investigation),* in *Health & Medicine Week.* 2017. p. 2160.

48.   Kitzinger, J., *Focus group research: using group dynamics.* Qualitative Research in Health Care, 2005. **56**: p. 70.

49.   Barton, K.C., *Elicitation techniques: Getting people to talk about ideas they don't usually talk about.* Theory & Research in Social Education, 2015. **43**(2): p. 179-205.

50.   Banks, M. and D. Zeitlyn, *Visual methods in social research.* 2015: Sage.

51.   Crilly, N., A.F. Blackwell, and P.J. Clarkson, *Graphic elicitation: using research diagrams as interview stimuli.* Qualitative research, 2006. **6**(3): p. 341-366.

52.   Jones, S.A., et al., *Perceived motivators to home food preparation: Focus group findings.* Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, 2014. **114**(10): p. 1552-1556.

53.   Guest, G., A. Bunce, and L. Johnson, *How many interviews are enough? An experiment with data saturation and variability.* Field methods, 2006. **18**(1): p. 59-82.

54.   Saunders, B., et al., *Saturation in qualitative research: exploring its conceptualization and operationalization.* Quality & Quantity, 2018. **52**(4): p. 1893-1907.

55.   Braun, V. and V. Clarke, *Using thematic analysis in psychology.* Qualitative Research in Psychology, 2006. **3**(2): p. 77-101.

56.   Fereday, J. and E. Muir-Cochrane, *Demonstrating rigor using thematic analysis: A hybrid approach of inductive and deductive coding and theme development.* International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 2006. **5**(1): p. 80-92.

57.   Brooks, J., et al., *The utility of template analysis in qualitative psychology research.* Qualitative research in psychology, 2015. **12**(2): p. 202-222.

58.   King, N., *Doing template analysis.* Qualitative organizational research: Core methods and current challenges, 2012. **426**(10.4135): p. 9781526435620.

59.   Creswell, J.W. and D.L. Miller, *Determining Validity in Qualitative Inquiry.* Theory Into Practice, 2000. **39**(3): p. 124-130.

60.   Livingstone, K.M., et al., *Exploring barriers to meeting recommendations for fruit and vegetable intake among adults in regional areas: A mixed-methods analysis of variations across socio-demographics.* Appetite, 2020. **153**: p. 104750.

61.   Larson, N., M.N. Laska, and D. Neumark-Sztainer, *Do young adults value sustainable diet practices? Continuity in values from adolescence to adulthood and linkages to dietary behaviour.* Public health nutrition, 2019. **22**(14): p. 2598-2608.

62.   Farao, J., et al., *A user-centred design framework for mHealth.* PloS one, 2020. **15**(8): p. e0237910.

63.   Prestwich, A., T.L. Webb, and M. Conner, *Using theory to develop and test interventions to promote changes in health behaviour: evidence, issues, and recommendations.* Current Opinion in Psychology, 2015. **5**: p. 1-5.

64.   Lavelle, F., et al., *The development and validation of measures to assess cooking skills and food skills.* International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 2017. **14**(1): p. 1-13.

65. Adam, M., et al., *Massive open online nutrition and cooking course for improved eating behaviors and meal composition.* Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 2015. **12**(1): p. 1-9.

66. Miura, K., et al. *Motion based automatic abstraction of cooking videos.* in *Proc. ACM Multimedia 2002 Workshop on Multimedia Information Retrieval.* 2002. Citeseer.

67. Munt, A., S. Partridge, and M. Allman-Farinelli, *The barriers and enablers of healthy eating among young adults: A missing piece of the obesity puzzle: A scoping review.* Obesity reviews, 2017. **18**(1): p. 1-17.

68. Dobrian, F., et al., *Understanding the impact of video quality on user engagement.* ACM SIGCOMM computer communication review, 2011. **41**(4): p. 362-373.

69. Terrenghi, L., O. Hilliges, and A. Butz, *Kitchen stories: sharing recipes with the Living Cookbook.* Personal and Ubiquitous Computing, 2007. **11**: p. 409-414.

70. Subramanian, K., *Product promotion in an era of shrinking attention span.* International Journal of Engineering and Management Research (IJEMR), 2017. **7**(2): p. 85-91.