

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

Molecular Basis of Mullerian Agensis Causing Congenital Uterine Factor Subfertility- a Systematic Review

[Rajani Dube](#)*, [Subhranshu Sekhar Kar](#), [Malay Jhancy](#), [Biji Thomas George](#)

Posted Date: 5 December 2023

doi: 10.20944/preprints202312.0249.v1

Keywords: Mullerian agenesis (MA); Uterine aplasia; Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser (MRKH) syndrome; Uterine agenesis; Molecular; Genetics



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Review

Molecular Basis of Mullerian Agenesis Causing Congenital Uterine Factor Subfertility—A Systematic Review

Rajani Dube ^{1,*}, Subhranshu Sekhar Kar ², Malay Jhancy ³ and Biji Thomas George ⁴

¹ Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, RAK College of Medical Sciences, RAKMHSU, UAE 1; rajani.dube@rakmhsu.ac.ae

² Department of Paediatrics and Neonatology, RAK College of Medical Sciences, RAKMHSU, UAE 2; subhransu.kar@rakmhsu.ac.ae

³ Department of Paediatrics and Neonatology, RAK College of Medical Sciences, RAKMHSU, UAE 3; jhancy@rakmhsu.ac.ae

⁴ Department of General Surgery, RAK College of Medical Sciences, RAKMHSU, UAE 4; biji@rakmhsu.ac.ae

* Correspondence: rajani.dube@rakmhsu.ac.ae; Tel.: (+971-551383304)

Abstract: Infertility affects around 1 in 5 couples in the world. Congenital absence of the uterus results in absolute infertility in females. Mullerian agenesis (MA) is the nondevelopment of the uterus. Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser (MRKH) syndrome is a condition of uterovaginal agenesis in the presence of normal ovaries and 46 XX Karyotype. With the advancement of reproductive techniques, having a biological offspring in women with MA is possible. The exact etiology of MA is unknown although several genes and mechanisms affect the development of Mullerian ducts. Through this systematic review of the available literature, we searched for the genetic basis of MA. The aims included identification of the genes, chromosomal locations, changes responsible for MA, and fertility options, in order to offer proper management and counseling to these women with MA. A total of 85 studies were identified through searches. Most of the studies identified multiple genes at various locations although the commonest involved chromosomes 1, 17, and 22. There is also conflicting evidence of the involvement of various candidate genes in the studies. The etiology of MA seems to be multifactorial and complex involving multiple genes, and mechanisms including various mutations and mosaicism.

Keywords: mullerian agenesis (MA); uterine aplasia; mayer-rokitansky-küster-hauser (MRKH) syndrome; uterine agenesis; molecular; genetics

1. Introduction

Infertility is defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as a disease of the male or female reproductive system resulting in failure to achieve a pregnancy after 12 months or more of regular unprotected sexual intercourse [1]. It can be “Primary” denoting those who have never become pregnant, or “Secondary” depicting those with the inability to conceive after at least one previous pregnancy [2]. Infertility affects millions of people worldwide [4]. The prevalence of infertility can vary throughout the world but generally affects around 1 in 5 couples [3]. Infertility can be caused by different factors in males, females, combined or even unexplained [4]. Common causes in females are diseases of the ovaries, fallopian tubes, uterus, endocrinal, genital tract dysbiosis or combined, and differ from country to country [5]. Similarly, it can commonly be obstruction of the tract, testicular failure of spermatogenesis, poor sperm quality, or endocrinal in males [4]. Uterine factor infertility (UFI) is defined as an absent uterus (Absolute UFI) or as a nonfunctional uterus (Non-Absolute UFI) [6]. Absolute UFI can be due to congenital absence of the uterus or due to a hysterectomy later [6]. UFI can affect about 1 in 500 women of reproductive age or up to 5% of the females, although the exact data is unknown [7,8].

Embryologically uterus, fallopian tubes, and upper part of the vagina develop from Mullerian ducts (MD) (or paramesonephric duct). The unfused cranial end of MDs remains separated and forms the fallopian tubes. The further cranial end of MDs from both sides fuse vertically to form the uterine body, cervix, and caudal part of MDs fuse to form the upper portion of the vagina. The vagina then canalizes and fuses with the embryonic cloaca to complete the vaginal canal [9,10]. Ovarian development in utero, on the other hand, is a complex process. Four components of ovaries namely the surface epithelium, ovarian stroma, primordial germ cells, and sex cords develop from coelomic epithelium, sub-coelomic mesoderm, yolk sac endoderm, and invagination of cortical coelomic epithelium, respectively [11]. Favorable conditions for optimal development of the female genital system are the presence of both functional X chromosomes (46 XX) and the absence of the SRY gene [9]. Various agents can influence embryogenesis to cause structural abnormalities. While drugs like diethylstilbestrol (DES), ionizing radiations and certain infections are known to be teratogenic, others may or may not have a role [12–14].

Müllerian agenesis (MA), (Mullerian aplasia, complete uterine aplasia) or Mayer–Rokitansky–Küster–Hauser (MRKH) syndrome, is a rare disorder with an incidence of 1 per 4,500–5,000 females [15]. Although not identical, MRKH and MA are interchangeably used in the literature. MRKH is further divided into two types. When there is an isolated Mullerian agenesis, with normal ovaries and without involvement of other organ systems, it is called Type-1 MRKH. MRKH Type-2 includes an absent uterus along with abnormalities in the tubes, ovaries, and urinary system. Type-2 also includes a severe form called MURCS (Müllerian duct aplasia, unilateral renal agenesis, and cervicothoracic somite anomalies) [15,16]. Although some consider MURCS as a separate class and classify MRKH into typical (type-1), atypical (type-2), and MURCS [17]. The exact cause of MA is largely unknown due to the heterogeneity in the published literature. The disorder was long considered to be sporadic [15]. As the interest in MRKH grew, there were many reported cases of familial occurrence. Hence, there emerged a subset of patients where an autosomal dominant inheritance with incomplete penetrance and variable expressivity was a definite probability [18,19]. Intrinsically it is not possible to study maternal inheritance of cases with MA because of the nature of MA, which produces absolute infertility. However, it is possible now due to advances in fertility treatment where having a biological child is highly possible [20]. Thus, there occurred a series of studies to search for candidate genes and specific genetic bases of MA. While earlier studies used microarrays or small gene panels/Sanger sequencing [21,22], the scenario has changed with the use of massively parallel sequencing, including whole exome sequencing. Newer methods have opened wider opportunities for the search for genetic causes of MA [23]. Furthermore, the studies in discordant monozygotic twins with only one twin having MA, support the role of environmental factors affecting the expressivity of the genetic abnormalities [24–32]. Hence, through this research, we aim to explore the genetic and molecular basis of MA. This will also help in counseling couples seeking newer treatment options for the parenthood of a biologically related offspring.

2. Methods

2.1. Search Strategy

A systematic search of Pubmed, Scopus, Web of Science, Embase, and Google Scholar electronic databases was done. Medical subject handling terms (MeSH) and free-text term keywords like Mayer–Rokitansky–Küster–Hauser syndrome; Mullerian agenesis; Uterine aplasia; Uterine agenesis were used in combination with gene, genome, genetic or molecular to search for data in January 2023. Thereafter manual update was done on a weekly basis till 10 August 2023. There was no starting date for the search. The references of relevant studies were also hand-searched if they did not belong to these databases.

2.2. Eligibility Criteria

2.2.1. Inclusion Criteria

Studied were included if they fulfilled all the following criteria-

1. English language articles or where English translation is available
2. Full-text articles reporting on human genes or genome or genetics or molecular basis
3. Contained information on Mullerian duct abnormality or Mullerian agenesis or Mullerian aplasia or Uterine aplasia or Mayer Rokitansky Kuster Hauser Syndrome.

All the eligible studies till August 2023 were included for review.

2.2.2. Exclusion Criteria

Exclusions consisted of animal studies, duplicated studies, review articles, non-genetic studies, articles in languages other than English where translation was not possible, and studies where full-text articles were only available on payment. Conference abstracts, expert opinions, and critical appraisals were also excluded.

2.3. Study Selection

After a thorough search of the databases, a total of 1308 results were retrieved. All the abstracts and study titles were screened and duplicates were removed. Furthermore, there were a total of 1226 studies excluded as they either did not fit the inclusion criteria, were only animal studies, included only vaginal agenesis, only abnormalities other than uterine agenesis, only gonadal dysgenesis, or did not explore the genetic basis of the disease. In a manual search of references, three case reports were found and included. Finally, 85 articles were included in the analysis. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) are as follows-




Figure 1. Prisma flow diagram for study inclusions.

2.4. Data Collection

All the authors (RD, SSK, MJ, BTG) reviewed all titles independently. The potential relevance of the studies to be included for review was agreed on by discussion on a regular basis. Selected titles and abstracts were further screened between studies to reject the overlap of cases. Full-text copies of the selected papers were obtained and the relevant data were extracted. In the case of individual case reports, if the same patient was included in more than one study with similar characteristics and findings, only the report with a larger number of patients was included. As far as possible, single case reports were cross-checked with other reports from the same location and hospital. The decision on exclusion or inclusion was decided by discussion if the time frame and characteristics of the reported cases matched from the same center. The risk of bias was not assessed due to the nature of the studies.

3. Results and Discussion

The studies included only cohorts, case reports, case series, or retrospective analyses of laboratory samples. The genetic analysis was done by various methods. Older studies relied on conventional Comparative Genomic Hybridization (CGH), Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), or Fluorescent In situ Hybridization (FISH), while most of the newer analyses were done by one of the following methods [33].

Array-CGH (aCGH)- This assay provides higher resolution than traditional CGH and is used as an alternative means of genome-wide screening for copy number variations (CNVs). It combines traditional CGH principles with microarray and thus is not dependent on actively dividing cells. An aCGH can simultaneously detect aneuploidies, deletions, duplications, and amplifications of any locus represented on an array, as well as submicroscopic chromosomal abnormalities [34].

Whole exon sequencing (WES)- It allows variations in the protein-coding region of any gene to be identified, rather than in only a select few genes. It is an efficient method for detecting CNVs in potential candidate genes to identify the abnormalities possibly causing disease, as most known mutations that cause disease occur in exons. However, the mutations in the regulatory factors coded outside exons can be missed [35].

Whole genome sequencing (WGS)- This is a more advanced technique based on massive genome sequencing and is not dependent on the availability of predefined databases for comparison and matching [36]. It can detect abnormalities in a wide spectrum of genes. However, it is expensive and requires complex analysis [37].

3.1. Genetic Basis of MA

A universally agreeable gene is yet to be found in the available evidence. There are elaborate investigations into candidate genes associated with MA. Out of the proposed genes, one or more were implicated in specific cohorts but none were found in all. It is rational to propose that the genes or regulators of genes essentially involved in Mullerian duct development are most likely to be involved in MA [38]. The WNT signaling pathway genes (WNT4, WNT9B), the HOX family genes, the LX1, HNF1B, and a few other candidate genes have been implicated by Mikhael et al. through WES, which was then confirmed by Sanger sequencing [39]. The copy number variants (CNVs) at different locations in chromosomes 1, 16, 17, and 22 were identified by this study [39] [Table 1]. The glossary of gene names is available as supplementary material.

Table 1. Genes suspected to be involved in MRKH.

Genes and Location in Chromosome	Reference	Method Used	Associations
MEFV and IL-32 - 16p13.3 CMTM7- 3p22.3 CCR4 3p22.3	[40]	CGH and RT- qPCR	IL32 and MEFV gene mutations associated with Mediterranean fever. MRKHS
BAZ2B and SLC4A10- 2q24.2 KLHL18- 3p21.31 PIK3CD- 1p36.22 TNK2- 3q29	[41]	WGS	MRKHS
LAMC1-1q25.3 RARA-17q21.2 HOXA10-7p15.2 PAX2- 10q24–25 MMP14 and LRP10- 14q11.2	[39]	WES, confirmed by Sanger sequencing	MRKHS
IFTP57, HHLA2 and MYH15- 3q13.13	[42]	SNP microarray analysis	MRKHS

PLA2R1-2q23-q24 ITGB6 and RBMS1- 2q24.2			
LRP10- 14q11.2 FRAS1- 4q21.21 CC2D2A- 4p15.32 KIF14- 1q32.1 RSPO4- 20p13 MKKS- 20p12.2 NPHP3- 3q22.1 DYNC2H1- 11q22.3 SPECC1L-22q11 VWF- 12p13.31	[43]	WES	MRKHS
TBC1D1- 4p14 KMT2D- 12q13.12 HOXD3- 2q31-37 DLG5- 10q22.3 GLI3- 7p14.1 HIRA- 22q11.21 GATA3- 10p14 LIFR- 5p13.1 CLIP1- 12q24.31	[44]	Sanger sequencing	MRKHS
PRKX- Xp22.33 HOXC8- 12q13.13	[45]	RT-qPCR	MRKHS, Urinary malformations, skeletal malformations, and/or hearing defects.
MUC1-1q22	[45,46]	Array analysis, RT-qPCR	MRKHS
RBM8A- 1q21	[21,47–50]	Array CGH, MLPA	TAR syndrome (thrombocytopenia, absence of radius) [21,47–49]
WNT9B- 17q21	[38,39,49,51–54]	Array CGH, WES	Mullerian agenesis, renal abnormalities, and cervico-thoracic somite dysplasia [38]
TBX6- 16p11.2	[38,39,43,48–50,55–59]	Array CGH, WES	Autism spectrum disorders, neurological disorders, unaffected persons [49]
ACTR3B- Pseudogenes chromosomes 2, 4, 10, 16, 22 and Y	[53]	WES	Mullerian agenesis
LHX1- 17q12	[21,47,49,54–56,60–68]	Array CGH, gene sequencing	Mullerian agenesis, Anomalies in the body axis formation [49], diabetes [61], learning disability [61]
TCF2/HNF1B- 17q12	[21,47,55,56,60–67,69,70]	Array CGH, DNA sequencing	Mullerian agenesis, Renal cysts [49] diabetes [49,61], learning disability [61]
TBX1- 22q11	[21,47,48,55,71–74]	Array CGH, FISH, MLPA	DiGeorge syndrome, heart defect, hypocalcemia, immunodeficiency, typical facial malformations,

			cognitive and behavioral disorders
WNT4- 1p36.12	[39,66,75–77]	PCR sequencing, CGH	Hyperandrogenism (Atypical MRKHS), Gonadal dysgenesis
GREB1L- 18q11.1-q11.2	[45,53,78–80]	WES, CGH	MRKHS- type 2 with kidney abnormalities, Twins discordant for MRKHS [45]
DOCK4- 7q31.1	[43,63]		MRKHS
ZNF277- 7q31.1	[63]		MRKHS
DACT1- 14q23.1	[81]		MRKHS
DLGH1- 3q29	[82]	Direct sequencing	Unilateral agenesis, pelvic kidney
OXTR- 3p25.3	[83]	DNA sequence analysis	MRKHS
ESR1- 6q25	[84]	DNA sequence analysis	MRKHS
WT1- 11p13	[85]	PCR	
GATA4- 8p23.1	[85]	PCR	
EMX2- 10q26	[38,86,87]	Sequence analysis	MRKHS, other Mullerian fusion abnormalities
SHOX- Pseudoautosomal region Xp22. 3	[39,88,89]	CGH	MRKHS
PBX1- 1q23.3	[90]		MRKHS
PAX8- 2q14.1	[22,38,53,88]	Array CGH. WES	Mutations have been associated with thyroid dysgenesis, thyroid follicular carcinomas, and atypical follicular thyroid adenomas [91], MRKHS

[CGH= Comparative genomic hybridization; MLPA= multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification; WES= Whole genome exon sequencing; WGS= Whole genome sequencing; RT- qPCR= Reverse Transcriptase quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction].

To overcome the drawbacks of WES, WGS was used recently by Pan et al. In addition, to further strengthen the prior evidence on specific gene involvements, this study identified five denovo variants in nine patients with MA [41]. There are also certain case reports of the involvement of CFTR, β -catenin, HOXA10 gene in women with complete uterine aplasia. However, it was concluded that it is unlikely to be the causative factor [92–94]. In a recent study by Ragitha et al. using PCR sequencing of coding exons of the WNT4 gene in 32 women with MA and gonadal dysgenesis, single nucleotide variations, nucleotide substitution in intronic regions not affecting the normal splicing mechanism, and synonymous polymorphism (c.861C > T; p.G287G, rs544988174) were reported. Hence, any indication of WNT4 involvement in MA was not found [76].

There are a few studies exploring the inheritance of the MA in families. It was not conclusively found to have a particular inheritance pattern, although an autosomal dominant trait is suggested in a few, it was refuted in other [15,70,80,95,96]. The challenges were incomplete family tree availability or of a particular genetic basis. Study of the specific genetic composition of monozygotic twins. When a single embryo divides into two after fertilization, the resultant pregnancy is called monozygotic twin pregnancy. As they have developed from a single embryo, it is assumed that they share identical genetic composition. Studying the genetic pattern of monozygotic twins where only one has a condition, gives us an insight into additional causative factors responsible for the occurrence like de

novo dominant mutations, or somatic mutations in the specific tissue. In a recent study on five pairs of monozygotic twins discordant for MA, the uterine tissue remnants and blood were studied using WGS [53]. They have reported a mosaic variant in ACTR3B. This variant was absent in the blood of the normal twin, had low and high allele frequency in the blood and affected tissue of the twin with MA [53]. Few of the studies elaborated on transcriptome analysis of endometrial samples from the rudimentary tissues. In a previous study of 35 sporadic patients with MRKH, the perturbations in endometrial transcriptomes were described [96]. This study in uterine remnants using RNA sequencing demonstrated a large number of upregulated (1236 in MRKH type-1 and 801 in MRKH type-2) and downregulated (670 in MRKH type-1 and 373 in MRKH type-2) genes associated with MRKHs [97]. It was also found that gene encoding for estrogen receptor 1 were perturbed in few other studies [25,83,84]. The analysis of endometrial tissue in monozygotic twins also showed similar perturbations in the recent study by Buchert et al. [53].

In a recent study by Brakta et al. 2023, the genetic analysis using Optical genome mapping in 87 women with MRKH and available parents revealed 14 structural variants in 17/87 (19.5%). These included deletions (n=7), duplications (n=3), one new translocation t(7;14)(q32;q32) (n=5), previously identified translocation-t(3;16)(p22.3;p13.3), and aneuploidies (n=2). They also reported mosaicism in three cases for trisomy 12, a 7;14 translocation, and 45,X (75%)/46,XX (25%). It was concluded that the exact mechanism for MA may be mosaicisms [98]. In another study by Brendan et al. in eight individuals with MRKH, WES was used for analysis. The study reported multiple damaging and potentially damaging changes in more than one woman involving chromosomes 1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 20, and 22 [43]. Furthermore, few of the studies have reported no abnormality in the homeobox gene, PAX2, WNT4, GALT, AMH, AMHR genes, copy number changes or AMH promotor sequence variations [17,99–109]. Another interesting study has reported testis-specific protein 1-Y-linked (TSPY) gene in two women out of six with MRKHs and 46XX karyotype [110]. Similarly, the level of galactose-1-phosphate uridyl transferase (GALT) was found to be lower in erythrocytes of women with vaginal agenesis and two variants of the GALT gene were detected, in another study [111]. This suggests that multiple genes and multiple mechanisms may be involved in the pathogenesis of MA.

3.2. Mechanisms of Genetic Changes

There are various genetic mechanisms that are potentially involved in causing a disorder, which can occur in isolation or in combinations to result in a condition. Human genomes are dynamic entities constantly influenced by alterations. The cumulative effects of small-scale sequence alterations (caused by mutation) and larger-scale rearrangements can bring about changes in the genome over a period of time [112]. The genome contains coding regions and non-coding regions. The coding regions of the DNA are directly involved in the formation of proteins and noncoding regions may or may not be involved in the regulation of gene expression. Regulation of gene expression occurs by long non-coding RNAs by epigenetic, transcriptional, post-transcriptional, translational, and protein location effects [113].

The genes that encode for an important protein related to a particular disease or a physical attribute, are called candidate genes [114]. When there are chromosomal deletions, especially of the area encoding for a specific gene, the genetic functions can be completely lost and resulting phenotypes can be severe [115,116]. Candidate genes for MA are thus, thought to be related to the development of Mullerian or Wolffian duct, or those related to regulatory factors like anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH), estrogen, and estrogen receptor. The candidate genes identified by different studies are WNT4, LHX1, HNF1B, and HOXA10 [61,65,70,75,77,117–119]. Other genes with possible causative roles, not yet substantiated adequately are HNRNPCL1, ITIH5, LRP10, MMRP14, OR2T2, OR4M2, PAX8, PDE11A, RBM8A, SHOX, TBX6, WNT9B, and ZNF816 [Table 1].

A careful balance of levels of different proteins significantly influences the embryonic developmental processes. Duplications of genes encoding these proteins can result in extra gene copies and the resulting alteration of gene dosing can lead to developmental defects [116]. A proposed mechanism for MA can be an overdose of AMH. Duplications were found in many reported studies in multiple locations involving chromosomes 1,2,3,6,7,10,12,16,18,22 and X chromosomes

[Table 2]. However, the exact mechanisms of how these changes are related to causation of MA is yet to be verified.

Table 2. Mechanisms of genetic changes.

Chromosome Number	Mechanism [Reference]	Possible Gene Involved
1	1q31.1 Duplication (size 0.4Mb) [55] 1q44 Deletion (size 0.32Mb) [23,48] 1p36.12 mutations 1p36.21 deletion [23] Mutation p.(Glu226Gly) [77] Mutation c.1026C>T [73] Mutation p.(Arg83Cys) [120] Mutation c.35C>T p.(Leu12Pro) [121] Mutation c.483C>T [122] Mutation c.697G>A p.(Ala233Thr) [75] Mutation g.200583493A>T [43] 1q21 Deletion (size 0.4 Mb to 4.6 Mb) [21,23,48] 1q21 Duplication (size 0.26 Mb to 0.36 Mb) [47,48]	1. KIF14 (g.200583493A>T) [43] 2. WNT4 is responsible for sex determination and affects the invagination of coelomic epithelial cells [123]. WNT4 mutation inhibits repression of ovarian steroid enzymes and causes abnormal expression of 17 α hydroxylase and hyperandrogenism [75] 3. OR4M2, ZNF816 and PDE11A [23]
2	2p14 Duplication (size- 0.23Mb) [21] 2p14 Mutation c.1315G>A, p.Ala439Thr [53] 2p23.1 Duplication (size- 0.21Mb) [55] 2p24 Deletion (size- 4.6Mb) [55] 2q11.2 Duplication (size- 1.3Mb) [55] 2q24.2 Duplication [42] 2q13 Deletion (size- 0.12Mb) [21]	1. The PAX8 gene encodes a homeodomain signaling molecule, strongly expressed in the MD [124]. 2. Duplication at 2q24.2 of proband MRKHS involved PLA2R1, ITGB6 and RBMS1
3	3p21 Duplication 0.10Mb [60] 3p21 Mutation c.861G>A [122] 3q13 Duplication at 3q13. [42] 3q29 Deletion 0.05Mb [60] Mutation g.132403615G>A [43]	1. WNT7A encodes secreted signaling proteins, and involved in the development of the anterior-posterior axis in the female reproductive tract. Coded proteins are responsible for patterning during embryogenesis. Role in MA is uncertain 2. May involve DLGH1, OXTR, NPHP3
4	4q28 Deletion 0.11Mb [60] 4q32 Deletion 0.34Mb [60] 4q35.2 Deletion 1.1Mb [54] Mutation g79204031G>A and g.15542618C>T [43]	1. FRAS1 (g79204031G>A) [43] 2. CC2D2A (g.15542618C>T) [43]
5	5p11 Deletion 0.40Mb [62] 5q14.3 Deletion 0.40Mb [62]	
6	6p21 Duplication 0.17Mb [60] 6q25.1 Duplication 0.42Mb [60] 6q25.2 Duplication 0.44Mb [60] 6q11.1 Duplication 0.41Mb [62]	
7	7p15.2 Hypomethylation [32] 7p14 Duplication 1.75Mb [60] 7q31.2 Deletion 1.8Mb [54]	1. HOXA5 is a transcriptional regulator of p53 and progesterone receptor (PGR).

	Mutation g111503593C>T [43]	<p>Hypomethylation leads to overexpression, which causes overexpression of PGR [125]. Ectopic HOXA5 expression at the 5' end of the cluster might prevent normal differentiation of the MD or even regression.</p> <p>2. Most likely involves Abdominal B (AbdB) homeobox genes (HOX-A9, A10, A11, and A13), required for differentiation and segmental patterning of MD [126]</p> <p>3. HOXA9 is expressed in the region that becomes the oviduct [127]. Ectopic expression of HOXA5 or HOXA9 inhibits MD differentiation [128].</p> <p>4. DOCK4</p>
8	8p23.1 Hypomethylation [85] 8p23.1 Activating mutations [32]	<p>GATA binding protein 4 promotes AMH production and regulates sex determination and differentiation [85]. Overproduction of AMH leads to MA.</p>
10	10q24 Duplication 0.05Mb [60]	
11	11p11.12 Deletion 0.76Mb (45) 11p 13 Hypomethylation [85] 11p 13 Activating mutation [32] Mutation g.102985987C>T [43]	<p>1. WT1 is a regulatory factor important for the transcription of anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH) genes. It promotes AMH expression and regulates sex determination and differentiation [85]. Activating mutation of gene for the AMH receptor, or of the receptor causes excessive production of AMH, leading to MRKHS [32]</p> <p>2. DYNC2H1</p>
12	12q13.13 Duplication [42] 12q23 Duplication 0.16Mb [60] 12q24 Duplication 0.12Mb [60] Mutation g.6085324G>A [43]	VWF
13	13q21 Deletion 0.41Mb [54]	
14	14q32.33 Deletion 0.46Mb [62] 14q32.33 mutation g.23345412G>A [43]	LRP10
15	15q21.1 Deletion 0.28Mb [62] 15q26.3 Deletion 0.54Mb [54] Deletions at 15q11.2 [23]	
16	16p13.3 Increased expression [40] 16q11.2 Duplication 0.20Mb [55] 16p11.2 Deletion (size 0.55 Mb to 0.6 Mb) [55]	<p>1. Genes for IL32 and MEFV</p> <p>2. TBX6 is involved in paraxial mesoderm formation and somitogenesis in human</p>

	Splice site mutation c.622-2A>T (g.30100162 T>A) [56] Mutation c.484G>A(rs56098093) p.Gly162Ser [56] Mutation c.815G>A p.Arg272Gln [56] Mutation c.815G>A [54]	embryos [129]. Deletion induces MRKHS due to the loss of the transcription factor.
17	17q12 Deletion (size 1.2 to 1.9 Mb) [21,42,47,48,55,60,62] 17q12 Missense mutation of LHX1 [21,61] c.790C>G p.(Arg264Gly) c. c.25dup p.(Arg9Lysfs*25) 17q21-22 mutations c.28G>T p.Ala10Ser c.205C>T, p.Arg69Trp [53] c.*158C>T 17q21-22 Five Missense mutations [54] c.472C>G p.(Gln158Glu) c.665G>A p.(Arg222His) c.722G>A p.(Arg24His) c.974G>A p.(Arg325His) c.1029C>A p.(Cys343*)	1. Involves the LHX1(LIM homeobox protein 1) gene, which is a transcription factor necessary for the formation of the Mullerian duct-derived uterine and vaginal epithelia [117]. 2. HNF1B is [Pit-Oct-Unc homeodomain-containing transcription factor that is frequently expressed in the Mullerian duct during development [130]. It positively regulates the expression of LHX1, PAX2, and WNT9B [131]. 3. Involves Mutations in WNT9B, which acts upstream of another Wnt4. It is responsible for the caudal extension of the Müllerian duct and the organization of the urogenital system [132].
18	18q23 Duplication 0.20Mb [62] 18p Deletion [133] 18q11.1-q11.2 mutation c.4665T>A, p.Tyr1555	GREB1L is a target gene in the retinoic acid signaling pathway, which is highly expressed in the developing fetal human kidney and involved in the early metanephros and genital development [134].
19	19q13.31 deletion [23]	OR2T2 [23]
20	20q13.12 Deletion Mutations g.941074G>A and g.10393439C>A [43]	1. WISP2 is significant in smooth muscle cell proliferation and migration and is induced by estrogen in the uterus [135]. Estrogen regulates AMH expression levels [136] and overexposure to estrogen during development activates AMH promoters [32]. 2. RSPO4 3. MKKS
22	22q11 Deletion (size 0.39Mb to 2.6Mb) [55,61,71,73] Duplication (0.6Mb- 3.5Mb) [47,61,137] Mutations g.24720297G>A and g.24718408G>A [43]	1. TBX1 2. SPECC1L
X	Xp11.1 Deletion 0.12Mb [60] Xp11.3 Duplication 0.24Mb [60] Xp22 Duplication (0.07 to 0.36) [21,45,47,48,138]	1. May involve PRKX gene, encoding for a serine/threonine

	Xq21.31 Deletion 1Mb [139] Xq deletion [140] Xq22.3 Duplication 0.09Mb [60] Xq22.3 Microdeletion at Xp22.33 [42]	kinase implicated in renal epithelium morphogenesis [45]. 2. May involves SHOX gene, which encodes a transcription factor responsible for skeletal growth. The exact mechanism is unknown.
8,13	t(8;13) (q12;q14) translocation	Translocation causes MRKHSS with or without renal hypoplasia [141].
	t(8;13)(q22.1;q32.1) translocation	Translocation causes MRKHS with limb, breast and urinary functional defects [142]
3,16	t(3;16) (p22.3;p13.3) translocation	Translocation causes MRKHS [40,98]
7,14	t(7;14)(q32;q32) translocation	Translocation seen in MRKHS [98]
2, 4, 10, 16, 22 and Y	c.1066G>A, p.Gly356Arg [53]	ACTR3B encodes a member of the actin-related proteins and plays a role in the organization of the actin cytoskeleton [143]. ACTR3B can have pseudogenes in more than 1 chromosome

[A glossary of the genes can be found in Supplementary material-1; MD= Müllerian duct].

Mutations involve changes in the nucleotide sequence of a short region of a genome. The number of mutations occurring is usually minimized by the inherent DNA-repair enzymes in the cell and mutations persist only when the cellular DNA-repair mechanisms fail. The mutations on coding regions are of various types. A lot of mutations are point mutations where one nucleotide is replaced with the other or it can involve the insertion or deletion of one or a few nucleotides [112]. Insertions of small numbers of extra nucleotides in the polynucleotide being synthesized or failure of some nucleotides in the frame being copied can alter the entire sequence/codon down the frame. Such proteins are usually markedly different from the original proteins. This is called frame-shift mutation. Silent mutations (also called synchronous) are said to have occurred when changes in nucleotide sequence have no effect on the functioning of the genome and they do not change the encoded amino acid [144]. Missense mutations are changes that alter a codon to another one and the resultant amino acid is a completely different one. The effects of missense mutations are difficult to predict. If the resultant amino acid is similar to the original one or the change is in a non-critical amino acid, the functions are retained. However, the mutant protein may have a completely different function if the change affects a critical amino acid or the new amino acid is not similar to the original. On the other hand, if the mutation results in the stopping of the translation of the mRNA prematurely because of a stop codon, this is called a nonsense mutation. Nonsense mutation results in a shortened protein, which can be non-functional and this effect depends on how much of the polypeptide is lost [144]. There are various types of mutations documented in the literature including point mutations, frameshift mutations, and missense mutations in patients with MA [Table 2]. While some of these changes involve candidate genes directly, the significance of others is unclear. It is also noteworthy that the DNA methylation levels act as a regulator of gene expression. The presence of DNA methylation, in general, prevents transcriptional activation of genes at a specific cell type [145,146]. A search for altered imprinting markers at 11p15 imprinting control region 1 (ICR1) in 100 patients with MRKHS failed to detect any defects at that locus [147]. However, it did not rule out the possibility of imprinting alterations at other locations in the etiology of MRKHS.

In a chromosomal translocation, genetic material is exchanged between two chromosomes. Translocations were detected in five different individuals involving chromosomes 8,13, 7,14, and chromosomes 3,16 [40,98,141,142]. These were one individual with t(8;13)(q22.1;q32.1), two with t(8;13)(q12;q14), and one with t(3;16)(p22.3;p13.3). In the latest case report of these by Williams *et al.*, about ten potential genes were identified and four of significance were further substantiated [66]. As none of the family members in the cases had similar translocations, these were regarded as sporadic occurrences.

3.3. Fertility Options in Women with Mullerian agenesis

Mullerian agenesis means absolute infertility in females and the diagnosis can be associated with considerable psychological trauma [20]. Hence, the management requires a multidisciplinary approach including gynecologists, fertility specialists, psychologists, clinical nurse specialists, support groups, and counselors [148]. Options for parenthood in these women include surrogacy and uterine transplantation if parents seek a biologically related baby. Adoption is an option if a biologically related baby is not desired in the first place or the options have failed, are unacceptable or contraindicated [20].

Gestational surrogacy is a popular option in women with AUFI, especially with functioning ovaries, as in Mullerian agenesis. The oocytes of the women with MA are collected and fused with the partner's spermatozoa through in-vitro fertilization. The resulting embryo is then transferred to the uterus of the gestational surrogate. The couple then legally adopts the child from the mother after birth. Legal parenthood is thus achieved for a biologically related child [149]. The woman carrying the pregnancy is called a gestational surrogate. The surrogate can be a close relative of the couple (altruistic) or unrelated and would be a commercial surrogate. A study by Petrozza *et al.* did not find evidence of inheritance or congenital anomalies in babies born through surrogacy [96]. However, there are legal implications for this method, which can vary in different countries. Surrogacy as such or specific surrogacy may not be legally permitted in specific countries due to sociocultural issues [20,150,151]. Countries can have different policies regarding commercial or altruistic surrogacy [152,153]. In the United Kingdom, Surrogacy is permitted but surrogacy agreements are not legally enforceable. The surrogate remains the child's legal mother from birth, up until the parenthood is legally transferred to the intended parents. This is generally done after 6 weeks of birth. In case of disputes, the surrogacy arrangement is not legally enforceable [20].

Uterine Transplant (UT) involves transplantation of the uterus with the cervix, ligamentous supports, and blood vessels. This results in restoring the natural anatomy. Successful pregnancy and childbirth after a UT ensure conditions akin to natural biological parenthood, which is acceptable both legally and socially. The first live birth following UT was reported in Sweden in 2014 [154]. Since then numerous case reports and series have been published. A recent review has reported 18 live births out of 45 cases of UT [155]. Typically the whole process involves, procuring a uterus from a live or deceased donor, transplantation, immune-suppression, achieving pregnancy by embryo transfer, delivery by cesarean section, followed by hysterectomy. While live organ donation involves additional risks to the donor due to operative procedures, the clinical outcomes are speculated to be better. Immunosuppression after UT is done with a non-teratogenic immunosuppressive regimen and monitored for graft rejection by cervical biopsies [7,156,157]. Embryo transfer is done using a single euploid blastocyst after 6–12 months [155]. The ensuing pregnancy is then monitored following a protocol for high-risk pregnancy care, and delivered by cesarean section at 37 weeks of gestation, or sooner if indicated. Vaginal delivery is generally not advocated due to concerns about the structural integrity of the graft and sufficiency of vascular anastomoses during contractions. Depending on the reproductive expectations, further embryo transfers can be done. The uterus is then removed and immunosuppression is stopped to prevent further complications [158]. Although the reproductive scenario in women with MA looks encouraging with UT, it involves ethical issues encompassing both assisted reproduction and organ transplantation [159–161].

Adoption is an option for parenthood in couples with MA. It is a legal proceeding that creates a parent-child relationship between persons not related by blood. The adoption laws vary from country

to country and is generally a long process. While it can be legally and socially acceptable, the child is not biologically related to the parents.

4. Conclusions

MA results in absolute uterine factor infertility. The genetic basis of MA is yet unclear and etiology is mostly multifactorial. Although many candidate genes are identified, more studies are required to substantiate the evidence. With the advanced options of parenthood of biologically related offspring, challenges of inheritance should be considered.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at the website of this paper posted on Preprints.org. Details of the studies included in the review and Glossary of gene names.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.S.K., M.J., B.T.G., and R.D. ; methodology, R.D. and S.S.K. ; software, M.J. and B.T.G. ; validation, R.D. and S.S.K. ; formal analysis, M.J. and R.D. ; investigation, S.S.K., M.J., B.T.G., and R. ; resources, B.T.G. and S.S.K. ; data curation, R.D. and S.S.K. ; writing—original draft preparation, R.D. and S.S.K. ; writing—review and editing, M.J., and B.T.G. ; visualization, S.S.K. and R.D. ; supervision, M.J., B.T.G., S.S.K. and R.D. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created or analyzed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. World Health Organization (WHO). International Classification of Diseases, 11th Revision (ICD-11). Geneva: WHO; 2018.
2. Larsen, U.; Research on infertility: which definition should we use?. *Fertility and sterility* 2005, 83, 4, 846–852. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2004.11.033>
3. Assistance Médicale à la Procréation (AMP). Inserm-La Science Pour la Santé n.d. Available online: <https://www.inserm.fr/information-en-sante/dossiers-information/assistance-medicale-procreation-amp> (accessed on 7 August 2022).
4. World Health Organization. WHO fact sheet on infertility. *Global Reproductive Health* 6(1):p e52, Spring 2021. | DOI: 10.1097/GRH.0000000000000052
5. Dube, R.; Kar, S.S. Genital Microbiota and Outcome of Assisted Reproductive Treatment-A Systematic Review, *Life (Basel)*. 2022, 12, 11, 1867. doi: 10.3390/life12111867.
6. Sallée, C.; Margueritte, F.; Marquet, P.; Piver, P.; Aubard, Y.; Lavoué, V.; Dion, L.; Gauthier, T. Uterine Factor Infertility, a Systematic Review. *J. Clin. Med.* 2022, 11, 4907. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11164907>
7. Brännström, M.; Johannesson, L.; Dahm-Kähler, P.; Enskog, A.; Mölne, J.; Kvarnström, N.; Diaz-Garcia, C.; Hanafy, A.; Lundmark, C.; Marcickiewicz, J.; et al. First clinical uterus transplantation trial: A six-month report. *Fertil. Steril.* 2014, 101, 1228–1236.
8. Hur, C.; Rehmer, J.; Flyckt, R.; Falcone, T. Uterine Factor Infertility: A Clinical Review. *Clin. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2019, 62, 257–270.
9. Moncada-Madrazo, M.; Rodríguez Valero, C. Embryology, Uterus. [Updated 2023 Jul 25]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023 Jan-. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK547748/> (Accessed on 30 August 2023)
10. Robbins, J. B.; Broadwell, C.; Chow, L. C.; Parry, J. P.; Sadowski, E. A. Müllerian duct anomalies: embryological development, classification, and MRI assessment. *Journal of magnetic resonance imaging: JMRI* 2015, 41, 1, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.24771>
11. Ghofrani, M. Embryology. PathologyOutlines.com website. Available at: <https://www.pathologyoutlines.com/topic/ovarynontumorembryology.html>. (Accessed on 28 September 2023)

12. Dube, R.; Al-Zuheiri, S.T.S.; Syed, M.; Harilal, L.; Zuhaira, D.A.L.; Kar, S.S. Prevalence, Clinico-Bacteriological Profile, and Antibiotic Resistance of Symptomatic Urinary Tract Infections in Pregnant Women. *Antibiotics* **2023**, *12*, 33. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12010033>
13. Dube, R.; Kar, S.S. COVID-19 in pregnancy: the foetal perspective—a systematic review. *BMJ Paediatrics Open* **2020**, *4*, e000859. doi:10.1136/bmjpo-2020-000859
14. AlZuheiri, S.T.; Dube, R.; Menezes, G.; Qasem, S. Clinical profile and outcome of Group B streptococcal colonization in mothers and neonates in Ras Al Khaimah, United Arab Emirates: A prospective observational study. *Saudi J Med Med Sci* **2021**, *9*, 235-240.
15. Fontana, L.; Gentilin, B.; Fedele, L.; Gervasini, C.; Miozzo, M. Genetics of Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser (MRKH) syndrome. *Clinical genetics* **2017**, *91*, 2, 233–246. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cge.12883>
16. Morcel, K.; Camborieux, L.; Programme de Recherches sur les Aplasies Müllériennes (PRAM).; Guerrier, D. Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser (MRKH) syndrome. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* **2007**, *14*, **2**, 13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-1172-2-13>
17. Oppelt, P.; Strissel, P.; Kellermann, A.; Seeber, S.; Humeny, A.; Beckmann, M.; Strick, R. DNA sequence variations of the entire anti- Müllerian hormone (AMH) gene promoter and AMH protein expression in patients with the Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *Hum. Reprod.* **2005**, *20*, 149–157.
18. Guerrier, D.; Mouchel, T.; Pasquier, L.; Pellerin, I. The Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome (congenital absence of uterus and vagina)—phenotypic manifestations and genetic approaches. *J Negat Results Biomed* **2006**, *5*, 1.
19. Herlin, M.; Højland, A.T.; Petersen, M.B. Familial occurrence of Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome: a case report and review of the literature. *Am J Med Genet A* **2014**, *164A*, *9*, 2276–2286.
20. Jones, B.P.; Ranaei-Zamani, N.; Vali, S.; Williams, N.; Saso, S.; Thum, M.Y.; et al. Options for acquiring motherhood in absolute uterine factor infertility; adoption, surrogacy and uterine transplantation. *The Obstetrician & Gynaecologist* **2021**, *23*, 138–147. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tog.12729>
21. Ledig, S.; Schippert, C.; Strick, R.; Beckmann, M.W.; Oppelt, P.G.; Wieacker, P. Recurrent aberrations identified by array-CGH in patients with Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *Fertil. Steril.* **2011**, *95*, 1589–1594.
22. Ma, D.; Marion, R.; Punjabi, N.P.; Pereira, E.; Samanich, J.; Agarwal, C.; Li, J.; Huang, C.-K.; Ramesh, K.H.; Cannizzaro, A.L.; et al. A de novo 10.79 Mb interstitial deletion at 2q13q14.2 involving PAX8 causing hypothyroidism and müllerian agenesis: A novel case report and literature review. *Mol. Cytogenet.* **2014**, *7*, 85.
23. Chen, M.-J.; Wei, S.-Y.; Yang, W.-S.; Wu, T.-T.; Li, H.-Y.; Ho, H.-N.; Yang, Y.-S.; Chen, P.-L. Concurrent exome-targeted next generation sequencing and single nucleotide polymorphism array to identify the causative genetic aberrations of isolated Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *Hum. Reprod.* **2015**, *30*, 1732–1742.
24. Lischke, J.H.; Curtis, C.H.; Lamb, E.J. Discordance of vaginal agenesis in monozygotic twins. *Obstet. Gynecol.* **1973**, *41*, 920–924.
25. Rall, K.; Eisenbeis, S.; Barresi, G.; Rückner, D.; Walter, M.; Poths, S.; Wallwiener, D.; Riess, O.; Bonin, M.; Brucker, S. Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome discordance in monozygotic twins: Matrix metalloproteinase 14, low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 10, extracellular matrix, and neoangiogenesis genes identified as candidate genes in a tissue-specific mosaicism. *Fertil. Steril.* **2015**, *103*, 494–502.e3.
26. Heidenreich, W.; Pfeiffer, A.; Kumbhani, H.K.; Scholz, W.; Zeuner, W. Disordant monozygotic twins with Mayer Rokitansky Küster syndrome (author's transl). *Geburtshilfe Und Frauenheilkd.* **1977**, *37*, 221–223.
27. Steinkampf, M.P.; Dharia, S.P.; Dickerson, R.D. Monozygotic twins discordant for vaginal agenesis and bilateral tibial longitudinal deficiency. *Fertil. Steril.* **2003**, *80*, 643–645.
28. Regenstein, A.C.; Berkeley, A.S. Discordance of müllerian agenesis in monozygotic twins. A case report. *J. Reprod. Med.* **1991**, *36*, 396–397.
29. Duru, U.A.; Laufer, M.R. Discordance in Mayer-von Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser Syndrome Noted in Monozygotic Twins. *J. Pediatr. Adolesc. Gynecol.* **2009**, *22*, e73–e75.
30. Milsom, S.R.; Ogilvie, C.M.; Jefferies, C.; Cree, L. Discordant Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser (MRKH) syndrome in identical twins—A case report and implications for reproduction in MRKH women. *Gynecol. Endocrinol.* **2015**, *31*, 684–687.

31. Maniglio, P.; Ricciardi, E.; Laganà, A.S.; Triolo, O.; Caserta, D. Epigenetic modifications of primordial reproductive tract: A common etiologic pathway for Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser Syndrome and endometriosis? *Med. Hypotheses* 2016, 90, 4–5.
32. Rall, K.; Barresi, G.; Walter, M.; Poths, S.; Haebig, K.; Schaeferhoff, K.; Schoenfish, B.; Riess, O.; Wallwiener, D.; Bonin, M.; et al. A combination of transcriptome and methylation analyses reveals embryologically-relevant candidate genes in MRKH patients. *Orphanet J. Rare Dis.* 2011, 6, 32.
33. Ray, R.; Kar, S.S.; Mahapatro, S.; Tripathy, R.; Mohanty, R. A Rare cause of seizure-dysgenesis of corpus callosum. *Indian Journal of Practical Pediatrics* 2009, 11(4), 101–104.
34. Theisen, A. Microarray-based Comparative Genomic Hybridization (aCGH). *Nature Education* 2008, 1, 1, 45.
35. Eleanor, G.; Seaby, R.J.; Pengelly, S.E. Exome sequencing explained: a practical guide to its clinical application, *Briefings in Functional Genomics* 2016, 15, 5, 374–384. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bfpg/elv054>
36. Van Dijk, E.L.; Jaszczyszyn, Y.; Naquin, D.; Thermes, C. The Third Revolution in Sequencing Technology. *Trends Genet.* 2018, 34, 666–681.
37. Sahyouni, J.K.; Odeh, L.B.M.; Mulla, F. *et al.* Infantile Sandhoff disease with ventricular septal defect: a case report. *J Med Case Reports* 16, 317 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13256-022-03550-0>
38. Chen, N.; Zhao, S.; Jolly, A.; Wang, L.; Pan, H.; et al. Perturbations of genes essential for Mullerian duct and Wolffian duct development in Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2021, 108, 2, 337–345.
39. Mikhael, S.; Dugar, S.; Morton, M.; Chorich, L.P.; Tam, K.B.; Lossie, A.C.; Kim, H.-G.; Knight, J.; Taylor, H.S.; Mukherjee, S.; et al. Genetics of agenesis/hypoplasia of the uterus and vagina: Narrowing down the number of candidate genes for Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser Syndrome. *Qual. Life Res.* 2021, 140, 667–680.
40. Williams, L.S.; Kim, H.G.; Kalscheuer, V.M.; Tuck, J.M.; Chorich, L.P.; et al. A balanced chromosomal translocation involving chromosomes 3 and 16 in a patient with Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser syndrome reveals new candidate genes at 3p22.3 and 16p13.3. *Mol Cytogenet.* 2016, 9, 57.
41. Pan, H.-X.; Luo, G.-N.; Wan, S.-Q.; Qin, C.-L.; Tang, J.; Zhang, M.; Du, M.; Xu, K.-K.; Shi, J.-Q. Detection of de novo genetic variants in Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome by whole genome sequencing. *Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol. X* 2019, 4, 100089.
42. Thomson, E.; Tran, M.; Robevska, G.; Ayers, K.; van der Bergen, J.; et al. Functional genomics analysis identifies loss of HNF1B function as a cause of Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *Human molecular genetics*, 2023, 32, 6, 1032–1047. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddac262>
43. Backhouse, B.; Hanna, C.; Robevska, G.; Bergen, J.V.D.; Pelosi, E.; Simons, C.; Koopman, P.; Juniarto, A.Z.; Grover, S.; Faradz, S.; et al. Identification of Candidate Genes for Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser Syndrome Using Genomic Approaches. *Sex. Dev.* 2019, 13, 26–34. doi: 10.1159/000494896.
44. Chu, C.; Li, L.; Li, S.; Zhou, Q.; Zheng, P.; Zhang, Y.-D.; Duan, A.-H.; Lu, D.; Wu, Y.-M. Variants in genes related to development of the urinary system are associated with Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *Hum. Genom.* 2022, 16, 10.
45. Pontecorvi, P.; Bernardini, L.; Capalbo, A.; Ceccarelli, S.; Megiorni, F.; Vescarelli, E.; Bottillo, I.; Preziosi, N.; Fabbretti, M.; Perniola, G.; et al. Protein-protein interaction network analysis applied to DNA copy number profiling suggests new perspectives on the aetiology of Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *Sci. Rep.* 2021, 11, 448.
46. Nodale, C.; Ceccarelli, S.; Giuliano, M.; Cammarota, M.; D'Amici, S.; Vescarelli, E.; Maffucci, D.; Bellati, F.; Panici, P.B.; Romano, F.; et al. Gene Expression Profile of Patients with Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser Syndrome: New Insights into the Potential Role of Developmental Pathways. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e91010.
47. Cheroki, C.; Krepischi, A.; Szuhai, K.; Brenner, V.; Kim, C.; Otto, P.A.; Rosenberg, C. Genomic imbalances associated with mullerian aplasia. *J. Med. Genet.* 2007, 45, 228–232.
48. McGowan, R.; Tydeman, G.; Shapiro, D.; Craig, T.; Morrison, N.; Logan, S.; Balen, A.H.; Ahmed, S.F.; Deeny, M.; Tolmie, J.; et al. DNA copy number variations are important in the complex genetic architecture of müllerian disorders. *Fertil. Steril.* 2015, 103, 1021–1030.e1.
49. Ledig, S.; Wieacker, P. Clinical and genetic aspects of Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *Med. Genet.* 2018, 30, 3–11.
50. Tewes, A.-C.; Rall, K.K.; Römer, T.; Hucke, J.; Kapczuk, K.; Brucker, S.; Wieacker, P.; Ledig, S. Variations in RBM8A and TBX6 are associated with disorders of the müllerian ducts. *Fertil. Steril.* 2015, 103, 1313–1318.

51. Ma, W.; Li, Y.; Wang, M.; Li, H.; Su, T.; Wang, S. Associations of Polymorphisms in WNT9B and PBX1 with Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser Syndrome in Chinese Han. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0130202
52. Wang, M.; Li, Y.; Ma, W.; Li, H.; He, F.; Pu, D.; Su, T.; Wang, S. Analysis of WNT9B mutations in Chinese women with Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *Reprod. Biomed. Online* 2014, 28, 80–85.
53. Buchert, R.; Schenk, E.; Hentrich, T.; Weber, N.; Rall, K.; Sturm, M.; Kohlbacher, O.; Koch, A.; Riess, O.; Brucker, S.Y.; et al. Genome Sequencing and Transcriptome Profiling in Twins Discordant for Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser Syndrome. *J. Clin. Med.* 2022, 11, 5598. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11195598>
54. Waschke, D.; Tewes, A.-C.; Römer, T.; Hücke, J.; Kapczuk, K.; Schippert, C.; Hillemanns, P.; Wieacker, P.; Ledig, S. Mutations in WNT9B are associated with Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *Clin. Genet.* 2016, 89, 590–596. doi: 10.1111/cge.12701.
55. Nik-Zainal, S.; Strick, R.; Storer, M.; Huang, N.; Rad, R.; Willatt, L.; Fitzgerald, T.; Martin, V.; Sandford, R.; Carter, N.P.; et al. High incidence of recurrent copy number variants in patients with isolated and syndromic Müllerian aplasia. *J. Med. Genet.* 2011, 48, 197–204.
56. Sandbacka, M.; Laivuori, H.; Freitas, É.; Halttunen, M.; Jokimaa, V.; Morin-Papunen, L.; Rosenberg, C.; Aittomäki, K. TBX6, LHX1 and copy number variations in the complex genetics of Müllerian aplasia. *Orphanet J. Rare Dis.* 2013, 8, 125.
57. Eksi, D.D.; Shen, Y.; Erman, M.; Chorich, L.P.; Sullivan, M.E.; Bilekdemir, M.; Yilmaz, E.; Luleci, G.; Kim, H.-G.; Alper, O.M.; et al. Copy number variation and regions of homozygosity analysis in patients with MÜLLERIAN aplasia. *Mol. Cytogenet.* 2018, 11, 13. doi: 10.1186/s13039-018-0359-3.
58. Tewes, A.-C.; Hücke, J.; Römer, T.; Kapczuk, K.; Schippert, C.; Hillemanns, P.; Wieacker, P.; Ledig, S. Sequence Variants in TBX6 Are Associated with Disorders of the Müllerian Ducts: An Update. *Sex. Dev.* 2019, 13, 35–40. DOI: 10.1159/000496819
59. Ma, C.; Chen, N.; Jolly, A.; Zhao, S.; Coban-Akdemir, Z.; et al. Functional characteristics of a broad spectrum of TBX6 variants in Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *Genetics in Medicine: Official Journal of the American College of Medical Genetics.* 2022, 24, 11, 2262–2273. DOI: 10.1016/j.gim.2022.08.012.
60. Bernardini, L.; Gimelli, S.; Gervasini, C.; Carella, M.; Baban, A.; Frontino, G.; Barbano, G.; Divizia, M.T.; Fedele, L.; Novelli, A.; et al. Recurrent microdeletion at 17q12 as a cause of Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser (MRKH) syndrome: Two case reports. *Orphanet J. Rare Dis.* 2009, 4, 25. DOI: 10.1186/1750-1172-4-25
61. Ledig, S.; Brucker, S.; Barresi, G.; Schomburg, J.; Rall, K.; Wieacker, P. Frame shift mutation of LHX1 is associated with Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser (MRKH) syndrome. *Hum. Reprod.* 2012, 27, 2872–2875. DOI: 10.1093/humrep/des206
62. Hinkes, B.; Hilgers, K.F.; Bolz, H.J.; Goppelt-Strube, M.; Amann, K.; Nagl, S.; Bergmann, C.; Rascher, W.; Eckardt, K.-U.; Jacobi, J. A complex microdeletion 17q12 phenotype in a patient with recurrent de novo membranous nephropathy. *BMC Nephrol.* 2012, 13, 27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2369-13-27>
63. Ledig, S.; Tewes, A.; Hücke, J.; Römer, T.; Kapczuk, K.; Schippert, C.; Hillemanns, P.; Wieacker, P. Array-comparative genomic hybridization analysis in patients with Müllerian fusion anomalies. *Clin. Genet.* 2018, 93, 640–646. DOI: 10.1111/cge.13160
64. Mencarelli, M.A.; Katzaki, E.; Papa, F.T.; Sampieri, K.; Caselli, R.; Uliana, V.; Pollazzon, M.; Canitano, R.; Mostardini, R.; Grosso, S.; et al. Private inherited microdeletion/microduplications: Implications in clinical practice. *Eur. J. Med. Genet.* 2008, 51, 409–416. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmg.2008.06.003.
65. Lindner, T.H.; Njølstad, P.R.; Horikawa, Y.; Bostad, L.; Bell, G.I.; Søvik, O. A novel syndrome of diabetes mellitus, renal dysfunction and genital malformation associated with a partial deletion of the pseudo-POU domain of hepatocyte nuclear factor-1beta. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 1999, 8, 2001–2008. DOI: 10.1093/hmg/8.11.2001
66. Williams, L.S.; Eksi, D.D.; Shen, Y.; Lossie, A.C.; Chorich, L.P.; Sullivan, M.E.; Phillips, J.A.; Erman, M.; Kim, H.-G.; Alper, O.M.; et al. Genetic analysis of Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome in a large cohort of families. *Fertil. Steril.* 2017, 108, 145–151.e2. DOI: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2017.05.017
67. Xia, M.; Zhao, H.; Qin, Y.; Mu, Y.; Wang, J.; Bian, Y.; Ma, J.; Chen, Z.-J. LHX1 mutation screening in 96 patients with müllerian duct abnormalities. *Fertil. Steril.* 2012, 97, 682–685. DOI: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2011.12.005
68. Zhang, W.; Zhou, X.; Liu, L.; Zhu, Y.; Liu, C.; Pan, H. Identification and functional analysis of a novel LHX1 mutation associated with congenital absence of the uterus and vagina. *Oncotarget.* 2017, 8, 8785–8790. <https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.14455>.

69. Oram, R.A.; Edghill, E.L.; Blackman, J.; Taylor, M.J.; Kay, T.; Flanagan, S.E.; Ismail-Pratt, I.; Creighton, S.M.; Ellard, S.; Hattersley, A.T.; et al. Mutations in the hepatocyte nuclear factor-1_ (HNF1B) gene are common with combined uterine and renal malformations but are not found with isolated uterine malformations. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **2010**, *203*, 364.e1–364.e5.
70. Bingham, C.; Ellard, S.; Cole, T.R.; Jones, K.E.; Allen, L.I.; Goodship, J.A.; Goodship, T.H.; Bakalinova-Pugh, D.; Russell, G.I.; Woolf, A.; et al. Solitary functioning kidney and diverse genital tract malformations associated with hepatocyte nuclear factor-1 mutations. *Kidney Int.* **2002**, *61*, 1243–1251. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1755.2002.00272.x.
71. Sundaram, U.T.; McDonald-McGinn, D.M.; Huff, D.; Emanuel, B.S.; Zackai, E.H.; Driscoll, D.A.; Bodurtha, J. Primary amenorrhea and absent uterus in the 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Am. J. Med. Genet. Part A* **2007**, *143*, 2016–2018. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.a.31736
72. Morcel, K.; Watrin, T.; Pasquier, L.; Rochard, L.; Le Caignec, C.; Dubourg, C.; Loget, P.; Paniel, B.-J.; Odent, S.; David, V.; et al. Utero-vaginal aplasia (Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome) associated with deletions in known DiGeorge or DiGeorge-like loci. *Orphanet J. Rare Dis.* **2011**, *6*, 9. doi: 10.1186/1750-1172-6-9
73. Cheroki, C.; Krepischi-Santos, A.C.; Rosenberg, C.; Jehee, F.S.; Mingroni-Netto, R.C.; Filho, I.P.; Filho, S.Z.; Kim, C.A.; Bagnoli, V.R.; Mendonca, B.B.; et al. Report of a del22q11 in a patient with Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser (MRKH) anomaly and exclusion of WNT-4, RAR-gamma, and RXR-alpha as major genes determining MRKH anomaly in a study of 25 affected women. *Am. J. Med. Genet. Part A* **2006**, *140*, 1339–1342.
74. AlSubaih, A.; Vandermeulen, J.; Harris, K.; Duck, J.; McCready, E. Müllerian Agenesis in Cat Eye Syndrome and 22q11 Chromosome Abnormalities: A Case Report and Literature Review. *J. Pediatr. Adolesc. Gynecol.* **2018**, *31*, 158–161. DOI: 10.1016/j.jpagn.2017.09.004
75. Philibert, P.; Bignon-Laubert, A.; Gueorguieva, I.; Stuckens, C.; Pienkowski, C.; Lebon-Labich, B.; Paris, F.; Sultan, C. Molecular analysis of WNT4 gene in four adolescent girls with müllerian duct abnormality and hyperandrogenism (atypical Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome). *Fertil. Steril.* **2011**, *95*, 2683–2686.
76. Ragitha, T.S.; Sunish, K.S.; Gilvaz, S.; Daniel, S.; Varghese P.R.; et al. Mutation analysis of WNT4 gene in SRY negative 46,XX DSD patients with Müllerian agenesis and/or gonadal dysgenesis- An Indian study. *Gene* **2023**, *861*, 147236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2023.147236>.
77. Bignon-Laubert, A.; Konrad, D.; Navratil, F.; Schoenle, E.J. A WNT4 Mutation Associated with Müllerian-Duct Regression and Virilization in a 46,XX Woman. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2004**, *351*, 792–798.
78. Kyei Barffour, I.; Kyei Baah Kwarkoh, R. GREB1L as a candidate gene of Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser Syndrome. *Eur J Med Genet.* **2021**, *64*, 3, 104158.
79. Herlin, M.K.; Le-Quy, V.; Højland, A.T.; Ernst, A.; Okkels, H.; Petersen, A.C.; Petersen, M.B.; Pedersen, I.S. Whole-exome sequencing identifies a GREB1L variant in a three-generation family with Müllerian and renal agenesis: A novel candidate gene in Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser (MRKH) syndrome. A case report. *Hum. Reprod.* **2019**, *34*, 1838–1846.
80. Jacquinet, A.; Boujemla, B.; Fasquelle, C.; Thiry, J.; Josse, C.; Lumaka, A.; Brischoux-Boucher, E.; Dubourg, C.; David, V.; Pasquier, L.; et al. GREB1L variants in familial and sporadic hereditary urogenital adysplasia and Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *Clin. Genet.* **2020**, *98*, 126–137.
81. Xing, Q.; Xu, Z.; Zhu, Y.; Wang, X.; Wang, J.; Chen, D.; Xu, Y.; He, X.; Xiang, H.; Wang, B.; et al. Genetic analysis of DACT1 in 100 Chinese Han women with Müllerian duct anomalies. *Reprod. Biomed. Online* **2016**, *32*, 420–426.
82. Ravel, C.; Bashamboo, A.; Bignon-Topalovic, J.; Siffroi, J.-P.; McElreavey, K.; Darai, E. Polymorphisms in DLGH1 and LAMC1 in Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *Reprod. Biomed. Online* **2012**, *24*, 462–465.
83. Brucker, S.Y.; Frank, L.; Eisenbeis, S.; Henes, M.; Wallwiener, D.; Riess, O.; van Eijck, B.; Schöller, D.; Bonin, M.; Rall, K.K. Sequence variants in ESR1 and OXTR are associated with Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* **2017**, *96*, 1338–1346.
84. Brucker, S.Y.; Eisenbeis, S.; König, J.; Lamy, M.; Salker, M.S.; Zeng, N.; Seeger, H.; Henes, M.; Scholler, D.; Schonfisch, B.; et al. Decidualization is Impaired in Endometrial Stromal Cells from Uterine Rudiments in Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser Syndrome. *Cell Physiol. Biochem.* **2017**, *41*, 1083–1097.

85. Miyamoto, Y.; Taniguchi, H.; Hamel, F.; Silversides, D.W.; Viger, R.S. A GATA4/WT1 cooperation regulates transcription of genes required for mammalian sex determination and differentiation. *BMC Mol Biol.* 2008, 9, 44.
86. Li, H.; Liao, S.; Luo, G.; Li, H.; Wang, S.; Li, Z.; Luo, X. An Association between EMX2 Variations and Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser Syndrome: A Case-Control Study of Chinese Women. *J. Healthc. Eng.* 2022, 2022, 1–6.
87. Liu, S.; Gao, X.; Qin, Y.; Liu, W.; Huang, T.; Ma, J.; Simpson, J.L.; Chen, Z.-J. Nonsense mutation of EMX2 is potential causative for uterus didelphys: First molecular explanation for isolated incomplete müllerian fusion. *Fertil. Steril.* **2015**, 103, 769–774.e2.
88. Smol, T.; Ribero-Karrouz, W.; Edery, P.; Gorduza, D.B.; Catteau-Jonard, S.; Manouvrier-Hanu, S.; Ghomid, J. Mayer-Rokitansky-Kunster-Hauser syndrome due to 2q12.1q14.1 deletion: PAX8 the causing gene? *Eur. J. Med. Genet.* 2020, 63, 103812.
89. Gervasini, C.; Grati, F.R.; Lalatta, F.; Tabano, S.; Gentilin, B.; Colapietro, P.; De Toffol, S.; Frontino, G.; Motta, F.; Maitz, S.; et al. SHOX duplications found in some cases with type I Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser syndrome. *Genet. Med.* **2010**, 12, 634–640.
90. Ma, J.; Qin, Y.; Liu, W.; Duan, H.; Xia, M.; Chen, Z.-J. Analysis of PBX1 mutations in 192 Chinese women with Müllerian duct abnormalities. *Fertil. Steril.* **2011**, 95, 2615–2617.
91. National Center for Biotechnology Information. Available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/7849>. Accessed on 10 September 2023
92. Timmreck, L.S.; Gray, M.R.; Handelin, B.; Allito, B.; Rohlf, E.; Davis, A.J.; Gidwani, G.; Reindollar, R.H. Analysis of cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator gene mutations in patients with congenital absence of the uterus and vagina. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* **2003**, 120, 72–76.
93. Drummond, J.B.; Rezende, C.F.; Peixoto, F.C.; Carvalho, J.S.; Reis, F.M.; De Marco, L. Molecular analysis of the β -catenin gene in patients with the Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *J. Assist. Reprod. Genet.* **2008**, 25, 511–514.
94. Lalwani, S.; Wu, H.-H.; Reindollar, R.H.; Gray, M.R. HOXA10 mutations in congenital absence of uterus and vagina. *Fertil. Steril.* **2008**, 89, 325–330.
95. Takahashi, K.; Hayano, T.; Sugimoto, R.; Kashiwagi, H.; Shinoda, M.; Nishijima, Y.; Suzuki, T.; Suzuki, S.; Ohnuki, Y.; Kondo, A.; et al. Exome and copy number variation analyses of Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *Hum. Genome Var.* **2018**, 5, 27.
96. Petrozza, J. C.; Gray, M. R.; Davis, A. J.; Reindollar, R. H. Congenital absence of the uterus and vagina is not commonly transmitted as a dominant genetic trait: outcomes of surrogate pregnancies. *Fertility and sterility* 1997, 67, 2, 387–389. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-0282\(97\)81927-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-0282(97)81927-9)
97. Hentrich, T.; Koch, A.; Weber, N.; Kilzheimer, A.; Maia, A.; Burkhardt, S.; Rall, K.; Casadei, N.; Kohlbacher, O.; Riess, O.; Schulze-Hentrich, J. M.; & Brucker, S. Y. The Endometrial Transcription Landscape of MRKH Syndrome. *Frontiers in cell and developmental biology*, 2020, 8, 572281. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2020.572281>
98. Brakta, S.; Hawkins, Z.A.; Sahajpal, N.; Seman, N.; Kira, D.; et al. Rare structural variants, aneuploidies, and mosaicism in individuals with Mullerian aplasia detected by optical genome mapping. *Hum Genet.* 2023, 142, 4, 483–494. doi: 10.1007/s00439-023-02522-8.
99. Murry, J.B.; Santos, X.M.; Wang, X.; Wan, Y.-W.; Veyver, I.B.V.D.; Dietrich, J.E. A genome-wide screen for copy number alterations in an adolescent pilot cohort with müllerian anomalies. *Fertil. Steril.* **2015**, 103, 487–493.
100. Wang, P.; Zhao, H.; Sun, M.; Li, Y.; Chen, Z.-J. PAX2 in 192 Chinese women with Müllerian duct abnormalities: Mutation analysis. *Reprod. Biomed. Online* **2012**, 25, 219–222.
101. Chang, X.; Qin, Y.; Xu, C.; Li, G.; Zhao, X.; Chen, Z.-J. Mutations in WNT4 are not responsible for Müllerian duct abnormalities in Chinese women. *Reprod. Biomed. Online* **2012**, 24, 630–633.
102. Liatsikos, S.A.; Grimbizis, G.F.; Georgiou, I.; Papadopoulos, N.; Lazaros, L.; Bontis, J.N.; Tarlatzis, B.C. HOX A10 and HOX A11 mutation scan in congenital malformations of the female genital tract. *Reprod. Biomed. Online* **2010**, 21, 126–132.
103. Hofstetter, G.; Concini, N.; Marth, C.; Rinne, T.; Erdel, M.; Janecke, A. Genetic analyses in a variant of Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser syndrome (MURCS association). *Wien. Klin. Wochenschr.* **2008**, 120, 435–439.

104. Burel, A.; Mouchel, T.; Odent, S.; Tiker, F.; Knebelmann, B.; Pellerin, I.; Guerrier, D. Role of HOXA7 to HOXA13 and PBX1 genes in various forms of MRKH syndrome (congenital absence of uterus and vagina). *J. Negat. Results Biomed.* **2006**, *5*, 4.
105. Acien, P.; Galán, F.; Manchón, I.; Ruiz, E.; Acien, M.; Alcaraz, A.L. Hereditary renal adysplasia, pulmonary hypoplasia and Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser (MRKH) syndrome: A case report. *Orphanet J. Rare Dis.* **2010**, *5*, 6.
106. Resendes, B.L.; Sohn, S.H.; Stelling, J.R.; Tineo, R.; Davis, A.J.; Gray, M.R.; Reindollar, R.H. Role for anti-Müllerian hormone in congenital absence of the uterus and vagina. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* **2001**, *98*, 129–136.
107. Clément-Ziza, M.; Khen-Dunlop, N.; Gonzales, J.; Crétolle-Vastel, C.; Picard, J.-Y.; Tullio-Pelet, A.; Besmond, C.; Munnich, A.; Lyonnet, S.; Nihoul-Fékété, C. Exclusion of WNT4 as a major gene in Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser anomaly. *Am. J. Med. Genet. Part A* **2005**, *137*, 98–99.
108. Zenteno, J.C.; Carranza-Lira, S.; Kofman-Alfaro, S. Molecular analysis of the anti-Müllerian hormone, the anti-Müllerian hormone receptor, and galactose-1-phosphate uridyl transferase genes in patients with the Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *Arch. Gynecol. Obstet.* **2004**, *269*, 270–273.
109. Klipstein, S.; Bhagavath, B.; Topipat, C.; Sasur, L.; Reindollar, R.; Gray, M. The N314D polymorphism of the GALT gene is not associated with congenital absence of the uterus and vagina. *Mol. Hum. Reprod.* **2003**, *9*, 171–174.
110. Plevraki, E.; Kita, M.; Goulis, D.G.; Hatzisevastou-Loukidou, H.; Lambropoulos, A.F.; Avramides, A. Bilateral ovarian agenesis and the presence of the testis-specific protein 1-Y-linked gene: Two new features of Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *Fertil. Steril.* **2004**, *81*, 689–692.
111. Cramer, D.W.; Goldstein, D.P.; Fraer, C.; Reichardt, J. Vaginal agenesis (Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser Syndrome) associated with the N314D mutation of galactose-1-phosphate uridyl transferase (GALT). *Mol. Hum. Reprod.* **1996**, *2*, 145–148.
112. Schrader, T.J. 2003. Available at: Encyclopedia of Food Sciences and Nutrition (Second Edition). Accessed on 20 September 2023
113. Liu, J.L.C. Coding or Noncoding, the Converging Concepts of RNAs. *Front. Genet.* **2019**, *10*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00496>
114. Candidate gene. Available at <https://www.genome.gov/genetics-glossary/Candidate-Gene> Accessed on 20 August 2023.
115. Brewer, C.; Holloway, S.; Zawalnyski, P.; Schinzel, A.; FitzPatrick, D. A chromosomal deletion map of human malformations. *Am J Hum Genet.* **1998**, *63*, 4, 1153–1159. doi: 10.1086/302041.
116. Clancy, S.; Shaw, K. DNA deletion and duplication and the associated genetic disorders. *Nature Education* **2008**, *1*, 1, 23.
117. Kobayashi, A.; Shawlot, W.; Kania, A.; Behringer, R.R. Requirement of *Lim1* for female reproductive tract development. *Development* **2004**, *131*, 539–549.
118. Cheng, Z.; Zhu, Y.; Su, D.; Wang, J.; Cheng, L.; et al. A novel mutation of HOXA10 in a Chinese woman with a Mullerian duct anomaly. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* **2011**, *26*, 11, 3197–3201. <https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/der290>
119. Ekici, A.B.; Strissel, P.L.; Oppelt, P.G.; Renner, S.P.; Brucker, S.; Beckmann, M.W.; Strick, R. HOXA10 and HOXA13 sequence variations in human female genital malformations including congenital absence of the uterus and vagina. *Gene* **2013**, *518*, 267–272.
120. Biason-Lauber, A.; De Filippo, G.; Konrad, D.; Scarano, G.; Nazzaro, A.; Schoenle, E. WNT4 deficiency—a clinical phenotype distinct from the classic Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome: A Case Report. *Hum. Reprod.* **2007**, *22*, 224–229.
121. Philibert, P.; Biason-Lauber, A.; Rouzier, R.; Pienkowski, C.; Paris, F.; Konrad, D.; Schoenle, E.; Sultan, C. Identification and Functional Analysis of a New WNT4 Gene Mutation among 28 Adolescent Girls with Primary Amenorrhea and Müllerian Duct Abnormalities: A French Collaborative Study. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2008**, *93*, 895–900.
122. Ravel, C.; Lorenço, D.; Dessolle, L.; et al. Mutational analysis of the WNT gene family in women with Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *Fertil Steril* **2009**, *91*, 4 Suppl, 1604–1607.
123. Vainio, S.; Heikkilä, M.; Kispert, A.; Chin, N.; McMahon, A.P. Female development in mammals is regulated by Wnt-4 signalling. *Nature* **1999**, *397*, 6718, 405–409.
124. Mansouri, A.; Chowdhury, K.; Gruss, P. Follicular cells of the thyroid gland require Pax8 gene function. *Nat. Genet.* **1998**, *19*, 87–90.

125. Sauter, C.N.; McDermid, R.L.; Weinberg, A.L.; Greco, T.L.; Xu, X.; et al. Differentiation of murine embryonic stem cells induces progesterone receptor gene expression. *Exp Cell Res.* 2005, 311, 2, 251–264. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2005.09.005.
126. Lappin, T.R.; Grier, D.G.; Thompson, A.; Halliday, H.L. HOX genes: seductive science, mysterious mechanisms. *Ulster Med J.* 2006, 75, 1, 23–31. Erratum in: *Ulster Med J.* 2006, 75, 2, 135.
127. Taylor, H.S. Endocrine disruptors affect developmental programming of HOX gene expression. *Fertil Steril* 2008, 89: e57–e58.
128. Aubin, J.; Lemieux, M.; Tremblay, M.; Behringer, R.R.; Jeannotte, L. Transcriptional interferences at the Hoxa4/Hoxa5 locus: Importance of correct Hoxa5 expression for the proper specification of the axial skeleton. *Dev Dyn.* 1998, 212, 141–156.
129. Yi, C.H.; Terrett, J.A.; Li, Q.Y.; Ellington, K.; Packham, E.A.; et al. Identification, mapping, and phylogenomic analysis of four new human members of the T-box gene family: EOMES, TBX6, TBX18, and TBX19. *Genomics* 1999, 55, 10–20.
130. Coffinier, C.; Barra, J.; Babinet, C.; Yaniv, M. Expression of the vHNF1/HNF1beta homeoprotein gene during mouse organogenesis. *Mech Dev.* 1999, 89, 211–213.
131. Lokmane, L.; Heliot, C.; Garcia-Villalba, P.; Fabre, M.; Cereghini, S. vHNF1 functions in distinct regulatory circuits to control ureteric bud branching and early nephrogenesis. *Development* 2010, 137, 2, 347–357.
132. Carroll T.J.; Park, J.; Hayashi, S.; Majumdar, A.; McMahon A.P. Wnt9b Plays a Central Role in the Regulation of Mesenchymal to Epithelial Transitions Underlying Organogenesis of the Mammalian Urogenital System, *Developmental Cell* 2005, 9, 2, 283–292. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2005.05.016>.
133. Anant, M.; Raj, N.; Yadav, N.; Prasad, A.; Kumar, S.; Saxena, A.K. Two Distinctively Rare Syndromes in a Case of Primary Amenorrhea: 18p Deletion and Mayer–Rokitansky–Kuster–Hauser Syndromes. *J. Pediatr. Genet.* **2020**, 9, 193–197.
134. De Tomasi, L.; David, P.; Humbert, C.; Silbermann, F.; Arrondel, C.; Tores, F.; Fouquet, S.; Desgrange, A.; Niel, O.; Bole-Feysot, C.; et al. Mutations in GREB1L Cause Bilateral Kidney Agenesis in Humans and Mice. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 2017, 101, 803–814.
135. Mason, H.R.; Lake, A.C.; Wubben, J.E.; Nowak, R.A.; Castellot, J.J. Jr. The growth arrest-specific gene Ccn5 is deficient in human leiomyomas and inhibits the proliferation and motility of cultured human uterine smooth muscle cells. *Mol Hum Reprod.* 2004, 10, 181–187.
136. Chen, G.; Shinka, T.; Kinoshita, K.; Yan, H.T.; Iwamoto, T.; Nakahori, Y. Roles of estrogen receptor alpha (ER alpha) in the regulation of the human Müllerian inhibitory substance (MIS) promoter. *J Med Invest* 2003, 50, 192–198.
137. Dell'Edera, D.; Allegretti, A.; Ventura, M.; Mercuri, L.; Mitidieri, A.; Cuscianna, G.; Epifania, A.A.; Morizio, E.; Alfonsi, M.; Guanciali-Franchi, P. Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome with 22q11.21 microduplication: A case report. *J. Med. Case Rep.* 2021, 15, 208.
138. Wottgen, M.; Brucker, S.; Renner, S.P.; et al. Higher incidence of linked malformations in siblings of Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser-syndrome patients. *Hum Reprod* 2008, 23, 5, 1226–1231.
139. Shawlot, W.; Behringer, R.R. Requirement for Lfml in head-organizer function. *Nature* 1995, 374, 425–430.
140. Aydos, S.; Tükün, A.; Bökesoy, I. Gonadal dysgenesis and the Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser syndrome in a girl with 46,X,del(X)(pter!q22:). *Arch. Gynecol. Obstet.* **2003**, 267, 173–174.
141. Kucheria, K.; Taneja, N.; Kinra, G. Autosomal translocation of chromosomes 12q & 14q in mullerian duct failure. *Indian J Med Res.* 1988, 87, 290–292.
142. Amesse, L.; Yen, F.F.; Weisskopf, B.; Hertweck, S.P. Vaginal uterine agenesis associated with amastia in a phenotypic female with a de novo 46,XX,t(8;13)(q22.1;q32.1) translocation. *Clin Genet* 1999, 55, 493–495.
143. ACTR3B Available at: <https://www.proteinatlas.org/ENSG00000133627-ACTR3B/tissue> (Accessed on 15 February 2023)
144. Leacock S.W. Available at <https://bio.libretexts.org/@go/page/102481?pdf> . Accessed on 4 October 2023
145. Dhar, G.A.; Saha, S.; Mitra, P.; Nag Chaudhuri, R. DNA methylation and regulation of gene expression: Guardian of our health. *Nucleus (Calcutta).* 2021, 64, 3, 259–270. doi: 10.1007/s13237-021-00367-y.
146. Sandbacka, M.; Bruce, S.; Halttunen, M.; Puhakka, M.; Lahermo, P.; Hannula-Jouppi, K.; Lipsanen-Nyman, M.; Kere, J.; Aittomäki, K.; Laivuori, H. Methylation of H19 and its imprinted control region (H19 ICR1) in Müllerian aplasia. *Fertil. Steril.* 2011, 95, 2703–2706.

147. Eggermann, T.; Ledig, S.; Begemann, M.; Elbracht, M.; Kurth, I.; Wieacker, P. Search for altered imprinting marks in Mayer–Rokitansky–Küster–Hauser patients. *Mol. Genet. Genom. Med.* **2018**, *6*, 1225–1228. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mgg3.426>
148. Saso, S.; Clarke, A.; Bracewell-Milnes, T.; Saso, A.; Al-Memar, M.; et al. Psychological issues associated with absolute uterine factor infertility and attitudes of patients toward uterine transplantation. *Prog Transplant* **2016**, *28*–39.
149. Herlin, M.K.; Petersen, M.B.; Brännström, M. Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser (MRKH) syndrome: a comprehensive update. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* **2020**, *15*, 214. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13023-020-01491-9>
150. Hodson, N.; Townley, L.; Earp, B.D. Removing harmful options: the law and ethics of international commercial surrogacy. *Med Law Rev.* **2019**, *27*, 597–622.
151. International Federation of Fertility Societies (IFFS). IFFS surveillance 2016. *Glob Reprod Health* **2016**, *1*, 1–143.
152. White, P.M. Commercialization, altruism, clinical practice: seeking explanation for similarities and differences in Californian and Canadian gestational surrogacy outcomes. *Womens Health Issues.* **2018**, *28*, 239–250.
153. Saran, J.; Padubidri, J.R. New laws ban commercial surrogacy in India. *Med Leg J.* **2020**, <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32216696/>.
154. Brännström, M.; Johannesson, L.; Bokström, H.; Kvarnström, N.; McElne, J.; et al. Livebirth after uterus transplantation. *Lancet* **2015**, *385*, 607–616.
155. Jones, B.P.; Saso, S.; Bracewell-Milnes, T.; Thum, M.Y.; Nicopoullos, T.; et al. Human uterine transplantation: a review of outcomes from the first 45 cases. *BJOG* **2019**, *126*, 1310–1319.
156. Johannesson, L.; Enskog, A.; McElne, J.; Diaz-Garcia, C.; Hanafy, A.; et al. Preclinical report on allogeneic uterus transplantation in non-human primates. *Hum Reprod* **2013**, *28*, 189–198.
157. McElne, J.; Broecker, V.; Ekberg, J.; Nilsson, O.; Dahm-Köhler, P.; et al. Monitoring of human uterus transplantation with cervical biopsies: a provisional scoring system for rejection. *Am J Transplant* **2017**, *17*, 1628–1636.
158. London, N.J.; Farmery, S.M.; Will, E.J.; Davison, A.M.; Lodge, J.P. Risk of neoplasia in renal transplant patients. *Lancet* **1995**, *346*, 403–406.
159. Catsanos, R.; Rogers, W.; Lotz, M. The ethics of uterus transplantation. *Bioethics* **2013**, *27*, 65–73.
160. Arora, K.S.; Blake, V. Uterus transplantation: ethical and regulatory challenges. *J Med Ethics* **2014**, *40*, 396–400.
161. Daar, J.; Klipstein, S. Refocusing the ethical choices in womb transplantation. *J Law Biosci* **2016**, *3*, 383–388.

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.