

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

Vector-Borne Zoonotic Lymphadenitis – the Causative Agents, Epidemiology, Diagnostic Approach and Therapeutic Possibilities – An Overview

Martina Oršolić , Nikolina Bogdanić , [Mirjana Balen Topic](#) *

Posted Date: 5 December 2023

doi: 10.20944/preprints202312.0229.v1

Keywords: vector-borne; zoonosis; lymphadenitis; tick; diagnosis; therapy



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Review

Vector-Borne Zoonotic Lymphadenitis—The Causative Agents, Epidemiology, Diagnostic Approach and Therapeutic Possibilities—An Overview

Martina Oršolić ¹, Nikolina Bogdanić ¹ and Mirjana Balen Topić ^{1,2,*}

¹ University Hospital for Infectious Diseases "Dr. Fran Mihaljević", Zagreb, Croatia; morsolic@bfm.hr, nbogdanic@bfm.hr

² School of Medicine, University of Zagreb, Croatia; mbalen@bfm.hr

* Correspondence: mbalen@bfm.hr; Tel.: +385914012537

Abstract: Besides common skin pathogens, acute focal lymphadenitis in humans can be caused by a zoonotic pathogen in rare cases. Furthermore, it can develop in absence of any direct or indirect contact with infected animal in cases when the microorganism is transmitted by vector. This clinical entity is rare, and therefore not easily recognized in most regions, yet many zoonotic illnesses are considered emerging or re-emerging nowadays. Focal zoonotic vector-borne lymphadenitis and its numerous causative agents with their variegated clinical manifestations have been described in some case reports and small case series. Therefore, we tried to summarize those data in this narrative overview, with the aim to rise clinical awareness, which could improve clinical outcomes. This overview briefly covers reported pathogens, their vectors and geographic distribution, as well as their main clinical manifestations, diagnostic possibilities and recommended therapy. Vector-borne tularemia, plague, bartonellosis, rickettsioses, borreliosis and Malayan filariasis are mentioned. According to the existing data, when acute focal bacterial vector-borne zoonotic lymphadenitis is suspected, in severe or complicated cases it seems prudent to apply combined aminoglycoside (or quinolone) plus doxycycline as an empirical therapy, pending definite diagnostic results. In this field the „one health approach” and further epidemiological and clinical studies are needed.

Keywords: vector-borne; zoonosis; lymphadenitis; tick; diagnosis; therapy

1. Introduction

Acute focal lymphadenitis is usually an infectious inflammation of one or more lymph nodes in one anatomic region. When lymph nodes are palpable beneath the skin it can manifest by a combination of symptoms including enlargement, tenderness and pain of lymph nodes, redness of the overlying skin, and, in most cases by fever. With the very rare exception of direct inoculation of microorganisms into the lymph node by trauma, diagnostic puncture, biopsy or surgical procedures, the majority of lymphadenitis reflects infection of the tissues drained by regional lymphatic vessels. This clinical entity can be caused by bacteria, viruses, spirochetes, fungi or parasites [1]. In the past, *Staphylococcus* spp., *Streptococcus* spp., and *Mycobacterium* spp. were the primary infectious agents commonly found in lymph nodes. However, with the use of new diagnostic tools, new emerging microorganisms responsible for lymphadenitis have been recognized, including zoonotic pathogens [2]. Microorganisms causing focal lymphadenitis usually enter through mucous membranes or damaged skin directly, having the source in respiratory tract aerosol or droplets of infected persons or animals (i.e. streptococcal infections, tuberculous and nontuberculous mycobacterial infections, cutaneous form of *Corynebacterium diphtheriae* infection), originate from contaminated environment (i.e. staphylococcal infection, cutaneous anthrax), or from urogenital excretions (genital herpes simplex infection, syphilis, lymphogranuloma venereum, chancroid, HIV). Focal palpable



lymphadenitis in humans can also develop after consuming contaminated food (tularemia or brucellosis) or may be caused by actinomycetes, otherwise noninvasive commensal floras members, after a local disruption of mucous membrane continuity. However, focal lymphadenitis can have a zoonotic origin and can develop after animal scratch, bite or after direct or indirect contact with animals (i.e. bartonellosis- cat-scratch disease [3], brucellosis [4,5], *Capnocytophaga canimorsus* [6,7], *Pasteurella multocida* [8], and *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* infection [9]. Furthermore, the microorganisms causing focal lymphadenitis could be introduced to human body by arthropod vectors (i.e. *Yersinia pestis*, *Francisella tularensis*, *Bartonellae*, *filiae*, *Borrelia* spp., *Rickettsiae*).

Differential diagnosis of multifocal/generalized lymphadenitis is even broader. The most common infectious causes are EBV and CMV infection, HIV infection, toxoplasmosis, brucellosis, secondary syphilis, disseminated histoplasmosis, mycobacterial infection, and cryptococcosis [10,11].

In rare cases of focal lymphadenitis, the patient claims local identified or unidentified arthropod bite. Also, in some cases, the small bite-wound or eschar in a local lymphatic drainage region, suggesting the arthropod vector bite, can be found in a patient who cannot recall an arthropod bite. Since the local skin mark can be nonspecific, it could be easily substituted for a non-specific wound, and empirical antibiotic therapy can easily be mistakenly pointed towards common bacterial skin pathogens.

In general, it has been assumed that 60% of all human infectious diseases originated from animals, and even in circumstances of Covid-19 pandemic, according to the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) data, an increased number of reported cases of zoonotic illnesses has been observed in 2021 compared to the year before [12,13].

In case of zoonotic infection transmitted by arthropod vector, the spatial (geographical) and temporal relationship between the affected human and infected animal reservoir is blurred, which makes the establishing of the diagnosis more difficult. The presumably low overall incidence of vector-borne zoonotic lymphadenitis, or introducing of the disease by travelers, migrants, migrating infected animals or vectors from endemic to the low or zero incidence regions, may cause a delay in diagnosis and could have a negative impact on the disease outcome. Especially in cases of highly lethal and highly infectious causative agents (i. e. *Yersinia pestis*, *Francisella tularensis*) the elevated level of clinical suspicion is crucial. To increase the awareness, in this review we attempt to explore the data on possible vector-borne zoonotic focal lymphadenitis pathogens, describe the epidemiology, spectrum of possible clinical manifestations, summarize diagnostic approaches according to the pathogen, and suggest therapeutic options.

2. Tularemia

Tularemia is a serious, potentially life-threatening zoonotic disease also known as Francis disease, Pahvant Valley plague, deer-fly fever, rabbit fever, market men disease, water-rat trappers' disease, wild hare disease (yato-byo), and Ohara disease [14].

2.1. Microbiology and epidemiology

The causative agent of the disease is *Francisella tularensis*, a small, aerobic, catalase-positive, pleomorphic, gram-negative coccobacillus, nonmotile, non-spore forming, facultative intracellular pathogen that may be easily disseminated with a lethal dose of less than 10 organisms [14]. The genus *Francisella* includes four species that are potential human pathogens: *F. tularensis*, *F. philomiragia*, *F. hispaniensis* and *F. opportunistica*. *F. tularensis* is divided into four subspecies: *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* (*F. tularensis* type A), *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* (*F. tularensis* type B), *F. tularensis* subsp. *mediasiatica*, and *F. tularensis* subsp. *novicida*. *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* (type A strains) and *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* (type B strains) are responsible for most human cases. Human disease is rarely associated with the other subspecies [15,16]. Tularemia occurs only in the Northern hemisphere. Type A strains are found in North America and rarely in Europe. Type B strains are found predominantly in Asia, Australia, and Europe but also in North America and are less virulent in humans [14,17]. The organism infects a wide variety of wild and domestic vertebrates and invertebrates. In Europe, important mammals associated with *F. tularensis* infection include hares,

hamsters, mice, rats, beavers, lemmings, and voles. Transmission of *F. tularensis* to humans occurs most often by direct animal contact or indirect contact with contaminated animal products or through the bite of an insect (ticks, mosquitoes, biting flies, horse flies, fleas, and lice). Other routes of transmission include aerosol droplets, contact with contaminated water or mud and animal bites [14]. Also, inoculation from freshwater fishhook injury has been described as like transmissions during an autopsy and from solid organ transplantation [18–21]. *F. tularensis* is highly contagious for humans and because of the potential danger to laboratory workers they should be warned when tularemia is suspected so they can manipulate with specimens using Biosafety Level 3 practices, especially during procedures that might produce aerosols or droplets [22,23]. Human-to-human spread does not occur [24].

2.2. Pathogenesis

Infection occurs when *F. tularensis* penetrate through sites of skin and mucosa disruption that may be inapparent, most often of conjunctival sac or oropharyngeal mucosa. The organism can also penetrate intact skin directly, or be introduced through the skin by arthropod vector bite. The infectious dose in humans depends on the site of inoculation. When inoculation occurs through skin, mucous membranes or when inhaled, the infectious dose is 10 to 50 organisms. When ingested, 10^6 to 10^8 organisms are required. After cutaneous inoculation, in the first 3-5 days *F. tularensis* is multiplying at the site of inoculation and produces a papule. Ulceration may begin 2 to 4 days later. After multiplying at the site of inoculation it spreads to the regional lymph nodes and then may spread systemically by lymphohematogenous route and involve multiple organs [14,25,26]. Infection with *F. tularensis* is characterized by an acute inflammatory response at sites of inoculation and involves fibrin, neutrophils, macrophages, and T lymphocytes that result in tissue necrosis. As the area of necrosis expands, thrombosis of adjacent arteries and veins may occur. Characteristic of tularemia are usually small, sometimes confluent granulomatous foci, which may caseate and be mistaken for tuberculosis. In some cases, necrotic foci may coalesce to form abscesses [27].

2.3. Clinical manifestation

Clinical manifestation of *F. tularensis* infection can range from asymptomatic or inconsequential illness to acute sepsis and rapid death which depends on the virulence of the particular organism, the portal of entry, the extent of systemic involvement, and the immune status of the host. Approximately three to five days (range 1 to 21 days) following exposure, nonspecific systemic symptoms of tularemia start abruptly and include fever, chills, anorexia, malaise, headache, fatigue, cough, myalgias, chest discomfort, vomiting, sore throat, abdominal pain and diarrhea. Fever classically lasts for several days but without treatment, fever lasts for an average of 32 days, while chronic fatigue, weight loss, and lymphadenopathy may persist for many months longer. Less virulent strains cause a milder, self-limited illness that may resolve without therapy [14,28]. Depending on the portal of entry there are six major clinical forms of tularemia: ulceroglandular, glandular, oculoglandular, pharyngeal (oropharyngeal), pneumonic and typhoidal [29].

Ulceroglandular disease is the most common clinical form of tularemia. Patients usually report recent tick bite or animal contact. Patients with typical clinical presentation have fever and a single erythematous papulo-ulcerative lesion with a central eschar at the site of inoculation (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Local eschar on a lower leg skin in a patient with tularemia diagnosed by serology and molecular methods, who developed extensive purulent inguinal lymphadenitis.

They also have enlarged and tender regional lymphadenopathy which can occur before, at the same time, or shortly after the appearance of the skin lesion. Cervical and occipital lymphadenopathy are most common in children, while inguinal lymphadenopathy is the most common among adults. Skin changes over the involved nodes suggests underlying suppuration. Suppuration of affected lymph nodes is a relatively common complication and may occur despite antibiotic therapy in either ulceroglandular or glandular tularemia. Glandular tularemia occurs when patients present with tender regional lymphadenopathy but in the absence of a visible cutaneous lesion [14,28–30]. Oculoglandular tularemia occurs in a minority of cases due to entrance of *F. tularensis* through the conjunctiva. Eye symptoms include pain, photophobia and increased lacrimation. Symptoms are usually unilateral but may be bilateral, which is uncommon. Examination may show conjunctival erythema with edema, conjunctival purulence, small conjunctival ulcers or nodules, and periorbital erythema and/or edema. Tender regional lymphadenopathy may occur. Complications include corneal ulceration, dacryocystitis, nodal suppuration and rarely vision loss [31,32]. Some cases of unilateral uveitis have been described [33]. Tularemia may be the cause of Parinaud oculoglandular syndrome [34]. Pharyngeal tularemia is the result of ingestion of contaminated food or water. The predominant symptoms are fever, severe throat pain, and a neck lymphadenopathy. Examination shows an exudative pharyngitis and tonsillitis, one or more pharyngeal or tonsillar ulcers and cervical lymphadenopathy [14]. Typhoidal tularemia is a form of tularemia where clinical presentation can range from acute sepsis to a chronic febrile illness. Patients don't have regional lymphadenopathy or some other localizing signs which can refer to other forms of tularemia. Most frequent symptoms are fever, chills, headache, anorexia, myalgias, sore throat, cough, nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, and diarrhea which is main manifestation only in typhoidal tularemia. Patients can be dehydrated and hypotensive. Examination can show mild pharyngitis, cervical lymphadenopathy and diffuse abdominal tenderness. Hepatomegaly and splenomegaly are found in later stages of illness [14,35]. Pneumonic tularemia, depending on the route of transmission, can be primary or secondary. Primary pneumonic tularemia results from breathing dusts or aerosols containing the organism. Some occupations are at risk for acquiring this form of tularemia, such as sheep shearers, farmers, landscapers and laboratory workers. Symptoms include fever, cough with scant sputum production, substernal tightness and pleuritic chest pain [36]. Secondary pneumonic tularemia results in bacterial spread through the bloodstream to the lungs and may be a complication of any of the major forms of tularemia but is most common with the typhoidal and ulceroglandular forms [29].

2.4. Diagnosis

The diagnosis of tularemia is based on clinical suspicion. If the patient has epidemiological risk factors, specific clinical features, or the symptoms developed after an arthropod, usually tick bite, the diagnosis of tularemia should be suspected. Laboratory test results are nonspecific. Serology is most commonly used to confirm the diagnosis and should be used only in patients with high degree of

clinical suspicion of tularemia. It should not be used as a screening test. Techniques that are used for detection of antibodies to *F. tularensis* are tube agglutination test, microagglutination tests, latex agglutination test, immunofluorescence assay (IFA), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and immunochromatographic assay (ICT). In Europe, ELISA is used more than in the United States where tube agglutination and microagglutination tests are usually performed [37,38]. An early performed serology test may be negative during the early stage of the disease, so initial negative serology does not rule out infection with *F. tularensis*. So, it is important to take paired sera, first serum during the acute phase of illness (within first week of onset) and the second serum 2–3 weeks later. Serological diagnosis of tularemia is confirmed by detecting initial titer ≥ 160 for the tube agglutination test or ≥ 128 for the microagglutination tests. For definitive serologic diagnosis, a fourfold or greater change in titers of antibodies between acute and convalescent serum is necessary [39]. *F. tularensis* can be cultured from specimens like sputum, blood, pleural fluid, skin lesion drainage or biopsy, lymph node biopsy or drainage samples and pharyngeal or ocular swabs. *F. tularensis* is rarely seen on Gram-stained smears or in tissue biopsy specimens. Cultures are often negative and the organism will not grow in routinely used cultures. It is important information for notified laboratory personnel that tularemia is suspected so that appropriate media (modified Mueller-Hinton broth and thioglycollate broth) are used for cultivation, and also appropriate safety precautions taken [14,40]. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is used for the rapid diagnosis of tularemia [41]. Other methods for the rapid diagnosis of tularemia have been developed, including direct fluorescent antibody (DFA) staining of clinical specimens and immunohistochemical staining of tissue, antigen detection in urine, specific monoclonal antibodies and RNA hybridization with a 16S ribosomal probe [14].

2.5. Treatment

All patients with suspected or confirmed tularemia must be promptly treated with antibiotics. Aminoglycosides (gentamicin or streptomycin) are the drugs of choice for severe infections. The duration of aminoglycoside treatment is generally 7 to 14 days, depending on the severity of illness. Fluoroquinolone (ciprofloxacin) and doxycycline as an alternative, are the drugs of choice for adults with mild or moderate infection. Tetracyclines as bacteriostatic agents are associated with a higher relapse rate after treatment, so they are recommended to be given for at least 14 days [42]. For tularemia meningitis, a successful treatment has included a combination of aminoglycoside with doxycycline or ciprofloxacin because penetration of the aminoglycosides into the cerebrospinal fluid is poor [43]. Treatment of pregnant patients may be challenging because preferred antibiotic for the treatment of tularemia has potential risks to the fetus so optimal therapy for tularemia in pregnant patients is undefined. In one case report of tularemia in a pregnant woman from France the therapy with azithromycin was successful. Azithromycin may be an option for therapy of pregnant women in areas where infections caused by biovar 2 strains of *F. tularensis* subsp. *holartica* do not occur because of their natural resistance to macrolides [44]. In another report of four pregnant patients, the therapy with gentamicin and ciprofloxacin showed success [45]. Treatment of immunosuppressed patients with tularemia may be also challenging because they have an increased risk of treatment failure or relapse. In cases when enlarged lymph nodes suppurate incision and drainage, or even excision of lymph nodes is warranted. In cases of pneumonic tularemia when empyema occurs, debridement and drainage are also warranted.

3. Bubonic plague

3.1. Microbiology and epidemiology

Plague is a vector-borne zoonotic disease caused by the bacterium *Yersinia pestis* which is an aerobic, gram-negative coccobacillus in the family *Enterobacteriaceae*. Plague is found in all continents except Oceania but most human cases since the 1990s have occurred in Africa. The Democratic Republic of Congo, Madagascar and Peru are the three most endemic countries [46]. *Y. pestis* is usually found in small mammals and their fleas. It has been reported that more than 200 mammalian

species have been infected with *Y. pestis*, some of them are squirrels, prairie dogs, rabbits, field mice, chipmunks, rats, bobcats, domestic cats, and camels but rodents are the most important hosts [47]. The disease is transmitted between animals via fleas but it can also be transmitted from animals to humans directly [46]. Humans can be infected with *Y. pestis* via bites of rodent fleas, through direct contact with tissues and body fluids from infected animals, scratches, bites from infected domestic cats, by inhalation of cough droplets from a person or animal with pneumonic plague or by exposure during examination from corpses and carcasses. In cases of pneumonic plague, the infected person can transmit plague to another person due to direct or close contact through cough droplets which contain bacteria. This is the only way the plague can spread between humans, which poses a danger of using *Y. pestis* as a potential bioterrorism agent that could cause pneumonic plague outbreaks [48,49].

3.2. Clinical manifestation

The clinical characteristics of plague depend on the route of exposure. After 2 to 7 days, which is usual incubation period, people infected with *Y. pestis* in most cases develop influenza-like symptoms. There are three main forms of plague: bubonic plague, septicemic plague, and pneumonic plague [50]. Other less common forms are pharyngeal and meningeal plague [51]. Bubonic plague is the most common clinical manifestation of *Y. pestis* infection and it accounts for 80 to 95 percent of cases [50]. This form usually results from the bite of an infected flea. The fleas during feeding regurgitating bacteria into the bite wound. Some of inoculated bacteria are taken up by mononuclear cells and carried via lymphatics to the regional lymph nodes. The bacilli stimulate an intense inflammatory response in the lymph node and those which are draining the site of inoculation become painful, tender and swollen and are referred to as "bubo" [52]. The most frequently involved are inguinal nodes, but axillary or cervical nodes may also be involved. Bubo vary in size from 1 to 10 cm. Overlying skin may be warm, erythematous and edematous. Lymphadenopathy in bubonic plague is distinguishable from enlarged lymph nodes due to other causes by its sudden onset, their association with systemic signs of toxemia and absence of skin lesions or associated ascending lymphangitis. Occasionally, small papule or scab demarcating the site of the flea bite can be seen due to careful examination. Rarely, eschars or ulcers can be seen on site of inoculation which can be confused with those of anthrax or tularemia [53]. Bubonic plague could complicate by secondary pneumonia via hematogenous spread of *Y. pestis*, and the patient can become infectious for other persons by respiratory route of transmission, enabling the interhuman spread.

3.3. Diagnosis

It is important to have a high index of suspicion for diagnosis of bubonic plague. Early diagnosis leads to early start of antibiotic which is important to prevent severe complications leading to death. When bubonic plague is suspected, appropriate diagnostic specimens should be obtained including blood cultures, bubo aspirates, swabs of skin lesions. Bubo aspirates can be obtained by inserting a 20-gauge needle on a 10-mL syringe containing 2 mL of sterile saline solution into the bubo and withdrawing the plunger several times until the saline becomes blood tinged [14]. The gold standard for diagnosis of plague is based on the isolation of *Y. pestis* by culture from clinical samples which should be inoculated onto solid or liquid media (brain heart infusion broth, sheep blood agar, chocolate agar or MacConkey agar) and held for 5 to 7 days. Bubo aspirate can be stained with Watson or Giemsa stain and Gram stain and then examined using light microscopy. Plague also can be confirmed by passive hemagglutination test, serological method for detection antibodies to *Y. pestis* F1 antigen. It requires a four-fold rise in F1 antibody titres between acute and convalescent serum. Other rapid diagnostic methods for the diagnosis of plague are direct immunofluorescence assay (DFA) and PCR [50,54].

3.4. Treatment

Early recognition, diagnosis and administration of appropriate antimicrobial therapy is essential because if untreated, plague is fatal in over 50% of patients with bubonic plague and in nearly all cases of septicemic or pneumonic plague. Aminoglycosides are considered first-line treatment. Since 1940s when streptomycin was introduced, it has been considered a first-line agent but gentamicin has currently replaced it because streptomycin is no longer available in most countries, and it has been shown that gentamicin alone or in combination with tetracycline is an acceptable substitute [55]. Other alternatives are fluoroquinolones (levofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, or moxifloxacin), or doxycycline.

4. Bartonellosis

4.1. Microbiology

The genus *Bartonella* (family *Bartonellaceae*; order *Rhizobiales*) comprises of gram-negative intracellular bacteria transmitted by vectors and found in various mammalian hosts across the globe. Before 1990, only a single *Bartonella* species, *B. bacilliformis*, had been officially recognized. Today, there are more than 36 known species, among which at least 20 have been linked to an ever-expanding range of diseases affecting both animals and humans [56,57]. Despite frequent characterizations of *Bartonella* species as newly emerging pathogens, it is actually a human pathogen from ancient times – *Bartonella quintana* has been discovered in the dental pulp of a human who lived over 4000 years ago [58]. Through history, the primary bacterial agents responsible for human diseases have been *Bartonella bacilliformis*, *Bartonella quintana*, and *Bartonella henselae*. While there are reports of other *Bartonella* species causing diseases in humans, their roles remain less clearly defined [59].

4.2. Natural reservoirs and vectors

Molecular epidemiology methods have unveiled an impressive diversity within the *Bartonella* genus. Numerous *Bartonella* species, each adapted to different mammalian host/s and transmitted by particular arthropod vectors, have been discovered over time. Infections caused by these species seem to be pervasive across various species and geographical areas. *Bartonella* spp. have been isolated from many hosts including humans, cats, dogs, rodents, rabbits, horses, cattle, and other wild animals [56]. Usually, the infection is characterized by a persistent presence of bacteria within the erythrocytes of the reservoir. The infected blood is consumed by the blood-feeding arthropod and is passed on to another reservoir or an incidental host. The transmission cycle of bartonellosis usually involves various insect vectors, such as sand flies, cat fleas, and human body lice [56,60]. Although most *Bartonella* infections in humans develop after direct contact, such as a cat bite or scratch in the case of *B. henselae*, it can be transmitted via an insect vector, like body lice and fleas for *B. quintana* and fleas and sandflies for *B. bacilliformis* [61]. Emerging evidence indicates that *Bartonella* can also be transmitted by ticks, red ants, and spiders [57,59]. According to published case reports *B. henselae* can also be transmitted by vector (tick), causing the focal lymphadenitis in some patients [62–65].

4.3. Pathogenesis

In both humans and animals, *Bartonella* infections usually exhibit persistent bacteremia within red blood cells, often manifesting as chronic or asymptomatic condition in their reservoir hosts. These bacteria have been known to infect various cells, including erythrocytes, endothelial cells, macrophages, and even human stem cells. The infection of erythrocytes is host-specific and is facilitated by the "Trw" type IV secretion system, which enables host-restricted adhesion to erythrocytes [66–69]. Localized tissue abnormalities can manifest in both reservoir and incidental hosts, with the proliferation of bacteria within vascular tissue potentially leading to the development of angio-proliferative tumors and inflammation [70,71]. Both animals and humans may experience conditions such as endocarditis, myocarditis, or various types of vascular disorders [56]. A secondary tissue phase of bartonellosis is associated with the occurrence of vasculo-proliferative lesions,

exemplified by conditions like bacillary angiomatosis (caused by *B. henselae* and *B. quintana*) or verruga peruana (*B. bacilliformis*). These manifestations may also contribute to various other dermatological conditions [72,73]. The ability of *Bartonella* species to persist within immune-privileged intracellular environments is likely a pivotal factor in the establishment of chronic infections. Similar to other highly adapted intracellular pathogens transmitted by vectors, the precise determinants of disease presentation remain to be fully understood but are likely multifaceted. These determinants include variations in virulence among *Bartonella* species, disparities in the host's immune response, and other contributing factors, such as coinfections, immunosuppression, concurrent noninfectious diseases, and malnutrition [56].

4.4. Clinical manifestations

As the cats are the natural reservoir for *B. henselae*, and the bacterium can cause intraerythrocytic bacteremia that may persist in cats for a year or longer [74], the substantial evidence showed that *B. henselae* is the primary causative agent in most cat scratch disease (CSD) cases [75–77], which is a globally distributed illness [78]. In humans, *B. henselae* infiltrates endothelial cells, triggers an acute inflammatory response and the activation of a proinflammatory cascade [79]. CSD typically commences with a cutaneous lesion at the site of infection, called the primary inoculation lesion. Available data indicate that CSD can be contracted from a scratch or bite by a cat infected with *B. henselae* or through contact with cat fleas. Human transmission can also occur when cat saliva comes into contact with open skin or mucous membranes. Local lesion usually emerges 3 to 10 days after bacterial inoculation to the skin and typically progresses through vesicular, erythematous, and papular stages [80,81]. The hallmark of CSD is regional lymphadenopathy. However, regional lymphadenitis resembling CSD can develop after a tick bite [64,65]. Enlarged lymph nodes appear near the site of infection approximately two weeks (range: 7 to 60 days) after the bacterium enters the skin. In 85 to 90 percent of children, CSD presents as a localized skin and lymph node disease in the vicinity of the inoculation site [81]. However, in some cases, *Bartonella* spp. disseminate and affect the liver, spleen, eye, or the central nervous system. Involvement of visceral organs is a rare yet significant manifestation of CSD, especially in children. Visceral organ-related CSD can lead to persistent fever of unknown origin, abdominal discomfort, and/or weight loss [82–84]. Ocular presentations include the Parinaud oculo-glandular syndrome, characterized by preauricular lymphadenopathy and the presence of conjunctival granulomas that usually remain confined to the palpebral conjunctiva [85]. The reason why some individuals with CSD experience localized infection while others develop disseminated disease remains unknown. Lymphadenopathy can persist for several months, and musculoskeletal symptoms, including myalgia, arthralgia, and arthritis, are observed sometimes, occurring in over 10 percent of patients [82,86]. Sometimes, lymphadenopathy can persist for a longer period of time. A case of a 6-year-old boy with a history of cervical lymphadenopathy which lasted for two years was reported. Species-specific nested PCR for *B. henselae* in a whole blood sample was negative, but the amplification of an aliquot of a ten-day specific liquid culture detected *B. henselae* DNA [87]. Neuroretinitis can be a manifestation of CSD, characterized by acute unilateral visual field loss due to optic nerve edema and star-shaped macular exudates [78]. In addition to typical ocular manifestations, patients may display symptoms such as isolated optic disc edema, branch retinal artery occlusion, and retinal infiltrations [88]. A case of an 11-year-old patient with binocular fundus nodular lesions has been reported [89]. Localized disease tends to be self-limiting, while disseminated disease can lead to life-threatening complications. A report of an immunocompetent individual who developed a *B. henselae* infection, which later advanced to hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis, necessitating immediate medical treatment has recently been published [90]. CSD should be considered in the differential diagnosis of cases of unexplained fever or any lymphadenopathy syndrome [78].

4.5. Diagnosis

Diagnosing CSD relies on a combination of epidemiological, histological, and bacteriological criteria, as there is no single definitive standard. Common diagnostic methods for detecting *Bartonella* infection include serological testing, culture, histopathology, and polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Among the available blood tests, there are five options: Western blot, ELISA, IFA tests, PCR DNA detection, and culture. Due to the challenges in culturing *Bartonella* species (specific conditions and extended incubation periods), it is not routinely recommended [91,92]. Serology, particularly indirect fluorescent assay (IFA) or enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), serves as the preferred initial test. Differences between strains can result in false negative serology [93]. In a study involving 154 pediatric patients who were suspected of having CSD, the concerns related to the serological diagnosis (IFA) of CSD encompassed the utilization of low titers (1:128) for determining positivity, incomplete diagnostic assessment, and the absence of follow-up convalescent serologic testing [94]. Serologic tests (IFA and ELISA) for *B. henselae* were assessed on 51 Dutch patients with confirmed CSD (diagnosed through PCR confirmation). A commercially available IgM IFA test exhibited a sensitivity of 6%. IgM assays demonstrated specificities of 93% (IFA) and 91% (ELISA) but had relatively low sensitivities (53% and 65%, respectively). In contrast, IgG testing, with specificities of 82% (IFA) and 91% (ELISA), displayed significantly higher sensitivity in the IFA (67%) compared to the ELISA (28%, $p < 0.01$) [95]. IFA IgG titers of 1:65 or 1:128 imply a possible *Bartonella* infection and it is suggested to repeat the test in 10-14 days. IgG titers of $\geq 1:256$ strongly suggest active or recent infection. A positive IgM test strongly indicates an acute disease or a very recent infection, yet the production of IgM antibodies is typically short. There is notable cross-reactivity at the species level between *B. henselae* and *B. quintana*, particularly in IgG assays [96]. Histopathological examination of *Bartonella*-infected tissue typically reveals the presence of neutrophils, lymphocytes, and scattered debris within the lesions. The use of Warthin-Starry silver staining can reveal small, dark-staining bacteria, while electron microscopic analysis may show pleomorphic bacilli with a trilaminar wall. Advanced diagnostic techniques, such as PCR performed on lymph nodes or other materials, have been employed for *Bartonella* detection. PCR offers the advantages of high specificity and rapid identification, but it may lack sensitivity, ranging from 43% to 76%. Specifically, PCR sensitivity with lymph node tissue or aspirates is approximately 30-60% for CSD [91]. Nevertheless, when serology, culture, histology, and molecular techniques yield negative results, it is essential to conduct a thorough evaluation and, whenever feasible, consider combining these diagnostic tests [97].

4.6. Treatment

In mild cases, treatment may be unnecessary. For these situations, supportive care, including antipyretics and anti-inflammatory medications, along with warm compresses applied to the injection site, may suffice. In instances of mild to moderate symptoms in immunocompetent patients, a course of azithromycin could be considered. Research has demonstrated that a 5-day course of azithromycin can alleviate severe lymphadenopathy pain but doesn't appear to reduce the overall duration of symptoms. The recommended azithromycin dose is 10 mg/kg on the first day and 5 mg/kg from the second to the fifth day. Individuals weighing 45 kg or more can receive the dose of 500 mg on the first day and 250 mg from the second to the fifth day. Immunocompromised patients should undergo treatment to prevent the progression to severe systemic disease. There are various antibiotic regimens available, including doxycycline, rifampin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, and ciprofloxacin, for severe, disseminated disease. In severe cases, surgical intervention may be necessary, and the presence of suppuration can endure even after the surgical incision and drainage [3,98].

5. Tick-borne lymphadenopathy (TIBOLA)

The rickettsiae are traditionally divided into three groups—the spotted fever group, the typhus group, and the scrub typhus group [99]. The spotted fever group accounts for most tick-borne rickettsioses. Tick-borne lymphadenopathy (TIBOLA) is a spotted fever group disease which is

associated with a tick bite, an inoculation eschar on the scalp, and cervical lymphadenopathies. Raoult et al. in 1997 described a new tick-borne disease due to an infection with *Rickettsia slovaca* in a 39-year-old female patient who found a tick in her hair after taking an autumn walk in the woods of Pyrenées mountains [100]. The tick was identified as *Dermacentor marginatus* which in its adult form most often transmits *R. slovaca* to humans by bite during winter and early spring in a number of European countries [101]. Also in 1997, a total of 27 Hungarian patients were described with similar symptoms of enlargement of painful lymph nodes and eschar in the region of the tick bite, so based on that Lakos proposed the name TIBOLA [102]. In 2000, a Spanish study described similar clinical features in patients who were bitten by *Dermacentor marginatus* and that syndrome was named *Dermacentor*-borne necrosis erythema and lymphadenopathy (DEBONEL) [103]. Except for eschar at the site of a tick bite and occipital and/or cervical lymphadenopathy, patients can rarely have fever, rash, headache, myalgia and sometimes sequelae like persistent asthenia and localized alopecia at eschar site [104].

5.1. Diagnosis

For serological diagnosis of TIBOLA it is important to take convalescent-phase serum because during the first week of illness, reactive antibodies are usually absent, so to confirm the diagnosis, a fourfold rise in the titer is necessary. Serological tests that can be used are indirect immunofluorescence (IFA), micro immunofluorescence (MIF) antibody test, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), western blot immunoassay [105]. However, some authors claim that diagnosis of TIBOLA can usually be based on clinical and epidemiological features and, due to low sensitivity and specificity of serology, if clinical features are typical, the serological confirmation is not necessary [106]. The spotted fever group rickettsiae have minor antigenetic differences, therefore, serology tests are not able to discriminate members of this group but species-specific diagnosis can be made with polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification from blood, swab specimen of the eschar, skin biopsy samples, and other tissues. PCR of eschars and skin samples has higher sensitivity for detecting rickettsiae than PCR of whole blood [107,108].

5.2. Treatment

In patients with typical clinical and epidemiological features, it is important to start antibiotic treatment as soon as possible and not to wait for the results of diagnostic testing. The drug of choice is doxycycline 100 mg twice daily for five to seven days. Doxycycline is also the preferred agent for the treatment of children. New research showed the risk of dental staining with doxycycline is minimal when used in short courses [109–111].

6. Scrub typhus

6.1. Epidemiology

Scrub typhus, an illness also known as bush typhus, is caused by a rickettsial bacteria *Orientia tsutsugamushi*. It spreads among people through infected chiggers (larval mites) bites [112]. Scrub typhus is endemic in the Asia-Pacific region (known as “*tsutsugamushi triangle*”) but some cases were described outside of this region and represent a serious public health problem. In this region it is one of the causes of fever of unknown origin [113]. Principal vectors for *O. tsutsugamushi* are trombiculid mites and other vertebrates (small mammals and birds), and humans are accidental hosts. After the bite, the bacterium multiplies at the site of infection and gradually induces local and systemic inflammatory response and manifestations of illness [114].

6.2. Clinical manifestations

In patients suspected of having scrub typhus, finding an eschar at the bite site can be almost diagnostic. Patients with diagnosed scrub typhus usually have lymphadenopathy in the region of the primary affect (eschar) [115]. The prevalence of eschars is between 7-80%. In the beginning, it is a

small papule that enlarges and turns black as central necrosis occurs. The common body sites of eschar are groin, axilla and waist. The common systemic signs of the disease are fever, gastrointestinal symptoms, malaise, cough, headache and myalgia. At the end of the first week of illness a maculopapular rash on the trunk spreading to the limbs can be seen. During the second week, some patients will experience systemic infection with different organ involvement (central nervous system, cardiovascular system, kidneys, lungs and gastrointestinal system) [114].

6.3. Diagnosis

Regarding diagnostic methods, there is a lack of evidence which test is the most appropriate in different clinical scenario. Recent evidence showed that in early disease (first week), a molecular test (the quantitative polymerase chain reaction) is the most sensitive. There are also serological tests in use, and both IgM enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay as well as rapid diagnostic tests have excellent sensitivities and specificities [116].

6.4. Treatment

Better outcomes can be achieved with early treatment [114]. The first choice is doxycycline 200 mg orally once followed by 100 mg twice a day until the patient clinically improves, has been 48 hours afebrile and has received treatment for a minimum of 7 days. Alternative is azithromycin in dosage of 500 mg on the first day followed by 250 mg daily for 2 to 4 more days or 1 g initially, followed by 500 mg once daily for 2 days [117].

7. Other rare zoonotic vector-transmitted causes of lymphadenitis

In 2010, Dubourg et al. proposed the acronym "SENLAT" (scalp eschar and neck lymphadenopathy after tick bite) for human cases with this syndrome caused by other bacteria except *R. slovaca* like other *Rickettsia* species (*Rickettsia raoultii*, *Rickettsia ritoja* and *Rickettsia massiliae*), *Francisella tularensis*, *Bartonella henselae*, *Borrelia burgdorferi* and *Coxiella burnetii* [64,65,118,119]. One report described two patients who were infected with *R. slovaca* and also co-infected with *Coxiella burnetii*. *Coxiella burnetii* causes Q fever which can be asymptomatic, so they proposed that all patients infected with tick-borne pathogens to be tested for *C. burnetii* infection [120]. *Coxiella burnetii* has been detected in various ticks, but confirmed cases of human *C. burnetii* infection via tick bites have not been reported [121].

In rare cases, lymphadenopathy can occur as part of clinical manifestations of Lyme disease. It is caused by *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato complex and is one of the most commonly diagnosed tick-borne infections across the globe. Ticks from the genus *Ixodes* are competent vectors for spirochetes [122]. The condition progresses through three stages: early localized, early disseminated, and late. The majority of patients encounter symptoms during the early localized stage. Approximately 20% of patients progress to the early disseminated stage, characterized usually with multiple erythema migrans lesions, with lymphadenopathy as an additional possible manifestation [123]. The clinical symptoms resulting from the spread of spirochetes are typically associated with serological reactivity [124]. The serological diagnosis of Lyme borreliosis presently relies on a two-tier testing protocol. In this approach, positive and borderline outcomes in an initial sensitive screening assay undergo further examination for specificity using an immunoblot system [124]. It's important to emphasize that early manifestations of Lyme borreliosis, whether localized or disseminated, tend to resolve on their own without the need for antibiotic treatment. The primary objective in treating such patients is to expedite the resolution of symptoms and prevent subsequent complications [124]. For patients with erythema migrans (early or early disseminated disease), a 10-day course of doxycycline or a 14-day course of amoxicillin or cefuroxime axetil is recommended [125].

In rare cases, focal vector-borne zoonotic lymphadenopathy can also be caused by parasites. Lymphatic filariasis, a neglected tropical disease, is an infection caused by nematodes of the Filarioidea family. Majority of the cases globally are caused by *Wuchereria bancrofti*. However, in Asia the disease is also caused by *Brugia malayi* and *Brugia timori*. *Brugia malayi* may infect animals so

lymphatic filariasis caused by *Brugia malayi* is one of the zoonotic diseases that can be transmitted from animals to humans. For other filarial species that cause lymphatic filariasis, humans are the definitive hosts, and transmission occurs only between humans [126,127]. *B. malayi* is limited to Southern and Southeast Asia mainly in China, India, Malaysia, the Philippines, Indonesia, some regions of Thailand and parts of the Pacific [128,129]. The infection is spread by mosquito bites among humans. *Anopheles* and *Mansonia* are two genera of mosquitoes that are main vectors for *B. malayi* [130]. Animal reservoirs for *B. malayi* include domestic cats, dogs, primates and pangolins [131]. *Anopheles* mosquitoes are found on every continent except Antarctica, while *Mansonia* mosquitoes are found in various tropical and subtropical regions worldwide. However, the presence of *Brugia malayi* and its vectors is most significant in Southeast Asian countries. Since vectors of *B. malayi* are not limited only to Asia, there may be a risk of disease transmission for owners of imported infected animals from that part of the world. The mature worm lives in definitive host lymph vessels, engaging in reproduction and produces microfilariae. These small worms circulate in the bloodstream and transmit to mosquitoes during a bite. Within the mosquito, microfilariae undergo growth and maturation. Upon another mosquito bite, the larval worms transition from the mosquito into the skin, travel to the lymph vessels where they mature into adult worms which lasts at least 6 months. The adult worms which are usually found in lymph nodes in the inguinal and neck, have a lifespan of approximately 5-7 years, mate and release millions of microfilariae into the bloodstream. Individuals carrying microfilariae can be potential sources of infection for others [128,129]. Lymphatic filariasis can have acute and/or chronic clinical manifestations but can also be asymptomatic. Acute manifestations include acute adenolymphangitis which presents with fever, painful lymphadenopathy, retrograde lymphangitis and swelling of extremities. Repeated episodes of acute filarial infection can lead to chronic manifestations, which include lymphedema. In Brugian infections, this condition is typically limited to the distal extremities. Genital involvement is uncommon in Brugian filariasis. *B. malayi* infection is also associated with tropical pulmonary eosinophilia caused by an immune hyperresponsiveness to microfilariae in the lungs [132]. In addition to typical clinical manifestations and relevant epidemiological data, the diagnosis can be established by detection of microfilariae under a microscope from blood samples. Blood should be collected during the night which coincides with the appearance of the microfilariae. Circulating filarial antigen (CFA) assays are not yet available for Brugian filariasis. Antifilarial antibody tests are serologic tests that detect elevated levels of IgG and IgG4, but they often have cross-reactivity with antigens from other helminths and cannot differentiate between active and past infections. Using ultrasound, adult worms can be seen in movement in the wide lymphatic vessels [133,134]. Diethylcarbamazine is the treatment of choice, taken orally for 1 or 12 days. Before treatment, physicians should check the patient for loiasis and onchocerciasis because this treatment can have serious side effects in these cases [134]. Doxycycline has shown efficacy in *B. malayi* infections. It exhibits both microfilaricidal and macrofilaricidal activity so its addition could be appropriate. Also, in cases where diethylcarbamazine is contraindicated or not available, doxycycline at a dose of 200 mg/day for four to six weeks can be used as an alternative first-line therapy [135].

Although described in humans occasionally, only after direct contact with infected animal, infection caused by *Corinebacterium pseudotuberculosis*, causing extensive lymphadenitis in animals, is readily transmitted among animals by arthropod vectors. As the vectors are shared between humans and animals, is it not clear if this type of transmission from animal to human does not exist, or it has not been searched for in humans, but we feel that this possibility is worth to be mentioned in the context of this review.

C. pseudotuberculosis is a Gram-positive, facultative, intracellular bacterium which causes caseous lymphadenitis in animals particularly sheep, goats, horses and cattle. In the region of peripheral lymph nodes caseous lymphadenitis develops into chronic abscesses containing caseous pus. Infection occurs when *C. pseudotuberculosis* enters via skin wounds. Infection between animals is spread by vectors such as stable flies, horn flies, and house flies or by contact with environment that is contaminated with exudate from abscesses [136,137]. Rarely, infection has been described in people who work closely with infected animals like farmers and veterinarians. In humans, the disease

manifests as granulomatous inflammation of axillary (due to infection through hands and arms), inguinal (source of infection may be environmental contamination) and cervical (due to ingestion of contaminated raw goat and cow milk as described in one case report) lymph nodes [138–140]. Two cases of pulmonary disease were described, both in veterinary students [141,142]. In a case report from Australia, the diagnosis of *C. pseudotuberculosis* infection was proven by microscopy and culture of pus specimens. Treatment includes excision of nodes, drainage and antimicrobial treatment with β -lactam antibiotics, macrolides, or tetracyclines [143].

The zoonotic vector-borne causative agents of focal lymphadenitis, their reservoirs, vectors and geographic distribution is summarized in Table 1, and their main clinical manifestations, diagnostic possibilities and recommended therapy in Table 2.

Table 1. An overview of zoonotic vector-borne causative agents of focal lymphadenitis, their reservoirs, vectors and geographic distributions.

Disease	Organism	Reservoirs and vectors	Geographical distribution
Tularemia	<i>F. tularensis</i> (divided into four subspecies): - <i>F. tularensis</i> subsp. <i>tularensis</i> (type A) - <i>F. tularensis</i> subsp. <i>holarctica</i> (type B) - <i>F. tularensis</i> subsp. <i>mediasiatica</i> - <i>F. tularensis</i> subsp. <i>novicida</i> <i>F. philomiragia</i> <i>F. hispaniensis</i> <i>F. opportunistica</i>	Reservoirs: Rabbits, beavers, muskrats, squirrels, voles, hares, hamsters, mice, rats, lemmings Vectors: Ticks, mosquitoes, biting flies, horse flies, fleas, and lice	Worldwide in the northern hemisphere
Bubonic plague	<i>Yersinia pestis</i>	Reservoirs: Most important: Rodents (found in 200 mammalian species) Vectors: Fleas	All continents except Oceania, since the 1990s most cases have occurred in Africa. Three most endemic countries: Democratic Republic of Congo, Madagascar and Peru.
Bartonellosis	<i>Bartonella henselae</i> <i>Bartonella bacilliformis</i> <i>Bartonella quintana</i>	Reservoirs: Most important: cats (possibly other mammals) Vectors: Cat flea (among cats) sand flies, human body lice. Possible: ticks, red ants, spiders	Worldwide
TIBOLA	<i>Rickettsia slovaca</i> <i>Rickettsia raoultii</i> <i>Rickettsia rioja</i> <i>Rickettsia massiliae</i>	Reservoirs: Ticks Vectors: Ticks (most often <i>Dermacentor marginatus</i>)	Europe
Borreliosis	<i>Borrelia burgdorferi</i> sensu lato complex	Reservoirs: White-footed mouse, chipmunks, voles, shrews, birds, squirrels, raccoons, skunks, shrews Vectors: Ticks (genus <i>Ixodes</i>)	Worldwide
Scrub typhus	<i>Orientia tsutsugamushi</i>	Reservoirs: Larval trombiculid mites (chiggers) Vectors: Larval trombiculid mites (chiggers)	Asia-Pacific region (endemic in Korea, China, Taiwan, Japan, Pakistan, India, Thailand, Laos, Malaysia, Vietnam, Sri Lanka, and Australia)

Malayan filariasis	<i>Brugia malayi</i>	Reservoirs: domestic cats, dogs, primates, pangolins and humans Vectors: Mosquitos (main <i>Anopheles</i> , <i>Mansonia</i>)	Southern and Southeast Asia and parts of the Pacific
---------------------------	----------------------	--	--

Table 2. The main clinical manifestations, recommended diagnostics and therapy (for adults) for zoonotic vector-borne causative agents of focal lymphadenitis.

Disease	Clinical manifestations	Diagnosis	Therapy
Tularemia	<p>Ulceroglandular tularemia: fever, skin lesion and lymphadenopathy (cervical/occipital/inguinal)</p> <p>Glandular tularemia: regional lymphadenopathy without skin lesion</p> <p>Oculoglandular tularemia: eye pain, photophobia, increased lacrimation, sometimes lymphadenopathy</p> <p>Pharyngeal (oropharyngeal) tularemia: fever, severe throat pain, neck lymphadenopathy</p> <p>Pneumonic tularemia: fever, cough, pleuritic chest pain</p> <p>Typhoidal tularemia: sepsis or chronic febrile illness, without regional lymphadenopathy</p>	<p>Serology (most common used: ELISA, tube agglutination and microagglutination tests)</p> <p>Culture (modified Mueller-Hinton broth and thioglycollate broth)</p> <p>Molecular testing – PCR</p> <p>DFA staining of clinical specimens and immunohistochemical staining of tissue</p>	<p>Mild or moderate disease: Doxycycline (100 mg p.o. BID for 14 to 21 days) or Ciprofloxacin (500 to 750 mg p.o. BID for 10 to 14 days)</p> <p>Severe disease: Streptomycin (10 mg/kg IM BID for 7 to 10 days (max. daily dose 2 g) or Gentamicin (5 mg/kg IM or IV daily, divided every 8 h for 7 to 10 days</p>
Bubonic plague	High fever, chills, weakness, headache, swelling of inguinal, axillary or cervical lymph nodes, overlying skin may be warm and erythematous	<p>Cultures of blood, bubo aspirates, swabs of skin lesions (brain heart infusion broth, sheep blood agar, chocolate agar or MacConkey agar)</p> <p>Microscopy evaluation of a bubo aspirate (Watson or Giemsa stain and Gram stain)</p> <p>Serology (passive hemagglutination test)</p> <p>DFA</p> <p>PCR</p>	<p>Gentamicin 5 mg/kg IM or IV QD</p> <p>Streptomycin 1g IM or IV BID</p> <p>Ciprofloxacin 400 mg IV every 8 h; 750 mg p.o. BID</p> <p>Levofloxacin 750 mg IV, p.o. QD</p> <p>Moxifloxacin 400 mg IV, p.o. QD</p> <p>Doxycycline 200 mg loading dose, then 100 mg IV, p.o. BID</p>
Bartonellosis	CSD, regional granulomatous lymphadenitis	Serological testing (IFA, ELISA)	<p>Lymphadenitis: Azithromycin 10 mg/kg on day 1 and then 5 mg/kg for 4 days >45</p>

	Parinaud oculoglandular syndrome (atypical manifestation of CSD) Ocular manifestations of CSD: neuroretinitis, choroiditis, optic nerve granuloma, vascular occlusive events FUO Endocarditis (patients with CHD or valvular abnormalities) Immunocompromised: BA, BP, bacteremia, endocarditis, FUO	Culture (specific conditions and extended incubation – not routinely used) Histopathology PCR of tissue specimens or blood	kg 500 mg on day 1 and then 250 mg for 4 days or Doxycycline 2x100 mg or Ciprofloxacin 2x500 mg or Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole 4 mg/kg orally (trimethoprim component) BID (max.160 mg trimethoprim per dose)
TIBOLA	Eschar (typically on the scalp) and enlarged, often tender, cervical lymph nodes	Serologic tests - IFA, micro immunofluorescence (MIF) antibody test, ELISA, western blot immunoassay PCR - from blood, swab specimen of the eschar, skin biopsy samples, and other tissues	Doxycycline 100 mg p.o. BID for five to seven days
Borreliosis	Early localized or disseminated disease: Erythema migrans plus nonspecific clinical findings (e.g. fatigue, anorexia, headache, neck stiffness, myalgias, arthralgias, regional lymphadenopathy, fever)	In early localized illness: clinical presentation Serologic testing (two-tier testing protocol: screening assay and immunoblot for confirmation)	Doxycycline 100 mg p.o. BID for 10 days or Amoxicillin 500 mg p.o. TID for 14 days or Cefuroxime axetil – 500 mg p.o. BID for 14 days
Scrub typhus	Acute febrile illness characterized by an eschar at the mite bite site, possible skin rash and other symptoms which include localized, and subsequent generalized lymphadenopathy, gastrointestinal symptoms, malaise, cough, headache and myalgia and sometimes complications such as respiratory and renal failure, meningoencephalitis, and severe multiorgan failure	Serologic testing (IgM enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and rapid diagnostic tests) Biopsy of an eschar or generalized rash PCR testing of blood samples Culture (available in only a few specialized laboratory centers)	Doxycycline 200 mg p.o. QD followed by 100 mg BID until the patient clinically improves, has been 48 hours afebrile and has received treatment for a minimum of 7 days or Azithromycin 500 mg p.o. on the first day followed by 250 mg daily for 2 to 4 more days or 1 g initially, followed by 500 mg once daily for 2 days
Malayan filariasis	Acute lymphadenitis or lymphangitis, chronic lymphedema (elephantiasis), subcutaneous swelling, funicul-epididymoorchitis,	Blood smears for microfilariae Ultrasound of lymphatic vessels Serology	Diethylcarbamazine 6 mg/kg/day as a single dose or in 3 divided doses for 1 or 12 days (14 to 21 days in patients with tropical pulmonary eosinophilia) or/plus

pulmonary eosinophilia, chyluria	Doxycycline 200 mg/day for 4-6 weeks
-------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; PCR, Polymerase chain reaction; DFA, Direct immunofluorescence assay; BA, bacillary angiomatosis; BP, bacillary peliosis; CHD, congenital heart disease; CSD, Cat-scratch disease; FUO, Fever of unknown origin; IFA, indirect fluorescent assay; TIBOLA, Tick-borne lymphadenopathy; QD, once daily.

8. Conclusion

Besides common skin pathogens, acute focal lymphadenitis in humans can be caused by zoonotic pathogens in rare cases. Furthermore, it can develop in the absence of any direct or indirect contact with infected animal in cases when the microorganism is transmitted by an arthropod vector. Detailed epidemiological history and careful clinical examination, including a search for local bite-wound or eschar, are crucial in pointing the differential diagnosis toward vector-borne zoonotic lymphadenitis. The spectrum of possible causative agents is broad, they can travel via infected people, animals or vectors from endemic to nonendemic regions, and some of involved microorganisms could have significant medical and public health impact. This clinical entity is rare in most developed countries, and our aim was to raise awareness among clinicians, to describe possible clinical presentations and present diagnostic methods according to various pathogens. When acute focal bacterial vector-borne zoonotic lymphadenitis is suspected, in severe or complicated cases, it seems prudent to apply combined aminoglycoside (or quinolone) plus doxycycline therapy as an empirical treatment, pending definite diagnostic results. In this field the „one health approach” and further epidemiological and clinical studies are needed.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.B.T.; data collection and review of literature, N.B. and M.O.; writing - original draft preparation, M.O., N.B. and M.B.T.; writing - review and editing, M.O., N.B. and M.B.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed Consent was obtained from the patient whose picture was shown as Figure 1 in this review.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Arijana Pavelić for proofreading the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Maini, R.; Nagalli, S. Lymphadenopathy. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023. Available online: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK558918/> (Accessed on 16 November 2023).
2. Melenotte, C.; Edouard, S.; Lepidi, H.; Raoult, D. Diagnostic des adénites infectieuses [Diagnosis of infectious lymphadenitis]. *Rev. Med. Interne* **2015**, *36*, 668-676.
3. Baranowski, K.; Huang, B. Cat Scratch Disease. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023. Available online: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK482139/> (Accessed on 16 November 2023).
4. Kawano-Dourado, L.; Peirera, D.A.; Kawasaki Ade, M.; Dolhnikoff, M.; Silva, M.V.; Kairalla, R.A. Lymphadenopathy and fever in a chef during a stay in Europe. *J. Bras. Pneumol.* **2015**, *41*, 191-195.
5. von Bargen, K.; Gagnaire, A.; Arce-Gorvel, V.; de Bovis, B.; Baudimont, F.; Chasson, L.; Bosilkovski, M.; Papadopoulos, A.; Martirosyan, A.; Henri, S.; et al. Cervical Lymph Nodes as a Selective Niche for Brucella during Oral Infections. *PLoS One* **2015**, *10*, e0121790.
6. Gaastra, W.; Lipman, L.J.A. Capnocytophaga canimorsus. *Vet. Microbiol.* **2010**, *140*, 339.
7. Panchal, A.; Shweihat, Y.; Nusair, A. *Capnocytophaga* Infection Involving Mediastinal Lymph Nodes and Lung Mass in a Patient With a Primary Lung Cancer Diagnosed With EBUS TBNA With Associated Leukemoid Paraneoplastic Syndrome. *Chest* **2012**, *142*, 989A.

8. Hasan, J.; Hug, M. *Pasteurella Multocida*. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023. Available online: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK557629/> (Accessed 16 November 2023).
9. Domenis, L.; Spedicato, R.; Pepe, E.; Orusa, R.; Robetto, S. Caseous Lymphadenitis Caused by *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* in Alpine Chamois (*Rupicapra r. rupicapra*): a Review of 98 Cases. *J. Comp. Pathol.* **2018**, *161*, 11-19.
10. MSD Manual professional version. *Lymphadenitis*. Available online: <https://www.msdsmanuals.com/professional/dermatologic-disorders/bacterial-skin-infections/lymphadenitis> (Accessed 16 November 2023).
11. Gaddey, H.L.; Riegel, A.M. Unexplained Lymphadenopathy: Evaluation and Differential Diagnosis. *Am. Fam. Physician* **2016**, *94*, 896-903.
12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Traveler's health. Zoonoses - The One Health Approach CDC Yellow Book 2024. Available online: <https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/travel/yellowbook/2024/environmental-hazards-risks/zoonoses-one-health-approach-> (Accessed 16 November 2023).
13. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Zoonotic diseases and foodborne outbreaks on the rise, but still below pre-pandemic levels. Available online: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/news-events/zoonotic-diseases-and-foodborne-outbreaks-rise-still-below-pre-pandemic-levels> (Accessed 16 November 2023).
14. Auwaerter, P.G.; Penn, R.L. *Francisella tularensis* (Tularemia). In: *Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases*, 9th ed.; Bennet, J.E., Dolin, R., Blaser, M.J., Eds.; Elsevier: Philadelphia 2020; Volume 2, pp. 2759-2773.
15. Dietrich, E.A.; Kingry, L.C.; Kugeler, K.J.; Levy, C.; Yaglom, H.; Young, J. W.; Mead, P. S.; Petersen, J. M. *Francisella opportunistica* sp. nov., isolated from human blood and cerebrospinal fluid. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **2020**, *70*, 1145-1151.
16. Gunnell, M.K.; Adams, B.J.; Robison, R.A. The Genetic Diversity and Evolution of *Francisella tularensis* with Comments on Detection by PCR. *Curr. Issues Mol. Biol.* **2016**, *18*, 79-91.
17. Sjöstedt, A. Tularemia: history, epidemiology, pathogen physiology, and clinical manifestations. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **2007**, *1105*, 1-29.
18. Whitten, T.; Bjork, J.; Neitzel, D.; Smith, K.; Sullivan, M; Scheftel, J. Notes from the Field: *Francisella tularensis* Type B Infection from a Fish Hook Injury - Minnesota, 2016. *MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* **2017**, *66*, 194.
19. Weilbacher, J.O.; Moss, E.S. Tularemia following injury while performing post-mortem examination of a human case. *J. Lab. Clin. Med.* **1938**, *24*, 34.
20. Nelson, C. A.; Murua, C.; Jones, J. M.; Mohler, K.; Zhang, Y.; Wiggins, L.; Kwit, N. A.; Respicio-Kingry, L.; Kingry, L. C.; Petersen, J. M.; et al. *Francisella tularensis* Transmission by Solid Organ Transplantation, 2017. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2019**, *25*, 767-775.
21. Bahuaud, O.; Le Brun, C.; Chalopin, T.; Lacasse, M.; Le Marec, J.; Pantaleon, C.; Nicolas, C.; Barbier, L.; Bernard, L.; Lemaignen, A. Severe infections due to *Francisella tularensis* ssp. *holarctica* in solid organ transplant recipient: report of two cases and review of literature. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **2019**, *19*(1), 238.
22. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Tularemia. Available online: <https://www.cdc.gov/tularemia/clinicians/index.html> (Accessed on 17 November 2023)
23. Morse, S.; Henkel, R. *Francisella tularensis*: Understanding Reported Occupational Exposures and Laboratory Methods Used for the Identification of *Francisella tularensis*. *Appl. Biosaf.* **2018**, *23*, 11-18.
24. Nelson, C.A.; Brown, J.; Riley, L.; Dennis, A.; Oyer, R.; Brown, C. Lack of Tularemia Among Health Care Providers With Close Contact With Infected Patients-A Case Series. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* **2019**, *7*, ofz499.
25. Government of Canada. Pathogen Safety Data Sheets. Infectious Substances-Francisella tularensis. Available online: <https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/laboratory-biosafety-biosecurity/pathogen-safety-data-sheets-risk-assessment/francisella-tularensis-material-safety-data-sheets-msds.html> (Accessed on 17 November 2023)
26. Asano, S.; Mori, K.; Yamazaki, K.; Sata, T.; Kanno, T.; Sato, Y.; Kojima, M.; Fujita, H.; Akaike, Y.; Wakasa, H. Temporal differences of onset between primary skin lesions and regional lymph node lesions for tularemia in Japan: a clinicopathologic and immunohistochemical study of 19 skin cases and 54 lymph node cases. *Virchows Arch.* **2012**, *460*, 651-658.
27. Geyer, S.J.; Burkey, A.; Chandler, F.W. Tularemia. In: *Pathology of Infectious Diseases*, Connor, D.H., ed.; Appleton & Lange: Stamford, CT, **1997**, pp. 869-873.
28. Eliasson, H.; Bäck, E. Tularaemia in an emergent area in Sweden: an analysis of 234 cases in five years. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* **2007**, *39*, 880-889.
29. Evans, M.E.; Gregory, D.W.; Schaffner, W.; McGee, Z.A. Tularemia: a 30-year experience with 88 cases. *Medicine (Baltimore)* **1985**, *64*, 251-269.

30. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Tularemia - Missouri, 2000-2007. *MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* **2009**, *58*, 744-748.
31. Eren Gok, S.; Kocagul Celikbas, A.; Baykam, N.; Atay Buyukdemirci, A.; Eroglu, M. N.; Evren Kemer, O.; Dokuzoguz, B. Evaluation of tularemia cases focusing on the oculoglandular form. *J. Infect. Dev. Ctries.* **2014**, *8*, 1277-1284.
32. Raja, H.; Starr, M.R.; Bakri, S.J. Ocular manifestations of tick-borne diseases. *Surv Ophthalmol.* **2016**, *61*, 726-744.
33. Terrada, C.; Azza, S.; Bodaghi, B.; Le Hoang, P.; Drancourt, M. Rabbit hunter uveitis: case report of tularemia uveitis. *BMC Ophthalmol.* **2016**, *16*, 157.
34. Altuntas, E.E.; Polat, K.; Durmuş, K.; Uysal, I.Ö.; Müderris, S. Tularemia and the oculoglandular syndrome of Parinaud. *Braz. J. Infect. Dis.* **2012**, *16*, 90-91.
35. Lester Rothfeldt, L.K.; Jacobs, R.F.; Wheeler, J.G.; Weinstein, S.; Haselow, D.T. Variation in Tularemia Clinical Manifestations-Arkansas, 2009-2013. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* **2017**, *4*, ofx027.
36. Matyas, B.T.; Nieder, H.S.; Telford, S.R. 3rd. Pneumonic tularemia on Martha's Vineyard: clinical, epidemiologic, and ecological characteristics. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **2007**, *1105*, 351-377.
37. Maurin, M. *Francisella tularensis*, Tularemia and Serological Diagnosis. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **2020**, *10*, 512090.
38. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). WHO guidelines on tularaemia: epidemic and pandemic alert and response. Available online: <https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/6943>. (Accessed on 17 November 2023).
39. Peterson, J.M.; Schriefer, M.E. Francisella. In: *Manual of Clinical Microbiology*, 11th ed.; Jorgensen, J., Pfaffer, M., Carroll, K., et al., eds.; American Society for Microbiology Press: Washington, DC, **2015**; pp. 851-862.
40. Ellis, J.; Oyston, P.C.; Green, M.; Titball, R.W. Tularemia. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **2002**, *15*, 631-646.
41. Lai, X. H.; Zhao, L. F.; Chen, X. M.; Ren, Y. Rapid Identification and Characterization of Francisella by Molecular Biology and Other Techniques. *Open Microbiol. J.* **2016**, *10*, 64-77.
42. Rojas-Moreno, C.; Bhartee, H.; Vasudevan, A.; Adiga, R.; Salzer, W. Tetracyclines for Treatment of Tularemia: A Case Series. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* **2018**, *5*, ofy176.
43. Hofinger, D. M.; Cardona, L.; Mertz, G. J.; Davis, L. E. Tularemia meningitis in the United States. *Archives Neurol* **2009**, *66*, 523-527.
44. Dentan, C.; Pavese, P.; Pelloux, I.; Boisset, S.; Brion, J. P.; Stahl, J. P., & Maurin, M. Treatment of tularemia in pregnant woman, France. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2013**, *19*, 996-998.
45. Yeşilyurt, M.; Kılıç, S.; Çelebi, B.; Gül, S. Tularemia during pregnancy: report of four cases. *Scan. J. Infect. Dis.* **2013**, *45*, 324-328.
46. World Health Organization. Plague. Available online: https://www.who.int/health-topics/plague#tab=tab_1 (Accessed on 18 November 2023).
47. Perry, R. D.M.; Fetherston, J. D. Yersinia pestis--etiologic agent of plague. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **1997**, *10*, 35-66.
48. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Plague. Available online: <https://www.cdc.gov/plague/transmission/index.html> (Accessed on 18 November 2023).
49. Jullien, S.; de Silva, N.L.; Garner, P. Plague Transmission from Corpses and Carcasses. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2021**, *27*, 2033-2041.
50. Prentice, M.B.; Rahalison, L. Plague. *Lancet* **2007**, *369*, 1196-1207.
51. Crook, L.D.; Tempest, B. Plague. A clinical review of 27 cases. *Arch. Intern. Med.* **1992**, *152*, 1253-1256.
52. Zhou, D.; Han, Y.; Yang, R. Molecular and physiological insights into plague transmission, virulence and etiology. *Microbes Infect.* **2006**, *8*, 273-284.
53. Butler T. A clinical study of bubonic plague. Observations of the 1970 Vietnam epidemic with emphasis on coagulation studies, skin histology and electrocardiograms. *Am. J. Med.* **1972**, *53*, 268-276.
54. Yang R. Plague: Recognition, Treatment, and Prevention. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2017**, *56*, e01519-17.
55. Boulanger, L.L.; Ettestad, P.; Fogarty, J.D.; Dennis, D.T.; Romig, D.; Mertz, G. Gentamicin and tetracyclines for the treatment of human plague: review of 75 cases in new Mexico, 1985-1999. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2004**, *38*, 663-669.
56. Breitschwerdt, E.B. Bartonellosis, One Health and all creatures great and small. *Vet. Dermatol.* **2017**, *28*, 96-e21.
57. Regier, Y.; O Rourke, F.; Kempf, V.A. *Bartonella* spp. - a chance to establish One Health concepts in veterinary and human medicine [published correction appears in Parasit Vectors. **2016**, *9*, 330]. *Parasit Vectors* **2016**, *9*, 261.
58. Drancourt, M.; Tran-Hung, L.; Courtin, J.; Lumley, H.D.; Raoult, D. *Bartonella quintana* in a 4000-year-old human tooth. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2005**, *191*, 607-611.

59. Cheslock, M.A.; Embers, M.E. Human Bartonellosis: An Underappreciated Public Health Problem? *Trop. Med. Infect. Dis.* **2019**, *4*, 69.

60. Ben-Tekaya, H.; Gorvel, J.P.; Dehio, C. Bartonella and Brucella--weapons and strategies for stealth attack. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* **2013**, *3*, a010231.

61. BMJ Best Practice. Bartonella infection. Available online: <https://bestpractice.bmj.com/topics/en-gb/1152> (Accessed on 19 November 2023).

62. Eskow, E.; Rao, R.V.; Mordechai, E. Concurrent infection of the central nervous system by *Borrelia burgdorferi* and *Bartonella henselae*: evidence for a novel tick-borne disease complex. *Arch. Neurol.* **2001**, *58*, 1357-1363.

63. Angelakis, E.; Billeter, S.A.; Breitschwerdt, E.B.; Chomel, B.B.; Raoult, D. Potential for tick-borne bartonelloses. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2010**, *16*, 385-391.

64. Angelakis, E.; Pulcini, C.; Waton, J.; Imbert, P.; Socolovschi, C.; Edouard, S.; Dellamonica, P.; Raoult, D. Scalp eschar and neck lymphadenopathy caused by *Bartonella henselae* after Tick Bite. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2010**, *50*, 549-551.

65. Seo, J. W.; Kim, C. M.; Yun, N. R.; Kim, D. M.; Kim, S. S.; Choi, S.; Chu, H. Scalp eschar and neck lymphadenopathy after tick bite (SENLAT) caused by *Bartonella henselae* in Korea: a case report. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **2020**, *20*, 216.

66. Boulouis, H.J.; Chang, C.C.; Henn, J.B.; Kasten, R.W.; Chomel, B.B. Factors associated with the rapid emergence of zoonotic *Bartonella* infections. *Vet. Res.* **2005**, *36*, 383-410.

67. Kempf, V.A.; Schaller, M.; Behrendt, S.; Volkmann, B.; Aepfelbacher, M.; Cakman, I.; Autenrieth, I. B. Interaction of *Bartonella henselae* with endothelial cells results in rapid bacterial rRNA synthesis and replication. *Cell Microbiol.* **2000**, *2*, 431-41.

68. Mändle, T.; Einsele, H.; Schaller, M.; Neumann, D.; Vogel, W.; Autenrieth, I.B.; Kempf, V. A. Infection of human CD34+ progenitor cells with *Bartonella henselae* results in intraerythrocytic presence of *B. henselae*. *Blood* **2005**, *106*, 1215-22.

69. Vayssier-Taussat, M.; Le Rhun, D.; Deng, H. K.; Biville, F.; Cescau, S.; Danchin, A.; Marignac, G., Lenaour, E., Boulouis, H. J., Mavris, M., et al. The Trw type IV secretion system of *Bartonella* mediates host-specific adhesion to erythrocytes. *PLoS Pathog.* **2010**, *6*, e1000946.

70. Dehio, C. *Bartonella*-host-cell interactions and vascular tumour formation. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2005**, *3*, 621-31.

71. O'Rourke, F.; Mändle, T.; Urbich, C.; Dimmeler, S.; Michaelis, U. R.; Brandes, R. P.; Flötenmeyer, M.; Döring, C.; Hansmann, M. L.; Lauber, K.; et al. Reprogramming of myeloid angiogenic cells by *Bartonella henselae* leads to microenvironmental regulation of pathological angiogenesis. *Cell Microbiol.* **2015**, *17*, 1447-63.

72. Rossi, M.A.; Balakrishnan, N.; Linder, K.E.; Messa, J.B.; Breitschwerdt, E.B. Concurrent *Bartonella henselae* infection in a dog with panniculitis and owner with ulcerated nodular skin lesions. *Vet. Dermatol.* **2015**, *26*, 60-3.

73. Kaiser, P.O.; Riess, T.; O'Rourke, F.; Linke, D.; Kempf, V.A.J. *Bartonella* spp.: throwing light on uncommon human infections. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* **2011**, *301*, 7-15.

74. Jacomo, V., Kelly, P. J., Raoult, D. Natural history of *Bartonella* infections (an exception to Koch's postulate). *Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol.* **2002**, *9*, 8-18.

75. Regnery, R.L.; Olson, J.G.; Perkins, B.A.; Bibb, W. Serological response to "Rochalimaea henselae" antigen in suspected cat-scratch disease. *Lancet.* **1992**, *339*, 1443-1445.

76. Zangwill, K. M.; Hamilton, D. H.; Perkins, B. A.; Regnery, R. L.; Plikaytis, B. D.; Hadler, J. L.; Carter, M. L.; Wenger, J. D. Cat scratch disease in Connecticut. Epidemiology, risk factors, and evaluation of a new diagnostic test. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **1993**, *329*, 8-13.

77. Szelc-Kelly, C.M.; Goral, S.; Perez-Perez, G.I.; Perkins, B.A.; Regnery, R.L.; Edwards, K.M. Serologic responses to *Bartonella* and *Afipia* antigens in patients with cat scratch disease. *Pediatrics.* **1995**, *96*, 1137-1142.

78. Klotz, S.A.; Ianas, V.; Elliott, S.P. Cat-scratch Disease. *Am. Fam. Physician* **2011**, *83*, 152-155.

79. Dehio, C. Molecular and cellular basis of bartonella pathogenesis. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **2004**, *58*, 365-390.

80. Moriarty, R.A.; Margileth, A.M. Cat scratch disease. *Infect. Dis. Clin. North Am.* **1987**, *1*, 575-590.

81. Carithers, H.A. Cat-scratch disease. An overview based on a study of 1,200 patients. *Am. J. Dis. Child.* **1985**, *139*, 1124-1133.

82. Lenoir, A. A.; Storch, G. A.; DeSchryver-Kecskemeti, K.; Shackelford, G. D.; Rothbaum, R. J.; Wear, D. J.; Rosenblum, J. L. Granulomatous hepatitis associated with cat scratch disease. *Lancet* **1988**, *1*, 1132-1136.

83. Delahoussaye, P.M.; Osborne, B.M. Cat-scratch disease presenting as abdominal visceral granulomas. *J. Infect. Dis.* **1990**, *161*, 71-78.

84. Arisoy, E.S.; Correa, A.G.; Wagner, M.L.; Kaplan, S.L. Hepatosplenic cat-scratch disease in children: selected clinical features and treatment. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **1999**, *28*, 778-784.

85. Albert, D.M.; Salman, A.R.; Winthrop, K.L.; Bartley, G.B. The Continuing Ophthalmic Challenge of *Bartonella henselae*. *Ophthalmol. Sci.* **2021**, *1*, 100048.

86. Maman, E.; Bickels, J.; Ephros, M.; Paran, D.; Comaneshter, D.; Metzkor-Cotter, E.; Avidor, B.; Varon-Graidy, M.; Wientroub, S.; Giladi, M. Musculoskeletal manifestations of cat scratch disease. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2007**, *45*, 1535-1540.

87. Maria, H. K. S.; Gazzoli, E.; Drummond, M. R.; Almeida, A. R.; Santos, L. S. D.; Pereira, R. M.; Tresoldi, A. T.; Velho, P. E. N. F. Two-year history of lymphadenopathy and fever caused by *Bartonella henselae* in a child. *Rev. Inst. Med. Trop. Sao Paulo* **2022**, *64*, e15.

88. Acar, A.; Çakar Özdal, P.; Başarır, B.; Özdemir Yalçınsoy, K.; Altan, Ç.; Budakoglu, Ö. A Case Series of Cat-Scratch Disease with Ocular Manifestations: Clinical Findings and Treatment Approach. *Turk. J. Ophthalmol.* **2023**, *53*, 226-233.

89. Hong, H.; Li, T.; Ying, Y.; An, Q.; Liu, H.; Liang, K. Cat-scratch disease manifesting as uveitis and binocular fundus nodular lesions: a case report. *BMC Ophthalmol.* **2023**, *23*, 345.

90. Hempel, A.; Manzoor, F.; Petrescu, D. Hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis secondary to unrecognized *Bartonella henselae* infection: a case report. *Trop. Dis. Travel Med. Vaccines.* **2023**, *9*, 14.

91. Mada, P.K.; Zulfiqar, H.; Joel Chandranesan, A.S. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023. Available online: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK430874/> (Accessed on 20 November 2023).

92. Gutiérrez, R.; Vayssié-Taussat, M.; Buffet, J.P.; Harrus, S. Guidelines for the Isolation, Molecular Detection, and Characterization of *Bartonella* Species. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* **2017**, *17*, 42-50.

93. Maurin, M.; Rolain, J.M.; Raoult, D. Comparison of in-house and commercial slides for detection by immunofluorescence of immunoglobulins G and M against *Bartonella henselae* and *Bartonella quintana*. *Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol.* **2002**, *9*, 1004-9.

94. Alattas, N.H.; Patel, S.N.; Richardson, S.E.; Akseer, N.; Morris, S.K. Pediatric *Bartonella henselae* Infection: The Role of Serologic Diagnosis and a Proposed Clinical Approach for Suspected Acute Disease in the Immunocompetent Child. *Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J.* **2020**, *39*, 984-989.

95. Vermeulen, M.J.; Herremans, M.; Verbakel, H.; Bergmans, A.M.; Roord, J.J.; van Dijken, P.J.; Peeters, M.F. Serological testing for *Bartonella henselae* infections in The Netherlands: clinical evaluation of immunofluorescence assay and ELISA. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **2007**, *13*, 627-34.

96. UpToDate. Microbiology, epidemiology, clinical manifestations, and diagnosis of cat scratch disease. Diagnostic tests. Available online: https://www.uptodate.com/contents/microbiology-epidemiology-clinical-manifestations-and-diagnosis-of-cat-scratch-disease?search=bartonella%20henselae&source=search_result&selectedTitle=1~55&usage_type=default&display_rank=1#H3749137032 (Accessed on 20 November 2023).

97. Drummond, M.R.; Gilioli, R.; Velho, P.E. Bartonellosis diagnosis requires careful evaluation. *Braz. J. Infect. Dis.* **2010**, *14*, 217.

98. Stevens, D.L.; Bisno, A.L.; Chambers, H.F.; Dellinger, E. P.; Goldstein, E. J.; Gorbach, S. L.; Hirschmann, J. V.; Kaplan, S. L.; Montoya, J. G.; Wade, J. C. Practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of skin and soft tissue infections: 2014 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2014**, *59*, e10-e52.

99. Raoult, D. Introduction to Rickettsioses, Ehrlichioses, and Anaplasmoses. In: *Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases*, 9th ed.; Bennet, J.E., Dolin, R., Blaser, M.J., Eds.; Elsevier: Philadelphia, **2020**; Volume 2, pp. 2344-2348.

100. Raoult, D.; Berbis, P.; Roux, V.; Xu, W.; Maurin, M. A new tick-transmitted disease due to *Rickettsia slovaca*. *Lancet* **1997**, *350*, 112-113.

101. Parola, P.; Paddock, C.D.; Socolovschi, C.; Labruna, M. B.; Mediannikov, O.; Kernif, T.; Abdad, M. Y.; Stenos, J.; Bitam, I.; Fournier, P. E.; et al. Update on tick-borne rickettsioses around the world: a geographic approach. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **2013**, *26*, 657-702.

102. Lakos, A. TIBOLA--a new tick-borne infection. *Orv. Hetil.* **1997**, *138*, 3229-3232.

103. Oteo, J.A.; Ibarra, V.; Blanco, J.R.; Martínez de Artola, V.; Márquez, F. J.; Portillo, A.; Raoult, D.; Anda, P. Dermacentor-borne necrosis erythema and lymphadenopathy: clinical and epidemiological features of a new tick-borne disease. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **2004**, *10*, 327-331.

104. Raoult, D.; Lakos, A.; Fenollar, F.; Beytout, J.; Brouqui, P.; Fournier, P.E. Spotless rickettsiosis caused by *Rickettsia slovaca* and associated with Dermacentor ticks. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2002**, *34*, 1331-1336.

105. Blanton, L.S.; Walker, D.H. Rickettsia rickettsii and Other Spotted Fever Group Rickettsiae (Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever and Other Spotted Fevers) In: *Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's Principles and Practice*

of *Infectious Diseases*, 9th ed.; Bennet, J.E., Dolin, R., Blaser, M.J. Eds.; Elsevier: Philadelphia, **2020**; Volume 2, pp. 2349-2357.

106. Lakos, A.; Kőrösi, A.; Földvári, G. Contact with horses is a risk factor for tick-borne lymphadenopathy (TIBOLA): a case control study. *Wien Klin. Wochenschr.* **2012**, *124*, 611-617.
107. Bechah, Y.; Socolovschi, C.; Raoult, D. Identification of rickettsial infections by using cutaneous swab specimens and PCR. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2011**, *17*, 83-86.
108. Portillo, A.; de Sousa, R.; Santibáñez, S.; Duarte, A.; Edouard, S.; Fonseca, I. P.; Marques, C.; Novakova, M.; Palomar, A. M.; Santos, M.; et al. Guidelines for the Detection of *Rickettsia* spp. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* **2017**, *17*, 23.
109. Biggs, H. M.; Behravesh, C. B.; Bradley, K. K.; Dahlgren, F. S.; Drexler, N. A.; Dumler, J. S.; Folk, S. M.; Kato, C. Y.; Lash, R. R.; Levin, M. L. et al. Diagnosis and Management of Tickborne Rickettsial Diseases: Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever and Other Spotted Fever Group Rickettsioses, Ehrlichioses, and Anaplasmosis - United States. *MMWR Recomm. Rep.* **2016**, *65*, 1-44.
110. Todd, S. R.; Dahlgren, F. S.; Traeger, M. S.; Beltrán-Aguilar, E. D.; Marianos, D. W.; Hamilton, C.; McQuiston, J. H.; Regan, J. J. No visible dental staining in children treated with doxycycline for suspected Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever. *J. Pediatr.* **2015**, *166*, 1246-1251.
111. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Other Spotted Fever Group Rickettsioses. Available online: <https://www.cdc.gov/otherspottedfever/treatment/index.html> (Accessed on 20 November 2023).
112. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Typhus Fevers. Available online: <https://www.cdc.gov/typhus/scrub/index.html#:~:text=Scrub%20typhus%20is%20spread%20to,%2C%20India%2C%20and%20northern%20Australia> (Accessed on 20 November 2023).
113. Singh, O.B.; Panda, P.K. Scrub Typhus. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2022. Available online: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK558901/> (Accessed on 20 November 2023).
114. Rajapakse, S.; Rodrigo, C.; Fernando, D. Scrub typhus: pathophysiology, clinical manifestations and prognosis. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Med.* **2012**, *5*, 261-264.
115. Jeong, Y.J.; Kim, S.; Wook, Y.D.; Lee, J.W.; Kim, K.I.; Lee, S.H. Scrub typhus: clinical, pathologic, and imaging findings. *Radiographics.* **2007**, *27*, 161-172.
116. John, R.; Varghese, G.M. Scrub typhus: a reemerging infection. *Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis.* **2020**, *33*, 365-371.
117. MSD Manual professional version. Scrub Typhus. Available online: <https://www.msdsmanuals.com/professional/infectious-diseases/rickettsiae-and-related-organisms/scrub-typhus> (Accessed on 21 November 2023).
118. Dubourg, G.; Socolovschi, C.; Del Giudice, P.; Fournier, P.E.; Raoult, D. Scalp eschar and neck lymphadenopathy after tick bite: an emerging syndrome with multiple causes. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **2014**, *33*, 1449-1456.
119. Edouard, S.; Gonin, K.; Turc, Y.; Angelakis, E.; Socolovschi, C.; Raoult, D. Eschar and neck lymphadenopathy caused by *Francisella tularensis* after a tick bite: a case report. *J. Med. Case. Rep.* **2011**, *5*, 108.
120. Parola, P.; Rovery, C.; Rolain, J.M.; Brouqui, P.; Davoust, B.; Raoult, D. *Rickettsia slovaca* and *R. raoultii* in tick-borne Rickettsioses. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2009**, *15*, 1105-1108.
121. Körner, S.; Makert, G.R.; Ulbert, S.; Pfeffer, M.; Mertens-Scholz, K. The Prevalence of *Coxiella burnetii* in Hard Ticks in Europe and Their Role in Q Fever Transmission Revisited-A Systematic Review. *Front. Vet. Sci.* **2021**, *8*, 655715.
122. Radolf, J.D.; Strle, K.; Lemieux, J.E.; Strle, F. Lyme Disease in Humans. *Curr. Issues Mol. Biol.* **2021**, *42*, 333-384.
123. Skar, G.L.; Simonsen, K.A. Lyme Disease. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023. Available online: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK431066/> (Accessed on 21 November 2023).
124. Stanek, G.; Strle, F. Lyme borreliosis-from tick bite to diagnosis and treatment. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **2018**, *42*, 233-258.
125. Lantos, P. M.; Rumbaugh, J.; Bockenstedt, L. K.; Falck-Ytter, Y. T.; Aguero-Rosenfeld, M. E.; Auwaerter, P. G.; Baldwin, K.; Bannuru, R. R.; Belani, K. K.; Bowie, W. R. et al. Clinical Practice Guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), American Academy of Neurology (AAN), and American College of Rheumatology (ACR): 2020 Guidelines for the Prevention, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Lyme Disease. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* **2021**, *73*, 1-9.
126. Orihel, T.C.; Eberhard, M.L. Zoonotic filariasis. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **1998**, *11*, 366-381.
127. Mulyaningsih, B.; Umniyati, S.R.; Hadisusanto, S.; Edyansyah, E. Study on vector mosquito of zoonotic *Brugia malayi* in Musi Rawas, South Sumatera, Indonesia. *Vet. World* **2019**, *12*, 1729-1734.

128. Newman, T.E.; Juergens, A.L. Filariasis. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023. Available online: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK556012/> (Accessed on 22 November 2023).

129. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Parasites – Lymphatic filariasis. Epidemiology & Risk factors. Available online: <https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/lymphaticfilariasis/epi.html> (Accessed on 22 November 2023).

130. WHO. Lymphatic filariasis: a handbook of practical entomology for national lymphatic filariasis elimination programmes. Available online: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241505642> (Accessed on 22 November 2023).

131. Edeson, J.F.B.; Wilson, T. The epidemiology of filariasis due to *Wuchereria bancrofti* and *Brugia malayi*. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **1964**, *9*, 245–268.

132. Kazura, J.W. Tissue Nematodes, Including Trichinellosis, Dracunculiasis, Filariasis, Loiasis, and Onchocerciasis. In: *Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases*, 9th ed.; Bennet, J.E., Dolin, R., Blaser, M.J., Eds.; Elsevier: Philadelphia, 2020; Volume 2, pp. 3446-3448.

133. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Parasites – Lymphatic filariasis. Diagnosis. Available online: <https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/lymphaticfilariasis/diagnosis.html> (Accessed on 22 November 2023).

134. MSD Manual professional version. Bancroftian and Brugian Lymphatic Filariasis. Available online: <https://www.msdsmanuals.com/professional/infectious-diseases/nematodes-roundworms/bancroftian-and-brugian-lymphatic-filariasis> (Accessed on 22 November 2023).

135. Supali, T.; Djuardi, Y.; Pfarr, K. M.; Wibowo, H.; Taylor, M. J.; Hoerauf, A.; Houwing-Duistermaat, J. J.; Yazdanbakhsh, M.; Sartono, E. Doxycycline treatment of *Brugia malayi*-infected persons reduces microfilaremia and adverse reactions after diethylcarbamazine and albendazole treatment. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2008**, *46*, 1385–1393.

136. MSD Manual Veterinary Manual. Caseous Lymphadenitis of Sheep and Goats. Available online: <https://www.msdsvetmanual.com/circulatory-system/lymphadenitis-and-lymphangitis-of-large-animals/caseous-lymphadenitis-of-sheep-and-goats> (Accessed on 23 November 2023).

137. Spier, S.J.; Azevedo, V. *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* infection in horses: Increasing frequency and spread to new regions of North America. *Equine Vet. Educ.* **2017**, *29*, 436–439.

138. Goldberger, A.C.; Lipsky, B.A.; Plorde, J.J. Suppurative granulomatous lymphadenitis caused by *Corynebacterium ovis* (pseudotuberculosis). *Am. J. Clin. Pathol.* **1981**, *76*, 486-90.

139. Lopez, J.F.; Wong, F.M.; Quesada, J. *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis*: first case of human infection. *Am. J. Clin. Pathol.* **1966**, *46*, 562-7.

140. House, R.W.; Schousboe, M.; Allen, J.P.; Grant, C.C. *Corynebacterium ovis* (pseudo-tuberculosis) lymphadenitis in a sheep farmer: a new occupational disease in New Zealand. *NZ Med. J.* **1986**, *99*, 659-62.

141. Heggelund, L.; Gaustad, P.; Håvelsrød, O.E.; Blom, J.; Borgen, L.; Sundset, A.; Sørum, H.; Frøland, S. S. *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* Pneumonia in a Veterinary Student Infected During Laboratory Work. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* **2015**, *2*, ofv053.

142. Keslin, M.H.; McCoy, E.L.; McCusker, J.J.; Lutch, J.S. *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis*: a new cause of infectious and eosinophilic pneumonia. *Am. J. Med.* **1979**, *67*, 228-31.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.