

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# Impact of Coastal Walking Outdoor and Virtual Reality Indoor Walking on Heart Rate, Enjoyment Levels and Mindfulness Experiences in Healthy Adults

---

[Gianpiero Greco](#) , [Claudio Centrone](#) , [Luca Poli](#) , [Ana Filipa Silva](#) , [Luca Russo](#) , [Stefania Cataldi](#) \* ,  
[Valerio Giustino](#) , [Francesco Fischetti](#)

Posted Date: 27 November 2023

doi: 10.20944/preprints202311.1631.v1

Keywords: VR; Technology; Physical activity; Physical fitness; Exercise adherence.



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

## Article

# Impact of Coastal Walking Outdoor and Virtual Reality Indoor Walking on Heart Rate, Enjoyment Levels and Mindfulness Experiences in Healthy Adults

Gianpiero Greco <sup>1</sup>, Claudio Centrone <sup>1</sup>, Luca Poli <sup>1</sup>, Ana Filipa Silva <sup>2,3,4</sup>, Luca Russo <sup>5</sup>, Stefania Cataldi <sup>1,\*</sup>, Valerio Giustino <sup>6,‡</sup> and Francesco Fischetti <sup>1,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Translational Biomedicine and Neuroscience (DiBraIN), University of Study of Bari, 70124 Bari, Italy; gianpiero.greco@uniba.it (G.G.); claudio.centrone@uniba.it (C.C.); luca.poli@uniba.it (L.P.); francesco.fischetti@uniba.it (F.F.)

<sup>2</sup> Sports and Leisure School, Polytechnic Institute of Viana do Castelo, Rua Escola Industrial e Comercial de Nun'Álvares, 4900-347 Viana do Castelo, Portugal; anaafilsilva@gmail.com (A.F.S.)

<sup>3</sup> Research Center in Sports Performance, Recreation, Innovation and Technology (SPRINT), 4960-320 Melgaço, Portugal

<sup>4</sup> The Research Centre in Sports Sciences, Health Sciences and Human Development (CIDESD), 5001-801 Vila Real, Portugal

<sup>5</sup> Department of Human Sciences, Università Telematica degli Studi IUL, 50122 Florence, Italy; l.russo@iuline.it (L.R.)

<sup>6</sup> Sport and Exercise Sciences Research Unit, Department of Psychology, Educational Science and Human Movement, University of Palermo, 90144 Palermo, Italy; valerio.giustino@unipa.it (V.G.)

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work and share last authorship.

\* Correspondence: stefania.cataldi@uniba.it

**Abstract:** Outdoor exercise is beneficial for psychophysical well-being. Limited studies have compared outdoor and virtual reality (VR) indoor physical activities, especially in coastal settings. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the impact of outdoor coastal walking and indoor walking in a VR simulation with a similar environment on physiological and psychological variables in healthy adults. Twenty-six subjects (14M and 12F; age  $25.2 \pm 2.5$  years) voluntarily participated in this crossover randomized controlled and counterbalanced study and were allocated under three conditions: VR indoor walking (INVR), outdoor walking (OUT) and standard indoor walking (IN). IN and INVR conditions were performed on a treadmill (speed 4.5 Km/h) and the OUT was performed on a seaside pedestrian road. The same outdoor environment was displayed in the visor during the INVR. Heart rate (HR<sub>mean/max</sub>), Physical Activity Enjoyment (PACES-It) and State of Mindfulness for Physical Activity (SMS-PA) were assessed at the end of each condition. The OUT condition showed significantly greater PACES-It scores and HR<sub>mean</sub> than IN and INVR ( $p<0.001$ ) and greater SMS-PA scores and HR<sub>max</sub> than IN ( $p<0.01$  and  $p<0.05$ , respectively). No significant differences were found between OUT and INVR regarding HR<sub>max</sub> and SMS-PA scores ( $p>0.05$ ). Findings suggest that physical activity in an immersive technology may lead to physiological loads comparable to the outdoor environment. OUT is more enjoyable than IN and INVR but exhibits a mindfulness response comparable to INVR. Therefore, INVR could be an alternative to OUT for those who cannot engage in outdoor activities for various reasons.

**Keywords:** VR; technology; physical activity; physical fitness; exercise adherence

## 1. Introduction

In the aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic, the global workforce witnessed a substantial transition towards remote and digital work models. However, this shift has inadvertently given rise to a concerning surge in sedentary behaviors, posing a significant threat to individual well-being [1]. As the boundaries between personal and professional spaces blur, people increasingly find themselves immersed in prolonged periods of screen time, often seated for extended hours. This



sedentary lifestyle has been associated with various health issues, including musculoskeletal problems, obesity, and cardiovascular concerns [1]. Consequently, addressing this emergent challenge requires a comprehensive approach that not only acknowledges the convenience of remote work but also actively promotes physical activity and ergonomic practices to safeguard the health and well-being of the workforce in this evolving professional landscape.

The surrounding environment, particularly the natural outdoors, has proven to play a vital role in improving relaxation levels and enhancing the overall enjoyment of physical activities [2]. Connecting with nature and engaging in outdoor exercises not only helps individuals break away from the monotony of indoor settings but also provides a refreshing and invigorating experience that positively impacts their mental state [3]. Researchers have found that being in nature can significantly reduce stress levels, improve mood, and boost cognitive function [4]. Physical activity, therefore, becomes a crucial factor in making the most of the outdoor natural environment's benefits [5]. Engaging in various outdoor activities, be it hiking, cycling, running, or simply taking a walk in the park, brings numerous advantages across different aspects of an individual's life. A previous study has shown that outdoor activities have a positive impact on the social, psychological, and physiological well-being of individuals [5]. Not only do these activities promote physical health, but they also contribute to a sense of community and connectedness as people often engage in outdoor exercises with friends, family, or in group settings [6]. Moreover, outdoor activities have demonstrated preventive effects in both young and elderly populations, helping to reduce the risk of various health conditions [5].

An aspect insufficiently explored within expectancy-value theories linked to physical activity is enjoyment, synonymous with intrinsic motivation [7]. Enjoyment represents a positive emotional state encompassing sensations like pleasure, liking, and fun [8]. Previous studies, both correlational and descriptive, have suggested a potential association between enjoyment and youth engagement in physical activity [7,9]. It is known that social interactions, either directly or indirectly, enhance enjoyment, especially in entertainment services like online games [10]. As the game and entertainment industries dominate virtual reality (VR), increased social interactions among VR users are anticipated to boost enjoyment and usage intentions [11].

Mindfulness is an important factor for enhancing physical activity as well: in fact, there is a positive relationship between dispositional mindfulness and physical activity, particularly with psychological factors related to physical activity [12]; mindfulness-based interventions showed a higher likelihood of success when they were tailored to physical activity and aimed at addressing psychological aspects associated with physical activity [12]. Elevated levels of mindfulness during physical activity could aid individuals in addressing challenges related to self-control, self-regulation, and body image concerns. This, in turn, may amplify internal motivation, contributing to sustained physical activity and heightened trait mindfulness. Consequently, it is crucial to assess mindfulness during physical activity and utilize dependable and valid measurement instruments for this purpose [13].

As technology continues to advance, innovative solutions have emerged to tackle sedentary behavior and motivate people to lead active lifestyles. Exergames, a combination of exercise and gaming, have gained popularity due to their effectiveness in increasing motivation and changing sedentary habits [14–16]. These interactive games often require physical movements, making exercise more engaging and enjoyable for individuals [15]. Furthermore, the integration of augmented reality (AR) and VR in physical exercise has shown promising results in terms of enhancing the overall exercise experience [17]. AR and VR technologies offer immersive and interactive workout environments that capture users' attention and provide novel ways to engage in physical activities [15]. A previous study has shown that exercising with AR and VR has positive effects on both physical activity levels and psychological well-being [17]. The virtual settings can make workouts more interesting and challenging, encouraging individuals to participate more frequently and maintain their exercise routines [17]. In particular, virtual reality has shown significant potential to improve exercise outcomes due to an increase in training session frequency and have been found to enhance muscular strength [17]. Moreover, the use of VR has demonstrated promising results in reducing

chronic pain for certain individuals, making it a valuable tool in rehabilitation and pain management [18].

As of today, only a few studies have examined the difference between outdoor activities and indoor activities that incorporate AR and VR technologies [19,20] and none of them have specifically explored the coastal environment. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the impact of outdoor coastal walking and indoor walking in a VR simulation with a similar environment on some physiological (i.e., heart rate) and psychological (i.e., enjoyment levels and mindfulness experiences) measures in healthy adults. We hypothesized that all measured variables of the INVR condition would have values similar to the OUT condition.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Participants

Twenty-six healthy adults (age,  $25.2 \pm 2.5$  years; body mass,  $67.7 \pm 8.6$  kg; body height,  $171.0 \pm 10.0$  cm; BMI,  $23.1 \pm 2.4$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>; gender, 12 females and 14 males) voluntarily participated in the study carried out in June 2023. Participants were recruited from the University of Bari (Italy) and included students in the bachelor's degree and master's degree courses in Sports Science aged between 19 and 30 years. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (i) refusal to participate in the study, (ii) symptoms or signs of musculoskeletal disorders or other severe lower extremity injuries, (iii) presence of acute or chronic disease; (iv) failure to attend the study protocol.

To establish the sample size needed for the study, an a priori power analysis [21] with an assumed type I error of 0.05 and a type II error rate of 0.20 (80% statistical power) was calculated and revealed that 13 participants in total would be sufficient to observe medium effect sizes "within-subjects".

Before the study, the participants signed the informed consent, which provided detailed explanations of the activities and tests that would be administered during the study and the possibility of retiring at any time. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of Bari University (protocol code 0015637 | 16/02/23).


### 2.2. Study design

A randomized controlled crossover study was used (within-subjects repeated-measures design). Each subject underwent each condition in a random and counterbalanced order.

Participants were randomly assigned to three walking conditions: (1) indoor walking with virtual reality (INVR) in the coastal environment, (2) outdoor coastal walking (OUT), or (3) standard indoor walking (IN). The randomization was performed by Research Randomizer, a program published on a publicly accessible official website ([www.randomizer.org](http://www.randomizer.org), accessed on 1 June 2023). The three conditions and all assessments were conducted at the same time of the day to minimize possible circadian-related effects, between 9 a.m. and 12 a.m. with a 2-day washout period between trials. Moreover, the subjects were asked to avoid strenuous physical activity and caffeine intake in the 24 hours preceding each condition and during the data collection.

Participant characteristics and all outcome measures obtained after each walking condition were assessed by Researcher 1, who was blinded to treatment allocations. The interventions and assessments were performed in the same coastal environment and indoor gym at the University Sports Center in Bari (Italy). Researcher 2 conducted the interventions and was not involved in the subject assessment. Both researchers were instructed not to communicate with subjects about study goals or treatments.

Figure 1 shows a flow chart of the randomized allocation of participants to the three conditions.



**Figure 1.** Randomized allocation of participants to the three conditions (indoor walking with virtual reality (INVR), outdoor coastal walking (OUT), standard indoor walking (IN)).

### 2.3. Intervention protocol

Before the experiment, participants were asked not to engage in any strenuous activity for at least 30 minutes. During this time participants have filled out the informed consent form. Subsequently, each participant performed their assigned walking condition according to randomization, wearing a HR monitoring wearable device.

To create the INVR condition, the same walking path as the OUT condition (Figure 2) was recorded using the Samsung New Gear 360 to produce a 360-degree video. During the INVR sessions, participants wore VR headsets and headphones, with a Samsung Galaxy S20 FE phone placed inside the headsets, providing them with an immersive virtual reality experience of the recorded outdoor walking path. The 360-degree video was recorded at the same speed of 4.5 km/h used for indoor sessions.

OUT condition was performed walking outside in a coastal-view pathway (Figure 2). Each participant walked for 6 minutes in a predetermined speed of 4.5 km/h.

IN condition was performed on a treadmill at 4.5 km/h speed. No headphones or other equipment were worn during this session, except for HR monitor.

Immediately after the end of each walking condition, lasting 6 minutes each, participants were measured for HR (average and maximum) and subjective ratings of enjoyment and mindfulness by answering the Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale (PACES-it) [22] and State of Mindfulness Scale for Physical Activity (SMS-PA) [23] questionnaires, respectively.



**Figure 2.** Coastal pathway used for the outdoor coastal walking (OUT) and indoor walking with virtual reality (INVR) sessions.

## 2.4. Measures

### 2.4.1. Heart Rate

During the walking sessions, the participants' heart rate was continuously monitored using a wearable device (Polar® Ignite 2), worn on the left wrist. After each test, the average HR ( $HR_{mean}$ ) and maximum HR ( $HR_{max}$ ) were recorded. Previous studies [24,25] have demonstrated the validity of this type of tracker for accurately assessing heart rate in adults.

### 2.4.2. Physical ACtivity of Enjoyment Scale – Italian Version (PACES-it)

The Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale (PACES) is a questionnaire that measures an individual's subjective enjoyment of physical activity [22,26]. It consists of 16 items with scores given on a 5-point Likert scale, from 1 (completely disagree) to 5 (completely agree): nine items are positive (for example: "it energizes me") and seven items are negative (for example "It's boring") (Cronbach alpha .78 to .89) [27]. The PACES assesses various dimensions of enjoyment, including positive affect, psychological engagement, and satisfaction with the activity [28,29]. It is a widely used tool in research and helps researchers understand individuals' perceptions and attitudes toward physical activity, providing insights into the motivational factors that influence exercise behavior. PACES-It has been administrated to the participants at the end of each session.

### 2.4.3. State of Mindfulness Scale for Physical Activity (SMS-PA)

The State Mindfulness Scale for Physical Activity (SMS-PA) is an adapted version of the State Mindfulness Scale, focusing specifically on mindfulness during PA [30]. It was developed to capture the breadth of physical experiences during PA that were not adequately captured by the original scale. The SMS-PA measures the extent to which individuals attend to their physical exertion, muscular engagement, and bodily movements during PA. This scale consists of 12 items, with six items assessing mindfulness of the mind (thoughts and emotions) and six items assessing mindfulness of the body (movement, body sensations, muscle engagement). After each condition, the participants rate their agreement with each item on a five-point scale ranging from 0 to 4, indicating the level of mindfulness experienced.

The SMS-PA is applicable for use with youth aged ten and older, and adaptations in Italian have also been developed (Cronbach alpha .85 to .90) [23]. It is intended to be completed immediately following participation in a PA, providing insights into individuals' mindfulness experiences during that specific activity.

## 2.5. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using the JASP software v. 0.17.2.1 (JASP Team, 2023; jasp-stats.org). Data were presented as mean (M) values and standard deviations (SD) and were checked for assumptions of sphericity via Mauchly's test. If the sphericity assumption was violated the Greenhouse-Geisser correction was used.

The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test the normality of all variables. One-way ANOVA with repeated measures was applied to detect any differences between the three conditions. If there was a significant difference between the conditions, then a post hoc test with Bonferroni's correction was conducted to identify the significant comparison.

Eta squared ( $\eta^2$ ) was used to estimate the magnitude of the difference within groups and defined as follows: small:  $\eta^2 < 0.06$ , moderate:  $0.06 \leq \eta^2 < 0.14$ , and large:  $\eta^2 \geq 0.14$  effect size (ES). Cohen's  $d$  ES was calculated for the post hoc tests. The criteria to interpret the magnitude of Cohen's  $d$  were as follows: small:  $0.20 \leq d < 0.50$ , moderate:  $0.50 \leq d < 0.79$ , and large:  $d \geq 0.80$  ES [31]. Statistical significance level was set a priori at  $p \leq 0.05$ .

## 3. Results

All twenty-six participants who took part in the study were subjected to all three walking conditions and none of them reported injuries throughout the duration of the research. Table 1 shows all the changes experienced by participants between the three walking conditions.

**Table 1.** Changes found among the three walking conditions.

Variables	INVR	OUT	IN
HR mean (bpm)	$99.8 \pm 12.4^{\text{a***}}$	$108.6 \pm 7.7^{\text{a***,b***}}$	$99.9 \pm 8.8^{\text{b***}}$
HR max (bpm)	$112.7 \pm 17.4$	$118.1 \pm 8.5^{\text{b*}}$	$110.0 \pm 9.0^{\text{b*}}$
PACES-It (scores)	$51.7 \pm 17.2^{\text{a***}}$	$69.6 \pm 7.8^{\text{a***,b***}}$	$53.3 \pm 12.3^{\text{b***}}$
SMS-PA (scores)	$33.0 \pm 9.1$	$36.4 \pm 7.8^{\text{b**}}$	$31.9 \pm 6.1^{\text{b**}}$


Data are reported as mean  $\pm$  SD. Abbreviations: INVR, Indoor Walking with Virtual Reality; OUT, Outdoor Walking; IN, Indoor Walking; HR, Heart Rate; PACES, Physical ACtivity Enjoyment Scale; SMS-PA, State of Mindfulness Scale for Physical Activity. <sup>a</sup>Significant difference between INVR and OUT; <sup>b</sup>Significant difference between OUT and IN. \* $p < 0.05$ ; \*\* $p < 0.01$ ; \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ .

One-way ANOVA with repeated measures found significant "within-subjects effects" for all the outcomes measures:  $\text{HR}_{\text{mean}}$  ( $F=10.456$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $\eta^2 = 0.295$ , large ES),  $\text{HR}_{\text{max}}$  ( $F=4.048$ ,  $p=0.035$ ,  $\eta^2 = 0.139$ , moderate ES), PACES-It ( $F=21.861$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $\eta^2 = 0.467$ , large ES), SMS-PA ( $F=5.345$ ,  $p=0.008$ ,  $\eta^2 = 0.176$ , large ES). Mauchly's test of sphericity for  $\text{HR}_{\text{mean}}$  and  $\text{HR}_{\text{max}}$  indicated that the assumption of sphericity was violated ( $p < 0.05$ ), thus Greenhouse-Geisser correction was used.


Bonferroni post hoc test showed that  $\text{HR}_{\text{mean}}$  was significantly higher during the OUT compared to IN ( $t = -3.934$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $d = 0.881$ , large ES) and INVR ( $t = -3.986$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $d = 0.893$ , large ES) sessions (Figure 3).

Greater  $\text{HR}_{\text{max}}$  was found in the OUT than IN ( $t = -2.791$ ,  $p = 0.022$ ,  $d = 0.654$ , moderate ES) sessions.  $\text{HR}_{\text{max}}$  was not significantly different in OUT compared to the INVR ( $p > 0.05$ ) session (Figure 4).


The level of enjoyment measured by PACES-It was also significantly higher in the OUT session compared to the others (OUT vs. IN:  $t = -5.452$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $d = 1.251$ , large ES; OUT vs. INVR:  $t = -5.966$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $d = 1.369$ , large ES) (Figure 5).



**Figure 3.** Changes in HRmean among conditions.




**Figure 4.** Changes in HRmax among conditions.



**Figure 5.** Changes in PACES scores among conditions.

Greater SMS-PA scores were found in the OUT than IN sessions ( $t= -3.143$ ,  $p=0.008$ ,  $d=0.589$ , moderate ES). SMS-PA score was not significantly different in OUT compared to the INVR ( $p>0.05$ ) session (Figure 6).



**Figure 6.** Changes in SMS-PA scores among conditions.

#### 4. Discussion

The study embarked on a meticulous exploration, probing the intricate impacts of three distinct walking conditions—namely, INVR (indoor walking with virtual reality), OUT (outdoor coastal walking), and IN (indoor walking)—on psychological and physiological measures within a cohort of healthy adults. This endeavor aimed to unravel nuanced differences in heart rate (HR), enjoyment levels, and mindfulness experiences engendered by these diverse walking scenarios. The primary hypothesis postulated that the INVR condition would manifest values akin to the OUT condition across all variables under scrutiny. This hypothesis was substantiated, albeit selectively, finding confirmation in the case of HRmax and SMS measures. Intriguingly, no statistically significant differences were unearthed between the INVR and OUT conditions in these particular facets, signifying a degree of physiological equivalence.

Conversely, when we delve into HRmean and PACES measures, a different narrative emerges. These metrics exhibited lower values in both the IN and INVR conditions in comparison to the OUT condition. This highlights a palpable distinction in cardiovascular and experiential dimensions when engaging in indoor as opposed to outdoor walking. Participants showcased markedly higher HRmean values during OUT, lending credence to the notion that the natural outdoor environment poses distinctive physical demands, culminating in heightened cardiovascular exertion during outdoor ambulation. It's an observation that echoes extant research [32–34], reiterating the unique physiological implications of traversing natural terrains.

However, the absence of significant differences in HRmax between INVR and OUT introduces a compelling dimension to the discourse. It implies that the immersive virtual reality experience, an emblem of cutting-edge technology, can, to some extent, emulate the physiological responses induced by outdoor walking. While this substantiates the idea that technology-mediated indoor activities can approximate the physiological benefits of outdoor endeavors, distinctions were indeed detected between OUT and IN. The greater cardiovascular exertion associated with outdoor environments [32] became manifest in the higher value of OUT, reinforcing the irreplaceable facets of natural settings in physical activity.

Transitioning from the physiological to the experiential, enjoyment levels emerged as a pivotal parameter. IN and INVR were consistently reported as less enjoyable compared to OUT. This underscores a crucial psychological facet; participants derived heightened pleasure and satisfaction from the natural outdoor setting of OUT, indicating a potential intrinsic motivation embedded in outdoor activities. The immersive virtual reality experience during INVR, and the standard indoor environment of IN, were perceived as less enjoyable, potentially influencing motivation and adherence to physical activity. This aligns seamlessly with the findings of a parallel study [20], which reported significantly higher enjoyment during outdoor walking compared to indoor walking sessions with VR. Consequently, our study reinforces the intrinsic allure of the natural outdoor

setting of OUT, postulating it as more enjoyable and, by extension, more conducive to sustaining physical activity over time.

Acknowledging the documented mindfulness benefits of self-paced outdoor walking [35–37] and the profound impact of VR-based exercise on mindfulness [38,39], our study aligns with these precepts. Mindfulness experiences showed no significant differences between OUT and INVR, suggesting that both environments facilitated a comparable state of mindfulness. This implies that the immersive virtual reality experience of INVR effectively engendered mindfulness, mirroring the serene and natural ambiance of coastal walking outdoors.

This study represents a noteworthy stride in unraveling the multifaceted dynamics of different walking conditions on both physiological and psychological facets. The confirmation of certain hypotheses, such as the physiological equivalence between INVR and OUT in specific parameters, is intriguing and opens avenues for further exploration. The consistent theme of outdoor walking being more enjoyable aligns with broader trends in physical activity research, emphasizing the pivotal role of natural environments in promoting sustained engagement. While the study, like any scientific endeavor, is not without limitations, it provides a robust foundation for future research endeavors that can build upon these insights, refining our understanding of how the choice of walking environment intertwines with the intricate tapestry of human health and well-being.

Expanding on the broader implications of this research, the study fundamentally underscores the need for a nuanced understanding of the interplay between technology-mediated indoor physical activities and the irreplaceable allure of outdoor environments. In an era dominated by virtual experiences and technology-driven leisure, the study offers a critical lens on the potential of immersive virtual reality in approximating the physiological responses and mindfulness benefits associated with outdoor walking. The findings suggest that, while technology can emulate certain aspects of the outdoor experience, the intrinsic joy and satisfaction derived from natural settings remain unparalleled.

The implications of these findings extend beyond the realms of academic inquiry into the practical domains of public health and well-being. Understanding the psychological and physiological nuances of different walking conditions can inform the design and implementation of interventions aimed at promoting physical activity. For instance, individuals constrained by factors such as inclement weather, lack of access, or time limitations may find a viable alternative in immersive virtual reality experiences. However, the study also cautions against a one-size-fits-all approach, highlighting the superior enjoyment associated with outdoor walking [20]. Thus, urban planning, workplace wellness programs, and health policies should consider the role of outdoor spaces in fostering physical activity and mental well-being.

Moreover, the study sheds light on the importance of mindfulness in the context of physical activity. The comparable mindfulness experiences between outdoor walking and virtual reality-based indoor walking suggest that technology, when designed with a mindful intent, can contribute to mental well-being. This insight is particularly relevant in a society grappling with sedentary lifestyles and stress-related health issues. Integrating mindfulness practices into technology-mediated physical activities could present a holistic approach to health promotion [19,23].

The longitudinal implications of different walking conditions constitute another area ripe for exploration. While the study provides a snapshot of acute effects, understanding the sustained impact of outdoor walking, indoor walking, and virtual reality-based activities can inform more robust recommendations for individuals and communities. Longitudinal studies tracking participants over extended periods could elucidate the enduring benefits and potential habituation to different walking modalities.

Finally, this study navigates the intersection of technology, physical activity, and well-being, unraveling layers of complexity in how different walking conditions shape our physiological responses, enjoyment levels, and mindfulness experiences. As society grapples with evolving patterns of physical activity and increasing reliance on technology, these insights become pivotal signposts. They guide us in harnessing the potential of immersive virtual reality for health promotion while underscoring the timeless allure and benefits of natural outdoor environments. The study,

therefore, beckons further exploration, inviting researchers, policymakers, and practitioners to embark on a journey of deeper understanding and innovative interventions at the nexus of human movement and well-being.

#### 4.1. Strength and limitations

The study's reliance on a relatively small sample size, drawn exclusively from university sports science students, introduces a potential source of bias, limiting the generalizability of the results to a broader population. The predominantly homogeneous participant cohort may not fully represent diverse demographic groups, affecting the external validity of the findings. While the cross-over design is pragmatic for short-term analyses, it complicates the observation of long-term effects, making it challenging to draw conclusions about sustained impacts over time. The novelty associated with participants' first-time use of the visor may have induced emotional arousal, potentially influencing physiological parameters. The study recognizes this as a potential confounding factor. Due to the specific conditions of the study and the unique sample, caution is warranted in generalizing the findings to broader populations or different settings. The study, by incorporating virtual reality technology, might introduce a bias toward technology-mediated activities, and the findings may not fully capture the preferences and responses of individuals less accustomed to such technology. The study, while providing valuable insights, may lack a real-world context. Participants' experiences in a controlled study environment might differ from their experiences in their daily lives. The study primarily focuses on acute effects, and while this provides a snapshot, it may not fully capture the sustained impact and habituation to different walking modalities over an extended period. The study acknowledges the potential for participant bias due to the small and specific sample, emphasizing the need for future research with broader participant diversity. The caution against a one-size-fits-all approach, while valid, adds complexity to the applicability of the study's findings to diverse populations and contexts.

On the other side during in this study was conducted a meticulous exploration of three distinct walking conditions, providing a detailed analysis of their impacts on psychological and physiological measures. The research question addressed a pertinent issue, examining the effects of different walking conditions on both physiological (heart rate) and psychological (enjoyment, mindfulness) aspects, crucial for overall well-being. The inclusion of virtual reality (INVR) as one of the walking conditions adds innovation to the study, reflecting contemporary trends in technology and its potential impact on physical activity and well-being. The study confirmed certain hypotheses, such as the physiological equivalence between INVR and OUT in specific parameters, contributing valuable insights to the understanding of how technology-mediated indoor activities compare to outdoor experiences. It contributes substantially to the existing body of knowledge on the interplay between walking conditions and human well-being, emphasizing the importance of natural environments in promoting sustained engagement. The study not only focused on physiological measures but also delved into psychological factors such as enjoyment and mindfulness, providing a holistic view of the impact of different walking conditions. The cross-over design, while complicating certain aspects, was pragmatic for specific analyses, offering a nuanced understanding of the short-term effects of different walking modalities. Thus, our study sought to bring novelty to the field of research.

Finally, while the study makes significant strides in unraveling the dynamics of different walking conditions, it is crucial to interpret its findings within the context of these strengths and limitations. Future research should aim to address these limitations for a more comprehensive understanding of the interplay between technology-mediated indoor activities and the allure of outdoor environments on human well-being.

### 5. Conclusions

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that provides new insights into the physiological and psychological effects of different walking conditions, including those in coastal environments. OUT has greater  $HR_{mean}$  values with respect INVR and IN, but  $HR_{max}$  didn't between

OUT and INVR. This suggests that physical activity in an immersive environment may lead to physiological loads comparable to the outdoor setting. OUT emerges as an enjoyable and engaging alternative to IN and INVR. Also, the immersive virtual reality experience of INVR presents a comparable mindfulness response to the OUT, supporting its potential use in physical activity interventions. Thus, both OUT and INVR offer similar levels of mindfulness experiences, highlighting the benefits of incorporating outdoor coastal walking and virtual reality-enhanced indoor walking in physical activity interventions. These findings underscore the importance of providing diverse and stimulating environments to enhance enjoyment and motivation for physical activity.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, G.G. and C.C.; methodology, G.G., C.C. and L.P.; software, L.P.; validation, G.G., L.P., and R.C.; formal analysis, L.R. and A.F.S.; investigation, C.C. and L.P.; resources, S.C., V.G and F.F.; data curation, G.G., C.C. and L.P.; writing—original draft preparation, G.G. and C.C.; writing—review and editing, G.G., S.C., V.G. and F.F.; visualization, L.R. and A.F.S.; supervision, G.G., V.G. and F.F. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Bari University (protocol code 0015637 | 16/02/23).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all parents of the participants involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the first author.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Cancello, R.; Soranna, D.; Zambra, G.; Zambon, A.; Invitti, C. Determinants of the Lifestyle Changes during COVID-19 Pandemic in the Residents of Northern Italy. *IJERPH* 2020, **17**, 6287, doi:10.3390/ijerph17176287.
2. Kruize, H.; Van Kamp, I.; Van Den Berg, M.; Van Kempen, E.; Wendel-Vos, W.; Ruijsbroek, A.; Swart, W.; Maas, J.; Gidlow, C.; Smith, G.; et al. Exploring Mechanisms Underlying the Relationship between the Natural Outdoor Environment and Health and Well-Being – Results from the PHENOTYPE Project. *Environment International* 2020, **134**, 105173, doi:10.1016/j.envint.2019.105173.
3. Das, A.; Gailey, S. Green Exercise, Mental Health Symptoms, and State Lockdown Policies: A Longitudinal Study. *Journal of Environmental Psychology* 2022, **82**, 101848, doi:10.1016/j.jenvp.2022.101848.
4. Bélanger, M.; Gallant, F.; Doré, I.; O'Loughlin, J.L.; Sylvestre, M.-P.; Abi Nader, P.; Larouche, R.; Gunnell, K.; Sabiston, C.M. Physical Activity Mediates the Relationship between Outdoor Time and Mental Health. *Preventive Medicine Reports* 2019, **16**, 101006, doi:10.1016/j.pmedr.2019.101006.
5. Manferdelli, G.; La Torre, A.; Codella, R. Outdoor Physical Activity Bears Multiple Benefits to Health and Society. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 2019, **59**, doi:10.23736/S0022-4707.18.08771-6.
6. Riley-Jacome, M.; Gallant, M.P.; Fisher, B.D.; Gotcsik, F.S.; Strogatz, D.S. Enhancing Community Capacity to Support Physical Activity: The Development of a Community-Based Indoor–Outdoor Walking Program. *J Primary Prevent* 2010, **31**, 85–95, doi:10.1007/s10935-010-0204-x.
7. Motl, R.W.; Dishman, R.K.; Saunders, R.; Dowda, M.; Felton, G.; Pate, R.R. Measuring Enjoyment of Physical Activity in Adolescent Girls. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 2001, **21**, 110–117, doi:10.1016/S0749-3797(01)00326-9.
8. Scanlan, T.K.; Simons, J.P. The Construct of Sport Enjoyment. *Motivation in sport and exercise* 1992.
9. Sallis, J.F.; Prochaska, J.J.; Taylor, W.C.; Hill, J.O.; Geraci, J.C. Correlates of Physical Activity in a National Sample of Girls and Boys in Grades 4 through 12. *Health Psychology* 1999, **18**, 410–415, doi:10.1037/0278-6133.18.4.410.
10. Chen, V.H.-H.; Duh, H.B.-L.; Phuah, P.S.K.; Lam, D.Z.Y. Enjoyment or Engagement? Role of Social Interaction in Playing Massively Multiplayer Online Role-Playing Games (MMORPGS). In *Entertainment Computing - ICEC 2006*; Harper, R., Rauterberg, M., Cometto, M., Eds.; Lecture Notes in Computer Science; Springer Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, Heidelberg, 2006; Vol. 4161, pp. 262–267 ISBN 978-3-540-45259-1.
11. Lee, J.; Kim, J.; Choi, J.Y. The Adoption of Virtual Reality Devices: The Technology Acceptance Model Integrating Enjoyment, Social Interaction, and Strength of the Social Ties. *Telematics and Informatics* 2019, **39**, 37–48, doi:10.1016/j.tele.2018.12.006.
12. Schneider, J.; Malinowski, P.; Watson, P.M.; Lattimore, P. The Role of Mindfulness in Physical Activity: A Systematic Review. *Obesity Reviews* 2019, **20**, 448–463, doi:10.1111/obr.12795.

13. Baceviciene, M.; Jankauskiene, R.; Balciuniene, V. Mindfulness, Physical Activity and Sports Participation: Validity Evidence of the Lithuanian Translation of the State Mindfulness Scale for Physical Activity 2 in Physically Active Young Adults. *Behavioral Sciences* 2023, *13*, 820, doi:10.3390/bs13100820.
14. Bice, M.R.; Ball, J.W.; McClarlan, S. Technology and Physical Activity Motivation. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology* 2016, *14*, 295–304, doi:10.1080/1612197X.2015.1025811.
15. González-González, C.; Río, N.G.; Navarro-Adelantado, V. Exploring the Benefits of Using Gamification and Videogames for Physical Exercise: A Review of State of Art. *IJIMAI* 2018, *5*, 46, doi:10.9781/ijimai.2018.03.005.
16. Greco, G.; Poli, L.; Clemente, F.M.; Francesco, F.; Cataldi, S. The Effectiveness of New Digital Technologies in Increasing Physical Activity Levels and Promoting Active and Healthy Ageing: A Narrative Review. *Health & Social Care in the Community* 2023, *2023*, 1–11, doi:10.1155/2023/2803620.
17. Ng, Y.-L.; Ma, F.; Ho, F.K.; Ip, P.; Fu, K. Effectiveness of Virtual and Augmented Reality-Enhanced Exercise on Physical Activity, Psychological Outcomes, and Physical Performance: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Computers in Human Behavior* 2019, *99*, 278–291, doi:10.1016/j.chb.2019.05.026.
18. Gromala, D.; Tong, X.; Choo, A.; Karamnejad, M.; Shaw, C.D. The Virtual Meditative Walk: Virtual Reality Therapy for Chronic Pain Management. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems; ACM: Seoul Republic of Korea, April 18 2015; pp. 521–524.
19. Mavrantza, A.M.; Bigliassi, M.; Calogiuri, G. Psychophysiological Mechanisms Underlying the Effects of Outdoor Green and Virtual Green Exercise during Self-Paced Walking. *International Journal of Psychophysiology* 2023, *184*, 39–50, doi:10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2022.12.006.
20. Plante, T.G.; Cage, C.; Clements, S.; Stover, A. Psychological Benefits of Exercise Paired with Virtual Reality: Outdoor Exercise Energizes Whereas Indoor Virtual Exercise Relaxes. *International Journal of Stress Management* 2006, *13*, 108–117, doi:10.1037/1072-5245.13.1.108.
21. Faul, F.; Erdfelder, E.; Lang, A.-G.; Buchner, A. G\*Power 3: A Flexible Statistical Power Analysis Program for the Social, Behavioral, and Biomedical Sciences. *Behavior Research Methods* 2007, *39*, 175–191, doi:10.3758/BF03193146.
22. Carraro, A.; Young, M.C.; Robazza, C. A CONTRIBUTION TO THE VALIDATION OF THE PHYSICAL ACTIVITY ENJOYMENT SCALE IN AN ITALIAN SAMPLE. *soc behav pers* 2008, *36*, 911–918, doi:10.2224/sbp.2008.36.7.911.
23. Bisagni, D.; Bisagni, M.; Vaccaro, M. Mindfulness and Psychological Flexibility in Sport Practice: Validation of the Italian Version of the SMS-PA and the Sports Version of the AAQ-II. *Psicoterapia Cognitiva e Comportamentale* 2018, *24*, 153–173.
24. Budig, M.; Keiner, M.; Stoohs, R.; Hoffmeister, M.; Höltke, V. Heart Rate and Distance Measurement of Two Multisport Activity Trackers and a Cellphone App in Different Sports: A Cross-Sectional Validation and Comparison Field Study. *Sensors* 2021, *22*, 180, doi:10.3390/s22010180.
25. Jagim, A.R.; Koch-Gallup, N.; Camic, C.L.; Kroening, L.; Nolte, C.; Schroeder, C.; Gran, L.; Erickson, J.L. The Accuracy of Fitness Watches for the Measurement of Heart Rate and Energy Expenditure during Moderate Intensity Exercise. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 2021, *61*, doi:10.23736/S0022-4707.20.11151-4.
26. Kendzierski, D.; DeCarlo, K.J. Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale: Two Validation Studies. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology* 1991, *13*, 50–64.
27. Carraro, A. Valutare Il Piacere Nelle Attività Motorie: Il PACES-It. In *Giornale Italiano della Ricerca Educativa*; Pensa MultiMedia Editore srl, 2012; pp. 259–265.
28. Mullen, S.P.; Olson, E.A.; Phillips, S.M.; Szabo, A.N.; Wójcicki, T.R.; Mailey, E.L.; Gothe, N.P.; Fanning, J.T.; Kramer, A.F.; McAuley, E. Measuring Enjoyment of Physical Activity in Older Adults: Invariance of the Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale (Paces) across Groups and Time. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2011, *8*, 103, doi:10.1186/1479-5868-8-103.
29. Teques, P.; Calmeiro, L.; Silva, C.; Borrego, C. Validation and Adaptation of the Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale (PACES) in Fitness Group Exercisers. *Journal of Sport and Health Science* 2020, *9*, 352–357, doi:10.1016/j.jshs.2017.09.010.
30. Cox, A.E.; Ullrich-French, S.; French, B.F. Validity Evidence for the State Mindfulness Scale for Physical Activity. *Measurement in Physical Education and Exercise Science* 2016, *20*, 38–49, doi:10.1080/1091367X.2015.1089404.
31. Cohen, J. A Power Primer. *Psychological Bulletin* 1992, *112*, 155–159, doi:10.1037/0033-2909.112.1.155.
32. Boldina, A.; Chung, H.C.; Santos, A.M.C.; Steemers, K. Active Urbanism: Heart Rate and Oxygen Consumption Comparison When Walking on Imitation Steppingstones versus a Plain Surface. *Cities & Health* 2023, *7*, 398–415, doi:10.1080/23748834.2022.2146567.
33. Fuegen, K.; Breitenbecher, K.H. Walking Outdoors Increases Heart Rate but Not Perceived Exertion. *Ecopsychology* 2022, *14*, 215–225, doi:10.1089/eco.2021.0043.

34. Li, C.; Lee, C.-W.; Tsang, K.T. Outdoor Walking Better? Environmental Elements of Cardiorespiratory Fitness Training Trails. *Front. Sports Act. Living* **2023**, *4*, 1036777, doi:10.3389/fspor.2022.1036777.
35. Bailey, A.W.; Allen, G.; Herndon, J.; Demastus, C. Cognitive Benefits of Walking in Natural versus Built Environments. *World Leisure Journal* **2018**, *60*, 293–305, doi:10.1080/16078055.2018.1445025.
36. Bigliassi, M.; Galano, B.M.; Lima-Silva, A.E.; Bertuzzi, R. Effects of Mindfulness on Psychological and Psychophysiological Responses during Self-paced Walking. *Psychophysiology* **2020**, *57*, doi:10.1111/psyp.13529.
37. Djernis; Lerstrup; Poulsen; Stigsdotter; Dahlgaard; O'Toole A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Nature-Based Mindfulness: Effects of Moving Mindfulness Training into an Outdoor Natural Setting. *IJERPH* **2019**, *16*, 3202, doi:10.3390/ijerph16173202.
38. Arpaia, P.; D'Errico, G.; De Paolis, L.T.; Moccaldi, N.; Nuccetelli, F. A Narrative Review of Mindfulness-Based Interventions Using Virtual Reality. *Mindfulness* **2022**, *13*, 556–571, doi:10.1007/s12671-021-01783-6.
39. O'Connor, S.; Mayne, A.; Hood, B. Virtual Reality-Based Mindfulness for Chronic Pain Management: A Scoping Review. *Pain Management Nursing* **2022**, *23*, 359–369, doi:10.1016/j.pmn.2022.03.013.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.