Preprints.org

Article

Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory: A New Perspective on Language Teaching

Edgar R. Eslit [*]

Article

Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory: A New Perspective on Language Teaching

By: Edgar R. Eslit

St. Michael's College, Iligan City, Philippines
E-mail: edgareslit@gmail.com, e.eslit@my.smciligan.edu.ph

Abstract: In an era marked by rapid technological progress and global dynamics, the Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory challenges conventional language acquisition paradigms through its conceptualization of 'Grit: The Unyielding Will to Connect,' emphasizing determination, motivation, and adaptability as the primary drivers of language learning, transcending age-related constraints and critical periods. This theory also underscores 'Self-Preservation: Language as the Canvas of Reality,' as language plays a pivotal role in preserving and conveying our individual and collective experiences. Recent global events have underscored the theory's relevance, prompting a reevaluation of language education in response to changing circumstances. Moreover, 'Techno-Training: Language Acquisition and Learning in the Digital Age' is integral to the theory, as it recognizes the influence of technology on modern language education. Beyond traditional methods, these three reshape our fundamental understanding of said theory. Drawing from historical evidence, psychological principles, and contemporary applications, they supported the conceptualization of the theory itself. Overall, the Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory revolutionizes language education, spotlighting the power of motivation, determination, and adaptability to navigate the evolving landscape of language learning and acquisition.

Keywords: neo-language acquisition theory; position statement; second language acquisition

Corpus discussion:

In the realm of linguistics, Stephen Krashen stands as a luminary whose ideas have challenged conventional notions of language acquisition. His seminal work articulates a perspective that has become a cornerstone of second language research and teaching: "Language acquisition does not require extensive use of conscious grammatical rules, and does not require tedious drill. Acquisition requires meaningful interaction in the target language – natural communication – in which speakers are concerned not with the form of their utterances but with the messages they are conveying and understanding" (Krashen, 1981). This profound insight into language acquisition and learning sets the stage for a paradigm shift in understanding how individuals learn and internalize a new language.

Historical Context: Krashen's contributions emerged in a linguistics landscape marked by evolving theories and dynamic societal changes (Ellis, 1985). Understanding his work in this context illuminates its significance within the broader field.

Krashen's work extends far beyond this groundbreaking assertion. With a career spanning several decades, he has not only published more than 100 articles since 1980 but has also delivered over 300 lectures at renowned universities across the United States and Canada. His dedication to unraveling the mysteries of language acquisition has left an indelible mark on the linguistic landscape.

Contrasting Perspectives: To make our exploration more inviting, it is essential to acknowledge that Krashen's theories are not without their critics (Kidd et al., 2018). Some scholars have challenged his ideas, suggesting that language acquisition and learning may involve more complex interactions between conscious learning and natural acquisition. Such contrasting perspectives invite us to critically evaluate the foundations of language acquisition and learning in language teaching.

Central to Krashen's work are his five hypotheses for second language acquisition, each offering a unique perspective on how individuals navigate the intricate process of acquiring a new language (B.Ed Notes, n.d.). These hypotheses encompass the Acquisition-Learning Hypothesis, Monitor Hypothesis, Natural Order Hypothesis, Input Hypothesis, and Affective Filter Hypothesis (Krashen, 1982). Collectively, they provide a comprehensive framework for understanding the multifaceted journey of language acquisition.

Real-world Implications: Beyond theory, we must explore the practical implications of Krashen's ideas (de Jong, 2023). How have educators applied these theories in diverse language learning contexts? What challenges and adaptations have arisen? Real-world insights help us appreciate the complexities of language education.

In this paper, we will delve into Krashen's five hypotheses and explore their implications for language learners and educators alike (Wu et al., 2021). By dissecting these hypotheses and their real-world applications, the researcher aims to shed light on the intricate interplay between conscious learning and natural acquisition, the role of emotional factors in language learning (Tomasello, 2003), and the significance of meaningful interaction with native speakers. As we embark on this journey through the theories of Stephen Krashen, a nuanced understanding of language acquisition emerges, challenging traditional pedagogical approaches and offering fresh insights into the dynamic process of becoming proficient in a second language.

Multilingualism and Cultural Diversity: Language acquisition is not confined to isolated contexts. It thrives in multicultural, multilingual environments (Saville-Troike, 2005). How do Krashen's ideas apply to individuals learning multiple languages simultaneously or those immersed in culturally diverse settings like China, India and the Philippines? Multilingualism enriches the discourse.

Technology's Role: Technology, including AI, has redefined the language learning landscape (ACTFL, 2017). Consider the advantages and limitations of technology-driven language acquisition (Fu et al., 2023). How does it complement or challenge Krashen's theories? The fusion of traditional and technological approaches opens new avenues for exploration.

Ethical Considerations: As we embrace technology, ethical questions arise (Vinney, 2010). What are the ethical implications of relying heavily on AI for language learning? Are there concerns about cultural homogenization or the potential loss of traditional teaching methods? These ethical dimensions merit reflection.

Future Trends and Challenges: What lies ahead for language acquisition (Llompart, 2023)? Emerging trends, like virtual reality language immersion or AI-driven personalized learning, promise to reshape the field. What challenges may arise as language acquisition continues to evolve? The future beckons us to anticipate its impact.

Personal Stories or Anecdotes: Personal anecdotes of individuals who have successfully learned languages using unconventional methods, such as self-determination or technology, offer human narratives within the discourse. These stories illustrate the potential of alternative approaches.

What does the future hold for language acquisition, and how might it transform our understanding of communication and education? As we navigate this evolving landscape, one thing remains certain: the exploration of language acquisition is an ever-unfolding journey, rich with possibilities and complexities that challenge us to think deeply and differently about the languages we speak and the ways we learn and teach them.   On the same token, this paper is making a position statement relative to language acquisition and learning by postulating this theory.

Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory Conceptualization:

Time is changing rapidly. As our world advances and technology proliferates like wild mushrooms, one need not necessarily embrace Krashen's language acquisition and learning theory to understand why humans learn and speak a particular language (Cornelissen, et al., 2021).   In reality, individuals, acquire and learn a language through compelling means.   Now-a-days, the most basic of which are:

1. Grit: The Unyielding Will to Connect

Irrespective of age, circumstances, or context, the imperative of mastering a language for communication, and ultimately, survival, remains unwavering (Leverett, 2001). Throughout history, tales of conquerors and alien invaders reveal a testament to the sheer determination of individuals who embarked on linguistic expeditions. Figures such as Alexander the Great, Julius Caesar, Genghis Khan, and even the fictional character Tarzan exemplify adaptability to new languages driven by grit (Pinker, 1994). Their journeys transcend mere social interaction. From a social constructionist perspective (Atkinson, 2010), it becomes evident that many facets we deem objective reality are, in truth, socially constructed and subject to transformation as society evolves.

2. Self-Preservation: Language as the Canvas of Reality

Language, akin to grit, serves as a formidable instrument of human survival (Unsworth, 2016). Speaking a particular language becomes paramount for self-preservation. A deeper exploration leads us to the Sapir-Whorf hypothesis, a concept originating in 1929 and named after American anthropological linguist Edward Sapir and his student Benjamin Whorf (Pinker, 1994). This intriguing theory postulates that the linguistic and semantic structure of a language exerts a profound influence on the way speakers conceptualize the world. It probes into the realms of linguistic relativity, linguistic determinism, and how language molds our perceptions of reality (Lightbown & Spada, 1993). Here, language emerges as not just a tool for communication but as a portal to a distinct cognitive landscape.

3. Techno-Training: Language Acquisition and Learning in the Digital Age

The advent of language learning technologies has ushered in a transformation of traditional language acquisition and learning methods (Tokowicz, 2015). One no longer necessitates physical exposure with any group of people or enrollment in any school or university to embark on the exciting journey of acquiring a new language. Language tools and application software abound and are often freely accessible (VanPatten & Benati, 2010). These resources empower individuals to swiftly attain proficiency in a specific language and even embark on the adventurous pursuit of mastering multiple languages. In 2017, ACTFL emphasized the pivotal role of technology in language education, advocating its use by language educators to augment language instruction, practice, and assessment (Susan M. Gass et al., 2020). This aligns seamlessly with the principles outlined in the World-Readiness Standards for Learning Languages (Doughty, 2003).

In a nutshell, *Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory* refers to a contemporary theory that places a strong emphasis on *determination, motivation,* and *adaptability* as key drivers of language acquisition and learning. This theory distinguishes between language learning, which is a conscious process where the learner is aware that they are acquiring a new language, and language acquisition, which is a subconscious process where the learner is not aware that they are learning a new language. It knows no color, continent, time, gender, and age. Language teachers must know this. However, the global pandemic has cast a long shadow, necessitating numerous precautions in face-to-face interactions, which has had a profound ripple effect on language acquisition and learning. Lockdowns, social distancing measures, and the shift to online education disrupted traditional language learning environments, underscoring the importance of adaptability in language acquisition and the relevance of Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory's emphasis on adaptability and intrinsic motivation. This theory challenges traditional notions of a rigid critical period for language acquisition, suggesting that individuals of all ages can successfully acquire new languages when they possess the determination and intrinsic motivation to do so. Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory also promotes personalized and adaptive language learning experiences, acknowledging that learners have unique motivations, interests, and needs that should be taken into account. Additionally, it recognizes the role of technology in language learning and advocates for the integration of motivational strategies into language education (Eslit, 2023). In essence, this theory offers a more inclusive and dynamic perspective on how humans acquire and use languages in today's ever-evolving world, and it has proven invaluable in helping learners navigate the challenges imposed by the pandemic, making it a cornerstone of modern language education.

Top of Form

In this ever-evolving landscape of language acquisition and learning, the convergence of determination, motivation, adaptability and technological innovation unveils a kaleidoscope of better language lens (Lewis, 2016). The journey of acquiring, learning and speaking a language is no longer confined to conventional paradigms; it is a dynamic, multifaceted expedition, ever-responsive to the pulsating rhythms of our modern world (VanPatten & Williams, 2015). As the sands of time continue to shift, so too does our comprehension of the intricate tapestry of human language (Gass et al., 2020).

Supporting Arguments:

1. Grit as a Driving Force: At the heart of the Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory lies the fundamental concept that determination or grit serves as a powerful driving force behind language acquisition (Kraft, 2010). This perspective finds compelling support in both historical accounts and contemporary examples.

Historical Evidence: Throughout history, legendary conquerors and fearless explorers such as Alexander the Great and pioneers of the age of discovery faced the formidable challenge of communicating with people of diverse languages (Marc, n.d.; Walbank 2023).   It can be noted that Alexander, for example, learn the languages of those countries he conquered through hiring interpreters, learning from locals, and adaptability (Heckel, et al., 2009). Their success in these endeavors stemmed from sheer necessity and unyielding determination to achieve their ambitions. Conquerors of new lands had to quickly adapt to unfamiliar tongues to negotiate alliances, navigate foreign territories, and establish dominion. These historical exemplars exemplify how grit has historically been a catalyst for language acquisition and, subsequently, cultural integration.

Contemporary Examples: In the modern world, the tenacity to learn and embrace a new language remains a critical facet of survival and integration into new communities (Kraft, 2010). As globalization continues to blur borders, individuals who embark on journeys of relocation recognize the indispensable role of language in forging connections, securing employment, and fostering social bonds. Their determination to adapt linguistically underscores the enduring power of grit in language acquisition. This phenomenon extends beyond immigrants to encompass expatriates, international students, and individuals who relocate to different regions.

2. Language as a Tool for Self-Preservation: The Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory posits that language is not merely a means of communication but a potent tool for self-preservation. This perspective gains credence from two key aspects:

Sapir-Whorf Hypothesis: The Sapir-Whorf hypothesis asserts that language intricately shapes our perceptions of the world (Nordquist, 2019). This theory underscores the profound importance of language in molding how we interpret and interact with our environment. Our ability to conceptualize our surroundings, make decisions, and engage in problem-solving hinges upon language. As such, language becomes a critical element in our capacity to navigate and thrive within our surroundings.

Communication in Crisis: During times of crisis and emergencies, effective communication becomes not just a convenience but a matter of life and death (Vinney, 2010). In such situations, individuals often demonstrate remarkable resilience in finding ways to bridge language barriers to ensure their safety and well-being. Whether it is emergency responders communicating with non-English-speaking survivors, medical professionals attending to patients from diverse linguistic backgrounds, or travelers seeking assistance abroad, the imperative of language as a tool for self-preservation becomes glaringly evident.

3. The Role of Technology in Language Learning: The Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory champions the profound significance of technology in language learning, reflecting the modern reality where technology reshapes traditional paradigms (ACTFL, 2017). This perspective is bolstered by several compelling factors:

Proliferation of Language Apps: Recent years have witnessed an explosion in the availability and accessibility of language learning apps and software (Tokowicz, 2015). Platforms like Duolingo, Rosetta Stone, and Babbel have become household names, offering engaging and user-friendly

avenues for acquiring language skills. Their popularity underscores the growing role of technology in making language acquisition both convenient and enjoyable.

Educational Technology: Educational institutions worldwide have recognized the transformative potential of technology in language instruction (VanPatten & Williams, 2015). Online courses, virtual classrooms, and language-learning software have seamlessly integrated into language education. These innovative tools extend the reach of language instruction to diverse learners, transcending geographical boundaries and time constraints (Microsoft Corporation, Bing, 2023). Educational technology's versatility enhances language acquisition for a broader and more diverse audience.

ACTFL Endorsement: The American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL) has not only recognized but endorsed the pivotal role of technology in language education (ACTFL, 2017). Their acknowledgment underscores the importance of technology in enhancing language instruction, practice, and assessment.

These supporting arguments collectively affirm the Neo-language acquisition and learning theory's validity and relevance in today's dynamic world. They underscore the intricate interplay between determination, motivation, adaptability, and technology as fundamental drivers of language acquisition, challenging and reshaping traditional paradigms of language learning and communication, reflecting the evolving landscape of language acquisition in our modern era.

Critique of Existing Theories:

Critique of existing language acquisition theories and how Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory addresses their limitations or shortcomings:

1. Krashen's Input Hypothesis

Limitation: Krashen's Input Hypothesis primarily emphasizes the importance of comprehensible input as the driving force behind language acquisition, often overlooking other crucial factors such as motivation and determination (Kidd et al., 2018).

Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory Response: The Neo-Language Acquisition Theory recognizes the value of comprehensible input but expands upon it. It acknowledges that while input is vital, motivation, determination, and the will to communicate can be equally or even more influential in driving language acquisition (Kidd et al., 2018). Krashen's theory tends to understate the power of intrinsic motivation, which Neo-language theory underscores. By placing a greater emphasis on the individual's intrinsic motivation, Neo-language theory offers a more comprehensive understanding of language acquisition dynamics (Wu et al., 2021).

2. Chomsky's Universal Grammar

Limitation: Chomsky's Universal Grammar theory posits that humans are born with an innate capacity for language acquisition, which can be restrictive for adult language learners who may struggle with new languages (Doughty, 2003).

Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory Response: Neo-Language Acquisition Theory challenges the notion of a rigid critical period for language learning. It asserts that, regardless of age, individuals can acquire new languages through determination and adaptation (Unsworth, 2016). While Chomsky's theory may suggest limitations for adult learners, Neo-language theory emphasizes the role of grit and the capacity for lifelong learning. By doing so, it broadens the scope of language acquisition beyond Chomsky's theory, making it more inclusive of adult learners and their capacity to acquire new languages (Wu et al., 2021).

3. Behaviorist Theories

Limitation: Behaviorist theories, such as the Audio-Lingual Method, often rely on repetitive drills and reinforcement, which can be tedious and demotivating for learners (Lightbown & Spada, 1993).

Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory Response: Neo-Language Acquisition Theory opposes the mechanistic approach of behaviorist theories. It underscores the role of personal determination and grit, advocating for more engaging and motivating language learning experiences (Atkinson, 2010). Behaviorist methods, with their focus on rote repetition, may fail to capture the

essence of language as a dynamic and living entity. Neo-language theory addresses these shortcomings by promoting more learner-centered and motivation-driven language acquisition. It recognizes that language acquisition is not a passive process but an active and adaptive one (Fu et al., 2023).

4. Social Interaction Theory

Limitation: Social Interaction Theory primarily focuses on the importance of communication and interaction in language acquisition but may not fully consider the role of determination and individual motivation (VanPatten & Williams, 2015).

Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory Response: The Neo-Language Acquisition Theory aligns with the principles of Social Interaction Theory by recognizing the significance of communication. However, it complements this perspective by highlighting that determination and intrinsic motivation often drive individuals to engage in meaningful communication (Huensch, 2023). Neo-language theory provides a more comprehensive view of language acquisition dynamics by integrating social interaction with individual motivation. It emphasizes that successful language acquisition involves a symbiotic relationship between communication and the personal drive to communicate effectively (Llompart, 2023).

Overall, the Neo-Language Acquisition Theory offers a holistic approach to language acquisition that acknowledges the contributions of existing theories while addressing their limitations. It emphasizes the roles of *motivation*, *determination*, and *adaptation* alongside traditional factors like input and interaction, creating a more inclusive and dynamic framework for understanding how individuals acquire and use languages in an ever-evolving world. Neo-language theory encourages a more balanced and nuanced perspective on language acquisition, acknowledging the complexity of human learning and the diverse factors that influence it.

Implications and practical applications of the Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory:

In the ever-evolving landscape of language acquisition, the Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory emerges as a dynamic and all-encompassing framework, challenging traditional paradigms and redefining the way we approach language learning. This theory not only sheds light on the intrinsic motivation and determination that drive language acquisition but also offers practical insights that can revolutionize language education and technological advancements (Anfara Jr., et. al., 2021). In this exploration, we delve into the far-reaching implications and real-world applications of the Neo-language acquisition theory, unveiling its potential to transform the world of language acquisition and communication.

Implications:

1. Broadening the Horizons of Language Acquisition:

   - *Implication*: Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory challenges the idea of a rigid critical period for language learning (Wu et al., 2021). This has significant implications for language acquisition throughout the lifespan, making it more inclusive of adult learners and older individuals who may have thought language acquisition was out of reach.

2. Motivation as a Catalyst:

   - *Implication*: By placing a strong emphasis on motivation and determination as driving forces in language acquisition (Kidd et al., 2018), this theory highlights the psychological aspect of language learning. It implies that educators and learners should focus on fostering motivation to create a more conducive learning environment.

3. Adaptive Language Learning:

- *Implication*: The theory encourages adaptive language learning approaches that cater to individual motivations, interests, and needs (Huensch, 2023). This implies that language education should be more personalized and responsive to learners' unique circumstances and goals.

Applications:

1. Personalized Language Learning:

- *Application*: Educational institutions and language learning platforms can apply the Neo-language acquisition theory by developing personalized language learning programs. These programs could take into account the learner's motivations, interests, and goals, providing a more tailored and engaging learning experience.

2. Adult Language Education:

- *Application*: Adult language education programs can benefit greatly from this theory. They can be designed to recognize that determination and intrinsic motivation can be potent tools for adults learning new languages (de Jong, 2023). These programs can provide a supportive and motivational environment for older learners.

3. Technology-Enhanced Language Learning:

- *Application*: Technology-driven language learning platforms can incorporate elements of the Neo-language acquisition theory by creating interactive and motivating language courses (Fu et al., 2023). Gamification, virtual reality, and AI-driven language tutors can be used to enhance learners' motivation and determination.

4. Language Learning for Specific Purposes:

- *Application*: The theory supports the idea of language learning for specific purposes, such as for business, travel, or cultural exchange (Llompart, 2023). Language courses and resources can be designed to align with learners' specific goals, making the learning process more purposeful and motivating.

5. Professional Development:

- *Application*: Educators and language instructors can apply this theory to their teaching methods (Pinker, 1994). They can incorporate motivational strategies, adapt their teaching approaches to individual learners, and emphasize the

importance of determination in language acquisition (Lightbown & Spada, 1993; Doughty, 2003; Atkinson, 2010).

In this evolving landscape, the researcher posits that language acquisition transcends Krashen's framework, finding its roots in determination, motivation, adaptability, and the vast array of technological resources at our disposal. As the world continues to change at an unprecedented pace, the means and motivations for language learning adapt accordingly, reshaping the very essence of how we connect and communicate with one another. This amalgamation of factors and principles forms what the researcher refers to as *Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory*.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory, with its conceptualization of "Grit: The Unyielding Will to Connect", "Self-Preservation: Language as the Canvas of Reality", and "'Techno-Training: Language Acquisition and Learning in the Digital Age," represents a paradigm shift in our understanding of language acquisition and learning. It redefines language learning as an essential human trait, driven by our unyielding desire to connect through meaningful communication. The theory's emphasis on determination, motivation, and adaptability transcends age-related constraints and critical periods, making language acquisition a dynamic journey accessible to all. Recent global events, particularly the COVID-19 pandemic, have underscored the theory's relevance. The pandemic's disruptions in traditional language learning environments highlighted the importance of adaptability, a core principle of this theory. As we move forward, it is essential to carry with us the enduring wisdom of the Neo-Language Acquisition and Learning Theory—a testament to the power of determination, especially in the face of unprecedented challenges like the pandemic. Embracing this theory means not only celebrating the acquisition and learning of languages but also embracing the boundless realm of human linguistic and experiential connections that await exploration and discovery in our ever-evolving world.

References:

1. "Stephen Krashen's Theory of Second Language Acquisition (Assimilação Natural - o Construtivismo no Ensino de Línguas)" (2018). www.sk.com.br. Archived from the original on 22 November 2017. Retrieved 3 May 2018.
2. ACTFL (2017). The Role of Technology in Language Learning. https://www.actfl.org/news/the-role-of-technology-in-language-learning#:~:text=Technology%20can%20 and%20should%20be%20used%20by%20language,engaging%2C%20and%20timely%20materials%20from%20the%20target%20culture.
3. Anfara Jr., V. A., Mertz, N. T., & Razek, N. (2021). Theoretical frameworks in qualitative research. Sage Publications.
4. Atkinson, D. (2010). Alternative Approaches to Second Language Acquisition. https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/8783698-alternative-approaches-to-second-language-acquisition
5. B.Ed Notes (n.d.). https://educationsummary.com/lesson/the-5-hypotheses-of-krashens-theory-of-second-language-acquisition-b-ed-notes/
6. de Jong, N. H. (2023). Book review: Routledge Handbook of Second Language Acquisition and Language Testing. Language Testing, 0(0). https://doi.org/10.1177/02655322231172105
7. Cornelissen, J., Höllerer, M. A., & Seidl, D. (2021). What Theory Is and Can Be: Forms of Theorizing in Organizational Scholarship. Organization Theory, 2(3). https://doi.org/10.1177/26317877211020328
8. Doughty, C. (2003). The Handbook of Second Language Acquisition. https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/172478.The_Handbook_of_Second_Language_Acquisition
9. Ellis, R. (1985). Understanding Second Language Acquisition. https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/172423.Understanding_Second_Language_Acquisition
10. Eslit, E. R. (2023). Innovating Modern Language Acquisition Theory: The Enduring Impact of Selinker, Krashen, Pienemann, Gardner, and Chomsky. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.19843.37920.
11. Fu, Y., Bermúdez-Margaretto, B., Beltrán, D., Huili, W., & Dominguez, A. (2023). Language proficiency modulates L2 orthographic learning mechanism: Evidence from event-related brain potentials in overt naming. Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 1-22. doi:10.1017/S0272263123000426
12. Heckel, H. and Tritle, L. (2009). Alexander the Great: A New History. https://books.google.com.ph/books/about/Alexander_the_Great.html?id=r4kiAQAAIAAJ&redir_esc=y#:~:

text=Waldemar%20Heckel%2C%20Wiley%2C%202009%20-%20-%20366%20pages,a%20number%20of%20intriguing%20subjects%20in%20Alexander%20studies.

13. Huensch, A. (2023). Effects of speaking task and proficiency on the midclause pausing characteristics of L1 and L2 speech from the same speakers. Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 1-25. doi:10.1017/S0272263123000323

14. Kidd E., Donnelly S., Christiansen M. H. (2018). Individual differences in language acquisition and processing. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 22(2), 154–169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2017.11.006

15. Kraft J. (2010). Second language acquisition: an introductory course. International Journal ofMultilingualism 7(3): 272–275. https://doi.org/10.1080/14790710903498052

16. Krashen, S. D. (1981). Second Language Acquisition and Second Language Learning. https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/1406798.Second_Language_Acquisition_and_Second_Language_Learning

17. Krashen, S. D. (1982). Principles and Practice in Second Language Acquisition. Language Teaching Methodology Language Teaching Methodology. https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/1952813.Principles_and_Practice_in_Second_Language_Acquisition

18. Leverett T. (2001). Review of the book SLA: An Introductory Course 2 nd edition, by Susan, M. Gass,Behney, J., & Plonsky, L. Teaching English as a Second Language 5(2): 488. https://tesl-ej.org/wordpress/issues/volume5/ej18/ej18r10/

19. Lewis M. (2016). Second language acquisition: an introductory course. Innovation in LanguageLearning and Teaching 10(3): 282–284. https://doi.org/10.1080/17501229.2015.1006984
(2) (PDF) Book Review: Second Language Acquisition: An Introductory Course (5th edn). Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/364040054_Book_Review_Second_Language_Acquisition_An_Introductory_Course_5th_edn [accessed Sep 24 2023].

20. Lightbown , P.M., and Spada, N. (1993). How Languages are Learned. Oxford Handbooks for Language Teachers. https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/638643.How_Languages_are_Learned

21. Llompart, M. (2023). On the effects of task focus and processing level on the perception–production link in second-language speech learning. Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 1-13. doi:10.1017/S0272263123000414

22. Marc, (n.d). The Critical Period For Learning Language. https://www.ilovelanguages.com/the-critical-period-for-learning-language/#:~:text=The%20critical%20period%20hypothesis%20states%20that%2C%20in%20general%2C,before%20he%20or%20she%20is%20nine%20years%20old.

23. Microsoft Corporation. Bing (2023). Bing. Retrieved September 24, 2023, from https://www.bing.com

24. Nordquist, R. (2019). The Sapir-Whorf Hypothesis Linguistic Theory. https://www.thoughtco.com/sapir-whorf-hypothesis-1691924

25. Pinker, A. (1994). The Language Instinct: How the Mind Creates Language. Language and Human Nature Tetralogy #1. https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/5755.The_Language_Instinct

26. Saville-Troike, M. (2005). Introducing Second Language Acquisition. https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/171835.Introducing_Second_Language_Acquisition

27. Schütz, R. (2019). Stephen Krashen's Theory of Second Language Acquisition. https://www.sk.com.br/sk-krash-english.html

28. Susan M. Gass, Jennifer Behney and Luke Plonsky (eds), (2020). Second Language Acquisition: An Introductory Course (5th edn) (book review). New York: Routledge, ISBN: 9781315181752. pp. xxiii+529, £48.99 (paperback). https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/citedby/10.2989/16073614.2022.2085592?scroll=top&needAccess=true

29. Tokowicz, Natasha (2015). Lexical Processing and Second Language Acquisition. New York, NY: Taylor & Francis. ISBN 978-0-415-87755-8.

30. Tomasello, M. (2003). Constructing a Language: A Usage-Based Theory of Language Acquisition. https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/620555.Constructing_a_Language

31. Unsworth, S. (2016). "Early child L2 acquisition: Age or input effects? Neither, or both?*". Journal of Child Language. 43 (3): 608–634. doi:10.1017/S030500091500080X. ISSN 0305-0009. PMID 26915919.

32. VanPatten, Bill; Benati, Alessandro G. (2010). Key Terms in Second Language Acquisition. London: Continuum. ISBN 978-0-8264-9914-1.

33. VanPatten, Bill; Williams, Jessica, eds. (2015). Theories in second language acquisition: An introduction. New York: Routledge. ISBN 978-0-415-82421-7.

34. Vinney, C. (2010). Social Constructionism Definition and Examples. https://www.thoughtco.com/social-constructionism-4586374

35. Walbank, F. W. (2023). Alexander the Great. Encyclopedia Britannica. https://www.britannica.com/biography/Alexander-the-Great

36. Wu M, Wu D and Zeng J (2021). Book Review: Key Questions in Second Language Acquisition: An Introduction. Front. Psychol. 12:676360. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.676360

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.