

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Do TEP1 and TERC Have an Impact on Multiple Sclerosis Manifestation?

Gintare Rumsaite, <u>Greta Gedvilaite</u>*, Renata Balnyte, Loresa Kriaučiūnienė, <u>Rasa Liutkevičienė</u>

Posted Date: 29 August 2023

doi: 10.20944/preprints202308.1887.v1

Keywords: Multiple sclerosis; TEP1 rs1760904; rs1713418; TERC rs12696304; rs35073794



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Do TEP1 and TERC Have an Impact on Multiple Sclerosis Manifestation?

Gintare Rumsaite ¹, Greta Gedvilaite ^{1,2,*}, Renata Balnyte ³, Loresa Kriauciuniene ² and Rasa Liutkeviciene ²

- ¹ Medical faculty, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences
- ² Neurosciences Institute, Medical Academy, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Lithuania
- Department of Neurology, Medical Academy, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Lithuania
- * Correspondence: greta.gedvilaite@lsmuni.lt

Abstract: Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic inflammatory autoimmune disease of the central nervous system. According to recent studies, cellular senescence caused by telomere shortening may contribute to the development of MS. Aim of the study: to determine the associations of TEP1 rs1760904, rs1713418, TERC rs12696304, rs35073794 gene polymorphisms with the occurrence of MS. Methods: The study included 200 patients with MS and 230 healthy controls. Genotyping of TEP1 rs1760904, rs1713418 and TERC rs12696304, rs35073794 was performed using RT-PCR. Statistical analysis of the obtained data was performed using the program "IBM SPSS Statistics 29.0". Haplotype analysis was performed using the online program "SNPStats". Results: The TERC rs12696304 G allele of this SNP is associated with a 1.4-fold lower odds of developing MS (p=0.035). TERC rs35073794 is associated with an approximately 2.4-fold reduced odds of MS occurrence in the codominant, dominant, overdominant, and additive models (p < 0.001; p < 0.001; p < 0.001; p < 0.001, respectively). Haplotype analysis shows that the rs1760904-G - rs1713418-A haplotype is statistically significantly associated with a 1.75-fold increased odds of developing MS (p=0.006). The rs12696304-C rs35073794-A haplotype is statistically significantly associated with a 2-fold decreased odds of developing MS (p=0.008). In addition, the rs12696304-G - rs35073794-A haplotype was found to be statistically significantly associated with a 5.3-fold decreased odds of developing MS (p<0.001). Conclusion: Current evidence may suggest a protective role of TERC SNP in the occurrence of MS, while TEP1 has the opposite effect.

Keywords: multiple sclerosis; TEP1 rs1760904; rs1713418; TERC rs12696304; rs35073794

1. Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic inflammatory autoimmune disease of the central nervous system (CNS) [1]. The incidence and prevalence rates of this disease are increasing worldwide. According to the Atlas of MS, 3rd edition, prepared by the Multiple Sclerosis International Federation, approximately 2.8 million people worldwide have MS. Lithuania belongs to the region of high prevalence and morbidity of MS (prevalence per 100,000 people is 101-200) [2]. MS is most commonly diagnosed in people between 20 and 40 years of age. Less commonly, it occurs in childhood (less than 1%) and after the age of 50 (about 2-10%) [3]. Moreover, MS is 2 to 3 times more common in women than in men [4]. MS is a multifactorial disease whose development is influenced by genetic and environmental factors [5]. Several pathological processes contribute to the development of MS, including blood-brain barrier (BBB) damage, multifocal inflammatory responses, demyelination, oligodendrocyte death, reactivated gliosis, and axonal degeneration [6].

According to recent studies, cellular senescence caused by telomere shortening may contribute to the development of MS [5]. To maintain the integrity of the genome, telomeres protect chromosomes from end fusion and degradation by exonucleases. Telomeres are specialized structures located at the ends of eukaryotic chromosomes and are composed of tandem nucleotide repeats (TTAGGG) and proteins [7]. When telomeric DNA regions are critically shortened, they can signal replicative senescence of somatic cells and chromosome instability [8]. Telomerase is a ribonucleoprotein enzyme critical for the replication of telomeric sequences in chromosomal DNA.

The enzyme complex includes several components, including the telomerase RNA component (TERC), telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT), dyskerin, and other accessory proteins such as TEP1 [8,9].

TERC binds to the 3' end of chromosomes and provides a template sequence for reverse transcription catalyzed by TERT [10]. According to recent studies, TERC inhibits apoptosis in immune cells, protects neurons from oxidative stress, and enhances cellular inflammatory responses [11]. TERC has been shown to increase the expression and release of inflammatory cytokines by directly binding to the promoters of the *LIN37*, *TPRG1L*, *TYROBP*, and *USP16* genes. These four genes encode proteins involved in the activation of the transcription factor nuclear factor κB (NF- κB) [11,12]. Inflammatory responses lead to progressive shortening of telomeres, which has been linked to the development of age-related diseases [13]. It has been observed that naive CD4+ T cells from patients with rheumatoid arthritis exhibit increased telomerase inhibition, resulting in shortened telomeres due to decreased expression of TERT and TERC. For this reason, T cell subset aging is accelerated and autoimmunity is activated [14]. TERC levels have been shown to be increased in individuals with MS or type II diabetes. It should be noted that these two diseases are associated with inflammatory responses [12].

The *TERC* gene is located on the long arm of chromosome 3 at position 26.2 (3q26.2) [15]. *TERC* is responsible for regulating telomere length [16]. Studies in mice have shown that *TERC* is involved in neural progenitor cell (NPC) proliferation. It has been observed that in mice in which the *TERC* gene is knocked out, there is a statistically significant decrease in the proliferation of NPC. It has also been found that neurons cannot fully mature when the *TERC* gene is knocked out in NPC [17]. In addition, studies have shown that *TERC* gene polymorphisms influence the development of Alzheimer's disease [18]. Since a relationship between *TERC* and MS has been established in the scientific literature, we decided to analyze the SNP rs12696304 and rs35073794 of the *TERC* gene. Polymorphisms in the *TERC* gene have been associated with changes in telomere length due to altered telomerase activity [19–21]. The SNP rs12696304 C > G is located in the in the downstream region of the *TERC* gene, i.e. 1.5 kb away from the transcription start nucleotide [21]. The rs35073794 A > G SNP is also located in the downstream region of the *TERC* gene [20]. Thus, polymorphisms in the *TERC* gene may promote cellular senescence by altering the stability of the telomerase complex or directly affecting the enzymatic activity of telomerase [18].

TEP1 is responsible for RNA and protein binding and is involved in the regulation of telomere length [22,23]. TEP1 is thought to function as a structural protein by binding to TERC and acting as a regulatory subunit to mediate the interaction of telomerase with other molecules [24]. In addition, TEP1 and dyskerin are responsible for stabilizing the structure of telomerase [25]. In addition, TEP1 directly interacts with the BLM protein of Bloom syndrome and regulates its helicase activity. Thus, it can be assumed that TEP1 is involved in telomere lengthening [26].

The *TEP1* gene is located on the long arm of chromosome 14 at position 11.2 (14q11.2) [27]. According to NCBI, the *TEP1* gene consists of 55 exons [28]. This gene is responsible for telomere elongation and prevents neuron development due to DNA damage. Ren et al. found that *TEP1* is associated with white matter microstructure abnormality in schizophrenia [29]. Using whole-exome sequencing, Sebate and colleagues discovered that pathogenic mutations in the *TEP1* gene contribute to the neurodegenerative disease of Parkinson's disease [30]. According to the available data, there have been no studies investigating the association between *TEP1* and MS. Based on previous studies in neurological diseases, it can be assumed that the *TEP1* gene is involved in MS. In this study, we aimed to determine the influence of the *TEP1* gene SNP rs1760904 and rs1713418 on the occurrence of MS.

The SNP rs1760904 A > G is located in the exon region of the TEP1 gene [23,26]. Rs1760904 is a nonsynonymous SNP and causes a proline-to-serine substitution (Ser1195Pro) that may affect TEP1 structure and telomerase [26]. Rs1713418 A > G SNP is located in the 3'UTR region of the TEP1 gene [26]. SNP in the 3'UTR alters the ability of miRNA to bind to the target gene, which affects gene regulation and increases the risk of MS [31].

Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the associations of *TEP1* rs1760904, rs1713418, *TERC* rs12696304 and rs35073794 polymorphisms with the occurrence in MS patients.

2. Materials and Methods

The study was performed at the Department of Neurology, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences and in the Ophthalmology laboratory, Neuroscience Institute Lithuanian University of Health Sciences. Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Kaunas Regional Biomedical Research Ethics Committee (No. BE-2-102, issued November 14, 2019). Each study participant signed the informed consent form. The subjects were divided into two groups:

- 1. The first group of study participants consisted of 200 MS patients (n=200) aged 21 to 69 years. This group consisted of 98 (49%) females and 102 (51%) males participants. The MS diagnosis was confirmed using the 2017 diagnostic criteria: by clinical symptoms/relapses, Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) findings of the brain and/or spinal cord with typical demyelinating lesions (according to MAGNIMS criteria), and positive oligoclonal bands (OCBs) in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) [32,33].
- 2. The second group of study participants consisted of 230 healthy volunteers (n=230) aged 19 to 69 years. This group was composed of 133 (57.8%) females and 97 (42.2%) males. The control group consisted of individuals in good general health.

Patients were excluded if they had other systemic illnesses (diabetes mellitus, oncological diseases, systemic tissue disorders, chronic infectious diseases, autoimmune diseases, conditions after organ or tissue transplantation), obscuration of the eye optic system, or because of poor fundus photography quality.

The demographic factors of the patients in the study MS and the control group - age and gender - were evaluated in the study. Subjects were divided into < 44 years and ≥44 years old.

2.1. DNA Extraction and Genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes by a salting-out method. Genotyping of *TEP1* rs1760904, rs1713418 and *TERC* rs12696304, rs35073794 was performed by real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). To determine SNPs, we used TaqMan® genotyping assays (Applied Biosystems, New York, NY, USA; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Assay IDs: C__1772362_20 (*TEP1* rs1760904), C__8921332_10 (*TEP1* rs1713418), C__407063_10 (*TERC* rs12696304), and C_58097851_10 (*TERC* rs35073794).

2.2. Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis of the scientific work was carried out with "IBM SPSS Statistics 29.0.". In this study, Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests were used to evaluate the hypothesis about the normal distribution of the measured trait values. Because the subjects' characteristics did not meet the requirements of a normal distribution, the following descriptive statistics were used: Median and Interquartile Range (IQR).

The χ^2 -test and Fisher's exact test were used to compare the homogeneity of the genotypes and allele distributions of the *TEP1* rs1760904, rs1713418, *TERC* rs12696304, and rs35073794 gene polymorphisms. In addition, binary logistic regression was performed to evaluate the influence of genotypes and alleles on the occurrence of MS. Considering inheritance models and genotype combinations, the odds ratio (OR) was determined with a 95% confidence interval (CI). According to the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), the model with the lowest value is the most appropriate inheritance model. As part of the analysis, the program "SNPStats" was also used to analyze the haplotypes. An evaluation of the linkage disequilibrium between the studied gene polymorphisms was performed. The deviation between the expected haplotype frequency and the observed frequency (D') was calculated and the square of the correlation coefficient of the haplotype frequency (r²) was evaluated.

4

3. Results

The study involved 430 subjects who were divided into two groups: a control group (n=230) and a group of subjects with MS (n=200). After the formation of the study groups, genotyping of *TEP1* rs1760904, rs1713418, *TERC* rs12696304 and rs35073794 polymorphisms was performed. The group of patients with MS consisted of 200 individuals: 98 females (49%) and 102 males (51%). The average age of the MS patients was 38 years. The control group consisted of 230 subjects: 133 females (57.8%) and 97 males (42.2%). The median age of the control group was 43.5 years. Sex and age did not differ between the groups. The demographic data of the subjects are shown in Table 1.

Group Characteristics p-value Control group MS group Males, N (%) 102 (51) 97 (42.2) Gender 0.067 Females, N (%) 98 (49) 133 (57.8) Age, Median (IQR) 0.117 38 (15) 43.5 (28)

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the study groups.

IQR - interquartile range; MS - multiple sclerosis; p-significance level.

Associations of TEP1 (rs1760904, rs1713418) and TERC (rs12696304, rs35073794) with Multiple Sclerosis

Analysis of the genotype and allele distribution of TEP1 rs1760904, rs1713418 and TERC rs12696304, rs35073794 revealed that the TERC rs12696304 G allele was less frequent in the MS group than in the control group (20.5% vs. 26.5%, p=0.038). In addition, the TERC rs35073794 GG genotype was found to be more frequent in the MS group than in the control group (54.0% vs. 32.6%, p < 0.001). AG Genotype and A allele of the same polymorphism are less frequent in the MS group than in the control group (45.5% vs. 67.4%, p < 0.001; 23.25 vs. 33.7, p < 0.001) (Table 2).

No statistically significant differences were found in the distribution of genotypes and alleles of *TEP1* gene rs1760904 and rs1713418 between groups MS and control group (Table 2).

Table 2. Distribution of TEP1 rs1760904, rs1713418, TERC rs12696304, rs35073794 genotypes and alleles within patients with MS and control group subjects.

Cone CND	Genotype and	Distribution			
Gene, SNP	allele	MS group, N (%)	Control group, N (%)	p-value	
	Genotype				
	AA	44 (22.00)	56 (24.30)	0.219	
	AG	96 (48.00)	122 (53.00)	0.219	
TEP1 rs1760904	GG	60 (30.00)	52 (22.60)		
	Allele				
	A	184 (46.00)	234 (50.87)	0.154	
	G	216 (54.00)	226 (49.13)		
	Genotype				
	AA	72 (36.00)	81 (35.20)	0.222	
TEP1 rs1713418	AG	86 (43.00)	114 (49.60)	0.222	
	GG	42 (21.00)	35 (15.20)		
	Allele	230 (57.50)	276 (60.00)	0.457	
	A	170 (42.50)	184 (40.00)	0.457	

	G				
	Genotype				
	CC	124 (62.00)	123 (53.50)		
	CG	70 (35.00)	92 (40.00)	0.092	
TERC rs12696304	GG	6 (3.00)	15 (6.50)		
	Allele				
	С	318 (79.50)	338 (73.48)	0.038	
	G	82 (20.50)	122 (26.52)		
	Genotype				
	GG	108 (54.00) ¹	75 (32.60)1	40.001	
	AG	91 (45.50)2	155 (67.40)2	<0.001	
TERC rs35073794	AA	1 (0.50)	0 (0.00)		
	Allele				
	G	307 (76.75)	305 (66.30)	<0.001	
	A	93 (23.25)	155 (33.70)		

SNP - single nucleotide polymorphism; MS - multiple sclerosis; p-value - significance level (statistically significant when p < 0.05), statistically significant results are bold. 1 GG vs. AG+AA p<0.001. 2 AG vs. GG+AA p<0.001.

After performing binary logistic regression, we found that each G allele of the *TERC* gene polymorphism rs12696304 was associated with a 1.4-fold decrease in the probability of occurrence of MS (OR: 0.703, (95% CI: 0.506-0.976), p=0.035). *TERC* rs35073794 is associated with an approximately 2.4-fold reduced odds of MS occurrence in the codominant, dominant, overdominant, and additive models (OR: 0.408, (95% CI: 0.275 - 0.603), p < 0.001; OR: 0.412 (95% CI: 0.279 - 0.610), p < 0.001; OR: 0.404 (95% CI: 0.273 - 0.598), p < 0.001; OR: 0.427 (95% CI: 0.289 - 0.629), p < 0.001, respectively) (Table 3). However, analysis of *TEP1* rs1760904 and rs1713418 revealed no statistically significant differences (Table 3).

Table 3. Binary logistic regression analysis of TEP1 rs1760904, rs1713418, TERC rs12696304, rs35073794 within patients with MS and control group subjects.

Model	Genotype/Allele	OR (95% CI)	p-value	AIC				
	TEP1 rs1760904							
Codominant	AG vs. AA	1.001 (0.622 -	0.995					
	GG vs. AA	1.613)	0.165	E04 002				
		1.469 (0.854 -		594.983				
		2.525)						
Dominant	AG + GG vs. AA	1.141 (0.727 -	0.566	EOE (01				
		1.790)		595.681				
Recessive	GG vs. AG + AA	1.467 (0.952 -	0.082	F02 002				
		2.260)		592.983				
Overdominant	AG vs. AA+GG	0.817 (0.559 -	0.297	F04 022				
		1.194)		594.923				
Additive	G	1.220 (0.930 -	0.152	F02 047				
		1.600)		593.947				

	T	EP1 rs1713418		
Codominant	AG vs. AA	0.849 (0.556 -	0.447	
	GG vs. AA	1.296)	0.284	
		1.350 (0.779 -		595.007
		2.339)		
Dominant	AG+GG vs. AA	0.966 (0.651 -	0.866	F0F 092
		1.436)		595.983
Recessive	GG vs. AG+AA	1.481 (0.903 -	0.120	E02 E94
		2.430)		593.584
Overdominant	AG vs. AA+GG	0.768 (0.524 -	0.174	E04 1E6
		1.124)		594.156
Additive	G	1.104 (0.845 -	0.466	595.481
		1.443)		393.461
	TE	RC rs12696304		
Codominant	CG vs. CC	0.755 (0.507 -	0.166	
	GG vs. CC	1.124)	0.064	593.121
		0.397 (0.149 -		3/3.121
		1.056)		
Dominant	CG+GG vs. CC	0.705 (0.479 -	0.075	592.826
		1.036)		372.020
Recessive	GG vs. CG+CC	0.443 (0.169 -	0.099	593.043
		1.165)		070.010
Overdominant	CG vs. CC+GG	0.808 (0.546 -	0.286	594.871
		1.196)		071.071
Additive	G	0.703 (0.506 -	0.035	591.502
		0.976)		071.002
	TE	RC rs35073794		1
Codominant	AG vs. GG	0.408 (0.275 -	<0.001	
	AA vs. GG	0.603)	-	575.893
		-		
Dominant	AG + AA vs. GG	0.412 (0.279 -	<0.001	575.875
		0.610)		0.0.070
Recessive	AA vs. AG+GG	-	-	-
Overdominant	AG vs. AA+GG	0.404 (0.273 -	<0.001	574.944
		0.598)		0, 1,,,11
Additive	A	0.427 (0.289 - 0.629	< 0.001	577.123

OR - odds ratio; CI - confidence interval; p-value - significance level (statistically significant when p < 0.05); statistically significant results are bold; AIC - Akaike information criterion.

Association of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms of *TEP1* (rs1760904, rs1713418) and *TERC* (rs12696304, rs35073794) Genes with Multiple Sclerosis Regarding Gender of the Subjects

Analysis of SNP data in males and females revealed that the *TERC* rs12696304 genotype CC and the C allele were more prevalent in the group of males with MS than in the control group of males

(68.6% vs. 52.6%, p=0.020; 83.33% vs. 72.16%, p=0.007, respectively). In contrast, the *TERC* rs12696304 GG genotype is less frequent in the group of men with MS than in the control group of men (2.0% vs. 8.2%, p=0.043). The TERC rs35073794 GG genotype is more frequent in the group of men with MS than in the control group of men (59.8% vs. 25.8%, p < 0.001). In addition, the AG genotype and the A allele of the same polymorphism are less frequent in the group of men with MS than in the control group of men (39.2% vs. 74.2%, p < 0.001; 20.59% vs. 37.11%, p < 0.001, respectively). When the distribution of *TEP1* rs1760904 and rs1713418 genotypes and alleles was analysed between men with MS and healthy men, no differences were found (Table 4).

No differences were found when comparing the distribution of genotypes and alleles of *TEP1* rs1760904, rs1713418 and *TERC* rs12696304, rs35073794 between women with MS and the female control group (p>0,05) (Table 4).

Table 4. Distribution of TEP1 rs1760904, rs1713418, TERC rs12696304, rs35073794 genotypes and alleles within patients with MS and control group subjects regarding gender.

Males			-	Females			
Genotype and Allele	MS group, N	Control	p-value	MS group, N	Control	p-value	
	(70)	group, N (%)		(%)	group, N (%)		
		TEP1	l rs176090	4 I	T	<u></u>	
Genotype							
AA	25 (24.50)	28 (28.90)	0.395	19 (19.40)	28 (21.10)	0.403	
AG	50 (49.00)	51 (52.60)	0.070	46 (46.90)	71 (53.40)	0,100	
GG	27 (26.50)	18 (18.60)		33 (33.70)	34 (25.60)		
Allele							
A	100 (49.02)	107 (55.15)	0.221	84 (42.86)	127 (47.74)	0.297	
G	104 (50.98)	87 (44.85)		112 (57.14)	139 (52.26)		
		TEP1	l rs171341	8			
Genotype							
AA	40 (39.20)	32 (33.00)	0.496	32 (32.70)	49 (36.80)	0.181	
AG	46 (45.10)	52 (53.60)	0.486	40 (40.80)	62 (46.60)		
GG	16 (15.70)	13 (13.40)		26 (26.50)	22 (16.50)		
Allele							
A	126 (61.76)	116 (59.79)	0.687	104 (53.06)	160 (60.15)	0.128	
G	78 (38.24)	78 (40.21)		92 (46.94)	106 (39.85)		
		TERC	rs1269630	04			
Genotype							
CC	70 (68.60)1	51 (52.60)1	0.00=	54 (55.10)	72 (54.10)	0.016	
CG	30 (29.40)	38 (39.20)	0.025	40 (40.80)	54 (40.60)	0.916	
GG	2 (2.00)2	8 (8.20)2		4 (4.10)	7 (5.30)		
Allele							
С	170 (83.33)	140 (72.16)	0.007	148 (75.51)	198 (74.44)	0.792	
G	34 (16.67)	54 (27.84)		48 (24.49)	68 (25.56)		
	TERC rs35073794						
Genotype			<0.001	47 (48.00)	50 (37.60)	0.115	

GG	61 (59.80)3	25 (25.80) ³		51 (52.00)	83 (62.40)	
AG	40 (39.20)4	72 (74.20)4				
AA	1 (1.00)	0 (0.00)				
Allele						
G	162 (79.41)	122 (62.89)	<0.001	145 (73.98)	183 (68.80)	0.225
A	42 (20.59)	72 (37.11)		51 (26.02)	83 (31.20)	

MS - multiple sclerosis; p-value - significance level (statistically significant when p < 0.05), statistically significant results are bold. 1 CC vs. CG+GG p= 0.020. 2 GG vs. CG+CC p=0.043. 3 GG vs. AG+AA p<0.001. 4 AG vs. GG+AA p<0.001.

Using binary logistic regression, we examined the effects of *TEP1* rs1760904, rs1713418 and *TERC* rs12696304, rs35073794 on the occurrence of MS in men and women separately.

When the male group was analyzed, *TERC* rs12696304 was found to be associated with a decreased odds of MS occurrence in males in the codominant, dominant, and additive models (5.5-fold (OR: 0.182, (95% CI: 0.037-0.894), p=0.036), 2-fold (OR =0.507, (95% CI: 0.284-0.903), p=0.021) and 1.9-fold (OR: 0.515, (95% CI: 0.314-0.845), p=0.009), respectively). *TERC* rs35073794 is associated with approximately 4.4-fold decreased odds of MS occurring in males in the codominant, dominant, and overdominant models (OR: 0.228, (95% CI: 0.124 - 0.417), p < 0.001; OR: 0.233 (95% CI: 0.128 - 0.427), p < 0.001; OR: 0.224 (95% CI: 0.122 - 0.410), p < 0.001, respectively). In addition, we found that *TERC* rs35073794 each A allele was associated with a 3.9-fold decreased odds of MS occurrence in males (OR: 0.256, (95% CI: 0.141 - 0.462), p < 0.001). After performing binary logistic regression of *TEP1* rs1760904, rs1713418, we found no statistically significant differences between MS men and control men.

When binary logistic regression analysis of *TEP1* rs1760904, rs1713418 and *TERC* rs12696304, rs35073794 gene polymorphisms was performed, no statistically significant differences were found between women with MS and healthy women (p>0,05). Data show in Table 5.

Table 5. Binary logistic regression analysis of TEP1 rs1760904, rs1713418, TERC rs12696304, rs35073794 within patients with MS and control group subjects regarding gender.

Model	Genotype/Allele	OR (95% CI)	p-value	AIC			
Males							
	TI	EP1 rs1760904					
Codominant	AG vs. AA	1.098 (0.564 -	0.783				
	GG vs. AA	2.136)	0.206	277.881			
		1.680 (0.752 -		2//.001			
		3.754)					
Dominant	AG + GG vs. AA	1.250 (0.666 -	0.488	255.244			
		2.346)		277.264			
Recessive	GG vs. AG + AA	1.580 (0.805 -	0.184	275.057			
		3.103)		275.956			
Overdominant	AG vs. AA+GG	0.867 (0.497 -	0.616	055.405			
		1.513)		277.495			
Additive	G	1.286 (0.862 -	0.218	277. 210			
		1.918)		276.218			
	TI	EP1 rs1713418					

0.352

	TF	Females EP1 rs1760904		
		0.462) Females		
Additive	A	0.256 (0.141 -	<0.001	255.882
		0.410)		252.353
Overdominant	AG vs. AA+GG	0.224 (0.122 -	<0.001	
Recessive	AA vs. AG+GG	-	-	-
Dominant	AG + AA vs. GG	0.233 (0.128 - 0.427)	<0.001	253.714
Dominant	AC AA CC	- 0.222 (0.128	40 001	
	AA vs. GG	0.417)	-	253.671
Codominant	AG vs. GG	0.228 (0.124 -	<0.001	
		RC rs35073794		T
		0.845)		270.497
Additive	G	0.515 (0.314 -	0.009	270 407
		1.167)		275.637
Overdominant	CG vs. CC+GG	0.647 (0.359 -	0.148	
1100001VC	33 73. 23 22	1.075)	0.002	273.377
Recessive	GG vs. CG+CC	0.903)	0.062	
Dominant	CG+GG vs. CC	0.507 (0.284 - 0.903)	0.021	272.350
Dominant	CC1CC CC	0.894)	0.001	
		0.182 (0.037 -		
	GG vs. CC	1.047)	0.036	272.078
Codominant	CG vs. CC	0.575 (0.316 -	0.071	
		RC rs12696304		T
		1.383)		211.017
Additive	G	0.918 (0.609 -	0.682	277.579
		1.242)		276.305
Overdominant	AG vs. AA+GG	0.711 (0.407 -	0.231	277. 205
		2.652)		277.538
Recessive	GG vs. AG+AA	1.202 (0.545 -	0.648	
- Januari	110 - 00 75, 7111	1.364)	0.001	276.911
Dominant	AG + GG vs. AA	0.763 (0.427 -	0.361	
		2.343)		
	GG vs. AA	1.304) 0.985 (0.414 -	0.972	278.303
Codominant	AG vs. AA	0.708 (0.384 -	0.267	

GG vs. AA

1.905)

		1.430 (0.673 -		
		3.041)		
Dominant	AG + GG vs. AA	1.109 (0.578 -	0.756	24 6 04 4
		2.127)		316.814
Recessive	GG vs. AG + AA	1.478 (0.834 -	0.181	245.440
		2.619)		315.119
Overdominant	AG vs. AA+GG	0.772 (0.458 -	0.333	215.052
		1.303)		315.972
Additive	G	1.224 (0.840 -	0.293	215 500
		1.784)		315.798
	TE	EP1 rs1713418		
Codominant	AG vs. AA	0.988 (0.544 -	0.968	
	GG vs. AA	1.795)	0.107	215 522
		1.810 (0.879 -		315.523
		3.724)		<u> </u>
Dominant	AG + GG vs. AA	1.203 (0.694 -	0.510	017.474
		2.085)		316.474
Recessive	GG vs. AG+AA	1.822 (0.960 -	0.066	212 525
		3.457)		313.525
Overdominant	AG vs. AA+GG	0.790 (0.466 -	0.381	217, 120
		1.339)		316.139
Additive	G	1.302 (0.911 -	0.148	214.001
		1.862)		314.801
	TEI	RC rs12696304		
Codominant	CG vs. CC	0.988 (0.576 -	0.964	
	GG vs. CC	1.695)	0.677	210 722
		0.762 (0.212 -		318.732
		2.735)		
Dominant	CG+GG vs. CC	0.962 (0.569 -	0.884	316.889
		1.624)		310.009
Recessive	GG vs. CG+CC	0.766 (0.218 -	0.678	316.734
		2.693)		316./34
Overdominant	CG vs. CC+GG	1.009 (0.593 -	0.974	216 000
		1.716)		316.909
Additive	G	0.940 (0.602 -	0.784	216 925
		1.466)		316.835
	TEI	RC rs35073794		
Codominant	AG vs. GG	-	-	
	AA vs. GG	-	<u>-</u>	
Dominant	AG + AA vs. GG	0.654 (0.385 -	0.115	214 425
		1.110)		314.425

Recessive	AA vs. AG+GG	-	-	-
Overdominant	AG vs. AA+GG	0.654 (0.385 -	0.115	214 425
		1.110)		314.425
Additive	A	0.654 (0.385 -	0.115	214 425
		1.110)	0.115	314.425

OR - odds ratio; CI - confidence interval; p-value - significance level (statistically significant when p < 0.05); statistically significant results are bold; AIC - Akaike information criterion.

3.2.2 Association of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms of *TEP1* (rs1760904, rs1713418) and *TERC* (rs12696304, rs35073794) Genes with Multiple Sclerosis Regarding Age of the Subjects

In this study, we investigated the influence of TEP1 rs1760904, rs1713418 and TERC rs12696304, rs35073794 gene polymorphisms on the occurrence of MS according to age groups. Subjects were divided into < 44 years and \geq 44 years old.

Genotype and allele distribution analysis revealed that the TERC rs35073794 GG genotype was more prevalent in MS patients younger than 44 years than in the control group (55.6% vs. 27.0%, p < 0.001). In addition, the same polymorphism A allele was found to be less frequent in MS patients younger than 44 years compared to the control group (22.2% vs. 36.5%, p < 0.001). When analysing the genotype and allele distribution of TEP1 rs1760904, rs1713418 and TERC rs12696304, no statistically significant differences were found between MS patients younger than 44 years and the control group (p>0.05). When comparing the distribution of genotypes and alleles of TEP1 rs1760904, rs1713418 and TERC rs12696304, rs35073794, we found no statistically significant differences between subjects older than 44 years with MS and those in the control group (Table 6).

Table 6. Distribution of TEP1 rs1760904, rs1713418, TERC rs12696304, rs35073794 genotypes and alleles within patients with MS and control group subjects regarding age.

	<44		<u>> '</u>	44				
Genotype and Allele	MS group, N (%)			MS group, N	Control group, N (%)	p-value		
	TEP1 rs1760904							
Genotype								
AA	28 (20.70)	27 (23.50)	0.500	16 (24.60)	29 (25.20)	0.620		
AG	64 (47.40)	59 (51.30)	0.509	32 (49.20)	63 (54.80)	0.620		
GG	43 (31.90)	29 (25.20)		17 (26.20)	23 (20.00)			
Allele								
A	120 (44.44)	113 (49.13)	0.295	64 (49.23)	121 (52.61)	0.538		
G	150 (55.56)	117 (50.87)		66 (50.77)	109 (47.39)			
		TEP1	rs1713418	3				
Genotype								
AA	54 (40.00)	41 (35.70)	0.602	18 (27.70)	40 (34.80)	0.110		
AG	56 (41.50)	55 (47.80)	0.603	30 (46.20)	59 (51.30)	0.119		
GG	25 (18.50)	19 (16.50)		17 (26.20)	16 (13.90)			
Allele								
A	164 (60.74)	137 (59.57)	0.789	66 (50.77)	139 (60.43)	0.075		
G	106 (39.26)	93 (40.43)		64 (49.23)	91 (39.57)			
TERC rs12696304								

Genotype						
CC	87 (64.40)	63 (54.80)	0.246	37 (56.90)	60 (52.20)	0.567
CG	45 (33.30)	47 (40.90)	0.246	25 (38.50)	45 (39.10)	0.567
GG	3 (2.20)	5 (4.30)		3 (4.60)	10 (8.70)	
Allele						
С	219 (81.11)	173 (75.22)	0.110	99 (76.15)	165 (71.74)	0.363
G	51 (18.89)	57 (24.78)		31 (23.85)	65 (28.26)	
		TERC	rs3507379	4		
Genotype						
AA			40 001	1 (1.50)	0 (0.00)	0.004
AG	60 (44.40)	84 (73.00)	<0.001	31 (47.70)	71 (61.70)	0.094
GG	75 (55.60)	31 (27.00)		33 (50.80)	44 (38.30)	
Allele						
A	60 (22.22)	84 (36.52)	<0.001	33 (25.39)	71 (30.87)	0.270
G	210 (77.78)	146 (63.48)		97 (74.61)	159 (69.13)	

MS - multiple sclerosis; p-value - significance level (statistically significant when p < 0.05), statistically significant results are bold.

Based on binary logistic regression of gene polymorphisms TEP1 rs1760904, rs1713418, and TERC rs12696304, individuals younger than 44 years with MS and the control group had no statistically significant differences. However, TERC rs35073794 is associated with an approximately 3.4-fold decrease in the odds of individuals younger than 44 years with MS under the dominant, overdominant, and additive models (OR: 0.295, (95% CI: 0.173 - 0.503), p < 0.001; OR: 0.295 (95% CI: 0.173 - 0.503), p < 0.001; OR: 0.295 (95% CI: 0.173 - 0.503), p < 0.001, respectively) (Table 7).

Binary logistic regression analysis in individuals over 44 years of age revealed that the *TEP1* rs1713418 GG genotype was associated with a 2.2-fold increased odds of MS occurrence in individuals over 44 years of age compared with the AG and AA genotypes (OR: 2.191, (95% CI: 1.020-4.708), p=0.044). However, analysis of polymorphisms of *TEP1* rs1760904 and TERC rs12696304, rs35073794 genes between the group of individuals with MS aged \geq 44 years and the control group did not reveal statistically significant differences (Table 7).

Table 7. Binary logistic regression analysis of TEP1 rs1760904, rs1713418, TERC rs12696304, rs35073794 within patients with MS and control group subjects regarding age.

Model Genotype/Allele		OR (95% CI)	p-Value	AIC			
	<44						
	7	ΓΕΡ1 rs1760904					
Codominant	Codominant AG vs. AA 1.046 (0.554 - 1.976) 0.890						
	GG vs. AA	1.430 (0.704 - 2.902)	0.322	347.612			
Dominant	AG + GG vs. AA	1.172 (0.644 - 2.135)	0.603	346.701			
Recessive	GG vs. AG + AA	1.386 (0.796 - 2.415)	0.249	345.632			
Overdominant	AG vs. AA+GG	0.856 (0.520 - 1.408)	0.539	346.594			
Additive	G	1.205 (0.848 - 1.713)	0.299	345.887			
TEP1 rs1713418							
Codominant	AG vs. AA	0.773 (0.446 - 1.341)	0.360	247.050			
_	GG vs. AA	0.999 (0.486 - 2.056)	0.998	347.959			

l	3	

Dominant	AG + GG vs. AA	0.831 (0.497 - 1.390)	0.480	346.473
Recessive	GG vs. AG+AA	1.148 (0.596 - 2.214)	0.680	346.801
Overdominant	AG vs. AA+GG	0.773 (0.469 - 1.276)	0.315	345.959
Additive	G	0.955 (0.675 - 1.351)	0.796	346.905
		TERC rs12696304		
Codominant	CG vs. CC	0.693 (0.411 - 1.168)	0.169	246.160
	GG vs. CC	0.434 (0.100 - 1.885)	0.266	346.168
Dominant	CG+GG vs. CC	0.668 (0.402 - 1.112)	0.121	344.557
Recessive	GG vs. CG+CC	0.500 (0.117 - 2.139)	0.350	346.065
Overdominant	CG vs. CC+GG	0.723 (0.432 - 1.212)	0.219	345.457
Additive	G	0.682 (0.435 - 1.071)	0.097	344.182
	5	ΓERC rs35073794		
Codominant	AG vs. GG	-	-	
	AA vs. GG	-	-	
Dominant	AG + AA vs. GG	0.295 (0.173 - 0.503)	<0.001	325.726
Recessive	AA vs. AG+GG	-	-	-
Overdominant	AG vs. AA+GG	0.295 (0.173 - 0.503)	<0.001	325.726
Additive	A	0.295 (0.173 - 0.503)	<0.001	325.726
		≥ 44		
		TEP1 rs1760904		
Codominant	AG vs. AA	0.921 (0.437 - 1.937)	0.828	238.517
	GG vs. AA	1.340 (0.558 - 3.214)	0.512	236.317
Dominant	AG+GG vs. AA	1.033 (0.511 - 2.088)	0.929	237.452
Recessive	GG vs. AG + AA	1.417 (0.691 - 2.903)	0.341	236.564
Overdominant	AG vs. AA+GG	0.800 (0.435 - 1.472)	0.474	236.946
Additive	G	1.154 (0.741 - 1.799)	0.526	237.057
		TEP1 rs1713418		
Codominant	AG vs. AA	1.130 (0.556 - 2.296)	0.736	235.321
	GG vs. AA	2.361 (0.979 - 5.696)	0.056	233.321
Dominant	AG+GG vs. AA	1.393 (0.716 - 2.708)	0.329	236.492
Recessive	GG vs. AG+AA	2.191 (1.020 - 4.708)	0.044	233.436
Overdominant	AG vs. AA+GG	0.814 (0.442 - 1.497)	0.507	237.019
Additive	G	1.492 (0.959 - 2.320)	0.076	234.263
		TERC rs12696304		
Codominant	CG vs. CC	0.901 (0.476 - 1.705)	0.748	238.256
	GG vs. CC	0.486 (0.126 - 1.884)	0.297	230,230
Dominant	CG+GG vs. CC	0.826 (0.448 - 1.523)	0.539	237.082
Recessive	GG vs. CG+CC	0.508 (0.135 - 1.917)	0.318	236.359
Overdominant	CG vs. CC+GG	0.972 (0.521 - 1.815)	0.930	237.452
Additive	G	0.795 (0.485 - 1.305)	0.365	236.627

TERC rs35073794						
Codominant	AG vs. GG	0.582 (0.314 - 1.080)	0.086	234.455		
	AA vs. GG	-	-	234,433		
Dominant	AG + AA vs. GG	0.601 (0.325 - 1.111)	0.104	234.814		
Recessive	AA vs. AG+GG	-	-	-		
Overdominant	AG vs. AA+GG	0.565 (0.305 - 1.045)	0.069	234.132		
Additive	A	0.650 (0.356 - 1.190)	0.163	235.502		

OR - odds ratio; CI - confidence interval; p-value - significance level (statistically significant when p < 0.05); statistically significant results are bold; AIC - Akaike information criterion.

3.3. Haplotype Analysis of TEP1 (rs1760904, rs1713418) and TERC (rs12696304, rs35073794)

3.3.1. TEP1 rs1760904, rs1713418 SNP Genotype and Haplotype Analysis in MS Patients and Healthy Subjects

The deviation between the expected haplotype and the observed frequency (D') was equal to 0.471. It was also determined that the square of the correlation coefficient of haplotype frequency (r2) was 0.146 (Table 8).

Table 8. Linkage disequilibrium between TEP1 rs1760904 and rs1713418 polymorphisms in patients with MS and control group.

CNID		MS group vs. Control group		
SNP	D'	r ²	p-value	
rs1760904 - rs1713418	0.471	0.146	0.000	

SNP - single nucleotide polymorphism; MS - multiple sclerosis; D' - the deviation between the expected and observed haplotype frequency; r^2 - the haplotype frequency correlation coefficient square; p-value - significance level (statistically significant when p < 0.05).

The TEP1 rs1760904-A - rs1713418-A haplotype was the most common in the study group and was therefore selected as a reference. Haplotype analysis revealed that the rs1760904-G - rs1713418-A haplotype was associated with a 1.7-fold increased odds of occurrence of MS (OR: 1.74, (95% CI: 1.18-2.56), p=0.006). In addition, the rs1760904-A - rs1713418-G haplotype is associated with a 1.9-fold increased odds of the MS occurrence (OR: 1.92, (95% CI: 1.14-3.24), p=0.014). The data are presented in Table 9.

Table 9. Haplotype association with the predisposition to MS occurrence.

	TFD1	TEP1 TEP1		ency, %			
Haplotype	rs1760904	rs1713418	Control N	MS	OR (95% CI)	p-value	
1	A	A	42.81	32.53	1.000	-	
2	G	G	31.94	29.03	1.140 (0.820 - 1.600)	0.430	
3	G	A	17.19	24.97	1.740 (1.180 - 2.560)	0.006	
4	A	G	8.06	13.47	1.920 (1.140 - 3.240)	0.014	

MS - multiple sclerosis; OR - odds ratio; CI - confidence interval; p-value - significance level (statistically significant when p < 0.05); statistically significant results are bold.

According to our calculations, the deviation between the expected haplotype and observed frequency (D') was equal to 0.019. Moreover, the square of the haplotype frequency correlation coefficient (r2) was found to be <0.001 (Table 10).

Table 10. Linkage disequilibrium between TERC rs12696304 and rs35073794 polymorphisms in patients with MS and control group.

SNP		MS group vs. Control	l group
SINP	D'	r ²	p-value
rs12696304 - rs35073794	0.019	< 0.001	0.631

SNP - single nucleotide polymorphism; MS - multiple sclerosis; D' - the deviation between the expected and observed haplotype frequency; r^2 - the haplotype frequency correlation coefficient square; p-value - significance level (statistically significant when p < 0.05).

The *TERC* rs12696304-C - rs35073794-G haplotype was the most common in the study group and was therefore selected as a reference. Haplotype analysis revealed that the rs12696304-C - rs35073794-A haplotype was associated with a 2-fold reduction in the odds of MS occurrence (OR: 0.51, (95% CI: 0.32-0.84), p=0.008). In addition, the rs12696304-G - rs35073794-A haplotype is associated with a 5.3-fold reduction in the odds of MS occurrence (OR: 0.19, (95% CI: 0.08-0.49), p < 0.001) (Table 11).

Table 11. Haplotype association with the predisposition to MS occurrence.

	TTD 0		Frequency, %			
Haplotype	TERC rs12696304	TERC rs35073794	Control	MS	OR (95% CI)	p-value
1	С	G	50.48	59.55	1.000	-
2	С	A	22.99	19.95	0.510 (0.320 - 0.840)	0.008
3	G	G	15.82	17.20	0.870 (0.540 - 1.390)	0.550
4	G	A	10.70	3.30	0.190 (0.080 - 0.490)	<0.001

MS - multiple sclerosis; OR - odds ratio; CI - confidence interval; p-value - significance level (statistically significant when p < 0.05); statistically significant results are bold.

4. Discussion

In our study we analyzed the polymorphisms of the *TEP1* gene rs1760904, rs1713418, the *TERC* gene rs12696304, and rs35073794 in 200 MS patients and 230 healthy individuals, because the SNPs we selected have not been studied in scientific research on the pathogenesis and development of MS. It should be noted that aging and genetic variants affecting telomere length, telomerase activation, and telomeric protein configuration can cause functional changes in cells [26,34].

Sipos and co-authors found that TEP1 expression is increased in ulcerative colitis during mild inflammation [35]. Gu and coauthors found that TEP1 rs1713418 AG +AA genotypes were associated with a 1.3-fold increased odds of prostate cancer in individuals younger than \leq 69 years compared with the AA genotype (OR: 1.32, (95% CI: 1.02-1.70), p=0.034). However, the AG +GG genotype of the same polymorphism is associated with a 1.4-fold lower odds of prostate cancer in individuals older than \geq 69 years compared to the AA genotype (OR: 0.71, (95% CI: 0.55-0.92), p=0.010) [26]. Sun et al. found that TEP1 rs1713418 was associated with a 1.3-fold increased odds of ovarian cancer occurrence (OR: 1.33, (95% CI: 1.08-1.65), p=0.009) [36]. It should be noted that excessive or persistent inflammation contributes to carcinogenesis and tumor progression through the activation of inflammatory molecules and signals [37]. Our study found that the TEP1 rs1713418 GG genotype was associated with a 2.2-fold increased odds of MS occurrence in individuals older than 44 years compared with the AG and AA genotypes (OR: 2.191, (95% CI: 1.020-4.708), p=0.044).

Chan and co-authors performed a haplotype analysis and found that the *TEP1* haplotype, consisting of the SNP allele variants rs1713418, rs2104978, rs17211355, rs2297615, rs2228041, rs2228026, and rs1713440, was associated with a 2.2-fold increased odds of bladder cancer occurrence (OR: 2.23, (95% CI: 1.13-4.60), p=0.022) [38]. This study revealed that chronic inflammation may play a role in the development of malignancies, including bladder cancer [37]. Our haplotype analysis revealed that the rs1760904-G - rs1713418-A haplotype was statistically significantly associated with a 1.7-fold increased likelihood of developing MS (OR: 1.740, (95% CI: 1.180-2.560), p=0.006). The rs1760904-A - rs1713418-G haplotype is statistically significantly associated with a 1.9-fold increased probability of the occurrence of MS (OR: 1.920, (95% CI: 1.140-3.240), p=0.014).

Liu et al. found that TERC expression was increased more in MS patients than in healthy individuals (p < 0.01) [12]. Scarabino and co-authors found that TERC rs12696304 GG genotype correlated with the occurrence of Alzheimer's disease [18]. In addition, the results of a study conducted by Sun and coauthors suggested that the TERC gene rs12696304 G allele and GG genotype were statistically significantly associated with a 1.6-fold increased odds of developing chronic kidney disease (OR: 1.555, (95% CI: 1.215-1.990), p=0.001; OR: 1.634, (95% CI: 1.201-2.234), p=0.002, respectively). In addition, the researchers found that the G allele of the same polymorphism was associated with a 1.8-fold increased odds of developing chronic kidney disease in the female group (OR:1.816, (95% CI: 1.248-2.641), p=0.002), and the GG genotype was associated with a 2-fold increased odds of developing chronic kidney disease in the female group (OR: 1.959, (95% CI: 1.233-3.114), p= 0.006). The authors also found that the rs12696304 G allele could contribute to a host autoimmune response targeting glomerular tissues by activating the NF-κB pathway via TERC [14]. It is known that secretory renal dysfunction (decreased synthesis of vitamin D, erythropoietin, and Klotho protein) may contribute to brain dysfunction in MS patients [39]. According to the results of Al Khaldu and co-authors, the genotype of TERC gene rs12696304 GG is associated with a 1.6-fold increased probability of developing type 2 diabetes (OR: 1.6, (95% CI: 1.5-1.9), p=0.005) [40]. It should be noted that diabetes, like MS, is associated with increased oxidative stress and inflammatory responses, which may accelerate telomere shortening and associated cellular senescence [41]. After performing binary logistic regression, we found that the TERC gene rs12696304 G allele was associated with a 1.4-fold decrease in the likelihood of occurrence of MS (OR: 0.703, (95% CI: 0.506-0.976), p=0.035). TERC rs12696304 is associated with a decreased probability of occurrence of MS in males in the codominant, dominant, and additive models (5.5-fold (OR: 0.182, (95% CI: 0.037-0.894), p=0.036), 2-fold (OR =0.507, (95% CI: 0.284-0.903), p=0.021) and 1.9-fold (OR: 0.515, (95% CI: 0.314-0.845), p=0.009).

Wu and co-authors found that TERC rs35073794 is associated with a 2.4-fold increased odds of renal cell carcinoma (RCC) occurrence in an allele model (A/G) (OR =2.39, 95% CI = 0.99-5.80, p = 0.047). The authors also found that rs35073794 AG genotype is associated with a 2.6-fold increased odds of RCC risk with adjustment for gender, age and BMI (OR =2.61, 95% CI = 1.01-6.76, p = 0.045) [20]. We found that TERC rs35073794 is associated with about 2.4-fold decreased odds of MS development under codominant, dominant, overdominant, and additive model (OR: 0.408, (95% CI: 0.275 - 0.603), p<0.001; OR: 0.412 (95% CI: 0.279 - 0.610), p<0.001; OR: 0.404 (95% CI: 0.273 - 0.598), p<0.001; OR: 0.427 (95% CI: 0.289 - 0.629), p<0,001, respectively). TERC rs35073794 is associated with about 4.4-fold decreased odds of MS occurrence in men under codominant, dominant, and overdominant model (OR: 0.228, (95% CI: 0.124 - 0.417), p<0.001; OR: 0.233 (95% CI: 0.128 - 0.427), p<0.001; OR: 0.224 (95% CI: 0.122 - 0.410), p<0.001, respectively). Furthermore, TERC rs35073794 each A allele is associated with a 3.9-fold decreased odds of MS occurrence (OR: 0.256, (95% CI: 0.141 -0.462), p<0.001). TERC rs35073794 is associated with about 3.4-fold decreased odds of subjects younger than 44 years of age with MS under dominant, overdominant and additive model (OR: 0.295, (95% CI: 0.173 - 0.503), p<0.001; OR: 0.295 (95% CI: 0.173 - 0.503), p<0.001; OR: 0.295 (95% CI: 0.173 -0.503), p<0.001, respectively).

Based on haplotype analysis, Maubaret and colleagues found that the *TERC* rs12696304-G-rs10936601-T-rs16847897-C haplotype was statistically significantly associated with a 1.35-fold reduction in the risk of developing type 2 diabetes (OR: 0.74, (95% CI: 0.61-0.91), p=0.004) [42].

According to our haplotype analysis, the rs12696304-C-rs35073794-A haplotype is associated with a 2-fold reduction in the likelihood of developing MS (OR: 0.51, (95% CI: 0.32-0.84), p=0.008). In addition, we discovered that the rs12696304-G-rs35073794-A haplotype was associated with a 5.3-fold reduction in the probability of MS occurrence (OR: 0.19, (95% CI: 0.08-0.49), p < 0.001).

In our study, we have several limitations. Sample representativeness: the study rely on a sample of participants, which may not fully represent the diversity of the target population. For more accurate results, the sample size should be increased. The study exhibits strengths in its rigorous methodology, characterized by clear objectives, appropriate sample sizes, and robust data collection. These elements significantly enhance the reliability and validity of our study's findings. Additionally, the study adheres to standardized protocols and procedures, enabling the potential for replication and facilitating comparability with other studies.

There is evidence that telomere-related genes play a critical role in carcinogenesis. However, it is still unclear whether alterations in telomere-related genes may contribute to the progression and occurrence of MS [26]. Therefore, this study warrants further research to explain the pathogenesis of MS and the impact of telomere-related gene alterations on the development of MS.

5. Conclusions

Current evidence may suggest that *TERC* SNP plays a protective role in the occurrence of MS, whereas *TEP1* has the opposite effect, but research is still in the early stages, so it is premature to draw firm conclusions.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, G.R., G.G. and R.L..; methodology, G.G..; validation, G.R., G.G.; formal analysis, G.G., G.R.; investigation, G.R., G.G., R.L.; resources, R.L., L.K.; data curation, G.G; writing—original draft preparation, G.R., G.R., R.L.; writing—review and editing, G.R., G.G., R.B., R.L.; supervision, R.L.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript."

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Ethics Committee of LITHUANIAN UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES (No. BE-2-102, issued November 14, 2019).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: the data will be sent upon request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Yamout BI, Alroughani R. Multiple sclerosis. Semin Neurol. 2018 Apr;38(2):212-25.
- 2. The Multiple Sclerosis International Federation. Atlas of MS 3rd edition 2020.
- 3. Ghasemi N, Razavi S, Nikzad E. Multiple sclerosis: pathogenesis, symptoms, diagnoses and cell-based therapy. Cell J. 2017;19(1):1-10.
- 4. Leffler J, Trend S, Gorman S, Hart PH. Sex-specific environmental impacts on initiation and progression of multiple sclerosis. Front Neurol. 2022 Feb 3;13:e835162.
- 5. Hecker M, Fitzner B, Jäger K, Bühring J, Schwartz M, Hartmann A, et al. Leukocyte telomere length in patients with multiple sclerosis and its association with clinical phenotypes. Mol Neurobiol. 2021 Jun;58(6):2886-96.
- 6. Baecher-Allan C, Kaskow BJ, Weiner HL. Multiple sclerosis: mechanisms and immunotherapy. Neuron. 2018 Feb 21;97(4):742-68.
- 7. Suraweera N, Mouradov D, Li S, Jorissen RN, Hampson D, Ghosh A, et al. Relative telomere lengths in tumor and normal mucosa are related to disease progression and chromosome instability profiles in colorectal cancer. Oncotarget. 2016 Jun 14;7(24):36474-88.

- 9. Melicher D, Buzas EI, Falus A. Genetic and epigenetic trends in telomere research: a novel way in immunogenetics. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2015 Nov;72(21):4095-109.
- 10. Giardini MA, Segatto M, da Silva MS, Nunes VS, Cano MI. Telomere and telomerase biology. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci. 2014;125:1-40.
- 11. Zheng Q, Huang J, Wang G. Mitochondria, telomeres and telomerase subunits. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2019 Nov 6;7:274.
- 12. Liu H, Yang Y, Ge Y, Liu J, Zhao Y. TERC promotes cellular inflammatory response independent of telomerase. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019 Sep 5;47(15):8084-95.
- Gomez-Delgado F, Delgado-Lista J, Lopez-Moreno J, Rangel-Zuniga OA, Alcala-Diaz JF, Leon-Acuna A, et al. Telomerase RNA component genetic variants interact with the mediterranean diet modifying the inflammatory status and its relationship with aging. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2018 Mar 2;73(3):327-32.
- 14. Sun Q, Liu J, Cheng G, Dai M, Liu J, Qi Z, et al. The telomerase gene polymorphisms, but not telomere length, increase susceptibility to primary glomerulonephritis/end stage renal diseases in females. J Transl Med. 2020 May 4;18(1):184.
- 15. Gala K, Khattar E. Long non-coding RNAs at work on telomeres: functions and implications in cancer therapy. Cancer Lett. 2021 Apr 1;502:120-32.
- 16. Yuan B, Wen X, Li L, Li Y, Li C, Li B, et al. *NAF1* rs4691896 is significantly associated with coal workers' pneumoconiosis in a Chinese Han population. Med Sci Monit. 2020 Apr 25;26:e918709.
- 17. Liu MY, Nemes A, Zhou QG. The emerging roles for telomerase in the central nervous system. Front Mol Neurosci. 2018 May 16;11:160.
- 18. Scarabino D, Broggio E, Gambina G, Pelliccia F, Corbo RM. Common variants of human *TERT* and *TERC* genes and susceptibility to sporadic alzheimers disease. Exp Gerontol. 2017 Feb;88:19-24.
- 19. Jones AM, Beggs AD, Carvajal-Carmona L, Farrington S, Tenesa A, Walker M, et al. *TERC* polymorphisms are associated both with susceptibility to colorectal cancer and with longer telomeres. Gut. 2012 Feb;61(2):248-54.
- 20. Wu D, Zhu G, Zeng J, Song W, Wang K, Wang X, et al. Genetic variations in *TERC* and *TERT* genes are associated with renal cell carcinoma risk in a Chinese Han population. Oncotarget. 2017 Aug 10;8(44):76832-42.
- 21. Sawhney V, Brouilette S, Campbell N, Coppen S, Baker V, Hunter R, et al. Association of genetic variation in telomere-related SNP and telomerase with ventricular arrhythmias in ischemic cardiomyopathy. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol. 2018 Mar;41(3):261-66.
- 22. Morrish T. A. Telomerase and non-telomerase mechanisms of telomere maintenance. London: IntechOpen; 2020.
- 23. Duan X, Wang H, Yang Y, Wang P, Zhang H, Liu B, et al. Genetic variants in telomerase-associated protein 1 are associated with telomere damage in PAH-exposed workers. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2021 Oct 15;223:e112558.
- 24. Li H, Zhao L, Yang Z, Funder JW, Liu JP. Telomerase is controlled by protein kinase calpha in human breast cancer cells. J Biol Chem. 1998 Dec 11;273(50):33436-42.
- 25. Lee J, Pellegrini MV. Biochemistry, telomere and telomerase. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls; 2021.
- 26. Gu C, Li Q, Zhu Y, Qu Y, Zhang G, Wang M, et al. Genetic variants in the *TEP1* gene are associated with prostate cancer risk and recurrence. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. 2015 Dec;18(4):310-6.
- 27. Jin DH, Kim S, Kim DH, Park J. Two genetic variants in telomerase-associated protein 1 are associated with stomach cancer risk. J Hum Genet. 2016 Oct;61(10):885-89.
- 28. Data about *TEP1* gene from NCBI. Internet access: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/7011 [accessed 2022-04-27].
- 29. Ren HY, Wang Q, Lei W, Zhang CC, Li YF, Li XJ, et al. The common variants implicated in microstructural abnormality of first episode and drug-naive patients with schizophrenia. Sci Rep. 2017 Sep 18;7(1):e11750.
- 30. Sebate B, Cuttler K, Cloete R, Britz M, Christoffels A, Williams M, et al. Prioritization of candidate genes for a South African family with parkinson's disease using in-silico tools. PLoS One. 2021 Mar 26;16(3):e0249324.
- 31. Dehghanzad R, Panahi Moghadam S, Shirvani Farsani Z. Prediction of single-nucleotide polymorphisms within microRNAs binding sites of neuronal genes related to multiple sclerosis. Adv Biomed Res. 2021 Feb 26;10:8.
- 32. Filippi M, Rocca MA, Ciccarelli O, De Stefano N, Evangelou N, Kappos L, et al. MRI criteria for the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: MAGNIMS consensus guidelines. Lancet Neurol. 2016 Mar;15(3):292-303.
- 33. Thompson AJ, Banwell BL, Barkhof F, Carroll WM, Coetzee T, Comi G, et al. Diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: 2017 revisions of the McDonald criteria. Lancet Neurol. 2018 Feb;17(2):162-173.

- 34. Chang JT, Chen YL, Yang HT, Chen CY, Cheng AJ. Differential regulation of telomerase activity by six telomerase subunits. Eur J Biochem. 2002 Jul;269(14):3442-50.
- 35. Sipos F, Galamb O, Herszenyi L, Molnar B, Solymosi N, Zagoni T, et al. Elevated insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor, hepatocyte growth factor receptor and telomerase protein expression in mild ulcerative colitis. Scand J Gastroenterol. 2008 Mar;43(3):289-98.
- 36. Sun Y, Tao W, Huang M, Wu X, Gu J. Genetic variants in telomere-maintenance genes are associated with ovarian cancer risk and outcome. J Cell Mol Med. 2017 Mar;21(3):510-18.
- 37. Sui X, Lei L, Chen L, Xie T, Li X. Inflammatory microenvironment in the initiation and progression of bladder cancer. Oncotarget. 2017 Oct 6;8(54):93279-94.
- 38. Chang J, Dinney CP, Huang M, Wu X, Gu J. Genetic variants in telomere-maintenance genes and bladder cancer risk. PLoS One. 2012;7(2):e30665.
- 39. Rostami S, Emami-Aleagha MS, Ghasemi-Kasman M, Allameh A. Cross-talks between the kidneys and the central nervous system in multiple sclerosis. Caspian J Intern Med. 2018 Summer;9(3):206-10.
- 40. Al Khaldi R, Mojiminiyi O, AlMulla F, Abdella N. Associations of *TERC* single nucleotide polymorphisms with human leukocyte telomere length and the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus. PLoS One. 2015 Dec 31;10(12):e0145721.
- 41. Zhou Y, Ning Z, Lee Y, Hambly BD, McLachlan CS. Shortened leukocyte telomere length in type 2 diabetes mellitus: genetic polymorphisms in mitochondrial uncoupling proteins and telomeric pathways. Clin Transl Med. 2016 Mar;5(1):8
- 42. Maubaret CG, Salpea KD, Romanoski CE, Folkersen L, Cooper JA, Stephanou C, et al. Association of *TERC* and *OBFC1* haplotypes with mean leukocyte telomere length and risk for coronary heart disease. PLoS One. 2013 Dec 12;8(12):e83122.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.