

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# Does Asthma Disrupts Psychological Wellbeing in Pregnancy?

---

Paola C. Fernández-Paredes , Eva Morales , [Concepción Lopez-Soler](#) , [Luis Garcia-Marcos](#) \*

Posted Date: 25 July 2023

doi: 10.20944/preprints202307.1682.v1

Keywords: Asthma; Pregnancy; Psychological test; Family Apgar; Edinburgh Scale; STAI Inventory; NELA cohort



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## Article

# Does Asthma Disrupts Psychological Wellbeing in Pregnancy?

Paola C. Fernández-Paredes <sup>1</sup>, Eva Morales <sup>2</sup>, Concepción Lopez-Soler <sup>3</sup>, Luis Garcia-Marcos <sup>4,\*</sup> and the NELA Study Group

<sup>1</sup> Dept. of Allergy, Arrixaca University Hospital, University of Murcia, Murcia, Spain; paola\_cfp@hotmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Murcia, and IMIB Bio-health Research Institute, Murcia, Spain; embarto@hotmail.com

<sup>3</sup> Clinical Psychology Unit. Arrixaca Children's University Hospital, University of Murcia, Murcia, Spain; clopezs@um.es

<sup>4</sup> Paediatric Allergy and Pulmonology Units, Virgen de la Arrixaca University Children's Hospital, University of Murcia and IMIB Bio-health Research Institute, Murcia, Spain; lgmarcos@um.es

\* Correspondence: lgmarcos@um.es

**Abstract:** Background: Asthma is a very prevalent disease with special characteristics during pregnancy, however little is known about its relation to the psychological wellbeing of women in this period; We aimed to know whether depression and anxiety symptoms are more frequent in asthmatic pregnant women. (2) Methods: Family Apgar (week 20), Edinburgh (weeks 20 and 32) and STAI (week 32) tests were administered to 738 pregnant women (81 asthmatics) in the NELA birth cohort; (3) Results: There were no significant differences between asthmatic and non-asthmatic pregnant women in any of the different tests at any of the time points. The mean? scores for the different tests and timepoints between asthmatic and non-asthmatic pregnant women were: Apgar 20, 17.9±2.2 vs. 10.0±2.2; Edinburgh 20, 6.7±4.2 vs. 6.9±4.3; Edinburgh 32, 5.9±4.4 vs. 5.6±4.3; and STAI 32, 16.7±8.4 vs. 15.8±8.3. The proportion of pregnant women out of the normal range score for any of the tests and time points was also similar in both populations. (4) Conclusions: Asthma is not associated with the psychological wellbeing among pregnant women.

**Keywords:** asthma; pregnancy; psychological test; family Apgar; Edinburgh Scale; STAI Inventory; NELA cohort

## 1. Introduction

Asthma is a chronic disease characterized by chronic inflammation of the airway, in which several cells and mediators participate. It is determined by interaction between genetic and environmental factors and cause bronchial hyperresponsiveness and variable obstruction to air flow in the airways, with total or partial reversibility either spontaneous or induced by drugs [1]. It is the most prevalent respiratory disease during the first middle of life, affecting about 10% of population [2,3].

Asthma is also the more frequent respiratory disease in pregnancy with a prevalence between 8% and 13% around the world and affects to more than 10% of women in fertility ages, most of whom are sensitized to aeroallergens [4]. Many pregnant asthmatic women suffer changes in the progression of their disease: asthma worsens in about one third of them, improves in another third and is stable in the other third [5]. Asthma is the respiratory disease that most frequently complicates pregnancy and persists as a high-risk condition despite treatment advances [6,7].

The relationship between asthma and pregnancy complications is complex due in part to the interaction with other factors such as smoking, obesity and other comorbidities that independently impact the obstetric and fetal outcomes [8].

On the other hand, mental diseases during pregnancy are thought to be as frequent as 15%, being low socioeconomic status a risk factor [9]. Anxiety and depression are common conditions that affect a considerable part of the population in many countries [10]. Several studies show that, among pregnant women, depression ranges from 6% to 13% [11–13]. Both anxiety and depression are common not only during pregnancy but also post-partum and their symptoms can be mild or severe [14].

Mental diseases have been also recognized as common comorbidities in asthmatic patients, being anxiety, depression and panic disorders more frequent among asthmatic individuals than among the general population [9].

To the best of our knowledge, the impact of mental diseases in asthma control during pregnancy has been previously reported only by one study in an Australian cohort of pregnant women. This study suggests that maternal anxiety is associated to poorer asthma control (including increased number of exacerbations) and lower quality of life [15]. However, no study has yet compared psychological wellbeing between asthmatic and non-asthmatic pregnant women.

The aim of the present study is to know whether depression and anxiety as measured by the Family Apgar, Edinburgh and STAI tests are more frequent in asthmatic pregnant women than non-asthmatic ones.

## 2. Materials and Methods

The population of pregnant women in the present study participates in the “Nutrition in Early Life and Asthma” (NELA) birth cohort. The details of this cohort have been reported in detail previously [16]. Briefly, the NELA study recruited pregnant women at the 20<sup>th</sup> week of gestation when they attended to the “Virgen de la Arrixaca” University Clinical Hospital (Murcia, Spain) for their routine echography control. They were followed up during pregnancy and beyond. During gestational follow up a module on psychological wellbeing, including Family Apgar, Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale and/or State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), depending on the visit, was included at weeks 20 and 32.

Asthma in pregnant women was defined as a positive response to the question “Have you ever been diagnosed of asthma” which was asked in the questionnaire of the 20 weeks recruitment visit.

Family Apgar test, designed to assess family function by primary health care teams, explores satisfaction in family relationships [17] and has good validity and reliability [18]. It examines five basic functions of the family, such as: Adaptability, Partnership, Growth, Affection, and Resolve. Scores of this test are highly correlated to anxiety and depression [19] and follow a Likert scale either from 0 to 2 (10 points maximum) or from 0 to 4 (20 points maximum). For the maximum score of 20, 0 to 16 indicates dysfunctionality (from mild, 14-17; to moderate, 10-13; and severe,  $\leq 9$ ) and 17-20 a functionality [20,21].

The Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale has been validated in many settings and populations [22], and also for its use during pregnancy [23]. It measures depression and scores from 0 to 30 over 10 items which rate from 0 to 3 also in a Likert scale. Scores from 10 to 30 indicate depression.

The State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) has been also validated in diverse settings and populations and specifically perinatally [24]; and it assesses anxiety in two dimensions: state and trait, although it also detects depression [24]. In the present study STAI was used to assess trait. Its score (trait, 20 items) ranges from 0 to 60 and for adult women its 50<sup>th</sup> percentile is 22-23.

Apart from the results of the three psychological tests at the different time-points, other variables available in the study which were included in the analyses as covariates were: age, living area (urban, residential, countryside); civil state (married or stable partner, single, divorced or separated); education level (basic, secondary, high, university); social class (as per job classification in Domingo-Salvany et al.[25]) grouped in I-II, III, IV-V and no current job; number of previous pregnancies and abortions; current smoking (yes or no); and use of inhaled corticosteroids in 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester (yes or no).

The results of the psychological tests were compared between asthmatic and non-asthmatic mothers by means of t-test (for the scores taken as continuous variables) and using the Chi-squared

test when tests scores were categorized into “in the normal range” vs. “out of the normal range”. This analysis was not done for the STAI test as the scores are continuous and a normal range is not established. To control for variables which could modify the association of asthma with the psychological test results, multiple linear regression analyses or logistic regression analyses were performed using tests scores as dependent variables (either as continuous or as dichotomous variables), and asthma as the independent, and those variables already defined, as covariates.

All procedures included in the NELA cohort study were approved by the ethics committee of the “Virgen de la Arrixaca” University Clinical Hospital (report 9/14; 29/09/2014).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Study population

A total of 1350 women were invited to participate in the NELA study and 738 (54%) were finally included and followed up at least until delivery and included in the present study. Among those, 81 (11.0%) had asthma as diagnosed by a doctor when they were recruited. Demographics of asthmatic and non-asthmatic gravidas are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Socio-demographic characteristics of the studied populations: differences between asthmatics and non-asthmatics pregnant women (N and percentage in brackets unless indicated).

	Asthmatics N=81	Non-asthmatics N=657	P*
Age (mean±SD)	32.7±4.6	32.6±4.7	0.859
Living area			
Urban	55 (67.9)	479 (72.9)	
Peri-urban	13 (16.0)	94 (14.3)	
Countryside	13 (16.0)	84 (12.8)	0.611
Civil state			
Married or stable partner	81 (100)	647 (98.5)	
Single	0	8 (1.2)	
Divorced/separated	0	2 (0.3)	0.535
Education			
None, basic or primary (8 years or less)	4 (4.9)	53 (8)	
Middle or incomplete secondary (9-11 years)	11 (13.6)	78 (11.9)	
Complete middle or secondary and high (12+ years)	19 (23.5)	172 (26.2)	
University	47 (58.0)	354 (53.9)	0.677
Social class			
I-II	31 (38.3)	233 (35.5)	
III	19 (23.5)	148 (22.5)	
IV-V	18 (22.2)	126 (19.2)	
No current job	13 (16.0)	150 (22.8)	0.566
Previous abortions [median (IQR)]	0 (0-1)	1 (0-1)	0.863
Previous pregnancies [median (IQR)]	1 (0-1)	1 (0-2)	0.325
Current smoker	15 (18.5)	113 (17.2)	0.767
Inhaled corticosteroids 1 <sup>st</sup> trimester	6 (8.2)	2 (0.3)	<0.001
Inhaled corticosteroids 2 <sup>nd</sup> trimester	6 (8.2)	6 (1)	<0.001
Inhaled corticosteroids 3 <sup>rd</sup> trimester	7 (9.6)	7 (1.2)	<0.001

SD: standard deviation; IQR: interquartile range. \*p value derived from t test for continuous variables and from Chi2 test for categorical variables.

### 3.2. Differences in psychological tests

There were no statistical significant differences in any of the psychological scores of any of the tests performed at the different time-points, neither when scores were taken as continuous variables nor when they were categorised into “in the normal range” versus out of the normal range”. In fact, quantitative scores were very similar between both groups of pregnant women, being the largest difference found (statistically non-significant) in the STAI test at week 32 ( $16.7 \pm 8.4$  in asthmatics vs.  $15.8 \pm 8.3$  in non-asthmatics,  $p=0.378$ ) (Tables 2 and 3).

**Table 2.** Psychological tests\* results in asthmatic and non-asthmatic pregnant women. Scores taken as continuous variables.

	Asthmatics N=81	Non-asthmatics N=657	P
Family Apgar (week 20)	$17.9 \pm 2.2$	$18 \pm 2.2$	0.868
Edinburgh test (week 20)	$6.7 \pm 4.2$	$6.9 \pm 4.3$	0.800
Edinburgh test (week 32)	$5.9 \pm 4.4$	$5.6 \pm 4.3$	0.634
STAI test (week 32)	$16.7 \pm 8.4$	$15.8 \pm 8.3$	0.378

\* See text for tests explanation

**Table 3.** Psychological tests\* results in asthmatic and non-asthmatic pregnant women. Scores taken as in or out of the normal range.

	Asthmatics N=81	Non-asthmatics N=657	P
Family Apgar (week 20)			
Functional family <sup>1</sup>	65 (81.2)	529 (81)	0.959
Dysfunctional family <sup>1</sup>	15 (18.7)	124 (18.9)	0.959
Edinburgh test (week 20)			
No depresión <sup>2</sup>	61 (78.2)	490 (76.0)	0.661
Depression <sup>2</sup>	17 (21.8)	155 (24.0)	0.661
STAI test (week 32)			
No anxiety/depression <sup>2</sup>	56 (81.2)	484 (82.6)	0.767
Anxiety/depression <sup>2</sup>	13 (18.8)	102 (17.4)	0.767

\* See text for explanation of tests. <sup>1</sup>Functional family 17/20; dysfunctional family 0/16. <sup>2</sup>No depression 0/9; depression 10/30. Note that not every row adds up to 738 due to missing values.

### 3.3. Multivariate analyses

Tables 4 and 5 show the factors that had an association with the scores (either as continuous or as dichotomous variables) in the simple or multiple regression or logistic regression analyses.

**Table 4.** Factors associated to numerical results of the psychological tests in the simple (Coeff and 95%CI) and multiple linear regression (aCoeff. and 95%CI) analyses (all variables in the table included in the multiple analysis).

	Family Apgar		Edinburgh 20		Edinburgh 32		STAI	
	Coef	aCoef	Coef	aCoef	Coef	aCoef	Coef	aCoef
Asthma	-0.04	0.13	-0.13	-0.17	0.26	0.03	0.96	0.60
	-	-	-	-	-0.8;1.34	-	-1.18;3.09	-
	0.55;0.46	0.37;0.63	1.15;0.89	1.24;0.90		1.06;1.12		1.51;2.70
Living area								
Urban	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Residential	0.09	0.07	-0.52	-0.08	-0.04	0.18	-1.96	-1.34

		-	-	-	-	-0.99;0.91	-	-3.83;0.10	-
		0.36;0.55	0.37;0.51	1.43;0.38	1.01;0.85		0.76;1.12		3.15;0.47
		-0.18	-0.09	0.44	0.47	0.72	0.57	1.78	0.93
	Countryside	-	-	-	-	-0.25;1.69	-	-0.15;3.72	-
		0.65;0.29	0.54;0.36	0.50;1.38	0.47;1.41		0.39;1.52		0.94;2.81
Civil state									
	Married or stable partner	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		0.80	0.84	2.20	1.39	2.36	1.47	4.93	3.20
	Single	-	-	-	-	-0.84;5.57	-	-	-2.77;
		0.71;2.31	0.64;2.33	0.80;5.20	1.72;4.51		1.68;4.62	1.21;11.08	9.16
		1.55	1.93	7.70	6.94	6.36	5.68	25.22	23.21
	Divorced/separated	-	-	1.72	1.14;12.70	0.39;12.34	-0.19;	13.78	12.1;34.3
		1.47;4.57	0.84;4.71	13.68			11.5	36.66	
		-0.01	-0.05	-0.10	0.01	-0.08	0.02	-0.22	-0.00
Age		-	-	-	-	-0.15;0.00	-	-0.37;0.07	-
		0.04;0.03	0.09;0.01	0.17;0.03	0.07;0.09		0.06;0.11		0.17;0.16
Education									
	None, basic or primary (8 years or less)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		-0.30	-0.42	0.86	0.61	1.17	1.09	1.94	1.73
	Middle or incomplete secondary (9-11 years)	-	-	-	-	-0.35;2.69	-	-1.09;4.97	-
		1.01;0.41	1.15;0.30	0.59;2.30	0.94;2.15		0.45;2.63		1.27;4.75
		0.45	0.18	-0.02	0.35	0.24	0.52	0.41	1.10
	Complete middle or secondary and high (12+y)	-	-	-1.30	-	-1.08;1.56	-	-2.27;3.09	-
		0.19;1.08	0.47;0.84	1.26	1.04;1.74		0.87;1.91		1.66;3.85
		1.03	0.69	-1.76	-1.13	-1.30	-0.58	-3.30	-1.14
	University	0.43;	0.02;1.36	-	-	-2.53;0.07	-	-5.79-0.80	-
		1.62		2.96;0.55	2.56;0.31		2.02;0.85		3.95;1.67
Social class									
	I-II	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		-0.38	-0.11	0.43	-0.46	0.66	0.00	2.41	1.01
	III	-	-	-	-	-0.20;2.34	-	0.72;4.11	-
		0.79;0.41	0.55;0.32	0.40;1.25	1.37;0.45		0.93;0.94		0.79;2.81
		-0.87	-0.38	1.33	-0.14	1.41	0.32	3.48	1.21
	IV-V	-1.31;-	-	0.45;2.20	-	0.49;2.34	-	1.70;5.26	-
		0.43	0.89;0.13	1.23;0.94			0.78;1.43		0.89;3.33
		-0.51	0.14	1.87	0.41	1.62	0.70	4.48	2.21
	No current job	-0.93;-	-	1.03;2.72	-	0.72;2.53	-	2.68;6.28	0.18;4.25
		0.08	0.35;0.64	0.63;1.45			0.36;1.76		
		-0.14	0.25	-0.03	-0.08	-0.19	-0.02	-0.26	-0.26
Previous abortions		-	-	-	-	-0.64;0.27	-	-1.16;0.63	-
		0.36;0.07	0.08;0.58	0.46;0.39	0.78;0.62		0.72;0.68		1.64;1.11
		-0.19	-0.23	0.03	-0.06	-0.14	-0.25	-0.23	-0.19
Previous pregnancies		-0.3;-	-0.46;-	-	-	-0.43;0.15	-	-1.19;0.73	-
		0.05	0.00	0.24;0.30	0.54;0.43		0.74;0.24		1.16;0.77
		-1.09	-0.94	1.98	1.71	2.30	1.82	4.72	3.48
Current smoker		-1.50;-	-1.36;-	1.16;2.81	0.81;2.62	1.43;3.18	0.91;	3.00;6.45	1.72;5.24
		0.68	0.51				2.73		
Inhaled corticosteroids 1 <sup>st</sup> trimester		-1.64	-0.70	1.00	0.42	1.34	-0.40	5.02	3.20
						-1.68;4.35			



		-3.10;-	-	-	-		-3.76;	-0.80	-
		0.19	2.29;0.89	1.97;3.98	2.92;3.77		2.97	10.85	3.20;9.60
Inhaled corticosteroids	2 <sup>nd</sup>	-1.10	0.07	1.20	0.63	1.60	0.76	1.19	-1.17
trimester		-	-	-	-	-0.87;4.07	-	-3.59;5.97	-
		2.30;0.09	1.21;1.36	1.34;3.75	2.23;3.49		1.97;3.49		6.36;4.01
Inhaled corticosteroids	3 <sup>rd</sup>	-2.55	-2.2	1.18	0.38	2.88	2.26	4.48	2.69
trimester		-3.65;-	-3.38;-	-	-	0.60;5.16	-	-0.10;9.07	-
		1.46	1.02	1.08;3.43	2.11;2.88		0.25;4.76		2.27;7.65

**Table 5.** Factors associated to functional normal score ranges in the psychological tests in the simple (OR and 95% CI) and multiple logistic regression (aOR and 95%CI) analyses (all variables in the table included in the multiple analysis).

	Family Apgar		Edinburgh week 20		Edinburgh week 32	
	OR	aOR	OR	aOR	OR	aOR
Asthma	0.98	0.89	0.88	0.82	1.10	1.14
	0.54;1.78	0.44;1.82	0.50;1.55	0.42;1.62	0.58;2.09	0.58;2.26
Living area						
Urban	1	1	1	1	1	1
Residential	0.86	0.88	0.74	0.82	0.91	0.98
	0.50;1.48	0.47;1.64	0.44;1.25	0.45;1.50	0.50;1.66	0.52;1.85
Countryside	0.61	0.55	1.17	1.13	1.27	1.20
	0.33;1.14	0.28;1.08	0.71;1.91	0.66;1.94	0.72;2.22	0.67;2.14
Civil state						
Married or stable partner	1	1	1	1	1	1
Single	0.60	0.70	1.95	1.66	3.61	2.80
	0.07;4.96	0.08;6.29	0.46;8.23	0.34;8.13	0.80;16.38	0.57;13.4
Divorced/separated	NA	NA	3.24	2.91	4.82	4.69
			0.20;52.14	0.17;48.9	0.30;77.64	0.27;81.6
Age	1.01	1.05	0.99	1.04	0.97	1.01
	0.97;1.05	1.00;1.11	0.95;1.02	0.99;1.09	0.93;1.01	0.96;1.07
Education						
None, basic or primary (8 years or less)	1	1	1	1	1	1
Middle or incomplete secondary (9-11 years)	1.25	1.76	0.95	0.83	1.04	1.01
	0.60;2.60	0.76;4.14	0.46;1.97	0.36;1.92	0.45;2.37	0.42;2.41
Complete middle or secondary and high (12+y)	0.62	0.87	0.89	1.07	0.82	0.90
	0.32;1.23	0.39;1.95	0.46;1.70	0.51;2.24	0.39;1.70	0.40;2.00
University	0.41	0.65	0.39	0.46	0.46	0.72
	0.21;0.77	0.28;1.50	0.21;0.74	0.21;1.01	0.23;0.92	0.31;1.69
Social class						
I-II	1	1	1	1	1	1
III	1.45	1.23	1.33	0.82	1.78	1.61
	0.86;2.46	0.66;2.30	0.82;2.16	0.46;1.48	1.02;3.15	0.86;3.01
IV-V	2.19	1.59	1.76	0.90	1.95	1.49
	1.31;3.66	0.79;3.20	1.08;2.87	0.47;1.76	1.08;3.51	0.72;3.08
No current job	1.79	1.00	2.27	1.36	2.54	2.03
	1.07;3.00	0.49;2.02	1.43;3.60	0.73;2.10	1.46;4.44	1.02;4.01
Previous abortions	1.15	0.80	1.06	1.00	0.93	0.99

	0.91;1.45	0.52;1.21	0.85;1.33	0.67;1.50	0.70;1.25	0.63;1.58
	1.21	1.27	1.10	0.97	0.96	0.87
Previous pregnancies	1.05;1.40	0.94;1.71	0.96;1.27	0.73;1.30	0.80;1.15	0.63;1.20
	2.76	2.54	2.25	2.14	2.39	2.03
Current smoker	1.78;4.23	1.54;4.20	1.48;3.40	1.32;3.47	1.49;3.84	1.22;3.38
	1.49	0.59	1.18	1.29	0.67	0.11
Inhaled corticosteroids 1 <sup>st</sup> trimester	0.30;7.49	0.04;7.76	0.23;5.91	0.18;9.33	0.08;5.48	0.01;2.04
	1.48	0.67	1.33	1.32	2.40	4.43
Inhaled corticosteroids 2 <sup>nd</sup> trimester	0.40;5.61	0.10;4.61	0.35;5.09	0.26;6.69	0.71;8.10	0.88;18.0
	6.28	8.34	0.96	0.70	1.91	1.84
Inhaled corticosteroids 3 <sup>rd</sup> trimester	2.14;18.46	2.08;33.5	0.26;3.50	0.15;3.30	0.59;6.20	0.44;7.75

NA: not available due to very low number of individuals.

4. Discussion

In the present study of depression and anxiety in asthmatic pregnant woman, as compared to non-asthmatic peers, the results show that those psychological conditions are equally frequent in both populations. To the best of our knowledge, the information about the relationship between psychological and allergic diseases in pregnant women is very limited. Most of the found literature focuses on this association but in the general population, not specifically in pregnancy, showing that asthma, especially when severe, is associated to depression 38.

Factors that, in the present study, have significantly affected psychological tests results are mainly socio-demographic ones, such as study level, social class and civil state. However, it should be underlined that there was no individual divorced or separated in the group of asthmatic pregnant women, rendering any result in this category of civil state meaningless. As compared to no or basic educations, pregnant women with university studies tended to have functioning families in the bivariate analyses, although the power of the association dropped when the results were adjusted (aOR;95%CI for unfunctional family in this category: 0.65, 0.28;1.50). The same trend was found for the results of the Edinburgh tests at 20 and 32 weeks of gestation (aOR;95%CI for depression/anxiety in this category at week 20: 0.46, 0.21;1.01 and at week 32: 0.72 0.31;1.69). Following the same direction, lower social classes tended to be associated to unfunctional families or to have depression or anxiety as compared to higher. As previously, the power of the association dropped when variables were adjusted. In the general population, high job control (more frequent among higher rank jobs included in social classes I and II) reduces the risk of developing depression even when correcting for socio-demographic factors and previous psychiatric diseases [26,27]. Similarly, university studies are protective factor for depression and anxiety and accumulates throughout life [28]. Thus, in this regard, pregnant women behave similarly to the general population and asthma does not seem to be a factor actuating differently to drive to family dysfunctionality and personal depression or anxiety than in the general population.

A factor that does not seem to be related to higher rates of depression or anxiety and family dysfunctionality, in our population of pregnant mothers, is previous abortions. Previous abortions have been shown to be a factor predisposing to depression in the following pregnancy in some populations [29–31]. To what extent asthma, which is not considered in the aforementioned studies, could have modified their results is difficult to say, but under the light of the results of the present study it does not seem that the consideration of that condition would have greatly modified the results. Why previous abortions in the women in our study are not associated to test scores pointing to depression or anxiety and family dysfunctionality is probably explained by the differences in the sample population, in the tests used and in the relatively low number of women with previous abortions. Anyhow, the number of abortions in asthmatic and non-asthmatic women was similar and, for the aims of this study, abortions did not modify the effect (if any) of asthma in the different psychological tests.



Older age and smoking habits were somewhat associated to scores indicating depression/anxiety and family dysfunctionality. For instance, per every additional year, the probability of a pregnant women having a score indicating family dysfunctionality increases about 5% (aOR 1.05, 1.00;1.11). Both the Edinburgh at 20 and 32 weeks and the STAI tests had similar results, which were not overtly significant from the statistics point of view but are quite close to it and showing a clear trend. Being a current smoker was clearly and consistently associated to scores out of the range of normality, doubling the probabilities of a score indicating depression or anxiety and family dysfunctionality. Smoking and older age have been shown to be associated to depression. As found by a systematic review which included 45 previous studies, depression was associated to smoking in adults, adolescents and even children 10-12 years of age[32]. Older age in our population probably means one or several previous children which might be combined with other accompanying circumstances such as economic constraints) that can make pregnant women more susceptible to depression [33]. In fact, there is positive high correlation (as expected) between older age and number of previous deliveries although asthma does not modify this correlation to any extent (data not shown).

The profile arisen here as pregnant mothers being more prone to non-normal test scores when being older, low social class, not having university studies and smokers looks quite like the one shown in the only study of the influence of asthma in the quality of life of pregnant mothers. The Preventing Atopy Dermatitis and Allergy in Children (PreventADALL) recruited 2697 pregnant women at the 18<sup>th</sup> week of gestation. Among the battery of questionnaires and tests, the researcher included the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) which was administered between 18<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup> weeks of gestation which was filled out by 2164. At mid pregnancy, those factors significantly different between those with low (<29) and high (≥29) PSS were: high income (higher proportion in lower PSS); previously pregnant (higher proportion in higher PSS); cigarette smoking (higher proportion in higher PSS). Asthmatics, although showing higher proportion in PSS≥29, it was not statistically significant. Asthma and other allergic diseases did contribute to significant stress when they were severely symptomatic. We did not have the information about the degree of asthma control of the pregnant women in our population, and the use of inhaled corticosteroids did not show any consistent result in any of the three trimesters of pregnancy. Although using different tests to measure stress, the results by Olsson et al. from the PreventADALL cohort show a similar picture to the one of the NELA cohort.

There is still a considerable room for specifically designed studies to address this important question of how asthma and other allergic diseases and their control could affect psychological wellbeing of pregnant women and its effects on the offspring.

## 5. Conclusions

Using three different psychological tests at two time points in pregnancy, in a cohort of women from the general population, it does not seem that asthma is associated with depression or anxiety or family dysfunctionality. In fact, the associations, found in the present study, of tests scores out of the range with sociodemographic factors, are quite coincidental with previous studies in the general population.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, L.G-M. and E.M.; methodology, L.G-M., E.M. and C. L-S.; software, E.M.; validation, P.C. F-P. and C. L-S.; formal analysis, L. G-M.; investigation, L. G-M, E.M. and the NELA study group; resources, L. G-M, E.M. and the NELA study group; data curation, E.M.; writing—original draft preparation, L-G-M. and P.C. S-P.; writing—review and editing, E.M. and C. L-S.; visualization, L. G-M; supervision, L. G-M. and E.M.; project administration, E.M.; funding acquisition, L.G-M and E.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by “Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII)” and Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities (Grants: MS14/00046; CP14/00046; PIE15/00051; PI16/00422; FI17/00086; PI19/00863; FPU18/00545); and “Fondos FEDER, Fundación Séneca, Agencia de Ciencia y Tecnología” Murcia Region, Spain (Grant: 20877/PI/18). The APC was funded by the Dpt. of Pediatrics, University of Murcia.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the “Virgen de la Arrixaca” University Clinical Hospital (report 9/14) on 29/09/2014).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** The study protocol including all questionnaires and measurements of all visits already performed are available in the NELA website (<https://nela.imib.es/>) upon request to the corresponding author. The NELA data, including de-identified individual participant data, will be made available to interested researchers by the NELA Steering Committee. Access will require a formal request, a written proposal, and a signed data access agreement.

**Acknowledgments:** We are extremely grateful to all the families who participate in this study, the hospital technicians, midwives and gynecologists for recruitment and following them, and the whole NELA team for their commitment and their role in the success of the study. We want to particularly acknowledge the BioBank “Biobanco en Red de la Región de Murcia” (PT17/0015/0038 & PT20/00109) integrated in the Spanish National Biobanks Network (B.000859) for its collaboration in creating and maintaining the NELA biobank”.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

**NELA study group:** ME Candel-Torralba<sup>1</sup>, L Garcia-Marcos (PI)<sup>1,2,17</sup>, MJ Gimenez-Banon<sup>1</sup>, A Martinez-Torres<sup>1,2,17</sup>, E Morales (PI)<sup>1,3</sup>, V Perez-Fernandez<sup>1,3,17</sup>, M Sanchez-Solis<sup>1,2,17</sup>, A Nieto<sup>1,5</sup>, MT Prieto-Sanchez<sup>1,5</sup>, M Sanchez-Ferrer<sup>1,5</sup>, L Fernandez-Palacios<sup>1,6</sup>, VP Gomez-Gomez<sup>1,6</sup>, C Martinez-Gracia<sup>1,6</sup>, P Peso-Echarri<sup>1,6</sup>, G Ros-Berrueto<sup>1,6</sup>, M Santaella-Pascual<sup>1,6</sup>, A Gazquez<sup>1,7</sup>, E Larque<sup>1,7,18</sup>, MT Pastor-Fajardo<sup>1,7</sup>, M Sanchez-Campillo<sup>1,7</sup>, A Serrano-Munuera<sup>1,7</sup>, M Zornoza-Moreno<sup>1,7,20</sup>, P Jimenez-Guerrero<sup>1,8</sup>, E Adoamnei<sup>1,3</sup>, JJ Arense-Gonzalo<sup>1,3</sup>, J Mendiola<sup>1,3</sup>, F Navarro-Lafuente<sup>1,3</sup>, AM Torres-Cantero<sup>1,3</sup>, C Salvador-Garcia<sup>9</sup>, M Segovia-Hernández<sup>1,10</sup>, G Yagüe-Guirao<sup>1,10</sup>, PL Valero-Guillén<sup>1,11</sup>, FV Aviles-Plaza<sup>1,12</sup>, J Cabezas-Herrera<sup>1,12</sup>, A Martinez-Lopez<sup>1,12</sup>, M Martinez-Villanueva<sup>1,12</sup>, JA Noguera-Velasco<sup>1,12</sup>, A Franco-Garcia<sup>1,13</sup>, AM Garcia-Serna<sup>1,13</sup>, T Hernandez-Caselles<sup>1,13,17</sup>, E Martin-Orozco<sup>1,13,17</sup>, M Norte-Muñoz<sup>1,13</sup>, M Canovas<sup>1,13</sup>, E Cantero-Cano<sup>1</sup>, T de Diego<sup>1,13</sup>, JM Pastor<sup>1,13</sup>, RA Sola-Martínez<sup>1,13</sup>, A Esteban-Gil<sup>1,14</sup>, JT Fernández-Breis<sup>1,15</sup>, MV Alcántara<sup>16</sup>, S Hernández<sup>16</sup>, C López-Soler<sup>4,16</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Biomedical Research Institute of Murcia, IMIB-Arrixaca, Murcia, Spain; <sup>2</sup>Paediatric Respiratory and Allergy Units, “Virgen de la Arrixaca” Children’s University Clinical Hospital, University of Murcia, Spain; <sup>3</sup>Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Murcia, Spain; <sup>4</sup>Paediatric Psychology Unit, “Virgen de la Arrixaca” Children’s University Clinical Hospital, University of Murcia, Spain; <sup>5</sup>Obstetrics & Gynaecology Service, “Virgen de la Arrixaca” University Clinical Hospital, University of Murcia, Spain; <sup>6</sup>Food Science and Technology Department, Veterinary Faculty of Veterinary, University of Murcia, Spain; <sup>7</sup>Department of Physiology, Faculty of Biology, Campus Mare Nostrum. University of Murcia, Spain; <sup>8</sup>Regional Atmospheric Modelling Group, Department of Physics, University of Murcia, Spain; <sup>9</sup>Microbiology Service, General University Hospital Consortium, University of Valencia, Spain; <sup>10</sup>Microbiology Service, University Clinical Hospital “Virgen de la Arrixaca”, University of Murcia, Spain; <sup>11</sup>Microbiology and Genetics Department, University of Murcia, Spain; <sup>12</sup>Molecular Therapy and Biomarkers Research Group, Clinical Analysis Service, University Clinical Hospital “Virgen de la Arrixaca”, University of Murcia, Spain; <sup>13</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology B and Immunology, University of Murcia, Spain; <sup>14</sup>Foundation for Healthcare Training & Research of the Region of Murcia (FFIS); <sup>15</sup>Department of Informatics and Systems, University of Murcia, Spain; <sup>16</sup>Paediatric and Adolescent Clinical Psychology University Research Group (GUIIA-PC), University of Murcia, Spain; <sup>17</sup>Network of Asthma and Adverse and Allergic Reactions (ARADyAL), Spain; <sup>18</sup>Maternal and Children Health Network (SAMID III), Spain; <sup>19</sup>Preventive Medicine Service, “Virgen de la Arrixaca” University Clinical Hospital, University of Murcia, Spain; <sup>20</sup>“Vistalegre-La Flota” Health Center, Health System of Murcia (SMS), Murcia, Spain.

## References

1. Guía Española para el Manejo del Asma. GEMA 5.2. Madrid: Luzan 5; 2020.
2. Mortimer K, Lesosky M, Garcia-Marcos L, Asher MI, Pearce N, Ellwood E et al. The burden of asthma, hay fever and eczema in adults in 17 countries: GAN Phase I study. *Eur Respir J* **2022**; 60. 10.1183/13993003.02865-2021
3. Garcia-Marcos L, Asher MI, Pearce N, Ellwood E, Bissell K, Chiang CY et al. The burden of asthma, hay fever and eczema in children in 25 countries: GAN Phase I study. *Eur Respir J* **2022**; 60. 10.1183/13993003.02866-2021
4. Virchow JC. Asthma and pregnancy. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med* **2012**; 33:630-644. 10.1055/s-0032-1326961

5. Grosso A, Locatelli F, Gini E, Albicini F, Tirelli C, Cerveri I et al. The course of asthma during pregnancy in a recent, multicase-control study on respiratory health. *Allergy, Asthma & Clinical Immunology* **2018**; 14:16. 10.1186/s13223-018-0242-0
6. Shebl E, Chakraborty RK. Asthma in Pregnancy. *StatPearls*. Treasure Island (FL)2023.
7. Huang J, Namazy J. Asthma in Pregnancy. *JAMA* **2023**; 329:1981-1982. 10.1001/jama.2023.5588
8. Mendola P, Laughon SK, Männistö TI, Leishear K, Reddy UM, Chen Z et al. Obstetric complications among US women with asthma. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* **2013**; 208:127.e121-128. 10.1016/j.ajog.2012.11.007
9. Grzeskowiak LE, Clifton VL. Asthma management during pregnancy: how long before we can all breathe a little easier? *J Asthma* **2015**; 52:1020-1022. 10.3109/02770903.2015.1040494
10. Arias D, Saxena S, Verguet S. Quantifying the global burden of mental disorders and their economic value. *EClinicalMedicine* **2022**; 54:101675. 10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101675
11. Bennett HA, Einarson A, Taddio A, Koren G, Einarson TR. Prevalence of depression during pregnancy: systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol* **2004**; 103:698-709. 10.1097/01.AOG.0000116689.75396.5f
12. Woody CA, Ferrari AJ, Siskind DJ, Whiteford HA, Harris MG. A systematic review and meta-regression of the prevalence and incidence of perinatal depression. *J Affect Disord* **2017**; 219:86-92. 10.1016/j.jad.2017.05.003
13. Vesga-López O, Blanco C, Keyes K, Olfson M, Grant BF, Hasin DS. Psychiatric disorders in pregnant and postpartum women in the United States. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **2008**; 65:805-815. 10.1001/archpsyc.65.7.805
14. Biaggi A, Conroy S, Pawlby S, Pariante CM. Identifying the women at risk of antenatal anxiety and depression: A systematic review. *J Affect Disord* **2016**; 191:62-77. 10.1016/j.jad.2015.11.014
15. Powell H, McCaffery K, Murphy VE, Hensley MJ, Clifton VL, Giles W et al. Psychosocial outcomes are related to asthma control and quality of life in pregnant women with asthma. *J Asthma* **2011**; 48:1032-1040. 10.3109/02770903.2011.631239
16. Morales E, Alcantara-Lopez MV, Cabezas-Herrera J, de Diego T, Hernandez-Caselles T, Jimenez-Guerrero P et al. The Nutrition in Early Life and Asthma (NELA) birth cohort study: Rationale, design, and methods. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* **2022**; 36:310-324. 10.1111/ppe.12826
17. Smilkstein G. The family APGAR: a proposal for a family function test and its use by physicians. *J Fam Pract* **1978**; 6:1231-1239.
18. Smilkstein G, Ashworth C, Montano D. Validity and reliability of the family APGAR as a test of family function. *J Fam Pract* **1982**; 15:303-311.
19. Shao R, He P, Ling B, Tan L, Xu L, Hou Y et al. Prevalence of depression and anxiety and correlations between depression, anxiety, family functioning, social support and coping styles among Chinese medical students. *BMC Psychol* **2020**; 8:38. 10.1186/s40359-020-00402-8
20. Suarez Cuba MA, Alcalá Espinoza M. Apgar familiar: una herramienta para detectar disfunción familia. *Revista Médica La Paz* **2014**; 20:53-57.
21. Arias C L. El APGAR familiar en el cuidado primario de salud. *Colombia Medica* **1994**; 25:26-28.
22. Eberhard-Gran M, Eskild A, Tambs K, Opjordsmoen S, Samuelsen SO. Review of validation studies of the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* **2001**; 104:243-249. 10.1034/j.1600-0447.2001.00187.x
23. Bergink V, Kooistra L, Lambregtse-van den Berg MP, Wijnen H, Bunevicius R, van Baar A et al. Validation of the Edinburgh Depression Scale during pregnancy. *J Psychosom Res* **2011**; 70:385-389. 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2010.07.008
24. Knowles KA, Olatunji BO. Specificity of trait anxiety in anxiety and depression: Meta-analysis of the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory. *Clinical Psychology Review* **2020**; 82:101928. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2020.101928>
25. Domingo-Salvany A, Regidor E, Alonso J, Alvarez-Dardet C. [Proposal for a social class measure. Working Group of the Spanish Society of Epidemiology and the Spanish Society of Family and Community Medicine]. *Aten Primaria* **2000**; 25:350-363.
26. Almroth M, Hemmingsson T, Sörberg Wallin A, Kjellberg K, Burström B, Falkstedt D. Psychosocial working conditions and the risk of diagnosed depression: a Swedish register-based study. *Psychol Med* **2021**; 52:1-9. 10.1017/s003329172100060x
27. Madsen IEH, Nyberg ST, Magnusson Hanson LL, Ferrie JE, Ahola K, Alfredsson L et al. Job strain as a risk factor for clinical depression: systematic review and meta-analysis with additional individual participant data. *Psychol Med* **2017**; 47:1342-1356. 10.1017/s003329171600355x
28. Bjelland I, Krokstad S, Mykletun A, Dahl AA, Tell GS, Tambs K. Does a higher educational level protect against anxiety and depression? The HUNT study. *Soc Sci Med* **2008**; 66:1334-1345. 10.1016/j.socscimed.2007.12.019
29. Bowen A, Muhajarine N. Antenatal Depression. *The Canadian Nurse* **2006**; 102:27-30.
30. Marcinko V, Marcinko D, Dordevic V, Oreskovic S. Anxiety and Depression in Pregnant Women with Previous History of Spontaneous Abortion. *Coll Antropol* **2011**; 35:225-228.

31. Couto ER, Couto E, Vian B, Gregório Z, Nomura ML, Zaccaria R et al. Quality of life, depression and anxiety among pregnant women with previous adverse pregnancy outcomes. *Sao Paulo Med J* **2009**; 127:185-189. 10.1590/s1516-31802009000400002
32. Weinberger AH, Kashan RS, Shpigel DM, Esan H, Taha F, Lee CJ et al. Depression and cigarette smoking behavior: A critical review of population-based studies. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse* **2017**; 43:416-431. 10.3109/00952990.2016.1171327
33. Manuel JI, Martinson ML, Bledsoe-Mansori SE, Bellamy JL. The influence of stress and social support on depressive symptoms in mothers with young children. *Soc Sci Med* **2012**; 75:2013-2020. 10.1016/j.socscimed.2012.07.034

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.