

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# Experimental One Side Choppers Relating Neuro-Muscular Human Abilities to Heart Rates and Technological Evolution

---

[Igor Parra](#)\*, Maria Luisa Morales, Francisco Javier Mar, Eudald Carbonell

Posted Date: 30 June 2023

doi: 10.20944/preprints202306.2247.v1

Keywords: hominins; chopper; human evolution; heart rate; piano playing; technome



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## Article

# Experimental One Side Choppers Relating Neuro-Muscular Human Abilities to Heart Rates and Technological Evolution

Igor Parra <sup>1</sup>, Maria Luisa Morales <sup>2,3</sup>, Javier Mar <sup>4,5,6</sup> and Eudald Carbonell <sup>1,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Fundación Atapuerca, Burgos, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Melbourne Conservatorium of Music, The University of Melbourne, Australia

<sup>3</sup> FIMTE, Centro Internacional de Estudios de Música de Tecla Española, Almería, Spain

<sup>4</sup> AP-OSI Research Unit. Alto Deba Integrated Health Care Organization. Mondragon, Spain

<sup>5</sup> Kronikune Health Research Institute. Bilbao, Spain

<sup>6</sup> Biodonostia Health Research Institute, San Sebastian-Donostia, Spain

<sup>7</sup> IPHES Edificio W3, Campus Sescelades URV, Zona Educacional, 4, 43007 Tarragona, Spain

\* Correspondence: Igor Parra; igorparra@fundacionatapuerca.es

**Abstract:** The length of time it takes to experimentally make one-sided choppers, as found in the fossil record, bears a linear relationship to the knapping process of fabricating them. In addition, this temporal frame appears to be related to human heart rates measured as beats per minute, which act as a physiological metronome. We achieved these observations assuming that any paleolithic one-sided chopper has the information needed to estimate quantitatively the number of strikes on it. The experimental data allow us to establish the total timing needed for the standard fabricating of any one-sided chopper. We discuss issues derived from these experimental results, showing the evolution of human neurological abilities from 2.4 million years ago to the Modern period through the duration of time needed for making one chopper to that needed to play a 19th-century music score on a piano. Given that the neuronal and physiological distance between both actions differs by a factor of 6, we propose the concept of “technome” to measure human evolution by using methodological homogeneous metrics applied to these two human technologic objects, the chopper and the piano.

**Keywords:** hominins; chopper; heart rate; piano playing; technome

## 1. Introduction

The role of lithic tools as a key driver of the adaptive changes that occurred during the late Pliocene and early Pleistocene eras is found in much of the literature [1]. Evidence is consistent about the role of lithic tools in the hominins' food supply and in the increases in their body size. Thus, research has focused on the training process that allowed hominins to ascertain the know-how to produce tools, such as choppers, in a systematic, repetitive industrial way [2,3].

While many hominins have been viewed as under evolutionary pressure to create and use tools [4], those of genera *Pithecanthropus*, *Australopithecus* and *Homo* display from 3.3 million years ago a long, constant and unique paleontological-archaeological record of continuously improved lithic tools [4,5]. These instruments made by hominins have been found by archaeologists to be morpho-functional, exo-somatic artefacts produced in a similar way, and they have been associated with the evolution of human cognition [6,7].

The long transition, at least from 3.3 to 2.4 Ma (million years) ago, from a less accurate to shorter, ordered and efficient chains of strikes that produced serial lithic tools culminated in one-sided choppers at a very critical period of challenging climate change controlled by new global dynamics in the state of water. These Plio-Quaternary climatic changes, namely, the glacial-interglacial cycles [8,9], built up the Eastern African scenarios where the hominin bands [10,11] evolved and where there is evidence that, besides being associated with functions like battering, the one-sided chopper is related to obtaining high-quality proteins derived from efficiently scavenging the long bones of big mammals.

Therefore, we present a set of pebble choppers not only experimentally produced but also analysed by the authors according to methods for quantifying the timing associated with the repetitive, mechanical process for producing early choppers. This timing is an actual quantitative signal that we can reproduce, analyse and validate for those early humans. We have both chosen and centered our inquiry on one-sided choppers, the very first serial instrument made by hominins, because the total number of flakes chipped off the pebble in the fabricating of each lithic tool can be established without any overlap of strikes made during the reduction process. Before this apparently simple tool appeared, there is a fossil record of pre-choppers tools showing that one-sided choppers resulted from an evolutionary technological trend [12,13] in a particular hominin group (Pithecanthropus, Australopithecine and early Homo species) coinciding with and/or triggered by climate change [8]. Although these first serial industrial lithic tools are not prehistoric instruments for playing music, in the sense described by d'Ericco [14] they can be studied with the use of musical techniques.

The need to obtain precise metrics in counting the number of strikes on the chopper arises from clinical evidence [15] showing that rhythmic stimulation has profound effects, as in coordinated sensory input to entrain timing functions, especially in motor control; rhythm provides temporal structures through metrical organisation, predictability and patterning. Reflexively, the repetition of nearly identical mechanical movements produces a repetitive series of sounds, such as those made by the short discrete chains of successive strikes involved in the act of fabricating lithic tools. The intangible result is a sequence of percussive sounds, that is, a rhythm pattern relating sounds to arm movements.

## 2. Materials and Methods

As the aim of this study was to quantify the entire process producing early one-sided choppers through metrics relating time and number of blows, we applied an actualistic approach [16,17] to reproduce fabrication of Pliocene-early Pleistocene artefacts. We analysed this process by using musical and statistical methods. External validation compared the results of our experiment with actual choppers to examine how well they were fitted to the original [18,19]. We contend that the finished early one-sided chopper represents the summation or paleo-score record of the sequence of blows and sounds necessary for its production. It is assumed that any one-sided chopper in our experimental set adheres to the same operative chain for one-sided chopper production as existed between approximately -2.5 million and 0.75 million years ago [4].

Our method of fabrication employed a level of technical knowledge consistent with that existing during Mode 1. It consisted of a continuous chain of successive strikes from a stone hammer leveled against a rounded pebble stone; the number of blows struck were intended to produce a serrated-continuous cutting edge on one side of the lithic platform used as a pounder [5]. We measured the time (tempo) speed at which our one-sided choppers were produced. The rhythm refers to patterns of temporal distribution of actions which resulted in sounds [6]. These have been transcribed into explicit divisions of time and classified in intervallic time systems. In this paper we use only the total time needed to finish each experimental one-sided pebble chopper. Other characteristics of sound, such as rhythm, pitch and intensity, are not considered.

To establish a time standard for the singular physical action of striking early one-sided choppers, an independent set of heartbeat profiles was employed according to standard statistical methods. We generated two sets of random values for time between heartbeats in modern humans and chimps from an inverse-Gaussian distribution with parameters (mean and standard deviation) obtained from the literature [20–22]. This function returns the inverse of the normal cumulative distribution for the specified mean and standard deviation [20] and allowed obtaining the samples for humans and chimps by incorporating a random parameter for probability.

### The Experiment


In 2008 and 2009, we employed a set of 101 chopper tools in 5 rounds of field experiments conducted by 3 different subjects at 3 different times in 3 different locations within Spain. The subjects

were asked to make one-sided choppers from raw materials that they selected from the environment surrounding the experimental workstations.

The same trained musician of our team (MLM) transcribed the sounds produced during the experiment in the field and the laboratory into modern musical notation. Additionally, the sounds produced in fabricating the lithic tools were recorded by means of a digital audio system. The total resulting audio data were further analysed by means of Windows Media Audio (WMA) software and a digital metronome. Each trial was measured according to the following parameters:

- Total time required to make a one-sided chopper measured in seconds (WMA)
- Tempo measured in beats per minute (BPM) (digital metronome and WMA)
- Number of blows and silent intervals involved in making a one-sided pebble chopper tool (noted in standard musical notation). The quarter note was taken as a basic unit of measurement (bpm=1 quarter note).

Every strike was represented by a quarter note and every silent interval by a rest. This resulted in a score being produced for every chopper tool, wherein the 3 parameters were recorded. Every score was further processed in Excel and with KaleidaGraph software (Figure 1)



**Figure 1.** The human heart as the most probable metronome controlling the temporal frame at which experimental one-sided choppers were made. It is shown that chimp heart rates display almost the same beats per minute (BPM) as human heart rates (HHR).

#### Analysis

We regard every blow or strike in the operative chain of strikes involved in producing choppers as one beat or element of a cumulative group, where the total sum of beats or elements yields the total time of execution or fabrication of the lithic tool. Using regression analysis, we quantified the time needed to produce a chopper as a function of the number of strikes. Additionally, the time span between beats in the operative chain has been measured.

If:  $\beta$  = blow or silence indicating a given temporal interval

t = time observed during the experiment

then:

n

$$\sum \beta t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 + \dots + \beta_n$$

$t > 0.45$  secs

We obtained two series of data: the total production time of each lithic tool and the rhythms attendant to its production. As noted in Table SM1, we measured with accuracy to hundredth of a second, such as 0.45 seconds, the timing involved in the fabrication of choppers.

According to this method, we can, for example, obtain within a matrix of 485 strikes, 4 time-sound data from chopper n°22, which displays a constant speed (tempo) of 84 BPM including a pause of 84 BPM between strike n°2 and n°4 (Table SM1).

Notation of times in the lab: (60/84+ 60/84+ 60/84+ 60/84)

Temporal sum of experimental chopper 22 = 1st blow 0.715secs; 2nd blow 1.42secs; 3rd blow 2.14secs; 4th blow 2.85secs. Total= 2.85 secs.

Validation

Figures SM4 and SM5 (supplementary material) show the goodness of fit with actual choppers. If the results are adjusted to original artefacts, we can assume that the fabrication process followed the same steps and therefore use it to generate information, through long temporal intervals, about the determinants that drove hominins to incorporate the results into their behaviour.

### 3. Results

In 2008 and 2009, we produced a set of 101 choppers in 5 rounds of field experiments conducted by 3 different subjects at 3 different times in 3 different locations within Spain. The subjects were asked to make one-sided choppers from limestone raw materials previously selected from the site surrounding the experimental workstation.

Experiment 1 was carried out on the morning of November 14, 2008, on the river embankment dating from the Quaternary Period in Tarragona. Subject A (EC, a male co-author of this paper) used limestone rocks to make six choppers with a single quartzite hammer. He is highly trained in lithic technologies and has more than 40 years of experience in reproducing prehistoric lithic instruments.

Experiment 2 was carried out on March 21, 2009, at the University of Tarragona by Subject A. Here, limestone rocks were selected in advance by students. Additionally, two different hammers were used: one in limestone for producing choppers 7 through 15 and 25 through 57, and one in quartzite for tools 16 through 24.

Experiment 3 was carried out on the morning of June 23, 2009, in the archaeological park of Atapuerca. Quartzite stones were collected in advance at the nearby fluvial terrace dating from the Tertiary Period. Subject A made a second selection in terms of workable sizes immediately before the percussion of the stones. Three quartzite hammers were used here, as the two earlier examples broke during the experiment with choppers 58 through 82.

Experiment 4 was carried out by Subject B (female) on the afternoon of June 23, 2009, in the archaeological park of Atapuerca. From similar pre-selected stones, Subject B made choppers 83 through 92 using a single quartzite hammer.

Experiment 5 was performed by Subject C (female) at the same workstation as subject B approximately 15 minutes after subject B finished her rounds of percussion. A single quartzite hammerstone was used in the fabrication of choppers 93 through 101. Both subjects B and C had far less experience in reproducing ancient lithic instruments than subject A.

Out of a total of 101 pebble choppers, 9 (8.9%) were broken during the experiment. Production of the 92 one-sided choppers required a total of 485 strikes (Table SM1).

1. It has been observed that a chopper may be produced in less than 15 seconds. In our experiment, the observed temporal limits for making any given chopper were a minimum of 3 seconds and a maximum of 12 seconds, regardless of the level of previous experience or the gender of the subjects striking the stones.

2. We applied linear regression methods to predict the time spent producing each chopper as a function of the number of blows. The resulting general equation was (Figure):

$$\text{Time of execution} = -0.760 + 0.988 * \text{Number of blows} \quad (1)$$



This analysis did not distinguish whether the chopper was made by someone with or without experience. The regression equation 1 explains 85.9% of the variation in total time.


Counting the blows in the production of any experimental chopper displays a linear equation relating both time and strikes. Therefore, counting the number of flakes observed on any fossil of a one-sided chopper allows us to obtain, by using the linear equation derived from our experimental matrix, the total time of execution of that lithic tool.

3. We found that the time and number of strikes spent making a chopper appears to correlate with the human heartbeat (Figure 1). To show the relationship between the timing involved in the fabrication of choppers and the cardiac rhythm, we compared the timing of our experimental chopper blows with a completely independent set of modern human heart rate (HHR) profiles. For the profiles, we used a published survey of 7746 healthy male Frenchmen, aged 42 to 53 years, carried out from 1967 to 1972 [16]. Then, 485 random values from the observed HHR data were processed through a Normal Inverse Gaussian (NIG) function [22,23]. They are shown in Table SM2.

4. Discussion

As both genera Pan and Homo display analogous heart rates [24], one can assume that the heart rates of modern humans do not differ markedly from those of the early species of our genus.

When we take into account the heart rates of modern humans (Figure 1), we obtain temporal boundaries that appear to be related to our set of experimental strikes (Figure 2). Significantly, scholars have already noted the role of the heartbeat – whether high or low - in framing neurological reactions of the human brain [25]. Our experiment shows that the timing of the production chain for a one-sided chopper (min-max 45-120 beats per minute [BPM]) is related to a physiological temporal frame, which we postulate to be that of the human heartbeat (min-max 50-120 BPM, with a mean of 68 BPM and standard deviation [SD] of 9.1).



**Figure 2.** Relationship between number of blows, duration in seconds during piano playing (Johann Baptist Cramer sonata 1) and one-sided chopper manufacture: in 4 seconds the one-sided chopper is fabricated by 5 strikes or percussion beats; meanwhile, on a piano a classical score can be performed in 30 strikes or beats.

Given this, it appears that early humans produced choppers through short, rapid sequences of strikes at a pace analogous to their heartbeat. Overwhelmingly controlled by fast and short neuromuscular efforts, these working sequences yielded the first serial tools through discrete and

sustained strikes accompanied by their instantly resultant sounds, paced at almost one beat per second (Figure 2 and Table SM1).

Our experiments reveal in a measured way the simple sound patterns as actually heard by early humans during the fabrication of industrially similar lithic tools.

Thus, we can postulate that these quick sequences of strikes and sounds developed precise causal neurological relationships in the hominin brain, as they were constantly repeated and heard by early and modern humans over a span of more than two million years, from approximately -2.5 up to 0.01 Ma (million years), since one-sided choppers from Early Holocene times have been found even in fossil records in both North and South America [26–28]

During more than 0.75 Ma, lithic tools predating Olduvai one-sided choppers [4,29] strengthened the causal relationship between arm movements and the size and weight (depending on hardness and density) of both the lithic hammer and the lithic platform from which short sequences of strikes yielded flakes. Thus, the one-sided chopper appears to be the final, and optimal, product of a continuous experimental chain of trial-and-error strikes on stone materials, producing flakes and other pre-industrial serial lithic tools [12]. One-sided choppers, as the final result of the chain of strikes, became the first lithic tools made in an industrial way, where a few strikes produced a final and powerful multi-use tool.

Thus, we suggest that these serial sounds of work probably acted as sensory attractors [30], helping to improve the coordination of the nerve-muscle control systems through not only broadcast but also auditory reception of these short sound chains. The repeated act of striking stone against stone produces a sound pattern. The time-bound sense of anticipation related to the production of each sound regulates the action of the arm striking the hammerstone on the stone platform [11]. From examination of the clinical evidence regarding neurological therapies, it has been determined that sounds have a strong impact on arousal and priming of the motor system to set it into states of readiness through reticulospinal pathways on the brain stem and spinal cord level [24].


Moreover, it has also been observed that rhythm as a temporal ordering process creates anticipation and predictability [30,31]. Additionally, sound patterns provide temporal structures that aid in predicting, patterning and regulating physiological and behavioural functions. These, in turn, exert a significant influence on core elements of the perceptual mechanisms that form and shape the human memory.

Thus, to obtain a visual understanding of human evolution by means of both the method and results we have described, we compared the 4 seconds needed to make a one-sided chopper in five strikes with the number of strikes produced in 4 seconds by the coordinated arm, hand and finger movements of a trained musician on the mechanical keyboard of a piano. We selected nineteenth-century music [32] to be played on the piano, because it allows for the performance of very quick, coordinated, mechanical movements by means of neuromuscular action exclusively.

The comparison shows that the evolution of the brain, along with the evolution of technology during more than 2.5 million years, has increased by a factor of 6 the number of strikes that can be produced by a trained person in 4 seconds (Figure 2). The piano is played with such a number of strikes in a relatively short period of time in part because our modern brains are capable of such activity. Commensurate with this level of brain function, the highly skilled work of piano makers has produced a musical instrument of percussion consisting of hundreds of wood and metal sub parts [33] that can be successfully operated by a trained musician's coordinated arms, hands and fingers movements..

## 5. Conclusions

Conceptually, our method and analysis of how rapidly one-sided choppers were made provides one metric bridge, the "technome", between both the early and modern human ability to produce ordered and serial sounds at progressively faster rates (Figure 3). Given that we found a magnitude of a factor of 6 between both the early and modern ability to produce discrete and ordered sequences of rapid strikes or sounds, we propose that the Technome maps the temporal evolution and changes of human abilities through material and immaterial objects produced by men.



**Figure 3.** Description of Technome.

The paired "technome" of a one-sided chopper and a piano shows different metrics summarized through their ratios that display the magnitude of the human evolutionary trend: on the neurological right side of the figure  $30/5 = 6$  measures the ratio between the brain-arm-eye system of both early hominins and modern humans to produce ordered sequences of striking sounds during 4 seconds. The technological side of the figure  $2500/2$  (number of elements) and  $42/2$  (number of fabric processes) shows how many times the number of elements grew during the early prehistoric and modern periods and the equivalent number of fabric processes that grew through time, from simple lithic serial technologies to complex instruments of percussion that optimise the ability of the brain to coordinate every finger of both hands at short time spans: 4 seconds. The chronostratigraphy has been modified from Robert A Rhode in Global Warming Art Project from original data published by L.E. Lisiecki and Raymo (Ref. [9]).

In our case, the technome of the pair of objects consisting of a one-sided chopper and a piano score allows us to propose that hominin brains cultivated human-like abstraction during the fabrication of early stone tools. This abstraction was derived from the neuromuscular effects of the repeated stone strikes involved in the rapid, ordered sequence of arm-eye movements that produced early industrial choppers, as well as subsequently more complex instruments, along the human evolutionary path [34,35]. This implies the emergence of a complex cause-effect memory, as the chain of working strikes and their resultant sounds relates to specific nervous responses then linked to muscle-related arm movements with very precise mechanical effects. These, in turn, produced sensory inputs that built up sequences of temporal cause and effect (human-like abstraction) that were oriented towards reproducing similar lithic instruments. Over a period of more than two million years, the repetition of sequences of rapid chains of arm movements with their related strikes and sounds has aided and contributed to the emergence of memory as we know it today [36], as it is used by the modern pianist who rapidly plays-strikes chords.

In this way, the manufacture of pre-chopper tools, followed by more complex tools such as one-sided choppers, gave rise to strong cause-and-effect relationships, not only at the visual level of tool-making but also at the level of aural memory [37], assimilation of sound patterns and, therefore, the industrial production of very similar lithic tools. Thus, the modern neurological ability to play rapid musical scores is deeply rooted in the ability of early hominins to produce quick and ordered sequences of strikes-sounds that resulted in the industrial making of one-sided choppers.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at the website of this paper posted on Preprints.org. Table SM1: Values in the database containing each experimental chopper associated with its manufacturing quantified tempos, in seconds; Table SM2: Values in the database containing inverse normal Gaussian distribution for HHR and experimental strikes of each one-sided chopper. Figure SM4, chopper 1 and Figure SM5, chopper 2.

**Author Contributions:** IP Designed research, performed research:IP, LM and EC, analytic tools: JM, IP and LM; analyzed data: JM, IP and LM. Wrote the paper IP.



**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. T. Plummer, Flaked stones and old bones: biological and cultural evolution at the dawn of technology. *Am J Phys Anthropol. Suppl* 39,118-64 (2004).
2. JS1.Reti, Quantifying Oldowan Stone Tool Production at Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania. *PLoS One*,11(1):e0147352. (2016)
3. N. Geribàs, M. Mosquera, J.M Vergès, What novice knappers have to learn to become expert stone toolmakers. *Journal of Archeological Science*. 37, 2857-2870 (2010)
4. C. S. Feibel, C. J. Lepre, S. Prat, A. L., X. Boës, R. L. Quinn, M. Brenet, A. Arroyo, N Taylor, S. Clément, G. Daver, J. P. Brugal, L. Leakey, R. A. Mortlock, J. D. Wright, S. Okorodi, C. Kirwa, D. V. Kent, H. Roche. 3-million-year-old stone tools from Lomekwi 3, West Turkana, Kenya. *Nature*, 521,310–315 (2015) doi:10.1038/nature14464
5. S. Semaw. The World's Oldest Stone Artefacts from Gona, Ethiopia: Their Implications for Understanding Stone Technology and Patterns of Human Evolution Between 2.6–1.5 Million Years Ago. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 27, 1197–1214. (2000).
6. *Stone Tools and the Evolution of Human Cognition*. April Nowell, Iain Davidson. University Press of Colorado, (2010)
7. *Early Hominin Paleoecology*. Matt Sponheimer, Julia A. Lee-Thorp, Kaye E. Reed, Peter Ungar. (University Press of Colorado, 2013)
8. P. B. deMenocal. African climate change and faunal evolution during the Pliocene-Pleistocene. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 220 3-24. (2004)
9. L. E., Lisiecki, and M. E. Raymo, A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed benthic  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  records, *Paleoceanography*, 20, (2005) PA1003, doi:10.1029/2004PA001071; data: doi:10.1594/PANGAEA.704257.
10. R. Bobe, & A. K. Behrensmeyer, The expansion of grassland ecosystems in Africa in relation to mammalian evolution and the origin of the genus *Homo*. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 207, 399–420 (2004).
11. T. E. Cerling, J.G. Wynn, S. A. Andanje, M. I. Bird, D. K. Korir, N. E. Levin, W. Mace, A. N. Macharia, J. Quade & C. H. Remien. Woody cover and hominin environments in the past 6 million years. *Nature* 476, 51–56 (2011).
12. A. Delagnes, H. Roche, Late Pliocene hominid knapping skills: the case of Lokalalei 2C, West Turkana, Kenya. *J Hum Evol.* ;48:435-72, (2005)
13. S. P. McPherron, Z. Alemseged, C. W. Marean, J. G. Wynn, D. Reed, D. Geraads, R. Bobe & H. A. Béarat. Evidence for stone-tool-assisted consumption of animal tissues before 3.39 million years ago at Dikika, Ethiopia. *Nature* 466, 857–860 (2010).
14. F. d'Errico, C. Henshilwood, G. Lawson, M. Vanhaeren, A.-M. Tillier, M. Soressi, F. Bresson, B. Maureille, A. Nowell, J. Lakarra, L. Backwell, and M. Julien, Archaeological Evidence for the Emergence of Language, Symbolism, and Music—An Alternative Multidisciplinary Perspective. *Journal of World Prehistory*, 17, 1 (2003).
15. M.H. Thaut, D.A. Peterson, G.C. McIntosh, Temporal Entrainment of Cognitive Functions. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*.1060, 243-254 (2005).
16. T. Plummer, Flaked stones and old bones: biological and cultural evolution at the dawn of technology. *Am J Phys Anthropol. Suppl* 39,118-64 (2004).
17. B. L. Pobiner. New actualistic data on the ecology and energetics of hominin scavenging opportunities. *J Hum Evol.* 80, 1-16 (2015).
18. M. C. Pante, R. J. Blumenschine, Capaldo SD, Scott RS. Validation of bone surface modification models for inferring fossil hominin and carnivore feeding interactions, with reapplication to FLK 22, Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania. *J Hum Evol*.63, 395-407 (2012).

19. D. M. Eddy, W. Hollingworth, J. J. Caro, J. Tsevat, K. M. McDonald, J. B. Wong; ISPOR-SMDM Modeling Good Research Practices Task Force. Model transparency and validation: a report of the ISPOR-SMDM Modeling Good Research Practices Task Force-7. *Med Decis Making*. 32,733-43 (2012).
20. J. W. Pratt, H. Raiffa, R. Schlaifer, Introduction to statistical decision theory (MIT Press, Cambridge 1995).
21. Atencia R, Revuelta L, Somauroo JD, Shave RE. Electrocardiogram reference intervals for clinically normal wild-born chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*). *Am J Vet Res*. 76,688-93 (2015).
22. X. Jouven , J.P. Empana, P.J. Schwartz, M. Desnos , D. Courbon , P- Ducimetière, Heart-rate profile during exercise as a predictor of sudden death. *N Engl J Med*. 352, 1951-1958 (2005)
23. J.W. Pratt JW, H. Raiffa H, R. Schlaifer R, Introduction to statistical decision theory. (The MIT Press. Cambridge 1995).
24. R. Atencia, L. Revuelta, J.D. Somauroo, R.Sh,Shave, Electrocardiogram reference intervals for clinically normal wild-born chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*), *AJVR* . 76,688-693 (2014).
25. M.H. Thaut, Rhythm, Music, and the Brain: Scientific Foundations and Clinical Applications (Routledge, New York and London, 2007)
26. M.D. Leakey, Olduvai Gorge 3. Excavations in Beds I and II, 1960-1963. (Cambridge University Press, 1971).
27. G. Le Paige, Industrias líticas de San Pedro de Atacama. (Ed. Orbe, 1971)
28. T.D. Dillehay, C. Ocampo, J. Saavedra, A.O.Sawakuchi, R.M.Vega, M. Pino, New Archaeological Evidence for an Early Human Presence at Monte Verde, Chile. *PLoS ONE* 10(11): (2015) e0141923. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141923
29. D. Stout, S. Semaw, J. Rogers, D. Cauche, Technological variation in the earliest Oldowan from Gona, Afar, Ethiopia. *Journal of Human Evolution* 58: 474-491 (2010).
30. J. Baird. An oscillating cortical model of auditory attention and electrophysiology, *Neurocomputing* 26-27, pp 319-328; in: "Computational Neuroscience: Trends in Research", ed. James M. Bower, Elsevier (1999)
31. M.H. Thaut, G.C. McIntos. and V. Hoemberg, Neurobiological foundations of neurologic music therapy: rhythmic entrainment and the motor system. *Front Psychol*. 5, 1185 (2015).
32. J. Cramer, Piano Studio nº 1, in " Jean Baptiste Cramer: Fifty selected piano studies, (Book I, nº 1-12)" Ed. G. Schirmer, Inc. New York. (1899)
33. <http://www.madehow.com/Volume-3/Piano.html>
34. S.H. Ambrose, Paleolithic Technology and Human Evolution, *Science*. 291, 1748-1753, (2001), DOI: 10.1126/science.1059487
35. E. Carbonell, D., Barsky, R. Sala, and V. Celiberti, Structural continuity and technological change in Lower Pleistocene toolkits, *Quaternary International*, (2015) doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2015.04.008
36. P. Fries, Rhythmic attentional scanning, *Neuron* 5, 954-970 (2023) doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2023.02.015
37. A.C. Nobre and F. van Ede, Attention in flux, *Neuron* 5, 971-986 (2023), doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2023.02.032

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.