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#### Abstract

Suppose $\mathcal{G}$ is a graph with vertex-orbits $\mathbb{O}_{1}, \mathbb{O}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbb{O}_{t}$, and $\left|\mathbb{O}_{i}\right|$ denotes the cardinallity of $\mathbb{O}_{i}$. Then $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{G}}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{t} x^{\left|\mathbb{O}_{i}\right|}$ is called as orbit polynomial. It is well-known that this polynomial has a unique positive zero $\delta$ in the interval $[0,1]$. The aim of this paper is to study the specific properties of this polynomial and then we determine the location of this root for several classes of complex networks to compare with other graphical measures. Besides, we compare the unique positive zero measure with several well-known centrality graph measures.
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## 1. Introduction

The orbit polynomial of a graph is a polynomial that encodes information about the orbits of its automorphism group. Its roots and their properties have become an important tool for studying the symmetry structure and other structural features of networks in many different fields, including graph theory, physics, chemistry, and computer science.

The unique positive root of the orbit polynomial, denoted $\delta$, has gained particular attention due to its ability to serve as a structural descriptor for networks. $\delta$ has been shown to provide insight into the symmetry structure of a graph, with its value being closely related to the size and complexity of its automorphism group. This line of research has given rise to a range of applications of $\delta$ in network analysis, including the classification and comparison of different types of networks.

Overall, the study of the roots of the orbit polynomial of networks has opened up new avenues for research into the structural properties of networks, with many potential applications in various fields. Ongoing efforts are addressing open questions related to the computation, properties, and applications of the orbit polynomial and its roots, with the aim of furthering our understanding of the structure of networks and their applications in many different areas of science.

Recently, the concept of orbit polynomial [13] for a connected network $\mathcal{N}$ was defined as follows:

$$
\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{G}}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{t} x^{\left|\mathbb{O}_{i}\right|},
$$

where $\mathbb{O}_{1}, \mathbb{O}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbb{O}_{t}$ are all vertex-orbits of $\mathcal{N}$ and the cardinallity of an orbit is denoted by $\left|\mathbb{O}_{i}\right|$. Moreover, the modified version of this polynomial or $M$-orbit polynomial is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\star}(x)=1-\sum_{i=1}^{t} x^{\left|\mathbb{O}_{i}\right|} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The difinition of this polynomial is based on two concepts: the automorphism group and the vertex-orbits. It is well-known that the orbit polynomial has a unique positive zero $\delta$ in the interval $[0,1]$, see [13]

Ghorbani et al. in [20] generalized the concept of orbit polynomial as follows: Consider the action of permutation group $\Gamma$ acting on the set $X$. Then the generalized orbit polynomial is $\mathbb{O}_{\Gamma}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{t} c_{i} x^{\left|\mathbb{O}_{i}\right|}$, where $\mathbb{O}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbb{O}_{t}$ are all orbits of $\Gamma$. It is clear that for a graph $\mathcal{N}$ with automorphism group $\Gamma=\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{N}), \mathbb{O}_{\Gamma}$ equals with the ordinary orbit polynomial.

It should be noted that in computing the orbit polynomial of a network, not only the group $\Gamma$ is important but also the orbit-set $\mathcal{X}$ has a vital role in computing the orbit polynomial. In other words, for two isomorphic but not permutationaly isomorphic permutation groups $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$, we may obtain distinct orbit polynomials. This means that the cycle-type of all permutations or at least the cycle-type of the generators of the automorphism group has a significant role in the structure of orbit polynomial.

We proceed as follows. Section 2 outlines the concepts and definitions that will be used in this paper. In Section 3, we compute the several bounds for the roots of M-orbit polynomial. Finally, in Section 4, we compute some topological indices for certain networks like Human B Cell, BioGRID Drosophila, US Airports and Email with QuACN-package [28].

## 2. Preliminaries

Our notation is standard and mainly taken from standard books of graph theory such as [25]. The vertex and edge sets of a network $\mathcal{N}$ are denoted by $V(\mathcal{N})$ and $E(\mathcal{N})$, respectively. All networks considered in this paper are simple, connected, and nite. For a network $\mathcal{N}$ with automorphism group $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{N}}=\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{N})$ and an arbitrary vertex $v \in V(\mathcal{N})$, the vertex-orbit of $v($ or orbit of $v)$ is the set of all $\alpha(v)^{\prime}$ s, where $\alpha$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{N}$. Finding the automorphism group of a network could take exponential time, since, for example, the complete graph $K_{n}$ has $S_{n}$ as its automorphism group. Let $A(\mathcal{N})$ be the adjacency matrix of network $\mathcal{N}$ and $P_{\sigma}$ be a permutation matrix, corresponding to the permutation $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{n}$. Then, $\sigma$ is an automorphism of network $\mathcal{N}$ if and only if $P_{\sigma}^{t} A P_{\sigma}=A$. Here, computing the automorphism group, as well as the orbits of considered networks was done with the aid of the $i$-graph package [12].

## 3. Location of Roots

In [18,21-24] several bounds for the positive root $\delta$ are given and some properties of the orbit polynomial are investigated. In this section, we obtain several results concerning the location of zeros of the modified orbit polynomial. The following proposition sharpens previous results along with some of the other known results which were based on Walsh classical theorem. Moreover, an $R$-code is developed to construct polynomials, and compare the bounds obtained by our result with these known results.

Let $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k},\left(a_{k} \neq 0\right)$ be a non-constant polynomial with complex coefficients. Then all its zeros lie in disc $C=\{z \in C:|z| \leq r\}$, where
i) $[9] r<1+\max _{0 \leq k \leq n-1}\left\{\left|a_{k}\right|\right\}$,
ii) [31] (Walsh) $r=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left|a_{k}\right|^{1 /(n-k)}$,
iii) [10] (Carmichael et. al.) $r=\sqrt{1+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}}$.

It is not difficult to see that, if $G$ is a vertex-transitive graph, then $\mathbb{O}_{G}(x)=x^{n}$, $\mathbb{O}_{G}^{\star}(x)=1-x^{n}$ and thus its positive root is one. Also, if $\mathbb{A}_{G} \cong i d$ (namely, $G$ is asymmetric graph with identity automorphism group), then $\mathbb{O}_{G}(x)=n x, \mathbb{O}_{G}^{\star}(x)=$ $1-n x$ and its positive root is $\frac{1}{n}$. This yields that for a given graph $G$ on $n$ vertices, which is neither vertex-transitive nor identitiy graph, then $\delta \in\left(\frac{1}{n}, 1\right)$. In the case that $G$ is not vertex-transitive and $\mathbb{A}_{G} \neq i d$, then clearly we have $0 \leq a_{i} \leq n-2$ and according to

Theorem 3(i), it can be verified that $r<n-1$ and $0<|z|<n-1$. By Theorem 3(ii), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=a_{0}^{1 / n}+a_{1}^{1 / n-1}+\ldots+a_{n-2}^{1 / 2}+a_{n-1} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $i>[n / 2]$, it is clear that $a_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ and only one of $a_{i}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ is 1 . On the other hand, if the order of orbits is smaller than [ $n / 2$ ], the number of terms in the Eq.(1) will be increased and thus the value of $r$. The maximum number of terms holds if all $a_{i}$ 's are one. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i a_{i}=n$, a graph in which $n=1+2+3+\cdots+m$ (for some integer $m$ ), has the largest value of $r$. Hence, $m=\frac{-1+\sqrt{8 n}}{2}$ and so $r \leq 1+m=\frac{1+\sqrt{8 n}}{2}$, or equivalently $|z|<\frac{1+\sqrt{8 n}}{2}$.

If the number of terms in the Eq.(1) decreases, the value of $r$ will be decreased and if the orders of the orbits of graph are equal, then Eq.(1) will be a monomial. It is not difficult to see that by Theorem 3 (ii), the minimum value of $r$ is $r \geq 1+2^{2 / n}>2$, in which $\mathbb{O}_{G}^{\star}(x)=1-2 x^{n / 2}$. By Theorem 2.1 (iii), we yield

$$
r=\sqrt{1+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}}=\sqrt{1+\left(1^{2}+a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}+\ldots+a_{n-1}^{2}\right)} .
$$

Knowing that the graph is not vertex-transitive and $\mathbb{A}_{G} \neq i d$, it can be inferred that $2 \leq \sum a_{i} \leq n-1$ and thus

$$
\sum a_{i}^{2}<\left(\sum a_{i}\right)^{2} \leq(n-1)^{2}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
r<\sqrt{2+(n-1)^{2}}=(n-1) \sqrt{1+\frac{2}{(n-1)^{2}}} .
$$

${ }_{77}$ Thus, if $n \geq 3$, we may conclude that $r<(n-1) \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}$. The lower bound for $r$ using

Theorem 3 (iii) is ( $G$ is not vertex-transitive and $\mathbb{A}_{G} \neq i d$ )

$$
r \geq \sqrt{1+\left(1^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}\right)}=2\left(a_{n-1}, a_{1}=1\right)
$$

Hence, we proved the following theorem.
Theorem 1. Suppose $G$ is a graph on $n$ vertices, then all zeros of modified orbit polynomial $\mathbb{O}_{G}^{\star}$ lie in disc $C=\left\{z \in C:|z|<\frac{1+\sqrt{8 n}}{2}\right\}$.

Corollary 2. For the star graph $S_{n}$ with $n$ vertices, $\mathbb{O}_{S_{n}}(x)=x+x^{n-1}$ and all the zeros of $\mathbb{O}_{S_{n}}^{\star}(x)=1-\left(x+x^{n-1}\right)$ lie in $[-2,2]$.

Theorem 3. [10] Let

$$
f(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n-1} z^{n-1}+\ldots+a_{0}, a_{n} a_{n-1} \neq 0
$$

be a complex polynomial. All zeros of $f(z)$ lie in

$$
|z| \leq \frac{1+\phi}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{(\phi-1)^{2}+4 M_{2}}}{2}
$$

where

$$
\phi:=\left|\frac{a_{n-1}}{a_{n}}\right|,
$$

and

$$
M_{2}:=\max _{0 \leq j \leq n-2}\left|\frac{a_{j}}{a_{n}}\right|
$$

Theorem 4. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a network on $n \geq 3$ vertices that is not vertex-transitive and $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{N}} \neq i d$, with the orbit polynomial $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{t} a_{i} x^{i}$. Then all zeros lie in $\left(\frac{-1}{n-2}, n-2\right]$

Proof. We know that $a_{i} \leq n-2$, therefore Theorem 3 implies that

$$
\operatorname{Max} \phi=\frac{n-2}{1}=n-2 .
$$

Hence, the orbit polynomial is of form $x^{2}+(n-2) x$ and so $M_{2}=0$. Consequently,

$$
z \leq \frac{1+(n-2)}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{(n-3)^{2}}}{2}=n-2 .
$$

Also

$$
\operatorname{Min} \phi=\frac{1}{n-2} .
$$

Thus, the orbit polynomial is $(n-2) x^{2}+x$. Since $M_{2}=0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{t} i a_{i}=n$, we conclude that $n=3$. This means that if $n>3$ there is no graph with $\operatorname{Min} \phi=\frac{1}{n-2}$.

Theorem 5. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a network on $n \geq 3$ vertices and $(n-1)$ orbits. Then $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}(x)=$ $x^{2}+(n-2) x$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{N}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{N}$ has $(n-1)$ orbits, one can easily conclude that there are $(n-2)$ singleton orbits and an orbit of order 2 . This completes the proof.

Example 6. We utilized the Sage software [30] to analyze all networks up to the order of 10 and made an observation about the structure of graphs with orbit polynomial $O_{\mathcal{N}}(x)=$ $x^{2}+(n-2) x$. Our finding revealed that the structure of such graphs falls under one of the categories illustrated in Figure 6.

### 3.1. The Location of Positive Root $\delta$

In last section, we showed that $\delta \in\left[\frac{1}{n}, 1\right]$. In addition, in [3], it has been shown that as $\delta$ increases towards one, the graph becomes more symmetric, and as $\delta$ tends to zero, the graph will be less symmetric, especially for sufficiently large values of $n$.

Based on the above discussions, this section aims to develop a framework to discuss the behavior of the positive root of the modified orbit polynomial, which can capture meaningful structural information.

Theorem 7. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a network on $n \geq 3$ vertices with the orbit polynomial $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}(x)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{t} a_{i} x^{i}$ and $\mathbb{O}^{\star} \mathcal{N}(x)=1-\sum_{i=1}^{t} a_{i} x^{i}$. If for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, m \leq \sqrt[i]{a_{i}}$, then $\delta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{m}\right]$.
Proof. $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\star}\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)=1-\left(\frac{1}{m} a_{1}+\frac{1}{m^{2}} a_{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{m^{i}} a_{i}+\ldots+\frac{1}{m^{t}} a_{t}\right) \leq 0$, Considering that $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\star}(0)>0$ and $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\star}(1)<0$ it can be concluded $\delta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{m}\right]$.

Example 8. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a network with the orbit polynomial $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}(x)=4 x+7 x^{2}+25 x^{3}$ and $\mathbb{O}^{\star}{ }_{\mathcal{N}}(x)=1-\left(4 x+7 x^{2}+25 x^{3}\right)$. Since $a_{1}=4$ we may conclude that $m \leq 4$ and $a_{2}=7$ gives $m^{2} \leq 7$. Also $a_{3}=25$ yields $m^{3} \leq 25$ and thus $\max (m)=4$ and $\delta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right]$.

Corollary 9. The value $\delta=\frac{1}{2}$ holds for a network with orbit polynomial $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}(x)=2^{i} x^{i}$ and if the network $\mathcal{N}$ has $k$ singleton orbits, then the positive root $\mathbb{O}^{\star} \mathcal{N}(x)$ lies in $\left(0, \frac{1}{k}\right]$.

Theorem 10. For any rational number $\alpha$ in the interval $(-\infty, 0]$ there is a network $\mathcal{N}$ such that $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)=0$. Moregenerally, the set of all roots of $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is dense.


Figure 1. Structure(1).


Figure 2. Structure(2).


Figure 3. Structure(3).


Figure 4. Structure(4).


An example of structure(5).

Figure 5. Structure(5).
Figure 6. All structures of graphs with $O_{\mathcal{N}}(x)=x^{2}+(n-2) x$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. There is a network of order $n=a+2 b$ such that $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}(x)=a x+b x^{2}$. An example of such networks is shown in Figure 7. It is enough to have a network with $a$ vertices and putting two pendants vertices in the position of the $b$ vertices, such that no pair of vertices on the path $P_{b}$ can be permuted to each other.


Figure 7. An example of a graph with orbit polynomial $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{N}}(x)=a x+b x^{2}$.
Theorem 11. If the network $\mathcal{N}$ has an orbit of order $n-i\left(i \leq \frac{n}{2}\right)$, then $\delta \in\left(\frac{1}{i+1}, 1\right)$.
Proof. It is clear that $a_{n-i}=1$ and $i$ vertices lie in orbits by order smaller than $\frac{n}{2}$. So the orbit polynomial as follows: $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}(x)=a_{1} x^{1}+a_{2} x^{2}+\ldots+a_{k} x^{k}+x^{n-i}\left(k<\frac{n}{2}\right)$. The maximum value of $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}(\delta)$ holds if $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}(x)=i x\left(a_{1}=i\right)$ and $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\star}\left(\frac{1}{i+1}\right)=i\left(\frac{1}{i+1}\right)<1$. This means that $\delta \in\left(\frac{1}{i+1}, 1\right)$.
The maximum value of $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}(\delta)$ holds if $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}(x)=i x+x^{n-i}\left(a_{1}=i\right)$ and $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\star}\left(\frac{1}{i+1}\right)=$ $1-\left(i\left(\frac{1}{i+1}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{i+1}\right)^{n-i}\right)>0$. This means that $\delta \in\left(\frac{1}{i+1}, 1\right)$.

Theorem 12. Suppose the tree $T$ has an orbit of order $2\left(\left|\mathbb{O}_{i}\right|=2\right)$ and the other orbits are singleton, then $\left\langle\mathbb{O}_{i}\right\rangle \cong P_{3}$.

Proof. If $\mathbb{O}_{i}=\{y, z\}$ it is clear that there is an automorphism like $\varphi$ so that $\varphi(y)=z$. Now if $y$ and $z$ lie in different branches of $T$ such as $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$, then it follows from $\varphi(y)=z$ that $\varphi\left(B_{1}\right)=B_{2}$ which is contradiction. Therefore $y$ and $z$ are in the same branch like $B$. Let's assume that the root of the branch $B$ is $x_{1}$, and the paths from $y$ and $z$ to $x$ are denoted by $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$, respectively. So $\varphi\left(p_{1}\right)=p_{2}$ and if $t$ is a vertex on $p_{1}$, then there is a vertex like $t^{\prime}$ on $p_{2}$ which $\varphi(t)=t^{\prime}$ that is contradiction. Hence, $d(x, y)=d(x, z)=1$ and the issue is confirmed.

Corollary 13. Let $T$ be a tree on $n \geq 3$ vertices and $\mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{T}}(x)=x^{2}+(n-2) x$, then

$$
\delta=\frac{2}{\sqrt{(n-2)^{2}+4}+(n-2)} .
$$

Proof. Suupose $\mathbb{O}_{T}^{\star}(x)=1-\left(x^{2}+(n-2) x\right)$, then clearly the roots are

$$
x_{1,2}=\frac{-(n-2) \pm \sqrt{(n-2)^{2}+4}}{2}
$$

and thus

$$
\delta=\frac{2}{\sqrt{(n-2)^{2}+4}+(n-2)} .
$$

136 This completes the proof.
Corollary 14. Let $T$ be a tree on $n$ vertices:

1) If $\mathbb{A}_{T} \cong i d$, then $\delta=\frac{1}{n}$.
2) If $\mathbb{A}_{T} \neq i d$, then $\delta \in[\alpha, 1]$ so that

$$
\alpha=\frac{\sqrt{(n-2)^{2}+4}-(n-2)}{2}
$$

## 4. The Role of $\delta$ in the Study of Real Network Structures

Complex networks have been extensively studied in various fields in recent years. The concept of entropies has been used to characterize and quantify the structure of networks, and has been investigated in many studies [1,2,4-8,11]. As a specific definition for graph entropies, we use the definition proposed by Dehmer [15-17,29], which involves a probability vector $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ that satisfies two conditions: $0 \leq p_{i} \leq 1$ and $\sum i-1^{n} p i=1$. The Shannon's entropy is then defined as

$$
I(p)=\sum_{i-1}^{n} p i l o g\left(p_{i}\right)
$$

Mowshowitz and Dehmer [27] introduced the symmetry index $S(G)$, which is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(G) & =\left(\log n-I_{a}(G)\right)+\log |\mathbb{A} G| \\
& =\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{t}|O i| \log |O i|\right)+\log \left|\mathbb{A}_{G}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

If $I(G)$ and $I(H)$ are two graph invariants of graphs $G$ and $H$, respectively, the graph distance measure between $I(G)$ and $I(H)$ is defined as $d_{I}(G, H)=1-e^{-\left(\frac{I(G)-I(H)}{\sigma}\right)^{2}}$, according to [14]. The values of orbit entropy $I_{a}$, size of the automorphism group, symmetric index $S(G)$, unique positive root $\delta$, distance measure between $\delta$ and $I_{a}$, and distance measure between $\delta$ and $S(G)$ of biological and technological networks are reported in Table 1.

Table 1:

|  | $n$ | $\|\mathbb{A}\|$ | $\delta$ | $I_{a}$ | S | $d\left(\delta, I_{a}\right)$ | $d(\delta, S)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Human B Cell | 5930 | $5.94 \times 10^{13}$ | $1710 \times 10^{-7}$ | 3.77 | 13.8 | 14.20 | 189.83 |
| Genetic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caenorhabditis elegans Genetic | 2060 | $6.99 \times 10^{161}$ | $6258 \times 10^{-7}$ | 3.20 | 161.96 | 10.22 | $26.23 \times 10^{3}$ |
| BioGRID Human | 7013 | $1.26 \times 10^{485}$ | $1764 \times 10^{-7}$ | 3.73 | 485.2 | 13.93 | $23.54 \times 10^{4}$ |
| BioGRID Saccharomyccs cerevisiae | 5295 | $6.86 \times 10^{64}$ | $1958 \times 10^{-7}$ | 3.70 | 64.86 | 13.71 | 4206.4 |
| BioGRID Drosophila | 7371 | $3.07 \times 10^{493}$ | $1690 \times 10^{-7}$ | 3.76 | 493.6 | 14.10 | $24.36 \times 10^{4}$ |
| BioGRID Mus musculus | 209 | $5.35 \times 10^{125}$ | $221440 \times 10^{-7}$ | 1.46 | 126.59 | 2.06 | $16.02 \times 10^{3}$ |
| Yeast Protein Interactions | 1458 | $1.07 \times 10^{254}$ | $11599 \times 10^{-7}$ | 2.88 | 254.30 | 8.31 | $64.67 \times 10^{3}$ |
| c. elegans metabolic | 453 | $1.93 \times 10^{10}$ | $25702 \times 10^{-7}$ | 2.60 | 10.33 | 6.78 | 106.79 |
| Internet | 22332 | $1.28 \times 10^{11,298}$ | $1035 \times 10^{-7}$ | 3.67 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.3 \times \\ & 10^{3} \end{aligned}$ | 13.44 | $12.77 \times 10^{7}$ |
| US Power Grid | 4941 | $5.18 \times 10^{152}$ | $2380 \times 10^{-7}$ | 3.63 | 152.77 | 13.20 | $23.34 \times 10^{3}$ |
| US Airports | 332 | $2.59 \times 10^{24}$ | $40472 \times 10^{-7}$ | 2.39 | 24.54 | 5.71 | 602.07 |
| www California search subnet | 5925 | $1.24 \times 10^{1,298}$ | $2820 \times 10^{-7}$ | 3.45 | 1298.4 | 11.93 | $16.86 \times 10^{5}$ |
| www EPA.gov subnet | 4253 | $1.28 \times 10^{2,321}$ | $4992 \times 10^{-7}$ | 2.91 | 2321.8 | 8.47 | $53.91 \times 10^{5}$ |
| www Political Blogs | 1222 | $2.40 \times 10^{35}$ | $8741 \times 10^{-7}$ | 3.04 | 35.43 | 9.25 | 1254.9 |
| Email | 1133 | $1.53 \times 10^{9}$ | $9216 \times 10^{-7}$ | 3.04 | 9.20 | 9.23 | 84.62 |
| Media ownership | 4475 | $3.36 \times 10^{4,818}$ | $13278 \times 10^{-7}$ | 2.16 | 4820.01 | 4.68 | $23.23 \times 10^{6}$ |
| Geometry Coauthorship | 3621 | $1.90 \times 10^{320}$ | $4419 \times 10^{-7}$ | 3.38 | 320.45 | 11.45 | $10.27 \times 10^{4}$ |
| Erdös Collaboration | 6927 | $3.46 \times 10^{4,222}$ | $5491 \times 10^{-7}$ | 2.956 | 4223.4 | 8.73 | $17.84 \times 10^{6}$ |
| PhD network | 1025 | $2.98 \times 10^{292}$ | $25245 \times 10^{-7}$ | 2.55 | 292.93 | 6.49 | $85.81 \times 10^{3}$ |

Table 2 shows that the correlation values of $\delta$ and $I_{a}$ in biological and technological

Table 2: The correlation values.

| Biological Networks |  |  |  | Technological Networks |  |  |  | Social Networks |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\begin{array}{l} \\ \hline \delta \\ I_{a} \\ S\end{array}\right.$ | $\delta$ 1 -0.88 -0.20 | $I_{a}$ -0.88 1 0.33 | $S$ -0.20 0.33 1 | $\left(\begin{array}{l} \\ \hline \\ I_{a} \\ S\end{array}\right.$ | $\delta$ 1 -0.86 -0.37 | $I_{a}$ -0.86 1 0.48 | $S$ -0.37 0.48 1 | $\left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \hline \delta \\ I_{a} \\ S\end{array}\right.$ | $\delta$ 1 -0.64 -0.19 | $I_{a}$ -0.64 1 -0.55 | $\left.\begin{array}{c}S \\ -0.19 \\ -0.55 \\ 1\end{array}\right)$ |

variables that contribute to the structure of social networks compared to other types of networks. This suggests that further research should be conducted to investigate these differences.

Table 3 presents a collection of well-known real-world networks with distinct topologies. Analysis of the reported data shows that the symmetry measure $\delta$ is strongly correlated with the orbit entropy $I_{a}$ (with a correlation coefficient $R=-0.73$ ), but does not appear to be correlated with $S(G)$ (with a correlation coefficient $R=-0.15$ ).

For the networks listed in Table 3, various topological indices, including the first Zagreb index $\left(M_{1}\right)$, second Zagreb index $\left(M_{2}\right)$, spectral radius $(\rho)$, Randic index $(R)$, Laplacian Estrada index (LEE), Laplacian energy $(L E)$, Harary index $(H)$, Estrada index $(E E)$, energy $(E)$, Balaban $\operatorname{ID}(B I)$, and atom-bond connectivity $(A B C)$, were calculated, as reported in [28]. The results indicate that among all the above indices, Laplacian energy has the strongest correlation with $\delta$, with a coefficient of greater than 0.91 .
$\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} & M_{1} & M_{2} & \rho & R & L E E & L E & H & E E & E & B I & A B C \\ \hline \delta & -0.07 & 0.47 & -0.19 & -0.17 & -0.02 & \mathbf{0 . 9 1} & -0.17 & -0.16 & -0.20 & -0.23 & -0.20\end{array}\right)$
For this study, all graphs of orders 4-7, all trees of orders 7-20, together with 470 randomly generated trees of order 21 and 1248 randomly generated trees of order 25 were considered, and two measures based on the automorphism group and Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph were established. The computed correlation values between the unique positive root $\delta$ and Laplacian energy of a graph, denoted by $L E(G)$, are reported in Table 5. For trees of order 21 and 25, the correlation values were 0.556 and 0.589 , respectively, while for other classes they were less than 0.5 .

Although the correlation between $\delta$ and $L E(G)$ in real networks is meaningful, it appears that in the class of trees, the correlation value between $\delta$ and $L E(G)$ increases as the order of the tree increases. In other words, an appropriate analogy is the case of two functions that have very different functional forms on the same set of variables.

Table 4:

| \#Graphs | Graph Order | $R(\delta, L E)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 2 to 4 | -0.414 |
| 21 | 5 | -0.009 |
| 121 | 6 | 0.065 |
| 853 | 7 | 0.029 |
| 33 | unicycle graph of order 7 | 0.031 |
| 11 | Tree of order 7 | 0.290 |
| 3159 | Tree of order 14 | 0.381 |
| 7741 | Tree of order 15 | 0.441 |
| 19320 | Tree of order 16 | 0.429 |
| 48629 | Tree of order 17 | 0.466 |
| 123867 | Tree of order 18 | 0.466 |
| 317955 | Tree of order 19 | 0.493 |
| 823065 | Tree of order 20 | 0.499 |

Table 5: The correlation values between randomly graphs.

## 5. Small-World Graphs

A social network is called a small-world network, if most nodes are not neighbors of one another, but most nodes can be reached from every other by a small number of hops. The clustering coefficient of a graph is a measure to find which vertices in the
Table 3: A set of well-known real-world networks with distinct topologies and their graph invariants.

| Networks | $n$ | $\delta$ | $M_{1}$ | $M_{2}$ | $\rho$ | $R$ | LEE | LE | H | EE | E | BI | $A B C$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Human B Cell Genetic | 5930 | $\begin{aligned} & 1710 \times \\ & 10^{-7} \end{aligned}$ | 50502 | $\begin{aligned} & 63.7 \times \\ & 10^{7} \end{aligned}$ | 158.8 | 159.04 | - | $\begin{aligned} & 50.5 \times \\ & 10^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.3 \times \\ & 10^{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.48 \times \\ & 10^{68} \end{aligned}$ | 327.75 | - | - |
| Caenorhabditis elegans Genetic | 2060 | $\begin{aligned} & 6258 \times \\ & 10^{-7} \end{aligned}$ | 13410 | 6803 | 1.62 | $\begin{aligned} & 66.8 \times \\ & 10^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56.6 \times \\ & 10^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.3 \times \\ & 10^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67.3 \times \\ & 10^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.6 \times \\ & 10^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.3 \times \\ & 10^{3} \end{aligned}$ | 13362 | 66.47 |
| BioGRID Saccharomyccs cerevisiae | 5295 | $\begin{aligned} & 1958 \times \\ & 10^{-7} \end{aligned}$ | 12032 | $\begin{aligned} & 27.3 \times \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 0.11 | 142.61 | 11998 | 17 | 52.46 | 34 | 34 | 1.61 |
| BioGRID Drosophila | 7371 | $\begin{aligned} & 1690 \times \\ & 10^{-7} \end{aligned}$ | 61434 | $\begin{aligned} & 18.7 \times \\ & 10^{7} \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 1.58 | 224.7 | 61410 | 15 | 35.21 | 20 | 21 | 4.19 |
| BioGRID Mus musculus | 209 | $\begin{aligned} & 221440 \times \\ & 10^{-7} \end{aligned}$ | $18.5 \times 10^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.3 \times \\ & 10^{7} \end{aligned}$ | 3.87 | 1.08 | $\begin{aligned} & 88.9 \times \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.5 \times \\ & 10^{4} \end{aligned}$ | 89.5 | 130 | 51.74 | 60 | 9.89 |
| Yeast Protein Interactions | 1458 | $\begin{aligned} & 11599 \times \\ & 10^{-7} \end{aligned}$ | 23710 | $\begin{aligned} & 19.4 \times \\ & 10^{6} \end{aligned}$ | 65.75 | 1076.3 | 7.11 | $\begin{aligned} & 23.8 \times \\ & 10^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61.5 \times \\ & 10^{4} \end{aligned}$ | 3.60 | 4141.3 | - | 4349.1 |
| US Power Grid | 4941 | $\begin{aligned} & 2380 \times \\ & 10^{-7} \end{aligned}$ | 8 | 16 | 1 | 0.25 | 8.39 | 6 | 1 | 3.09 | 2 | 6 | 0.61 |
| US Airports | 332 | $\begin{aligned} & 40472 \times \\ & 10^{-7} \end{aligned}$ | 26100 | $\begin{aligned} & 14.5 \times \\ & 10^{7} \end{aligned}$ | 53.51 | 148.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.9 \times \\ & 10^{72} \end{aligned}$ | 21947 | 90490 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \times \\ & 10^{23} \end{aligned}$ | 1419 | - | - |
| Email | 1133 | $\begin{aligned} & 9216 \times \\ & 10^{-7} \end{aligned}$ | 10902 | $\begin{aligned} & 19.7 \times \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | 20.7 | 460.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.54 \times \\ & 10^{31} \end{aligned}$ | 8399.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 19.2 \times \\ & 10^{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.5 \times \\ & 10^{8} \end{aligned}$ | 2429.9 | - | 2203.4 |



Figure 8. Three small-world graphs (a) Karate Club $\mathcal{K}$, (b) Dolfin graph $\mathcal{D}$, and (c) the network $\mathcal{G}$. graph tend to form a clique. There are two types of clustering coefficient, namely local and global. The local clustering coefficient $C(v)$ of vertex $v$ is defined as $\frac{2 e(v)}{d_{v}\left(d_{v}-1\right)}$, where $e(v)$ is the number of edges $\left\langle N_{G}(v)\right\rangle$. The global clustering coefficient of graph $G$ is $C^{\Delta}=\frac{3 t}{l_{2}}$, where $t$ is the number of triangles and $l_{2}$ is the number of paths of length two [32]. Assume that $C_{\text {rand }}^{\Delta}$ is the clustering coefficient of an equivalent ER random graph with the same order and size. In [32], it is proved that $L_{\text {rand }}=\frac{\ln (n)}{\ln (2 k)}$ and $C_{\text {rand }}^{\Delta}=\frac{2 k}{n}$. Additionally, the small-worldness of a graph is expressed by

$$
S^{\Delta}=\frac{C_{g}^{\Delta} L_{\text {rand }}}{C_{\text {rand }}^{\Delta} L_{g}} .
$$

Example 15. Consider three graphs Karate Club $\mathcal{K}$, Dolfin graph $\mathcal{D}$, and the network $\mathcal{G}$, as depicted in Figure 8. The following $R$ programs determine their small-worldness which are respectively 1.48, 2. 10, and 4.58 .

The aim of continuing this paper is to determine whether removing a vertex or an edge can decreases or increases the small-worldness of a graph. In the second step, our goal is to detrmine the small-worldness of two smallworld graphs linked by a new edge. To do this, we consider 1000 random small-world networks of the same order. Our results show that by removing a random edge, the resuting graph is again a small-world graph with small-worldness greater tan one. We repeated this way by removing a random vertex to conclude a similar result. We applied the following program written by $R$ to obtain our results.

An $R$ program for computing smallworldness of networks:
library(NetworkToolbox)
library(igraph)
library(Matrix)
count<-0
repeat\{
a<-sample(50:60,1)
b<-sample(3:8,1)
$\mathrm{g}<-$ sample_smallworld(1,a,b,0.05)
$\mathrm{H}<-$ as_adj $(\mathrm{g})$
L<-matrix(H,nrow=a,ncol=a)
$\mathrm{x}<-$-smallworldness(L,method="rand")
$\mathrm{p}<-\operatorname{sample}(\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{g}), 1)$
g1<-delete_vertices(g,p)
A<-as_adjacency_matrix(g1)
$\mathrm{B}<-$ matrix (A,nrow=a-1,ncol=a-1)
$\mathrm{y}<$-smallworldness(B,method="rand")
t<-cbind(x\$swm,y\$swm)

```
write.table(t,file="G-v.csv",append=T,sep=",",col.names=F,row.names=F)
count<-count+1
if(count==1000) \{break \(\}\)
\}
```

For the second step, we also generate 1000 random small-world graphs joined by a new edge and the resulted graph is again small-world by almost the same smallworldness. The following program do this.

## Algorithm 2:

library(igraph)
library(Matrix)
library(NetworkToolbox)
library $(\mathrm{rgl})$
count<-0
repeat\{
a<-sample(50:100,1)
b<-sample $(3: 8,1)$
g1<-sample_smallworld(1,a,b,0.05)
$\mathrm{H}<-\mathrm{as}$ _adj(g1)
L<-matrix(H,nrow=a,ncol=a)
x<-smallworldness(L,method="rand")
d<-sample(50:100,1)
e<-sample $(3: 8,1)$
g2<-sample_smallworld(1,d,e,0.05)
$\mathrm{K}<-\mathrm{as}$ _adj(g2)
$\mathrm{M}<-$ matrix(K,nrow=d,ncol=d)
$\mathrm{y}<$-smallworldness(M,method="rand")
$\mathrm{g} 3<-(\mathrm{g} 1+\mathrm{g} 2) \%<\%$ add_edegs $(\mathrm{c}(1, \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{d}))$
A<-as_adjacency_matrix(g3)
B<-matrix(A,nrow=a+d,ncol=a+d)
$\mathrm{z}<$-smallworldness(B,method="rand")
write.table( $c(x, y, z)$,file="new.csv",append=T,sep=",",col.names=F ,row.names=F)
count<-count+1
if(count==1000) $\{$ break $\}$
\}
To summarize, the results of the investigation indicate that the $\delta$ metric is not redundant, and offers unique information about the symmetry structure of graphs that cannot be obtained from standard measures such as small-worldness. Additionally, the successful use of $\delta$ as a structural descriptor for chemical structures highlights its potential applications in diverse fields beyond network analysis.

However, further research is necessary to determine the full range of structural properties that can be captured by the orbit polynomial, and to better understand the relationship between $\delta$ and other graph metrics. Overall, the results suggest that $\delta$ is a valuable and versatile tool for analyzing complex systems and may have important implications for a variety of fields.

## 6. Conclusion

Dehmer et al. proposed a novel approach to compare graphs based on their symmetry structure using the modified orbit polynomial and the unique positive root, $\delta$. The characteristics of $\delta$ have been demonstrated and it has been applied successfully to several network classes to investigate correlations with other graph indices.

Furthermore, the successful application of $\delta$ as a structural descriptor for chemical structures is significant because it opens up new possibilities for studying the symmetry structure of various complex systems beyond networks, such as molecules and crystals.

This demonstrates the versatility and potential of $\delta$ in a range of fields beyond network analysis.

In conclusion, the orbit polynomial and its associated metric, $\delta(G)$, provide a novel approach to comparing graphs based on their symmetry structure.

However, further research is needed to fully understand the range of structural properties captured by the orbit polynomial and to investigate the relationship between $S(G)$ and $I_{a}$, as both are defined based on the number and sizes of orbits belonging to a graph's automorphism group. This would provide a more comprehensive understanding of the relationship between symmetry and other graph metrics, and could potentially lead to new insights and applications for $\delta$ and related metrics.
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