





Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Genetic Polymorphisms of ACE1 rs4646994 Associated with Lung Cancer in Patients with Pulmonary Nodules: A Case Control Study

Rong Qiao ^{1,†}, Siyao Sang ^{2,†}, Jiajun Teng ^{1,†}, Hua Zhong ^{1,*}, Hui Li ^{2,3,*}, and Baohui Han ^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Shanghai Chest Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200030, China.
- ² MOE Key Laboratory of Contemporary Anthropology, Human Phenome Institute, Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China.
- ³ Fudan-Datong Institute of Chinese Origin, Datong 037006, China.
- ^{*} Corresponding author: Baohui Han. E-mail: 18930858216@163.com; Tel: 86-21-62821990; Fax: 86-21-62801109. Hui Li. E-mail: LHCA@Fudan.edu.cn; Tel: 86-21-51326787.
- [†] These authors contributed to the work equally and should be regarded as co-first authors.

Abstract: Background: Currently, many detection methods have high sensitivity to the diagnosis of lung cancer. However, some postoperative patients with pulmonary nodule were eventually diagnosed as benign nodules. The ideal evaluation of an individual with a pulmonary nodule would expedite therapy for a malignant nodule and minimize testing for those with a benign nodule.**Methods:** This case-control study is designed to explore the relationship between ACE1 rs4646994 polymorphism and the risk of lung cancer in patients with pulmonary nodules, 400 individuals with lung cancer and benign pulmonary nodules were included. A DNA extraction kit was used to extract plasm DNA from peripheral blood. The relationship between ACE1 rs4646994 and the risk of lung cancer in patients with pulmonary nodules was determined by chi-square test, logistic regression analysis and cross analysis. **Results:** The results showed that the DD genotype of ACE1 rs4646994 may increase the risk of lung cancer in patients with pulmonary nodules, and this correlation was more significant in the female subgroup. In the age stratification analysis, it was found that the risk of lung cancer was significantly increased in the DD genotype of ACE1 rs4646994 in the older subgroup (> 45 years). In addition, the possibility of EGFR mutation in lung adenocarcinoma patients with ACE1 rs4646994 DD genotype was lower than that of II or ID genotype carriers. **Conclusions:** Our study indicated that ACE1 rs4646994 polymorphism increases the risk of lung cancer in patients with pulmonary nodules from China.

Keywords: genetic polymorphism; ACE1 rs4646994; lung cancer; pulmonary nodules

1. Introduction

Lung cancer is a primary cancer type with high incidence and mortality, and the number of new cases of lung cancer in China has continued to rise [1]. The overall 5-year survival rate of lung cancer patients is less than 15%, but the 5-year survival rate of early lung cancer can be increased to more than 80% after reasonable treatment [2]. Lung cancer is classified as small cell lung cancer (SCLC) (15% of total diagnoses) and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (85% of total diagnoses) [3]. In the classification of NSCLC, adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is the most common subtype of lung cancer, followed by squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) [4].

A pulmonary nodule is an abnormal area in the lung that is less than 3cm in size, and most pulmonary nodules are benign (not caused by cancer) [5]. The risk of cancer increases with pulmonary nodules that are larger in size. Risk factors for increasing the likelihood of lung nodule carcinogenesis: current or previous smoking, older, personal cancer history, family history of lung cancer, emphysema, exposure to asbestos or radon and EGFR mutation [5–8]. This suggests that the development of pulmonary nodules into

lung cancer is a complex and gradual process [9]. In recent years, several studies have reported the correlation between single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of genes related to metabolism, DNA damage repair, cell cycle regulation and lung diseases [9–12]. The treatment of patients with pulmonary nodules should be guided by the probability of malignant nodules, the safety of detection, and other additional tests [13].

Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) encodes an enzyme involved in blood pressure regulation and electrolyte balance that converts of angiotensin I into a physiologically active peptide angiotensin II, and degrades bradykinin [14]. ACE plasma levels depend on the 287 bp insertion / deletion (I/D) polymorphism of the ACE gene on chromosome 17q23 [15]. According to its variation, there are three different genotypes: II, ID and DD [15]. It has been reported that the D allele is associated with increased ACE expression, and it has been observed that D allele heterozygous carriers have higher levels of systemic ACE protein than I allele carriers [16–18]. A large number of studies have reported that *ACE1* rs4646994 polymorphism is associated with a variety of diseases, including cardiovascular disease, psoriasis, kidney disease, stroke and Alzheimer’s disease [14,19,20]. A few studies have reported that *ACE1* rs4646994 polymorphism may be a possible risk factor for lung cancer [12]. On basis of previous relevant studies, the aim of this study was to investigate the possible correlation between *ACE1* rs4646994 polymorphism and lung cancer in patients with pulmonary nodules, providing evidence for molecular markers and etiological study of lung cancer.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Ethical Conformity

This pilot study was approved by the Research Ethics Committees of the Shanghai Jiao Tong University, under protocol KS1407, in the city of Shanghai, China. All participants signed informed consent.

2.2. Case and Control

Data were prospectively collected from October 2020 to September 2022 for a cohort of 460 subjects enrolled in Shanghai Chest Hospital under an active institutional review board, and written informed consents were acquired. All the cases were reviewed by a multidisciplinary lung nodule board, and CT images were collected at 3-12 months intervals until they were determined to be malignant or benign. For our study, IRB approval and a waiver of written informed consent were obtained. Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Only the patients with at least one pulmonary nodule that was at least 1 mm in diameter, when using lung parenchymal CT display thresholds, on the baseline CT image were included [21], (2) For patients with malignant pulmonary nodules, only subjects with nodules that were determined to be primary lung cancer (LC) by histopathology before the consent date of May 2022 were included, (3) For benign nodules, only those confirmed by histopathologic examination of tissue obtained via surgical resection, or the lesion was found to be stable radiographically for at least 2 years of follow-up, or resolved under CT surveillance, were included. Finally, subjects with lung cancer (n= 300) were included as the case group and matched with subjects with benign nodules (n= 100) as the control group. Both groups were investigated for clinical demographic data, including age, gender, and histological type.

2.3. Genotyping

TaqMan®-MGB probe assays were used to genotype the polymorphisms in 384-well plates on LightCycler® 480 system (Roche Ltd., Basel, CH). The primers and probes of TaqMan® assays were designed using Primer Express Oligo Design soft-ware v3.0 (Applied Biosystems, Foster city, CA, USA). PCR reactions were performed in a 6 µL reaction mixture containing 1 µL DNA, 3 µL TaqMan Genotyping Master Mix (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA), 0.015 µL each primer, 0.012 µL FAM- and HEX-labelled TaqMan-MGB probes and 1 µL DNA. The program of amplification contained 10 min heat preservation at 95°C

followed by 50 cycles of 15s at 95°C and annealing at 60°C for 1 min. The normalized intensities of the 2 reporter dyes in each sample were plotted on an allelic discrimination plot and were algorithmically clustered. Genotype calls were assigned according to the sample position on the allelic discrimination plot.

2.4. Statistical analysis

All data were analysed using SPSS 20.0 statistical software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The χ^2 -test was used to verify whether the allele frequency of polymorphism met the Hardy–Weinberg (H-W) equilibrium. Pearson’s Chi-square and Mann-Whitney tests were used to compare the demographic and clinical variables between the study groups. Pearson’s Chi-square was used to compare the frequency distribution of genotypes and alleles among groups. The odds ratios (OR) and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) of lung cancer incidence in patients with pulmonary nodule and genotype distribution was dealt with statistically by unconditional logistic regression model. A significance level of $p < 0.05$ was considered for all statistical analyses.

3. Results

3.1. Baseline characteristic of study subjects

In the results of demographic and clinical analyses, it can be observed that the groups differed in terms of age. No significant variation in gender was found between lung cancer patients and lung benign ($p > 0.05$). Most cases of lung cancer were LUAD, followed by SCLC and SCC. Among the 400 patients with pulmonary nodules included in the study, a total of 146 patients were tested for EGFR gene mutation. There was no significant difference in EGFR gene mutation between lung cancer patients and benign lung disease patients ($p = 1.000$). It is noteworthy that EGFR mutations exist in patients with benign nodules (Table 1).

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the investigated groups.

| Characteristics | Case (n=300) | Control (n=100) | p-Value |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Gender, n (%) | | | 0.862 ^a |
| Male | 135 (45%) | 44 (44%) | |
| Female | 165 (55%) | 56 (56%) | |
| Age (years) | | | |
| Median (p25-p75%) | 57.00 (49.00-64.00) | 55.00 (48.25-61.00) | 0.038 ^{b*} |
| Histology | | | |
| Squamous Cell Carcinoma | 14 (5.3%) | - | NA |
| Adenocarcinoma | 282 (93.4%) | - | |
| Small Cell Carcinoma | 2 (0.6%) | - | |
| Malt | 2 (0.6%) | - | |
| EGFR Mutation-positive | | | 1.000 ^c |
| Yes | 75 (52.1%) | 1 (50%) | |
| No | 69 (47.9%) | 1 (50%) | |

NA, not applicable; -, no data. ^a Chi-square Test; ^b Mann-Whitney; ^c Chi-square Test with Yates’ continuity correction. * p-Value < 0.05

3.2. Correlations of allele and genotype frequencies of ACE1 rs4646994 polymorphism with risk of lung cancer in pulmonary nodules

The distribution of ACE1 rs4646994 genotype and allele in the case and control groups is shown in Table 2. The results found that the ID genotype of ACE1 rs4646994 was predominate in both groups. The DD genotype in case group (OR=2.654, 95% CI, 1.057–6.664, $p=0.038$) were higher than that in the control group, suggesting the DD genotype was closely associated with the risk of lung cancer. Compared with the I carrier (II+ID) genotype, DD genotype significantly increased the onset risk of lung cancer (OR=2.910, 95% CI, 1.205–7.031, $p=0.018$).

Table 2. Genotypes and allele frequencies of *ACE1* rs4646994 polymorphisms in patients with lung cancer and benign pulmonary nodules.

| Genotype/allele | Case(n=300) | Control(n=100) | p-Value | OR | 95% CI |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------|---------|-----------|-------------|
| II | 121 | 41 | | Reference | |
| ID | 132 | 53 | 0.485 | 0.844 | 0.524-1.359 |
| DD | 47 | 6 | 0.038 | 2.654 | 1.057-6.664 |
| DD vs. ID+II | | | 0.018 | 2.91 | 1.205-7.031 |
| I allele | 374 | 135 | | Reference | |
| D allele | 226 | 65 | 0.189 | 1.255 | 0.894-1.761 |

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. Case group versus control group.

3.3. Correlations of allele and genotype frequencies of *ACE1* rs4646994 polymorphism with histological types of SCC and LUAD

The distribution of genotypic frequencies of *ACE1* rs4646994 in the SCC, LUAD, and control group are listed in Table 3. Patients with pulmonary nodules carrying the DD genotype are about 2.7 times as likely to develop lung adenocarcinoma (OR=2.685, 95% CI, 1.065–6.770, $p=0.036$). Compared with the I genotype (II+ID) carriers, patients with DD genotype were about 3 times more likely to develop LUAD than patients with benign nodules (OR=2.896, 95% CI, 1.194–7.023, $p=0.019$). The comparison on *ACE1* rs4646994 between SCC group and control group manifested no statistical significance ($p>0.05$). In addition, there was no significant difference between lung squamous cell carcinoma and lung adenocarcinoma in the polymorphism of *ACE1* rs4646994 ($p>0.05$).

As shown in Table 4, genotype with DD was more frequent in lung adenocarcinoma patients without EGFR mutation (22.6%). The risk of lung adenocarcinoma in patients with EGFR mutation-positive pulmonary nodules carrying genotype DD (OR=0.295, 95% CI, 0.098–0.885, $p=0.029$) was lower than that in patients carrying genotype II. The DD genotype decreased the onset risk EGFR mutation when compared with the I carrier (II+ID) genotype (OR=0.298, 95% CI, 0.107–0.831, $p=0.021$), and D allele was a protect factor contributing to the incidence of EGFR mutation (OR=0.606, 95% CI, 0.372–0.990, $p=0.045$).

Table 4. Correlations of *ACE1* rs4646994 genotype polymorphism with EGFR mutation in lung adenocarcinoma.

| Genotype/allele | EGFR+ (n=75) | EGFR- (n=62) | p-Value | OR | 95% CI |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|-----------|-------------|
| II | 32 (42.7%) | 22 (35.5%) | | Reference | |
| ID | 37 (49.3%) | 26 (41.9%) | 0.954 | 0.978 | 0.467-2.049 |
| DD | 6 (8.0%) | 14 (22.6%) | 0.029 | 0.295 | 0.098-0.885 |
| DD vs. ID+II | 69 (57.3%) | 48 (64.5%) | 0.021 | 0.298 | 0.107-0.831 |
| I allele | 101 (67.3%) | 70 (56.5%) | | Reference | |
| D allele | 49 (32.7%) | 54 (43.5%) | 0.045 | 0.606 | 0.372-0.990 |

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. Case group versus control group.

3.4. *ACE1* rs4646994 polymorphism and lung cancer risk in patients with pulmonary nodules by gender

The gender stratification analysis of the correlation between lung cancer risk and *ACE1* rs4646994 polymorphism in patients with pulmonary nodules is shown in Table 5. No statistical association was found between *ACE1* rs4646994 polymorphism and the risk of lung cancer in male patients with pulmonary nodules ($p>0.05$). In the female subgroup, patients with pulmonary nodules carrying DD genotype have an increased risk of lung cancer (OR=3.652, 95% CI, 1.025–13.019, $p=0.046$). Compared with the I carrier (II+ID) genotype, DD genotype (OR=3.457, 95% CI, 1.006–11.875, $p=0.049$) increased the onset risk of lung cancer with pulmonary nodules. As shown in Table 6, there was no significant

Table 3. Correlations of genotype polymorphism in *ACE1* rs4646994 with histological types of lung squamous carcinoma and adenocarcinoma.

| Genotype/ allele | SCC (n=14) | LUAD (n=282) | Control (n=100) | p-Value [†] | OR [†] | 95%CI [†] | p-Value [‡] | OR [‡] | 95%CI [‡] | p-Value [§] | OR [§] | 95%CI [§] |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| II | 7 (50%) | 112 (39.7%) | 41 (41%) | | Reference | | | Reference | | | Reference | |
| ID | 6 (42.9%) | 126 (44.7%) | 53 (53%) | 0.489 | 0.663 | 0.207-2.124 | 0.571 | 0.87 | 0.538-1.407 | 0.634 | 1.312 | 0.428-4.022 |
| DD | 1 (7.1%) | 44 (14.6%) | 6 (6%) | 0.983 | 0.976 | 0.101-9.389 | 0.036 | 2.685 | 1.065-6.770 | 0.351 | 2.75 | 0.329-23.005 |
| DD vs. ID+II | | | | 0.868 | 1.205 | 0.134-10.822 | 0.019 | 2.896 | 1.194-7.023 | 0.404 | 2.403 | 0.307-18.842 |
| I allele | 20 (71.4%) | 350 (62.1%) | 135 | | Reference | | | Reference | | | Reference | |
| D allele | 8 (28.6%) | 214 (37.9%) | 65 | 0.922 | 1.038 | 0.489-2.206 | 0.157 | 1.279 | 0.910-1.798 | 0.31 | 1.543 | 0.668-3.564 |

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. [†] SCC group versus control group; [‡] LUAD group versus control group; [§] LUAD group versus SCC group.

difference between SCC group and LUAD group in the polymorphism of *ACE1* rs4646994 by gender stratification analysis ($p > 0.05$). No evidence shown there was relationship between *ACE1* rs4646994 gene polymorphism and EGFR mutation in male ($p > 0.05$) and female ($p > 0.05$) lung adenocarcinoma patients (Table 7).

Table 5. Correlations of genotype polymorphism in *ACE1* rs4646994 and lung cancer risk in patients with pulmonary nodules according to gender.

| Gender | Genotype /allele | Case (n=300) | Control (n=100) | p-Value | OR | 95% CI |
|--------|------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------|-----------|--------------|
| Male | | 135 | 44 | | | |
| | II | 52 (38.5%) | 13 (29.5%) | | Reference | |
| | ID | 63 (46.7%) | 28 (63.6%) | 0.134 | 0.562 | 0.265-1.195 |
| | DD | 20 (14.8%) | 3 (6.8%) | 0.461 | 1.667 | 0.429-6.475 |
| | DD vs.ID+II | | | 0.18 | 2.377 | 0.671-8.419 |
| | I allele | 167 (61.9%) | 54 (61.4%) | | Reference | |
| Female | D allele | 103 (38.1%) | 34 (38.6%) | 0.935 | 0.98 | 0.597-1.606 |
| | | 165 | 56 | | | |
| | II | 69 (41.8%) | 28 (50%) | | Reference | |
| | ID | 69 (41.8%) | 25 (44.6%) | 0.726 | 1.12 | 0.594-2.112 |
| | DD | 27 (16.4%) | 3 (5.4%) | 0.046 | 3.652 | 1.025-13.019 |
| | DD vs.ID+II | | | 0.049 | 3.457 | 1.006-11.875 |
| | I allele | 207 (62.7%) | 81 (72.3%) | | Reference | |
| | D allele | 123 (37.3%) | 31 (27.7%) | 0.067 | 1.553 | 0.970-2.485 |

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. Case group versus control group.

Table 6. Correlations of genotype polymorphism in *ACE1* rs4646994 with histological types of SCC and LUAD according to gender.

| Gender | Genotype /allele | LUAD (n=282) | SCC (n=14) | p-Value | OR | 95% CI |
|--------|------------------|--------------|------------|---------|-----------|--------------|
| Male | | 118 | 14 | | | |
| | II | 43 (36.4%) | 7 (50%) | | Reference | |
| | ID | 57 (48.3%) | 6 (42.9%) | 0.461 | 1.547 | 0.485-4.934 |
| | DD | 18 (15.3%) | 1 (7.1%) | 0.331 | 2.93 | 0.336-25.570 |
| | DD vs.ID+II | | | 0.426 | 2.34 | 0.288-19.012 |
| | I allele | 143 (60.6%) | 20 (71.4%) | | reference | |
| Female | D allele | 93 (39.4%) | 8 (28.6%) | 0.268 | 1.626 | 0.688-3.844 |
| | | 164 | 0 | | | |
| | II | 69 (42.1%) | 0 | | Reference | |
| | ID | 69 (42.1%) | 0 | - | - | - |
| | DD | 26 (15.9) | 0 | - | - | - |
| | DD vs.ID+II | | | - | - | - |
| | I allele | 207 (63.1%) | 0 | | Reference | |
| | D allele | 121 (36.9%) | 0 | - | - | - |

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. LUAD group versus SCC group.

Table 7. Correlations of ACE1 rs4646994 genotype polymorphism with EGFR mutation in lung adenocarcinoma according to gender.

| Gender | Genotype /allele | EGFR+ (n=75) | EGFR- (n=62) | p-Value | OR | 95% CI |
|--------|------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|-----------|-------------|
| Male | | 29 | 32 | | | |
| | II | 10 (34.5%) | 11 (34.4%) | | Reference | |
| | ID | 18 (62.1%) | 14 (43.8%) | 0.539 | 1.414 | 0.468-4.270 |
| | DD | 1 (3.4%) | 7 (21.9%) | 0.109 | 0.157 | 0.016-1.511 |
| | DDvs.ID+II | | | 0.062 | 0.128 | 0.015-1.110 |
| | I allele | 38 (65.5%) | 36 (56.2%) | | Reference | |
| | D allele | 20 (34.5%) | 28 (43.8%) | 0.232 | 0.65 | 0.321-1.316 |
| Female | | 46 | 30 | | | |
| | II | 22 (47.8%) | 11 (36.7%) | | Reference | |
| | ID | 19 (41.3%) | 12 (40%) | 0.654 | 0.792 | 0.285-2.202 |
| | DD | 5 (10.9%) | 7 (23.3z%) | 0.137 | 0.357 | 0.092-1.387 |
| | DDvs.ID+II | | | 0.154 | 0.401 | 0.114-1.407 |
| | I allele | 63 (68.5%) | 34 (56.7%) | | Reference | |
| | D allele | 29 (31.5%) | 26 (43.3%) | 0.14 | 0.602 | 0.307-1.181 |

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. EGFR+ group versus EGFR- group.

3.5. ACE1 rs4646994 polymorphism and lung cancer risk in patients with pulmonary nodules by age

Stratification analysis was carried out on the rs4646994 polymorphism in ACE1 by taking age in case group into consideration. The results shown in Table 8 illustrated that DD genotype could increase the risk of lung cancer in older (> 45 years) patients with pulmonary nodules (OR=2.693, 95% CI, 0.983–7.377, $p=0.054$). Moreover, the probability of lung cancer in patients with pulmonary nodules carrying the DD genotype is about 3 times that of patients carrying the I genotype (II+ID) (OR=2.943, 95% CI, 1.121–7.728, $p=0.028$). Table 9 showed that there was no correlation between ACE1 rs4646994 gene polymorphism and different histological types of lung cancer at different ages (both $p>0.05$). As shown in Table 10, ACE1 rs4646994 gene polymorphism was not found to be associated with EGFR mutation in patients with LUAD by age stratification analysis ($p>0.05$).

Table 8. Correlations of genotype polymorphism in ACE1 rs4646994 and lung cancer risk in patients with pulmonary nodules according to age.

| Age | Genotype /allele | Case (n=300) | Control (n=100) | p-Value | OR | 95% CI |
|------|------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------|-----------|--------------|
| ≤ 45 | | 48 | 17 | | | |
| | II | 20 (41.7%) | 7 (41.2%) | | Reference | |
| | ID | 21 (43.8) | 9 (52.9%) | 0.733 | 0.817 | 0.255-2.611 |
| | | 7 (14.6) | 1 (5.9%) | 0.438 | 2.45 | 0.254-23.601 |
| | DD vs.ID+II | | | 0.365 | 2.732 | 0.311-24.009 |
| | I allele | 201 (63.8%) | 50 (61.0%) | | Reference | |
| | D allele | 114 (36.2%) | 32 (39.0%) | 0.636 | 0.886 | 0.538-1.461 |
| >45 | | 252 | 83 | | | |
| | II | 101 (40.1%) | 34 (41.0%) | | Reference | |
| | ID | 111 (44.0%) | 44 (53.0%) | 0.54 | 0.849 | 0.504-1.432 |
| | DD | 40 (15.9%) | 5 (6%) | 0.054 | 2.693 | 0.983-7.377 |
| | DD vs.ID+II | | | 0.028 | 2.943 | 1.121-7.728 |
| | I allele | 313 (62.1%) | 112 (67.5%) | | Reference | |
| | D allele | 191 (37.9%) | 54 (32.5%) | 0.214 | 1.266 | 0.873-1.835 |

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. Case group versus control group.

Table 9. Correlations of genotype polymorphism in *ACE1* rs4646994 with histological types of SCC and LUAU according to age.

| Age | Genotype /allele | LUAD (n=282) | SCC (n=14) | p-Value | OR | 95% CI |
|------|------------------|--------------|------------|---------|-----------|--------------|
| ≤ 45 | | 48 | 0 | | | |
| | II | 20 (41.7%) | 0 | | Reference | |
| | ID | 21 (43.8%) | 0 | - | - | - |
| | DD | 7 (14.6%) | 0 | - | - | - |
| | DD vs.ID+II | - | - | - | - | - |
| | I allele | 61 (63.5%) | 0 | | Reference | |
| | D allele | 35 (36.5%) | 0 | - | - | - |
| >45 | | 234 | 14 | | | |
| | II | 92 (39.3%) | 7 (50%) | | Reference | |
| | ID | 105 (44.9%) | 6 (42.9%) | 0.618 | 1.332 | 0.432-4.105 |
| | DD | 37 (15.8%) | 1 (7.1%) | 0.341 | 2.815 | 0.335-23.684 |
| | DD vs.ID+II | | | 0.397 | 2.442 | 0.310-19.235 |
| | I allele | 289 (61.8%) | 20 (71.4%) | | Reference | |
| | D allele | 179 (38.2%) | 8 (28.6%) | 0.308 | 1.548 | 0.668-3.590 |

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. LUAD group versus SCC group.

Table 10. Correlations of *ACE1* rs4646994 genotype polymorphism with EGFR mutation in lung adenocarcinoma according to age.

| Age | Genotype /allele | EGFR+ (n=75) | EGFR- (n=62) | p-Value | OR | 95% CI |
|------|------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|-----------|-------------|
| ≤ 45 | | 9 | 10 | | | |
| | II | 4 (44.4%) | 3 (30%) | | Reference | |
| | ID | 5 (55.6%) | 4 (40%) | 0.949 | 0.938 | 0.128-6.875 |
| | DD | 0 | 3 (30%) | 0.999 | 0 | 0 |
| | DD vs.ID+II | | | 0.999 | 0 | 0 |
| | I allele | 13 (72.2%) | 10 (50%) | | Reference | |
| | D allele | 5 (27.8%) | 10 (50%) | 0.166 | 0.385 | 0.099-1.489 |
| >45 | | 66 | 52 | | | |
| | II | 28 (42.4%) | 19 (36.5%) | | Reference | |
| | ID | 32 (48.5%) | 22 (42.3%) | 0.974 | 0.987 | 0.445-2.188 |
| | DD | 6 (9.1%) | 11 (21.2%) | 0.091 | 0.37 | 0.117-1.172 |
| | DD vs.ID+II | | | 0.071 | 0.373 | 0.128-1.088 |
| | I allele | 88 (66.7%) | 60 (57.7%) | | Reference | |
| | D allele | 44 (33.3%) | 44 (42.3%) | 0.158 | 0.682 | 0.401-1.160 |

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. EGFR+ group versus EGFR- group.

4. Discussion

Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) gene polymorphism is one of the most studied genetic systems in recent years, including cardiovascular, metabolic, immune, cancer, aging, neurodegenerative diseases and mental illness [22–26]. This case-control study aimed to investigate the potential association between *ACE1* rs4646994 polymorphism and lung cancer risk in Chinese patients with pulmonary nodules, and whether this association is related to lung cancer histology and EGFR mutation. We found that the DD genotype of *ACE1* rs4646994 may be associated with lung cancer in patients with pulmonary nodules (OR=2.654, 95% CI, 1.057–6.664, $p=0.038$). The results of a previous meta-analysis did not show any significant association between *ACE1* rs4646994 polymorphism and lung cancer risk [12]. The inconsistency between the results of this study and our results may be affected by race and the number of samples. We did not observe strong evidence that

increased risk was associated with specific histological types of lung cancer (OR=2.750, 95% CI, 0.329–23.005, $p=0.351$). More interestingly, we found that patients with pulmonary nodules carrying the DD genotype had an increased risk of adenocarcinoma and a lower probability of EGFR gene mutation (OR=0.606, 95% CI, 0.372–0.990, $p=0.045$).

In the gender stratification analysis, we found that DD genotype carriers of *ACE1* rs4646994 had a significantly increased risk of lung cancer in female patients with pulmonary nodules (OR=3.652, 95% CI, 1.025–13.019, $p=0.046$). No statistically significant association between *ACE1* gene polymorphism and lung cancer risk was found in male patients with pulmonary nodules (OR=1.667, 95% CI, 0.429–6.475, $p=0.461$). However, it is certain that in both male and female subgroups, we observed that the DD genotype of *ACE1* was positively correlated with lung cancer susceptibility in patients with pulmonary nodules. Male patients with pulmonary nodules carrying DD genotype of *ACE1* rs4646994 is more strongly associated with lung adenocarcinoma than lung squamous cell carcinoma (OR=2.930, 95% CI, 0.336–25.570, $p=0.331$). Due to the limited number of samples, there was no lung squamous cell carcinoma in female patients diagnosed with lung cancer. There was no significant correlation between *ACE1* polymorphism and EGFR mutation after gender stratification. However, we observed that patients with DD genotype of *ACE1* rs4646994 were less likely to have EGFR mutations in male and female subgroups of lung adenocarcinoma patients, which was consistent with the results of no gender stratification analysis. It is noteworthy that in this study, we observed that patients with benign nodules also had EGFR mutations, suggesting that patients with benign nodules should also be screened for EGFR mutations.

In patients with pulmonary nodules under 45 years old, no statistical association was found between *ACE1* rs4646994 and lung cancer risk, while in older patients (> 45), the risk of lung cancer was significantly increased in patients with DD genotype (DD *vs.* ID+II: OR=2.943, 95% CI, 1.121–7.728, $p=0.028$). It was reported that advanced age is risk factor for lung cancer and pulmonary nodule [27–29]. In the age stratification analysis, no significant correlation was found between *ACE1* rs4646994 polymorphism and different pathological types of lung cancer and EGFR mutation in patients with lung adenocarcinoma.

As far as we know, this is first study exploring the association between *ACE1* rs4646994 polymorphism and lung cancer risk in patients with pulmonary nodules. And this study is one of the few that has investigated the association between gene polymorphism and the risk of SCC and LUAD. However, there are some limitations in our research. It is difficult to avoid selection bias and information bias in the whole research process. Although the number of samples included in this study can meet the experimental requirements, the number of respondents in the stratified analysis is not sufficient. In order to further understand the role of *ACE1* gene in the development of lung cancer and find out the complex relationship between *ACE1* polymorphism and gene-environment interaction, larger sample size and various expression studies will be needed. In addition, as with all association studies, it is certainly necessary to reproduce our findings in independent studies and in different ethnic populations.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our study indicates that the DD genotype of *ACE1* rs4646994 may contribute to an increased risk of lung cancer in patients with pulmonary nodules. Furthermore, the possibility of EGFR mutation in lung adenocarcinoma patients with *ACE1* rs4646994 DD genotype was lower than that of II or ID genotype carriers.

Author Contributions:

Rong Qiao: Ideas, Conduction a research and investigation process, Data collection, Data analysis, Writing original draft.
Siyao Sang: Writing original draft, Methodology, Visualization.
Jiajun Teng: Writing review and editing, Visualization.
Hua Zhong: Supervision, Writing review and editing.
Hui Li: Funding acquisition, Writing review and editing.

Baohui Han: Supervision, Writing review and editing, Data collection. 228
229

Funding: The work was funded by the National Key R&D Program of China (2020YFE0201600). 230

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the 231
Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Shanghai Jiao Tong University, under protocol number 232
KS1407. All participants signed an informed consent form. 233

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the 234
study. 235

Data Availability Statement: The datasets employed to support this study are available from 236
corresponding author on reasonable request. 237

Acknowledgments: The work was funded by the National Key R&D Program of China (2020YFE0201600). 238
We thank the Dr. Minhua Shao of Guang Han Technology (Shanghai) Co. for her help with the 239
experiments and writing in this paper. 240

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no competing interests. 241

Abbreviations 242

| | | |
|-------|---------------------------------|-----|
| ACE | Angiotensin-converting enzyme | 243 |
| CI | confidence intervals | |
| LUAD | adenocarcinoma | |
| NSCLC | non-small cell lung cancer | |
| OR | odds ratios | 244 |
| SCC | squamous cell carcinoma | |
| SCLC | small cell lung cancer | |
| SNPs | single nucleotide polymorphisms | |

References 245

1. Siegel, R.L.; Miller, K.D.; Fuchs, H.E.; Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2022. *CA Cancer J Clin* **2022**, *72*, 7–33. <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21708>. 246

2. Varoli, F.; Vergani, C.; Caminiti, R.; Francese, M.; Gerosa, C.; Bongini, M.; Roviario, G. Management of solitary pulmonary nodule. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* **2008**, *33*, 461–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.2007.12.004>. 247

3. Travis, W.D.; Brambilla, E.; Nicholson, A.G.; Yatabe, Y.; Austin, J.H.M.; Beasley, M.B.; Chirieac, L.R.; Dacic, S.; Duhig, E.; Flieder, D.B.; et al. The 2015 World Health Organization Classification of Lung Tumors: Impact of Genetic, Clinical and Radiologic Advances Since the 2004 Classification. *J Thorac Oncol* **2015**, *10*, 1243–1260. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JTO.0000000000000630>. 248

4. Thai, A.A.; Solomon, B.J.; Sequist, L.V.; Gainor, J.F.; Heist, R.S. Lung cancer. *Lancet* **2021**, *398*, 535–554. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00312-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00312-3). 249

5. Walter, K. Pulmonary Nodules. *JAMA* **2021**, *326*, 1544. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.12319>. 250

6. Kang, N.; Kim, K.H.; Jeong, B.H.; Lee, K.; Kim, H.; Kwon, O.J.; Ahn, M.J.; Cho, J.; Lee, H.Y.; Um, S.W. The Impact of EGFR Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor on the Natural Course of Concurrent Subsolid Nodules in Patients with Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Cancer Res Treat* **2022**, *54*, 817–826. <https://doi.org/10.4143/crt.2021.822>. 251

7. Loeb, L.A.; Ernster, V.L.; Warner, K.E.; Abbotts, J.; Laszlo, J. Smoking and lung cancer: an overview. *Cancer Res* **1984**, *44*, 5940–58. 252

8. Hurria, A.; Kris, M.G. Management of lung cancer in older adults. *CA Cancer J Clin* **2003**, *53*, 325–41. <https://doi.org/10.3322/canjclin.53.6.325>. 253

9. Pereira, E.E.B.; Leitao, L.P.C.; Andrade, R.B.; Modesto, A.A.C.; Fernandes, B.M.; Burbano, R.M.R.; Assumpcao, P.P.; Fernandes, M.R.; Guerreiro, J.F.; Santos, S.; et al. UGT1A1 Gene Polymorphism Contributes as a Risk Factor for Lung Cancer: A Pilot Study with Patients from the Amazon. *Genes (Basel)*, *13*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes13030493>,url={<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35328047>},year={2022},type={JournalArticle}. 254

10. Wang, S.; Cui, Z.; Li, H.; Li, J.; Lv, X.; Yang, Z.; Gao, M.; Bi, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Zhou, B.; et al. LncRNA NEAT1 polymorphisms and lung cancer susceptibility in a Chinese Northeast Han Population: A case-control study. *Pathol Res Pract*, *215*, 152723. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.2019.152723>,url={<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31704150>},year={2019},type={JournalArticle}. 255

11. Schneider, J.; Classen, V.; Helmig, S. XRCC1 polymorphism and lung cancer risk. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn*, *8*, 761–80. <https://doi.org/10.1586/14737159.8.6.761>,url={<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18999926>},year={2008},type={JournalArticle}. 256

12. Wang, N.; Yang, D.; Ji, B.; Li, J. Angiotensin-converting enzyme insertion/deletion gene polymorphism and lung cancer risk: A meta-analysis. *J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst*, *16*, 189–94. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470320314552310>,url={<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25354524>},year={2015},type={JournalArticle}. 257

13. Mazzone, P.J.; Lam, L. Evaluating the Patient With a Pulmonary Nodule: A Review. *JAMA* **2022**, *327*, 264–273. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.24287>. 274

14. Saengsriwaritt, W.; Jittikoon, J.; Chaikledkaew, U.; Udomsinprasert, W. Genetic polymorphisms of ACE1, ACE2, and TMPRSS2 associated with COVID-19 severity: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Rev Med Virol* **2022**, *32*, e2323. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rmv.2323>. 275

15. Rieder, M.J.; Taylor, S.L.; Clark, A.G.; Nickerson, D.A. Sequence variation in the human angiotensin converting enzyme. *Nat Genet*, *22*, 59–62. 276

16. Gomez, J.; Albaiceta, G.M.; Garcia-Clemente, M.; Lopez-Larrea, C.; Amado-Rodriguez, L.; Lopez-Alonso, I.; Hermida, T.; Enriquez, A.I.; Herrero, P.; Melon, S.; et al. Angiotensin-converting enzymes (ACE, ACE2) gene variants and COVID-19 outcome. *Gene* **2020**, *762*, 145102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2020.145102>. 277

17. Verma, S.; Abbas, M.; Verma, S.; Khan, F.H.; Raza, S.T.; Siddiqi, Z.; Ahmad, I.; Mahdi, F. Impact of I/D polymorphism of angiotensin-converting enzyme 1 (ACE1) gene on the severity of COVID-19 patients. *Infect Genet Evol* **2021**, *91*, 104801. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.2021.104801>. 278

18. Delanghe, J.R.; Speeckaert, M.M.; De Buyzere, M.L. COVID-19 infections are also affected by human ACE1 D/I polymorphism. *Clin Chem Lab Med* **2020**, *58*, 1125–1126. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cclm-2020-0425>. 279

19. Kehoe, P.G. The renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system and Alzheimer s disease? *J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst* **2003**, *4*, 80–93. <https://doi.org/10.3317/jraas.2003.017>. 280

20. Castellon, R.; Hamdi, H.K. Demystifying the ACE polymorphism: from genetics to biology. *Curr Pharm Des* **2007**, *13*, 1191–8. <https://doi.org/10.2174/138161207780618902>. 281

21. McWilliams, A.; Tammemagi, M.C.; Mayo, J.R.; Roberts, H.; Liu, G.; Soghrati, K.; Yasufuku, K.; Martel, S.; Laberge, F.; Gingras, M.; et al. Probability of cancer in pulmonary nodules detected on first screening CT. *N Engl J Med* **2013**, *369*, 910–9. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1214726>. 282

22. Sayed-Tabatabaei, F.A.; Oostra, B.A.; Isaacs, A.; van Duijn, C.M.; Witteman, J.C. ACE polymorphisms. *Circ Res* **2006**, *98*, 1123–33. <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.RES.0000223145.74217.e7>. 283

23. Khurana, V.; Goswami, B. Angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE). *Clin Chim Acta* **2022**, *524*, 113–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2021.10.029>. 284

24. Zmorzynski, S.; Szudy-Szczyrek, A.; Popek-Marciniak, S.; Korszen-Pilecka, I.; Wojciewska-Litwin, M.; Luterek, M.; Chocholska, S.; Styk, W.; Swiderska-Kolacz, G.; Januszczyk, J.; et al. ACE Insertion/Deletion Polymorphism (rs4646994) Is Associated With the Increased Risk of Multiple Myeloma. *Front Oncol*, *9*, 44. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.00044>. 285

25. Gupta, K.; Kaur, G.; Pathak, T.; Banerjee, I. Systematic review and meta-analysis of human genetic variants contributing to COVID-19 susceptibility and severity. *Gene* **2022**, *844*, 146790. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2022.146790>. 286

26. Moradzadegan, A.; Vaisi-Raygani, A.; Nikzamir, A.; Rahimi, Z. Angiotensin converting enzyme insertion/deletion (I/D) (rs4646994) and Vegf polymorphism (+405G/C; rs2010963) in type II diabetic patients: Association with the risk of coronary artery disease. *J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst* **2015**, *16*, 672–80. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470320313497819>. 287

27. Toumazis, I.; Bastani, M.; Han, S.S.; Plevritis, S.K. Risk-Based lung cancer screening: A systematic review. *Lung Cancer* **2020**, *147*, 154–186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.2020.07.007>. 288

28. Sears, C.R.; Rivera, M.P. Age, Sex, Smoking, and Race: Is Progress Being Made in Lung Cancer Screening Eligibility? *Chest*, *160*, 31–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2021.03.043>,url={https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34246372},year={2021},type={JournalArticle}. 289

29. Wong, M.L.; Shi, Y.; Fung, K.Z.; Ngo, S.; Elicker, B.M.; Brown, J.K.; Hiatt, R.A.; Tang, V.L.; Walter, L.C. Age, comorbidity, life expectancy, and pulmonary nodule follow-up in older veterans. *PLoS One*, *13*, e0200496. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200496>,url={https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30044854},year={2018},type={JournalArticle}. 290