

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

Skid Resistance of Asphalt Pavements

[László Gáspár](#)^{*} and Szabolcs Rosta

Posted Date: 26 April 2023

doi: 10.20944/preprints202304.0981.v1

Keywords: asphalt pavements; skid resistance; surface texture; COST Action 354; macro-texture.



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Review

SSkid Resistance of Asphalt Pavements

Szabolcs Rosta ¹ and László Gáspár ^{2,*}

¹ Széchenyi István University Multidisciplinary Technical Scientific Doctoral School, Győr, PhD student, chief innovation engineer; Duna Group, Budapest, Hungary; rosta.szabolcs@dunaaszfalt.hu

² KTI Institute for Transport Sciences Nonprofit Ltd., H-1119 Budapest Than Károly Str. 3-5., Hungary; research professor; Széchenyi István University, Győr, Hungary, professor emeritus

* Correspondence: gaspar.laszlo@kti.hu; Tel.: +36-30-9401-288

Abstract: Skid resistance of a road pavement surface is the force developed when tyre is prevented from rotating slides along the pavement surface. This property comes from the combination of the macro- and micro-texture of pavement. The skid resistance of an asphalt pavement is an important parameter influencing driving safety on a road, since there is a proven relationship between skid resistance and accident parameters. The paper deals with the measurement principle of pavement skid resistance (surface friction) including longitudinal and transverse friction. A high number of measuring devices of skid resistance are also introduced highlighting their advantages and limitations. Besides, the measurement policies in the European Union and in Hungary are outlined. Pavement surface texture is investigated dealing with the levels of surface texture, the most common measuring techniques, the macro-texture features of asphalt types, as well as the Hungarian regulation in the field. As a related topic, the aggregate properties and their implication in the relevant Hungarian specification are introduced briefly, as well. Some outcomes of the EU's COST Action 354 on the development of unified European macro-roughness and skid resistance performance indicators and indices are also presented.

Keywords: asphalt pavements; skid resistance; surface texture; COST Action 354; macro-texture

1. Introduction

Skid resistance of a road pavement surface is the force developed when tyre is prevented from rotating slides along the pavement surface. This is a property that characterizes the macro- and micro-texture of pavement, and shows the effect on frictional forces when the surface receives wheel loading. One of the main reasons for the spread of asphalt is that it provides favourable resistance to sliding and driving conditions for each road vehicle type. However, safety need to be considered under different environmental and construction conditions. The skid resistance of an asphalt pavement is an important parameter influencing driving safety on a road.

The fact that the slip resistance of pavement surfaces and the frequency of crashes are closely related is worldwide obviously accepted. However, several studies concluded that when the friction of the surfaces falls below a site-specific threshold, the number and severity of collision accidents on wet pavement surfaces increase significantly [1,2]. An example of such a relationship developed for single carriageways by English researchers, shows that crash (road accident) risk approximately halves as pavement friction doubles over normal ranges (Figure 1) [3].




Figure 1. Relationship between pavement friction and crash risk [3].

Asphalt pavements usually provide good driving conditions for all road vehicles, and are therefore widespread worldwide. Nevertheless, there are still safety problems that need to be investigated and possibly solved. Especially, as it has been shown that there is a good relationship between skid resistance of the pavement surface and accidents (crashes). The exact nature of the relationship between pavement friction and wet crashes is site-specific, as it is influenced by not only pavement friction but other factors, as well. That is why, pavement friction and wet crashes relationships should be determined for a given pavement network.

Skid resistance/friction have two components, namely, adhesion and hysteresis. These components largely depend on two properties of the pavement surface: macro-texture and micro-texture, while macro-texture and micro-texture of a pavement surface are largely depends on the type, size, shape and quality of coarse aggregates used in the asphalt mixture of wearing course. The widely applied hot mix asphalt (HMA) types generally have similar (or at least comparable) micro-texture values. Their frictional performance follows the same order as their macro-textures. The skid resistance of an asphalt pavement changes (typically worsens) over time, and is affected by various environmental factors. At the same time, differences in the pavement surface temperatures could significantly influence the reliability of skid resistance measurements [4].

2. Pavement friction

2.1. Pavement friction generalities

Pavement friction is the force that resists the relative motion between a road vehicle tyre and a pavement surface. The resistive force, characterized by the non-dimensional friction coefficient, μ , is the ratio of the tangential friction force (F) between the tyre tread rubber and the horizontal travelled pavement surface to the perpendicular force or vertical load (F_w) and is calculated as:

$$\mu = F/F_w, \quad (1)$$

Pavement friction plays a vital role in keeping vehicles on the road, as it gives drivers the ability to control/manoeuvre their vehicles in a safe manner, in both the longitudinal and the lateral directions. It is a key input for highway geometric design, as it is used in determining the adequacy of the minimum stopping sight distance, minimum horizontal radius, minimum radius of crest vertical curves, and maximum super-elevation in horizontal curves. So, it can be concluded, the

higher the friction available at the pavement–tyre interface, the more control the driver has over the road vehicle [5].

2.2. Longitudinal Frictional Forces

Longitudinal frictional forces occur between a rolling pneumatic tyre of a road vehicle (in the longitudinal direction) and the road surface when operating in the free rolling or constant-braked mode. In the free rolling mode (no braking), the relative speed between the tyre circumference and the pavement—referred to as the slip speed—is zero. In the constant-braked mode, the slip speed increases from zero to a potential maximum of the speed of the vehicle. The following mathematical relationship explains slip speed [6]:

$$S = V - V_p = V - (0.68 \cdot \omega \cdot r), \quad (2)$$

where

S: slip speed, mi/h,

V: vehicle speed, mi/h,

V_p : average peripheral speed of the tyre, mi/h,

ω : angular velocity of the tyre, rad/s,

r: average radius of the tyre, ft.

The coefficient of friction between a tyre and the pavement surface changes with varying slip, as shown in Figure 2 [7]. The coefficient of friction increases rapidly with increasing slip to a peak value that usually occurs between 10 and 20 % slip (critical slip). The friction then de-creases to a value (coefficient of sliding friction), which occurs at 100 % slip. The difference between the peak and sliding coefficients of friction may equal up to 50 % of the sliding value, and is much greater on wet pavement surfaces than on dry ones.




Figure 2. Pavement friction versus tyre slip [7].

The relationship shown in Figure 2 is the basis for the anti-locking brake system (ABS), which takes advantage of the front side of peak friction, and minimizes the loss of side/steering friction due to sliding action. Road vehicles with ABS are designed to apply the brakes on and off (i.e., pump the brakes) repeatedly, such that the slip is held near the peak. The braking is turned off before the peak is reached, and turned on at a set time or slip % below the peak. The actual timing is a proprietary design of the manufacturer [6].

2.3. Lateral Frictional Forces

Another important aspect of friction is the lateral (side-force) friction, which occurs as a vehicle changes direction or compensates for pavement cross-slope and/or cross wind effects. The relationship between the forces acting on the vehicle tyre and the pavement surface as the vehicle steers around a curve, changes lanes, or compensates for lateral forces is as follows:

$$F_s = V^2 / 15 * R - e \quad (3)$$

where

F_s : slide friction,

V : vehicle speed, mi/h,

R : radius of the path of the vehicle's centre of gravity (also, the radius of curvature in curve), ft,

e : pavement super-elevation, ft/ft.

2.4. Combined Braking and Cornering

In the case of combined braking and cornering, the driver of the vehicle either risks not stopping as rapidly or losing control due to reduced lateral/side forces. When operating at the limits of tyre grip, the interaction of the longitudinal and lateral forces is such that as one force increases, the other must decrease by a proportional amount. The application of longitudinal braking decreases the lateral force significantly. Similarly, the application of high lateral force leads to less effective longitudinal braking [8].

Many researchers call it friction circle or friction ellipse (Figure 3) [9], the vector sum of the two combined forces remains constant (circle) or near constant (ellipse). When operating within the limits of tyre grip, the amount of braking and turning friction components can change independently as long as the vector sum of these components does not exceed the limits of tyre grip (coming from friction circle or friction ellipse). The degree of ellipse depends on several features of tyre and road pavement.




Figure 3. Brake (F_x) and lateral (F_y) forces as a function of longitudinal slip [9].

3. Measuring surface friction (skid resistance)

3.1. Measurement principles

The three major operating principles of frictional measuring equipment are: longitudinal friction coefficient (LFC), sideways force coefficient (SFC) and sliders that can be stationary or slow moving (slow speed) devices.

Longitudinal friction coefficient (LFC) measurement principle is applied when the vehicle is travelling in a straight line, and the brake pedal is pressed; braking forces by the help of braking system are transmitted to the wheels of road vehicle. Angular speed of wheel decreases, kinetic

vehicle rolling energy converts to thermal energy and the vehicle slows down. However, in case of too high braking forces, vehicle wheel blocks and starts to slide on the pavement surface, friction forces are generated in tyre and road contact surface slowing down the vehicle. Longitudinal friction coefficient measurement devices create this wheel blocking process by producing controlled sliding process. Slip ratio is also applied to assess and compare wheel and vehicle speeds. Slip ratio varies from 0 to 1:

- 0 – wheel speed is the same like vehicle speed, wheel rolls freely,
- 1 – wheel is fully blocked, and slides on the pavement surface.

Optimal slip ratio value varies from 0.15 to 0.20. In this interval is ensured highest LFC and shortest vehicle stopping distance. When the slip ratio is higher, vehicle wheel starts to block and slide. Majority of longitudinal friction measurement devices applies fixed slip ratio, which is determined automatically. However, some of the devices utilizes variable slip ratio, increase friction force until the wheel starts to block. Devices with fixed slip ratio are more appropriate for monitoring purposes because they measure continuously while variable slip ratio devices could measure short length of road and that is why they are more suitable for various research purposes [10,11].

Transverse friction measurement principle is applied when the vehicle is travelling in a horizontal curve, and the wheels of road vehicle are turned. Angle between vehicle and turned wheel direction is called slip angle (δ). Slip angle induce friction between tyre and road, which in turn generates a centripetal force opposing the centrifugal force exerted on the vehicle in the bend, allowing the vehicle to follow round the curve. When braking force grows the wheel starts to slip over the surface of road pavement. The sideways friction coefficient (SFC) varies with the slip angle of vehicle tyre. Normally, the maximum SFC value occurs at a slip angle between 4° and 7° for cars, and between 6° and 10° for trucks. Skid resistance measurement devices commonly use fixed slip angle; they are more appropriate for pavement monitoring purposes since they measure continuously while variable slip ratio devices could measure just short road sections, and consequently, are more appropriate for research tasks [10,11].

Stationary or slow moving equipment measurement principle is used by the devices, which are light, simple, portable and mostly used for laboratory or stationary testing. Static devices utilise rubber sliders to make contact with the road surface with a mechanism that initiates relative motion between the slider and the road. Two devices are used: pendulum arm which swings and with a rubber slider contacts the surface until friction forces slow down the slider; rotating head with sliders, which is lowered on to the road so that friction between the sliders and the road causes the head to slow down. Slow moving devices are used to measure friction at very low speed or stationary, but these devices are good to measure friction for special purposes (e.g. road marking) [12].

3.2. Measurement devices

The measurement of pavement friction is rather hard, because the frictional forces being measured are sensitive to a number of factors, which are difficult to control. Such factors include texture, temperature, chemistry of materials, etc. of the road pavement. Others are connected with the tyre (its tread design, rubber composition, sliding velocity temperature, etc.) and fluid/contaminant (viscosity, density, thickness of film, etc). However, during friction measurement, all other factors except the road surface are kept constant. The pavement surface is then wetted with a specified amount of water and a standardized measuring tyre used on friction measuring equipment to characterise the pavement friction. Therefore a high number of skid measurement devices was developed and currently are used in various countries [13]. This equipment utilises different measurement speed, used tyre, wheel load, water film thickness. Some measurement devices are similar due to measurement principle, but the measurement method is not the same. Table 1 introduces the skid resistance measuring devices that are being used in practice in EU. It can be seen that more devices use the LFC method than the SFC method.

Table 1. List of skid resistance measurement devices [10–13].

Title	Measuring principle	Main parameter	Tyre and wheel load
ADHERA	Longitudinal friction coefficient (LFC)	Slip ratio: 1.0; Water film thickness: 1.0 mm; Measures macrotexture; Measurement speed: 40, 60, 90, 120 km/h; Measurement interval: 20 m.	PIARC smooth profile tyre 165R15 (180 kPa) ; Wheel load: 2500 N.
ASFT	Longitudinal friction coefficient (LFC)	Slip ratio: 0.12; Water film thickness: 0-1.5 mm (ideally 0.9 mm) Measurement speed: 50, 70, 90 km/h Measurement interval: 10 m	Trelleborg type 4.00-8" tyre (700±5 kPa); Wheel load: 2500 N
BV-11	Longitudinal friction coefficient (LFC)	Slip ratio: 0.17; Water film thickness: 0.5-1.0 mm; Measurement speed: 70 km/h; Measurement interval: 20 m.	Trelleborg type T49 tyre (140 kPa); Wheel load: 1000 N.
GripTester	Longitudinal friction coefficient (LFC)	Slip ratio: 0.15; Water film thickness: 0,5 mm; Measurement speed: 5-100 km/h; Measurement interval: 10-20 m or other.	254 mm diameter smooth profile ASTM-tyre (140 kPa); Wheel load: 250 N.
RoadSTAR	Longitudinal friction coefficient (LFC)	Slip ratio: 0.18; Water film thickness: 0.5 mm; Measures macrotexture; Measurement speed: 30, 60 km/h; Measurement interval: 50 m.	PIARC tyre with tread; Wheel load: 3500 N.
ROAR DK	Longitudinal friction coefficient (LFC)	Slip ratio: 0.2; Water film thickness: 0.5 mm; Measures macrotexture; Measurement speed: 60, 80 km/h; Measurement interval: >5 m.	ASTM 1551 tyre (207 kPa); Wheel load: 1200 N.
ROAR NL	Longitudinal friction coefficient (LFC)	Slip ratio: 0.86; Water film thickness: 0.5 mm; Measures macrotexture; Measurement speed: 50, 70 km/h; Measurement interval: 5-100 m.	ASTM 1551 tyre (200 kPa); Wheel load: 1200 N.
RWS NL Skid Resistance Trailer	Longitudinal friction coefficient (LFC)	Slip ratio: 0.86; Water film thickness: 0.5 mm; Measurement speed: 50, 70 km/h; Measurement interval: 5-100 m.	PIARC smooth profile tyre 165R15 (200 kPa); Wheel load: 1962 N.
Skiddometer BV-8	Longitudinal friction coefficient (LFC)	Slip ratio: 1,0 or 0,14; Water film thickness: 0.5 mm; Measurement speed: 40, 60, 80 km/h; Measurement interval: 30-50 m.	AIPCR tyre with longitudinal tread 165R15; Wheel load: 3500 N.
SRM	Longitudinal friction coefficient (LFC)	Slip ratio: 0.15; Water film thickness: 0.5 mm; Measurement speed: 40, 60, 80 km/h; Measurement interval: 20 m or other.	AIPCR tyre with longitudinal tread 165R15; Wheel load: 3500 N.
TRT	Longitudinal friction coefficient (LFC)	Slip ratio: 0.15; Water film thickness: 0.5 mm; Measurement speed: 40-140 km/h; Measurement interval: 20 m or other.	Smooth profile ASTM- tyre; Wheel load: 1000 N.
SRT-3	Longitudinal friction coefficient (LFC)	Slip ratio: 1.0; Water film thickness: 0.5 mm; Measurement speed: 60 km/h.	Tyre with tread (200 kPa).
IMAG	Longitudinal friction coefficient (LFC)	Slip ratio: 1.0; Water film thickness: 1.0 mm; Measurement speed: 65 km/h;	PIARC smooth profile tyre; Wheel load: 1500 N.

SCRIM	Sideway friction coefficient (SFC)	Slip angle: 20°; Water film thickness: 0.5 mm; Measures macrotexture; Measurement speed: 50 km/h; Measurement interval: >10 m.	Avon SCRIM smooth profile tyre 76/508 (350 kPa); Wheel load: 1960 N
SKM	Sideway friction coefficient (SFC)	Slip angle: 20°; Water film thickness: 0,5 mm; Measurement speed: 50 km/h; Measurement interval: 100 m or other.	Smooth profile tyre; Wheel load: 1960 N.
DFT Dynamic Friction Tester	Rotating friction	For stationary measurements	
SRT Pendulum	Pendulum test	For stationary measurements	
T2GO	Slow moving measurement; Longitudinal friction coefficient (LFC)	Slip ratio: 0.2; Used for pedestrian/bicycle paths, road marking	Two 75 mm width tyres.
VTI Portable Friction Tester (PFT)	Slow moving measurement; Longitudinal friction coefficient (LFC)	Used for pedestrian/bicycle paths	

Devices based on LFC principle can measure LFC at different speeds (typically between 40 and 140 km/h), devices are relatively small and have better mobility, commonly completed as trailers. However, it is always required to have additional water metering system, which is mounted in accompanying vehicle. At the same time, transverse friction measurement devices perform measurement at medium speed, the devices are bigger and their operation costs are significantly higher. However, these devices are supplemented with large water tanks allowing them relatively long distance measurements [12]. Measurement devices with installed pavement macro-texture measurement equipment allow also measuring mean profile depth (MPD) for further comparisons and analysis of pavement surface condition and identifying interrelationship between skid resistance and MPD of road surface to be characterised. Stationary and slow moving devices are slow and unproductive since these measurements are carried out mechanically. However, these devices are useful when performing measurements in specific locations. Besides, stationary and slow moving devices are cheap, could be easily transported and operated.

3.3. Measurement policy in EU

In the European Union, most countries have national skid resistance measurement policies, like methodologies, purposes, devices, thresholds, frequency measurements for road categories during the monitoring system like a condition index. In many EU countries, measurements for routine monitoring are made between spring and autumn.

Important factor in skid resistance measurement policy is threshold levels, which are the values that are compared to the skid resistance characteristic values and could initiate some kind of action when the skid resistance falls to the threshold level. Threshold could be fixed or “warning” type. Fixed threshold is the value of skid resistance, and when it falls below this value, action to improve the surface must be taken. Warning thresholds are good option because if skid resistance falls below this level it provides a warning that accident risk may be increasing, and therefore an investigation should be made to assess whether treatment is necessary or appropriate. In some EU practice, threshold levels are mostly based on statistics, accident analysis and theoretical calculations (accident risk calculations). For the countries, that are introducing skid resistance policy, good option is to set thresholds utilizing another country’s regulation, which has networks with similar characteristics and comparable traffic „culture”.

Many EU countries mostly apply measurements for secondary roads, primary roads and motorways. Measurement frequency also varies due to the use of different devices, different size of road network and different allocated funds. Some countries measure twice a year while other countries measure annually, every 2nd, 3rd or 5th year. Regarding measurement frequency due to significance of roads it is observed that in most of the EU countries, skid resistance measurements in motorways are performed annually, in primary roads annually or every 2nd year and in secondary roads every 2nd year [12].

3.4. Measurement policy in Hungary

In Hungary, tyre and pavement surface friction measurements need to be measured on newly built motorways and main roads after building new road or reconstruction works during the quality control (acceptance test). But skid resistance is currently not a qualification but a data collection feature. In the case of the construction of asphalt pavements, this kind of measurement of the surface layers (wearing courses) must be performed 3 months after road opening. The skid resistance have to be measured on the pavement surface using SCRIM (Sideway-force Coefficient Routine Investigation Machine) road survey vehicle in accordance with e-UT 09.02.23:1999 [14] or using ASFT equipment based on e-UT 09.02.27:2009 Hungarian Road Regulation (UME) [15]. Measurements shall be made at a speed of 50 km/h per road traffic lane, at a distance of 0.8 to 1.2 m from the right lane edge, in the same direction as traffic. ASFT value should be converted to SCRIM value using the following empirical formula:

$$\alpha_{\text{SFC}} = 0,65x^2 - 0,35x + 0,42 \quad (4)$$

where,

x: measured ASFT value

α_{SFC} : coefficient of skid resistance determined by SCRIM surface friction tester.

The skid resistance of a surface layer can also be determined by the SRT (pendulum) test in accordance with EN 13036-4: 2012 [16] measured every 500 meters per traffic lane.

The e-UT 09.02.23:1999 UME [14] specifies surface course skid resistance (SFC) thresholds measured by SCRIM apparatus for various asphalt concrete types (Table 2) based on Hungarian measurement results.

Table 2. SCRIM-SFC (sideways force coefficient) limits for the surface of pavement type course.

Pavement category	Hot Rolled Asphalt	Asphalt Concrete	Cement Concrete	Surface Dressing
I.	0.80-0.50	0.75-0.50	0.75-0.50	0.90-0.50
II.	0.80-0.45	0.70-0.45	0.65-0.45	0.80-0.45
III.	0.70-0.40	0.64-0.40	0.64-0.40	0.80-0.40
IV.		0.64-0.33	0.64-0.33	0.64-0.33

The upper limit values of Table 2 (e.g. 0.80) characterize the skid resistance at the new pavements (in 3 month after road opening), while the lower ones (e.g. 0.50) are related to heavily worn road surfaces. The e-UT 09.02.27:2009 UME [15] recommended skid resistance limits for SFT values measured by ASFT method based on Hungarian and international experience, and measurement results (Table 3).

Table 3. Informative limits ASFT-SFT skid resistance values for all pavement types.

Pavement category	New pavement threshold	Warning threshold	Intervention level
I.	0.84	0.75	0.70
II.	0.80	0.65	0.60
III.	0.70	0.55	0.45
IV.	0.65	0.45	0.35

* Legend for Tables 2 and 3: I. Motorways and main roads with a traffic above 3,000 unit vehicle/day, as well as on urban main roads at the approach of signs, pedestrian crossings and similar dangerous points, in curves with a radius of less than 100 meters. II. Excluding motorway, approach to major junctions on roads with a traffic above 3000 unit vehicle/day, on slopes, slopes above 5%, curves with a radius below 150 m, transition curves, before railway light barriers, bridges. III. Main roads with a traffic above 3000 unit vehicle/day on straight sections, urban main roads in curves with a radius above 100 m, slopes below 5 %. IV. Local roads with a traffic below 3000 unit vehicle/day.

There are differences in principle between the two regulations currently in Hungarian practice [14,15]. The specification for SCRIM measurement defines ranges for different types of pavement. While the Road Technical Directives for ASFT apparatus, differentiate only the road category regardless of the actual type of pavement. Here, however, it is possible to determine the thresholds can be defined in accordance with the actual European practice. When choosing limit values, there will always be more favourable and less favourable specified values due to empirical formulas. It is also obvious that measurements based on two different principles are rather difficult to apply at the same time, because the comparison of measuring results of different measuring techniques creates an almost unsolvable problem. Using the empirical formula, it can be concluded that the interventional threshold values are in fairly close agreement in the proposed categories of both procedures.

4. Pavement surface texture

4.1. Measurement principles

As described in the previous chapter, there are several factors influencing pavement friction forces. A NCHRP report [17] summarised these factors dividing them into four categories (pavement surface characteristics, vehicle operational parameters, tyre properties, and environmental factors (Table 5). Friction should be viewed as a process instead of an inherent property of the pavement. It is only when all these factors are fully specified that friction takes on a definite value.

Table 4. Factors affecting actual pavement friction [5].

Pavement Surface Characteristics	Vehicle Operating Parameters	Tire Properties	Environment
Microtexture Macrotexture Megatexture/unevenness Material properties Temperature	Slip speed (vehicle speed, breaking action) Driving maneuver (turning, overtaking)	Foot Print Tread design and condition Rubber composition and hardness Inflation pressure Load Temperature	Climate (wind temperature ; rainfall-condensation ; snow and ice) Contaminants (Anti-skid material; Dirt, mud, debris)

Note: Critical factors are shown in bold.

Among these factors, micro-texture and macro-texture, as well as pavement materials properties (and slip speed) can be managed in a pavement management system, and can be controlled by regulations.

4.2. Measurement principles

Pavement friction is occasioned by the combination of two mechanisms: adhesion and hysteresis (Figure 4). Adhesion comes from molecular bonds in areas of high local pressure resulting from pavement unevenness. Hysteresis is the consequence of energy loss resulting from the deformation of the tyre rubber around the protuberances and depressions in the road surface. Besides, there are also two other less important components of tyre friction: frictional contributions from rubber wear, and surface (or micro) hysteresis as opposed to bulk hysteresis. The cohesion loss component of friction from rubber wear was verified through testing lately [21].




Figure 4. Key mechanisms of tyre-pavement friction [5].

4.3. Measurement principles

Pavement surface texture is defined as the deviations of the road surface from a true flat one. These deviations occur at three distinct levels of scale, each defined by the wavelength (λ) and peak-to-peak amplitude (A) of its components. The three levels of texture are as follows [5,18]:

- Micro-texture ($\lambda < 0.5$ mm, $A = 1$ to 500 μ m)—Surface roughness quality at the sub-visible or microscopic level. It depends on the surface properties of the aggregate grains in the asphalt or concrete paving material.
- Macro-texture ($\lambda = 0.5$ to 50 mm, $A = 0.1$ to 20 mm)—Surface roughness quality defined by the mixture properties (shape, size, and gradation of aggregate) of asphalt paving mixtures and the method of finishing/texturing used on a concrete surface.
- Mega-texture ($\lambda = 50$ to 500 mm, $A = 0.1$ to 50 mm)—Texture with wavelengths in the same order of size as the pavement–tyre interface. It is mainly affected by the distress, defects, or “waviness” on the surface of road pavement.
- Wavelengths above 500 mm are defined as roughness (USA) or unevenness (UK).

Figure 5 illustrates the three texture ranges, as well as a fourth level – roughness/unevenness – representing wavelengths more than 500 mm of mega-texture.




Figure 5. Various texture ranges for a pavement surface [18].

Figure 6 shows the relative effects of micro-texture, macro-texture and measuring speed on pavement friction. It is obvious, that micro-texture of pavement surface affects the magnitude of tyre friction, while macro-texture has an impact on the friction-speed gradient. At low speeds, micro-texture dominates the wet and dry friction level. At higher speeds, the presence of high macro-texture facilitates the drainage of water so that the adhesive component of friction afforded by micro-texture is re-established by being above the water. Hysteresis grows with speed exponentially, and at speeds above 65 mi/h (105 km/h) accounts for more than 95 % of the pavement friction [17].




Figure 6. Various texture ranges for a pavement surface [18].

4.4. Pavement texture measurement

Majority of the generally used methods for pavement texture measurement are related to macrotexture. These are mostly rubber-on-road techniques. More recent developments in non-contact measurements are geared towards microtexture measurements. The most common macrotexture measuring equipment are volumetric sand patch method, circular texture meter and the outflow meter.

The SPM (sand patch method) [23,24] is a volumetric-based spot test method that assesses pavement surface macro-texture through the spreading of a known volume of glass beads or sand of controlled grain size in a circle onto a cleaned pavement surface area, and through the measurement of the average diameter of the resulting circle. The volume of sand or glass bead spread divided by the area of the circle is reported as the mean texture depth (MTD):

$$MTD=4*V/(\pi*D^2) \quad (5)$$

The OFM (outflow meter method) [25] is a volumetric test method measuring the water drainage rate through surface texture and interior voids. It gives information about the hydro-planing potential of a pavement surface by relating to the escape time of water under a moving vehicle tyre. The equipment consists of a cylinder with a rubber ring on the bottom and an open top. Sensors measure the time required for a known volume of water to pass under the seal or into the pavement. The measurement parameter, outflow time (OFT), defines the macro-texture; high time indicates smooth macro-texture, while low time rough one.

The CTM (circular texture meter) [27] is a non-contact laser device measuring the profile of pavement surface along a circular path of 11.25 in (286 mm) diameter at intervals of 0.034 in (0.868 mm). The texture meter device rotates at 20 ft/min (6 m/min) and generates profile traces of the pavement surface. These data are transmitted, and stored on a portable computer. Two kinds of macro-texture indices can be computed from these profiles: mean profile depth (MPD) and root mean square (RMS). MPD is actually a two-dimensional estimate of the three-dimensional MTD [17].

High-speed methods for characterizing pavement surface texture are typically based on non-contact surface profiling techniques, like the Road Surface Analyzer (ROSAN V) developed by the FHWA [26]. It is a portable, vehicle-mounted, automated system for the measurement of pavement texture at highway speeds along a linear path. The device incorporates a laser sensor mounted on the vehicle's front bumper and the device can be operated at speeds of up to 70 mi/h (113 km/h). The system calculates both MPD and estimated mean texture depth (EMTD) using a transformation equation. [5].

The portable Model 9300 laser texture scanner (LTS) [22] is a laser-based equipment. It is capable of determining a range of texture parameters also. It precisely characterises surface elevations at intervals < 0.25 mm. Its more recent version is capable of measuring texture profiles with wavelengths down to 0.05 mm. The system can compute MPD, estimated texture depth (ETD), texture profile index (TPI), RMS, and band passed filtered elevation.

4.5. Macro-texture properties of various asphalt mixture types

Based on the asphalt binder manufacturing process and temperatures used, there are two types of asphalt mixes: hot mix asphalt (HMA) or warm mix asphalt (WMA). HMA is heated and laid at temperatures between 150 °C and 190 °C, while WMA is manufactured and laid under the HMA's temperature by 15 - 40 °C. HMA is the conventionally used mix and predates the WMA. Production of WMA is cheaper, and can remain workable for longer period than HMA. No significant difference can be detected between the early slip resistance of the two mixture types. HMA can be classified further into three categories, based mainly on different aggregate grading, which has an important effect on surface macrotexture.

Dense graded HMA: well- or continuously-graded mixture of coarse and fine aggregates, mineral filler and 5–6 % bituminous binder. Categorized by nominal maximum aggregate size (ranging from 9.5 mm to 19.0 mm) into fine-graded and coarse-graded. The former has higher % of sand and small stones than the latter one. Proper design and placement leads to relatively impermeable mixes. Mixes are suitable for all pavement layers and traffic conditions. Gap- and open-graded mixes have similar microtexture values showing lower texture depths (typically 0.4–0.6 mm for fine-graded and 0.6–1.2 mm for coarse-graded) than gap- and open-graded mixture types.

Gap-graded HMA or Stone mastic asphalt (SMA): aimed at creating stone-on-stone contact within mixture to improve tyre grip and rutting (deformation) resistance. It contains more durable aggregates, higher (polymer-modified) bitumen content (6–9 %), fillers and fibers. Hence, it is more expensive than the other options. Their wet weather friction and lower tyre noise due to its coarser surface texture are among favourable features. It also shows less severe cracking. Their macrotexture depths typically exceed 0.8 mm, usually higher than those of dense graded mixes.

Open-graded HMA or Porous asphalt (PA): designed to be water permeable. Hence, it uses mostly coarse aggregates, small percentage of sand/mineral filler and 3–6 % bituminous binder. The

layer has to contain >15% air voids. It shows similar microtexture values to other mixture types but larger macrotexture (typically of 1.0–3.0 mm depth). Importantly this version shows higher friction values than gap- and dense-graded mixes do [5] [18].

4.6. Hungarian regulation for measuring the macro texture

In accordance with the relevant Hungarian road technical directives [28], asphalt surface course layers must meet certain macro-texture requirements during quality assurance. On the surface of the wearing courses, the macro-texture shall be measured in all traffic lanes, in the outer and inner wheel tracks, in every 6000 m². The measured values of the macro-texture of the wearing course in accordance with relevant EN standard [23] shall meet the requirements presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Informative limits ASFT-SFT skid resistance values for all pavement types.

Asphalt mixture types	AC 8 kopó (F), AC 8 kopó (mF), AC 11 kopó (F), AC 11 kopó (mF), BBTM 4 A (mF), BBTM 8 A (mF), BBTM 8 B (mF), SMA 8 (mF), SMA 8 (mI), MA 11 (F), MA 11 (mF)		AC 16 kopó (F), AC 16 kopó (mF), BBTM 11 A (mF), BBTM 11 B (mF), SMA 11 (mF), SMA 11 (mI)	
Macro-texture compliance limit, mm, min.	0.40		0.50	

In the case of cement concrete pavement surfaces, according to the Hungarian directives [28], the requirements for macro-textures for different texture levels are given in Table 6. The primary role of macro-texture, characterized by measuring sand depth, is to prevent the formation of a coherent water film, in the case of intense rain, its achievable value depends on the method of surface formation [29]. It has to be measured during the quality assurance every 500 meters in the outer and inner wheel tracks per lane.

Table 6. Requirements for the macro-texture levels of concrete pavement surfaces.

Road type	Texture depth, mm
Motorways	>0.5
Main road	>0.4
Local roads	>0.3
Urban roads, parking areas	none

In Hungary, Road Survey Tester (RST) [30] is used for quality assurance measurement, and also for systematic road condition monitoring by determining several pavement condition parameters. Thanks to its Laser Scanning technique and software background, this method also allows the vehicle to determine MTD value, derived from MPD using a transformation equation. The surface texture shall be measured in the following wave length ranges: 1 to 10 mm (fine macro-texture), 10-100 mm (coarse macro--texture) and 100-500 mm (mega-texture). The measured values are the root mean square of the pavement surface wave heights.

5. Aggregates characteristics

5.1. Aggregates characteristics generalities

The coefficient of friction is significantly affected by the grading of aggregates used for the manufacturing of bituminous mixtures. The extent to which the pavement surface will provide adequate skid resistance depend largely on the aggregate. Especially, as the microtexture plays a key role in the development of tyre-pavement friction, and it is mainly governed by aggregate properties [13]. Asphalt binder may have some measure of influence on microtex-ture soon after the laying os asphalt course. Nevertheless, aggregates make up the bulk of asphalt mixtures and serve as

the primary contact medium with vehicle tyres. Hence, for adequate frictional performance of the pavement surface, the coarse aggregates for asphalt mixtures should be carefully chosen [5].

5.2. Mechanical and physical properties of aggregates (Hungarian specifications)

Of the numerous worldwide spread aggregate tests, several ones investigate the physical properties of the coarse aggregate in terms of slip resistance, which can mainly affect the micro-texture. In Hungary, the coarse aggregate can be used in wearing course asphalt mixture if it can meet certain physical limit values, among others, those influencing long-term skid resistance.

In accordance with the relevant specification used in Hungary [31], depending on the expected traffic load of the asphalt layer in question, there is an increasingly strict regulation on the PSV values of coarse aggregates (> 4.0 mm) used for surface layers (wearing courses).

The categorization is based on the Design Traffic (DT) and Equivalent Standard Axle repetition number (F100) of the road section. Below 10^5 F100 during the expected life, there is no PSV value requirement. Between $0.1 \cdot 10^6$ and $0.3 \cdot 10^6$ F100 expected heavy traffic load, min. PSV44 polished stone value category is needed for the coarse aggregate used. While above $0.3 \cdot 10^6$ F100, the coarse aggregate selected has to comply with the requirements of PSV50 category. In general, the PSV 50 category can usually be fulfilled by rocks of volcanic origin. In Hungary, basalt and andesite type crushed rocks can primarily taken into account.

The other two physical properties are the fragmentation resistance in accordance with the standard Los Angeles measurement [32] and the wear resistance in accordance with the Micro Deval measurement [33]. These parameters (LA and MDE) indicate the resistance of aggregate to mechanical degradation. In the Hungarian specification, in case of normal traffic load (below $0.3 \cdot 10^6$ F100), the coarse aggregate of asphalt mixtures have to meet LA25 criterion of Los Angeles fragmentation, while in increased and intensive traffic category (above $0.3 \cdot 10^6$ F100) LA 20 is the compliance limit. In terms of Micro Deval wear resistance, there is requirement for coarse aggregate in BBTM asphalt mixtures (which are typically used for increased category $0.3 \cdot 10^6$ - $3.0 \cdot 10^6$ F100) and for SMA (usually used in intensive traffic category above $3.0 \cdot 10^6$ F100). In these cases, the prescribed limit amounts to 18 MDE.

5.3. Geometric properties in Hungarian specification

Other rather important aggregate properties that can affect skid resistance of pavement surface is the grain structure ones. Sharp and angular coarse aggregate particles interlock and provide higher texture depth. While flat and elongated particles tend to be horizontally oriented resulting in lower texture depth.

According to Hungarian practice, the most commonly used aggregate material for asphalt mixtures of surface layers is crushed stone. Crushed gravel is only permitted in case of normal traffic load (below $0.3 \cdot 10^6$ F100). In these cases, the share of crushed and broken aggregate grain surfaces should be at least 90% in accordance with the relevant standard [34].

Determination of particle shape-flakiness index determines the incidence of flat and elongated aggregates relative to cubic aggregates. This can be given by a reference number called the flakiness index FI in accordance with the relevant standard [35]. As for the Hungarian national specification on flakiness index [36], the specified value is FI20 for the coarse aggregates (KZ 4/8 and KZ 8/11) of SMA-type asphalt mixtures that can be used in the intensive traffic category (above $3.0 \cdot 10^6$ F100). In the case of lower travel category, the specified value for crushed rock aggregates amounts to be FI35. In case of crushed gravel, the requirement of category FI25 must be met.

6. COST Action 354

6.1. COST Action 354 generalities

The main objective of COST Action 354 is the development of unified European performance indicators and indices for road users and managers [37–39]. The numerical evaluation of performance indicators is of major importance since it provides information for national and European road

pavement design and maintenance. The actual intervention limit values of indicators supply the minimally achievable quality requirements for planned and existing road pavement surfaces. In the case of various pavement structure and road types, different performance indicator values prove as appropriate. These performance indicators can also be inputs of pavement management systems (PMSs) inputs [40] when the maintenance needs of relevant road sections or networks are calculated (forecasted).

Based on the replies of the comprehensive COST 354 questionnaire [38], the development of the following performance indices at a unitless scale was aimed at:

- longitudinal unevenness;
- transverse unevenness
- macro-texture (macro-roughness in the USA);
- skid resistance;
- rolling noise;
- air pollution;
- pavement structure;
- load bearing capacity.

6.1. Macro-texture performance indicators

Pavement macro-texture is directly related to traffic safety and road user comfort. It influences indirectly pavement skid resistance by the actual connection between (wet) pavement surface and vehicle tyre. Based on the questionnaire survey of COST 354, it was revealed that two texture measurement principles are used in Europe: the Volumetric and the Laser method.

- Volumetric method (sand patch test) is actually the spreading of a given amount of sand or glass bead on the pavement surface with help of a standard flat disk, then measuring the diameter of sand by a steel scale, taking 5 readings. The mean diameter can be used for the calculation of mean texture depth.
- Laser method, where the result of texture measurement done with a laser is independent on the measuring speed. Although numerous apparatus types (ARAN, Rav, Roadstar, Roar, RST, Rugolaser, SCRIM) are available, a measurement is almost exactly the same way is performed. The devices apply one or more laser beams aimed at various points of pavement surface, often in both wheel paths. The measurement is carried out always on a given line longitudinally, and each profile contains numerous, defined periodically performed level equalization.

Depending on the device and software used, the measurement results can be given in the form of the following performance indices: "mean profile depth - MPD" [39], „mean texture depth - MTD" [23,40] or "sensor measured texture depth - SMTD". This latter one does not have any international specification. 8 European countries use the MPD, there are 3 ones, which prefer MTD, while 2 countries chose SMTD. None of these indicators can be measured by a single device type.

The laser-based method is reliable, fully automated, the differences among its results in the case of calibrated lasers are negligible. The quite simple sand patch test is a proven method, which makes it sufficiently reliable.

The traffic speed measuring MPD and SMTD is safe at network level, while the sand patch method is slow in a closed area of the pavement surface causing some traffic hazard.

Transformation functions were determined in the Czech Republic in order to convert MPD into indices (PIT) at a unitless scale (Table 8).

Table 7. Macro texture limit values in the Czech Republic [41].

Index (note)	Verbal classification	MPD (mm) in the road class of	
		motorway, primary road	secondary road
1	very good	min. 0.89	min. 0.79
2	good	0.74-0.88	0.64-0.78
3	appropriate	0.64-0.73	0.54-0.63
4	poor	0.54-0.63	0.44-0.53

5	very poor	max. 0.53	max. 0.43
The conversion algorithm developed between the texture indicator MPD and the index PIT [42]:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> motorways and main roads : 			
		$PI_T = 5.3MPD - 1.6$	(6)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> local roads: 			
		$PI_T = 6.9MPD - 2.0$	(7)

6.2. Macro-texture performance indicators

The questionnaire answers of COST 354 prove that the measurement of pavement skid resistance is usually (in 66%) carried out based on national specifications. The reason is that the measuring results are basically affected by the measuring principle and other factors (measuring speed, load of measuring wheel, water film thickness, etc.). The harmonization efforts at European level have so far been unsuccessful [43,44]; thus no valid international standard is available.

The distribution of the skid resistance measuring principles among the 26 questionnaire responses was as follows: 10 longitudinal force on measuring wheel, 10 lateral force on measuring wheel, 3 laser-based, 1 SRT-pendulum, 2 no answer.

13 of 26 questionnaires returned have some information on skid resistance indicator, and just 4 of them contain conversion algorithms (Table 8).

Table 8. Informative limits ASFT-SFT skid resistance values for all pavement types.

Country	Index	Conversion function	Remarks
Austria	Skid resistance index	$9.9286 - 14.236 TP^*$	network level
Belgium	Skid resistance index	$4(SFC^{**} - 0.1)/3$	
Poland	Skid parameter	100-180TP	

Legend: * TP technical parameter ** SFC Sideways Force Coefficient.

For the Sideways Force Coefficient, the following limit values were recommended by COST 354 WP2 [38]:

$$TV = -0.31 \cdot OS + 59, \quad (8)$$

$$WV = -0.31 \cdot OS + 64 \quad (9)$$

$$TAV = -0.31 \cdot OS + 75, \quad (10)$$

where,

TV: threshold value,

WV: warning value,

TAV: target value,

OS: operating speed (km/h).

In case of longitudinal frictional force the defined limit values can be found in Table 9.

Table 9. Limit values for longitudinal frictional force [38].

Limits	Main roads	Local roads
Threshold values	$TV = -0.23 \cdot OS + 48$	$TV = -0.23 \cdot OS + 45$
Warning values	$WV = -0.23 \cdot OS + 56$	$WV = -0.23 \cdot OS + 54$

7. Summary

Safe and reliable pavements are of paramount importance for both human losses and economic growth, especially with the intensive growth of traffic systems. Traffic safety is basically influenced by the skidding parameters of road pavement surface. Key parameters influencing measurements of skid resistance of asphalt pavements have been identified and reviewed. A brief overview of some of the most common approaches to modeling the major aspects of tyre-pavement friction has also been provided. Skid resistance is one of the substantial factors which ensure safe travelling on automobile roads, there is a need to improve safety situation. Road pavements with high and long-lasting skid resistance could be a solution. It is important to develop Hungarian skid resistance policy covering skid resistance measurements not only for acceptance tests but also for skid resistance routine monitoring in whole Hungarian road network, and include skid resistance measurement data into pavement management systems. Designing Hot mix asphalt (AC) pavements meeting frictional "demand" requires selecting mix designs and aggregate types, as well as properties that can adequately provide long-term friction. In Hungary, slightly simplified, the regulations for aggregates include these elements for micro-texture. Aggregate types are differentiated and selected by Polish Value „PSV“, Los Angeles Fragmentation Value „LA“, Micro Deval Wear Resistance Value „MDE“, Crushed Particle Ratio „C“ and Flakiness Index [31–35]. Some results of a COST Action is also presented highlighting the typical European practice on macro texture and skid resistance measurement and pavement surface evaluation as an important input of Pavement Management Systems.

References

1. Wallman, C.-G.; Åström, H. *Friction Measurement Methods and the Correlation Between Road Friction and Traffic Safety – A Literature Review* Report of the Swedish National Road and Traffic Institute, VTI meddelande 911A, Linköping, Sweden, 2001.
2. Kutttesch, J. S. Quantifying the Relationship Between Skid Resistance and Wet Weather Accidents for Virginia Data, M.S. Thesis, Department of Civil Engineering, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia, 2004, 147 p.
3. Viner, H.; Sinhal R.; Parry, T. Review of UK Skid Resistance Policy, Paper prepared for 5th International Symposium on Pavement Surface Characteristics - Road and Airports, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, 2004, 12 p.
4. Anupam, K.; Srirangam, S.; Scarpas, A.; Kasbergen, C. Influence of Temperature on Tire-Pavement Friction: Analyses, Transportation Research Record: *Journal of Transportation Research Board*, 2369, 2013, pp. 114–124. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2369-13>
5. Hall, J.W.; Smith, K.L.; Titus-Glover, L.; Evans, L.D.; Wambold, J.C.; Yager, T.J.; Rado, Z. *Guide for Pavement Friction. Contractor's Final Report for National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Project 01-43*, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, D.C. 2009, 257 p. http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/nchrp/nchrp_w108.pdf (Accessed June 2015)
6. Meyer, W.E. *Synthesis of Frictional Requirements Research*, Report No. FHWA/RD-81/159, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Washington, D.C. 1982.
7. Henry, J.J. *Evaluation of Pavement Friction Characteristics*, NCHRP Synthesis 291, National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP), Washington, D.C. 2000, 72 p.
8. Gillespie, T.D. *Fundamentals of Vehicle Dynamics*, Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE), Warrendale, Pennsylvania, 1992, 519 p.
9. Radt, H.S.; Milliken, W.F. *Motions of Skidding Automobiles*, Paper No. 600133 (205A), Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE), Warrendale, Pennsylvania, 1960.
10. Do, M-T.; Roe, P. Report on State-of-the-Art of Test Methods. *TYROSAFE project deliverable D04*, 2008, 89 p.
11. Descornet, G.; Schmidt, B.; Boulet, M.; Gothie, M.; Do, M-T.; Fafie, J.; Alonso, M.; Roe, P.; Forest, R.; Viner, H. Harmonization of European Routine and Research Measuring Equipment for Skid Resistance. *HERMES final report*, 2006, 161 p.
12. Andriejauskas, T.; Vorobjovas, V.; Mielonas, V. Evaluation of Skid Resistance Characteristics and Measurement Methods, in: D. Cygas, T. Tollazzi (Eds.), In Proceedings of the 9th International Conference Environmental Engineering, VGTU Press, 2014, 9 p. DOI: 10.3846/enviro.2014.141

13. Kogbara, R. B.; Masad, E. A.; Kassem, E.; Scarpas A. T.; Anupam, K. A State-of-the-Art Review of Parameters Influencing Measurement and Modeling of Skid Resistance of Asphalt Pavements, *Construction and Building Materials* 2016, 114, pp. 602–617. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.04.002>
14. e-UT 09.02.23:1999 Az útburkolat-felület csúszásellenállásának vizsgálata. Mérés SCRIM-mérőkocsival. (Skid resistance testing of road surfaces. Measurement with SCRIM testing vehicles) Útügyi Műszaki Előírás (Road Technical Directives), 1999, 15 p.
15. e-UT 09.02.27:2009 Az útburkolat-felület csúszásellenállásának vizsgálata. Mérés ASF-berendezéssel. (Skid resistance testing of road surfaces. Measurement by ASFT Equipment) Útügyi Műszaki Előírás (Road Technical Directives), 2009, 15 p.
16. EN 13036-4: 2011 Road and airfield surface characteristics - Test methods - Part 4: Method for measurement of slip/skid re-sistance of a surface: The pendulum test, 2011, 32 p.
17. Hall, J.W.; Smith, K.L.; Titus-Glover, L; Wambold, J.C.; Yager, T.J.; Rado, Z.: *NCHRP Web-Only Document 108: Guide for Pavement Friction, Final Report for NCHRP Project 01-43*, 2009.
18. Permanent International Association of Road Congresses (PIARC). Report of the Committee on Surface Characteristics, In Proceedings of the 18th World Road Congress, Brussels, Belgium, 1987, 108 p.
19. Sandburg, U. *Influence of Road Surface Texture on Traffic Characteristics Related to Environment, Economy, and Safety: A State-of-the-Art Study Regarding Measures and Measuring Methods*, VTI Report 53A-1997, Swedish National Road Administration, Borlange, Sweden, 1998, 94 p. <https://trid.trb.org/view/1149891>
20. Flintsch, G. W.; Al-Qadi, I.L.; Davis, R.; McGhee, K.K. Effect of HMA Properties on Pavement Surface Characteristics, In the Proceedings of the Pavement Evaluation Conference, Roanoke, Virginia, 2002, 16 p.
21. Flintsch, G. W.; De Leon, E.; McGhee, K.K.; Al-Qadi, I.L. Pavement Surface Macrottexture Measurement and Application, *Transportation Research Record: Journal of Transportation Research Board*, 2003, 1860 pp. 168–177. <https://doi.org/10.3141/1860-19>
22. Bittelli, G.; Simone, A.; Girardi, F.; Lantieri C. Laser Scanning on Road Pavements: A New Approach for Characterizing Surface Texture, *Sensors*, 2012, 12, pp. 9110–9128. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s120709110>
23. EN 13036-1 Road and airfield surface characteristics - Test methods - Part 1: Measurement of pavement surface macrottexture depth using a volumetric patch technique. 2010, 11 p.
24. ASTM E 965 Standard Test Method for Measuring Pavement Macrottexture Depth Using a Volumetric Technique. 2015, 4 p.
25. ASTM E 2380 Standard Test Method for Measuring Pavement Texture Drainage Using an Outflow Meter, 2010, 4 p.
26. ROSAN V - Technical Notes. Federal Highway Administration, Washington D.C., 1997, 6 p.
27. ASTM E 2157-5 Standard Test Method for Measuring Pavement Macrottexture Properties Using the Circular Track Meter 2019, 5 p.
28. e-UT 06.03.21: 2018 Útpályaszerkezetek aszfaltburkolati rétegeinek követelményei. (Requirements of the asphalt layers of road pavement structures), 2018, 57 p. (In Hungarian)
29. Ongel, A.; Lu, Q.; Harvey, J. Frictional Properties of Asphalt Concrete Mixes, In Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Transportation 2009, 162, 1, pp. 19–26. <https://doi.org/10.1680/tran.2009.162.1.19>
30. RST – Road Survey Tehnology. Ramboll RST, Malmö, Sweden, 2019, 5 p.
31. EN 1097-8:2020 Tests for mechanical and physical properties of aggregates - Part 8: Determination of the polished stone value. 35 p. (2020)
32. EN 1097-2:2020 Tests for mechanical and physical properties of aggregates - Part 2: Methods for the determination of resistance to fragmentation, 2020, 43 p.
33. EN 1097-1:2011 Tests for mechanical and physical properties of aggregates - Part 1: Determination of the resistance to wear (micro-Deval). 2011, 14 p.
34. EN 933-5:2022 Tests for geometrical properties of aggregates - Part 5: Determination of percentage of crushed particles in coarse and all-in natural aggregates. 2022, 20 p.
35. EN 933-3:2012 Tests for geometrical properties of aggregates - Part 3: Determination of particle shape - Flakiness index. 2012, 11 p.
36. e-UT 05.01.15:2018 Útépitési kőanyagalmazok. (Road building stone masses), 2018, 74 p. (In Hungarian)
37. COST 354 *Performance Indicators for Road Pavements*. Memorandum of Understanding, 2004.
38. COST 354 *Performance Indicators for Road Pavements*. WP2 *Selection and Assessment of Individual Performance Indicators*. Final Report, 2007, 170 p.

39. Gáspár L.; Károly R.: Útpályaszerkezetek makroérdességi és csúszásellenállási mutatói. (Macro roughness and skid resistance indicators of road pavements) *Közlekedéstudományi Szemle* 2007, 12, pp. 442-449. (In Hungarian)
40. Gáspár L.: *Útgazdálkodás (Road management)* Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 2003, 361 p. (In Hungarian)
41. EN ISO 13473-1:2019 Characterization of pavement texture by use of surface profiles - Part 1: Determination of mean profile depth, 2019, 43 p.
42. ASTM E 965-96 Standard Test Method for Measuring Pavement Macrottexture Depth Using a Volumetric Technique, 2011, 3 p.
43. *International Experiment to Compare and Harmonize Skid Resistance and Texture Measurements*. PIARC Technical Committee 1 Surface Characteristics. ISBN: 84-87825-96-6, 1995, 430 p.
44. Descornet, G. et al.: *HERMES Project (Harmonization of European Routine and Research Measuring Equipment for Skid Resistance)*, FEHRL Report 2006/01, Brussels , 2006, 24 p.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.