

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

A Common Approach to Three Open Problems in Number Theory

[Apoloniusz Tyszk](#) *

Posted Date: 11 May 2023

doi: 10.20944/preprints202303.0420.v6

Keywords: Brocard's problem; Brocard-Ramanujan equation $x!+1=y^2$; composite Fermat numbers; composite numbers of the form $2^{2^n}+1$; Erdős' equation $x(x+1)=y!$



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

A Common Approach to Three Open Problems in Number Theory

Apoloniusz Tyszk

University of Agriculture, Faculty of Production and Power Engineering, Balicka 116B, 30-149 Kraków, Poland; rttyszka@cyf-kr.edu.pl

Abstract: The following system of equations $\{x_1 \cdot x_1 = x_2, x_2 \cdot x_2 = x_3, 2^{2^{x_1}} = x_3, x_4 \cdot x_5 = x_2, x_6 \cdot x_7 = x_2\}$ has exactly one solution in $(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\})^7$, namely $(2, 4, 16, 2, 2, 2, 2)$. Hypothesis 1 states that if a system of equations $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{x_i \cdot x_j = x_k : i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, 7\}\} \cup \{2^{2^{x_j}} = x_k : j, k \in \{1, \dots, 7\}\}$ has at most five equations and at most finitely many solutions in $(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\})^7$, then each such solution (x_1, \dots, x_7) satisfies $x_1, \dots, x_7 \leq 16$. Hypothesis 1 implies that there are infinitely many composite numbers of the form $2^{2^n} + 1$. Hypotheses 2 and 3 are of similar kind. Hypothesis 2 implies that if the equation $x! + 1 = y^2$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers x and y , then each such solution (x, y) belongs to the set $\{(4, 5), (5, 11), (7, 71)\}$. Hypothesis 3 implies that if the equation $x(x + 1) = y!$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers x and y , then each such solution (x, y) belongs to the set $\{(1, 2), (2, 3)\}$. We describe semi-algorithms sem_j ($j = 1, 2, 3$) that never terminate. For every $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, if Hypothesis j is true, then sem_j endlessly prints consecutive positive integers starting from 1. For every $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, if Hypothesis j is false, then sem_j prints a finite number (including zero) of consecutive positive integers starting from 1.

Keywords: Brocard's problem; Brocard-Ramanujan equation $x! + 1 = y^2$; composite Fermat numbers; composite numbers of the form $2^{2^n} + 1$; Erdős' equation $x(x + 1) = y!$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11D61; 11D85

1. Composite numbers of the form $2^{2^n} + 1$

Let \mathcal{A} denote the following system of equations:

$$\{x_i \cdot x_j = x_k : i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, 7\}\} \cup \{2^{2^{x_j}} = x_k : j, k \in \{1, \dots, 7\}\}$$

The following subsystem of \mathcal{A}

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 \cdot x_1 = x_2 \\ x_2 \cdot x_2 = x_3 \\ 2^{2^{x_1}} = x_3 \\ x_4 \cdot x_5 = x_2 \\ x_6 \cdot x_7 = x_2 \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & 2^{2^{(\cdot)}} \\ x_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & x_3 \\ & \searrow \text{squaring} & \nearrow \text{squaring} \\ & x_4 \cdot x_5 = x_2 = x_6 \cdot x_7 & \end{array}$$

has exactly one solution in $(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\})^7$, namely $(2, 4, 16, 2, 2, 2, 2)$.

Hypothesis 1. If a system of equations $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ has at most five equations and at most finitely many solutions in $(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\})^7$, then each such solution (x_1, \dots, x_7) satisfies $x_1, \dots, x_7 \leq 16$.


Lemma 1. ([7, p. 109]). For every non-negative integers x and y , $x + 1 = y$ if and only if $2^{2^x} \cdot 2^{2^x} = 2^{2^y}$.

Theorem 1. Hypothesis 1 implies that $2^{2^{x_1}} + 1$ is composite for infinitely many integers x_1 greater than 1.

Proof. Assume, on the contrary, that Hypothesis 1 holds and $2^{2^{x_1}} + 1$ is composite for at most finitely many integers x_1 greater than 1. Then, the equation

$$x_2 \cdot x_3 = 2^{2^{x_1}} + 1$$

has at most finitely many solutions in $(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\})^3$. By Lemma 1, in positive integers greater than 1, the following subsystem of \mathcal{A}



has at most finitely many solutions in $(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\})^7$ and expresses that

$$\begin{cases} x_2 \cdot x_3 = 2^{2^{x_1}} + 1 \\ x_4 = 2^{2^{x_1}} + 1 \\ x_5 = 2^{2^{x_1}} \\ x_6 = 2^{2^{2^{x_1}}} \\ x_7 = 2^{2^{2^{2^{x_1}} + 1}} \end{cases}$$

Since $641 \cdot 6700417 = 2^{2^5} + 1 > 16$, we get a contradiction. \square

Most mathematicians believe that $2^{2^n} + 1$ is composite for every integer $n \geq 5$, see [2, p. 23].

Open Problem 1. ([3, p. 159]). Are there infinitely many composite numbers of the form $2^{2^n} + 1$?

Primes of the form $2^{2^n} + 1$ are called Fermat primes, as Fermat conjectured that every integer of the form $2^{2^n} + 1$ is prime, see [3, p. 1]. Fermat remarked that $2^{2^0} + 1 = 3$, $2^{2^1} + 1 = 5$, $2^{2^2} + 1 = 17$, $2^{2^3} + 1 = 257$, and $2^{2^4} + 1 = 65537$ are all prime, see [3, p. 1].


Open Problem 2. ([3, p. 158]). Are there infinitely many prime numbers of the form $2^{2^n} + 1$?

2. The Brocard-Ramanujan equation $x! + 1 = y^2$

Let \mathcal{B} denote the following system of equations:

$$\{x_i \cdot x_j = x_k : i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, 6\}\} \cup \{x_j! = x_k : (j, k \in \{1, \dots, 6\}) \wedge (j \neq k)\}$$

The following subsystem of \mathcal{B}



has exactly two solutions in positive integers, namely $(1, \dots, 1)$ and $(2, 2, 4, 24, 24!, (24!)!)$.


Hypothesis 2. If a system of equations $S \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 , then each such solution (x_1, \dots, x_6) satisfies $x_1, \dots, x_6 \leq (24!)!$.

Lemma 2. For every positive integers x and y , $x! \cdot y = y!$ if and only if

$$(x + 1 = y) \vee (x = y = 1)$$

Theorem 2. Hypothesis 2 implies that if the equation $x_1! + 1 = x_2^2$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers x_1 and x_2 , then each such solution (x_1, x_2) belongs to the set $\{(4, 5), (5, 11), (7, 71)\}$.

Proof. The following system of equations \mathcal{B}_1



is a subsystem of \mathcal{B} . By Lemma 2, in positive integers, the system \mathcal{B}_1 expresses that $x_1 = \dots = x_6 = 1$ or

$$\begin{cases} x_1! + 1 &= x_2^2 \\ x_3 &= x_1! \\ x_4 &= (x_1!)! \\ x_5 &= x_1! + 1 \\ x_6 &= (x_1! + 1)! \end{cases}$$

If the equation $x_1! + 1 = x_2^2$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers x_1 and x_2 , then \mathcal{B}_1 has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 and Hypothesis 2 implies that every tuple (x_1, \dots, x_6) of positive integers that solves \mathcal{B}_1 satisfies $(x_1! + 1)! = x_6 \leq (24!)!$. Hence, $x_1 \in \{1, \dots, 23\}$. If $x_1 \in \{1, \dots, 23\}$, then $x_1! + 1$ is a square only for $x_1 \in \{4, 5, 7\}$. \square

It is conjectured that $x! + 1$ is a square only for $x \in \{4, 5, 7\}$, see [8, p. 297]. A weak form of Szpiro's conjecture implies that the equation $x! + 1 = y^2$ has only finitely many solutions in positive integers, see [6].

3. Erdős' equation $x(x+1) = y!$

Let \mathcal{C} denote the following system of equations:

$$\{x_i \cdot x_j = x_k : (i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, 6\}) \wedge (i \neq j)\} \cup \{x_j! = x_k : (j, k \in \{1, \dots, 6\}) \wedge (j \neq k)\}$$

The following subsystem of \mathcal{C}

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1! = x_2 \\ x_3! = x_4 \\ x_2 \cdot x_3 = x_4 \\ x_1 \cdot x_3 = x_4 \\ x_4! = x_5 \\ x_5! = x_6 \end{array} \right.$$

has exactly three solutions in positive integers, namely $(1, \dots, 1)$, $(1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2)$, and $(2, 2, 3, 6, 720, 720!)$.

Hypothesis 3. If a system of equations $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 , then each such solution (x_1, \dots, x_6) satisfies $x_1, \dots, x_6 \leq 720!$.

Theorem 3. Hypothesis 3 implies that if the equation $x_1(x_1 + 1) = x_2!$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers x_1 and x_2 , then each such solution (x_1, x_2) belongs to the set $\{(1, 2), (2, 3)\}$.

Proof. The following system of equations \mathcal{C}_1

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1! = x_4 \\ x_5! = x_6 \\ x_4 \cdot x_5 = x_6 \\ x_2! = x_3 \\ x_1 \cdot x_5 = x_3 \end{array} \right.$$

is a subsystem of \mathcal{C} . By Lemma 2, in positive integers, the system \mathcal{C}_1 expresses that $x_1 = \dots = x_6 = 1$ or

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 \cdot (x_1 + 1) = x_2! \\ x_3 = x_1 \cdot (x_1 + 1) \\ x_4 = x_1! \\ x_5 = x_1 + 1 \\ x_6 = (x_1 + 1)! \end{array} \right.$$

If the equation $x_1(x_1 + 1) = x_2!$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers x_1 and x_2 , then \mathcal{C}_1 has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 and Hypothesis 3 implies that every tuple (x_1, \dots, x_6) of positive integers that solves \mathcal{C}_1 satisfies $x_2! = x_3 \leq 720!$. Hence, $x_2 \in \{1, \dots, 720\}$. If $x_2 \in \{1, \dots, 720\}$, then $x_2!$ is a product of two consecutive positive integers only for $x_2 \in \{2, 3\}$ because the following MuPAD program

(1) If $i \in \{0, 4, 8, 16\}$ and $a(i) \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then the equation $x_{a(i+1)} \cdot x_{a(i+2)} = x_{a(i+3)}$ belongs to \mathcal{S} when it belongs to \mathcal{A} .


(2) If $i \in \{0, 4, 8, 16\}$ and $a(i) \in \{5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$, then the equation $2^{2^{x_{a(i+1)}}} = x_{a(i+2)}$ belongs to \mathcal{S} when it belongs to \mathcal{A} .

Lemma 3. $\{S_k : k \in [10^{19}, 10^{20} - 1] \cap \mathbb{N}\} = \{S : (S \subseteq \mathcal{A}) \wedge (\text{card}(S) \leq 5)\}$.

Proof. It follows from the equality $5 \cdot 4 = 20$. \square

For a positive integer n , let p_n denote the n -th prime number.


Theorem 5. The following semi-algorithm sem_1 never terminates.



If Hypothesis 1 is true, then sem_1 endlessly prints consecutive positive integers starting from 1. If Hypothesis 1 is false, then sem_1 prints a finite number (including zero) of consecutive positive integers starting from 1.


Proof. It follows from Lemma 3. \square

Theorem 6. *The following semi-algorithm sem_2 never terminates.*



If Hypothesis 2 is true, then sem_2 endlessly prints consecutive positive integers starting from 1. If Hypothesis 2 is false, then sem_2 prints a finite number (including zero) of consecutive positive integers starting from 1.

Theorem 7. *The following semi-algorithm sem_3 never terminates.*



If Hypothesis 3 is true, then sem_3 endlessly prints consecutive positive integers starting from 1. If Hypothesis 3 is false, then sem_3 prints a finite number (including zero) of consecutive positive integers starting from 1.

References

1. D. Berend and J. E. Harmse, *On polynomial-factorial Diophantine equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), no. 4, 1741–1779.
2. J.-M. De Koninck and F. Luca, *Analytic number theory: Exploring the anatomy of integers*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
3. M. Křížek, F. Luca, L. Somer, *17 lectures on Fermat numbers: from number theory to geometry*, Springer, New York, 2001.
4. F. Luca, *The Diophantine equation $P(x) = n!$ and a result of M. Overholt*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 37 (57) (2002), no. 2, 269–273.

5. Yu. Matiyasevich, *Existence of noneffectivizable estimates in the theory of exponential Diophantine equations*, J. Sov. Math. vol. 8, no. 3, 1977, 299–311, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf01091549>.
6. M. Overholt, *The Diophantine equation $n! + 1 = m^2$* , Bull. London Math. Soc. 25 (1993), no. 2, 104.
7. A. Tyszka, *A hypothetical upper bound on the heights of the solutions of a Diophantine equation with a finite number of solutions*, Open Comput. Sci. 8 (2018), no. 1, 109–114, <http://doi.org/10.1515/comp-2018-0012>.
8. E. W. Weisstein, *CRC Concise Encyclopedia of Mathematics*, 2nd ed., Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2002.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.