Article

Not peer-reviewed version

A Common Approach to Three Open Problems in Number Theory

Apoloniusz Tyszka *

Posted Date: 29 March 2023

doi: 10.20944/preprints202303.0420.v2

Keywords: Brocard's problem; Brocard-Ramanujan equation x!+1=y^2; composite Fermat numbers; composite numbers of the form 2^(2^n)+1; Erd\"os' equation x(x+1)=y!

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 29 March 2023 doi:10.20944/preprints202303.0420.v2

Article

A Common Approach to Three Open Problems in Number Theory

Apoloniusz Tyszka

Faculty of Production and Power Engineering, University of Agriculture, Balicka 116B, 30-149 Kraków, Poland; rttyszka@cyf-kr.edu.pl

Abstract: The following system of equations $\{x_1 \cdot x_1 = x_2,\ x_2 \cdot x_2 = x_3,\ 2^{2^{x_1}} = x_3,\ x_4 \cdot x_5 = x_2,\ x_6 \cdot x_7 = x_2\}$ has exactly one solution in $(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0,1\})^7$, namely $(2,4,16,2,2,2,2)$. Hypothesis 1 states that if a system of equations $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{x_i \cdot x_j = x_k : i,j,k \in \{1,\dots,7\}\} \cup \{2^{2^{x_j}} = x_k : j,k \in \{1,\dots,7\}\}$ has at most five equations and at most finitely many solutions in $(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0,1\})^7$, then each such solution $(x_1,\dots,x_7)$ satisfies $x_1,\dots,x_7 \leqslant 16$. Hypothesis 1 implies that there are infinitely many composite numbers of the form $2^{2^n}+1$. Hypotheses 2 and 3 are of similar kind. Hypothesis 2 implies that if the equation $x!+1=y^2$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers x and y, then each such solution (x,y) belongs to the set $\{(4,5),(5,11),(7,71)\}$. Hypothesis 3 implies that if the equation $x(x+1)=y!$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers x and y, then each such solution (x,y) belongs to the set $\{(1,2),(2,3)\}$.

Keywords: Brocard's problem; Brocard-Ramanujan equation $x!+1=y^2$; composite Fermat numbers; composite numbers of the form $2^{2^n}+1$; Erdös' equation $x(x+1)=y!$

MSC: 11D61; 11D85

---

1. Composite numbers of the form $2^{2^n}+1$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the following system of equations:

$$\Big\{x_i \cdot x_j = x_k :\ i,j,k \in \{1,\dots,7\}\Big\} \cup \Big\{2^{2^{x_j}} = x_k :\ j,k \in \{1,\dots,7\}\Big\}$$

The following subsystem of $\mathcal{A}$

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \cdot x_1 = x_2 \\ x_2 \cdot x_2 = x_3 \\ 2^{2^{x_1}} = x_3 \\ x_4 \cdot x_5 = x_2 \\ x_6 \cdot x_7 = x_2 \end{cases}$$



has exactly one solution in $(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0,1\})^7$, namely $(2,4,16,2,2,2,2)$.

Hypothesis 1. *If a system of equations $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ has at most five equations and at most finitely many solutions in $(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0,1\})^7$, then each such solution $(x_1,\dots,x_7)$ satisfies $x_1,\dots,x_7 \leqslant 16$.*

Lemma 1. *([7], p. 109). For every non-negative integers x and y, $x+1=y$ if and only if $2^{2^x} \cdot 2^{2^x} = 2^{2^y}$.*

Theorem 1. *Hypothesis 1 implies that $2^{2^{x_1}}+1$ is composite for infinitely many integers x_1 greater than 1.*

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 29 March 2023 doi:10.20944/preprints202303.0420.v2

Proof. Assume, on the contrary, that Hypothesis 1 holds and $2^{2^{x_1}}+1$ is composite for at most finitely many integers x_1 greater than 1. Then, the equation

$$x_2 \cdot x_3 = 2^{2^{x_1}}+1$$

has at most finitely many solutions in $(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0,1\})^3$. By Lemma 1, in positive integers greater than 1, the following subsystem of $\mathcal{A}$

$$\begin{cases} 2^{2^{x_1}} = x_5 \\ 2^{2^{x_5}} = x_6 \\ 2^{2^{x_4}} = x_7 \\ x_6 \cdot x_6 = x_7 \\ x_2 \cdot x_3 = x_4 \end{cases}$$



has at most finitely many solutions in $(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0,1\})^7$ and expresses that

$$\begin{cases} x_2 \cdot x_3 &= 2^{2^{x_1}}+1 \\ x_4 &= 2^{2^{x_1}}+1 \\ x_5 &= 2^{2^{x_1}} \\ x_6 &= 2^{2^{2^{2^{x_1}}}} \\ x_7 &= 2^{2^{2^{2^{x_1}}}}+1 \end{cases}$$

Since $641 \cdot 6700417 = 2^{2^5}+1 > 16$, we get a contradiction. $\square$

Most mathematicians believe that $2^{2^n}+1$ is composite for every integer $n \geqslant 5$, see [2], p. 23.

Open Problem 1. *([3], p. 159). Are there infinitely many composite numbers of the form* $2^{2^n}+1$*?*

Primes of the form $2^{2^n}+1$ are called Fermat primes, as Fermat conjectured that every integer of the form $2^{2^n}+1$ is prime, see [3], p. 1. Fermat remarked that $2^{2^0}+1=3$, $2^{2^1}+1=5$, $2^{2^2}+1=17$, $2^{2^3}+1=257$, and $2^{2^4}+1=65537$ are all prime, see [3], p. 1.

Open Problem 2. *([3], p. 158). Are there infinitely many prime numbers of the form* $2^{2^n}+1$*?*

2. The Brocard-Ramanujan equation $x!+1=y^2$

Let $\mathcal{B}$ denote the following system of equations:

$$\{x_i \cdot x_j = x_k : i,j,k \in \{1,\ldots,6\}\} \cup \{x_j! = x_k : (j,k \in \{1,\ldots,6\}) \wedge (j \neq k)\}$$

The following subsystem of $\mathcal{B}$

$$\begin{cases} x_1! = x_2 \\ x_1 \cdot x_1 = x_3 \\ x_2 \cdot x_2 = x_3 \\ x_3! = x_4 \\ x_4! = x_5 \\ x_5! = x_6 \end{cases}$$



Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 29 March 2023 doi:10.20944/preprints202303.0420.v2

has exactly two solutions in positive integers, namely $(1,\ldots,1)$ and $(2,2,4,24,24!,(24!)!)$.

Hypothesis 2. *If a system of equations $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers $x_1,\ldots,x_6$, then each such solution $(x_1,\ldots,x_6)$ satisfies $x_1,\ldots,x_6 \leqslant (24!)!$.*

Lemma 2. *For every positive integers x and y, $x! \cdot y = y!$ if and only if*

$$(x+1=y) \vee (x=y=1)$$

Theorem 2. *Hypothesis 2 implies that if the equation $x_1!+1=x_2^2$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers x_1 and x_2, then each such solution (x_1,x_2) belongs to the set $\{(4,5),(5,11),(7,71)\}$.*

Proof. The following system of equations $\mathcal{B}_1$



is a subsystem of $\mathcal{B}$. By Lemma 2, in positive integers, the system $\mathcal{B}_1$ expresses that $x_1 = \ldots = x_6 = 1$ or

$$\begin{cases} x_1!+1 &= x_2^2 \\ x_3 &= x_1! \\ x_4 &= (x_1!)! \\ x_5 &= x_1!+1 \\ x_6 &= (x_1!+1)! \end{cases}$$

If the equation $x_1!+1=x_2^2$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers x_1 and x_2, then $\mathcal{B}_1$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers $x_1,\ldots,x_6$ and Hypothesis 2 implies that every tuple $(x_1,\ldots,x_6)$ of positive integers that solves $\mathcal{B}_1$ satisfies $(x_1!+1)! = x_6 \leqslant (24!)!$. Hence, $x_1 \in \{1,\ldots,23\}$. If $x_1 \in \{1,\ldots,23\}$, then $x_1!+1$ is a square only for $x_1 \in \{4,5,7\}$. □

It is conjectured that $x!+1$ is a square only for $x \in \{4,5,7\}$, see [10], p. 297. A weak form of Szpiro's conjecture implies that the equation $x!+1=y^2$ has only finitely many solutions in positive integers, see [6].

3. Erdös' equation $x(x+1)=y!$

Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the following system of equations:

$$\{x_i \cdot x_j = x_k : (i,j,k \in \{1,\ldots,6\}) \wedge (i \neq j)\} \cup \{x_j! = x_k : (j,k \in \{1,\ldots,6\}) \wedge (j \neq k)\}$$

The following subsystem of $\mathcal{C}$



has exactly three solutions in positive integers, namely $(1,\ldots,1)$, $(1,1,2,2,2,2)$, and $(2,2,3,6,720,720!)$.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202303.0420.v2

Hypothesis 3. *If a system of equations $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers $x_1, \ldots, x_6$, then each such solution $(x_1, \ldots, x_6)$ satisfies $x_1, \ldots, x_6 \leqslant 720!$.*

Theorem 3. *Hypothesis 3 implies that if the equation $x_1(x_1+1) = x_2!$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers x_1 and x_2, then each such solution (x_1, x_2) belongs to the set $\{(1,2),(2,3)\}$.*

Proof. The following system of equations $\mathcal{C}_1$

$$\begin{cases} x_1! = x_4 \\ x_5! = x_6 \\ x_4 \cdot x_5 = x_6 \\ x_2! = x_3 \\ x_1 \cdot x_5 = x_3 \end{cases}$$



is a subsystem of $\mathcal{C}$. By Lemma 2, in positive integers, the system $\mathcal{C}_1$ expresses that $x_1 = \ldots = x_6 = 1$ or

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \cdot (x_1+1) &= x_2! \\ x_3 &= x_1 \cdot (x_1+1) \\ x_4 &= x_1! \\ x_5 &= x_1+1 \\ x_6 &= (x_1+1)! \end{cases}$$

If the equation $x_1(x_1+1) = x_2!$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers x_1 and x_2, then $\mathcal{C}_1$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers $x_1, \ldots, x_6$ and Hypothesis 3 implies that every tuple $(x_1, \ldots, x_6)$ of positive integers that solves $\mathcal{C}_1$ satisfies $x_2! = x_3 \leqslant 720!$. Hence, $x_2 \in \{1, \ldots, 720\}$. If $x_2 \in \{1, \ldots, 720\}$, then $x_2!$ is a product of two consecutive positive integers only for $x_2 \in \{2,3\}$ because the following *MuPAD* program

```
for x2 from 1 to 720 do
x1:=round(sqrt(x2!+(1/4))-(1/2)):
if x1*(x1+1)=x2! then print(x2) end_if:
end_for:
```

returns 2 and 3. □

The question of solving the equation $x(x+1) = y!$ was posed by P. Erdös, see [1]. F. Luca proved that the *abc* conjecture implies that the equation $x(x+1) = y!$ has only finitely many solutions in positive integers, see [4].

4. There is no hope for a hypothesis that is similar to Hypothesis 2 or 3 and holds for an arbitrary number of variables

Let $f(1) = 2$, $f(2) = 4$, and let $f(n+1) = f(n)!$ for every integer $n \geqslant 2$. Let $\mathcal{U}_1$ denote the system of equations $\{x_1! = x_1$. For an integer $n \geqslant 2$, let $\mathcal{U}_n$ denote the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} x_1! = x_1 \\ x_1 \cdot x_1 = x_2 \\ \forall i \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\} \; x_i! = x_{i+1} \end{cases}$$



For every positive integer n, the system $\mathcal{U}_n$ has exactly two solutions in positive integers $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, namely $(1, \ldots, 1)$ and $(f(1), \ldots, f(n))$. For a positive integer n, let Ψ_n denote the following statement: *if a system of equations*

$$\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{x_i \cdot x_j = x_k : i,j,k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\} \cup \{x_j! = x_k : j,k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\}$$

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 29 March 2023 doi:10.20944/preprints202303.0420.v2

has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, *then each such solution* $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ *satisfies* $x_1, \ldots, x_n \leqslant f(n)$. The statements Ψ_n are discussed in [8,9].

Theorem 4. *Every factorial Diophantine equation can be algorithmically transformed into an equivalent system of equations of the forms* $x_i \cdot x_j = x_k$ *and* $x_j! = x_k$. *It means that this system of equations satisfies a modified version of Lemma 4 in [7].*

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 2–4 in [7] and Lemma 2. □

The statement $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}\ \Psi_n$ is dubious. By Theorem 4, this statement implies that there is an algorithm which takes as input a factorial Diophantine equation and returns an integer which is greater than the solutions in positive integers, if these solutions form a finite set. This conclusion is strange because properties of factorial Diophantine equations are similar to properties of exponential Diophantine equations and a computable upper bound on non-negative integer solutions does not exist for exponential Diophantine equations with a finite number of solutions, see [5].

References

1. D. Berend and J. E. Harmse, *On polynomial-factorial Diophantine equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), no. 4, 1741–1779.
2. J.-M. De Koninck and F. Luca, *Analytic number theory: Exploring the anatomy of integers*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
3. M. Křížek, F. Luca, L. Somer, *17 lectures on Fermat numbers: from number theory to geometry*, Springer, New York, 2001.
4. F. Luca, *The Diophantine equation* $P(x) = n!$ *and a result of M. Overholt*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 37 (57) (2002), no. 2, 269–273.
5. Yu. Matiyasevich, *Existence of noneffectivizable estimates in the theory of exponential Diophantine equations*, J. Sov. Math. vol. 8, no. 3, 1977, 299–311, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf01091549.
6. M. Overholt, *The Diophantine equation* $n! + 1 = m^2$, Bull. London Math. Soc. 25 (1993), no. 2, 104.
7. A. Tyszka, *A hypothetical upper bound on the heights of the solutions of a Diophantine equation with a finite number of solutions*, Open Comput. Sci. 8 (2018), no. 1, 109–114, http://doi.org/10.1515/comp-2018-0012.
8. A. Tyszka, *Statements and open problems on decidable sets* $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ *that contain informal notions and refer to the current knowledge on* $\mathcal{X}$, http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.08655, to appear in Creative Mathematics and Informatics 32 (2023), no. 2.
9. A. Tyszka, *Statements and open problems on decidable sets* $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ *that refer to the current knowledge on* $\mathcal{X}$, Journal of Applied Computer Science & Mathematics 16 (2022), no. 2, 31–35, http://doi.org/10.4316/JACSM.202202005.
10. E. W. Weisstein, *CRC Concise Encyclopedia of Mathematics*, 2nd ed., Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2002.