Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

SURGICAL INFORMED CONSENT IN ELECTIVE PEDIAT-RIC SURGERY: MEDICAL INADEQUACY OR PARENTAL SCOTOMIZATION?

Bianca Stefani, MD¹, Daniela Codrich, MD, PhD², Maria Rita Caputo, MD¹, Edoardo Guida, MD², Maria-Grazia Scarpa, MD², Alessandro Boscarelli, MD²*, and Jürgen Schleef, MD².

¹Pediatric Surgery Division, Women's and Children's Health Department, University of Padua, 35128 Padua, Italy

²Department of Pediatric Surgery and Urology, Institute of Maternal and Child Health - IRCCS "Burlo Garofolo", 34137 Trieste, Italy

*Correspondence: tboscar@hotmail.it; Tel.: 0039 040 3785372

Abstract: Introduction: The aim of this study was to evaluate the quality of our surgical informed consent and parents'/guardians' late recall of surgical procedures and risks of elective day surgery after pre-operative interview with surgeons. Materials and Methods: All parents/guardians of patients <18 years of age undergoing elective procedures from January 15th to September 1st, 2022, were prospectively enrolled in the study. Before discharge, parents/guardians were asked to complete a questionnaire regarding the duration of the consent procedure, duration of the interview, quality of the informative handouts, and their ability to recall the type of disease, type of surgical procedure, and surgical risks. Answers were graded from 1 to 4. Results: One hundred and two questionnaires were returned. In all cases, informed consent was obtained between 24 and 72 hours prior to surgery. The following responses were collected: 98/102 (96%) parents/guardians reported that the duration of the consent process was adequate; 95/102 (93%) reported that the handouts were fully informative, and 7/102 (7%) reported that they were partially informative regarding explanation of the disease and surgical procedure; regarding complications, 93/102 (91%) perceived the handouts to be fully/partially informative, while 4/102 (4%) perceived the handouts to be poorly/non-informative, and 5/102 (5%) did not provide a response; 94/102 (92%) stated that they remembered the pathology, but only 87/94 (93%) recalled it correctly; 90/102 (88%) stated that they remembered the type of procedure, but only 76/90 (84%) recalled it correctly; and 53/102 (52%) stated that they remembered the surgical risks, but only 20/53 (38%) could recall more than one complication. Conclusions: Late recall of surgical complications by parents was poor despite the high perceived quality of the surgical risk handouts and medical interview.

Keywords: Informed consent; Pediatrics; Elective Surgery; Quality improvement; Activity questionnaire.

1. Introduction

Informed consent (IC) is a challenging topic for surgeons in everyday practice. Currently, it is not only necessary to formally document the IC process, but it is also a medico-legal affair [1]. Surgical IC consists of four key elements: I. provision of adequate information about the disorder, the nature of the treatment and its probability of success, the risks involved, and alternative treatments, if any; II. the patient is deemed competent to make the necessary decisions; III. the patient has full understanding of the information provided; and IV. the patient is given freedom to choose [2,3]. To fulfill these criteria, the physician must provide the patient with a clear description of the surgical procedure, the benefits of the procedure, the relevant risks, and all alternative surgical and/or

non-surgical treatments [4]. The IC process creates an opportunity for parents and/or legal guardians to ask questions and improve their understanding; in addition, it gives the surgeon an opportunity to gain trust and build a good relationship with the family [5].

The aim of our study was to evaluate the quality of our surgical IC and parental late recall of surgical procedures and risks of elective day surgery after the pre-operative interview with surgeons.

2. Materials and Methods

Parents and/or legal guardians of patients <18 years of age undergoing elective day surgery procedures (e.g., circumcision, inguinal hernia repair, orchiopexy, varicocelectomy, abdominal wall hernia repair, etc) from January 15th to September 1st, 2022, were prospectively enrolled in the study. Before the procedure, the parents/guardians took part in an interview in which written IC was obtained and a surgeon explained the disease, surgical procedure, and associated risks.

After the surgical procedures and before discharge, the parents/guardians were asked to complete a questionnaire to evaluate the overall quality of IC process and parents'/guardians' late recall of surgical procedures and risks. The questionnaire consisted of 14 questions; some were "yes or no" questions, and some presented multiple answers that were graded from 1 to 4. The first question was about the age of the patient and the second was about the type of hospitalization (day hospital or long-stay hospitalization). The three following questions (from 3 to 6) investigated the way in which the IC was obtained and the quality of the informative handouts. Questions 7 to 9 concerned the ability of the parents to recall the type of disease, type of surgical procedure, and surgical risks. Questions 10 and 11 addressed the parents/guardians of patients >14 years of age to determine whether those patients could understand their disease, the procedure they were undergoing, and whether the parent(s)/guardian(s) would agree to allow the patient to submit the consent. Two more queries addressed foreign families to determine whether the consent was understandable and whether they were given the opportunity to call a cultural mediator. The last question intended to gather suggestions to ameliorate the quality of our surgical IC process.

3. Results

One hundred and two questionnaires were returned from January 15th to September 1st, 2022. The main results of our study are reported in Table 1. Ninety-two percent of the participants were hospitalized for less than 24 hours. The majority of the children (35%) were 0-5 years old, while 30% were 6-10 years old, 13% were 11-14 years old, and children >14 years of age were 22%. In all cases, IC was obtained between 24 and 72 hours prior to surgery from different surgeons. The following responses were collected: 98/102 (96%) parents/guardians reported that the duration of the IC process was adequate; 95/102 (93%) reported that the handouts were fully informative, and 7/102 (7%) reported that they were partially informative regarding explanation of the disease and surgical procedure; regarding complications, 93/102 (91%) perceived the handouts as fully/partially informative, while 4/102 (4%) perceived the handouts as poorly/non-informative, and 5/102 (5%) did not provide a response; 94/102 (92%) stated that they remembered the pathology, but only 87/94 (93%) recalled it correctly. Notably, 90/102 (88%) stated that they remembered the type of procedure, but only 76/90 (84%) recalled it correctly; and 53/102 (52%) stated that they remembered the surgical risks, but only 20/53 (38%) could recall more than one complication. Interestingly, the majority (90%) of parents/guardians of patients >14 years of age who were questioned about their

child's understanding of the disease and the procedure declared that the patient understood the procedure fully or partially, while 2/21 (10%) declared that the patient did not fully or partially understand the surgical procedure. One-half of interviewees stated that they would allow patients >14 years of age to submit the consent themselves, whereas the other half disagreed, and one parent did not respond. Regarding the questions addressing foreign families to determine whether the consent was understandable and whether they were given the opportunity to call a cultural mediator, 13 of the families were foreign families, and they all agreed that the consent was clear; however, 8/13 stated that they were not given the opportunity to call a cultural mediator.

3.2. Figures, Tables and Schemes

Table 1. A tabulated summary of the most relevant results of this study.

QUESTION	ANSWERS	%
Years of age @ Surgery	0-5	35
	6-10	30
	11-14	13
	>14	22
Duration of IC process	Adequate	96
	Inadequate	4
Handouts on disease and	Fully informative	93
surgical procedure	Partially informative	7
Handouts on complications	Fully/Partially	91
	informative	
	Poorly/Non-	4
	informative	
	Not answered	5
Remember the pathology		93
Remember surgical		84
procedure		
Remember surgical risks		38
Comprehension in	Full/Partial	90
Patients >14 years of age		

4. Discussion

According to the results of our questionnaire, late recall of surgical complications by parents was poor despite the high perceived quality of the surgical procedure and risk handouts, and the overall medical interview. Interestingly, the language barrier seems to continue to play a crucial role in the whole process.

A well-validated definition of IC exists; however, there is no consensus on the specific information that should be provided to patients regarding a surgical operation [6]. Most parents/guardians will have firmly decided to proceed before attending the surgery;

4 of 5

however, a minority may develop doubts upon learning about the procedure in more detail during the IC process. If these doubts arise on the day of surgery, the parents/guardians may feel pressured to proceed, as the arrangements have already been made [7]. In our study, overall, the parents/guardians agreed with the time spent on the IC process was sufficient. In our hospital, we obtain IC at least 24 hours before the surgery, during an interview with the parents/guardians and patients. We usually begin with a brief explanation of the disease, the planned operation, the risks and benefits involved, any alternative treatments, and the risks and benefits of not proceeding with the operation. Obtaining IC at least 24 hours before the surgery was effective in our study; the parents/guardians felt less pressured to proceed and thus did not feel like they were acting under duress. Furthermore, the parents/guardians reported that the handouts were informative regarding explanation of disease and surgical procedure. Of note, 84% of the parents/guardians correctly recalled the right procedure, whereas only 38% of the parents/guardians recalled more than one surgical complication. These results are in line with those of other published studies reporting poor overall risk recall [4,6,8]. An explanation for the poor recall rate of surgical risks may be the phenomenon of cognitive dissonance. Cognitive dissonance occurs when two simultaneous thoughts conflict; for example, the information about operative risks conflicts with the belief that surgery is beneficial. To avoid or reduce post-decisional conflicts, people prefer supportive (consonant) information over opposing (dissonant) information [4,9]. Consequently, during the interview with the surgeon, the parents/guardians may have focused on the benefits instead of the risks. Another explanation may be that the process of surgical IC is difficult to fully understand, and parents/guardians are too distracted or too trusting of their physicians to consider the surgical risks. Of note, the use of a professional translator is preferred for family members who may be unfamiliar with medical conditions or may have personal attitudes that influence the efficacy of the translation [10,11]. We highlighted the importance of using a cultural mediator; however, 8/13 foreign families stated that they were not provided the opportunity to call a cultural mediator, and this may be why the recall of surgical risk in this group was poor (9/14). Language barriers can significantly influence the dynamics of the IC process. Therefore, we must emphasize the use of a cultural mediator to facilitate understanding for foreign families.

This study had several limitations. The IC was obtained by different surgeons. To provide a more objective perspective, a single surgeon should administer all IC forms and perform all the interviews. Furthermore, our study was conducted during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic, when we obtained IC from only one parent/guardian. If both of the parents could participate in the interview and IC process, the recall of risks might have been higher.

The results that we have discussed so far can identify areas where there are crucial gaps in the IC process, especially the areas regarding surgical risks and complications, and cultural and language barriers. More efforts should be made to further improve these aspects. Furthermore, there may be scope for a future comparative study with emergency procedures.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, physicians should make more effort to understand the factors that may affect the IC process with the aim of better informing patients and parents/guardians of the basic risks before surgery. Implementation of relatively simple, expedient methods, such as additional information (e.g., images, web pages, brochures), allotting time for parental concerns and/or questions, and optimizing the setting in which the IC is obtained, may improve overall comprehension and satisfaction regarding the IC process.

Author Contributions: (I) Conception and design: D.C and J.S.; (II) Administrative support: E.G., M-G.S., A.B., and J.S.; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: MR.C., E.G. and A.B.; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: B.S. and MR.C.; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: B.S., MR. C. and A.B.; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Funding: This work was supported by the Ministry of Health, Rome - Italy, in collaboration with the Institute for Maternal and Child Health IRCCS Burlo Garofolo, Trieste - Italy.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The material that support the findings of this study is available on request from the corresponding author. Preliminary results regarding this study were presented as quick poster shot at the 23rd European Paediatric Surgeons' Association (EUPSA) Congress, 29th June – 2nd July 2022, Tel Aviv - Israel.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Leclercq WK, Keulers BJ, Scheltinga MR, Spauwen PH, van der Wilt GJ. A review of surgical informed consent: past, present, and future. A quest to help patients make better decisions. World J Surg. 2010 Jul;34(7):1406-15. doi: 10.1007/s00268-010-0542-0. PMID: 20372902; PMCID: PMC2895877.
- 2. Li FX, Nah SA, Low Y. Informed consent for emergency surgery--how much do parents truly remember? J Pediatr Surg. 2014 May;49(5):795-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2014.02.075. Epub 2014 Feb 22. PMID: 24851773.
- 3. Katz AL, Webb SA; COMMITTEE ON BIOETHICS. Informed Consent in Decision-Making in Pediatric Practice. Pediatrics. 2016 Aug;138(2):e20161485. doi: 10.1542/peds.2016-1485. PMID: 27456510.
- 4. English DC. Valid informed consent: a process, not a signature. Am Surg. 2002 Jan;68(1):45-8. PMID: 12467316.
- 5. Luckner KR, Weinfeld IJ. Informed consent as a parent involvement model in the NICU. Bioethics Forum. 1995 Spring;11(1):35-41. PMID: 11653278.
- 6. Nadeau DP, Rich JN, Brietzke SE. Informed consent in pediatric surgery: Do parents understand the risks? Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2010 Mar;136(3):265-9. doi: 10.1001/archoto.2010.5. PMID: 20231645.
- 7. Anderson OA, Wearne IM. Informed consent for elective surgery--what is best practice? J R Soc Med. 2007 Feb;100(2):97-100. doi: 10.1177/014107680710000226. PMID: 17277283; PMCID: PMC1791005.
- 8. Chotai PN, Nollan R, Huang EY, Gosain A. Surgical informed consent in children: a systematic review. J Surg Res. 2017 Jun 1;213:191-198. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2017.02.047. Epub 2017 Mar 3. PMID: 28601314.
- 9. Jonas E, Schulz-Hardt S, Frey D, Thelen N. Confirmation bias in sequential information search after preliminary decisions: an expansion of dissonance theoretical research on selective exposure to information. J Pers Soc Psychol. 2001 Apr;80(4):557-71. doi: 10.1037//0022-3514.80.4.557. PMID: 11316221.
- 10. Flores G, Laws MB, Mayo SJ, Zuckerman B, Abreu M, Medina L, Hardt EJ. Errors in medical interpretation and their potential clinical consequences in pediatric encounters. Pediatrics. 2003 Jan;111(1):6-14. doi: 10.1542/peds.111.1.6. PMID: 12509547.
- 11. Karliner LS, Jacobs EA, Chen AH, Mutha S. Do professional interpreters improve clinical care for patients with limited English proficiency? A systematic review of the literature. Health Serv Res. 2007 Apr;42(2):727-54. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-6773.2006.00629.x. PMID: 17362215; PMCID: PMC1955368.