Article

The effects of different irrigation and fertilization levels and mulching materials on the yield and quality of strawberry

Serhat Ayas [1,*]

[1] Department of Ibrahim Orhan Vocational School, Bursa Uludag University, Bursa, Turkey; serayas@uludag.edu.tr

* Correspondence: serayas@uludag.edu.tr; Tel.: (S.A.) +90-224-7736042

Abstract: This study was to determine the effects of different irrigation, fertigation and mulching applications on the yield and quality parameters of strawberries. The study was conducted at the Bursa Uludağ University Yenişehir Ibrahim Orhan Vocational School Agricultural Research Field in 2019-2020. In the research, four different irrigation topics, three different fertigation and three different mulching topics were selected The maximum and minimum yield values of the study years were calculated as 5.05-18.70 t ha-1 and 1.20-8.7 t ha-1, respectively, from I100F100M1 and I25F50M0 treatments. As a result, a three-factor study determined that irrigation, fertigation, and mulching had a significant effect on the yield and quality characteristics of strawberries. However, when the reductions in yield and quality losses are evaluated together, despite the reductions in irrigation water and fertigation levels, I75 and F75 topics can be recommended. Also, in mulching treatments, black mulch material (M1) should be chosen over clear mulch material (M1) and no mulch (M0).

Keywords: Yield and quality parameters, deficit irrigation and fertigation, ky factor of strawberry, mulching applications, the cultivation of strawberries

1. Introduction

The strawberry (Fragaria spp.), the most important berry fruit, is cultivated in many regions of the world. Due to its pleasant aroma, flavor, and vitamin and mineral content, it is consumed by everyone and plays an important role in human nutrition and health. Until recently, strawberries were only grown in the regions of Istanbul, Bursa, and Karadeniz Ereğli; however, they are now becoming increasingly common. In the Marmara Region, where Bursa is located, strawberries ripen during the first week of May, while they ripen in March and April in the Aegean and Mediterranean regions. In addition, high yields are obtained in the Mediterranean and Aegean regions as a result of their high annual temperatures, whereas low yields are obtained in the Marmara and Black Sea regions as a result of their low temperatures. Due to economic growth under varying ecological conditions, strawberry cultivation has gained prominence in the modern era. Fresh strawberries can be made into jam, marmalade, pastry, and fruit juice [1].

The cultivation of strawberries has played a significant role in global agriculture. The global and Turkish strawberry productions are approximately 8.8 million and 546,525 tons. Turkey ranks fourth in the world in terms of strawberry production [2]. Strawberry is one of the great fruits produced in the Marmara region, Turkey. In the province of Bursa in the Marmara Region, annual strawberry production is about 51 000 tons of fruit from 3 000 ha. Turkey ranks twelfth in the world in strawberry exports, with 47 912 tons [3]. Strawberry export in the province of Bursa for 2016 year is about 19.4 tons [4].

Climate, topography, water source, variety of cultural applications, and irrigation management techniques and practices influence fruit yield and quality, according to studies on strawberry irrigation. In our country, relatively few studies have been conducted

 doi:10.20944/preprints202212.0163.v1

on the irrigation of strawberries using drip irrigation techniques, and the yield response of strawberries to different irrigation water levels in the province of Bursa has not been thoroughly investigated. More N, P, K, and B accumulate in harvested fruits than in other plant organs. This situation demonstrates the significance of N, P, K, and B for the plant's fruit quality. The response of the strawberry to an increase in nitrogen (N) content was an increase in the number of fruits, but no increase in fruit weight was observed. Although the fruits and flowers of phosphorus (P)-deficient plants are smaller than usual, albinism has developed in the fruits of susceptible varieties. In the later stages of potassium (K) deficiency, fruit wrinkles increased, and pedicles and peduncles began to dry. In addition, observable wilting was observed in the fruits. It was determined that potassium (K) had no effect on the firmness of collected and ripened fruit. In a soilless closed system, excessive potassium intake reduces the sugar content and quality of strawberries. While potassium (K) deficiency ultimately limits the color development in fruits that is rough and tasteless [5]. Mulching is a cultural practice applied to the soil surface to benefit the soil and the plant. Polyethylene (Plastic) materials are generally used in mulching applications. For mulching purposes, more very black or dark plastic covers. In recent years, more and more polyethylene with different colors and properties materials have been used. Different colored mulches help plant growth and increase the yield of the crop by influencing the development effects positively. This is because the root zone with appropriate temperature and humidity values from the mulch surface back to the leaves is due to reflected light. Thanks to PE mulching applications, it is possible to provide better weed control, desired soil moisture and temperature, higher yield, and profit growth [5-6].

[7] reported that the yield and growth of vegetables affect the irrigation method and the choice of different types of mulch. In addition, it has been reported that the use of plastic mulch provides 25-35% water savings and increases efficiency in all treatments. Many studies have been carried out on the irrigation, fertilization, and mulching of strawberries in the world and in Turkey [1-8-9-10]. However, these studies are in the form of separate topics. Unlike previous studies, this study was carried out by combining three different study topics (Irrigation-fertigation-mulching). The aim of this study is to determine the effects of irrigation, fertigation, and mulching on strawberry yield and quality parameters.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

The study was conducted at the Bursa Uludağ University Yenişehir Ibrahim Orhan Vocational School Agricultural Research Field in 2019-2020. In summer, the climate of Yenişehir is hot and partly rainy, and in winter, it is cold and rainy. While the average temperature values during the experimental periods in both study years were measured as 17.4 and 14.6 °C, the average precipitation amounts during the plant's growing season were measured as 92.2 and 54.8 mm. The average relative humidity values of strawberries during both study years and the growth period were calculated as 73.4% and 72.8%, respectively [11]. The lowest and highest radiation values of the same year and periods were measured as 1926-1635 W m^{-2} and 1983-1769 W m^{-2}, respectively [12]. In both study years, the soil was analyzed before the strawberry seedlings were planted, and the pH value of the soil was measured as 7.85 and 8.18, respectively. Likewise, before planting the strawberry seedlings, 90 kg da^{-1} gold (15-15-15) was applied as a base fertilizer. Chlorpyrifos-ethyl was sprayed as a chemical drug (Control) against the pests of strawberries.

The Camarosa strawberry variety (Fragaria x Camarosa) is a productive and short-day variety. Its fruits are plump, red, and resistant to impact. Its aroma is very pleasant. The Camarosa strawberry variety is suitable for greenhouse and open-field cultivation. Pest control and fertilizing of this variety should be applied in a scheduled and careful way [13].

 doi:10.20944/preprints202212.0163.v1

2.2. Experimental layout

Four different irrigation subjects (I_{100}, I_{75}, I_{50}, and I_{25}) were applied in the experiment. The subject of I_{100} was accepted as full irrigation, and according to the subject of I_{100}, full irrigation, 75%, 50%, and 25% irrigation were applied in other subjects. Drip irrigation was chosen in order to apply varying water amounts at various irrigation levels. The source from which the water is supplied is a well, and a submersible pump with a flow of 16 m^3 h^{-1} was used to supply the water. The well is 18 meters deep, and the sump pump draws water from a depth of 12 meters. A chemical analysis of the water was conducted, and it was determined that it was in the C_2S_1 quality class. Features of the C_2S_1 quality class; low sodium risk and moderate electrical conductivity (EC). Strawberry plants can be grown easily in the C_2S_1 water class [14].

In accordance with the strawberry seedling planting dates in the Marmara Region of Turkey, the planting date of the Camarosa seedlings was 15 March 2019/20, respectively. There was a 5% loss in the first 10 days after the strawberry seedlings were planted. The failed strawberry seedlings were replaced with new strawberry seedlings. It was determined that the distance between the plant and the row was 0.30 x 0.30 meters. Each parcel contained 30 strawberry seedlings, and each parcel measured 1.20 m by 1.50 m. Each parcel contained one harvest parcel containing twelve strawberry seedlings. A total of 36 application combinations for irrigation, fertigation, and mulching treatments were created. The design of the experiment was determined as two repetitions (two blocks) according to the divided plot experiment plan. Because the trial has three factors and all three factors are not considered equally important, such a trial plan was preferred.

2.3. Irrigation, fertigation and mulching treatments

Three different fertigation subjects (F_{100}, F_{75}, and F_{50}) were applied along with four different irrigation treatments (I_{100}, I_{75}, I_{50}, and I_{25}). The F_{100} treatment was accepted as the subject of complete fertigation, and fertigation was applied at the rates of 75% and 50% in the other two treatments (F_{75} and F_{50} treatments). For full fertigation treatment (F_{100}), from the planting of strawberry seedlings to the end of the 4th week, 3.0 kg da^{-1} potassium nitrate (13%N and 46% K_2O) and 2.0 kg da^{-1} phosphoric acid (61% P_2O_5) were applied every week. In the 5th, 6th, and 7th weeks, 4 kg da^{-1} potassium nitrate (13%N and 46% K_2O) and 2.0 kg da^{-1} phosphoric acid (61% P_2O_5) were applied. In the F_{75} and F_{50} treatments, fertigation was applied at 75% and 50% of the fertilizer amounts applied in the F_{100} treatment. In the study, three different mulching treatments were applied as the third factor. These are the treatments without mulch (M_0), with PE black mulch material (M_1), and with PE transparent mulch material (M_2).

2.4. Statistical analysis

Strawberry yield and quality values were subjected to variance analysis using the JMP 13 program. When the F-test was significant, the LSD test was used to group irrigation, fertigation, and mulching factors. The fruit size of the strawberries taken as an example was measured with a caliper, and the average of the measured values was calculated. The dry matter content of the fruit was determined by drying the sample fruit to a constant weight (at 65°C in a drying oven). The amount of dry matter in the fruits was determined using [15]. The amount of water-soluble dry matter (WSDM), total sugar (TS), pH, titratable acid content, ascorbic acid (vitamin C) content, crude protein content, total anthocyanin content, and total phenolic content were determined.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202212.0163.v1

2.5. Determination of the amount of water-soluble dry matter (%)

After the strawberry fruits were homogenized, the juice passed through coarse filter paper was determined by dripping on a hand refractometer (0-53 scale, Refractometer Pal-1), and the results were expressed as % [1-15].

2.6. Determination of total sugar (%)

Total sugar, fructose, and sucrose amounts from fruit juice samples obtained from 100 gr strawberry samples were determined as grams and % using HPLC (HP 1100 series) RID (Refraction Index) detector and Shim-Pack HRC NH2 (300X7, 8mm, 5um) column. [16-17].

2.7. pH values

The pH values of strawberry fruits were determined by measuring with a digital pH meter [14-15].

2.8. Determination of titratable acid content (TA) (%)

Using the titration acidity method, the titratable acidity of strawberry fruits was determined in terms of citric acid, and the values were expressed as a % [18-19].

2.9. Determination of Ascorbic acid (Vitamin C)

Vitamin C amounts of strawberry fruit sample Shrestha et al. (2016) method (Shimadzu IU-1800, Japan). Vitamin C amounts in the samples were determined as mg 100 g^{-1} [20].

2.10. Determination of crude protein ratio (%)

Protein determination was made with the Kjeldahl method to determine the suitability of strawberries for current quality standards and human nutrition [16].

Calculation;

% Nitrogen content (g/100g) = / Sample amount x 100

% Protein content = % Nitrogen content x 6.25

2.11. Determination of total anthocyanin content

After the fruit extract was prepared, the pH-differential method was applied to find the total anthocyanin content. The amount of anthocyanin was calculated in terms of cyanidin 3-glucose in all samples [5-19].

2.12. Determination of total phenolic content

After the extract was prepared from strawberry fruits, the absorbance of the extract prepared at 725 nm wavelength was read in the spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-Vis 1800, Japan) [19].

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 8 December 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202212.0163.v1

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Irrigation water amounts, evapotranspiration and yield values

Before planting strawberry seedlings, the moisture level in the soil was brought to the field capacity moisture level four days beforehand. The mulch was applied two days prior to planting. At the field capacity moisture level, 0 to 0.60 meters of soil depth was found to be right. The first irrigation was applied one week after the strawberry seedlings were planted. In the years of the study, maximum and minimum irrigation water amounts were calculated as 380-420 mm and 95-105 mm, respectively. The maximum and minimum evapotranspiration (ET) amounts in the 2019 and 2020 trial years were calculated as 440-465 mm and 220-280 mm, respectively (Table 1). The relationships between irrigation water (IW) and yield (Ya) and the relationship between ETc and yield (Ya) for 2019 and 2020 are given in Figure 1. [21] applied 345.0, 272.4, and 218.9 mm of irrigation water from full irrigation application in the first year and 290.0, 236.1, and 181.6 mm of irrigation water from the second year of a two-year study conducted under Erzurum-Turkey conditions with three different drip irrigation systems (SD: surface drip irrigation, SSD: subsurface drip irrigation, and MD: surface drip irrigation with black polyethylene mulch) and four different irrigation levels (25%, 50, 75, and 100). In the first year of a two-year study, they applied 345.0, 272.4, and 218.9 mm of irrigation water, respectively, from the full irrigation application, and 290.0, 236.1, and 181.6 mm of irrigation water in the second year. In addition, it has been reported that the highest actual plant evapotranspiration values were measured at 529 mm for 2015 and 532 mm for 2016. [22] reported that while 400-510 mm of irrigation water was applied to Roceiera and Rabida strawberry varieties, where the highest yield was obtained, less irrigation water was applied to Sabrina (350 mm) and other strawberry varieties. In our study, the highest and lowest irrigation water amounts in both trial years were found to be 380-95 mm and 420-105 mm, respectively, while the highest and lowest actual evapotranspiration values were calculated as 440-220 mm and 465-280 mm, respectively. The highest evapotranspiration values were obtained from the $I_{100}F_{100}M_1$ subject, where full irrigation and fertigation were applied with black mulch material, while the lowest evapotranspiration values were obtained from the $I_{25}F_{50}M_0$ subject, where the lowest irrigation and fertigation were applied without mulch. These results were consistent with the irrigation water and plant water consumption values obtained from previous studies [21-22].

3.2. Crop yield response factor (ky) values

Crop yield response factor (ky) values in irrigation treatments I_{100}, I_{75}, I_{50}, and I_{25} in both trial years were calculated as 0.76-0.60, 0.64-0.88, 1.04-0.82, and 1.20-1.08, respectively. The ky values increased with the decrease in irrigation water. The low ky values in I_{75} treatments made it appropriate to reduce irrigation in I_{75} treatments. The ky values in different irrigation level treatments during the trial years are given in Figure 2. There was a correlation between the crop yield response factor (ky) calculated in previous studies and the ky values calculated in the research [21].

3.3. The maximum and minimum yield values

The maximum and minimum yield values of the study years were calculated as 5.05-18.70 t ha^{-1} and 1.20-8.7 t ha^{-1}, respectively, from $I_{100}F_{100}M_1$ and $I_{25}F_{50}M_0$ treatments (Tables 2 and 3). In both years of the research, it was found significant at the level of 1% in terms of yield values, irrigation water, fertigation amounts, and mulching treatments. The interaction of irrigation, fertigation, and mulching on yield was also found to be statistically significant at the 1% level. In the first year of the study, the yield values of strawberries were similar and differed in statistical classes; in the second year, statistical classes were formed as the primary statistical classes. While the yield values obtained

 doi:10.20944/preprints202212.0163.v1

from F_{100} and F_{75} irrigation subjects and the statistical classes containing these values were close to each other, it was determined that the yield values obtained, especially from F_{25} irrigation treatments, were very low. In both research years, there was a decrease in yield with the decrease in fertigation level. Although the change in yield with mulching treatments showed differences in the first year of the study, it was determined that the highest yield was obtained from M_1 (black mulch treatment). M_1 was followed by M_2 (Transparent mulch treatment) and M_0 (No mulch treatment). In the second year of the study, the highest yield values were obtained from the M_1 treatment, while the M_1 treatment was followed by the M_2 and M_0 treatments. When the treatments were evaluated in terms of mulching, it was determined that the yield values were in different statistical classes.

When statistically evaluating the quality parameters of strawberries, only the ascorbic acid values in 2020 were found to be insignificant in terms of irrigation factor. In terms of factors (irrigation, fertigation, and mulching) for the first year of the study, a 1% level of significance was found. Except for two fruit quality characteristics, all quality parameter values were found to be insignificant at the block level. Regarding blocks, fruit diameter was found to be significant at a level of 1%, while total acidity was found to be significant at a level of 5%. The interaction of irrigation-fertigation-mulching factors on fruit diameter, fruit weight, number of fruits per plant (NFPP), total soluble solids, total sugar, pH, titratable acidity, ascorbic acid, crude protein, anthocyanin, total phenolic content, and total flavonoids was also found to be significant at the 1% level. In terms of fruit length and dry matter, the interaction of irrigation, fertigation, and mulching was found to be significant at the 5% level. When the factors in the second year of the study were evaluated separately, all strawberry quality parameters were found to be statistically significant at the 1% level, with the exception of one quality parameter (ascorbic acid). When the ascorbic acid values were evaluated in terms of irrigation factor, they were found to be insignificant. Ascorbic acid values were found to be significant at a level of 1% in terms of fertigation and mulching. When evaluated at the level of blocks, it was found to be significant at the level of 1% in terms of total sugar and crude protein and at the level of 5% in terms of pH. Other quality parameters of strawberries were found to be insignificant at the level of blocks. As in the first research year, the interaction of irrigation-fertigation-mulching factors on TSS and ascorbic acid was insignificant but significant at the 5% level in terms of titratable acidity. Other quality parameters were found to be significant at the 1% level. When the strawberry quality parameters in both research years were evaluated in terms of statistical classification, fruit length, fruit diameter, fruit weight, the number of fruits per plant (NFPP), ascorbic acid, crude protein, anthocyanin, total phenolic content, and total flavonoids amount increased as irrigation amount, and fertigation level increased. The amount of dry matter, TSS, and total sugar decreased as the amount of irrigation water increased. In addition, titratable acidity and pH values did not differ in terms of irrigation, fertigation, and mulching. When the effect of mulching treatments (M_1-M_2-M_0) on the quality parameters of strawberries was evaluated, it was observed that the average values obtained from the application with black mulch material (M_1) were higher, and the average values obtained from the M_2 and M_0 treatments were close to each other. The previous studies on the effects of irrigation-fertigation-mulching factors on the yield and quality parameters of strawberries and the values obtained from our present study were in agreement with each other [1-5-7-8-10-13-18-20-22-23-24-25]. The values and statistical classes of the quality parameters of strawberries are given in Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4 in detail.

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 8 December 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202212.0163.v1



Figure. 1. The relationship between Evapotranspiration (ET_c) with yield (Ya) for 2019 and 2020 years



Figure 2. The relationship between relative yield decrease and relative evapotranspiration deficit for the experimental years (2019 and 2020)

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 8 December 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202212.0163.v1

Table 1. Relationship between yield and yield response factor (ky) with the decrease in water use, for strawberry in 2019 and 2020

Table 1

	2019				2020			
Treatments	Yield (t ha^{-1})	AW (mm)	ETa (mm)	ky	Yield (t ha^{-1})	AW (mm)	ETa (mm)	ky
$I_{100}F1_{00}M_1$	5.05	380	440	0.000	18.70	420	465	0.000
$I_{100}F1_{00}M_2$	4.85	380	432	0.459	17.95	420	458	0.375
$I_{100}F1_{00}M_0$	4.65	380	420	0.574	17.70	420	455	0.402
$I_{100}F_{75}M_1$	4.85	380	430	0.574	18.10	315	460	0.335
$I_{100}F_{75}M_2$	4.90	380	430	0.765	17.40	315	450	0.464
$I_{100}F_{75}M_0$	4.05	380	380	0.689	16.95	315	442	0.529
$I_{100}F_{50}M_1$	3.90	380	350	0.898	14.05	210	375	0.778
$I_{100}F_{50}M_2$	3.95	380	348	0.960	13.55	210	350	0.898
$I_{100}F_{50}M_0$	3.90	380	325	1.148	13.60	210	340	0.986
$I_{75}F1_{00}M_1$	4.90	285	430	0.000	17.75	105	290	0.000
$I_{75}F1_{00}M_2$	4.85	285	427	0.684	17.50	105	288	0.490
$I_{75}F1_{00}M_0$	4.30	285	400	0.570	17.35	105	285	0.765
$I_{75}F_{75}M_1$	4.60	285	415	0.570	15.80	420	265	0.785
$I_{75}F_{75}M_2$	4.30	285	395	0.665	15.50	420	260	0.816
$I_{75}F_{75}M_0$	4.35	285	395	0.725	14.80	420	244	0.954
$I_{175}F_{50}M_1$	3.65	285	345	0.775	14.05	315	228	1.026
$I_{75}F_{50}M_2$	3.80	285	340	0.932	13.60	315	220	1.032
$I_{75}F_{50}M_0$	3.15	285	285	0.944	13.55	315	210	1.166
$I_{50}F1_{00}M_1$	3.55	190	370	0.000	13.50	210	380	0.000
$I_{50}F1_{00}M_2$	3.35	190	363	0.336	13.15	210	372	0.812
$I_{50}F1_{00}M_0$	2.55	190	290	0.768	13.05	210	370	0.789
$I_{50}F_{75}M_1$	2.50	190	260	1.005	13.00	105	372	0.568
$I_{50}F_{75}M_2$	2.45	190	205	0.954	12.95	105	370	0.646
$I_{50}F_{75}M_0$	2.65	190	200	1.084	12.90	105	365	0.888
$I_{150}F_{50}M_1$	2.60	190	215	1.565	12.80	420	362	0.914
$I_{50}F_{50}M_2$	2.45	190	210	1.396	12.75	420	360	0.947
$I_{50}F_{50}M_0$	2.15	190	190	1.234	12.50	420	352	0.995
$I_{25}F1_{00}M_1$	1.70	95	395	0.000	11.80	315	420	0.000
$I_{25}F1_{00}M_2$	1.65	95	382	1.119	11.55	315	412	0.899
$I_{25}F1_{00}M_0$	1.60	95	367	1.205	11.00	315	390	1.054
$I_{25}F_{75}M_1$	1.50	95	350	0.968	10.50	210	375	0.973
$I_{25}F_{75}M_2$	1.45	95	330	1.119	10.55	210	370	1.124
$I_{25}F_{75}M_0$	1.40	95	310	1.219	9.85	210	350	1.009
$I_{125}F_{50}M_1$	1.25	95	270	1.195	9.10	105	310	1.145
$I_{25}F_{50}M_2$	1.25	95	265	1.243	9.00	105	305	1.154
$I_{25}F_{50}M_0$	1.20	95	220	1.506	8.70	105	280	1.269

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 8 December 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202212.0163.v1

Table 2. Quality parameters of strawberries in 2019

Irrigation Treatments	Fertigation Treatments	Mulching Treatments	Yield (t ha^{-1})	Fruit Length (cm)	Fruit Diameter (cm)	Fruit Weight (g)	Number of Fruits Per Plant	Dry Matter (%)	Total Soluble Solids (°Brix)
I100 Irrigation (I_{100}: %100)	F1 (%100)	M1	5.05 a	4.05 a	3.90 ab	16.55 a	11.05 a	7.95 op	7.55 m
	F1 (%100)	M2	4.85 ab	3.95 ab	3.95 a	16.15 b	10.80 ab	8.25 mn	7.75 klm
	F1 (%100)	M0	4.65 bc	3.90 ab	3.90 ab	14.95 d	10.60 bc	8.55 hijk	7.90 ijk
	F2 (%75)	M1	4.85 ab	4.00 a	3.95 a	15.50 c	10.55 bc	7.80 pq	8.25 cdef
	F2 (%75)	M2	4.90 a	3.95 ab	3.80 bcd	15.55 c	10.35 cd	8.05 no	7.80 jkl
	F2 (%75)	M0	4.05 e	3.90 ab	3.85 abc	14.95 d	10.90 ab	8.30 lm	7.78 jklm
	F3 (%50)	M1	3.90 ef	3.80 bc	3.75 cd	12.25 k	10.35 cd	7.60 q	7.55 m
	F3 (%50)	M2	3.95 ef	3.55 defg	3.50 fg	11.70 l	10.00 defg	7.95 op	7.63 lm
	F3 (%50)	M0	3.90 ef	3.40 ghi	3.55 f	10.35 op	9.95 defgh	8.40 jkllm	7.73 klm
I75 Irrigation (I75: %75)	F1 (%100)	M1	4.90 a	3.80 bc	3.95 a	14.35 e	10.55 bc	8.45 ijklm	7.86 jkl
	F1 (%100)	M2	4.85 ab	3.70 cd	3.90 ab	13.75 g	10.05 def	8.55 hijk	7.82 jkl
	F1 (%100)	M0	4.30 d	3.65 cde	3.85 abc	12.95 i	10.00 defg	8.85 fg	7.93 hijk
	F2 (%75)	M1	4.60 c	3.80 bc	3.70 de	14.15 ef	10.35 cd	8.25 mn	7.90 ijk
	F2 (%75)	M2	4.30 d	3.60 def	3.60 ef	14.05 f	10.05 def	8.30 lm	7.90 ijk
	F2 (%75)	M0	4.35 d	3.40 ghi	3.50 fg	13.35 h	9.75 efghi	8.45 ijklm	7.95 hijk
	F3 (%50)	M1	3.65 gh	3.30 ij	3.55 f	10.40 op	10.10 de	8.35 klm	7.73 klm
	F3 (%50)	M2	3.80 fg	3.15 jk	3.40 g	10.50 o	9.85 efghi	8.35 klm	7.80 jkl
	F3 (%50)	M0	3.15 j	3.00 klm	3.25 h	9.55 s	9.60 ghi	8.50 hijkl	7.93 hijk
I50 Irrigation (I50: %50)	F1 (%100)	M1	3.55 hi	3.45 fghi	3.70 de	12.60 j	9.75 efghi	9.10 e	8.15 efgh
	F1 (%100)	M2	3.35 ij	3.50 efgh	3.60 ef	11.60 lm	9.65 fghi	9.45 bcd	8.23 cdefg
	F1 (%100)	M0	2.55 k	3.30 ij	3.55 f	11.50 lm	10.05 def	9.40 bcd	8.20 defg
	F2 (%75)	M1	2.50 k	3.35 hi	3.40 g	12.85 i	9.50 i	8.50 hijkl	7.95 hijk
	F2 (%75)	M2	2.45 k	3.00 klm	3.50 fg	11.45 m	9.05 j	8.70 gh	7.93 hijk
	F2 (%75)	M0	2.65 k	3.10 kl	3.55 f	10.95 n	8.95 jk	9.05 ef	8.85 b
	F3 (%50)	M1	2.60 k	2.75 no	3.05 i	10.20 p	9.55 hi	8.65 ghi	7.93 hijk
	F3 (%50)	M2	2.45 k	2.65 op	3.00 i	9.90 qr	8.85 jkl	8.60 hij	8.00 ghij
	F3 (%50)	M0	2.15 l	2.55 p	2.80 j	9.70 rs	8.55 kl	9.10 e	8.13 efghi
I25 Irrigation (I25: %25)	F1 (%100)	M1	1.70 m	3.05 klm	3.25 h	10.20 p	9.05 j	9.60 ab	8.25 cdef
	F1 (%100)	M2	1.65 mn	2.90 mn	3.00 i	11.10 n	8.90 jkl	9.50 bc	8.20 defg
	F1 (%100)	M0	1.60 mno	2.90 mn	2.75 j	10.35 op	9.65 fghi	9.75 a	8.40 cd
	F2 (%75)	M1	1.50 mno	2.90 mn	3.00 i	10.45 o	9.05 j	9.40 bcd	8.82 b
	F2 (%75)	M2	1.45 nop	3.05 klm	3.00 i	9.95 q	8.50 l	9.35 cd	9.25 a
	F2 (%75)	M0	1.40 opq	2.95 lm	3.10 i	9.55 s	8.60 kl	9.55 abc	9.35 a
	F3 (%50)	M1	1.25 pq	2.00 q	2.80 j	8.80 t	8.55 kl	9.25 de	8.28 cdef
	F3 (%50)	M2	1.25 pq	1.95 q	2.80 j	7.55 u	8.55 kl	9.45 bcd	8.35 cde
	F3 (%50)	M0	1.20 q	1.70 r	2.75 j	7.15 v	8.05 m	9.60 ab	8.45 c
Irrigation			**	**	**	**	**	**	**

 doi:10.20944/preprints202212.0163.v1

Fertigation			**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Mulching			**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Blocks			ns	ns	**	ns	ns	ns	ns	
I*F*M Interaction			**	*	**	**	**	*	**	
Irrigation Treatments	Fertigation Treatments	Mulching Treatments	Total Sugar (%)	pH	Titratable Acidity (%)	Ascorbic Asid (mg 100g^{-1})	Crude Protein (%)	Anthocyanins (mg 100g^{-1})	Fenolic Compound (mg GAE 100G^{-1})	Flavonoids (mgCE 100g^{-1})
I100 Irrigation (I_{100}: %100)	F1 (%100)	M1	7.20 qr	3.45 jk	0.75 cd	91.35 a	8.32 fgh	37.45 b	245.65 a	68.80 a
	F1 (%100)	M2	7.33 nop	3.45 jk	0.71 fgh	84.35 gh	8.06 ij	38.55 a	243.30 b	68.35 a
	F1 (%100)	M0	7.33 nop	3.50 ij	0.65 i	63.25 o	7.98 j	35.00 e	240.75 cd	66.05 d
	F2 (%75)	M1	7.18 r	3.45 jk	0.75 cd	84.05 h	7.81 k	36.65 bc	241.10 c	66.90 c
	F2 (%75)	M2	7.20 qr	3.33 lm	0.74 cde	64.75 mn	7.80 k	35.95 cd	239.30 e	66.45 cd
	F2 (%75)	M0	7.30 op	3.38 kl	0.71 fgh	60.25 q	7.63 l	35.50 de	235.25 f	65.20 ef
	F3 (%50)	M1	7.27 pqr	3.32 lm	0.70 gh	56.70 tu	7.32 nop	30.10 hi	229.45 h	50.00 m
	F3 (%50)	M2	7.28 pq	3.35 lm	0.70 gh	58.85 r	7.30 op	30.25 hi	226.20 j	48.90 n
	F3 (%50)	M0	7.30 op	3.28 m	0.69 h	55.80 v	7.16 q	27.65 jk	220.35 l	47.65 o
I75 Irrigation (I75: %75)	F1 (%100)	M1	7.38 lmno	3.83 e	0.73 def	70.70 k	8.28 gh	32.25 g	238.65 e	68.70 a
	F1 (%100)	M2	7.36 mnop	3.98 d	0.74 cde	72.40 j	8.24 h	30.40 h	239.55 de	68.75 a
	F1 (%100)	M0	7.46 ijkl	3.95 d	0.63 i	60.10 q	8.05 j	30.25 hi	238.85 e	67.45 b
	F2 (%75)	M1	7.38 lmno	3.40 kl	0.72 efg	65.10 m	8.26 h	33.95 f	231.40 g	64.75 f
	F2 (%75)	M2	7.40 klmn	3.35 lm	0.74 cde	64.50 mn	8.14 i	33.80 f	231.45 g	65.05 ef
	F2 (%75)	M0	7.40 klmn	3.33 lm	0.71 fgh	64.20 n	8.14 i	33.45 f	227.85 i	64.75 f
	F3 (%50)	M1	7.40 klmn	3.40 kl	0.71 fgh	58.10 s	7.28 op	24.40 mn	214.55 n	48.95 n
	F3 (%50)	M2	7.40 klmn	3.33 lm	0.69 h	57.40 st	7.15 q	23.75 no	204.05 o	46.25 p
	F3 (%50)	M0	7.45 jklm	3.40 kl	0.64 i	54.80 w	7.17 q	20.95 q	196.85 p	43.20 q
I50 Irrigation (I50: %50)	F1 (%100)	M1	7.48 ijk	4.00 d	0.79 a	90.60 bc	8.43 de	25.55 l	235.75 f	65.35 e
	F1 (%100)	M2	7.68 fg	4.15 c	0.73 def	90.00 cd	8.36 efg	26.85 k	235.10 f	65.05 ef
	F1 (%100)	M0	7.55 hi	4.48 a	0.71 fgh	84.95 fg	8.38 def	24.95 lm	229.50 h	64.95 ef
	F2 (%75)	M1	7.40 klmn	3.55 hi	0.70 gh	70.15 k	8.30 fgh	27.75 jk	225.20 j	62.35 g
	F2 (%75)	M2	7.50 ij	3.55 hi	0.71 fgh	89.70 d	8.36 efg	29.45 i	226.10 j	60.50 ij
	F2 (%75)	M0	8.00 e	3.58 ghi	0.72 efg	68.15 l	8.50 gh	28.50 j	225.00 j	60.75 ij
	F3 (%50)	M1	7.54 hij	3.50 ij	0.71 fgh	58.85 r	7.26 p	19.75 r	190.50 q	40.25 r
	F3 (%50)	M2	7.63 gh	3.50 ij	0.74 cde	59.10 r	7.31 nop	19.25 rs	181.65 r	39.00 s
	F3 (%50)	M0	7.75 f	3.50 ij	0.75 cd	56.50 uv	7.34 nop	18.60 st	174.80 s	36.50 t
I25 Irrigation (I25: %25)	F1 (%100)	M1	7.60 gh	4.49 a	0.76 bc	91.30 ab	8.60 ab	23.15 op	222.85 k	62.85 g
	F1 (%100)	M2	8.00 e	4.45 ab	0.78 ab	90.90 ab	8.62 a	22.90 op	220.65 l	61.75 h
	F1 (%100)	M0	8.00 e	4.38 b	0.79 a	84.15 h	8.58 ab	22.65 p	219.70 l	60.90 i
	F2 (%75)	M1	8.18 cd	3.73 f	0.74 cde	85.70 e	8.46 cd	25.75 l	220.70 l	60.30 j
	F2 (%75)	M2	8.40 b	3.70 f	0.74 cde	85.10 ef	8.43 de	24.55 mn	219.75 l	58.95 k
	F2 (%75)	M0	8.68 a	3.83 e	0.73 def	83.15 i	8.53 bc	22.95 op	217.80 m	58.40 l
	F3 (%50)	M1	8.27 c	3.60 gh	0.73 def	62.10 p	7.39 mn	18.10 tu	170.90 t	33.35 u
	F3 (%50)	M2	8.00 e	3.70 f	0.75 cd	60.75 q	7.46 m	17.90 tu	167.00 u	32.75 v

Table 3. Quality parameters of strawberries in 2019

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 8 December 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202212.0163.v1

	F3 (%50)	M0	8.10 d	3.65 g	0.72 efg	60.25 q	7.36 no	17.6 u	164.75 v	31.20 w
Irrigation			**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Fertigation			**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Mulching			**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Blocks			ns	ns	*	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
I*F*M Interaction			**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

Table 4. Quality parameters of strawberries in 2020

Fertilization Treatments	Irrigation Treatments	Mulching Treatments	Yield (t ha^{-1})	Fruit Length (cm)	Fruit Diameter (cm)	Fruit Weight (g)	Number of Fruits Per Plant	Dry Matter (%)	Total Soluble Solids (°Brix)
I100 Irrigation (I_{100}: %100)	F1 (%100)	M1	18.70 a	4.05 b	4.05 ab	18.35 a	11.55 bc	7.65 o	7.20 p
	F1 (%100)	M2	17.95 c	4.23 a	4.00 ab	18.15 ab	11.45 c	7.70 no	7.25 op
	F1 (%100)	M0	17.70 d	3.95 bcd	3.95 bc	18.00 b	11.40 cd	8.00 lm	7.33 nop
	F2 (%75)	M1	18.10 b	4.00 bc	3.85 cd	16.95 d	11.85 ab	7.85 mno	7.53 klmnop
	F2 (%75)	M2	17.40 ef	3.95 bcd	3.95 bc	17.55 c	12.10 a	8.00 lm	7.73 ijklm
	F2 (%75)	M0	16.95 g	3.90 cd	3.95 bc	16.85 d	11.50 c	7.95 lm	7.63 jklmn
	F3 (%50)	M1	14.05 k	3.95 bcd	3.85 cd	14.35 j	11.00 e	8.00 lm	7.50 lmnop
	F3 (%50)	M2	13.44 m	3.95 bcd	3.70 efg	13.90 k	10.55 f	7.95 lm	7.44 mnop
	F3 (%50)	M0	13.60 l	3.90 cd	3.75 def	13.30 l	10.35 fg	8.45 hij	7.53 klmnop
I75 Irrigation (I75: %75)	F1 (%100)	M1	17.75 d	3.90 cd	4.10 a	16.55 e	11.10 de	7.90 lmn	7.20 p
	F1 (%100)	M2	17.50 e	4.20 a	4.05 ab	16.50 e	11.05 e	8.00 lm	7.43 mnop
	F1 (%100)	M0	17.35 f	4.05 b	3.80 de	16.05 f	11.55 bc	8.55 ghi	7.58 jklmno
	F2 (%75)	M1	15.80 h	3.85 de	3.80 de	16.50 e	11.55 bc	7.85 mno	7.78 hijklm
	F2 (%75)	M2	15.50 i	4.00 bc	3.65 fgh	16.05 f	11.50 c	8.30 jk	7.85 ghijkl
	F2 (%75)	M0	14.80 j	3.70 fg	3.50 ijk	16.05 f	11.05 e	8.65 fgh	7.88 ghijk
	F3 (%50)	M1	14.05 k	3.60 ghi	3.60 ghi	12.95 m	11.00 e	8.40 ij	7.50 lmnop
	F3 (%50)	M2	13.60 l	3.50 ij	3.45 jkl	12.45 op	10.00 h	8.10 kl	7.60 jklmno
	F3 (%50)	M0	13.55 lm	3.75 ef	3.55 hij	12.00 q	9.00 k	8.80 ef	7.75 hijklm
I50 Irrigation (I50: %50)	F1 (%100)	M1	13.50 lm	4.00 bc	3.85 cd	13.25 l	10.45 f	8.80 ef	8.00 efghi
	F1 (%100)	M2	13.15 mn	3.55 hij	3.75 def	12.70 n	10.55 f	8.75 fg	7.93 fghij
	F1 (%100)	M0	13.05 n	3.90 cd	3.80 de	12.25 p	10.05 gh	9.05 d	8.30 cde
	F2 (%75)	M1	13.00 no	3.50 ij	3.60 ghi	15.75 g	11.00 e	8.85 def	8.25 cdef
	F2 (%75)	M2	12.95 no	3.65 fgh	3.40 klm	15.40 h	10.50 f	9.00 de	8.40 cd
	F2 (%75)	M0	12.90 op	3.45 j	3.35 lmn	14.85 i	10.05 gh	9.40 c	8.28 cdef
	F3 (%50)	M1	12.80 pq	3.60 ghi	3.30 mn	10.60 u	10.90 e	9.00 de	7.75 hijklm
	F3 (%50)	M2	12.75 q	3.45 j	3.25 n	10.25 v	10.40 f	9.00 de	7.85 ghijkl
	F3 (%50)	M0	12.50 r	3.45 j	2.95 o	9.55 x	9.40 ij	9.55 bc	7.88 ghijk
	F1 (%100)	M1	11.80 s	3.45 j	3.50 ijk	11.95 q	9.95 h	9.35 c	8.48 c
	F1 (%100)	M2	11.55 t	3.50 ij	3.55 hij	11.40 s	9.40 ij	9.55 bc	8.48 c

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 8 December 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202212.0163.v1

I25 Irrigation (I25: %25)	F1 (%100)	M0	11.00 u	3.45 j	3.35 lmn	11.15 t	8.95 k	10.30 a	8.85 b
	F2 (%75)	M1	10.50 v	3.00 lm	3.00 o	13.35 l	9.55 i	9.35 c	8.85 b
	F2 (%75)	M2	10.55 v	2.90 m	2.90 op	12.55 no	9.55 i	9.50 bc	9.45 a
	F2 (%75)	M0	9.85 w	2.95 m	2.90 op	11.65 r	8.90 k	9.50 bc	8.43 cd
	F3 (%50)	M1	9.10 x	3.30 k	3.00 o	9.85 w	9.15 jk	9.45 c	7.88 ghijk
	F3 (%50)	M2	8.93 y	3.10 l	2.80 q	9.35 x	8.50 l	9.50 bc	8.10 defgh
	F3 (%50)	M0	8.70 z	2.95 m	2.75 q	9.40 x	7.90 m	9.70 b	8.15 cdefg
Irrigation			**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Fertigation			**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Mulching			**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Blocks			ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
I*F*M Interaction			**	**	**	**	**	**	ns

Table 5. Quality parameters of strawberries in 2020

Irrigation Treatments	Fertigation Treatments	Mulching Treatments	Total Sugar (%)	pH	Titratable Acidity (%)	Ascorbic Asid (mg 100g^{-1})	Crude Protein (%)	Anthocyanins (mg 100g^{-1})	Fenolic Compound (mg GAE 100G^{-1})	Total Flavonoids (mg CE 100g^{-1})
I100 Irrigation (I_{100}: %100)	F1 (%100)	M1	6.95 lm	3.42 ijk	0.76 cde	95.45 ab	8.54 efgh	42.30 a	245.10 a	68.80 b
	F1 (%100)	M2	7.00 l	3.40 jk	0.77 bcd	96.35 a	8.55 defg	40.20 b	243.70 b	69.45 a
	F1 (%100)	M0	7.00 l	3.45 hij	0.75 def	90.35 ab	8.56 defg	37.80 c	241.10 c	69.30 a
	F2 (%75)	M1	7.30 k	3.40 jk	0.76 cde	95.00 ab	8.50 ghi	37.10 de	240.80 c	68.00 c
	F2 (%75)	M2	7.50 i	3.47 hi	0.76 cde	93.60 ab	8.53 efgh	37.60 cd	239.70 d	67.15 d
	F2 (%75)	M0	7.30 k	3.43 hijk	0.76 cde	87.55 ab	8.43 ij	36.90 e	235.60 e	66.85 de
	F3 (%50)	M1	7.28 k	3.40 jk	0.74 efg	59.80 e	7.99 k	30.05 ij	224.50 j	51.10 o
	F3 (%50)	M2	7.24 k	3.45 hij	0.74 efg	60.50 e	7.96 kl	28.40 l	219.90 n	49.05 p
	F3 (%50)	M0	7.28 k	3.33 lm	0.72 ghi	56.05 e	7.85 no	27.35 mn	217.75 o	47.90 q
I75 Irrigation (I75: %75)	F1 (%100)	M1	7.00 l	3.69 d	0.77 bcd	92.60 ab	8.62 bcd	33.10 g	241.10 c	68.80 b
	F1 (%100)	M2	6.90 m	3.68 d	0.73 fgh	93.80 ab	8.58 cdef	30.60 h	239.65 d	68.40 bc
	F1 (%100)	M0	7.25 k	3.60 ef	0.70 ij	88.10 ab	8.55 defgh	30.10 hi	238.85 d	68.00 c
	F2 (%75)	M1	7.48 ij	3.39 k	0.75 def	85.15 abc	8.48 hi	35.35 f	230.65 f	65.75 g
	F2 (%75)	M2	7.48 ij	3.33 lm	0.73 fgh	83.05 abc	8.50 ghi	35.20 f	228.85 g	64.95 h
	F2 (%75)	M0	7.65 h	3.30 m	0.70 ih	80.25 bcd	8.43 ij	33.45 g	228.60 h	65.15 h
	F3 (%50)	M1	7.30 k	3.43 hijk	0.70 ij	64.00 e	7.98 k	24.60 op	212.40 o	48.90 p
	F3 (%50)	M2	7.41 j	3.28 m	0.71 hi	63.40 e	7.73 q	23.80 qr	207.90 p	46.45 r
	F3 (%50)	M0	7.50 i	3.20 n	0.67 k	60.45 e	7.75 pq	20.50 u	194.35 q	42.90 s
I50 Irrigation (I50: %50)	F1 (%100)	M1	7.40 j	3.87 c	0.79 ab	93.80 ab	8.64 bc	27.85 m	236.30 e	66.55 ef
	F1 (%100)	M2	7.50 i	3.88 bc	0.77 bcd	94.75 ab	8.54 efgh	27.00 n	233.15 e	66.85 de
	F1 (%100)	M0	7.70 fgh	3.83 c	0.75 def	90.90 ab	8.40 j	24.15 pq	227.95 hi	66.40 f
	F2 (%75)	M1	7.70 fgh	3.65 de	0.70 ij	87.55 ab	8.60 cde	29.60 ijk	228.30 hi	61.90 j

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 8 December 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202212.0163.v1

	F2 (%75)	M2	7.78 f	3.61 ef	0.75 def	64.75 de	8.55 defgh	29.25 k	227.80 ij	60.20 k
	F2 (%75)	M0	8.00 e	3.53 g	0.75 def	61.75 e	8.53 efgh	29.55 jk	227.35 ij	59.90 kl
	F3 (%50)	M1	7.63 h	3.38 kl	0.70 ij	61.85 e	7.88 mno	19.35 v	189.35 r	40.10 t
	F3 (%50)	M2	7.65 h	3.38 kl	0.71 hi	57.35 e	7.90 lmn	19.35 v	180.50 s	38.90 u
	F3 (%50)	M0	7.67 gh	3.31 m	0.68 jk	56.85 e	7.81 op	18.50 w	171.40 t	37.20 v
	F1 (%100)	M1	7.78 f	4.03 a	0.80 a	91.25 ab	8.74 a	21.45 s	223.20 k	63.90 i
	F1 (%100)	M2	8.00 e	3.93 b	0.78 bc	90.75 ab	8.68 ab	21.15 st	220.60 n	64.00 i
	F1 (%100)	M0	8.20 d	3.93 b	0.75 def	88.15 ab	8.72 a	20.70 tu	218.25 n	62.10 j
I25	F2 (%75)	M1	8.30 c	3.68 d	0.73 fgh	71.05 cde	8.54 efgh	24.90 o	222.20 l	59.75 lm
Irrigation	F2 (%75)	M2	8.41 b	3.69 d	0.71 hi	71.05 cde	8.62 bcd	23.90 qr	220.65 m	59.45 mn
(I25: %25)	F2 (%75)	M0	8.50 a	3.59 f	0.70 ij	70.25 cde	8.51 fgh	23.50 r	219.80 n	59.25 n
	F3 (%50)	M1	7.75 fg	3.45 hij	0.70 ij	63.80 e	7.94 klm	17.55 x	169.95 u	34.85 w
	F3 (%50)	M2	8.05 e	3.48 gh	0.68 jk	62.35 e	7.99 k	17.65 x	166.00 v	33.65 x
	F3 (%50)	M0	8.00 e	3.43 hijk	0.66 k	60.70 e	7.88 mno	16.95 y	162.25 x	30.60 y
Irrigation			**	**	**	ns	**	**	**	**
Fertigation			**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Mulching			**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Blocks			**	*	ns	ns	**	ns	ns	ns
I*F*M Interaction			**	**	*	ns	**	**	**	**

4. Conclusions

The results showed that as the amount of irrigation water decreased in strawberries, there was a decrease in yield. The decrease in fertigation levels with irrigation had a negative synergistic effect on strawberry yield and quality. The effect of the change in irrigation and fertigation levels on the yield and quality of strawberries was predicted before the study. However, due to the three factors (irrigation-fertigation-mulching) of the research, the interaction of irrigation, fertigation, and mulching and the effects of this triple interaction on the yield and quality characteristics of strawberries were wondered. As a result, a three-factor study determined that irrigation, fertigation, and mulching had a significant effect on the yield and quality characteristics of strawberries. However, when the reductions in yield and quality losses are evaluated together, despite the reductions in irrigation water and fertigation levels, I75 and F75 topics can be recommended. Also, in mulching treatments, black mulch material (M1) should be chosen over clear mulch material (M_1) and no mulch (M_0).

Author Contributions: The author contributed to the entire article. Conceptualization, S.A.; methodology, S.A.; software, S.A.; validation, S.A., formal analysis, S.A.; investigation, S.A.; resources, S.A.; data curation, S.A.; writing—original draft preparation, S.A.; writing—review and editing, S.A.; visualization, S.A.; supervision, S.A.; project administration, S.A.; funding acquisition, S.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript."

Funding: Please add: This research received no external funding

Acknowledgments: The author has provided all the funds for the article herself.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest

References

1. Erdoğan Bayram, S. Determination of yield and some fruit quality characteristics of the Festival and Camarosa strawberry cultivars grown in Aydın/Sultanhisar conditions. Turkish Journal of Agriculture-Food Science and Technology 2020, 8(7), 1564-1570. DOI: https://doi.org/10.24925/turjaf.v8i7.1564-1570.3451
2. FAOSTAT. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. FAOSTAT. 2020 faostat3.fao.org/home/index.html.
3. TUIK. Turkish Statistical Institute, Crop Production Statistics, 2019. http://www.tuik.gov.tr/PreTablo.do?alt_id=1001
4. TUIK. Turkish Statistical Institute, Crop Production Statistics, 2016. http://www.tuik.gov.tr/PreTablo.do?alt_id=1001
5. Abdalla, R.M.; Attalah, S.Y.; Badawy, I.F.M.; Aboalmajd, S.A. Effect of Plastic Mulching on Strawberry Fruit Yield and Quality. Assiut Journal of Agricultural Sciences 2019, 50(4), 126-141. DOI: https://doi.org/10.21608/ajas.2019.69810
6. Goldberger, J.R.; DeVetter, L.W.; Dentzman, K.E. Polyethylene and biodegradable plastic mulches for strawberry production in the United States: Experiences and opinions of growers in three regions. HortTechnology 2019, 29(5), 619-628. DOI: https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTTECH04393-19
7. Kumar, R.; Kumar, M. Effect of drip irrigated mulch on soil properties and water use efficiency-A review. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation 2020, 19(3), 300-309. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5958/2455-7145.2020.00039.9
8. Rannu, R.P.; Ahmed, R.; Siddiky, A.; Md, A.S.; Ali, Y.; Murad, K.F.I.; Sarkar, P.K. Effect of irrigation and mulch on the yield and water use of strawberry. International Journal of Agronomy 2018, 3, 1-10. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/2903706
9. Dong, W.; Lu, Y.; Yanga, T.; Trouth, F.; Lewers, K.S.; Daughtry, C.S.T.; Cheng, Z.M. Effect of Genotype and Plastic Film Type on Strawberry Fruit Quality and Post-Harvest Shelf Life. International Journal of Fruit Science 2020, Vol.20 No 4, 750-767. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/15538362.2019.1673873
10. Kapur, B.; Çeliktopuz, E.; Sarıdaş, M.A.; Sarwari, A.Q. Irrigation levels and abscisic acid effects on the yield and fruit quality of strawberry. European Journal of Science and Technology 2022, No 33, pp. 258-266. DOI: https://doi.org/10.31590/ejosat.981197
11. Anonymous. The Annual report of Meteorological Station, Bursa, Turkey. 2021a. https://bulut.mgm.gov.tr/share/preview/PyF3qbnfJwgekwZH
12. Anonymous. Meteorological Station of Greenhouse Application Area, Yenişehir-Bursa, Turkey. 2021b. www.mgm.gov.tr/verideğerlendirme/il-ve-ilceler-istatistic.aspx?k.
13. Roussos, P.A.; Triantafillidis, A.; Kepolas, E.; Peppas, P.; Piou, A.; Zoti, M.; Gasparatos, D. Effects of integrated and organic management on strawberry (cv. Camarosa) plant growth, nutrition, fruit yield, quality, nutraceutical characteristics, and soil fertility status. Horticulturae 2022, 8, 184. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae8020184
14. Akaroğlu, Ş.N.; Seferoğlu, S. The effects of irrigation water quality on nutrients concentrations and some fruit quality properties of strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa Duch. Rubygem). ADÜ Journal of Faculty of Agriculture 2018, 15(1), 47-54. DOI: https://doi.org/10.25308/aduziraat.352830
15. Öztürk Erdem, S.; Çekiç, Ç.; Saraçoğlu, O. Determination of some fruit quality criteria in strawberry F1 population and parents obtained by hybridization breeding. Turkish Journal of Agriculture-Food Science and Technology 2019, 7(sp3), 37-42. DOI: https://doi.org/10.24925/turjaf.v7isp3.37-42.3148
16. Becerikli, F., Başoğlu, F. Some of our traditional products bioactive, physiochemical and chemical properties. The Journal of Food **2018**, 43(2), 356-363 DOI: https://doi.org/10.15237/gida.GD17085
17. Hewavitharana, G.G.; Perera, D.N.; Navaratne, S.B.; Wickramasinghe, I. Extraction methods of fat from food samples and preparation of fatty acid methyl esters for gas chromatography: A review. Arabian Journal of Chemistry 2020, 13, 6865–6875. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2020.06.039
18. Agehara, S.; Nunes, M.C.d.N. Season and Nitrogen Fertilization Effects on Yield and Physicochemical Attributes of Strawberry under Subtropical Climate Conditions. Agronomy 2021, 11, 1391. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11071391
19. Demirdöven, A.; Tokatlı, K.; Korkmaz, Y. Cherry passes with traditional and ultrasonic methods Extraction of anthocyanins. The Journal of Food 2021, 46(1), 168-179. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15237/gida.GD20119
20. Görgüç, A.; Yıldırım, A.; Konuk, T.D.; Erten, E.S. Physical, chemical, bioactive and aroma properties of commercial strawberry cultivars grown in Aydın province. Harran Journal of Agricultural and Food Sciences 2019, 23(2), 131-141 DOI: https://doi.org/10.29050/harranziraat.466720
21. Tunc, T.; Sahin, U.; Evren, S.; Dasci, E.; Guney, E.; Aslantas, R. The deficit irrigation productivity and economy in strawberry in the different drip irrigation practices in a high plain with semi-arid climate. Scientia Horticulturae 2019, 245, 47–56. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2018.10.008
22. Arıza, M.T.; Miranda, L.; Gómez-Mora, J.A.; Medina, J.J.; Lozano, D.; Gavilán, P.; Soria, C.; Martinez-Ferri, E. Yield and fruit quality of strawberry cultivars under different irrigation regimes. Agronomy 2021, 11, 261. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11020261.
23. Lewers, K.S.; Fleisher, D.H.; Daughtry, C.S.T.; Vinyard, B.T. Low-Tunnel strawberry production: Comparison of cultivars and films. International Journal of Fruit Science 2020, Vol. 20, No. S2, S705–S32. [CrossRef] DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/15538362.2020.1768616
24. Samtani, J.B.; Rajevich, J.; Das, S. Evaluating Supplementary nutrients to improve strawberry fruit quality and yield. International Journal of Fruit Science 2020, Vol.20, No. S1, 1029-1038 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/15538362.2020.1774470

25. Sarıdaş, M.A.; Kapur, B.; Çeliktopuz, E.; Şahiner, Y.; Paydaş Kargı, S. Land productivity, irrigation water use efficiency and fruit quality under various plastic mulch colors and irrigation regimes of strawberry in the eastern Mediterranean region of Turkey. Agricultural Water Management 2021, 245. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106568.