

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

# Negative Speed of Light, and the Imaginary Set of Base Planck Units

Szymon Łukaszyk\*

Lukaszyk Patent Attorneys, ul. Główackiego 8, 40-052 Katowice, Poland

The reflectance  $R$  of monolayer graphene for the normal incidence of electromagnetic radiation is known to be remarkably defined only by  $\pi$  and the fine structure constant  $\alpha$ . It is shown in this paper that the reflectance (or the sum of transmittance and absorptance) of monolayer graphene, expressed as a quadratic equation with respect to the fine structure constant  $\alpha$  must unsurprisingly introduce the 2<sup>nd</sup> fine structure constant  $\alpha_2$ , as the root of this equation. It turns out that this 2<sup>nd</sup> fine structure constant is negative and the sum of its reciprocal with the reciprocal of the *physical* fine structure constant  $\alpha$  is independent of the reflectance value  $R$  and remarkably equals  $-\pi$ . Particular algebraic definition of the fine structure constant  $\alpha^{-1} = 4\pi^3 + \pi^2 + \pi$ , containing the free  $\pi$  term, when introduced to this sum, yields  $\alpha_2^{-1} = -4\pi^3 - \pi^2 - 2\pi < 0$ . Assuming universal validity of the physical definition of  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha_2$  defines the negative speed of light in vacuum  $c_n$  and introduces the imaginary set of base Planck units. The average of this speed and the speed of light in vacuum is in the range of the Fermi velocity ( $10^6$  m/s).

Keywords: Planck units, the fine-structure constant, speed of light in vacuum

## I. INTRODUCTION

Numerous publications provide Fresnel coefficients for the normal incidence of electromagnetic radiation (EMR) on monolayer graphene, which are remarkably defined only by  $\pi$  and the fine structure constant  $\alpha$  having the reciprocal

$$\alpha^{-1} = \left(\frac{q_P}{e}\right)^2 = \frac{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c}{e^2} \approx 137.036, \quad (1)$$

where  $e$  is the elementary charge,  $q_P$  is the Planck charge,  $\epsilon_0$  is vacuum permittivity,  $\hbar$  is the reduced Planck constant, and  $c$  is the speed of light in vacuum.

Transmittance ( $T$ ) of monolayer graphene

$$T = \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)^2} \approx 97.746\% \quad (2)$$

for normal EMR incidence was derived from the Fresnel equation in the thin-film limit [1] (Eq. 3), whereas spectrally flat absorptance ( $A$ )  $A \approx \pi\alpha \approx 2.3\%$  was reported [2, 3] for photon energies between about 0.5 and 2.5 eV.  $T$  was related to reflectance ( $R$ ) [4] (Eq. 53) as  $R = \pi^2\alpha^2T/4$ , i.e.,

$$R = \frac{\frac{1}{4}\pi^2\alpha^2}{\left(1 + \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)^2} \approx 0.013\%, \quad (3)$$

The above formulas for  $T$  and  $R$ , as well as the formula for the absorptance

$$A = \frac{\pi\alpha}{\left(1 + \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)^2} \approx 2.241\%, \quad (4)$$

were also derived [5] (Eqs. 29-31) based on the thin film model (setting  $n_s = 1$  for substrate).

The sum of transmittance (2) and the reflectance (3) at normal EMR incidence was also derived [6] (Eq. 4a) as

$$\begin{aligned} T + R &= 1 - \frac{4\sigma\eta}{4 + 4\sigma\eta + \sigma^2\eta^2 + k^2\chi^2} \\ &= \frac{1 + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2\alpha^2}{\left(1 + \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)^2} \approx 97.759\%, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $\eta = 4\pi\alpha\hbar/e^2 = 1/(\epsilon_0c)$  is the impedance of vacuum,  $\sigma = e^2/4\hbar$  is the monolayer graphene conductivity [7], and  $\chi = 0$  is the electric susceptibility of vacuum.

These coefficients are thus well-established theoretically and experimentally confirmed [1-3, 6, 8, 9].

As a consequence of the conservation of energy

$$(T + A) + R = 100\%. \quad (6)$$

In other words, the transmittance in the Fresnel equation describing the reflection and transmission of EMR at normal incidence on a boundary between different optical media is, in the case of the 2-dimensional monolayer (boundary) of graphene, modified to include its absorption.

## II. THE SECOND FINE STRUCTURE CONSTANT

The reflectance  $R$  (3) of monolayer graphene can be expressed as a quadratic equation with respect to  $\alpha$

$$\frac{1}{4}\pi^2(R-1)\alpha^2 + R\pi\alpha + R = 0, \quad (7)$$

having two roots with reciprocals

$$\alpha^{-1} = \frac{\pi - \pi\sqrt{R}}{2\sqrt{R}} \approx 137.036, \quad (8)$$

$$\alpha_2^{-1} = \frac{-\pi - \pi\sqrt{R}}{2\sqrt{R}} \approx -140.178. \quad (9)$$

\* [szymon@patent.pl](mailto:szymon@patent.pl)



Therefore, Equation (7) introduces the second, negative fine structure constant  $\alpha_2$ .

The sum of the reciprocals of these fine structure constants (8) and (9)

$$\alpha^{-1} + \alpha_2^{-1} = \frac{\pi - \pi \sqrt{R} - \pi - \pi \sqrt{R}}{2 \sqrt{R}} = -\pi, \quad (10)$$

is remarkably independent of the reflectance  $R$ . The same result can be obtained for the sum of  $T$  and  $A$ , as shown in Appendix .

Furthermore, this result is intriguing in the context of a peculiar algebraic definition of the fine structure constant [10]

$$\alpha^{-1} = 4\pi^3 + \pi^2 + \pi \approx 137.036 \quad (11)$$

that contains a *free*  $\pi$  term and agrees with the physical definition (1) of  $\alpha$  to the 5<sup>th</sup> significant digit. Therefore, using Equations (10) and (11), we can express the negative reciprocal of the 2<sup>nd</sup> fine structure constant  $\alpha_2^{-1}$  that emerged in Equation (7) as

$$\alpha_2^{-1} = -\pi - \alpha_1^{-1} = -4\pi^3 - \pi^2 - 2\pi \approx -140.178. \quad (12)$$

But how can this negative value be interpreted physically?

If  $\alpha^{-1} = (q_P/e)^2$  (1) is valid also for the negative  $\alpha_2^{-1}$  (9) or (12) then it requires an introduction of the imaginary Planck charge  $iq_{Pi}$ , so that its square would yield

$$i^2 q_{Pi}^2 = e^2 \alpha_2^{-1}. \quad (13)$$

Furthermore, almost all physical constants of  $(4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c)/e^2$  in the *physical* definition of the fine structure constant (1) are positive<sup>1</sup>, whereas the charge  $e$  is squared. Only the velocity can be negative, as it is a *directional* quantity. Therefore, if

$$\alpha^{-1} = \frac{\pi - \pi \sqrt{R}}{2 \sqrt{R}} = \frac{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c}{e^2}, \quad (14)$$

then

$$\alpha_2^{-1} = \frac{-\pi - \pi \sqrt{R}}{2 \sqrt{R}} = \frac{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c_n}{e^2}, \quad (15)$$

where  $c_n$  is the negative speed of light in vacuum that, using Equations (10) with (1) and (15), amounts

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c}{e^2} + \frac{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c_n}{e^2} &= -\pi \\ c_n = -\frac{e^2}{4\epsilon_0\hbar} - c &\approx -3.066653 \times 10^8 \text{ [m/s]}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

<sup>1</sup> vacuum permittivity  $\epsilon_0$  is a measure of how *dense* is an electric field; objects that do not change their measure with respect to orientation (as compared to volumes, for example) are densities. Thus,  $\epsilon_0$  cannot be negative. The Planck constant  $\hbar$  is the uncertainty principle parameter. Thus, it cannot be negative; negative probabilities do not seem to withstand Occam's razor.

which is greater than the speed of light in vacuum  $c$  in modulus, whereas their average

$$\frac{c + c_n}{2} \approx -3.436417 \times 10^6 \text{ [m/s]} \quad (17)$$

is in the range of the Fermi velocity.

Therefore, using  $c_n$  (16) (or the value of the elementary charge  $e$  in (13)), the modulus of the imaginary Planck charge (13) amounts

$$|q_{Pi}| = \sqrt{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar|c_n|} \approx 1.8969 \times 10^{-18} \text{ [C]} > q_P. \quad (18)$$

Furthermore, the negative speed of light in vacuum  $c_n$  (16) introduces all the remaining base Planck units defined by square roots containing  $c$  raised to an odd (1, 3, 5) power, that redefined with  $c_n < 0$  become imaginary

$$|\ell_{Pi}| = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{|c_n|^3}} \approx 1.5622 \times 10^{-35} \text{ [m]} < \ell_P, \quad (19)$$

$$|m_{Pi}| = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar|c_n|}{G}} \approx 2.2012 \times 10^{-8} \text{ [kg]} > m_P, \quad (20)$$

$$|t_{Pi}| = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{|c_n|^5}} \approx 5.0942 \times 10^{-44} \text{ [s]} < t_P, \quad (21)$$

$$|T_{Pi}| = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar|c_n|^5}{Gk_B^2}} \approx 1.4994 \times 10^{32} \text{ [K]} > T_P. \quad (22)$$

With algebraic definitions of  $\alpha$  (11) and  $\alpha_2$  (12) transmittance  $T$  (2), reflectance  $R$  (3) and absorptance  $A$  (4) of monolayer graphene for normal EMR incidence can be expressed just by  $\pi$ .

For  $\alpha^{-1} = 4\pi^3 + \pi^2 + \pi$  (11) they become

$$T(\alpha) = \frac{4(4\pi^2 + \pi + 1)^2}{(8\pi^2 + 2\pi + 3)^2} \approx 97.746\%, \quad (23)$$

$$A(\alpha) = \frac{4(4\pi^2 + \pi + 1)}{(8\pi^2 + 2\pi + 3)^2} \approx 2.241\%, \quad (24)$$

while for  $\alpha_2^{-1} = -4\pi^3 - \pi^2 - 2\pi$  (12) they become

$$T(\alpha_2) = \frac{4(4\pi^2 + \pi + 2)^2}{(8\pi^2 + 2\pi + 3)^2} \approx 102.279\%, \quad (25)$$

$$A(\alpha_2) = \frac{4(4\pi^2 + \pi + 2)}{(8\pi^2 + 2\pi + 3)^2} \approx -2.292\%, \quad (26)$$

with

$$R(\alpha) = R(\alpha_2) = \frac{1}{(8\pi^2 + 2\pi + 3)^2} \approx 0.013\%. \quad (27)$$

Obviously  $T(\alpha) + A(\alpha) + R(\alpha) = T(\alpha_2) + A(\alpha_2) + R(\alpha_2) = 1$  as required by the law of conservation of energy (6), whereas each conservation law is associated with a certain symmetry, as asserted by Noether's theorem. Nonetheless, physical interpretation of  $T(\alpha_2) > 1$  and  $A(\alpha_2) < 0$  requires further research. We note in passing that  $A(\alpha) > 0$  implies a *sink*, whereas  $A(\alpha_2) < 0$  implies a *source*, whereas the opposite holds true for the transmittance  $T$ .

Perhaps, the negative absorptance and transmittance exceeding 100% for  $\alpha_2$  (9), (12) could be explained in terms of graphene spontaneous emission but this issue requires further research. Particularly in the context of emergent dimensionality [11-13].

### III. DISCUSSION

We have shown that the reflectance of graphene under the normal incidence of electromagnetic radiation (EMR), expressed as the quadratic equation with respect to the fine structure constant  $\alpha$  must introduce the 2<sup>nd</sup> negative fine structure constant  $\alpha_2$ .

It is shown that the sum of the reciprocal of this 2<sup>nd</sup> fine structure constant  $\alpha_2$  with the reciprocal of the *physical* fine structure constant  $\alpha$  (1) is independent of the reflectance value  $R$  and remarkably equals simply  $-\pi$ .

Particular algebraic definition of the *physical* fine structure constant  $\alpha^{-1} = 4\pi^3 + \pi^2 + \pi$  (11), containing the free  $\pi$  term, when introduced to this sum, yields  $\alpha_2^{-1} = -4\pi^3 - \pi^2 - 2\pi < 0$ .

Assuming universal validity of the physical definition of the fine structure constant  $\alpha$  (1), the 2<sup>nd</sup> fine structure constant  $\alpha_2$  (12) defines the negative speed of light  $c_n$  (16) and introduces the imaginary set of base Planck units (19)-(22). The average of this speed and the speed of light is in the range of the Fermi velocity ( $10^6$  m/s).

This paper is a cleanup of the research presented in [14] and [15].

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

As always, I truly thank my wife for her support.

### Appendix: Other Quadratic Equations

The quadratic equation for the sum of transmittance (2) and absorptance (4), putting  $C_{TA} \doteq T + A$ , is

$$\frac{1}{4}C_{TA}\pi^2\alpha^2 + (C_{TA} - 1)\pi\alpha + (C_{TA} - 1) = 0, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and has two roots with reciprocals

$$\alpha^{-1} = \frac{C_{TA}\pi}{2(1 - C_{TA} + \sqrt{1 - C_{TA}})} \approx 137.036, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and

$$\alpha_2^{-1} = \frac{C_{TA}\pi}{2(1 - C_{TA} - \sqrt{1 - C_{TA}})} \approx -140.178, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

whereas their sum  $\alpha^{-1} + \alpha_2^{-1} = -\pi$  is also independent of  $T$  and  $A$ .

Other quadratic equations do not feature this property. For example, the sum of  $T + R$  (5) expressed as the quadratic equation and putting  $C_{TR} \doteq T + R$ , is

$$\frac{1}{4}(C_{TR} - 1)\pi^2\alpha^2 + C_{TR}\pi\alpha + (C_{TR} - 1) = 0, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

and has two roots with reciprocals

$$\alpha^{-1} = \frac{\pi(C_{TR} - 1)}{-2C_{TR} + 2\sqrt{2C_{TR} - 1}} \approx 137.036, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

and

$$\alpha_{TR}^{-1} = \frac{\pi(C_{TR} - 1)}{-2C_{TR} - 2\sqrt{2C_{TR} - 1}} \approx 0.0180, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

whereas their sum

$$\alpha_{TR_1}^{-1} + \alpha_{TR_2}^{-1} = \frac{-\pi C_{TR}}{C_{TR} - 1} \approx 137.054 \quad (\text{A.7})$$

is dependent on  $T$  and  $R$ .

---

[1] A. B. Kuzmenko, E. van Heumen, F. Carbone, and D. van der Marel, "Universal dynamical conductance in graphite," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 100, p. 117401, Mar. 2008. arXiv:0712.0835 [cond-mat].

[2] K. F. Mak, M. Y. Sfeir, Y. Wu, C. H. Lui, J. A. Misewich, and T. F. Heinz, "Measurement of the Optical Conductivity of Graphene," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 101, p. 196405, Nov. 2008.

[3] R. R. Nair, P. Blake, A. N. Grigorenko, K. S. Novoselov, T. J. Booth, T. Stauber, N. M. R. Peres, and A. K. Geim, "Universal Dynamic Conductivity and Quantized Visible Opacity of Suspended Graphene," *Science*, vol. 320, pp. 1308–1308, June 2008. arXiv:0803.3718 [cond-mat].

[4] T. Stauber, N. M. R. Peres, and A. K. Geim, "Optical conductivity of graphene in the visible region of the spectrum," *Physical Review B*, vol. 78, p. 085432, Aug. 2008.

[5] X. Wang and B. Chen, "Origin of Fresnel problem of two dimensional materials," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 9, p. 17825, Dec. 2019.

[6] M. Merano, "Fresnel coefficients of a two-dimensional atomic

crystal," *Physical Review A*, vol. 93, p. 013832, Jan. 2016.

- [7] T. Ando, Y. Zheng, and H. Suzuura, "Dynamical Conductivity and Zero-Mode Anomaly in Honeycomb Lattices," *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, vol. 71, pp. 1318–1324, May 2002.
- [8] S.-E. Zhu, S. Yuan, and G. C. A. M. Janssen, "Optical transmittance of multilayer graphene," *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, vol. 108, p. 17007, Oct. 2014.
- [9] I. G. Ivanov, J. U. Hassan, T. Iakimov, A. A. Zakharov, R. Yakimova, and E. Janzén, "Layer-number determination in graphene on SiC by reflectance mapping," *Carbon*, vol. 77, pp. 492–500, Oct. 2014.
- [10] P. Varlaki, L. Nadai, and J. Bokor, "Number Archetypes in System Realization Theory Concerning the Fine Structure Constant," in *2008 International Conference on Intelligent Engineering Systems*, (Miami, FL), pp. 83–92, IEEE, Feb. 2008.
- [11] S. Łukaszyk, "Black Hole Horizons as Patternless Binary Messages and Markers of Dimensionality," Feb. 2022. arXiv:1910.11081 [physics].
- [12] S. Łukaszyk, "Four Cubes," Feb. 2021. arXiv:2007.03782 [math].
- [13] S. Łukaszyk, "Novel Recurrence Relations for Volumes and Surfaces of n-Balls, Regular n-Simplices, and n-Orthoplices in Real Dimensions," *Mathematics*, vol. 10, p. 2212, June 2022.
- [14] S. Łukaszyk, "A short note about graphene and the fine structure constant," 2020.
- [15] S. Łukaszyk, "A short note about the geometry of graphene," 2020.