

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

# A New Digital Twin for Climate Change Adaptation, Water Management, and Disaster Risk Reduction (HIP Digital Twin)

Hans Jørgen Henriksen <sup>1\*</sup>, Raphael Schneider <sup>1</sup>, Julian Koch <sup>1</sup>, Maria Ondracek <sup>1</sup>, Lars Troldborg <sup>1</sup>, Ida K. Seidenfaden <sup>1</sup>, Søren Julsgaard Kragh <sup>1</sup>, Eva Bøgh <sup>2</sup> and Simon Stisen <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland, GEUS; hjh@geus.dk

<sup>2</sup> Agency for data supply and infrastructure (SDFI); evboe@sdfi.dk

\* Correspondence: hjh@geus.dk; Tel.: +45 91333612

**Abstract:** The paper analyses the national DK-model Hydrological Information and Prediction (HIP) system and HIP portal viewed as a 'Digital Twin' and how the introduction of real-time dynamic updating of the DK-model HIP simulations can give room for plug-in sub-models with real-time boundary conditions made available from a HIP portal. The possible feedback to a national real-time risk knowledge base during extreme events (flooding and drought) is also discussed. Under climate change conditions, Denmark is likely to experience more rain in winter, more evapotranspiration in summer, intensified cloudbursts, drought, and sea level rise. These challenges have been addressed as part of the Joint Governmental Digitalization Strategy 2016-2020 for better use and sharing of public data about the terrain, water, and climate to support climate adaptation, water management, and disaster risk reduction. This initiative included the development of a new web-based data portal (HIP portal) developed by the Danish Agency for Data Supply and Infrastructure (SDFI). GEUS delivered 5 terra-byte of hydrological model data to the portal with robust calibration methods and hybrid Machine Learning (ML) being key parts of the deliverables. The paper discusses the challenges and potentials of further developing the HIP Digital Twin with 'plug-in Digital Twins' for local river basins including feedback to the national level.

**Keywords:** Digital Twin; hazard; vulnerability; resilience; adaptive climate adaptation; groundwater; DK-model HIP

## 1. Introduction

The new EU Strategy on adaptation to climate change recommends decision-making in the face of uncertainty, guided by updated scientific knowledge. It emphasizes digital transformation that makes use of the latest digital technologies and climate services to underpin decision-making. Instruments like Digital Twins are here key, to boosting risk knowledge based on past, present, and future climate impacts /1/. The commission urges national, regional, and local authorities to develop systemic adaptation strategies that include nature-based solutions. When significant uncertainties are accounted for such an approach is robust and can increase climate resilience and at the same time contribute to multiple Green Deal objectives /1/. Nature-based solutions are multipurpose, as they are no-regret solutions that simultaneously deliver environmental, social, and economic co-benefits. The EU Strategy is well in line with the most recent IPCC AR6 WGII report: Vulnerability assessment, climate change impact, and adaptation measures /2/. IPCC WGII highlight that climate change causes impacts and risks, where risk emerges from the overlap of dynamic hazards, vulnerability, and exposure (human systems, ecosystems, and biodiversity) which ultimately put pressure on water security (see Table 1 for terminology). In this context, Digital Twins operating on top of Earth Observations backed up by freely accessible ground data from nationwide online sensor networks of groundwater levels, soil moisture, streamflow, daily (or regularly) updated physical-based groundwater and surface water real-time models that include 5-10 days forecasts, can reduce and

combat disaster risks, and enhance resilience and water security, related to flooding and drought by reducing the exposure and vulnerability of people, infrastructure and water bodies. With timely and inclusive risk knowledge of operational emergency management, water authorities, water utilities, farmers, and citizens handling their pumps, water tubes, natural resources, and ecosystem services, the capacity to cope with hazardous events and resilience will be increased, and ultimately water security safeguarded (see Table 1).

**Table 1.** Definitions of exposure, vulnerability, resilience and water security /2/.

Exposure	The presence of people; livelihoods; species or ecosystems; environmental functions, services, and resources; infrastructure; or economic, social, or cultural assets in places and settings that could be adversely affected
Vulnerability	The propensity or predisposition to be adversely affected. It encompasses a variety of concepts and elements, including sensitivity or susceptibility to harm and lack of capacity to cope and adapt. Key risks have potentially severe adverse consequences for humans and social-ecological systems resulting from the interaction of climate-related hazards with vulnerabilities of societies and systems exposed
Resilience	The capacity of social, economic, and ecosystems to cope with a hazardous event or trend or disturbance, responding or reorganising in ways that maintain their essential function, identity, and structure as well as biodiversity in the case of ecosystems while also maintaining the capacity for adaptation, learning, and transformation. Resilience is a positive attribute when it maintains such a capacity for adaptation, learning, and/or transformation
Water security	The capacity of a population to safeguard sustainable access to adequate quantities of acceptable quality water for sustaining livelihoods, human well-being, and socio-economic development, for ensuring protection against water-borne pollution, and water-related disasters, and for preserving ecosystems in a climate peace and political stability /3/

It is clear with high confidence (/2/ chapter 4) that extreme events are causing highly impactful floods and droughts. These events have become more frequent and severe in recent decades due to anthropogenic climate change. Chapter 4 /2/ highlights the centrality of water security in climate change and climate-resilient development and underlines the prominent role of water in the sustainable development goals (SDGs). In brief, four reasons for the centrality of water security in adapting to, and mitigating climate change are argued by IPCC /2/:

1. Half of the world's population is assessed as being currently subject to severe water scarcity for at least one month per year due to climatic and non-climatic factors (scarcity of freshwater combined with drought, flooding, and pollution, accelerated melting of glaciers, changes in the timing of floods, droughts a.o.)
2. Decreasing freshwater availability across space and time also affects water requirements for different sector uses /4/. Vulnerability to water-related impacts of climate

change and extreme weather is already felt in major sectors (agriculture, energy, industry, health, sanitation, urban/ peri-urban sector, and ecosystems).

3. A large majority (~60%) of all adaptation responses documented since 2014 are about adapting to water-related hazards like droughts, floods, and rainfall variability /5/. Irrigation, water, and soil moisture conservation, rainwater harvesting, and changes in crops are here possible adaptation measures.

4. Limiting global warming to 1.5°C would minimize the increase in risks in the various water use sectors. However, mitigation measures can potentially impact future water security (bioenergy, carbon capture, and afforestation can have a severe water footprint if done inappropriately or in the wrong places in the catchment. The full-system view thus is necessary that considers the direct impacts of mitigation measures on water resources and their indirect effect via limiting climate change.

### *1.1. The role of groundwater in sustainable water management*

UN-Water on World Water Day 2022 celebrated the key role of groundwater as part of water security under the slogan 'making the invisible visible'. Digital Twins make the water below our feet more visible by visualising daily, monthly, and yearly variations in depth to the groundwater table in high resolutions with downscaling backed up by efficient Machine Learning (ML) algorithms (for the Danish example: /6,7,8/). HIP DT delivers augmented credibility by making it possible to examine and compare model results with online monitoring data in the present and into the near future under high as well as modest climate change emission scenarios.

For UN-Water focus was on tackling the global water crisis and realizing sustainable development goal (SDG) 6: water and sanitation for all by 2030. And as highlighted by UN-Water: "*Groundwater is invisible, but its impact is visible everywhere. Out of sight, under our feet, groundwater is a hidden treasure that enriches our lives. In the driest parts of the world, it may be the only water people have. Almost all liquid freshwater in the world is groundwater, supporting drinking water supplies, sanitation systems, farming, industry and ecosystems*". But as also argued in the campaign, groundwater will play a critical role in adapting to climate change because human activities over-use and pollute groundwater in many places. We simply do not know how much water is down there, therefore, it is obvious that we need to work together to sustainably manage this precious resource, and as it was planted in the UN-Water campaign: "*groundwater may be out of sight, but it must not be out of mind*". And ideally, national and local plug-in Digital Twins can assist us in keeping water security 'in the mind', above as well as below terrain surface, transparent with its 'flows, states, vulnerabilities and ecosystem services'.

### *1.2. The role of groundwater in the climate system*

Groundwater is not only a water table or a subsurface reservoir of water in saturated zones but actually, a dynamic flowing freshwater cycle in three dimensions with, in some cases, very long response times /9/. Quantitative analysis backed up by physical-based hydrological models of groundwater flow is essential not only to all hydrogeological problems /10/ but also extremely important to quantify the spatiotemporal interactions between groundwater and climate. And here we have to accept that the current understanding of feedback from groundwater to the climate system is still somewhat limited /9/.

But what we know is that quantitative analysis of such non-linear and complex systems require integrated physical-based groundwater and surface water models, building on carefully incorporated model structures and processes and land surface, geological, and infrastructure to provide scientific based knowledge about the distribution of head and fluxes in space and time. Management of uncertain and complex water problems like

the vertical, horizontal, and fluid frontiers /11/ i.e. land use and shallow groundwater require an adaptive planning approach /12/. Adaptive planning addresses the inherent, deep uncertainty and complexity of slow-responding systems.

### 1.3. Hydrology Digital Twins

Integrated groundwater and surface water models like MIKE SHE /13/ can simulate the hydrological cycle and are robust tools for simulating climate change impacts. They can help us explore the vertical, horizontal, and fluid frontiers /11/, e.g. how different flooding events are caused by sea level rise storm surges, increased winter precipitation, cloudburst/flash flood events, flooding from surface water systems and rivers, and finally flooding caused by groundwater flooding. But such model codes have limitations. They can be too time demanding to use if we need high resolution in space and time or a high number of ensemble simulations in the near and far future, to robustly explore our water futures. This means that in addition to the physical (or process) based models we can benefit from increased accuracy we can get by the use of data-driven, Machine Learning (ML), models, which are easy to use and can provide quick simulations. Inevitably, this leads us toward Digital Twins which embraces hybrid uses of physical-based models and the application of machine learning tools like Random Forest (ML RF), Gradient Boosting (ML GB), or Long Short Term Memory (ML LSTM) /7,8,14/.

### 1.4. Purpose of paper

The purpose of this paper is to present a Danish case and a new Hydrological Information and Prediction Portal Digital Twin (HIP DT) focused on dealing with climate change adaptation, water security management, and disaster risk reduction related to the depth of groundwater table, soil moisture, and streamflow. The specific objectives are:

1. To explore the Danish HIP DT and how observations and model results provide integrated high-resolution information for climate change adaptation planning for shallow groundwater
2. To evaluate how real-time modeling with daily updates and a 5-10 day prognosis (to be operational in 2025 on a national scale) can strengthen the use of HIP DT for disaster risk reduction
3. To provide a narrative that describes HIP DT as a tool for screening and adaptive planning to support screening of more resilient infrastructures, land uses, and robust water futures.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Digital Twins

Many definitions are found in the literature about digital twins. Here we will use two examples /15/: "A digital Twin is a dynamic representation of a real-life object that mirrors its states and behavior across its lifecycle and that can be used to monitor, analyse and simulate current and future states of and interventions on these objects, using data integration, artificial intelligence and machine learning" and Glaesgen and Stargel /16/: "A Digital Twin is an integrated Multiphysics, multiscale, probabilistic simulation of an as-built vehicle or system that uses the best available physical models, sensor updates, fleet history, etc., to mirror the life of its corresponding (...) twin. The Digital Twin is ultra-realistic (...)."

Different relational characteristics have been evaluated as critical markers for Digital Twins: Being real-time, providing high-fidelity information, having predictive and prescriptive capabilities, and allowing for feedback /17/. In the present paper, we will elaborate on each relational characteristic and discuss the 'Digital Twin factor' of the HIP DT (Table 2).

**Table 2.** Relational characteristics of Digital Twins (modified after /17/).

Characteristic	Conceptualisation examples
----------------	----------------------------


Real-time	Real time, current state, dynamic updating, monitoring, not a static representation, boundary conditions to plug-in Digital Twins
High-fidelity	Comprehensive, reliable, represents all digital information, very realistic, mirror, combining information, accurate, information-rich
Predictive	Prediction, prognostics, probabilistic, aggregate future states, continuous forecasting, assimilation
Prescriptive	Improvement, solve problems, optimisation, efficiency, reconfigure, diagnostics, uncover issues, recommend changes, increase lifespan of infrastructure, performance assessment, anomaly detection
Feedback	Integration, interaction, bi-directional, entangled relation, fusion, screening, change, seamlessly integrated, mitigating damage or degradation, linked, feedback loop, inverse calibration, decision making

## 2.2. Danish case study – HIP DT for dealing with high shallow groundwater levels and water security

In Denmark, climate change impacts hydrology and groundwater, in combination with increasing sea level rise and storm surges /18/, causing new complex challenges with high shallow groundwater /19/. Sewer sealing, land subsidence, and changed groundwater abstraction anthropogenic drivers engrave the problem with high groundwater in some urban and peri-urban areas. But at the same time, drought frequency is projected to increase /20,21/. The consequences challenge especially water security, which is critical in Denmark with a 100% groundwater-based drinking water supply. Consequences of increasing shallow groundwater level /22/ can be mobilized pollutants, increased leaching of nutrients, water in cellars, damages to buildings and infrastructure, and consequences for human or environmental health (Figure 1).

The main motivation behind the development of the DK-model HIP was that end users, who plan for and implement adaptation measures, needed a more detailed national dataset for shallow groundwater levels, soil moisture, and streamflow, with easy access to results and boundary conditions from the national model for screening, planning, and local sub-models. The previous 500m national DK model based on the MIKE SHE/MIKE HYDRO model code did not provide sufficient spatial resolution and accuracy for the shallow groundwater. Finally, an enhancement of the deliverables with more detailed monthly, seasonal, and extreme event results of climate change impacts for the future climate was demanded by end users /23,24/.

As a result of these challenges, a Hydrological Information and Prediction system (HIP portal) containing among other things a new version of the national DK-model simulations in 100m resolution (DK-model HIP) was developed. The DK-model HIP was designed in a fast-track project (2016-17) /25/ and developed as part of an initiative for terrain, climate, and water under the Joint Governmental Digitalization Strategy 2016-2020 /23/.




**Figure 1.** Cases of high groundwater level and various consequences /22/.

It had been evaluated that data on, climate, and water is a key administrative foundation for many sectors and authorities. Consistent provision of up-to-date data on terrain, climate, and water across sectors contributes to more effective administration, and supports climate adaptations and emergency services in connection with extreme weather events, and promotes the development of new products and technologies. The users are municipalities, water companies, consultancies, regional and state authorities, farmers, landowners, and citizens.

The Danish government, therefore, decided to further develop the DK-model HIP into a real-time model with daily updating to be developed and implemented into the HIP portal (<https://hipdata.dk/>) in the years 2022 to 2025 (see Figure 2).

The new *DK-model HIP in 100m and 500m* (Figure 2) developed in 2019-2021 was based on the *DK-model 500m* based on geophysical mapping and a geological model by the Danish EPA /22/ used for river basin management plans and groundwater body status assessment /25,26,27,28/. This physical-based model *DK-model HIP* simulates the entire terrestrial hydrological cycle in an integrated manner and is robust in terms of simulating climate change impacts on hydrology. As part of HIP portal, the physical-based groundwater-surface water model has been supplemented with Machine Learning (ML) algorithms, combining the results from the *DK-model HIP in 100m and 500m* and downscaling them with ML algorithms to model the most likely depth to the uppermost groundwater table in 10m resolution (ML-GB based on Gradient Boosting approach) for winter and summer months for a 30-year historical period /7/. Moreover, a combination of the *DK-model HIP* and ML is applied to downscale climate change impacts on groundwater levels (ML-RF) from 500m to 100m resolution /8/. In both cases, the advantages of hybrid modeling /29,30/, i.e. the combination of data-driven and physical-based models, providing fast and high-resolution computations with the robustness of process understanding, have been used. Throughout the construction of the DK model the model code MIKE SHE-MIKE Hydro from DHI has been the applied software for the modeling.




**Figure 2.** The national web portal and the HIP DT.

All model results from the 500m and 100m models have been delivered (5 TB of data) to the *HIP portal* to be freely accessible for climate change adaptation planning (CCA), water management, and disaster risk reduction (DRR). In addition to model results for various seasons, months, and daily time series, various hydrological observations are displayed on the *HIP portal*. As part of the *HIP portal* project data from five Danish regions for groundwater levels has been transferred to the JUPITER database, and *groundwater levels from JUPITER* are displayed on *HIP*, updated in real-time.

Observations and estimates of *daily discharge and water level data* and extreme value estimates have been delivered by the Danish EPA and DCE. Discharge data is updated every year. Climate data and sea level scenarios are available from DMI's database (klimaatlas). GEUS used bias-corrected and downscaled results from 22 regional climate models based on distribution-based scaling /31/.

### 2.2.1. Use of data and observations as part of DK-model HIP set-up – calibration and performance assessment

For the calibration of the model (see details in the *HIP* documentation report), a total of 667.568 groundwater level observations were used, of which 40.103 intakes (27%) are observing shallow groundwater, as well as time series of discharge from about 300 stations. Additionally, water levels from 20.470 small lakes were used as a proxy of the uppermost groundwater table. The model is parameterized with nationwide parameter sets to enhance spatial consistency. Initial, full calibration was conducted for the 500m version, with a subsequent transfer of parameters and partial recalibration of the 100m version based on ten 100m submodels, each of a size of 500-1000 km<sup>2</sup> (see Figure 3).




**Figure 3.** DK-model HIP. Left: 10 sub-models used in re-calibration of DK-model HIP 100m. Central left: 9 soil types. Central right: Land use with 28 vegetation types. Right: Distributed drainage depth /23/.

The results of the 100m DK model HIP for the simulated depth to the groundwater table showed a mean absolute error (MAE) of 2.5 m for shallow groundwater within 10 m below the terrain, with the best 90% of observations having an MAE of only 1.5 m. The ML product of the shallow groundwater level at 10 m resolution resulted in an MAE of 1.2 m. It was evaluated that the 100 m model delivered the user-demanded accuracy criteria for more than 75% of the gauging stations concerning simulated runoff for most of the established criteria. The accuracy criteria for streamflow were evaluated by calculating Kling-Gupta Efficiency (KGE), Water Balance Error (WBE) for all seasons and summer seasons separately, and extreme stream runoff errors for the 1st percentile flow (Q01) and 2- to 20-year runoff events (T2-T20). The performance generally honors the criteria at a screening level. For extreme stream runoff, the accuracy did not fully meet the criteria since only 66% of the gauging stations met the criteria for extreme runoff. Moreover, an ML algorithm was utilized for estimating winter and summer maps of depth to the uppermost groundwater level at 10m resolution, see Figure 5 /8/ This model was a further development of an approach originally developed as part of an EU LIFE-supported climate change adaptation (C2C CC) project, where the 100m results of the DK model HIP were utilized in combination with several high-resolution covariates and groundwater level observations as training-dataset.

### 2.2.2. Climate Change impact analysis

For the climate change impact analysis, the 500m model was used to run impact simulations based on a comprehensive ensemble of 22 regional climate models. Based on these projected impacts, 60 statistics of groundwater level response to climate change (monthly, seasonal, yearly, for mean, Q01, and Q99 groundwater levels as well as extreme values for T=2 to 100-year return periods) were downscaled to 100m by use of an ML model /9/ - see Figure 4.




**Figure 4.** Downscaling of climate change impacts on groundwater level from 500m to 100m climate using a Random Forest ML algorithm [9].

### 2.2.3. Hydrological Information and Prediction system (the HIP portal)

is an open geodata tool that visualises and provides access to large, free-of-charge national datasets about hydrological conditions and how they are expected to change with a warmer and wetter climate in the future. Data on depth to the groundwater table (phreatic depth), soil moisture, and discharge are available (daily simulations for 1990-2019). Furthermore, it is possible to download a wide range of boundary conditions (groundwater infiltration, recharge to aquifers, etc.).

Downstream services (Figure 2) can access data from the HIP portal through an API. This is used for example by the KAMP portal, which is a screening tool that compares selected national data, calculations, and projections and is aimed in particular to support planning and environmental tasks in local governments. KAMP shows areas where possible climate change impacts may require attention. KAMP can also be used to determine how many buildings and kilometers of road potentially can be affected by flooding, and it can estimate the value of the buildings and constructions at risk for damages. The tool also contains an option that allows downloading of QGIS files, allowing further work on the same dataset and map sections in the user's system. KAMP was developed in collaboration between the Danish Natural Environment Portal and the Danish EPA in consultation with the national association of municipalities, KL, the Danish Business Authority, Central Region Denmark, and several selected municipalities. KAMP is available at [www.klimatilpasning.dk](http://www.klimatilpasning.dk).



**Figure 5.** High resolution modelling of winter groundwater level with Gradient Boost ML algorithm /8/.


### 2.3. Current/future developments

#### 2.3.1. DK-model HIP 100m real-time model.


For the moment, a beta version of the real-time model is being tested (DK model HIP 100m real-time). Within the near future, all of Denmark will be run operationally with daily updates. A database API will be developed and indices for soil moisture, depth to shallow groundwater, deep groundwater, and streamflow will be added, and data transfer and file formats developed to transfer data between the Danish Meteorological Institute (DMI), Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland (GEUS) and Agency for Data Supply and Infrastructure (SDFI). SDFI will take care of making the real-time data publicly available on the HIP portal, and the stakeholder engagement in the design of the portal. Figure 6 shows the monthly time series of wetness and drought anomalies for soil moisture, shallow and deep groundwater level, and streamflow for 2010-2019. Figure 7 shows the spatial distribution of the indices for Denmark for the summer of 2018. These drought indices are shown as an example of new relevant output from DK model, benefiting users once available in real-time.

#### 2.3.2. Plug-in-Digital-Twins and Local-models.

In various applications, researchers have used dynamic boundary conditions from the DK-model HIP to set up different sub-models of local river basins to analyse e.g., storm surge, backwater effects on groundwater and flooding, or effects of river restoration, partly with hydrodynamic simulations of the stream system. One example is the Ribe River basin, exposed to storm surges and affected by a sluice at the outlet to the Wadden Sea where different hydrodynamic and flooding process descriptions were compared for the submodels and investigated, to provide a high-fidelity model for flooding issues. The project obtained detailed knowledge on the past, present, and future processes by developing site-specific sea-level predictions by obtaining detailed vertical land motion predictions, and assessing the implications on water from land /18/.



**Figure 6.** Monthly anomalies with indices for wetness and droughts, 2010-2019. Precipitation (P). Soil moisture deficit index (SMDI). Streamflow deficit index (SDI). Depth to groundwater table and piezometric head for deep groundwater: Standard groundwater level deficit index (SGDI) /32/. The figure and indices illustrate how the meteorological drought cascaded into agricultural and hydrological drought for streamflow and shallow and deep groundwater during the extreme summer drought in Central-Northern Europe and Denmark in 2018-2019.



**Figure 7.** Example of simulated drought indices for Denmark, mean for summer 2018 (JJA). The soil moisture (SMDI) was very dry for entire Denmark situated in the epicentre of this Northern European drought. However, the groundwater (SGDI) was less affected (as it is more dependent on preceding winter conditions) and with more complex distribution for deep groundwater (some areas were wet). Streamflow (SDI) followed a complex mix of SMDI and SGDI shallow and deep /32/.

### 3. Results

In this section we will first reflect upon the HIP DT in terms of the 'Digital Twin factor' (section 3.1), with the five relational characteristics /17/, next we will discuss the vulnerability assessment and climate change impacts for different sectors (section 3.2) and finally we will describe the user categories of HIP portal and DT.

#### 3.1. Evaluation of the 'Digital Twin factor' of the HIP DT

The *Real-time* aspect will be implemented as part of the DK-model HIP 100m in 2022-2025 and in this sense, it will become a Digital Twin for the prediction and monitoring of the physical entity with daily data and monthly and seasonal wetness and drought indices (see Figure 6) /32/. Early warning (5-10 days forecasts) is going to be supplemented as well in the project period. The plans for enhancing the real-time model also include some sort of data assimilation or model correction, allowing the (near) real-time integration of observations into the model. Details still have to be clarified, e.g. which data assimilation approach to use as well as the type of data to be assimilated. Also, various ideas surrounding the hybrid modeling of specific hydrologic variables of interest (such as streamflow or groundwater heads) will be tested. Again, this hopefully harvests the benefits of combined hybrid modeling with physical-based and data-driven models, allowing for accurate and fast predictions without a tradeoff in the robustness of the predictions. This relation will therefore be established between the technical artifact (DK-model HIP) and the physical entity (groundwater and freshwater cycle of Denmark), with daily updating in (near) real-time. This will be useful for many operational tasks like steering the freshwater cycle, pumps, sluices, nature-based solutions, etc., with the DK-model HIP mirroring the wet or dry anomalies and providing real-time awareness about areas with possible flooding or drought risks here and now, or in the coming 5-10 days (as a 'model of everywhere' in Denmark). It will be able to identify vulnerable areas and possible exposed areas and infrastructures. The synchronization will be with daily values and dynamic updating, which will be sufficient for groundwater and river flooding in most areas, as well as water security management and flooding & drought risk management.

Regarding *high-fidelity*. The DK-model HIP delivers an accuracy relevant for screening purposes, and as a PB-GW in 100m grids, because it is reliable and robust in most areas at such a level /23/. The DK-model HIP has Digital Twin relational quality at such a level, but in cases where other goals like urban rainwater drainage systems, saltwater intrusion, or wetlands should be handled by the Digital Twin, this could either require further incorporation of such infrastructures in the national model /33/, or development of a plug-in Digital Twin based on a sub-model with boundary conditions from DK-model HIP available in the HIP data portal. Examples of using model structure and boundary conditions from the DK-model HIP has been documented based on submodels created during the SeaLevelRise project (<https://www.geocenter.dk/projekter/2020-2/sea-level-rise-and-coastal-flooding-in-denmark-past-future-and-policy/>) for the danish catchments of Ribe catchment, Djursland and Frederiksberg/Copenhagen. The DK-model HIP supplied information on groundwater heads for the whole submodel catchment boundary as well as formed the basis for the following update of the river system with hydrodynamics added on. The river system from the sea to Ribe town was updated to simulate a hydrodynamic river stage with responsiveness to tidal effects, sea level rise, and river flooding (overbank spilling) under current and future climate. Utilizing boundary conditions from future climate scenarios available through the HIP portal was utilized. Similarly, the DK model HIP provided boundary conditions and initial setups for an urban catchment covering the greater Copenhagen area and Frederiksberg for simulation of sea level rise issues, e.g., groundwater flooding, drainage of rainwater through sewage systems, saltwater intrusion and water security.

*Predictive quality*. The DK-model HIP has predictive quality in terms of simulating the historical period 1990-2019 and future climate change impacts for near (2041-2070) and

far future (2071-2100) for RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 intermediate and high emission scenarios /23/. The DK-model HIP is qualified as a Digital Twin in this sense, due to future water cycle changes, increasing irrigation amounts etc. being simulated in a physically based model. It will also be in realtime /32/ and prognostic with continuous forecasting at latest by 2025 (5-10 days). Improved ML models (*Peatland DK model HIP peatland plug-in*). Due to the increased political awareness in peatland hydrology to mitigate climate change, a DK-model HIP peatland plug-in is currently under development. The depth of the water table is the driving variable in carbon emissions from peatland soils and knowledge of hydrological variability in peatlands is expected to guide the restoration of drained and intensively farmed peatlands. In this context, knowledge-guided ML DK-model HIP approach /8/ to model water table depth at high spatial resolution modeling. The modeling is expanded by additional water table observations in peatlands and additional covariates that are sensitive to the shallow water table variability in peatlands, i.e. land surface temperature or crop types, are added to the model. The regional water table is added from the DK-model HIP at 100 m resolution to inform the Peatland plug-in ML model.

*Prescriptive quality.* The HIP model is being a physically-based model, hence we assume that it somewhat reasonably represents the different fluxes (many of which we not directly can quantify, but still are interested in such as drainage flow, etc.). It also runs with demand-driven irrigation, and actual extraction amounts. Prescriptive quality in the sense of the model being as simple and consistent, as a reference model, is strived for. Prescriptive quality is also a matter of transparency of the model which we believe is high since observed discharge and groundwater level data and performance results at calibration locations are shown on the HIP portal. Mean errors between observed and simulated groundwater levels and KGE, Q01, etc. for 300 river stations are made transparent for the user on the HIP portal for two validation periods and the calibration period. This means that the Digital Twin can signal deficiencies in the physical entity. Prescribing how the water cycle during wet and dry conditions should be designed as part of climate change adaptation and mitigation either temporally or permanently thus can be facilitated.

*Feedback* from the Digital Twin to the physical entity is mainly something that is provided indirectly by informed decision-making. However, such feedback relational quality could be further enhanced with the real-time model which potentially, can be used for control of a wide range of physical things related to nature based solutions etc. At the moment there is no direct steering of autonomous systems based on the DK-model HIP. Water levels in streams are only simulated with a simplified routing method, and the present focus of the model is mainly on describing soil moisture, depth to shallow groundwater level, boundary conditions for deeper groundwater and streamflow on daily basis. Interaction with infrastructure (sluices, urban area storage systems etc.), vegetation and sediment dynamics in streams, storm surges, and salt-water intrusion is not fully incorporated in the national model but can be handled in plug-in Digital Twins. There is, however, at the moment also a possible feedforward quality, in terms of building the local Plug-in submodel on top of the model structure from the national DK-model HIP. The development of the DK-model HIP and ML algorithms has been scientifically peer-reviewed. It is possible to download boundary conditions and results for each of the 22 global-regional climate model from HIP portal to be used in local plug-in DT. Selected model results are also made available on the KAMP climate change adaptation and land-use tool: (<https://en.klimatilpasning.dk/tools/kamp/>) relevant for exploring the vertical frontier between land use and groundwater.

### 3.2. How does different sectors in Denmark evaluate climate change flooding and drought hazard, exposure, vulnerability and risks related to water security

This section is a brief summary of water-related issues most relevant in the Danish case. In Denmark, relevant climate change impacts on different aspects of the hydrological cycle are foreseen. In the long term, the future climate will develop according to the future

emissions of greenhouse gases. It is evaluated that the changing climate with rising temperatures, changing precipitation patterns, increase in extreme weather events and rising sea levels will have a broad impact on ecosystems and society in general /34/..

Denmark has historically been viewed as a robust country in relation to climate-related incidents, but in recent years we have seen more extreme events with flooding and drought (Copenhagen cloudburst July 2011; Winter flooding in Gudenåen, Bygholm stream, and Ribe stream in February 2020 and the agricultural drought in 2018), which in combination with very intensive land use, urbanization, pollution, increased water demands for various sectors and a limited groundwater resource - can threaten the long-term sustainable groundwater abstraction /20/. Even though, the shallow groundwater level is rising due to increased winter precipitation. Predictions point to an average nationwide rise in shallow groundwater levels in winter of 20-30 cm (RCP8.5, 2071-2100 compared with 1990-2019). However, the patterns of the changes are complex, with large geographical variations ranging from zero to more than 75 cm for some areas. Significant increases to high streamflow events have been predicted with climate factors of 2 to 5 for a 100-year annual maximum discharge return value for the Eastern part of Denmark and 1.25 to 2 for the Western part for RCP8.5 2071-2100, compared to max annual runoff for 1990-2019. This development, with significant climate factors of max discharges in streams, far above the similar climate factors for precipitation, has also been seen elsewhere /35,36/, and is due to the non-linear and complex conditions especially for artificially, pipe-system-drained moraine till and/or chalk aquifer systems, when exposed to coupled winter rain events.

Due to the increased evapotranspiration in spring and summer in Denmark, soil moisture, streamflow and groundwater drought in summer and autumn will be more frequent in a future climate, which challenges water security, e.g. drinking water, food, energy water consumption, and water for ecological flow, aquifers and environmental health /23/.

The Danish *cities, towns, and infrastructure*, will also be affected by increased shallow groundwater levels and more frequent groundwater flooding. Increased groundwater levels will also lead to increased flows in sewage systems, as these today often act as additional drainage systems due to leakages. This can result in more frequent flooding of wastewater systems, overflow events, and subsequent flooding of terrain, buildings, and basements, which in turn pose a risk to human health /37/.

The *Groundwater and water supply sector* operating wellfields often in peri-urban areas, expect more groundwater recharge in winter with higher recharge to groundwater aquifers. However, seasonal variations and increased groundwater recharge in the upper strata could give more local shallow groundwater flooding problems. A need for alternative drainage systems may arise, but this can eventually also lead to less water in deep groundwater aquifers. Long periods of drought (spring and summer) may bring water supply under pressure.

*Agriculture sector* foresees a risk of soil compaction by heavy machinery, a concern that will increase with increasing soil moisture during the winter period. Soil compaction can lead to poorer drainage, increased nitrous oxide emissions, inhibited root and plant development, erosion and difficulties establishing crops. Furthermore, due to water clogging, the increased winter precipitation could have negative effects on production of winter crops. More frequent and/or longer periods of drought increases the irrigation demand. An increase in irrigation, which is mainly extracted from groundwater wells, may have a negative effect on summer flows in water courses. The *forest sector* is concerned about drought stress which negatively could affect forest trees. Trees are vulnerable to climate change, harmful diseases, and pests. In a warmer climate there is also a risk of more forest fires. *Fisheries* are concerned about rising temperatures which can have huge impacts on the conditions and composition of fish stocks. Fish are generally adapted to a certain temperature interval. Fish stock composition in Danish waters is expected to

change, and rising temperatures may cause oxygen depletion, due to increased nutrient loading and potential oxygen depletion.

The *Energy sector* evaluates that warmer summers will also mean a higher need for energy for cooling. Power-to-x /38/ may generate new water demands for energy production, and there is also a possibility for more biomass production (to be incorporated into heating and electricity supply). *Tourism sector*. Denmark is one of the northern European countries with the best conditions to meet future tourism demand, but this will require additional water, energy, and wastewater services. More investments have to be made in facilities to manage more excessive precipitation and more severe storms.

*Aquatic environment*. More precipitation will result in increased hydraulic impacts on watercourses. Altered rainfall patterns are also expected to cause longer periods of drought, which may dry out watercourses, and have an impact on animal and plant life. Water climate can change species composition and cause more invasive species. *Nature and landscape sector* have focused on how higher temperatures will provide longer growing seasons and increases in CO<sub>2</sub> will enhance biomass production. More frequent and more intense rainfall will lead to more flooding in low-lying areas. *Health sector*. Heatwaves can lead to dehydration and heat stroke, which can be life threatening, since people in Denmark are less used to coping with high temperatures (elderly and young children, people with dementia etc.). Very allergenic pollen species, such as ragweed, have already found habitat in Denmark. Flooding of build-up areas has been documented to increase the risk of infections in connection with e.g. work to clear up basements flooded by polluted sewage water (transmission of Weil's disease/leptospirosis from rats). Outbreaks of tick-borne diseases like encephalitis (TBE) and Lyme disease will be increased, and in the long term also mosquito-borne diseases that are restricted to tropical or subtropical areas today (West Nile virus and Usutu virus). Warmer summers and more precipitation enhance the risk of dampness and mould (asthma attacks and respiratory infections, increased amount of house dust mites) /39/.

*Emergency preparedness and fire and rescue services* highlight that incidents involving flooding require several types of responses from Danish fire and rescue services (during flooding identification of vulnerable buildings, and infrastructures, preventing or mitigating flooding using flood containment means and pumping water away from low lying areas). Protection of health and the environment when flood water becomes contaminated with sewage water or chemicals from industrial areas is a priority. Flooding incidents may cause serious transport accidents. Longer-lasting drought may contribute to the risk of forest wildfire. Increased assistance may also be needed during heatwaves.

*Insurance sector* evaluates that when weather and climate change impacts are less predictable, insurance companies are less able to predict damage and address risks. Danish insurance companies are typically re-insured by large international reinsurance companies. Climate change will entail a risk of higher premiums, lower coverage or special terms for taking out insurance. Transition risks arise in the progression towards a greener economy and derive from extensive political, legal, and technological changes as well as preferential/market change, such as carbon taxes or changes in consumption patterns.

*Spatial planning* sees climate change as a challenge for both new and existing designation of land. The municipal councils are responsible for spatial planning in Denmark. Spatial planning is an effective instrument of control that can contribute to reducing or eliminating the negative effects, as well as exploiting the positive effects of climate change in a number of sectors and industries. In 2018 new rules of planning were introduced. Their goal is to prevent flooding and erosion in new urban areas. Besides mapping areas in danger of flooding and erosion, it is now compulsory to introduce mitigation or remedial measures, if the planned area is assessed to be exposed to flooding and erosion.

### 3.3. Who are the users of the hydrological services in Denmark (HIP portal & DT)

*Municipal and climate adaptation planners* will use (already are using) data on the entire hydrological cycle to better assess opportunities for storing excess water, which often happens in close cooperation with water utilities. There is a need for calculated extreme events for depth to groundwater table and streamflow discharge, in addition to precipitation. Water utilities also express this need for good access to data about the entire hydrological cycle in order to avoid wrong investments and choose the most appropriate means of action, as well as use boundary conditions to develop their own local models, e.g. for watercourses. Municipal planners will typically use model calculations of the depth to shallow groundwater to avoid inappropriate urban planning and improve the data base for case processing, for example to assess where it is possible to build, or whether there is a need for drainage or terrain raising in relation to new build construction. The new high-resolution shallow groundwater depth maps in a 10-100 m grid will be used as a screening basis to identify areas in the local plan where prevention measures must be specified, demands can be made for seepage of surface water, or solutions for local drainage of rainwater (SUDS) can be developed [37,40]. Information about inundation along watercourses will also be used to make agreements with landowners about compensation schemes, and this will be able to reduce case processing time. Municipal planners also expect that consultant hours can be saved on some tasks, and that it will be possible to set up local models with a significantly smaller grid size at a cheaper price if boundary conditions from HIP are used. User stories from consulting engineers also express the expectation that modeling tasks will be delivered with lower costs due to the better access to data and boundary conditions. *Protection Agency or an upstream municipality* will use model calculations and data from HIP to assess the need for regulation, weed cutting and retention of water to delay downstream water flow, as well as the preparation of long-term watercourse regulations using model-calculated future scenarios for water flow, e.g. using drought and flood scenarios for the future.

*The waste water officer* will use all ground-level hydrological data, but especially stream data, to assess the consequences of discharge permits. Groundwater workers will use near-surface groundwater maps to assess possible consequences of setting up and decommissioning extraction wells, as well as consequences for nature and the environment. *The watercourse employee* will use both measurements and model calculations of water flow and water level, as well as model-calculated effects of climate change, preferably converted to water level [water level calculations are not supplied with the HIP project, but there is an option to download model-calculated water flow and model uncertainties, which can be converted to water level]. The high density of model calculation points in HIP (approx. every 0.5 km) (compared to 300 to 600 measuring stations in streams) can be used as boundary conditions together with available local measurements.

*Water supply and utility employees* express a great need for data and model calculations on shallow groundwater to plan the installation of basins, pumps, buildings, etc., as well as to be able to assess the effects of closing a well or sealing a sewer, which could cause groundwater flooding. It is expected that a more targeted effort can be made against infiltrating groundwater in sewer systems (sewer renovation and renovation prioritization), which will also provide a better function of treatment plants and could reduce the substance load in Danish water bodies. The design of the drainage system as well as other construction projects are generally expected to be optimized both technically, economically and functionally. It will be avoided that plants are destroyed, and operating costs will be reduced. It is expected that better sewerage investments will provide more robust (fewer floods), attractive and safe cities. There is a need for screening maps for shallow groundwater levels in the present and future, as well as historical data and model calculations for streamflow and water levels in streams and the sea for the preparation of flood maps for the municipality. The purpose is generally to get an overview of potential challenges related to project planning (incl. urban planning) and choose the most appropriate means of meeting these challenges. Data and model calculations for streams will also be used to assess the capacity and robustness of streams in relation to discharges and storage

of water near streams (instead of the establishment of basins) while at the same time avoiding backflow from streams to the sewage systems. Seepage basins will be established taking into account recipient impact (nature and environment). Outfalls will be planned to be future-proofed, and pipes and basins will be designed in appropriate sizes for efficient water diversion and storage, also for the future. The supply worker wants access to both dynamic and statistical calculations for water flow, and needs to know the maximum level of the water table in 10, 20, 50 and 100 years, including the effect of coupled events (ocean – stream), as well as the uncertainty of model calculations.

*The water supply worker* sees it as a great advantage that easy and unified access to stream data from different data owners is created in HIP. There is also a strong desire for joint access to water level data for both streams and the sea (coastal zones) in HIP. There is widespread interest among supply workers in the use of boundary conditions for the development of their own local models. This reflects that the utility worker has a great need to use data and model calculations about the entire hydrological cycle. The use of boundary conditions for the development of a local model can, for example, be used to control structures such as automatic dampers, sluice gates and pumps in streams and lakes, etc., which will optimize the climate control system's function and reduce operating costs. Model calculations concerning the entire water cycle, incl. floods, will also allow for the planning of larger climate adaptation projects in synergy with projects regarding park renovation, nature restoration, etc. Much more cost-effective solutions are expected here, and there will only be a need to include smaller areas for pools within urban areas. There will also be better opportunities for identifying opportunities for retaining and storing water in agricultural areas to avoid flooding of towns located downstream, as well as providing a better basis for dialogue with farmers.

*Regional employees within soil pollution, resource utilization, climate and water.* The regional employee within soil pollution wants maps of the depth to ground water close to the ground over 50 years, which can be used for climate-robust risk assessment of soil pollution. Today, the risk of spreading soil pollution due to climate change is unknown. Therefore, contaminations that may pose a risk in the future and which have therefore not been prioritized for action, are overlooked. There is interest in the groundwater table being scaled down from 100 m to 10 m grid, as well as calculated effects of climate change. Scaled-down maps and model calculations for the future of ground water close to the ground will be usable for prioritizing efforts, as the spread risks for the most problematic pollutants will be able to be identified and averted first.

*The regional resource utilization officer* will use maps for shallow groundwater to grant correct permits for resource extraction and groundwater lowering. Here it is important to know whether digging will be done below the water table. *The regional employee within climate and water* experiences a great need for a common basis and models across municipalities, utilities and advisers, and expects that the rationalization gain for nationwide model calculations for the water cycle will be large in terms of avoiding wrong investments in the long run. There is a lack of integrated knowledge about catchment water, i.e. model calculations that take into account the water cycle and deliver integrated calculations for the entire catchment, in order to contribute to the facilitation of water management and planning across municipal boundaries. This is expected to reduce malinvestments in infrastructure, urban development and agricultural operations.

*Employees in the state* will especially use model calculations for shallow groundwater together with other data for infrastructure planning and securing railways and roads against flooding, as well as for the preparation of flood maps/emergency maps. It is expected that the use of model calculations will lead to fewer and/or smaller pools than are used today, that there will be fewer errors and accidents, that disputes with neighbors and stakeholders will be easier to resolve, and that there will be better opportunities for prioritization and reduced costs for expropriation, construction, operation and maintenance, and thus generally a better economy. Model-calculated effects of climate change will be used in the transport sector to identify intervention areas that may be affected by flooding

in the future, either from rising groundwater or rainwater. Better access to ground-level bearing data and water flow data will also be used for more efficient groundwater mapping throughout the country, and more water level data in a common database will be used to make better calculations of water flow that will be used by municipalities and advisors.

*Agriculture* will benefit from the model-calculated depth to groundwater close to the ground in the short and long term, so that it is possible to distribute effort and prioritization of the fields differently, so that land that is not thought to be suitable for cultivation can, for example, be used for storing water. It is important that the uncertainty is known for model calculations. It is expected that model calculations can contribute to the farmer being able to assess the cause of problems with water, which is difficult for him to assess today. Depending on the crop, there are studies that show that a permanent groundwater table 0.4 to 0.5 m below ground gives a yield loss of 50% compared to a groundwater table at 1 to 1.5 m below ground.

*IT developers* would like to have easy access to download of national data in standard GIS formats, incl. extreme value statistics so that they can be used to develop better tools and develop methods for new screening products that can be exported to countries where the same methods and data are not yet available. Digital data and model calculations will be brought into new contexts, so that data comes into play where and when decisions have to be made. The effect is better and more realistic planning.

*Engineering Consultants* want access to hydrological data and model calculations in 100 m grid, with the possibility of downscaling to 10 m grid. The advisor sees it as an advantage that data is delivered in a common portal for hydrological data. This ensures a common basis, which is based on quality-assured data and methods that can be used politically, in municipal planning, and as a starting point for private advisers' further development of solutions. Consultants will specifically use model calculations of near-terrain groundwater for risk assessment of construction and infrastructure planning, which will lead to more robust buildings and lower costs in fbm new construction. It is estimated that the uncertainty of risk assessments using model calculations from HIP will be smaller in ft. current tools, and it is estimated that more qualified analyzes could be carried out than today. The nationwide model calculations will be able to be used for screening and planning of project location, where further investigations must be carried out.

*Advisors* will also use streamflow time series and will greatly benefit from better access to stream geometry data (cross-sectional profiles) in standard formats. It is estimated that better access to stream geometry data could lead to cheaper advice when stream models are to be used for impact analyzes and decisions are made about the most effective climate adaptation measures. Several advisers express that they will use boundary conditions from HIP, which enables faster and cheaper development of retail models. It is also considered an advantage for the adviser that a common frame of reference is used across actors and stakeholders.

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. The integration of observations and model results in HIP DT visualisation

Planning- and climate change adaptation tools/portals like the HIP DT is unique since they build upon an integrated groundwater–surface water dynamical model which hereby provides a system model which is absolutely necessary when evaluating climate change impacts for shallow and deep groundwater, interactions with surface water and changes in precipitation, temperature, and evapotranspiration. One of the key strengths of the national HIP DT is the possibility to compare time series of shallow and deep groundwater levels from the model with observations available in the JUPITER national database. The transparency of model performance is not only supported by the visualisation of daily time series from model and observations, with calculated statistics making it easy to compare statistics on an annual basis, and for wet and dry extreme values. Shallow

groundwater is difficult to observe in boreholes (screens) in some cases e.g., if there is only a temporary high groundwater level during the wettest winter months, or if during cloud-bursts, saturated zones are formed in areas with barriers in infiltration capacity between the root zone and the saturated zone. Observations of groundwater level can never be complete, and there is a clear lack of long-time series for shallow groundwater for the uppermost layers of the ground (< 10m below surface). The HIP DT visualise daily variations in depth to shallow groundwater (phreatic depth), and the results reveal that there is a high degree of transient variation.

Making it possible to make such comparisons, backed up with performance statistics for the calibration period (2000-2010) and two different validation periods (1990-1999) and (2011-2019), the user gets a high-resolution map in 100x100m which is much more useful than previous 500x500m simulations. Not only is shallow groundwater levels available with daily values for the 30-year period, but in addition various percentiles and return values are available for each 100m grid and each of more than 50.000 streamflow points. In some cases, the model will be wrong or uncertain, in other cases, observations are biased or wrong. By integrating both data sets and displaying and visualising data on the HIP portal, the basic idea of the digital twin gain momentum, and users, area for area, can evaluate where the model has a good enough performance at a screening level, or where observations indicate that there can be something missing in the model structure, the process description or the input data and eventually the boundary conditions /23/.

Another major feature and strength of HIP DT are the possibility of utilizing the high-resolution 10m national map, obtained with Machine Learning. Here, the observed shallow groundwater levels were re-used to create a high-resolution map for winter and summer, and observations for 10 soil types were further classified and trained upon as part of Machine Learning (ML-GB). These maps provide an alternative (twinning) to the modeled maps, where the simulated results from the 100m model became the second most explanatory variable (or co-variate) among a dozen co-variates, see /8/..

As part of the HIP project, the best practice guidelines for hydrological modeling and calibration /41,42,43/ were updated, with a new set of criteria for the screening model. Hereby, the DK model HIP in 500m and 100m complies with the criteria for a screening model. When developing local models, users can compare their local model performance with the standards prescribed by DK-model HIP, which makes the model performance more transparent.

Finally, predictive simulations are visualised for depth to shallow groundwater level with statistics for the near and far future, compared to the reference period for 17 RCP8.5 high emission scenarios (AR5) and 5 RCP4.5 moderate emission scenarios. Downloads can be made not only of median change across the ensemble of climate models in each emission scenario but also include standard deviations. Thus, users can incorporate the global and regional climate model uncertainty, a major source of uncertainty when planning for adaptation for shallow groundwater /19/. Again in order to provide robust predictions in the required resolution (here 100m was demanded by the users), results from the 500m HIP model were downscaled to 100m /9/ by use of ML-RF. The improved resolution obtained, makes the predictions more trustworthy, and the integration of selected climate model runs for 5 sub-models with future climate change simulations, results from the 500m model, the results from the 100m model for the historical period, and other co-variates, provide an overall more robust projection of hydrological impacts on shallow groundwater levels.

The HIP DT and KAMP are mainly for screening purposes. KAMP focused on spatial planners in municipalities and a wide set of areal data, and HIP DT provides data, useful for the professional user, consultants, and employees at municipalities, regions and state agencies. Besides these users, also researchers can dive into the huge dataset on shallow groundwater. Citizens, with some help from more experienced users, can search for valuable information from the HIP DT, KAMP, JUPITER or other tools available from the

national climate change adaptation portal: [www.klimatilpasning.dk](http://www.klimatilpasning.dk). Nature-based solutions (recommended by the EU commission) only provide a few adaptation measures against high groundwater levels (there are many more NBS's for too-low groundwater levels). In both cases, DTs are valuable, because the users can compare what has been measured with what has been modeled, and this is important, not for claiming that the model is wrong, but for a better understanding of where other factors may provide differences in groundwater level (it can be due to drainage systems not working properly, lowering of groundwater levels by reduced pumping, not well-described issues by model e.g., misinterpretation of model structure etc). In such cases, the users sometimes conclude, that the HIP model, or the observations, are wrong. In such cases, new and better observations, or better model input data and conceptualization are required. With tools like the HIP DT, modelling of everywhere becomes a search for areas with poor model performance. Areas where the observed depth to the groundwater level does not fit with the simulated depth. In the Digital Twin era, the task of the user is to evaluate such circumstances and to identify an issue or a problem, which is not sufficiently understood. Since the HIP DT is created on top of the national DK model, in some cases a new geological model may be required, or new monitoring data (where especially time series of shallow groundwater levels) will be the first action or decision to take, in cases with poor model performance.

#### *4.2. Possible strengthening of the use of HIP DT with the development of a real-time model with a 5-10 days forecast for disaster risk reduction purposes*

When reading the IPCC AR6 WG2 report /2/ from a groundwater perspective, various chapters mention groundwater. This is the case for chapter 4 *Water* where groundwater is mentioned more than 200 times in that chapter. However, not a single quote really mentions shallow groundwater and the problem with high groundwater levels. Of other chapters in the IPCC WGII report where groundwater is mentioned, chapter 9 *Africa*, chapter 10 *Asia* and chapter 14 *North America*, and chapter 15 *Small Islands* each have more than 20-50 mentionings of groundwater. Finally, chapter 2 *Terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems*, cross chapter 4 *Mediterranean region*, cross chapter 5 *Mountains*, chapter 13 *Europe* and Chapter 16 *Food, fibre and other ecosystem products* have between 15-20 mentionings of groundwater. But still, the description of the role of groundwater is much stronger in AR6 than in previous reports, and especially chapter 4 is worth studying, but especially when the focus is on water security and other cross-sectoral water uses. It is quite remarkable that the chapter on cities do not have groundwater higher up on the list, and that the one on Europa, do not unfold the challenges with high groundwater levels in northern Europe, where the other side of the coin, is lack of water in spring, summer and autumn /2/.

In the context of climate change, /2/ make a strong contribution to the water community. This is because the report put much effort on explaining how risk can arise from the dynamic interactions among climate-related hazards (with reference to WG1 report), and the exposure and vulnerability of affected human and ecological systems. Here the risk that can be introduced by human responses to climate change is a new aspect considered in the risk concept. And it is here that our HIP real-time model actually becomes an important future development and extension of the HIP DT. Approaches for analysing and assessing vulnerability have evolved since previous IPCC assessments, and vulnerability is widely understood to differ within communities and across societies, regions, and countries, also changing through time. This is exactly what we have seen with the HIP DT, where parts of the country will expect increasing groundwater levels, and other parts zero changes in a future climate. Or parts of the country will expect doubled to five doubled 100-year max annual discharge events according to RCP8.5 for 2071-2100 compared to 1990-2019 /23/. And even with significant increases in shallow groundwater levels and/or streamflows, in other seasons we can expect a reduction in soil moisture and more severe drought events in the future climate /20/. And for water security, everything gets even

more complex, because climate change can also trigger tipping points, increased pollution, etc. whereby the amount of clean drinking water becomes more scarce or even turns into a situation of groundwater drought, in a case where a dry winter is followed by a dry summer, which is again followed by a dry summer etc.

Adaptation plays a key role in reducing exposure and vulnerability to climate change and the HIP DT is very much focused on bringing all the necessary maps and areal regulations to the table, in order to explore possible adaptive planning and nature-based solutions which can reinforce the resilience of infrastructure, combating measures of different kinds, and land use planning which identifies which land uses are optimal for given hydrological conditions now and in the future. Often resilience is understood as bouncing back and returning to a previous state after a disturbance. But resilience is also the capacity for transformation, and in many cases, good planning is better than putting in inflexible engineered adaptation measures like dikes, pumps etc. A critical question here is to which degree does the HIP DT build on, or incorporate local knowledge? The answer is that it doesn't, but that local knowledge is available in the minds of stakeholders and in the municipalities. In some cases, this local knowledge will tell the users, if they work in a participatory living lab fashion, that the HIP DT is not useful. In such cases, there may not be any other way forward than to develop a local HIP model. And this is possible, if GEUS delivers a setup that can be further enhanced with boundary conditions from the HIP DT, and the process can be improved or model structures further detailed. GEUS and others have successfully generated or 'feeded forward' into local models from the HIP model structure, and set up local models with improved unsaturated zone description, overland flow, hydrodynamic description in rivers and drains, or improved description of chalk and saturated zone, eventually also insaltwaterlt water intrusion.

The IPCC WGII report /2/ also highlights maladaptation which refers to actions that may lead to increased risk of adverse climate-related outcomes, including increased greenhouse gas emissions, shifted vulnerability to climate change, inequitable outcomes, or diminished welfare now or in the future. Most, often this is an unintended consequence. When we analyse the problems with high groundwater levels, especially in Danish cities, it is clear that in some cases this can be due to maladaptation. In some cases dam breaks during extreme events, or pumps stop functioning when they are flooded. In other cases, SUDS and nature-based solutions on the surface, resulting in increased groundwater infiltration and groundwater levels in cities. Yet, in other cases, a solution to one problem, result in another problem, either downstream or upstream, or an additional flux, or changed flux, generates a water quality problem. Therefore, it is very important that a systemic approach and nature-based solutions which are more flexible are in the toolbox for climate planners and managers.

In many parts of the world, extreme weather events cause severe floods and droughts. Such events are becoming more and more likely. Therefore, dynamic updating of HIP DT on a daily basis and with 5-10 days forecasts, can support adaptive planning and use of various assets (utilities) and adaptation measures (nature-based solutions). Too much water and too little water both can deteriorate ecological flows. Changes in stream-flow magnitude, timing, and associated extremes are projected to adversely impact freshwater ecosystems in the mid- to long-term across all assessed scenarios (medium confidence /2/). The same without a doubt is true for changes in shallow groundwater levels in relation to terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, and when incorporating adverse effects of deep groundwater levels and water security.

The HIP (real-time) DT from 2025 can provide a new knowledge base and capacity in realtime for water managers at a local, regional, and national level, stakeholders, researchers, utilities, and industry to safeguard sustainable groundwater abstraction from the shallow and deep aquifer of different water quality and for different uses, groundwater drainage and/or managed aquifer recharge, with adequate quantities in the long-term of good quality water for sustaining livelihoods, human well-being, ecological flow, and socio-economic development. This will also better ensure protection against water-borne

pollution and water-related disasters, and for preserving ecosystems. We do not anymore have a climate of peace and political stability, but with help from the real-time version of HIP DT we can better assure water security than without.

#### *4.3. HIP DT as a tool for screening and adaptive planning for more resilient infrastructures, land uses, and robust water futures*

Another important highlight from IPCC 2022 /2/ is the recommendation to put more effort into cascading risk. Climate change impacts and risks are becoming increasingly complex and more difficult to manage. Multiple climate hazards will occur simultaneously, and multiple climatic and non-climatic risks will interact, resulting in compounding overall risks cascading across sectors and regions...(high confidence). This is maybe the strongest argument for a HIP DT for shallow groundwater, streamflow, soil moisture, and boundary conditions for deep groundwater. What we can do with HIP (realtime) DT will be to describe anomalies in wet and dry conditions, and also with the integrated DK model HIP diagnose various types of wet and dry conditions. The distinction between meteorological drought, soil moisture (agricultural) drought, streamflow drought, groundwater drought, and socioeconomic drought is one known example. This is what HIP DT can do for us 5-10 day before the event develops into a compound event. Thus, people can move valuable stuff to higher ground, and emergency management can plan logistics and where to bring pumps and temporary dams, etc. This means that the HIP DT in areal-time version can enhance our 'augmented reality' or 'awareness' about various risks.

For inland flooding, combinations of non-structural measures like early warning systems and structural measures like levees have reduced loss of lives and damage costs. Enhancing natural water retention by restoring wetlands and streams, and land use planning such as no-build zones or upstream forest management can further as nature-based solutions reduce flood risk. And the same can managed aquifer recharge and adaptation planning with a focus on shallow groundwater levels in different seasons. Nature-based solutions include a broader range of approaches including those that contribute to adaptation and mitigation. Even though the term is a subject of ongoing debate /2/, because the term cannot on its own provide a global solution to climate change. As we have seen for the problem with high shallow groundwater levels in cities and elsewhere, a systemic approach is required, but clear roles and responsibilities, national and municipal adaptation strategies, plans and initiatives, monitoring and evaluation of the framework, regulation and partnerships are equally important.

The HIP DT therefore has a very high potential for becoming an important national tool as part of implementing nature based solutions (NBS) for the sustainable management of water resources in a changing climate. Supported with plug-in DTs at local cases, local knowledge and co-production with national and local stakeholders of cost-effective ways of implementing NBS at large scale for integrated water management can be supported for demonstration and wider replication. This can also enhance implementation of EU policies, Water Framework Directive, the Floods Directive, EU Climate Adaptation, the EU biodiversity and EU soil strategies for 2030 as well as the Floods Directive where needed. The new HIP DT can help assure that rural, coastal and urban areas are developed in a sustainable, balanced and inclusive manner, thanks to a better understanding of the subsurface and groundwater system as well as deployment of digital, social and community-led innovations that ultimately will make society more resilient, inclusive, and healthy with flooding and drought approved (or awared) green rural, coastal and urban communities.

Digital Twins like HIP DT and plug-ins also raise new issues to consider. There is a need for new governance models enabling sustainability and resilience are established and monitored through enhanced and shared use of new knowledge, tools, foresight, and environmental observations as well as digital, modelling and forecasting capabilities. The HIP DT is a national scale hydrological DT. There are some potentials for this national

model to provide an modelling and monitoring observatory for European and global hydrological DTs, which could enable a better representation of groundwater in such basically rainfall-runoff models. Best practices for development of such Earth Digital Twins /44/ is an open question at the moment.

**Acknowledgments:** This paper has been developed as part of the HIP real time projects, which is part of the Joint national Digitalisation Strategies 2022-2025 and 2016-2020.

## References

1. EC 2021. EU Strategy on Adaptation to Climate Change. COM/2021/82 final
2. IPCC 2022 Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Working Group II contribution tot he Sixth Assessment Report for the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
3. Grey, D. and C. W. Sadoff, 2007: Sink or Swim? Water security for growth and development. *Water Policy*, 9 (6), 545- 24 571, doi:10.2166/wp.2007.021.
4. Bijl, D. L. et al., 2018: A Global Analysis of Future Water Deficit Based on Different Allocation Mechanisms. *Water 2 Resources Research*, 54 (8), 5803-5824, doi:10.1029/2017wr021688.
5. Berrang-Ford, L. et al., 2021: A systematic global stocktake of evidence on human adaptation to climate change. *23 Nature Climate Change*, In Press.
6. Koch, J., Berger, H., Henriksen, H. J., and Sonnenborg, T. O.: Modelling of the shallow water table at high spatial resolution using random forests, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 23, 4603–4619, <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-23-4603-2019>, 2019.
7. Koch J, Gotfredsen J, Schneider R, Troldborg L, Stisen S and Henriksen HJ. 2021. High resolution water table modelling of the shallow groundwater using a knowledge-guided boosting decision tree model. *Front. Water*. 3, 701726. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frwa.2021.701726>
8. Schneider R, Koch J, Troldborg L, Henriksen HJ. and Stisen S. 2022. Machine learningbased downscaling of modelled climate change impacts on groundwater table depth. *HESS*. <https://hess.copernicus.org/preprints/hess-2022-122>
9. Cuthbert et al. 2019 Cuthbert, M.O., Gleeson, T., Moosdorf, N. *et al.* Global patterns and dynamics of climate–groundwater interactions. *Nature Clim Change* 9, 137–141 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0386-4>
10. Anderson M., Woessner W. and Hunt R. 2015. Applied groundwater modeling. Simulation of Flow and Advective transport. 2nd Edition. August 13, 2015. ISBN: 9780120581030.
11. Scholten T., Hartmann T. and Split T. (2020) The spatial component of integrated water resource management differentiating integration of land and water governance. *International Journal of Water Resources Development*. 36-5, 800-817, DOI:10.1080/07900627.2019.1566055
12. Haasnoot M., Klooster S. van't, val Alphen J. 2018. Designing a monitoring system to detect signals to adapt to uncertain climate change. *Global Environmental Change* 52, 273-285 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2018.08.003>
13. Abbott, M.B., Bathurst, J.C., Cunge, J.A., O'Connell, P.E., Rasmussen, J., 1986. An introduction to the European hydrological system – systeme hydrologique europeen, "She", 2: Structure of a physically-based, distributed modeling system. *Journal of Hydrology*, 87: 61-77.
14. Koch J and Schneider R. 2021. Long short-term memory networks enhance rainfall – runoff modelling at national scale of Denmark. *GEUS bulletin*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.34194/geusb.v49.8292>
15. Ariesen-Verschuur N, Verdouw C and Tekinerdogan B. 2022. Digital Twins in greenhouse horticulture: A review. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 199 (2022) 107183. <https://doi.org/10.1006/j.compag.2022.107183>
16. Glaessgen E & Stargel D. 2012. The digital twin paradigm for future NASA and US Air Force vehicles. <https://ntrs.nasa.gov/api/citations/20120008178/downloads/20120008178.pdf>
17. Korenhof P, Blok V and Kloppenburg S. 2021. Steering representations – towards a critical understanding of Digital Twins. *Philosophy & Technology* (2021) 34:1751-1733. <https://edepot.wur.nl/555943>
18. Colgan W, Henriksen HJ, Bennike O, Ribeiro S, Keiding M, Seidenfaden IK, Graversgaard M, Busck A, Fruergaard M, Knudsen MH, Hopper J, Sonnenborg T, Skjerbæk M, Bjørk A, Steffen H, Tarasov L, Nerem S and Kjeldsen KK, 2022. Sea-Level Projections for Denmark: Recent Advances. *GEUS bulletin* in print. <https://geusbulletin.org/index.php/geusb>
19. Refsgaard, J. C., Sonnenborg, T. O., Butts, M. B., Christensen, J. H., Christensen, S., Drews, M., Jensen, K. H., Jørgensen, F., Jørgensen, L. F., Larsen, M. A. D., Rasmussen, S. H., Seaby, L. P., Seifert, D., and Vilhelmsen, T. N.: Climate change impacts on groundwater hydrology – where are the main uncertainties and can they be reduced?, 61, 2312–2324, <https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2015.1131899>, 2016.
20. Chan, S. S., Seidenfaden, I. K., Jensen, K. H., and Sonnenborg, T. O.: Climate change impacts and uncertainty on spatiotemporal variations of drought indices for an irrigated catchment, *J. Hydrol.*, 601, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126814>, 2021
21. Karlsson, I. B., Sonnenborg, T. O., Seaby, L. P., Jensen, K. H., and Refsgaard, J. C.: Effect of a high-end CO2-emission scenario on hydrology, *Clim. Res.*, 64, 39–54, <https://doi.org/10.3354/cr01265>, 2015.
22. Danish EPA (2019) højststående grundvand
23. Henriksen, H. J., Kragh, S. J., Gotfredsen, J., Ondracek, M., van Til, M., Jakobsen, A., Schneider, R. J. M., Koch, J., Troldborg, L., Rasmussen, P., Pasten-Zapata, E., & Stisen, S. (2020). Udvikling af landsdækkende modelberegninger af terrænnære

- hydrologiske forhold i 100m grid ved anvendelse af DK-modellen (Development of nationwide model simulations of shallow hydrogeology in a 100 m grid with the DK model). Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland. <https://hipdata.dk>
24. Refsgaard JC, Stisen S, & Koch J. 2022. Hydrological process knowledge in catchment modelling – Lessons and perspectives from 60 years development. *Hydrological Processes*, 36(1), e14463. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.14463>. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdfdirect/10.1002/hyp.14463>
  25. Stisen, S., Schneider, R., Ondracek, M., and Henriksen, H. J.: Modelling af terrænnært grundvand, vandstand i vandløb og vand på terræn for Storå og Odense Å. Slutrapport (FODS 6.1 Fasttrack metodeudvikling), 1–170 pp., <https://doi.org/10.22008/gpub/32582>, 2018.
  26. Henriksen, H. J., Troldborg, L., Nyegaard, P., Sonnenborg, T. O., Refsgaard, J. C., & Madsen, B. (2003). Methodology for construction, calibration and validation of a national hydrological model for Denmark. *Journal of Hydrology*, 280, 52–71.
  27. Henriksen HJ, Troldborg L, Højberg AL, Refsgaard JC (2008) Assessment of exploitable groundwater resources of Denmark by use of ensemble resource indicators and numerical groundwater-surface water model. *Journal of Hydrology*, 348(1-2), 224-240.
  28. Højberg, A. L., Troldborg, L., Stisen, S., Christensen, B. B. S., & Henriksen, H. J. (2013). Stakeholder driven update and improvement of a national water resources model. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 40, 202–213.
  29. Kraft, B., Jung, M., Körner, M., and Reichstein, M.: Hybrid modeling: Fusion of a deep learning approach and a physics-based model for global hydrological modeling, *Int. Arch. Photogramm.*, 43, 1537–1544, <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-XLIII-B2-2020-1537-2020>, 2020.
  30. Konapala, G., Kao, S.-C., Painter, S. L., and Lu, D.: Machine learning assisted hybrid models can improve streamflow simulation in diverse catchments across the conterminous US, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 15, 104022, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aba927>, 2020.
  31. Pasten-Zapata, E., Sonnenborg, T. O., & Refsgaard, J. C. (2019). Climate change: Sources of uncertainty in precipitation and temperature projections for Denmark. *GEUS Bulletin*, 43. <https://doi.org/10.34194/GEUSB-201943-01-02>
  32. Henriksen, H. J., Schneider, R. J. M., & Nilsson, B. (2022). Analysis of drought indicators based on a national coupled hydrological model. Identification of drought events, propagation of drought indices, aggregation level and illustration of how data from HIP realtime model can support vulnerability assessment for damages to houses. (Danmarks og Grønlands Geologiske Undersøgelse Rapport; Vol. 2022, No. 25). *GEUS*. <https://doi.org/10.22008/gpub/34660>
  33. LaBianca, A., Mortensen, M. H., Sandersen, P., Sonnenborg, T. O., Jensen, K. H., and Kidmose, J.: Impact of urban geology on shallow groundwater, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.* [preprint], <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2022-330>, in review, 2022. <https://hess.copernicus.org/preprints/hess-2022-330/>
  34. Danish Ministry of Energy, Utilities and Climate. 2017. Denmark's Seventh National Communication on Climate Change under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change and the Kyoto Protocol and Denmark's Third Biennial Report under the United Nations Framework convention on Climate Change. December 2017 NC7 (NC8 is currently in prep).
  35. Quintero F., Villarini G., Prein A.F. Zhang W. and Krajewski W.F. 2022. Discharge and floods projected to increase more than precipitation extremes. *Hydrological Processes*. 36, Issue 11 / e14738. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.14738>
  36. Lane, R. A., Coxon, G., Freer, J., Seibert, J., and Wagener, T.: A large-sample investigation into uncertain climate change impacts on high flows across Great Britain, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 26, 5535–5554, <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-26-5535-2022>, 2022.
  37. van der Keur P. and Henriksen H.J. 2022 Højtstående grundvand i byer. REALDANIA (in Danish) <https://real-dania.dk/publikationer/faglige-publikationer/hoejtstaaende-grundvand-i-byer/hoejtstaaende-grundvand-i-byer>
  38. Skov, I.R.; Schneider, N.; Schweiger, G.; Schöggel, J.-P.; Posch, A. Power-to-X in Denmark: An Analysis of Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats. *Energies* 2021, 14, 913. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14040913>
  39. EEA 2022. Climate change as a threat to health and infectious diseases. EEA Report No 07. 2022. [https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/climate-change-impacts-on-health/at\\_download/file](https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/climate-change-impacts-on-health/at_download/file)
  40. Mielby S. and Henriksen H.J. 2021 Hydrogeological studies integrating the climate, fresheater cycle, and catchment geography for the benefit of urban resilience and sustainability. *Water* 2020 12, 3324; <https://doi.org/10.3390/w1213324>.
  41. Danish EPA, 2019. FOHM - Fælles offentlig hydrologisk model: <https://mst.dk/natur-vand/vand-i-hverdagen/grundvand/grundvandskortlaegning/kortlaegning-2016-2020/fohm-faelles-offentlig-hydrologisk-model/>, last access: 11 March 2020.
  42. Henriksen et al. 2017 Best practices in groundwater modelling (Hydrologisk geovejledning 2017/1, in Danish). <https://www.geovejledning.dk/gv7/>
  43. Stisen, S., Koch, J., Sonnenborg, T. O., Refsgaard, J. C., Bircher, S., Ringgaard, R., & Jensen, K. H. (2018). Moving beyond runoff calibration – Multi-variable optimization of a surface-subsurface-atmosphere model. *Hydrological Processes*, 32(17), 2654–2668
  44. Rigon R., Formetta G., Bancheri M., Tubini N., D'Amato C., David O., and Massari C. 2022. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 26, 4773-4800. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-26-4773-2022>.