

Article

LSTM Applied for Commodity Futures Price Forecast

Gabriel Schneider de Jesus ^{1*} , Aurélio Faustino Hoppe ¹ , Andreza Sartori ¹ , and Valderi Reis Quietinho Leithardt ^{2,3} 

¹ Department of Information Systems and Computing, Regional University of Blumenau. Rua Antônio da Veiga 140, 89030-903 Blumenau, Brazil; (email: aureliof@furb.br; asartori@furb.br)

² COPELABS, Lusófona University of Humanities and Technologies. Campo Grande 376, 1749-024 Lisboa, Portugal; (email: valderi@ipportalegre.pt)

³ VALORIZA, Research Center for Endogenous Resources Valorization. Instituto Politécnico de Portalegre, 7300-555 Portalegre, Portugal

* Correspondence: gsjesus@furb.br

Abstract: This article presents the implementation of a model to estimate the future price of commodities in the Brazilian market from time series of short-term technical evaluation. For this, data from two databases were used, one referring to the foreign market (opening values, maximum, minimum, closing, closing adjustment and volume) and the other, from the Brazilian market (the price of the day), considering commodities, sugar, cotton, corn, soybean and wheat. Subsequently, the technical indicators were calculated from the TA-Lib technical analysis library. Pearson's correlation coefficient was applied, records with low correlation were removed, and then the database was consolidated. From the pre-processed data, Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) recurrent neural networks were used to perform data prediction at the one and three day interval. These models were evaluated using the mean square error (MSE), obtaining results between 0.00010 and 0.00037 on test data one day ahead, and from 0.00017 to 0.00042 three days ahead. However, based on the results obtained, it was observed that the developed model obtained a promising forecasting performance for all the commodities evaluated. As a main contribution, there is the consolidation of databases that can be used in future scientific research. Furthermore, based on its interpretation, it can assist in decision making regarding the buying and selling of commodities to increase financial gains.

Keywords: Long Short-Term Memory; time series forecasting; commodities; technical analysis

1. Introduction

Commodities are goods produced on a large scale, and one of its main features is the fact that they are stocked without losing quality, for example, oil, coffee, soybeans and gold. Such commodities can be traded on the futures market, through futures contracts, which represent agreements to buy and sell for a certain price and period, similar to the stock market [1]. There are two classes of traders, traders in commodity futures markets: hedgers and speculators. Hedgers, who are usually producers, seek to hedge their future physical position in a commodity by selling futures contracts. Speculators, seeking speculative profits, take the buy side of these trades. Consequently, making accurate commodity price predictions can benefit both classes of traders by leveraging their economic potential, which in turn can indirectly bring benefits to the general population.

For Kim [2], it is commonly accepted that there is an inverse relationship between commodity prices such as oil, wheat, base metals, etc. and the economy: when commodity prices fall, the economic effects are positive. Fang *et al.* [3] points out that agricultural commodity price prediction is an essential task for a nation's political-economic landscape to emerge. Improving the accuracy of forecasting the future prices of agricultural commodities is important for investors, agricultural producers, and policy makers. This avoids risks and allows government departments to formulate appropriate agricultural regulations and policies.

Currently, there are several scientific researches that investigate the predictability of the commodity market as well as the stock market. Among them, one can highlight the studies of Rigatos *et al.* [4] who proposed a method to predict commodity prices using partial differential equation and Kalman filtering. Borovkova and Tsiamas [5] applied an LSTM neural network for stock forecasting. Yin and Yang [6] investigated the usability of technical indicators to directly forecast oil prices by comparing their performance with macroeconomic variables. They point out that technical indicators have superior prediction than macroeconomic variables, being substantial during recessions and expansions, and can effectively detect typical declines in oil returns near the peaks of business cycles.

Given the above, the research questions are: (i) is it possible to use data from international markets to estimate data from local markets in short term? (ii) can the LSTM recurrent neural network model be used as a predictor regarding the purchase and sale of commodities? This work presents the development of a computational artifact that uses the LSTM model and historical data of international and national prices, as well as their respective technical analysis indicators to estimate the future price of commodities within the Brazilian market. For this, the following specific aims were established: (i) to analyze the technical indicators most susceptible to the problem, (ii) to consolidate commodity data from the foreign and Brazilian financial market, (iii) to predict the price of the main commodities (Sugar, Cotton, Corn, Soybean and Wheat) in a one and three days ahead perspective. Therefore, the efficiency of the computational artifact is evaluated, which applies the LSTM model, in combination with the technical analysis for the prediction of short-term time series, considering data from foreign markets for the prediction of national data. It is expected that from the results obtained, investors, farmers, and members of government departments can have it as a parameter when buying and selling commodities, thus being able to leverage the economic potential of an individual, company, or country.

2. Literature Review

Commodities have always been a part of our daily lives and are now also considered an important asset class on the financial markets. Most commodities, including gold, oil, natural gas, etc., are now traded by futures contracts on stock exchanges [7]. The authors also point out that a futures forward contract between a buyer and a seller is an agreement in which the seller needs to deliver a specified quantity of commodity to the buyer at a future date at the previously agreed price.

According to Kent, Filbeck and Harris [8], another way to invest in commodities is to buy shares in companies whose main business model depends on different commodities and various physical market sectors. In this case, the return depends not only on the commodities, but also on the performance of the stock market. Kent, Filbeck and Harris [8] also suggest the Exchange-Traded Fund (ETF) as a form of investment. Commodity ETFs are investment vehicles for investors and traders who need to hedge risk or want to gain exposure to physical commodities, such as agricultural products, energy resources, and metals. Such contracts represent the commodity and track the performance of a specific precious commodity.

Carrara and Barros [9] in turn, evaluated how shocks from commodity prices, have impacted Brazilian inflation and how and how effectively the country's monetary policy has responded. According to the authors, the inflation rate is influenced by the expectations that the market forms about it and then by the behavior of supply-side prices. Deviations of inflation from expectations are attributable to unanticipated variations in supply shocks (exchange rate and commodities) and the output gap. Chisari, Mastronardi, and Romero [10], on the other hand, when studying the vulnerability of three Latin American economies (Argentina, Chile, and Brazil), report that Brazil would be the least affected by drops in commodity prices because its economy is more diversified and the manufacturing sector could benefit from lower prices of imported inputs. However, they point out that the increase in the prices of imported commodities could have a negative impact on the country's economy.

Charles Dow, editor of The Wall Street Journal at the end of the 19th century, published several articles on the stock market. His theories boil down to six principles and are known to this day as the basis of technical analysis, these being (i) prices discount everything, (ii) the market has three trends, (iii) the primary trend has three phases, (iv) volume must confirm the trend, (v) the trend needs to be confirmed by two indices, and (vi) a trend is valid until the market indicates a definite sign of reversal [11].

Given these principles, Martins [12] defines technical analysis as a way to study the past quotations of an asset. With it, one must find patterns and apply them to the future, creating performance forecasts such as price and duration of operations. Still, according to Martins [12], for the analysis to be done, it is necessary to divide the quotes into predetermined periods, such as days, weeks or months. It is also very common to use much shorter periods of minutes, such as one minute, two minutes and so on, usually up to a maximum of one hundred and twenty minutes.

Abe [11] also describes some basic principles of technical analysis, its concepts, characteristics, analysis patterns, tools and strategies, an explanation of capital management and operations organization. As indicators, the author cites moving averages, which are lines drawn within a price chart that move whenever a new price is entered into the chart, the Converging and Diverging Moving Average (CDM) which shows the difference between two Exponential Moving Averages (EMA), one fast (usually twelve periods) and one slow (usually twenty-six periods), and the Relative Strength Index (RSI), which measures how many days within a predetermined period were bullish and how many were bearish, showing the result on a scale of 0 to 100%.

On the other hand, Martins [12] categorized as basic indicators the moving averages, the relative strength index and the Fibonacci sequence for the creation of support and resistance lines. According to the author, they are used to make buying and selling decisions, where the price should fall to find support or rise until it finds resistance. Geometric figures can be drawn on a chart from the uptrend and downtrend lines, along with horizontal support and resistance lines. Such figures identify buy and sell points with target price projections and their most likely duration, among them, the triangle, the Flag or Flamula, the Rectangle, the Shoulder-Over-Head (OCO) and the Inverted Shoulder-Over-Head (OCOI) were cited.

As advanced indicators, Martins [12] categorized the (i) Needles - Didi Index, which indicate when prices should rise or fall rapidly; (ii) Bollinger Bands, which are the visual demonstration of the average and standard deviation of the prices of an asset within its own chart, the gaps that are empty intervals between the prices of the candles, i.e., price levels where there was no business; (iii) Reversal Islands, which occur when two gaps, one up and one down, occur in the same price range, separating the chart into two areas; (iv) Directional Movement, which defines the current trend of an asset, in addition to issuing buy and sell signals and predicting reversals; (v) Stochastic whose shows the value of the last closing price in relation to the maximum-minimum range of the analyzed period and the (vi) Parabolic Stop and Reverse (SAR) which is a trading system which is based on the premise that the investor will always be positioned in the market.

Silva [13] indicates that, nowadays, the financial success of rural activities depends heavily on the success in the commercialization of agricultural commodities. According to the author, the increase in agricultural production costs observed in recent years, associated with the stagnation of productivity of the main agricultural commodities have forced farmers to make an increasingly efficient planning of the marketing of production, making it necessary to understand the different mechanisms of marketing of agricultural commodities available and draw strategies to protect themselves from market fluctuations and to ensure greater profitability of agricultural activity.

Batrancea [14] verified the influence of fiscal pressure on the financial performance of 88 publicly traded companies in the energy sector (oil, gas and electricity) over a period of 16 years. When analyzing the data, the authors evaluated in fiscal terms, the financial result of the company through investments.

2.1. Time series forecasting

In the context of time series, there are various scenarios and applications that demand data management and communications between different devices, users and data in general. There are several solutions that address data management based on privacy [15] and information security rules [16], including decentralized implementation [17], smart environments [18,19], disruptive technologies [20], and vulnerability prediction [21].

There are several approaches that can be used successfully to time series forecasting, such as ensemble learning models [22], which combine weak learners to generate a model with greater predictive capability. Ensemble models can be combined in different ways, generating a wide range of possibilities, such as bagging [23], boosting [24], random subspace, stacked generalization [25], among others. Classical models can also be employed in this context, like those based on neuro-fuzzy systems [26,27], group method of data handling [28], and multilayer Perceptron [29]. In addition to prediction these approaches can be used for classification [30], through shallow layer structures [31–33] or deep learning strategies [34–37].

In scenarios that address the use of artificial intelligence mostly make use of devices and communications involving protocols and algorithms focused on the internet of things [38–40]. These applications extend to urban search and rescue [41], classifying garments [42], resource planning [43], industrial applications [44–46], communications [47,48], electrical power system [49,50], sustainability [51], fault analysis [52–55], and demand forecasting [56]. To build a learning system, it is necessary to define the task to be performed, the performance measure, and the training experience [57]. The type of knowledge to be learned must be extracted, have a representation of that knowledge, and a learning mechanism. Mitchell [58] points out that a performance measure often used in regression models is the mean squared error (MSE), which consists of the average of the squared prediction errors over all instances of the data set, in which the prediction error is the difference between the true value and the predicted value for an instance.

Goodfellow, Bengio, and Courville [59] point to three categories of intelligent algorithms for building learning systems. In the first, are the rule-based systems, in which from an input, a procedural processing is performed, generating an output. In the second are the classical machine learning algorithms, in which, starting from an input, manual processing of the features is performed and a mapping is produced from them, and upon completion of the mapping, the output is generated. Finally, there are the representation models, these process the features from learning the data, map the features and produce the output. The authors also add a subcategory to representation models, which besides containing all the features of a representation model, have a mechanism where more abstract features are extracted from additional layers inserted into the algorithm.

Neural networks that use the long short-term memory (LSTM) cell architecture have mechanisms that allow the neural network to accumulate information over a long duration of time [60–62]. According to Goodfellow, Bengio, and Courville [59], these neural networks have cells that are recurrently connected to each other and their input values can be accumulated in a state unit, which has its own linear loop, with weight controlled by the forget gate. Goodfellow, Bengio, and Courville [59] also point out that the output of the cell can be turned off by the output gate and that all the gate units have sigmoid nonlinearity, while the input unit can have any nonlinear compression function. The state unit can also be used as an extra input for the other gating units [63].

Srivastava *et al.* [64] indicates that with limited training data, many complex relationships between inputs and outputs can result from sampling noise, existing in the training set but not in the test set, which consequently generates the problem of overfitting, in which the neural network generalizes the training set but fails to make predictions for the test data. To solve such a problem, they proposed a technique called dropout, which in addition to significantly reducing overfitting, provides a way to combine different neural network architectures. It consists of temporarily disconnecting neural network units in the training phase, along with all their input and output connections, in a random manner,

with a predefined probability of disconnection. In the testing phase the units are always present, but the weights are multiplied by the defined probability. 197

Neural nets can have their training stage optimized [65]. The Adam algorithm from Kingma and Ba [66], which is derived from deadaptive moment estimation (DME), can be used to replace the classic stochastic gradient descent (SGD) to iteratively update the network weights. This algorithm uses a learning rate for each weight in the net and adapts separately while training occurs. Its main advantages, are that it is computationally efficient, appropriate for problems with sparse or noisy gradients, well suited for problems with a large number of data or parameters, and finally, low memory cost. 198-205

Deep layer-based models are increasingly being used for time series forecasting [67,68], especially the LSTM model for its ability to handle nonlinearities [69]. The combination with noise reduction techniques can considerably improve the ability of forecasting models [70]. When noise reduction techniques such as the wavelet transform are combined with high performance models such as LSTM superior prediction results can be obtained [71]. 206-210

3. Method 211

This chapter describes the most relevant aspects related to the application development, presenting the functional requirements (FR) and the non-functional requirements (NFR) in Table 6. It also presents details about the implementation, including the description of the data, the pre-processing performed, the neural network architectures used, and the steps followed in the development of the application. 212-216

Table 1. Requirements.

Specification	Code	Functional Requirements.
Functional	RF01	Allow loading of commodity data from an international financial market and a national market.
	RF02	Process technical indicators referring to commodities financial data.
	RF03	Predict the price of selected commodities in a 1- and 3-day ahead perspective.
	RF04	Display model performance via mean squared error.
	RF05	Display model performance, actual and predicted prices in a dashboard.
Non-Functional	RNF01	Be developed using the Python programming language.
	RNF02	Use the Pandas and Scikit-learn libraries for data pre-processing.
	RNF03	Use the Keras library for model development and training
	RNF04	Use the Jupyter Notebook platform to display the results.
	RNF05	Use the TA-Lib library to calculate the technical indicators.
	RNF06	Use the long short term memory neural network architecture to develop the predictive models.

In the application, it was used the databases made available by (i) Yahoo Finance [72] international indicators and (ii) University Of São Paulo [73] national indicators, having financial information of five agricultural commodities, being them sugar, cotton, corn, soybean and wheat, with daily frequency in the interval from January 03, 2005 to December 31, 2019. The variables contained in the databases provided by (i) are the opening, maximum, minimum, closing, closing adjustment, and volume values, while the database provided by (ii) contains the price of the day in *reais* and in dollars, in which the values 217-223

in *reais* were selected for this project. Table 2 presents the relation between the databases, indicating their respective codes for obtaining.

To add information to the national database, predictor models were created for the international commodities market that used as input variables the maximum, minimum and volume values, as well as technical analysis indicators. Their predictions were incorporated into the national database.

Table 2. Database Relationships.

Commodity	Yahoo Finance [72]	Code University Of São Paulo [73]
Sugar	SB = F	CEPEAESALQ Crystal sugar indicator
Cotton	CT = F	Cotton lint indicator CEPEAESALQ - 8 days term
Corn	ZC = F	Corn Indicator ESALQBM&FBOVESPA
Soybeans	ZS = F	Soybean indicator CEPEAESALQ - Paraná
Wheat	KW = F	Average price of wheat CEPEAESALQ - Paraná

From the TA-Lib technical analysis library, an open source library available for the programming languages C/C++, Java, Perl, Python and .NET which is widely used in software requiring technical analysis of financial market data, as it enables the calculation of technical analysis indicators in an automated manner [74]. Added to the database provided by Yahoo Finance [72] were 3 volume indicators, 30 momentum indicators, 3 volatility indicators, and 7 cycle detectors, for a total of 43 technical indicators. Table 3 presents the calculated technical indicators, classifying them by their type.

Table 3. Database Relationships.

Type	Calculated Indicators Yahoo Finance [72]
Cycle detectors	HT DCPERIOD, HT DCPHASE, INPHASE, QUADRATURE, SINE, LEADSINE, HT TRENDMODE
Momentum indicators	ADX, ADXR, APO, AROON, AROONOSC, BOP, CCI, CMO, DX, MACD, MACDEXT, MACDFIX, MIF, MINUS DI, MINUS DM, MOM, PLUS DI, PLUS DM, PPO, ROC, ROCP, ROCR, ROCR100, RSI, STOCH, STOCHF, STOCHRSI, TRIX, ULTOSC, WILLR
Volatility indicators	ATR, NATR, TRANGE
Volume indicators	AD, ADOSC, OBV

It is noteworthy that, as a parameter for the calculation of all indicators in the TA-Lib (volume, momentum, cycle, and volatility), the maximum and minimum values of closing were used. Once the calculations of technical indicators were performed, the most relevant characteristics were selected for the data prediction of each commodity. For this, the Pearson correlation was calculated between each technical indicator with the closing data of the day. This correlation indicates the strength of the linear association between two variables

$$r = \frac{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sum_i (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}, \quad (1)$$

where r is Pearson's correlation coefficient, x_i the values of variable x in a sample, \bar{x} the mean of the values of variable x , y_i the values of variable y , and \bar{y} the mean of the values of variable y .

4. Results of Application

The indicators whose correlation module was higher than 0.15 were kept, since by using such a value, a considerable amount of technical indicators were kept, selecting those that stood out the most. Table 4 describes the coefficients found for five technical indicators referring to the closing market values of commodities for the database made available by Yahoo Finance [72]. With this, data cleaning was performed, which excluded the first few rows of the dataset that contained the null value due to preprocessing of the technical indicators.

Table 4. Pearson correlation coefficient on international market data.

Indicator / Commodity	Sugar	Cotton	Corn	Soybeans	Wheat
NATR	0.31008719	0.35868731	0.31442310	0.28694566	0.11916946
OBV	0.45523242	0.16162160	0.23452564	0.30119409	0.59871290
MINUS DM	0.58859266	0.63013850	0.50869227	0.38265851	0.59956594
TRANGE	0.62805229	0.69649601	0.56482108	0.47438842	0.47674641
PLUS DM	0.73745874	0.83559670	0.67304095	0.61342416	0.75163947

Observing Table 4, one notices that the NATR indicator obtained a correlation index lower than 0.15 for the wheat commodity, and was excluded from the database. After selecting the technical indicators with correlation greater than 0.15 and performing the data cleaning, the data normalization technique was employed. This step defined a range of values for the data aiming to reduce the difference between the lowest and the highest value of the set.

$$y = \frac{(x - x_{min}) * (d_{max} - d_{min})}{x_{max} - x_{min}} + d_{min} \quad (2)$$

where, y represents the normalized value, x the value to be normalized, x_{max} refers to the maximum value to be normalized, x_{min} to the minimum value to be normalized, d_{max} to the maximum desired value and d_{min} to the minimum desired value. In this project, 1 (one) was used as the maximum desired value and 0 (zero) for the minimum desired value.

After the data normalization step, the set provided by Yahoo Finance [72] was divided into 20% for training and 80% for testing. With this, it became possible to build and train the predictive models for commodities within the international market. The architecture of these models consisted of an LSTM layer with 128 units and 20% dropout, followed by an LSTM layer with 256 units and 20% dropout. Another LSTM layer with 256 units, a fully connected layer (Dense) with 256 neurons and 20% dropout, a 128-unit LSTM layer, a 128-unit fully connected layer with 20% dropout. And finally a fully connected layer with one neuron and the rectified linear unit (ReLU) activation function [75]. This architecture can be visualized graphically in Figure 1.

Once the training stage was concluded, the predictor models for the international market were evaluated using as performance metric the mean squared error (MSE), whose results for all commodities used, with predictions for 1 and 3 days ahead are described in Table 5. Finally, such models were used to generate a database of international commodity market predictions on the test set.

The MSE indicates how close the values of two curves are, being zero the smallest possible value, which indicates total overlap, and one the maximum possible value, which




Figure 1. Proposed model for predictions at the international level.

Table 5. MSE of the neural network models referring to the international market.

Commodity	Training phase: 1 day ahead	Test phase: 1 day ahead	Training phase: 3 days ahead	Test phase: 3 days ahead
Sugar	0.00040	0.00228	0.00073	0.00172
Cotton	0.00062	0.00011	0.00139	0.00022
Corn	0.00039	0.00062	0.00114	0.00118
Soybeans	0.00062	0.00113	0.00165	0.00308
Wheat	0.00048	0.00056	0.00108	0.00153

indicates the worst overlap. It can be verified through Table 5 that for all commodities the MSE value was below 0.005, indicating that the prediction curves were close to the actual price curves, with cotton standing out, which obtained the lowest MSE values in the test phase, for both predictions of 1 and 3 days ahead.

To establish the indicators for the national market, similar processes were performed on the database made available by the University of São Paulo [73]. Figure ?? demonstrates the flow followed to make the predictions about the national commodity market. For the national market database, 13 moment indicators and 7 cycle detectors were added, totaling 20 technical indicators. The difference in the number of technical indicators was due to the number of characteristics made available by each database. Table 6 presents the technical indicators used, classifying them by type.

Table 6. Technical indicators calculated on the national database, classified by their type.

Indicators	National (University Of São Paulo) [73]
Cycle Detectors	HT DCPERIOD, HT DCPHASE, INPHASE, QUADRATURE, SINE, LEADSINE, HT TRENDMODE
Moment Indicators	APO, CMO, MACD, MACDEXT, MACDFIX, MOM, PPO, ROC, ROCP, ROCR100, RSI, STOCHRSI, TRIX
Volatility Indicators	Not applicable
Volume Indicators	Not applicable

These indicators also underwent the selection process, using Pearson's coefficient as a criterion. Table 7 shows the correlation coefficients for 5 technical indicators referring to the database made available by the University of São Paulo [73].

From Table 7, it can be seen that the correlation values for the technical indicators RSI, for the commodity cotton and HT DCPERIOD, for the commodities cotton and wheat did not reach an index greater than 0.15, being excluded from the national database for the respective commodities. Finally, these data also went through the normalization process, being scaled to the closed interval of 0 to 1.


With the data from the University of São Paulo [73] normalized, they were paired with the predicted data for the international commodities market. With this, the input set was formed for the predictive models for the national market. These data in turn were

Table 7. Pearson's correlation coefficient on the national market data.

Indicator / Commodity	Sugar	Cotton	Corn	Soybeans	Wheat
RSI	0.18509653	0.08100763	0.30984300	0.30517003	0.16748187
APO	0.19549568	0.16702112	0.26767576	0.28277952	0.23069744
MACDFIX	0.26530357	0.21047608	0.34258186	0.38902278	0.31027552
HT DCPERIOD	0.27262300	0.10624508	0.27997955	0.25604055	0.02854838
TRIX	0.43366235	0.21416214	0.46843084	0.54283840	0.47789509

again normalized between 0 and 1, for the adjustment of the predictions, and finally, were subdivided into two sets, being 80% of the data for training and 20% for testing.

From this, the predictive models for the national commodities market were created, composed of an LSTM layer with 128 units and dropout of 20%, followed by an LSTM layer with 256 units, a fully connected layer with 256 neurons and dropout of 20%, an LSTM layer of 128 units, a fully connected layer of 128 units with dropout of 20% and finally, a fully connected layer with one neuron and ReLU activation function. The described model can be visualized graphically in Figure 2.

**Figure 2.** Proposed model for nationwide predictions.

This model in turn also underwent the evaluation process, using as performance metric the MSE, whose results for all commodities used, with predictions for 1 and 3 days ahead can be found in Table 8. With this, it was possible to make the predictions for the national commodities market, fulfilling the proposed objective.

Table 8. MSE of the neural network models referring to the national market.

Commodity	Training phase: 1 day ahead	Test phase: 1 day ahead	Training phase: 3 days ahead	Test phase: 3 days ahead
Sugar	0.00038	0.00019	0.00027	0.00017
Cotton	0.00023	0.00010	0.00025	0.00015
Corn	0.00082	0.00027	0.00030	0.00030
Soybeans	0.00047	0.00037	0.00045	0.00042
Wheat	0.00028	0.00016	0.00021	0.00017

From the results described in Tables 7 and 8, the high predictive power of the models developed is remarkable, with emphasis on the cotton commodity, which obtained the lowest MSE values for both predictions referring to the test data. Figure 3 shows the graphs of the predictions found by the neural network models at the international level in comparison with the actual data in the test set, and Figure 4 shows the graphs of the predictions found by the models at the national level, in comparison with the actual values, again in the test set.

In Figure 3 it can be observed that, despite the model having achieved good predictions, in some situations the predictions curve shifts in relation to the actual data, especially for




Figure 3. Model predictions at the international level.

the soybean commodity, which presented the worst predictions for 3 days ahead. This situation confirms the evaluation obtained by the MSE performance metric, in which soybean presented the worst result, with a value of 0.0308 for 3-day ahead predictions.

324

325

326

It is noteworthy that for the international market data, only 20% of the data set was used for training, which may have influenced such deviations in the prediction curve. On the other hand, in Figure 4 the prediction curves have a small displacement in relation to the real data, again confirming the data presented by the performance metric and indicating that the methodology presented in this work is appropriate to perform the prediction of agricultural commodities.

5. Conclusion

The forecasting of agricultural commodity prices is an important economic task and impacts several social classes, being directly or indirectly affected. Aiming to obtain accurate predictions in this area, this paper presented an approach for the prediction of future prices of agricultural commodities using technical analysis and machine learning indicators. For this purpose, two databases were used, which contained financial information about the commodities sugar, cotton, corn, soybeans, and wheat, with daily frequency data from January 3, 2005 to December 31, 2019. These databases went through the processes of cleaning, pre-processing, and subdividing the data to be used for training and evaluating the models developed. The project was developed using Python programming language, using the libraries Pandas and Scikit-learn for data preprocessing, TA-Lib for the calculation of technical indicators, Keras for model development and training, and the Jupyter Notebook platform to display the results. These technologies proved to be effective, fulfilling their purpose.

During the course of the project, 43 technical indicators were added to the international database. In the national database, 20 technical indicators were added. These indicators went through a selective process, which kept in the databases those whose Pearson correlation module was greater than 0.15 in relation to the market closing data. With this, the data were normalized, being scaled to the closed interval from 0 to 1. Once these processes were completed, the international database was divided into 20% for training and 80% for testing. This database was then used to train the predictive models for the international commodities market. These models in turn generated predictions for the test data, and were coupled to the database of national commodities. From this, the national database was normalized again, and then divided into 80% for training and 20% for testing. Finally, the predictive models were created for this database, which obtained results between 0.00010 and 0.00037 RSM in the test data for 1 day ahead and 0.00015 to 0.00041 RSM in the test data for 3 days ahead, indicating a good prediction performance for all commodities evaluated, concluding the project's objective.

Regarding related work, this project presented an approach which unified technical analysis, the subject of study by Wang, Liu, and Wu [76], with machine learning algorithms, the subject of study by Zhang *et al.* [77] and Fang *et al.* [3]. In addition, this project stood out for the use of neural networks with LSTM architecture and the use of predictions on data from international markets to make predictions within the domestic commodity market. Also in relation to related works, it is noteworthy that only the work of Wang, Liu, and Wu [76] made use of technical indicators, which did not perform short-term predictions. Thus, it can be inferred that this work contributed to the literature by presenting a study that used machine learning algorithms in combination with technical analysis indicators for the prediction of short-term time series, making use of data from international and domestic markets for the prediction of commodity prices within the Brazilian market.

Finally, it is emphasized that this work was limited to only five commodities, however, it is suggested as extensions: (i) the use of this approach to predict non-agricultural commodities, such as gold and oil, (ii) the use and improvement of the methodology developed for predictions in different time intervals, with frequency higher and lower than that presented in this work, (iii) the improvement of the models developed through the insertion of other machine learning techniques and (iv) the development of a software that uses the proposed approach to make predictions about the future market of commodities.




Figure 4. Model predictions at the national level.

Author Contributions: Writing—original draft, methodology, and formal analysis, Gabriel Schneider de Jesus; Writing—review and editing, supervision, Aurélio Faustino Hoppe; Supervision, Andreza Sartori; Project administration, Valderi Reis Quietinho Leithardt.

379
380
381

Funding: This work was supported by national funds through the Foundation for Science and Technology, I.P. (Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology) by the project UIDB/05064/2020 (VALORIZA—Research Center for Endogenous Resource Valorization), and Project UIDB/04111/2020, ILIND—Lusophone Institute of Investigation and Development, under project COFAC/ILIND/COPELABS/3/2020.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Acuff, S.F.; Amlung, M.; Dennhardt, A.A.; MacKillop, J.; Murphy, J.G. Experimental manipulations of behavioral economic demand for addictive commodities: A meta-analysis. *Addiction* **2020**, *115*, 817–831. doi:10.1111/add.14865.
2. Kim, M. How the financial market can dampen the effects of commodity price shocks. *European Economic Review* **2020**, *121*, 103340. doi:10.1016/j.eurocorev.2019.103340.
3. Fang, Y.; Guan, B.; Wu, S.; Heravi, S. Optimal forecast combination based on ensemble empirical mode decomposition for agricultural commodity futures prices. *Journal of Forecasting* **2020**, *39*, 877–886. doi:10.1002/for.2665.
4. Rigatos, G.; Siano, P.; Ghosh, T.; Ding, Y. Forecasting of commodities prices using a multi-factor PDE model and Kalman filtering. *IET Cyber-Physical Systems: Theory & Applications* **2018**, *3*, 232–245. doi:10.1049/iet-cps.2018.5064.
5. Borovkova, S.; Tsiamas, I. An ensemble of LSTM neural networks for high-frequency stock market classification. *Journal of Forecasting* **2019**, *38*, 600–619. doi:10.1002/for.2585.
6. Yin, L.; Yang, Q. Predicting the oil prices: Do technical indicators help? *Energy Economics* **2016**, *56*, 338–350. doi:10.1016/j.eneco.2016.03.017.
7. Dempster, M.; Madan, D.B.; Cont, R. *Commodities*; New York: Taylor & Francis Group, LLC, 2016; p. 703.
8. Baker, H.K.; Filbeck, G.; Harris, J.H. *Commodities: Markets, performance, and strategies*; Oxford University Press, 2018; p. 680.
9. Carrara, A.F.; Barros, G.S.d.C. Choques de oferta e política monetária na economia brasileira: uma análise do impacto dos preços das commodities na inflação entre 2002 e 2014. *Nova Economia* **2020**, *29*, 757–794. doi:10.1590/0103-6351/4070.
10. Chisari, O.O.; Mastronardi, L.J.; Romero, C.A. Commodities prices and critical parameters for macroeconomic performance: a CGE analysis for Argentina, Brazil and Chile. *Estudios económicos* **2019**, *36*, 5–30.
11. Abe, M. *Manual de análise técnica: essência e estratégias avançadas: tudo o que um investidor precisa saber para prosperar na Bolsa de valores até em tempos de crise*; Novatec Editora, 2018.
12. Martins, C. *Os supersinais da análise técnica: guia para investimentos lucrativos na bolsa*; Elsevier, 2010.
13. Silva, G.B.P.d. Comercialização de commodities agrícolas: principais mecanismos. Instituto Agro. <https://institutoagro.com.br/commodities-agricolas/>, accessed on September 1, 2022.
14. Batrancea, L.; Rus, M.I.; Masca, E.S.; Morar, I.D. Fiscal Pressure as a Trigger of Financial Performance for the Energy Industry: An Empirical Investigation across a 16-Year Period. *Energies* **2021**, *14*. doi:10.3390/en14133769.
15. Silva, L.A.; Leithardt, V.R.Q.; Rolim, C.O.; González, G.V.; Geyer, C.F.R.; Silva, J.S. PRISER: Managing Notification in Multiples Devices with Data Privacy Support. *Sensors* **2019**, *19*. doi:10.3390/s19143098.
16. Lopes, H.; Pires, I.M.; Sánchez San Blas, H.; García-Ovejero, R.; Leithardt, V. PriADA: Management and Adaptation of Information Based on Data Privacy in Public Environments. *Computers* **2020**, *9*. doi:10.3390/computers9040077.
17. Sestrem Ochôa, I.; Silva, L.A.; de Mello, G.; Alves da Silva, B.; de Paz, J.F.; Villarrubia González, G.; Garcia, N.M.; Reis Quietinho Leithardt, V. PRICHAIN: A Partially Decentralized Implementation of UbiPri Middleware Using Blockchain. *Sensors* **2019**, *19*. doi:10.3390/s19204483.
18. Cesconetto, J.; Augusto Silva, L.; Bortoluzzi, F.; Navarro-Cáceres, M.; A. Zeferino, C.; R. Q. Leithardt, V. PRIPRO—Privacy Profiles: User Profiling Management for Smart Environments. *Electronics* **2020**, *9*. doi:10.3390/electronics9091519.
19. Martins, J.A.; Ochôa, I.S.; Silva, L.A.; Mendes, A.S.; González, G.V.; De Paz Santana, J.; Leithardt, V.R.Q. PRIPRO: A Comparison of Classification Algorithms for Managing Receiving Notifications in Smart Environments. *Applied Sciences* **2020**, *10*. doi:10.3390/app10020502.
20. Suzin, J.C.; Zeferino, C.A.; Leithardt, V.R.Q. Digital Statelessness. In Proceedings of the New Trends in Disruptive Technologies, Tech Ethics and Artificial Intelligence; de Paz Santana, J.F.; de la Iglesia, D.H.; López Rivero, A.J., Eds.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, 2022; pp. 178–189.
21. Apolinário, V.A.; Bianco, G.D.; Duarte, D.; Leithardt, V.R.Q. Exploring Feature Extraction to Vulnerability Prediction Problem. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Disruptive Technologies, Tech Ethics and Artificial Intelligence. Springer, 2023, pp. 79–90.
22. Ribeiro, M.H.D.M.; Stefenon, S.F.; de Lima, J.D.; Nied, A.; Mariani, V.C.; Coelho, L.S. Electricity Price Forecasting Based on Self-Adaptive Decomposition and Heterogeneous Ensemble Learning. *Energies* **2020**, *13*, 5190. doi:10.3390/en13195190.
23. Stefenon, S.F.; Bruns, R.; Sartori, A.; Meyer, L.H.; Ovejero, R.G.; Leithardt, V.R.Q. Analysis of the Ultrasonic Signal in Polymeric Contaminated Insulators Through Ensemble Learning Methods. *IEEE Access* **2022**, *10*, 33980–33991. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3161506.

24. Stefenon, S.F.; Ribeiro, M.H.D.M.; Nied, A.; Yow, K.C.; Mariani, V.C.; dos Santos Coelho, L.; Seman, L.O. Time series forecasting using ensemble learning methods for emergency prevention in hydroelectric power plants with dam. *Electric Power Systems Research* **2022**, *202*, 107584. doi:10.1016/j.epsr.2021.107584. 438
439
440
25. Stefenon, S.F.; Ribeiro, M.H.D.M.; Nied, A.; Mariani, V.C.; Coelho, L.S.; Leithardt, V.R.Q.; Silva, L.A.; Seman, L.O. Hybrid Wavelet Stacking Ensemble Model for Insulators Contamination Forecasting. *IEEE Access* **2021**, *9*, 66387–66397. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3076410. 441
442
443
26. Stefenon, S.F.; Freire, R.Z.; Coelho, L.S.; Meyer, L.H.; Grebogi, R.B.; Buratto, W.G.; Nied, A. Electrical Insulator Fault Forecasting Based on a Wavelet Neuro-Fuzzy System. *Energies* **2020**, *13*, 484. doi:10.3390/en13020484. 444
445
27. Stefenon, S.F.; Kasburg, C.; Freire, R.Z.; Silva Ferreira, F.C.; Bertol, D.W.; Nied, A. Photovoltaic power forecasting using wavelet Neuro-Fuzzy for active solar trackers. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems* **2021**, *40*, 1083–1096. doi:10.3233/JIFS-201279. 446
447
28. Stefenon, S.F.; Ribeiro, M.H.D.M.; Nied, A.; Mariani, V.C.; Coelho, L.S.; da Rocha, D.F.M.; Grebogi, R.B.; Ruano, A.E.B. Wavelet group method of data handling for fault prediction in electrical power insulators. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems* **2020**, *123*, 106269. doi:10.1016/j.ijepes.2020.106269. 448
449
450
29. Medeiros, A.; Sartori, A.; Stefenon, S.F.; Meyer, L.H.; Nied, A. Comparison of artificial intelligence techniques to failure prediction in contaminated insulators based on leakage current. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems* **2022**, *42*, 3285–3298. doi:10.3233/JIFS-211126. 451
452
453
30. Vieira, J.C.; Sartori, A.; Stefenon, S.F.; Perez, F.L.; de Jesus, G.S.; Leithardt, V.R.Q. Low-Cost CNN for Automatic Violence Recognition on Embedded System. *IEEE Access* **2022**, *10*, 25190–25202. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3155123. 454
455
31. Stefenon, S.F.; Corso, M.P.; Nied, A.; Perez, F.L.; Yow, K.C.; Gonzalez, G.V.; Leithardt, V.R.Q. Classification of insulators using neural network based on computer vision. *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution* **2021**, *16*, 1096–1107. doi:10.1049/gtd.12353. 456
457
32. Corso, M.P.; Perez, F.L.; Stefenon, S.F.; Yow, K.C.; Ovejero, R.G.; Leithardt, V.R.Q. Classification of Contaminated Insulators Using k-Nearest Neighbors Based on Computer Vision. *Computers* **2021**, *10*, 112. doi:10.3390/computers10090112. 458
459
33. Stefenon, S.F.; Seman, L.O.; Sopelsa Neto, N.F.; Meyer, L.H.; Nied, A.; Yow, K.C. Echo state network applied for classification of medium voltage insulators. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems* **2022**, *134*, 107336. doi:10.1016/j.ijepes.2021.107336. 460
461
462
34. Stefenon, S.F.; Singh, G.; Yow, K.C.; Cimatti, A. Semi-ProtoPNet Deep Neural Network for the Classification of Defective Power Grid Distribution Structures. *Sensors* **2022**, *22*, 4859. doi:10.3390/s22134859. 463
464
35. dos Santos, G.H.; Seman, L.O.; Bezerra, E.A.; Leithardt, V.R.Q.; Mendes, A.S.; Stefenon, S.F. Static Attitude Determination Using Convolutional Neural Networks. *Sensors* **2021**, *21*, 6419. doi:10.3390/s21196419. 465
466
36. Branco, N.W.; Cavalca, M.S.M.; Stefenon, S.F.; Leithardt, V.R.Q. Wavelet LSTM for Fault Forecasting in Electrical Power Grids. *Sensors* **2022**, *22*, 8323. doi:10.3390/s22218323. 467
468
37. Stefenon, S.F.; Yow, K.C.; Nied, A.; Meyer, L.H. Classification of distribution power grid structures using inception v3 deep neural network. *Electrical Engineering* **2022**, pp. 1–13. doi:10.1007/s00202-022-01641-1. 469
470
38. Leithardt, V.; Santos, D.; Silva, L.; Viel, F.; Zeferino, C.; Silva, J. A Solution for Dynamic Management of User Profiles in IoT Environments. *IEEE Latin America Transactions* **2020**, *18*, 1193–1199. doi:10.1109/TLA.2020.9099759. 471
472
39. Viel, F.; Silva, L.A.; Valderi Leithardt, R.Q.; Zeferino, C.A. Internet of Things: Concepts, Architectures and Technologies. In Proceedings of the 2018 13th IEEE International Conference on Industry Applications (INDUSCON), 2018, pp. 909–916. doi:10.1109/INDUSCON.2018.8627298. 473
474
475
40. Mendes, A.S.; Silva, L.A.; Blas, H.S.S.; Jiménez Bravo, D.M.; Leithardt, V.R.O.; González, G.V. WCIoT: A Smart Sensors Orchestration for Public Bathrooms using LoRaWAN. In Proceedings of the 2021 Telecoms Conference (ConfTELE), 2021, pp. 1–5. doi:10.1109/ConfTELE50222.2021.9435574. 476
477
478
41. García, R.M.; de la Iglesia, D.H.; de Paz, J.F.; Leithardt, V.R.Q.; Villarrubia, G. Urban Search and Rescue with Antipheromone Robot Swarm architecture. In Proceedings of the 2021 Telecoms Conference (ConfTELE), 2021, pp. 1–6. doi:10.1109/ConfTELE50222.2021.9435557. 479
480
481
42. Leithardt, V.R.Q. Classifying garments from fashion-MNIST dataset through CNNs. *Advances in Science, Technology and Engineering Systems Journal* **2021**, *6*, 989–994. 482
483
43. de Oliveira, J.R.; Stefenon, S.F.; Klaar, A.C.R.; Yamaguchi, C.K.; da Silva, M.P.; Salvador Bizotto, B.L.; Silva Ogoshi, R.C.; Gequelin, E.d.F. Enterprise Resource Planning and Customer Relationship Management Through Management of the Supply Chain. *Interciencia* **2018**, *43*, 784–791. 484
485
486
44. Stefenon, S.F.; Furtado Neto, C.S.; Coelho, T.S.; Nied, A.; Yamaguchi, C.K.; Yow, K.C. Particle swarm optimization for design of insulators of distribution power system based on finite element method. *Electrical Engineering* **2022**, *104*, 615–622. doi:10.1007/s00202-021-01332-3. 487
488
489
45. Matteussi, K.J.; dos Anjos, J.C.S.; Leithardt, V.R.Q.; Geyer, C.F.R. Performance Evaluation Analysis of Spark Streaming Backpressure for Data-Intensive Pipelines. *Sensors* **2022**, *22*. doi:10.3390/s22134756. 490
491
46. Itajiba, J.A.; Varnier, C.A.C.; Cabral, S.H.L.; Stefenon, S.F.; Leithardt, V.R.Q.; Ovejero, R.G.; Nied, A.; Yow, K.C. Experimental Comparison of Preferential vs. Common Delta Connections for the Star-Delta Starting of Induction Motors. *Energies* **2021**, *14*, 1318. doi:10.3390/en14051318. 492
493
494
47. da Cruz, F.C.; Stefenon, S.F.; Furtado, R.G.; Rocca, G.A.D.; Ferreira, F.C.S. Financial Feasibility Study for Radio Installation Link on the Mobile Telephone Network. *Revista GEINTEC-Gestão, Inovação e Tecnologias* **2018**, *8*, 4447–4460. 495
496

48. Righez, F.O.; Dela Rocca, G.A.; Arruda, P.A.; Stefenon, S.F. Analysis of Technical and Financial Viability of a Fixed Site Internet Broadband. *Revista GEINTEC-Gestão, Inovação e Tecnologias* **2016**, *6*, 3537–3552. 497
49. Stefenon, S.F.; Seman, L.O.; Pavan, B.A.; Ovejero, R.G.; Leithardt, V.R.Q. Optimal design of electrical power distribution grid spacers using finite element method. *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution* **2022**, *16*, 1865–1876. doi:10.1049/gtd2.12425. 498
50. Corso, M.P.; Stefenon, S.F.; Couto, V.F.; Cabral, S.H.L.; Nied, A. Evaluation of Methods for Electric Field Calculation in Transmission Lines. *IEEE Latin America Transactions* **2018**, *16*, 2970–2976. doi:10.1109/TLA.2018.8804264. 500
51. Muniz, R.N.; Stefenon, S.F.; Buratto, W.G.; Nied, A.; Meyer, L.H.; Finardi, E.C.; Kühl, R.M.; Sá, J.A.S.d.; Rocha, B.R.P.d. Tools for Measuring Energy Sustainability: A Comparative Review. *Energies* **2020**, *13*, 2366. doi:10.3390/en13092366. 501
52. Stefenon, S.F.; Americo, J.P.; Meyer, L.H.; Grebogi, R.B.; Nied, A. Analysis of the Electric Field in Porcelain Pin-Type Insulators via Finite Elements Software. *IEEE Latin America Transactions* **2018**, *16*, 2505–2512. doi:10.1109/TLA.2018.8795129. 502
53. Stefenon, S.F.; Oliveira, J.R.; Coelho, A.S.; Meyer, L.H. Diagnostic of Insulators of Conventional Grid Through LabVIEW Analysis of FFT Signal Generated from Ultrasound Detector. *IEEE Latin America Transactions* **2017**, *15*, 884–889. doi:10.1109/TLA.2017.7910202. 503
54. Sopelsa Neto, N.F.; Stefenon, S.F.; Meyer, L.H.; Bruns, R.; Nied, A.; Seman, L.O.; Gonzalez, G.V.; Leithardt, V.R.Q.; Yow, K.C. A Study of Multilayer Perceptron Networks Applied to Classification of Ceramic Insulators Using Ultrasound. *Applied Sciences* **2021**, *11*, 1592. doi:10.3390/app11041592. 504
55. Stefenon, S.F.; Silva, M.C.; Bertol, D.W.; Meyer, L.H.; Nied, A. Fault diagnosis of insulators from ultrasound detection using neural networks. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems* **2019**, *37*, 6655–6664. doi:10.3233/JIFS-190013. 505
56. Jiménez Bravo, D.M.; Murciego, A.L.; Crocker, P.; Leithardt, V.R.Q.; de Paz, J.F. Can user data improve Bike Sharing Systems demand forecasting? In Proceedings of the 2021 Telecoms Conference (ConfTELE), 2021, Vol. 1, pp. 1–6. doi:10.1109/ConfTELE50222.2021.9435497. 506
57. Stefenon, S.F.; Grebogi, R.B.; Freire, R.Z.; Nied, A.; Meyer, L.H. Optimized Ensemble Extreme Learning Machine for Classification of Electrical Insulators Conditions. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics* **2020**, *67*, 5170–5178. doi:10.1109/TIE.2019.2926044. 507
58. Mitchell, T.M. Does machine learning really work? *AI magazine* **1997**, *18*, 11–11. 508
59. Goodfellow, I.; Bengio, Y.; Courville, A. *Deep Learning*; Massachusetts Institute of Technology: The MIT Press, 2016; p. 775. 509
60. Wang, M.; Wang, Z.; Lu, J.; Lin, J.; Wang, Z. E-LSTM: An Efficient Hardware Architecture for Long Short-Term Memory. *IEEE Journal on Emerging and Selected Topics in Circuits and Systems* **2019**, *9*, 280–291. doi:10.1109/JETCAS.2019.2911739. 510
61. Alhussein, M.; Aurangzeb, K.; Haider, S.I. Hybrid CNN-LSTM Model for Short-Term Individual Household Load Forecasting. *IEEE Access* **2020**, *8*, 180544–180557. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3028281. 511
62. Bandara, K.; Bergmeir, C.; Hewamalage, H. LSTM-MSNet: Leveraging Forecasts on Sets of Related Time Series With Multiple Seasonal Patterns. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* **2021**, *32*, 1586–1599. doi:10.1109/TNNLS.2020.2985720. 512
63. Massaoudi, M.; Chihi, I.; Sidhom, L.; Trabelsi, M.; Refaat, S.S.; Abu-Rub, H.; Oueslati, F.S. An Effective Hybrid NARX-LSTM Model for Point and Interval PV Power Forecasting. *IEEE Access* **2021**, *9*, 36571–36588. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3062776. 513
64. Srivastava, N.; Hinton, G.; Krizhevsky, A.; Sutskever, I.; Salakhutdinov, R. Dropout: a simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting. *The journal of machine learning research* **2014**, *15*, 1929–1958. 514
65. Stefenon, S.F.; Branco, N.W.; Nied, A.; Bertol, D.W.; Finardi, E.C.; Sartori, A.; Meyer, L.H.; Grebogi, R.B. Analysis of training techniques of ANN for classification of insulators in electrical power systems. *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution* **2020**, *14*, 1591–1597. doi:10.1049/iet-gtd.2019.1579. 515
66. Kingma, D.P.; Ba, J. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. Amsterdam Machine Learning lab. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of International Conference on Learning Representations, 2015. 516
67. Stefenon, S.F.; Freire, R.Z.; Meyer, L.H.; Corso, M.P.; Sartori, A.; Nied, A.; Klaar, A.C.R.; Yow, K.C. Fault detection in insulators based on ultrasonic signal processing using a hybrid deep learning technique. *IET Science, Measurement & Technology* **2020**, *14*, 953–961. doi:10.1049/iet-smt.2020.0083. 517
68. Kasburg, C.; Stefenon, S.F. Deep Learning for Photovoltaic Generation Forecast in Active Solar Trackers. *IEEE Latin America Transactions* **2019**, *17*, 2013–2019. doi:10.1109/TLA.2019.9011546. 518
69. Fernandes, F.; Stefenon, S.F.; Seman, L.O.; Nied, A.; Ferreira, F.C.S.; Subtil, M.C.M.; Klaar, A.C.R.; Leithardt, V.R.Q. Long short-term memory stacking model to predict the number of cases and deaths caused by COVID-19. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems* **2022**, *6*, 6221–6234. doi:10.3233/JIFS-212788. 519
70. Sopelsa Neto, N.F.; Stefenon, S.F.; Meyer, L.H.; Ovejero, R.G.; Leithardt, V.R.Q. Fault Prediction Based on Leakage Current in Contaminated Insulators Using Enhanced Time Series Forecasting Models. *Sensors* **2022**, *22*, 6121. doi:10.3390/s22166121. 520
71. Stefenon, S.F.; Kasburg, C.; Nied, A.; Klaar, A.C.R.; Ferreira, F.C.S.; Branco, N.W. Hybrid deep learning for power generation forecasting in active solar trackers. *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution* **2020**, *14*, 5667–5674. doi:10.1049/iet-gtd.2020.0814. 521
72. Yahoo. Yahoo Finance: Stock Market. <https://finance.yahoo.com/>, accessed on September 1, 2022. 522
73. USP. University of São Paulo: Center for Advanced Studies in Applied Economics. <https://www.cepea.esalq.usp.br/br/>, accessed on September 14, 2022. 523
74. TicTacTec. TA-Lib: Technical Analysis Library. <https://ta-lib.org/>, accessed on September 1, 2022. 524
75. Stefenon, S.F.; Seman, L.O.; Schutel Furtado Neto, C.; Nied, A.; Seganfredo, D.M.; Garcia da Luz, F.; Sabino, P.H.; Torreblanca González, J.; Quietinho Leithardt, V.R. Electric Field Evaluation Using the Finite Element Method and Proxy Models for the Design of Stator Slots in a Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor. *Electronics* **2020**, *9*, 1975. doi:10.3390/electronics9111975. 525

-
76. Wang, Y.; Liu, L.; Wu, C. Forecasting commodity prices out-of-sample: Can technical indicators help? *International Journal of Forecasting* **2020**, *36*, 666–683. doi:10.1016/j.ijforecast.2019.08.004. 556
557
77. Zhang, D.; Chen, S.; Liwen, L.; Xia, Q. Forecasting Agricultural Commodity Prices Using Model Selection Framework With Time Series Features and Forecast Horizons. *IEEE Access* **2020**, *8*, 28197–28209. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2971591. 558
559