

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

# Grouping of Ankyloglossia According to Coryllos Anatomical Classification and Follow-Up Results for Breastfeeding: Single Center, Cross-Sectional Study

Mehmet Ali Narsat <sup>1\*</sup>, Abdulvahab Beygirci <sup>2</sup>, Gökçen Tuğçe Özdonmez <sup>2</sup>, Eren Yıldız <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pediatric Surgery, Kastamonu University Faculty of Medicine, Kastamonu 37150, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Department of Pediatrics, Kastamonu Training and Research Hospital, Kastamonu 37150, Turkey

<sup>3</sup> Department of Pediatrics, Kastamonu University Faculty of Medicine, Kastamonu 37150, Turkey

\* Correspondence: manarsat@kastamonu.edu.tr

**Abstract:** Ankyloglossia is a condition of limited tongue mobility caused by a short lingual frenulum. The diagnosis and treatment of ankyloglossia are still controversial. The main clinical problem encountered during breastfeeding is difficulty in sucking and its clinical reflections. This study aims to evaluate the newborn population born regarding ankyloglossia and to determine the results of frenotomy. We conducted an observational, cross-sectional study among newborns born in a tertiary hospital. We included all newborns born between January 1 and June 30, 2022. The study algorithm was determined before the defined dates and data were recorded during the screening period. The recorded data were retrospectively collected from the files. Within six months, 705 babies were born. Due to additional problems and other conditions that prevent breastfeeding, evaluable data of 207 (29.3%) infants could not be provided. Of the remaining 498 infants, 234 (33.2%) had ankyloglossia. Breastfeeding was unsuccessful before frenotomy in 12 Coryllos type-1 patients, and all had difficulty in sucking. Frenotomy was performed within the three-months follow-up period in all patients with complaints of inability to firmly grasp the breast, nipple slipping from the mouth, and nipple biting during the first 24 hours. In terms of breastfeeding problems, regardless of the anatomical typology, frenotomy can be performed safely in the early life with successful results. If deficiencies or difficulties in nursing is noticed in ankyloglossia patients even at the first control, frenotomy should be recommended in clinical conditions.

**Keywords:** ankyloglossia; breastfeeding; Coryllos; frenotomy; lingual frenulum; newborn; tongue tie

## 1. Introduction

Ankyloglossia or tongue tie is defined as limited tongue mobility caused by a short lingual frenulum [1]. The lingual frenulum is a submucosal or membranous connective tissue component at the base of the tongue [2]. Abnormal development or incomplete dissociation of this ligament in congenital developmental stages results in ankyloglossia [3].

The diagnosis and treatment of ankyloglossia are still controversial [4-7]. According to the methods used for diagnosis, the incidence of ankyloglossia varies between less than 1% and 46.3% [8-10]. Sometimes, the diagnosis may get unnoticed, and patients encounter treatment delays and clinical problems; and in other instances, the patients may receive unnecessary interventions [11]. The main clinical problem encountered during breastfeeding is difficulty in sucking and its clinical reflections. Intervention is recommended as a consensus in patients with significant tongue movement limitation [1]. There are often no nursing problems even in this group of patients [1]. Even if breastfeeding is successful, problems may occur in tooth development, speech, and

normal anatomical and functional development of the mouth and jaw structure at later ages [1,12].

This study aimed to characterize Kastamonu Training and Research Hospital newborn population according to the presence of ankyloglossia, determine its prevalence, characterize the types of ankyloglossia, decide whether or not it is clinically significant, and present the results of frenotomy procedures.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Study Plan

We conducted an observational and cross-sectional study of newborns born in a tertiary hospital that has approximately 1,500 deliveries per year and serves a population of roughly 300,000. We included all newborns born at Kastamonu Training and Research Hospital between January 1 and June 30, 2022. The study algorithm was determined before the defined dates and data were recorded during the screening period. The recorded data were retrospectively collected from the patient files.

### 2.2 Study Algorithm

Each newborn was subjected to neonatal examination by a pediatrician and pediatric surgeon. Corylloss groupings were noted in the neonatal examination records [13] (Table 1). Mothers of all babies born in Kastamonu Training and Research Hospital were given proper breastfeeding education and educational materials within national and international standards. Nutritional characteristics were recorded on the 1<sup>st</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, 28<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup>, and 90<sup>th</sup> days postpartum by conducting face-to-face interviews with the mother, monitoring at least one feeding, and re-examination. Pain during breastfeeding, bruises and skin sores on the breast, and mastitis were evaluated and recorded. The infants' bad latch, inability to grasp the breast deeply, nipple slipping out of the mouth, nipple biting, suction power, suction amount, weight gain, and frequent and short-term partial nursing were also recorded [13].

**Table 1.** Types of Coryllos Ankyloglossia [13]

**Type 1:** Insertion of the frenulum to the tip of the tongue

**Type 2:** Insertion of the frenulum slightly (2 to 4 mm) behind the tip of the tongue

**Type 3:** Thickened frenulum attached to the mid-tongue and the middle of the floor of the mouth, usually tighter and less elastic

**Type 4:** Thick, shiny, and very inelastic submucosal frenulum that restricts movement at the base of the tongue

Other problems that may cause feeding problems in babies were re-evaluated. Mothers had received education in proper nutrition with breast milk one more time. Frenotomy was performed on babies with ankyloglossia who did not have any additional problems that would cause nutritional problems in clinical conditions. Babies who underwent frenotomy were followed up until they were 90 days old.

### 2.3 Frenotomy Procedure

All frenotomies were performed by pediatric surgeons in clinical conditions. There was no local or general anesthetic used. The babies were immobilized. The frenotomy was carried out by raising the tongue and cutting the frenulum up to the base of the tongue with blunt-tipped Metzenbaum scissors, allowing full exploration with a threaded sublingual ligament retractor. After the frenotomy, the patients were kept under observation for two hours. A health professional observed babies during their first feeding.

### 2.4 Statistical Analysis

Categorical variables (sex, method of delivery, prematurity, presence of ankyloglossia, clinically significant ankyloglossia, and Coryllos classification) were expressed as frequencies and percentages. We compared the populations with and without frenotomy. Qualitative variables were compared with the Pearson's chi-square test. Significance was set as  $p < 0.05$ . To perform statistical analyses, we used the IBM SPSS program version 22.

### 3. Results

Within six months, 705 babies were born. Due to additional problems and other conditions that prevent breastfeeding, evaluable data of 207 (29.4%) infants could not be provided. Of the remaining 498 infants, 234 (33.2%) had ankyloglossia. Of the patients, 124 (52.0%) were boys, and 110 were girls (47.0%). Compared to 46 (19.65%) babies born by cesarean section, 188 (80.3%) were born by spontaneous vaginal delivery. Study groups were statistically similar in demographic characteristics, delivery method, birth weight, and sex ( $p>0.05$ ).

Coryllos type-3 was the most common (70.5%) tongue-tie appearance. Frenotomy was performed in 67 patients due to clinical nursing difficulties caused by ankyloglossia. The need for frenotomy differed significantly between Coryllos groups ( $p<0.001$ ) (Table 2).

**Table 2.** Coryllos groups and frenotomy distribution

|                         | Frenotomy  |             | Total       | p      |
|-------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
|                         | Done       | Not done    |             |        |
| <b>Corylloss type 1</b> | 14 (6.1%)  | 0           | 14 (6.1%)   |        |
| <b>Corylloss type 2</b> | 32 (13.7%) | 12 (5.1%)   | 44 (18.8%)  |        |
| <b>Corylloss type 3</b> | 15 (6.4%)  | 150 (64.1%) | 165 (70.5%) | <0.001 |
| <b>Corylloss type 4</b> | 6 (2.5%)   | 5 (2.1%)    | 11 (4.6%)   |        |
| <b>Total</b>            | 67 (28.7%) | 167 (71.3%) | 234 (100%)  |        |
| <i>Chi Square</i>       |            |             |             |        |

The nutritional characteristics of the patients are given in Table 3. Breastfeeding was unsuccessful before frenotomy in 12 Coryllos type-1 patients, and all had difficulty in sucking.

**Table 3.** Nutritional Characteristics

|                             | Type 1         | Type 2 | Type 3 | Type 4 | Total |    |
|-----------------------------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|----|
| <b>Unable to Breastfeed</b> | Day 1 (n=234)  | 12     | 8      | 3      | 0     | 23 |
|                             | Day 7 (n=211)  | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0     | 0  |
|                             | Day 28 (n=169) | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0     | 0  |
|                             | Day 60 (n=167) | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0     | 0  |
|                             | Day 90 (n=167) | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0     | 0  |
| <b>Weak Grasp</b>           | Day 1 (n=234)  | 12     | 8      | 3      | 0     | 23 |
|                             | Day 7 (n=211)  | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0     | 0  |
|                             | Day 28 (n=169) | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0     | 0  |
|                             | Day 60 (n=167) | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0     | 0  |

|                       |                |    |    |    |   |
|-----------------------|----------------|----|----|----|---|
|                       | Day 90 (n=167) | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 |
|                       | Day 1 (n=234)  | 14 | 32 | 15 | 6 |
|                       | Day 7 (n=211)  | 2  | 24 | 12 | 6 |
| <b>Poor Latch</b>     | Day 28 (n=169) | 0  | 0  | 2  | 2 |
|                       | Day 60 (n=167) | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 |
|                       | Day 90 (n=167) | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 |
|                       | Day 1 (n=234)  | 14 | 32 | 15 | 6 |
| <b>Nipple</b>         | Day 7 (n=211)  | 2  | 24 | 12 | 6 |
| <b>Slipping Out</b>   | Day 28 (n=169) | 0  | 0  | 2  | 2 |
| <b>of the Mouth</b>   | Day 60 (n=167) | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 |
|                       | Day 90 (n=167) | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 |
|                       | Day 1 (n=234)  | 14 | 32 | 15 | 6 |
|                       | Day 7 (n=211)  | 2  | 24 | 12 | 6 |
| <b>Nipple Biting</b>  | Day 28 (n=169) | 0  | 0  | 2  | 2 |
|                       | Day 60 (n=167) | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 |
|                       | Day 90 (n=167) | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 |
|                       | Day 1 (n=234)  | 14 | 32 | 15 | 6 |
|                       | Day 7 (n=211)  | 2  | 24 | 12 | 6 |
| <b>Weak Suction</b>   | Day 28 (n=169) | 0  | 0  | 2  | 2 |
|                       | Day 60 (n=167) | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 |
|                       | Day 90 (n=167) | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 |
|                       | Day 1 (n=234)  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 |
|                       | Day 7 (n=211)  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 |
| <b>Weight Loss</b>    | Day 28 (n=169) | 0  | 0  | 2  | 2 |
|                       | Day 60 (n=167) | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 |
|                       | Day 90 (n=167) | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 |
| <b>Frequent-Short</b> | Day 1 (n=234)  | 14 | 32 | 15 | 6 |
| <b>Feeding</b>        | Day 7 (n=211)  | 2  | 24 | 12 | 6 |

|           |                |    |    |    |   |
|-----------|----------------|----|----|----|---|
| Durations | Day 28 (n=169) | 0  | 0  | 2  | 2 |
|           | Day 60 (n=167) | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 |
|           | Day 90 (n=167) | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 |
| Frenotomy | Day 1 (n=234)  | 12 | 8  | 3  | 0 |
|           | Day 7 (n=211)  | 2  | 24 | 12 | 4 |
|           | Day 28 (n=169) | 0  | 0  | 0  | 2 |
| Procedure | Day 60 (n=167) | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 |
|           | Day 90 (n=167) | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 |

The mothers of babies with ankyloglossia did not experience pain while breastfeeding, bruises and skin sores on the breasts, and mastitis.

In addition, frenotomy was performed in all patients who complained of inability to grasp the breast deeply in the first 24 hours, nipple slipping out of the mouth, and nipple biting during the 3-month follow-up period. On the other hand, babies who could latch since the beginning did not need frenotomy due to nursing problems in the following period, regardless of the type. There were no early or late surgical complications and no need for re-frenotomy in patients who underwent frenotomy. During the 90-day follow-up, the patients who underwent frenotomy did not experience feeding problems.

#### 4. Discussion

The incidence of ankyloglossia in our study group was 33.2%. This rate is considerably higher than the 0.3% ankyloglossia rate in the study conducted by Çetinkaya et al. in 2011 examining general oral cavity anomalies [8]. In addition, different rates are seen in various studies, ranging from less than 1% to 46.3% [8,9,14,15]. These differences are due to varying diagnostic methods and criteria. For example, in the study of Maya-Enero et al., a similarly planned research to ours, the rate was 46.3% [9]. Maya-Enero et al. reported symptoms in 70.2% of patients [9]. In our study, the rate of symptomatic patients was 28.7%. The discrepancy in this result alone reveals that there is still no definite opinion. Especially in Corylloss Type 3 and Type 4 patient groups, the rates are higher than in other studies [8,14,15]. We determined the necessity of surgical intervention according to clinical features rather than the scales used [16-19]. Our results are consistent with the questions Manteli evaluated regarding function [18]. It is not certain that nursing problems will occur when ankyloglossia is present. However, frenotomy is beneficial if ankyloglossia is present in patients with feeding problems.

In study, we followed the patients for 90 days. This period is not enough to reveal all the consequences of ankyloglossia. However, the main finding in our study is that the complaints of babies who cannot latch, experience the nipple's slipping out of mouth, and nipple biting caused by ankyloglossia within the first 24 hours, continue regardless of type, and they need frenotomy for comfortable breastfeeding in follow-ups. Although our frenotomy results seem better compared to the literature, there is still not enough information about problems that may arise other than breastfeeding for a longer time [20-22].

Although some researchers asked mothers about maternal difficulties with breastfeeding, we did not observe such problems [1,23]. We can solve the problems of patients who bite nipples and cannot latch on effectively in the neonatal period using our bedside frenotomy procedure before breast damage ensues. Similarly, Messner's article of consensus in 2020 and in Emond's study in 2014 demonstrates that early frenotomy procedures prevent maternal problems [1, 24].

In our ankyloglossia patients, breastfeeding problems disappeared after frenotomy. We had no complications in the frenotomy procedure, which has a low complication rate in the literature [20-22]. Frenotomy should not be avoided in infants with symptoms since it contributes to breastfeeding, and the complication rates are acceptable.

In clinical conditions, frenotomy can be performed more easily than other methods described [22]. As Coryllos mentioned in his publication in 2004, he used local anesthesia during the frenotomy technique [13]. We did not require the use of local anesthetics in our procedure. Similarly, in the study of Sethi et al., they did not use local or systemic anesthetics for infants [26]. Topical anesthetics may increase patient comfort in children [27]. If patients with ankyloglossia were not noticed during infancy until preschool or later, the procedure might need to be performed under general anesthesia [27].

The main limitation of our study is the short follow-up period since the patients may have some clinical complaints of ankyloglossia, especially after starting to speak. In addition, the effect of frenotomy could not be fully demonstrated since it was performed on all patients with clinical problems, and the nursing issues of the patients on who we performed frenotomy were fixed with follow-up appointments. Despite the extensive literature, there is no definitive diagnosis or treatment method for ankyloglossia. Therefore, like all other studies on ankyloglossia, our study has similarities with others and also a different approach to the subject. These types of differences limit the reproducibility of the study.

## 5. Conclusions

Concerning nursing problems, regardless of the anatomical typology, frenotomy can be performed safely in the early period to infants and can give successful results. If difficulties in nursing are noticed in ankyloglossia patients even at the first control, the frenotomy procedure should be recommended in clinical conditions. Randomized and controlled multicenter studies on large populations are necessary for diagnosing and treating ankyloglossia.

**Author Contributions:** MAN: concept, design, supervision, submission; MAN, EY, AB, GTÖ: Data collection, writing; EY, AB, GTÖ: Laboratory Analysis and interpretation; MAN and EY: Review of the paper. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Ethics Committee of Kastamonu Training and Research Hospital (protocol code KEAK-2022-101 and 02.11.2022 date of approval).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data supporting the study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank the managers of Kastamonu University and Kastamonu Training and Research Hospital. In addition, we would like to thank all the staff of the newborn unit of Kastamonu Training and Research Hospital.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Messner, A.H.; Walsh, J.; Rosenfeld, R.M.; Schwartz, S.R.; Ishman, S.L.; Baldassari, C.; Brietzke, S.E.; Darrow, D.H.; Goldstein, N.; Levi, J.; Meyer, A.K.; Parikh, S.; Simons, J.P.; Wohl, D.L.; Lambie, E.; Satterfield, L. Clinical Consensus Statement: Ankyloglossia in Children. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* **2020**, *162*, 597-611. doi: 10.1177/0194599820915457
2. Mills, N.; Pransky, S.M.; Geddes, D.T.; Mirjalili, S.A. What is a tongue tie? Defining the anatomy of the in-situ lingual frenulum. *Clin Anat.* **2019**, *32*, 749-761. doi: 10.1002/ca.23343
3. Dezio, M.; Piras, A.; Gallottini, L.; Denotti, G. Tongue-Tie, from Embriology to Treatment: A Literature Review. *J Pediatr Neonat Individual Med.* **2015**, *4*, e040101. doi: 10.7363/040101

4. Walsh, J.; Links, A.; Boss, E.; Tunkel, D. Ankyloglossia and Lingual Frenotomy: National Trends in Inpatient Diagnosis and Management in the United States, 1997-2012. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* **2017**, *156*, 735-740. doi: 10.1177/0194599817690135
5. Lisonek, M.; Liu, S.; Dzakpasu, S.; Moore, A.M.; Joseph, K.S.; Canadian Perinatal Surveillance System (Public Health Agency of Canada). Changes in the incidence and surgical treatment of ankyloglossia in Canada. *Paediatr Child Health.* **2017**, *22*, 382-386. doi: 10.1093/pch/pxx112
6. Jin, R.R.; Sutcliffe, A.; Vento, M.; Miles, C.; Travadi, J.; Kishore, K.; Suzuki, K.; Todd, D.; Wooderson, S.; Kamar, A.A.; Ma, L.; Smyth, J.; Oei, J.L. What does the world think of ankyloglossia? *Acta Paediatr.* **2018**, *107*, 1733-1738. doi: 10.1111/apa.14242
7. Ganesan, K.; Girgis, S.; Mitchell, S. Lingual frenotomy in neonates: past, present, and future. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* **2019**, *57*, 207-213. doi: 10.1016/j.bjoms.2019.03.004
8. Cetinkaya, M.; Oz, F.T.; Orhan, A.I.; Orhan, K.; Karabulut, B.; Can-Karabulut, D.C.; Ilk, O. Prevalence of oral abnormalities in a Turkish newborn population. *Int Dent J.* **2011**, *61*, 90-100. doi: 10.1111/j.1875-595X.2011.00020.x
9. Maya-Enero, S.; Pérez-Pérez, M.; Ruiz-Guzmán, L.; Duran-Jordà, X.; López-Vilchez, M.Á. Prevalence of neonatal ankyloglossia in a tertiary care hospital in Spain: a transversal cross-sectional study. *Eur J Pediatr.* **2021**, *180*, 751-757. doi: 10.1007/s00431-020-03781-7
10. Haham, A.; Marom, R.; Mangel, L.; Botzer, E.; Dollberg, S. Prevalence of breastfeeding difficulties in newborns with a lingual frenulum: a prospective cohort series. *Breastfeed Med.* **2014**, *9*, 438-41. doi: 10.1089/bfm.2014.0040
11. Ngerncham, S.; Laohapensang, M.; Wongvisutdhi, T.; Ritjaroen, Y.; Painpichan, N.; Hakularb, P.; Gunnaleka, P.; Chaturapithophonthong, P. Lingual frenulum and effect on breastfeeding in Thai newborn infants. *Paediatr Int Child Health.* **2013**, *33*, 86-90. doi: 10.1179/2046905512Y.0000000023
12. Daggumati, S.; Cohn, J.E.; Brennan, M.J.; Evarts, M.; McKinnon, B.J.; Terk, A.R. Caregiver perception of speech quality in patients with ankyloglossia: Comparison between surgery and non-treatment. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.* **2019**, *119*, 70-74. doi: 10.1016/j.ijporl.2019.01.019
13. Coryllos, E.; Genna, C.; Salloum, A.C. Congenital tongue-tie and its impact on breastfeeding. *Breastfeeding: Best for mother and baby Newsletter.* **2004**, 1-6.
14. Segal, L.M.; Stephenson, R.; Dawes, M.; Feldman, P. Prevalence, diagnosis, and treatment of ankyloglossia: methodologic review. *Can Fam Physician.* **2007**, *53*, 1027-1033.
15. Hill, R. Implications of Ankyloglossia on Breastfeeding. *MCN: The American Journal of Maternal Child Nursing.* **2019**, *44*, 73-79. doi: 10.1097/nmc.0000000000000501
16. Genna, C.; Coryllos, E. Breastfeeding and Tongue-tie. *Journal of Human Lactation.* **2009**, *25*, 108. doi: 10.1177/08903344090250011501
17. Kotlow, L.A. Ankyloglossia (tongue-tie): a diagnostic and treatment quandary. *Quintessence Int.* **1999**, *30*, 259-262.
18. Hazelbaker, A.K. Newborn tongue-tie and breast-feeding. *J Am Board Fam Pract.* **2005**, *18*, 326-327. doi: 10.3122/jabfm.18.4.326
19. Martinelli, R.L.; Marchesan, I.Q.; Berretin-Felix, G. Lingual frenulum protocol with scores for infants. *Int J Orofacial Myology.* **2012**, *38*, 104-112.
20. Martinelli, R.L.; Marchesan, I.Q.; Gusmão, R.J.; Honório, H.M.; Berretin-Felix, G. The effects of frenotomy on breastfeeding. *J Appl Oral Sci.* **2015**, *23*, 153-157. doi: 10.1590/1678-775720140339
21. Srinivasan, A.; Al Khoury, A.; Puzhko, S.; Dobrich, C.; Stern, M.; Mitnick, H.; Goldfarb, L. Frenotomy in Infants with Tongue-Tie and Breastfeeding Problems. *J Hum Lact.* **2019**, *35*, 706-712. doi: 10.1177/0890334418816973
22. Ghaheri, B.A.; Cole, M.; Mace, J.C. Revision Lingual Frenotomy Improves Patient-Reported Breastfeeding Outcomes: A Prospective Cohort Study. *J Hum Lact.* **2018**, *34*, 566-574. doi: 10.1177/0890334418775624
23. Berry, J.; Griffiths, M.; Westcott, C. A double-blind, randomized, controlled trial of tongue-tie division and its immediate effect on breastfeeding. *Breastfeed Med.* **2012**, *7*, 189-193. doi: 10.1089/bfm.2011.0030
24. Emond, A.; Ingram, J.; Johnson, D.; Blair, P.; Whitelaw, A.; Copeland, M.; Sutcliffe, A. Randomised controlled trial of early frenotomy in breastfed infants with mild-moderate tongue-tie. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* **2014**, *99*, 189-195. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2013-305031
25. Khan, U.; MacPherson, J.; Bezuhy, M.; Hong, P. Comparison of Frenotomy Techniques for the Treatment of Ankyloglossia in Children: A Systematic Review. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* **2020**, *163*, 428-443. doi: 10.1177/0194599820917619
26. Sethi, N.; Smith, D.; Kortequeee, S.; Ward, V.M.; Clarke, S. Benefits of frenulotomy in infants with ankyloglossia. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.* **2013**, *77*, 762-765. doi: 10.1016/j.ijporl.2013.02.005
27. Auychai, P.; Neff, A.; Pitak-Arnop, P. Tongue-Tie children with a severe Hazelbaker score or difficult breastfeeding greatly benefit from frenotomy or frenuloplasty with/without anaesthesia - First do or do no harm? *J Stomatol Oral Maxillofac Surg.* **2022**, *123*, e76-e81. doi: 10.1016/j.jormas.2021.09.007