

Article

Effectiveness of Acute Malnutrition Treatment at Health Center and Community Level with a Simplified, Combined Protocol in Mali: An Observational Cohort Study

Suvi T. Kangas ^{1*}, Bethany Marron ¹, Zachary Tausanovitch ¹, Elizabeth Radin ¹, Josiane Andrianarisoa ², Salimou Dembele ², Césaire Ouedraogo ², Issa Niamanto Coulibaly ², Marie Biotteau ¹, Bareye Ouologuem ³, Soumaila Daou ⁴, Fatoumata Traoré ², Issiaka Traoré ², Marc Nene ⁵ and Jeanette Bailey ¹

¹ International Rescue Committee, New York, USA; suvi.kangas@rescue.org

² International Rescue Committee, Bamako, Mali; dembele.salimou@rescue.org

³ Nutrition Division, Ministry of Health, Bamako, Mali; bareye.ouolo@gmail.com

⁴ Nara District Health Unit, Nara, Mali; daou.soumaila@yahoo.fr

⁵ United Nations Children's Fund, Bamako, Mali; mnene@unicef.org

* Correspondence: suvi.kangas@rescue.org

Abstract: The simplified, combined protocol admits children with a mid-upper-arm circumference (MUAC) of <125 mm or edema to malnutrition treatment with ready-to-use therapeutic food (RUTF) prescribing 2 daily RUTF sachets to children with MUAC <115 mm or edema and 1 daily sachet to those with MUAC ≥115 mm but <125 mm. This treatment has previously been shown to result in non-inferior programmatic outcomes compared to standard treatment. We aimed at observing its effectiveness in a routine setting at scale, including via delivery by community health workers (CHW). A total of 27 601 children were admitted to the simplified, combined treatment. Treatment resulted in 96% overall recovery with a mean LOS of 40 days and a mean RUTF consumption of 63 sachets per child treated. Among children admitted with MUAC <115 mm or edema 94% recovered with a mean LOS of 55 days and consuming an average of 97 RUTF sachets. Recovery in all sub-groups studied exceeded 90%. Treatment by CHWs resulted in similar (96%) recovery as treatment by formal health care workers (96%). The simplified, combined protocol results in high recovery and low RUTF consumption per child treated, and can safely be adopted by CHWs to provide treatment in the community-level.

Keywords: acute malnutrition; combined protocol; community-based management of acute malnutrition; effectiveness; Mali; mid-upper-arm circumference; moderate acute malnutrition; ready-to-use therapeutic food; treatment; severe acute malnutrition; simplified protocol; wasting

1. Introduction

Acute malnutrition is a condition currently diagnosed among children 6-59 months of age with a low mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC), a low weight-for-height z-score (WHZ) and/or the presence of bilateral pitting edema [1]. While the combined global estimate for the prevalence when applying the three criteria is lacking, in 2020 45 million children were estimated to be acutely malnourished by WHZ alone at any time [2].

Treatment of acute malnutrition is currently separated into different programs according to the severity of the condition; severe acute malnutrition (SAM) is treated in outpatient therapeutic feeding programs and moderate acute malnutrition (MAM) is treated in supplementary feeding programs [3]. These programs run parallel to each other, and often treat patients on different days, sometimes at different sites. Each program uses its own nutritional treatment product with its own supply chain. Yet, the condition itself can be seen as a continuum from moderate to severe [4–6].

The two nutritional products used to treat SAM and MAM have a very similar nutritional content with primarily the source of the protein differing [7,8]. The dosage and the

purpose of the two nutritional products is however very different. Ready-to-use therapeutic food (RUTF) is designed to cover all the nutritional needs of a child recovering from SAM and is prescribed according to the weight of the child. Ready-to-use supplementary food (RUSF) is used as a nutritional supplement to normal food with 1 sachet prescribed daily per child with MAM regardless of weight.

The large and complex RUTF dosing used in treating SAM has been questioned and several trials have shown that reducing and simplifying the dosage does not adversely impact the efficacy of treatment [4–6,9]. It also appears that caregivers complement the child's diet with family foods regardless of the RUTF dose given [10]. Three clinical trials indicate that a gradual reduction from a high dose towards a lower dose of nutritional product during the therapeutic path can be clinically non-inferior and cost-effective [4,6,9,11].

Recent research has also explored the possibility of simplifying admission protocols for acute malnutrition, by relying on MUAC and edema only instead of requiring WHZ measurements [4–6]. The simplification of admissions criteria is based on research showing that raising the MUAC cut-off identifies children at highest risk of mortality [12,13]. This can both save health workers time spent in measuring weight and height, and limit errors in z-score readings. Treatment by low-literate community health workers (CHWs) would also become easier with fewer steps and space for errors [14,15]. Enabling CHWs to deliver treatment has been proposed as a solution to increase treatment coverage [16] which currently stands at <20% globally [17]. Treatment delivery by CHW reduces the distance from treatment sites [18] which has been identified as one of the main barriers to access treatment [19,20]. Well trained and supervised CHWs have been shown capable of delivering good quality malnutrition treatment in Mali [16] and treatment delivery by CHWs is now part of the Malian national protocol [21].

Another barrier frequently identified as preventing families from seeking treatment is unawareness of malnutrition [19]. To help caregivers identify malnutrition, it has been proposed to train them in the use of and equip them with a MUAC tape [22]. Following evidence showing caregivers can be trained to correctly use the MUAC tape and search for edema [23–26], several countries have adopted the Family MUAC approach as part of the national policy [22]. Considering that only MUAC and edema are used to screen children in the community [27], having a single tool used both for screening and admitting children into treatment could simplify things both for the caregivers and the health care providers. Caregivers screening children based on MUAC measure would easily make the link between MUAC reading and treatment eligibility.

The ComPAS trial previously showed non-inferiority of a protocol where children were admitted to malnutrition treatment based on their MUAC measure and treated with 2 daily sachets of RUTF for those with MUAC <115 mm and 1 daily sachet of RUTF for those with MUAC ≥ 115 <125 mm [4,28–31]. However, the study left several questions unanswered including on the effectiveness of this protocol in a routine setting and among some potentially more vulnerable sub-groups. Additionally, there is interest in looking at effectiveness when treatment is provided by CHWs and when families are trained in screening with MUAC.

To build further evidence on the effectiveness of a simplified, combined malnutrition treatment protocol in a routine setting, we piloted it in rural Mali. The aim was to observe the characteristics of children admitted to treatment based on a MUAC <125 mm and/or edema and their response to treatment. We also aimed to describe the admission characteristics by screener (caregiver versus CHW versus formal health worker) and treatment results by treatment site (health facility versus community health site). In addition, we assess the treatment response of several potentially more vulnerable sub-populations such as those presenting SAM by both MUAC and WHZ, those treated as MAM but with WHZ <3 and those with concurrent wasting and stunting.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study design

This was an observational cohort study describing the response to simplified, combined treatment among children 6-59 months with MUAC <125 mm and/or edema indicating acute malnutrition.

2.2. Study setting and population

The study was set in the Nara district in the southwest of Mali implemented throughout the 35 health areas of the district. The region is predominantly arid land [32] and the population is subsisting on small scale farming and herding [33]. The region is less affected by insecurity and conflict compared to the North and Center regions. Food security in the region has been relatively stable since 2018 [34–39]. However, access to health care remains an issue with 29% of the population in the district living over 15km away from basic services [40]. In the region, only 48% of children 12-24 months of age have been fully vaccinated [41] and malaria prevalence is 22% among children under 5 years of age [41]. Acute malnutrition prevalence as measured by a WHZ <-2 was estimated at 7.6% in 2019 [42] and 6.4% in 2020 [43]. The International Rescue Committee (IRC) has been present in the area since 2015 supporting the nutrition activities including strengthening the community screening activities and health care workers skills in managing acutely malnourished children.

2.3. Treatment and measures taken

The simplified treatment protocol was based on the protocol studied in the ComPAS trial [28] and included 1) admitting children based on their MUAC measure (<125 mm) or presence of bilateral edema, 2) treating children with MUAC <115 mm and/or edema with 2 daily sachets of RUTF and children with a MUAC between 115 mm and 124mm with 1 daily sachet of RUTF, 3) transitioning children admitted with MUAC <115 mm or edema to receiving 1 sachet per day after 2 weeks with a MUAC ≥115 mm and absence of edema, and 4) discharging children after 2 consecutive measures of MUAC ≥125 mm and absence of edema since 2 weeks. All children were followed up weekly at the treatment site. MUAC and weight measurements were taken at each visit while height measurement was taken monthly and only at the health facility level.

In addition to the nutritional treatment, children admitted with a MUAC <115 mm or edema received a 7 day course of antibiotics (50-100mg of Amoxicillin/kg/d) at admission, as per the international and national protocols for the management of SAM [21,27,44]. All children over 12 months of age and admitted to treatment received deworming (200-400 mg of Albendazole or 500mg of Mebendazole) at the 1st follow-up visit as per the national protocols [21,45]. Malaria, diarrhea and acute respiratory infections were treated according to national IMCI guidelines [46] upon detection at any point during treatment. A discharge ration of 7 RUTF sachets was given to children upon recovery.

2.4. Implementation

All head nurses and nutrition focal points from the 35 functional health centers were trained (3 days of theory and 2 days of practice) in the simplified, combined protocol administration in addition to the district management team. Admissions to the simplified treatment started in December 2018. Also, a total of 38 CHWs were gradually trained to add malnutrition treatment according to the simplified protocol to their other integrated community case management (ICCM) activities. Training of CHWs included 3 days of theory and 2 months of practical internship at health facility upon malnutrition treatment days before starting treatment at the community level. Five CHWs started providing treatment in 2019 and 33 more in 2020. Finally, women of reproductive age in the district were trained on measuring the MUAC of their children. Initial trainings were done through community health volunteers (CHVs) in 2018 and a new wave of trainings was then rolled

out in 2020 by IRC trainers together with CHVs. Throughout the pilots, UNICEF ensured the continued supply of the RUTF and no stock outs were reported.

2.5. Outcomes

The main outcome was the percentage of children recovered. Secondary outcomes included default, referral, non-response and death. Additionally, we present the mean length of stay, mean consumption of RUTF, mean MUAC gain velocity, and mean weight gain velocity.

2.6. Definitions

Recovery was defined as a MUAC ≥ 125 mm and no edema for 2 consecutive visits. Non-response was defined as not having attained recovery by 16 weeks of treatment. Recovery, defaulting, non-response and death percent was calculated over these four exit categories as per the community-based management of acute malnutrition (CMAM) reporting guidance [47]. Inpatient transfers stayed in the program until attaining one of the 4 discharge criteria. Inpatient transfers include children referred for inpatient care due to medical complications, weight loss or negative appetite during treatment. Any patient out of the treatment system for more than 2 weeks was considered a defaulter.

Length of stay was calculated as the days from admission to discharge with discharge being the last visit the child was cared for at the health facility. Weight gain velocity was calculated as the discharge weight – admission weight in grams divided by the admission weight in kilos and divided by the length of stay in days. MUAC gain velocity was calculated as the discharge MUAC – admission MUAC in mm divided by the length of stay in weeks.

Initial screening of children was done either by a “health worker”, “CHW/V” or “Caregiver”. Screening by health worker meant that the caregiver did not report that their child had been screened at the community but only once seeking health services at the health center level. Screened by CHW/V meant that the child had either been screened through campaigns or other active case finding in the community. Screened by caregiver meant that the caregiver had been trained in malnutrition screening with MUAC tape and search of edema and had themselves detected malnutrition and brought the child to care.

2.7. Data collection

Individual treatment data were collected electronically for all children admitted to care with the help of the CommCare application and based on data noted on patient registries and individual patient cards at treatment sites. This data included the weekly anthropometrics of all admitted children and their respective discharge status. Morbidity data was not recorded. The currently analysed data includes all data from children admitted to treatment before the 1st of January 2022.

2.8. Data analysis

Baseline characteristics and treatment outcomes of the study population are summarized as percent (n) or mean \pm SD. All analyses were performed using STATA 15 (StataCorp, USA). A complete checkup of data was performed prior to analysis to check for duplicates, outliers and missing data and initial records were traced back if needed. A data quality review was also conducted looking at plausibility of data in terms of MUAC distributions and changes during treatment.

2.9. Ethics

The pilot study was approved by the national ethics committees of Mali (decision number 22/2018/CE-INRSP) as well as the IRC ethics committee (protocol number: H 1.00.025). All data used in the analyses is based on routine data collected by ministry of health staff upon treatment. No individual consent was used as data collection was based on routine data collected by health workers. Communities were sensitized on the changes

to the treatment protocol including changes in admission criteria and RUTF dosing, discharge criteria from treatment, delivery of treatment by CHWs and trainings for caregivers to screen children using MUAC and edema.

3. Results

A total of 27 601 children were admitted to the simplified, combined treatment program (Table 1) between December 2018 and December 2021. Around 35% of children were admitted with MUAC <115mm or edema with only 0.1% with edema. The mean age of the children at admission was 15.1 months and the mean MUAC at admission was 108.2 mm for the children admitted with a MUAC <115 mm or edema and 119.4 mm for children admitted with a MUAC between 115 and 124 mm. Among children admitted with a MUAC <115 mm or edema, 65.6% also had a WHZ <-3. Among children admitted with a MUAC between 115 and 124 mm, 31.0% had a WHZ <-3 at admission and 73.4% had a WHZ <-2 at admission.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of children admitted to treatment according to simplified, combined protocol.

Characteristic	N not missing	Admission MUAC and edema status		
		MUAC <125 mm or edema	MUAC <115 mm or edema	MUAC 115 to <125 mm
Total, %, (N)	27 601	100% (27 601)	34.7% (9 582)	65.3% (18 019)
Boys, % (n)	27 601	46.2% (12 744)	44.9% (4 301)	46.9% (8 443)
Age in months, mean \pm SD	27 601	15.1 \pm 7.6	14.1 \pm 7.1	15.7 \pm 7.9
Age group, % (n)				
< 24 months	27 601	78.9% (21 784)	81.7% (7 825)	77.5% (13 959)
24 months and up	27 601	21.1% (5 817)	18.3% (1 757)	22.5% (4 060)
MUAC (mm), mean \pm SD	27 601	115.5 \pm 6.7	108.2 \pm 6.1	119.4 \pm 2.5
Weight (kg), mean \pm SD	27 601	6.7 \pm 1.3	6.0 \pm 1.1	7.1 \pm 1.2
Height/Length (cm), mean \pm SD	22 223	71.0 \pm 6.6	69.1 \pm 6.6	72.2 \pm 6.4
WHZ, mean \pm SD	22 156	-2.9 \pm 1.3	-3.4 \pm 1.3	-2.5 \pm 1.2
WAZ, mean \pm SD	27 573	-3.3 \pm 1.2	-3.9 \pm 1.1	-2.9 \pm 1.0
HAZ, mean \pm SD	22 223	-2.3 \pm 1.8	-2.7 \pm 1.8	-2.0 \pm 1.8
WHZ category, % (n)				
WHZ <-3	22 156	43.5% (9 629)	65.6% (5 241)	31.0% (4 388)
WHZ >-3 & <-2	22 156	33.5% (7 412)	23.6% (1 884)	39.0% (5 528)
WHZ >-2	22 156	22.6% (5 007)	10.4% (832)	29.5% (4 175)
Presence of edema, % (n)	27 601	0.1% (28)	0.3% (28)	0 (0)

Abbreviations: MUAC, mid-upper-arm circumference; HAZ, height-for-age z-score; WAZ, weight-for-age z-score; WHZ, weight-for-height z-score

Most children were initially screened as malnourished in the community: 43.2% by CHWs or CHVs and 39.7% by the caregiver (Table 2). The majority (80.1%) of children were treated at the health center level with 19.9% treated at the community health sites. Admission anthropometry seemed somewhat higher among children screened or treated at the community level. The mean MUAC was 114.6 mm upon admission among children screened by health worker compared to 115.4 mm among children screened by the caregiver and 116.0 mm among children screened by a CHW or CHV. The mean MUAC

among children treated at the health center level was 115.3 mm compared to 116.6 mm among children treated at the community health sites. The mean WHZ was -3.0 among children screened by health worker compared to -2.8 when screened by the CHW, CHV or caregiver.

Table 2. Baseline characteristics of children admitted to treatment according to screening and treatment site.

Characteristic	Screened by			Cared at	
	Health worker	CHW/V	Family MUAC	Health center	Community site
N (%)	4 512 (17.1%)	11 420 (43.2%)	10 478 (39.7%)	22 105 (80.1%)	5 496 (19.9%)
Boys, % (n)	49.3% (2 225)	45.6% (5 207)	45.6% (4 776)	46.3% (10 245)	45.5% (2 499)
Age in months, mean \pm SD	14.9 \pm 7.4	15.1 \pm 7.6	15.2 \pm 7.7	14.9 \pm 7.5	16.2 \pm 8.3
MUAC (mm), mean \pm SD	114.6 \pm 7.4	116.0 \pm 6.4	115.4 \pm 6.7	115.3 \pm 6.8	116.6 \pm 6.2
MUAC category, % (n)					
<115 mm	39.6% (1 787)	31.7% (3 616)	36.0% (3 773)	36.3% (8 029)	27.9% (1 533)
\geq 115 mm	60.4% (2 725)	68.3% (7 804)	64.0% (6 705)	63.7% (14 076)	72.1% (3 963)
WHZ, mean \pm SD	-3.0 \pm 1.3	-2.8 \pm 1.3	-2.8 \pm 1.3	-2.9 \pm 1.3	
WHZ category, % (n)					
WHZ < -3	48.3% (1 951)	41.7% (3 606)	43.0% (3 793)	43.6% (9 406)	
WHZ \geq -3 & < -2	32.1% (1 298)	34.1% (2 952)	33.2% (2 930)	33.6% (7 238)	
WHZ \geq -2	19.1% (773)	23.7% (2 046)	23.2% (2 045)	22.3% (4 820)	

Abbreviations: CHV, community health volunteer; CHW, community health worker; MUAC, mid-upper-arm circumference; WHZ, weight-for-height z-score

The overall recovery was 96.4% (Table 3) with 3.1% defaulting and <1% non-response. Only 3.1% of children were referred to inpatient care at some point in treatment. Among children admitted with MUAC <115 mm or edema 93.7% recovered. The mean length of stay was 39.8 days overall and 55.0 days among children with a MUAC <115 mm or edema at admission. The overall weight gain velocity was 5.1 g/kg/d and 5.8 g/kg/d among those with MUAC <115 mm or edema at admission. Children with a MUAC <115 mm and a MUAC between 115 mm and 124 mm at admission consumed on average 97 and 44 sachets of RUTF in the course of their treatment respectively. Most children (84.3%) did not miss any visits during treatment.

Table 3. Program outcome indicators for simplified, combined treatment program in Mali.

Outcome	Admission MUAC and edema status		
	MUAC <125 mm or edema	MUAC <115 mm or edema	MUAC ≥115 to <125 mm
Recovered, % (n)	96.4% (26 596)	93.7% (8 980)	97.8% (17 616)
Defaulted, % (n)	3.1% (845)	4.9% (472)	2.1% (373)
Non-response, % (n)	0.4% (108)	0.9% (91)	0.1% (17)
Died, % (n)	0.2% (48)	0.4% (35)	0.1% (13)
Referred to inpatient care during treatment, % (n)	3.1% (864)	6.9% (664)	1.1% (200)
Length of stay (d), mean ±SD			
All discharges	39.8 ±19.4	55.0 ±20.5	31.7 ±12.9
Recovered only	39.7 ±18.6	55.3 ±18.6	31.7 ±12.5
MUAC gain velocity (mm/d), mean ±SD			
All discharges	0.3 ±0.1	0.4 ±0.1	0.3 ±0.1
Recovered only	0.3 ±0.1	0.4 ±0.1	0.3 ±0.1
Weight gain velocity (g/kg/d), mean ±SD			
All discharges	5.1 ±3.0	5.8 ±2.8	4.7 ±3.0
Recovered only	5.1 ±2.8	5.8 ±2.7	4.8 ±2.8
Number of RUTF sachets consumed, mean ±SD			
All discharges	62.6 ±34.2	97.2 ±34.9	44.3 ±13.3
Recovered only	62.5 ±33.1	98.0 ±31.8	44.4 ±13.1
Number of missed visits during treatment, % (n)			
None	84.3% (23 260)	81.4% (7 797)	85.8% (15 463)
1 missed visit	13.6% (3 757)	15.8% (1 511)	12.5% (2 246)
More than 1 missed visit	2.1% (584)	2.9% (274)	1.7% (310)

Abbreviations: MUAC, mid-upper-arm circumference; RUTF, ready-to-use therapeutic food.

Recovery exceeded 90% in all sub-groups studied (Table 4). Overall 96.2% of children recovered when treated at the health facility compared to 97.1% cared at the community level. Supplementary Table 1 presents the median length of stay in treatment by sub-groups which ranged from 49 days to 63 days for sub-groups of children admitted with a MUAC <115mm or edema and was 28 days in all sub-groups with MUAC 115-124 mm at admission.

Table 4. Recovery from malnutrition in Mali following simplified, combined treatment by sub-groups.

Subgroups	Recovery % (n)		
	MUAC <125 mm or edema	MUAC <115 mm or edema	MUAC 115 to <125 mm
WHZ category			
WHZ <-3	95.2% (9 165)	93.4% (4 895)	97.3% (4 270)
WHZ ≥ -3	97.1% (12 167)	94.5% (2 596)	97.9% (9 571)
Age group			
<24 months	96.2% (20 956)	93.7% (7 333)	97.6% (13 623)
≥24 months	97.0% (5 640)	93.7% (1 647)	98.3% (3 993)
Weight category			
≤7 kg	95.8% (16 896)	93.6% (7 506)	97.6% (9 390)
>7 kg	97.4% (9 700)	94.4% (1 474)	98.0% (8 226)
MUAC category			
<110 mm	90.8% (3 154)	90.8% (3 154)	
≥110 mm	97.2% (23 442)	95.4% (5 826)	97.8% (17 616)
WAZ category			
<-3	95.7% (15 289)	93.5% (7 182)	97.8% (8 107)
≥-3	97.3% (11 288)	94.9% (1 779)	97.7% (9 509)
Combined severe wasting and stunting			
WHZ <-3 & HAZ <-3	94.9% (7 556)	93.2% (4 418)	97.5% (3 138)
WHZ ≥-3 & HAZ <-3	96.7% (4 633)	94.4% (1 554)	97.9% (3 079)
WHZ <-3 & HAZ ≥-3	96.3% (1 598)	95.4% (475)	96.6% (1 123)
WHZ ≥-3 & HAZ ≥-3	97.4% (7 448)	94.5% (1 013)	97.9% (6 435)
Screened by			
Health worker at health facility	96.0% (4 330)	93.3% (1 672)	97.8% (2 658)
Community health worker or volunteer	96.7% (10 132)	94.5% (3 569)	97.9% (6 563)
Caregiver (Family MUAC)	96.4% (11 007)	93.3% (3 381)	97.8% (7 626)
Cared at			
Health facility	96.2% (21 259)	93.6% (7 532)	97.6% (13 727)
Community health worker site	97.1% (5 337)	94.3% (1 448)	98.2% (3 889)
Abbreviations: MUAC, mid-upper-arm circumference; HAZ, height-for-age z-score; WAZ, weight-for-height z-score; WHZ, weight-for-height z-score			

4. Discussion

This study showed that simplified, combined treatment of children with acute malnutrition can be effective in a routine care setting as observed in this rural Sahelian context where a total of 27 601 children were admitted to the treatment program over a period of over 3 years. All programmatic indicators exceeded the SPHERE standards [48] in all sub-groups previously identified as potentially more vulnerable or less responsive to treatment [5,9,28,29] including children with concurrent wasting and stunting, children with high weight at admission and older children. Treatment provided by CHWs resulted in similarly high recovery as when treatment was provided at the health facility level. This

is the first paper to report the use of the simplified, combined protocol delivered outside health facilities, and results suggest the simplified protocol is easy to implement even by non-formally trained care providers.

The high recovery and relatively short time to recovery observed in the current study are in line with observations from other programmatic studies conducted in Mali using the standard protocol [18,49,49]. In the ComPAS randomized controlled trial (RCT) conducted in Kenya and South Sudan, the simplified, combined protocol was shown to result in similar recovery and length of stay as the standard protocol [4]. The current findings strengthen the evidence that program performance is as good when implementing the simplified, combined protocol including for subgroups that could be expected to respond less well.

Quality of CHW-led treatment has previously been tested in Mali and shown to result in high recovery rates [49]. We also observed high recovery among children treated by CHWs with the simplified, combined protocol. The fact that no height measurements are needed simplified the tasks for the CHWs. In the pilot area, the CHW delivery component of the program was only scaled up in mid-2020 which explains the low proportion of admissions (19.9%) to community health sites. When looking at the last 18 months of the program starting right after the scale up of treatment by CHWs, up to 31% of children were admitted to treatment at the community health sites. Importantly, recovery among children admitted to care at community or health facility level was similarly high at 97.1% compared to 96.2% at the health facility level.

Children admitted to care at the CHW sites seemed to have somewhat better anthropometric status compared to children admitted to care at the formal health center level. This is in line with what has been reported previously [16,50] and what can be expected, and indicates that caregivers seek treatment sooner when a treatment site is closer to them. Enabling CHWs easy adoption of treatment activities by simplifying the protocol is one of the key advantages of simplified protocols to increase the coverage of treatment.

Defaulting was low in this context. This is an important observation as the simplified, combined protocol involves a smaller weekly RUTF ration compared to standard protocol for some children with SAM [28,31]. The smaller RUTF ration could increase defaulting if the opportunity cost for seeking care supersedes the true and perceived value of the treatment received by the caregiver. This risk was not confirmed in the current context. Non-recovery has previously been associated with distance from health center [5,51]. In contexts with longer distances and potentially higher expenses for travelling and accessing care, defaulting could still be an issue. In the current pilot, the fact that CHWs were trained to provide treatment reduced the distance to treatment for 21% of the district catchment population. Still, 20% of the population remained at >15km from treatment.

Certain sub-groups have been previously identified as potentially responding less well to treatment [4,5,9,29,52] or generally more at risk of mortality [53,54]. Those include children with SAM by both criteria [4,5], children with severely low WHZ or MUAC [52], children with concurrent wasting and stunting [29,53,54], girls [5,55] and young children [5,9,52,56]. We show that all of these groups responded well to the simplified protocol in a routine setting, as shown by the program indicators for these subgroups that exceeded the SPHERE standards. In this setting, the simplified, combined protocol resulted in good recovery of all children.

The amount of RUTF consumed per child treated was 97 sachets for children admitted with MUAC <115 mm or edema. Unpublished programmatic data from the same context just a year earlier reported a mean consumption of 128 sachets of RUTF per child treated for SAM. In general, programs plan for approximately 150 sachets or 1 full carton of RUTF per child treated for SAM [57]. The ComPAS trial observed that the consumption of RUTF was decreased by 30-35% with the simplified protocol among children admitted with a MUAC <115 mm compared to standard protocol [4]. Even with the use of the higher cost RUTF instead of RUSF to treat children with MUAC between 115-124 mm, the overall program cost per child of the combined protocol was 12% lower than for standard care

[4]. This study confirms the potential for cost savings when using the simplified, combined protocol.

A previous study in Niger had observed that children screened [as](#) malnourished by caregivers came to treatment earlier than when detected by CHWs [23]. This was also observed in the current study where the average MUAC at admission among children screened via Family MUAC (116.0 mm) seemed slightly higher than when screened by CHWs (115.4 mm) or at the health facility (114.6 mm). The mean MUAC at admission (115.5 mm) is in general lower compared to previous studies admitting children with MUAC <125 mm: 117 mm in the ComPAS trial in Kenya and South Sudan [4], 118.7 mm in the Optima study in Burkina Faso [5] and 121 mm in Sierra Leone [6].

Around 40% of children were reported to have been initially detected malnourished by a caregiver while 43% were detected by CHW/V and 17% at the health facility. There is no reference or standard for the proportion of children that could be expected to be detected via the Family MUAC approach in contexts where this approach is being implemented. We could observe that the proportion fluctuated monthly throughout the three years of implementation from 20% to 70% depending on recent screening and sensitization activities.

In general, this pilot observed a higher recovery, higher weight gain velocity and lower length of stay than the ComPAS RCT conducted in Kenya and South Sudan [4]. This may be explained by the differences in the study contexts. The ComPAS RCT experienced low adherence to treatment in both study arms due to accessibility issues in both Kenya and South Sudan, a 6-month long nurses' strike in Kenya and inability of caregivers in Kenya to take time off to bring their child to treatment visits [4]. In contrast, the current study was conducted in a rather stable rural context with high adherence to treatment as observed through a very low proportion of children (<16%) ever skipping a visit. Treatment adherence has been shown to be a key predictor of time to recovery with one skipped visit associated with 3 weeks longer treatment time [58].

The main strength of this study is the high number of children (n=27 601) included in the analysis. This enabled the investigation of several otherwise very small sub-groups and their response to treatment. All potentially vulnerable sub-groups previously identified responded well to the simplified treatment. Finally, we also include data from children admitted to treatment in the community health sites. This enabled us to observe the performance of the simplified treatment when provided by CHWs that gave similar outcomes as when delivered by formally trained health care workers.

The main limitation of the current study is the absence of a comparator group. The lack of comparator group is by design, as the aim of this study was to document the use of the simplified, combined protocol in a routine setting. The initial ComPAS trial tested the effectiveness of the currently studied protocol in an RCT and concluded that recovery was non-inferior in the simplified treatment group compared to standard treatment [4]. Another limitation is that we relied on data collected by routine health care workers. However, we had a robust supervision in place and thoroughly checked and cleaned the data before analysis thus ensuring our confidence in the data collected.

5. Conclusions

This study aimed to observe the program performance of simplified, combined treatment when delivered by a routine health system. In conclusion, the results show that the simplified, combined protocol can result in high recovery and low RUTF consumption per child. Simplified, combined treatment can also be effectively delivered through CHWs.

Supplementary Materials: Table S1: Median length of stay in treatment (in days) until discharge recovered following simplified, combined treatment by sub-groups.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.A., M.B., J.B. and B.M.; Methodology, S.K., E.R., J.B., B.M., Validation, M.N. and B.O.; Formal Analysis, Z.T.; Investigation, S.K.; Resources, J.A., D.S. and C.O. Data curation, I.T. and F.T.; Writing – Original Draft Preparation, S.K.; Writing – Review &

Editing, S.K., J.A., M.B., J.B., B.M., M.N., B.O., D.S., C.O., I.T., F.T., I.N.C., S.D., E.R., Z.T.; Supervision, S.D., D.S., C.O. and B.O. Project Administration, J.A., D.S., C.O., I.N.C.; Funding Acquisition, J.A., J.B.

Funding: This research was funded by the European Commission Humanitarian Office grant numbers ECHO/-WF/BUD/2018/91050, ECHO/-WF/BUD/2019/91032, ECHO/-WF/BUD/2020/91006 and ECHO/MLI/EDF/2020/01003, by the Christina Kirby and Joshua Kulkin Foundation, the Rockefeller Foundation, Google Camp grant number AWF-2019-35787 and the Thompson Family Foundation.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the National Institute of Research on Public Health (INRSP = Institut National de Recherche en Santé Publique) on the 19th of October 2018 and by the Institutional Review Board of International Rescue committee (protocol number: H 1.00.025) on the 15 of October 2020.

Informed Consent Statement: Patient consent was waived due to the routine nature of the treatment procedures whereby routine health care workers collected patient data only as per standard practice. Electronic data collected in order to be used for research purposes included no personal identifiers.

Data Availability Statement: Data may be requested through the authors.

Acknowledgments: We would like to extend our thanks to the routine health care workers and volunteers that provided treatment to study participants.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. World Health Organization; UNICEF WHO Child Growth Standards and the Identification of Severe Acute Malnutrition in Infants and Children: A Joint Statement by the World Health Organization and the United Nations Children's Fund.; 2009;
2. United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF); World Health Organization; International; Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank. Levels and Trends in Child Malnutrition: Key Findings of the 2020 Edition of the Joint Child Malnutrition Estimates 2020.
3. Valid International; Concern Worldwide Community-Based Therapeutic Care. A Field Manual. 2006.
4. Bailey, J.; Opondo, C.; Lelijveld, N.; Marron, B.; Onyo, P.; Musyoki, E.N.; Adongo, S.W.; Manary, M.; Briend, A.; Kerac, M. A Simplified, Combined Protocol versus Standard Treatment for Acute Malnutrition in Children 6–59 Months (CompAS Trial): A Cluster-Randomized Controlled Non-Inferiority Trial in Kenya and South Sudan. *PLoS Med* **2020**, *17*, e1003192, doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1003192.
5. Daures, M.; Phelan, K.; Issoufou, M.; Kouanda, S.; Sawadogo, O.; Issaley, K.; Cazes, C.; Séri, B.; Ouaro, B.; Akpakpo, B.; et al. New Approach to Simplifying and Optimizing Acute Malnutrition Treatment in Children Aged 6 to 59 Months: The OptiMA Single-Arm Proof-of-Concept Trial in Burkina Faso. *British Journal of Nutrition* **2019**, 1–31, doi:10.1017/S0007114519003258.
6. Maust, A.; Koroma, A.S.; Abila, C.; Molokwu, N.; Ryan, K.N.; Singh, L.; Manary, M.J. Severe and Moderate Acute Malnutrition Can Be Successfully Managed with an Integrated Protocol in Sierra Leone. *The Journal of Nutrition* **2015**, *145*, 2604–2609, doi:10.3945/jn.115.214957.
7. WFP Technical Specifications for Ready-to-Use Supplementary Food (RUSF). Specification Reference: MIXRSF000. 2016.
8. WHO; WFP; UNSCN; UNICEF Community-Based Management of Severe Acute Malnutrition: A Joint Statement by the World Health Organization, the World Food Programme, the United Nations System Standing Committee on Nutrition and the United Nations Children's Fund.; UNICEF: Geneva, 2007; ISBN 978-92-806-4147-9.
9. Kangas, S.T.; Salpéteur, C.; Nikiéma, V.; Talley, L.; Ritz, C.; Friis, H.; Briend, A.; Kaestel, P. Impact of Reduced Dose of Ready-to-Use Therapeutic Foods in Children with Uncomplicated Severe Acute Malnutrition: A Randomised Non-Inferiority Trial in Burkina Faso. *PLOS Medicine* **2019**, *16*, e1002887, doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002887.
10. Nikiéma, V.; Kangas, S.T.; Salpéteur, C.; Ouédraogo, A.; Lachat, C.; Bassolé, N.H.I.; Fogny, N.F. Adequacy of Nutrient Intakes of Severely and Acutely Malnourished Children Treated with Different Doses of Ready-To-Use Therapeutic Food in Burkina Faso. *The Journal of Nutrition* **2021**, nxaa393, doi:10.1093/jn/nxaa393.
11. N'Diaye, D.S.; Wassonguema, B.; Nikiéma, V.; Kangas, S.T.; Salpéteur, C. Economic Evaluation of a Reduced Dosage of Ready-to-use Therapeutic Foods to Treat Uncomplicated Severe Acute Malnourished Children Aged 6–59 Months in Burkina Faso. *Matern Child Nutr* **2021**, doi:10.1111/mcn.13118.
12. Briend, A.; Alvarez, J.-L.; Avril, N.; Bahwere, P.; Bailey, J.; Berkley, J.A.; Binns, P.; Blackwell, N.; Dale, N.; Deconinck, H.; et al. Low Mid-Upper Arm Circumference Identifies Children with a High Risk of Death Who Should Be the Priority Target for Treatment. *BMC Nutr* **2016**, *2*, 63, doi:10.1186/s40795-016-0101-7.
13. Briend, A.; Maire, B.; Fontaine, O.; Garenne, M. Mid-Upper Arm Circumference and Weight-for-Height to Identify High-Risk Malnourished under-Five Children: Identifying High-Risk Malnourished Children. *Maternal & Child Nutrition* **2012**, *8*, 130–133, doi:10.1111/j.1740-8709.2011.00340.x.

14. López-Ejeda, N.; Charle Cuellar, P.; Vargas, A.; Guerrero, S. Can Community Health Workers Manage Uncomplicated Severe Acute Malnutrition? A Review of Operational Experiences in Delivering Severe Acute Malnutrition Treatment through Community Health Platforms. *Maternal & Child Nutrition* **2019**, *15*, e12719, doi:10.1111/mcn.12719.
15. Van Boetzel, E.; Zhou, A.; Tesfai, C.; Kozuki, N. Performance of Low-Literate Community Health Workers Treating Severe Acute Malnutrition in South Sudan. *Matern Child Nutr* **2019**, *15*, e12716, doi:10.1111/mcn.12716.
16. Alvarez Morán, J.L.; Alé, G.B.F.; Charle, P.; Sessions, N.; Doumbia, S.; Guerrero, S. The Effectiveness of Treatment for Severe Acute Malnutrition (SAM) Delivered by Community Health Workers Compared to a Traditional Facility Based Model. *BMC Health Serv Res* **2018**, *18*, 207, doi:10.1186/s12913-018-2987-z.
17. UNICEF Global Annual Results Report 2020: Goal Area 1: Every Child Survives and Thrives 2021.
18. Rogers, E.; Martínez, K.; Morán, J.L.A.; Alé, F.G.B.; Charle, P.; Guerrero, S.; Puett, C. Cost-Effectiveness of the Treatment of Uncomplicated Severe Acute Malnutrition by Community Health Workers Compared to Treatment Provided at an Outpatient Facility in Rural Mali. *Human Resources for Health* **2018**, *16*, doi:10.1186/s12960-018-0273-0.
19. Becart, E. Meta-Analysis of Barriers and Boosters from 78 Coverage Assessments Supported by the CMN 2014.
20. Puett, C.; Guerrero, S. Barriers to Access for Severe Acute Malnutrition Treatment Services in Pakistan and Ethiopia: A Comparative Qualitative Analysis. *Public Health Nutr.* **2015**, *18*, 1873–1882, doi:10.1017/S1368980014002444.
21. Ministère de la santé et de l'hygiène publique Protocole de Prise en Charge Intégrée de la Malnutrition Aiguë au Mali. Version révisée en 2017. 2018.
22. UNICEF RAPID REVIEW: Screening of Acute Malnutrition by the Family at Community Level 2020.
23. Alé, F.G.B.; Phelan, K.P.Q.; Issa, H.; Defourny, I.; Le Duc, G.; Harczy, G.; Issaley, K.; Sayadi, S.; Ousmane, N.; Yahaya, I.; et al. Mothers Screening for Malnutrition by Mid-Upper Arm Circumference Is Non-Inferior to Community Health Workers: Results from a Large-Scale Pragmatic Trial in Rural Niger. *Arch Public Health* **2016**, *74*, 38, doi:10.1186/s13690-016-0149-5.
24. Blackwell, N.; Myatt, M.; Allafort-Duverger, T.; Balogoun, A.; Ibrahim, A.; Briend, A. Mothers Understand And Can Do It (MUAC): A Comparison of Mothers and Community Health Workers Determining Mid-Upper Arm Circumference in 103 Children Aged from 6 Months to 5 Years. *Arch Public Health* **2015**, *73*, 26, doi:10.1186/s13690-015-0074-z.
25. Bliss, J.; Lelijveld, N.; Briend, A.; Kerac, M.; Manary, M.; McGrath, M.; Weise Prinzo, Z.; Shepherd, S.; Marie Zagre, N.; Woodhead, S.; et al. Use of Mid-Upper Arm Circumference by Novel Community Platforms to Detect, Diagnose, and Treat Severe Acute Malnutrition in Children: A Systematic Review. *Glob Health Sci Pract* **2018**, *6*, 552–564, doi:10.9745/GHSP-D-18-00105.
26. Isanaka, S.; Berthé, F.; Nackers, F.; Tang, K.; Hanson, K.E.; Graiss, R.F. Feasibility of Engaging Caregivers in At-home Surveillance of Children with Uncomplicated Severe Acute Malnutrition. *Matern Child Nutr* **2020**, *16*, doi:10.1111/mcn.12876.
27. World Health Organization Guideline: Updates on the Management of Severe Acute Malnutrition in Infants and Children; 2013; ISBN 978-92-4-150632-8.
28. Bailey, J.; Lelijveld, N.; Marron, B.; Onyoo, P.; Ho, L.S.; Manary, M.; Briend, A.; Opondo, C.; Kerac, M. Combined Protocol for Acute Malnutrition Study (CompAS) in Rural South Sudan and Urban Kenya: Study Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial. *Trials* **2018**, *19*, 251, doi:10.1186/s13063-018-2643-2.
29. Bailey, J.; Lelijveld, N.; Khara, T.; Dolan, C.; Stobaugh, H.; Sadler, K.; Lino Lako, R.; Briend, A.; Opondo, C.; Kerac, M.; et al. Response to Malnutrition Treatment in Low Weight-for-Age Children: Secondary Analyses of Children 6–59 Months in the CompAS Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial. *Nutrients* **2021**, *13*, 1054, doi:10.3390/nu13041054.
30. Lelijveld, N.; Musyoki, E.; Adongo, S.W.; Mayberry, A.; Wells, J.C.; Opondo, C.; Kerac, M.; Bailey, J. Relapse and Post-Discharge Body Composition of Children Treated for Acute Malnutrition Using a Simplified, Combined Protocol: A Nested Cohort from the CompAS RCT. *PLoS ONE* **2021**, *16*, e0245477, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0245477.
31. Chase, R.P.; Kerac, M.; Grant, A.; Manary, M.; Briend, A.; Opondo, C.; Bailey, J. Acute Malnutrition Recovery Energy Requirements Based on Mid-Upper Arm Circumference: Secondary Analysis of Feeding Program Data from 5 Countries, Combined Protocol for Acute Malnutrition Study (CompAS) Stage 1. *PLoS ONE* **2020**, *15*, e0230452, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0230452.
32. USAID, USGS Land Use, Land Cover, and Trends in Mali 2020.
33. USAID FEWS NET Mali Livelihood Zones 2014.
34. FEWS NET Mali Food Security Outlook. December 2018 to May 2019. 2018.
35. FEWS NET Mali Food Security Outlook. June 2019 to January 2020. 2019.
36. FEWS NET Mali Food Security Outlook. October 2019 to May 2020. 2019.
37. FEWS NET Mali Food Security Outlook. June 2020 to January 2021. 2020.
38. FEWS NET Mali Food Security Outlook. August 2021 to January 2022. 2021.
39. FEWS NET Mali Perspectives de La Sécurité Alimentaire. Février à Septembre 2022. 2022.
40. Ministère de la Santé et de l'Hygiène Publique ANNUAIRE STATISTIQUE 2018 DU SYSTEME LOCAL D'INFORMATION SANITAIRE DU MALI 2018.
41. Institut National de la Statistique (INSTAT); Cellule de Planification et de Statistique Secteur Santé-Développement Social et Promotion de la Famille (CSP/SS-DS-PF); ICF Enquête Démographique et de Santé Au Mali 2018 2019.
42. Institut National de la Statistique (INSTAT); Direction Nationale de la Santé (DNS) Enquête Nutritionnelle et de Mortalité Rétrospective Suivant La Méthodologie SMART Au Mali. 2019.
43. Institut National de la Statistique (INSTAT); Direction Générale de la Santé et de l'Hygiène Publique, Sous-direction de la nutrition (DGSHP/SDN) Enquête Nutritionnelle Anthropométrique et de Mortalité Rétrospective En Décembre 2020. 10eme Edition Au Mali. 2020.

44. Management of Severe Malnutrition: A Manual for Physicians and Other Senior Health Workers; World Health Organization, Ed.; World Health Organization: Geneva, 1999; ISBN 978-92-4-154511-2.
45. Ministry of Health Somalia Somali Guidelines for Integrated Management of Acute Malnutrition 2019.
46. Direction National de la santé (DNS); Organisation Mondiale de la Santé; UNICEF Prise En Charge Intégrée Des Maladies de l'enfant (PCIME) Au Mali 2015.
47. Save the Children Standardised Indicators and Categories for Better CMAM Reporting. April 2015 Edition. 2015.
48. The Sphere Handbook: Humanitarian Charter and Minimum Standards in Humanitarian Response; Sphere Project, Ed.; Fourth edition.; Sphere Association: Geneva, Switzerland, 2018; ISBN 978-1-908176-40-0.
49. Alvarez Morán, J.L.; Alé, F.G.B.; Rogers, E.; Guerrero, S. Quality of Care for Treatment of Uncomplicated Severe Acute Malnutrition Delivered by Community Health Workers in a Rural Area of Mali. *Maternal & Child Nutrition* **2018**, *14*, e12449, doi:10.1111/mcn.12449.
50. Ogobara Dougnon, A.; Charle-Cuéllar, P.; Toure, F.; Aziz Gado, A.; Sanoussi, A.; Lazoumar, R.H.; Alain Tchamba, G.; Vargas, A.; Lopez-Ejeda, N. Impact of Integration of Severe Acute Malnutrition Treatment in Primary Health Care Provided by Community Health Workers in Rural Niger. *Nutrients* **2021**, *13*, 4067, doi:10.3390/nu13114067.
51. Kabalo, M.Y.; Seifu, C.N. Treatment Outcomes of Severe Acute Malnutrition in Children Treated within Outpatient Therapeutic Program (OTP) at Wolaita Zone, Southern Ethiopia: Retrospective Cross-Sectional Study. *J Health Popul Nutr* **2017**, *36*, 7, doi:10.1186/s41043-017-0083-3.
52. Burza, S.; Mahajan, R.; Marino, E.; Sunyoto, T.; Shandilya, C.; Tabrez, M.; Kumari, K.; Mathew, P.; Jha, A.; Salse, N.; et al. Community-Based Management of Severe Acute Malnutrition in India: New Evidence from Bihar. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **2015**, *101*, 847–859, doi:10.3945/ajcn.114.093294.
53. Myatt, M.; Khara, T.; Dolan, C.; Garenne, M.; Briend, A. Improving Screening for Malnourished Children at High Risk of Death: A Study of Children Aged 6–59 Months in Rural Senegal. *Public Health Nutr.* **2019**, *22*, 862–871, doi:10.1017/S136898001800318X.
54. Myatt, M.; Khara, T.; Schoenbuchner, S.; Pietzsch, S.; Dolan, C.; Lelijveld, N.; Briend, A. Children Who Are Both Wasted and Stunted Are Also Underweight and Have a High Risk of Death: A Descriptive Epidemiology of Multiple Anthropometric Deficits Using Data from 51 Countries. *Arch Public Health* **2018**, *76*, 28, doi:10.1186/s13690-018-0277-1.
55. Desalegn, M.; Kifle, W.; Birtukan, T.; Amanuel, T. Treatment Outcome of Severe Acute Malnutrition and Determinants of Survival in Northern Ethiopia: A Prospective Cohort Study. *International Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism* **2016**, *8*, 12–23, doi:10.5897/IJNAM2015.0193.
56. Shanka, N.; Lemma, S.; Abyu, D. Recovery Rate and Determinants in Treatment of Children with Severe Acute Malnutrition Using Outpatient Therapeutic Feeding Program in Kamba District, South West Ethiopia. *Journal of Nutritional Disorders and Therapy* 2016.
57. UNICEF Ready-to-Use Therapeutic Food for Children with Severe Acute Malnutrition. Position Paper. 2013.
58. Kangas, S.T.; Salpéteur, C.; Nikiéma, V.; Ritz, C.; Friis, H.; Briend, A.; Kaestel, P. Predictors of Time to Recovery and Non-Response during Outpatient Treatment of Severe Acute Malnutrition. *PLoS ONE* **2022**, *17*, e0267538, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0267538.