

Article

Infrastructure Performance and Irrigation Water Governance in Genadendal, Western Cape, South Africa

Kudzai Mugejo¹, Bongani Ncube^{1,*} and Crispin Mutsvangwa¹

¹ Centre for Water and Sanitation Research, Department of Civil Engineering and Geomatics, Faculty of Engineering & the Built Environment, Cape Peninsula University of Technology, Bellville, 7535, Cape Town, South Africa

*Corresponding author: ncubeb@cput.ac.za

Abstract: Disasters such as the 2015-2018 drought in South Africa usually negatively impact agricultural water, especially in smallholder farming systems. This study assessed the availability of irrigation water, performance of irrigation infrastructure, and water governance systems in Genadendal, Western Cape, with a focus on smallholder farmers. Data for streamflow, dam levels, and rainfall were acquired from water institutions and analysed using Microsoft Excel. The performance of the infrastructure and water governance were assessed based on the perceptions of smallholder farmers and key informants. A questionnaire was administered to eight smallholder farmers, followed by a focus group discussion with 15 smallholder farmers. Interviews were conducted with eight key informants who worked in water-related institutions. Qualitative data from the interviews were analysed using thematic content analysis. The study showed adequate agricultural water resources during the 2015-2018 drought period for the smallholder farmers who relied on water from dams. However, smallholder farmers who relied on the Riviersonderend River experienced severe water shortages during the same period. The findings also showed that there was poor performance of irrigation water infrastructure due to inadequate maintenance. Lack of coordination among institutions, insufficient funding, political interference, fragmentation of roles and responsibilities, lack of human resources, and farmers' lack of participation and commitment in water resources management contributed to the dysfunctionality of irrigation water governance systems, leading to water insecurity. This study recommends adequate funding for the operation and maintenance of infrastructure. Furthermore, water institutions need to support smallholder farmers with training skills in agricultural water management and infrastructure maintenance. Further studies are recommended to quantify the water lost due to the poor performance of water infrastructure and to develop effective water governance in Genadendal.

Keywords: drought; institutions; smallholder farmers; water security

1. Introduction

Water is one of the main factors limiting agricultural production, particularly in countries that are situated in arid and semi-arid regions, such as South Africa (Kharrou et al., 2013). Increasing agricultural production with limited water availability to meet the rising demand for food is one of the biggest agricultural water management challenges in the 21st century. To meet the global food demand, the world's rainfed agriculture and irrigation by smallholder farmers are considered important contributors, with approximately 500 million farms that contribute a significant amount of agricultural production (Giordano et al., 2019). Smallholder farmers are defined as those farmers who produce for household consumption and markets. Their farming businesses are the source of income for the family but are not usually the major source of income. Various non-farm activities also exist as a source of income to sustain the family (South African Department of Agriculture, 2015).

Many smallholder farmers involved in rainfed agriculture fail to produce adequate food due to the increasing rainfall variability due to climate change (Dejen, 2015) and droughts. To boost agricultural production to feed an ever-increasing world population, it is imperative to rely on and promote smallholder irrigation farmers (de Fraiture and Wichelns, 2010). Despite the promotion of smallholder irrigation farming, several irrigation water infrastructures that have been implemented in most water-scarce countries around the world are not functional enough to achieve their intended objective of ensuring water security (Dittoh et al., 2010). Various factors such as insufficient technical capacity and poor institutional arrangements have contributed to the underperformance of the water infrastructures (Moyo et al., 2016). To enhance water security, the assessment of the performance of irrigation water infrastructure has been reported as a very useful tool for improving water resource management practices (Awulachew and Ayana, 2011; Gomo et al., 2014; Dinka, 2016; Hailelassie et al., 2016; Elshaikh et al., 2018; Ngasoh et al., 2018; Abera et al., 2019; Karimi et al., 2019). In South Africa, numerous studies have been conducted on smallholder irrigation schemes but with little attention to other categories of smallholder farmers, such as those practising irrigation in small historical towns. According to Hoogendoorn and Nel (2019), many small South African historical towns are considered a research lacuna in terms of water resource management to ensure water security for agricultural production. This is despite various water sector reforms such as the National Water Act No 36 of 1998 and the Water Allocation Reforms (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2008) that aimed to correct the past injustices in terms of water access.

Generally, South Africa is prone to frequent droughts, which ultimately affect water security, the national economy, and the communities (Zwane, 2019). Drought has impacted smallholder farmers for several years (Mpandeli et al., 2015) across the country, including the Western Cape province. Historically, the Western Cape has been the most disaster-prone province in South Africa (Overberg District Municipality, 2018). During 2015–2018, the Western Cape province experienced the worst drought since 1904 (Botai et al., 2017). The three-year shortage of rainfall, a rare event with the likelihood of occurring in approximately 150 years, led to severe distress within the whole Western Cape province (Muthige et al., 2020). In the Overberg District, water resources were identified as a critical concern requiring urgent attention (Birch et al., 2017). The district is vulnerable to both food and water insecurity in the face of climate change.

Water resources are likely to decrease due to the increased frequency of drought, rainfall variability, and temperature increase (Birch et al., 2017). Also, deteriorating infrastructure and system losses have exacerbated the situation, especially in small historical towns that depend on canal systems, such as Genadendal. Investments in maintaining water infrastructures are recommended to prevent water loss as well as storage (da Costa, 2018) but the problems of water insecurity seem to go beyond just infrastructure. There is, therefore, a need to understand the relationship between access to water and infrastructure management. This paper assessed the availability of agricultural water as well as irrigation water infrastructure and the associated water governance systems in Genadendal, a historical town in the Overberg District, Western Cape. The main objectives of the study were to 1) assess the availability of agricultural water for smallholder farmers in Genadendal, 2) to assess the status and performance of irrigation water infrastructure supplying water to smallholder farmers in Genadendal, and 3) to explore the current state of irrigation water governance systems in Genadendal.

2. Theoretical framework

2.1. Determinants of water security

The International Federation of Agricultural Producers (2005) stated that without water security, there is no agriculture. Water security is defined as equitable access to reliable and adequate good-quality water by farmers at the farm household level or local level to satisfy their agricultural water needs as well as their capability to claim or protect their

water use rights (Sinyolo et al., 2014). Primarily, not having adequate water does not automatically lead to water insecurity (Muller et al., 2009). Also, the abundance of freshwater resources does not necessarily lead to water security if effective water governance systems are absent (Biggs et al., 2013). At times the abundance of water resources can provide water security even if water governance systems are weak (Klümper et al., 2017).

Water governance entails the processes, rules, and regulations that can be used in the management, administration, and coordination of water resources and water infrastructure (Ostrom, 1993, 2000; Howarth et al., 2007; Lautze et al., 2011; Bastakoti and Shivakoti, 2012). The water insecurity crisis is usually caused by a crisis of management and accessibility (water governance crisis) rather than natural limitations in water supply (water quantity) (United Nations Development Programme and Water Integrity Network, 2013).

In their study, Chikozho et al (2020) argue that accessing irrigation water does not only depend on the availability of water resources or water use rights but also requires the availability of water infrastructure for transporting water from the sources to where it is required. Water infrastructure also plays a crucial role in managing water resources to attain water security (Grey and Sadoff, 2007). However, the development of water infrastructure alone cannot achieve water security (Inocencio et al., 2007; Faurès and Santini, 2008; Zeitoun, 2011). According to Dirwai et al (2019), water infrastructure should be closely related to laws, regulations, institutions (formal and informal), management practices, and policies to ensure that water resources and water-related services are managed effectively and efficiently. In South Africa, even though water is a very important component of agricultural production, many smallholder farmers are still faced with persistent water access inequalities.

2.2. *The Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development multi-level framework*

Effective water governance is very important in ensuring water security, considering that water insecurity challenges are now compounded by climate change, among other factors. In this study, the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Multi-level Governance Framework was used to assess the performance of water governance at a local level setting. The framework is based on water governance principles which are described in detail in the document for OECD principles on water governance (OECD, 2015). The principles are based on three mutually reinforcing and complementary dimensions of water governance: effectiveness, efficiency, and trust and engagement (OECD, 2015). The OECD (2015) describes these dimensions as follows:

- **Effectiveness** relates to the contribution of governance to define clear, sustainable water policy goals and targets at all levels of government, to implement those policy goals, and to meet expected targets.
- **Efficiency** relates to the contribution of governance to maximize the benefits of sustainable water management and welfare at the least cost to society.
- **Trust and engagement** relate to the contribution of governance to building public confidence and ensuring inclusiveness of stakeholders through democratic legitimacy and fairness for society at large.

The OECD Multi-level Governance Framework can be used to better manage water resources by identifying and bridging gaps in water policy to solve water governance challenges. It groups the water governance gaps or challenges into seven categories (Table 1) (policy, accountability, funding, information, capacity, objective and administrative).

Table 1. Key coordination gaps in water policy (OECD, 2011).

Coordination gaps	Description
Policy	A result of the fragmentation of roles and responsibilities of water-related tasks across ministries and agencies.
Accountability	Occurs when it is difficult to ensure the transparency of practices across the various constituencies, mainly as a result of insufficient user commitment, lack of concern, awareness, and participation.
Funding	Entails an insufficient or unstable budget to enable the undertaking of required water management activities such as construction, maintenance, and repairs of infrastructure.
Information	Occurs when there is a weakness in producing information (quantity, quality, type) among various stakeholders involved in water policy as well as when governments are not able to share the existing water data.
Capacity	When there is insufficient scientific, knowledge, obsolete infrastructure and technology, and lack of human resources as well as the insufficient infrastructural capacity of local actors to design and implement water policies (size and quality of infrastructure) including relevant strategies.
Objective	Unclear objectives of water governance structures as well as conflicts among them concerning issues related to social, economic, and environmental aspects.
Administrative	When there is a geographical “mismatch” between hydrological and administrative boundaries, this can be at the origin of both resource and supply gaps.

The OECD’s framework is applicable in all countries at any level of government regardless of the institutional settings (OECD, 2011). It was applied to 17 OECD countries in 2011, 13 Latin American countries in 2012, Mexico in 2013, the Netherlands, Tunisia, and Jordan in 2014, and Brazil in 2015 (OECD, 2015). In the United States of America, Alkharaz (2016) applied this framework at a local level on small-scale community-based water projects. In this study, only four governance gaps (policy, accountability, funding, and capacity) were selected and applied to assess the performance of irrigation water governance for smallholder farmers.

3. Study area

The study was carried out in Genadendal (34.0432 S, 19.5497 E), a small historical town and the oldest Moravian mission station in South Africa (Roos, 2002; da Costa, 2018). The town is situated in the foothills of the Riviersonderend Mountains in the Theewaterskloof Local Municipality, approximately 120 km east of Cape Town (Fig. 1).




Figure 1. Location of Genadendal in the Western Cape Province, South Africa.

The town of Genadendal falls under the jurisdiction of the Overberg District Municipality in the Western Cape province. The town comprises approximately 4500 ha of both urban and agricultural land (Swart et al., 2009). The area has a total population of 5663 and 1593 households (Statistics South Africa, 2011). The economy of the town is dominated by various development projects that are mainly driven by local organizations as well as smallholder farming (Theewaterskloof Municipality, 2019). Just like other coloured communities in the Western Cape province, Genadendal is a product of a difficult history (Noemdoe et al., 2006).

In terms of climate, Genadendal has a Mediterranean climate receiving most of its rainfall during winter and it has an average Mean Annual Precipitation of about 700 mm as well as an average Mean Annual Evaporation of 1400 mm (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2003). Community members are mainly reliant on surface water extracted from local rivers and streams in the Riviersonderend Mountain catchment area including the Riviersonderend River (Sonderend River) (Noemdoe et al., 2006).

4. Methodology

Quantitative data for rainfall, streamflow, and dam water levels from the year 2013 to 2019 were collected from responsible institutions that manage water resources. Rainfall data for the weather station closest to the study area was used. Also, the streamflow of the river and water levels of the dam that supplied agricultural water to some of the smallholder farmers were used. Quantitative data from other streams and irrigation dams were not available due to the unavailability of gauging stations. The main aim of quantitative data was to check if there was a reduction in water resources in the study area, especially during the 2015-2018 drought that had affected most parts of the Western Cape province. Graphs were plotted and used to analyze the trends or changes in rainfall, streamflow, and dam water levels during the 2013-2019 period.

The respondents were selected by purposive sampling with the assistance of an agricultural extension officer who was knowledgeable about the study area. Purposive sampling is a sampling technique that involves the use of the judgment of an expert to identify respondents who have the essential experience to provide informative answers to the research questions under investigation (Neuman, 2014). Eight smallholder farmers and eight key informants were interviewed. Key informants 1 and 2 were officials of the Western Cape Department of Agriculture, key informants 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 were officials of the Breede-Gouritz Catchment Management Agency and key informant 8 was the official of the Department of Water and Sanitation.

Qualitative data were collected from smallholder farmers through an electronically administered questionnaire. A questionnaire is defined as a set of questions used to gather information from individuals (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018). A focus group discussion was also conducted with 15 smallholder farmers. Additionally, key informant interviews were conducted to collect qualitative data from the officials who were working for the institutions that were responsible for the governance of agricultural water for smallholder farmers. The main purpose of the interviews was to understand the issues relating to the availability of water resources as well as the performance of irrigation water infrastructure and water governance from the perspective of smallholder farmers and key informants. The main issues covered during interviews and focus group discussions are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Main issues covered during interviews and focus group discussions.

Issues	Smallholder farmer questions	Key Informant questions
Water sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Perceptions on water shortages	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Perceptions on water shortages
Infrastructure	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Types of water infrastructure• Adequacy and performance of water infrastructure	
Governance	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Water use license and payment• Mechanisms of accessing water• Roles of institutions that allocate water• Capacity building, Cooperation<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Sustainability, Equitability• Participation, Accountability• Rule of law, Transparency, Conflict resolution	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Roles of institutions in water governance• Water governance challenges

Water governance information was collected around the four selected coordination gaps of the OECD framework, namely policy, accountability, funding, and capacity. The main issues relating to the questions on four selected water governance gaps are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Main issues covered on questions relating to the four selected water governance gaps.

Gaps	Smallholder farmer questions	Key Informant questions
Policy gap		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Availability of water law that clearly states and distinguishes the roles and responsibilities of organizations managing irrigation water for smallholder farmers• Availability of mechanisms and processes that promote cooperation among smallholder water users• Availability of integrated policies and strategies that promote coherence across sectors in irrigation water• Availability of mechanisms and processes to track transparency, accountability, and participation in water-related use decisions, and to engage farmers in water management activities
Funding gap	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Availability of adequate funding for managing water-related activities such as operation, maintenance, and development of infrastructure	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Availability of adequate funding for managing water-related activities such as operation, maintenance, and development of infrastructure
Accountability gap	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Involvement of farmers in the development, planning, and decision-making process concerning water use• Commitment of decision-makers to their roles and responsibilities• Accountability of decision-makers to the farmers for maladministration• Commitment of farmers to the water-related management issues such as maintenance of irrigation water infrastructure	
Capacity gap	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Farmers receiving any training for optimal use of irrigation water	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of technical staff to manage water resources and processes involved

Qualitative data were analyzed using the thematic analysis method. The method involves identifying, analyzing, and reporting themes or repeated patterns within the data set (Braun and Clarke, 2006).

5. Results and discussion

5.1. Changes in rainfall, streamflow, and dam water levels

Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 show the changes in total annual rainfall and streamflow at Boontjieskraal Station and Riviersonderend River Catchment respectively, from 2013 to 2019. The monthly percentage changes in water levels for Theewaterskloof Dam are shown in Fig. 4.




Figure 2. Total annual rainfall for the Boontjieskraal weather station for the period 2013–2019.




Figure 3. Annual total streamflow in million cubic meters in the Riviersonderend river catchment for the period 2013–2019.




Figure 4. Monthly percentage storage of Theewaterskloof Dam for the period 2013–2019.

Results from Figs. 2, 3, and 4 indicate that the total annual rainfall and streamflow decreased from 2013 to 2017, while the monthly percentage of dam water levels decreased from 2014 to 2017. The results also show that water resources in the Riviersonderend River and Theewaterskloof Dam were affected during the 2015-2018 drought period. This was

mainly a result of the decrease in rainfall received. Low total annual rainfall was experienced in the year 2017, which resulted in low dam levels and streamflows for Theewaterskloof Dam and Riviersonderend River respectively. This indicates that rainfall deficiency is one of the major contributing factors to the serious decline of water resources in dams and rivers. Dwindling rainfall can also strain the water supply and lead to an increase in competition for water which ultimately reduces the availability of adequate water resources and water security.

The findings from this study are consistent with other studies that were conducted in the Western Cape province during the 2015-2018 drought period. Wolski (2018) and Dube et al. (2020) found that the lowest average annual rainfall was in the year 2017. In their studies, Otto et al. (2018), Dube et al. (2020), Naik and Abiodun (2020), and Odoulami et al. (2021) also found that the Western Cape Province experienced three successive years (2015- 2017) where there was below-average rainfall that led to severe water shortages. Muthige et al. (2020) reported that the three-year deficiency in rainfall caused a lot of distress within the whole Western Cape Province.

5.2. Perspectives on water availability

Six of the interviewed farmers pointed out that their water sources were able to hold enough water all year round. This view was supported by key informants 1, 2, and 8. Key informant 2 further highlighted that the average or normal rainfall of Genadendal is adequate to provide water for the year.

Genadendal is situated in a winter rainfall area and during the rainy season, irrigation water is harvested in irrigation dams for utilization during the summer production season. The Riviersonderend River and the streams that flow from the mountains in the Genadendal area normally flow throughout the summer season, therefore water remains available throughout the year. Water used during the day from the irrigation dams is restored during the night flow (key informant 2).

One farmer stated that their dam used to be dry throughout the year but water from the mountain and overflow of the municipal dam remained running next to that dam. The farmer further explained that since a trench to direct water into the dam was made, water was now available all the time. This indicates that the lack of water conveyance systems for transporting water into the dam was the main factor that caused the previous unavailability of water. The availability of sufficient water in the irrigation dam was also mentioned by a farmer during the focus group discussion, who pointed out that they were lucky because the dam always received an adequate supply of water from the perennial mountain streams.

During the focus group discussion, farmers highlighted that the numerous fountains in Genadendal always flow, which indicates that water is always available. Despite the availability of adequate agricultural water resources throughout the year, three interviewed farmers who depended on the Riviersonderend River and one interviewed farmer who relied on the irrigation dam indicated that they had experienced water shortages during the 2015-2018 period. One of the farmers emphasized that the water level in the Riviersonderend River was very low. This view was also expressed by farmers during the focus group discussion who indicated that the Riviersonderend River was very dry and they had to move their livestock to the other side of the river. Other farmers also stated that the water shortages severely affected their oats and tea crops because it was very dry and the winds were very strong.

Key informants 1 and 2 highlighted that the smallholder farmers who were using water from the Riviersonderend River were severely impacted by the drought. These key informants further indicated that because of the limited availability of water during the 2015-2018 period, some smallholder farmers were forced to scale down their normal vegetable production and others were forced to transport water for their livestock. Concerning smallholder farmers who were practising vegetable farming, key informant 2 noted that their production was reduced to less than 10% of their capacity. As a result of severe

water shortages, key informant 1 asserted that up to 80% of summer water use restrictions were imposed during the 2015-2018 drought. Similar results were reported by Zwane (2019), who pointed out that the water situation in the Western Cape deteriorated severely during the 2015-2018 drought period, which resulted in negative performance as well as strict action in administering irrigation water. Otto et al. (2018) found that the water shortage due to drought was very serious at the beginning of 2018 and as a result, strict water restrictions were implemented, which led to the complete reduction of irrigation. Ncube's (2020) study for coping and adaptation strategies for smallholder farmers in the Western Cape Province found that livestock production was affected by the 2015–2018 drought to the extent that some farmers were forced to reduce their livestock numbers while others had to transport water from other places. Archer et al. (2019) add that in some areas it was reported that the planting of crops of less priority, such as tomatoes, vegetables, and onions, was ceased because of the limited availability of water for irrigation. Naik and Abiodun (2020) also found that the agricultural and livestock production in the Western Cape's farmlands was severely affected by the extremely low rainfall during the 2015–2018 period.

Interestingly, smallholder farmers who relied on irrigation dams in Genadendal expressed that there were no water shortages during the 2015-2018 period. One of them highlighted that they never experienced water shortages because the municipal dam overflow always flowed into their dam and they would only suffer water shortages if the municipality decided to close the dam. Another interviewed farmer pointed out that water was always flowing from the perennial mountain streams into their dam. During focus group discussions, smallholder farmers reported that they were not affected by drought because they believed that the grace of God was upon them. They also indicated that the drought had only seriously affected those farmers who were outside Genadendal.

5.3. Perceptions of farmers on the condition of water infrastructure

The performance of irrigation water infrastructure is critical to ensure that water is distributed efficiently, reliably, and equitably to the fields of farmers. Seven of the interviewed farmers relied on pipelines to convey water from irrigation dams and rivers to their fields. Two of the interviewed farmers further emphasized that water for smallholder farmers was mainly transported through pipes from the irrigation dams to the field of each smallholder farmer for about six hours. Petrol pumps were mainly used to pump water from the river. Only one farmer transported water from the dam to the field using an earthen canal. Another farmer used concrete canals to transport water from the river. Canal systems were not fully operational and used by farmers. It was mentioned during the focus group discussion that some farmers were forced to use municipal water because the canal systems from the dam were not functional due to the lack of maintenance. This view was supported by one of the farmers who had access to 15 hectares of land but unfortunately, that farmer could only cultivate two hectares because access to water through the canal systems had been blocked. Both canal systems and pipelines were facing the challenges of inadequate maintenance. It was indicated during the focus group discussion that besides the canal systems, the pipelines were also not maintained. According to one of the farmers, there were no organizations that supported them with water infrastructure maintenance. During the focus group discussion, farmers highlighted that every farmer used to be responsible for cleaning and maintaining the canal systems in their area but unfortunately this was no longer possible because off-farm employment left them with no time to do maintenance. Deteriorating water infrastructure due to inadequate maintenance can lead to water insecurity. If the maintenance of water infrastructure is not done, water is not distributed properly to users (Imburgia, 2019). According to (Ncube, 2020), infrastructure maintenance plays a critical role in conserving water resources during drought periods and consequently enables water security but this is very challenging, especially in smallholder irrigation schemes.

5.4. Analysis of water governance challenges using the OECD multi-level governance Framework

Four water governance gaps were selected for this study, namely policy, accountability, funding, and capacity. The four gaps are discussed in relation to the findings from Genadendal. These gaps were found to apply to the study area and contributed significantly to the water security status of smallholder farmers.

5.4.1. Policy gap

Inadequate support for smallholder farmers and political interference in water-related issues were identified as some of the policy-related issues in Genadendal. Key informant 8 stated that insufficient support from responsible water institutions as well as political interference contributed to the failure of mechanisms and processes that were supposed to promote cooperation among smallholder irrigation water users. Political interference and lack of sufficient support from responsible authorities contribute to the water insecurity status of water users. In a study assessing the challenges of water governance in Northern Iran, Mirzaei et al. (2017) found that politicians usually attempted to influence decision-making relating to water issues with the main objective of gaining political power. The OECD also noted that the interference of influential political groups was one of the critical challenges in the governance of water (OECD, 2015). Additionally, key informants 1 and 2 highlighted the absence of adequate mechanisms to engage smallholder irrigation farmers who were likely to be affected by irrigation water-related decisions, such as women and youth. Water security for water users can be threatened if they are not fully engaged in water-related decision-making. Lack of engagement of water users in water-related issues can lead to water insecurity (Singh et al., 2020).

Cooperation is considered one of the critical water governance principles for ensuring water security (Rogers and Hall, 2003). Key informant 1 indicated that it was only the local farmers association, Genadendal Farmers Association, in cooperation with the local Genadendal Transformation Committee, that tried to promote cooperation among smallholder farmers. Key informant 1 also pointed out that even though the local community structures worked closely with the water user association and catchment management agency, cooperation with the local municipality was not sufficient.

Lack of coordination among institutions that were responsible for managing and supporting smallholder farmers concerning water access issues was another policy gap that was identified. Key informant 5 mentioned that coordination among institutions to support smallholder farmers with water infrastructure development was lacking. There was a fragmentation of roles and tasks among institutions that were involved in the management of water-related activities and this could eventually lead to water insecurity. In their study on water governance in Bangladesh, Chan et al. (2016) found that the inadequacy of policy frameworks for promoting coordination among institutions further contributed to the fragmentation of roles across organizations.

Key informant 8 said there was weak internal coordination within institutions as well as a lack of integration and poor external alignment of water reform with other reform programs. This had implications for water security status. Key informant 8 further revealed that lack of coordination within institutions also contributed to the lack of fruitful and progressive realization of equity goals in terms of water allocation for smallholder farmers.

5.4.2. Accountability gap

Lack of commitment to the roles and responsibilities of both farmers and institutions was one of the problems in the accountability gap. During the focus group discussion, farmers highlighted that off-farm employment outside Genadendal was one of the factors that hindered them from being fully committed to water-related management activities such as carrying out maintenance activities of their infrastructure. Farmers with off-farm occupations may have inadequate time for negotiating and guarding water supplies

which can affect the reliability and access to irrigation water. Letsoalo and van Averbeké (2006) found that the degradation of parts of the canal system was mainly a result of farmers not taking full responsibility for maintenance.

According to Howarth et al. (2007), the indiscipline of not following rules for increasing order and equity may be encouraged, especially by those users without off-farm activities as they tend to irrigate to suit their time, availability, and convenience. More than half of the interviewed smallholder farmers were of the view that the institutions responsible for the management of their agricultural water were not accountable. According to Marimbe and Manzungu (2003), leaders in the management of water resources should be answerable to the group they serve, in case things go wrong. In the governance of water resources, lack of accountability has been stated as the major obstacle to attaining efficient water management (Jiménez et al., 2018). Key informant 6 indicated that due to time constraints it was very challenging for their institution to fully assist smallholder farmers with water management-related issues such as the water allocation process. Furthermore, key informant 6 emphasized that most of their time was committed to other roles and responsibilities.

Akuriba et al. (2020) indicated that water management leaders are expected to show commitment to their responsibilities. However, according to key informant 6, only 6% of their time was spent on water allocation duties, including assisting smallholder farmers with water-related management issues. Key informant 3 also highlighted that it was very challenging for their institution to fully assist smallholder farmers regarding irrigation water access as most of the smallholder farmers were not willing to approach them and share their challenges regarding water access.

The absence of transparency in irrigation water-related decisions was also identified as a contributing factor to the accountability gap. This factor was pointed out by key informant 2 and was regarded as one of the main limitations to the governance of water in the Genadendal area.

The participation of farmers in irrigation and water management activities can assist in improving water use security. Key informant 4 emphasized that their institution engaged with smallholder farmers who were members and also non-members of Water Users Associations (WUAs). Key informant 4 mentioned that unfortunately, farmers who were not part of a WUA were usually not willing to participate during water allocation meetings and they also did not commit themselves to attending the meetings. Bhatta et al. (2006) found that the active participation of farmers in processes related to water access issues is necessary to achieve effective irrigation water management and consequently, water security. This is mainly because it provides them with strong motivation to respect rules and conserve water. In their study, Muchara et al. (2014) found that farmers needed to be part of formal institutions such as WUAs as this helped them become involved in capacity-building programs and increased their participation. More than half of the interviewed farmers stated that they were not directly involved in the processes that involved the development, planning, and decision-making for irrigation water. This indicates that the active participation of farmers in issues concerning water management was lacking. In India, the lack of involvement of people in the management of local water resources was found to be one of the contributing factors that led to the water insecurity crisis (Poricha and Dasgupta, 2011).

5.4.3. Funding gap

A lack of financial resources to carry out water management activities was identified in the funding category. Key informant 1 indicated insufficient funding as one of the contributing factors that limited their engagement with smallholder farmers. Lack of funding can also limit the establishment of mechanisms to engage farmers who are likely to be affected by water-related decisions. In addition, key informant 5 pointed out that funding to support smallholder farmers with water infrastructure development to access water was inadequate. One of the smallholder farmers who was interviewed asserted that there

were no organizations that supported them with water infrastructure development and as a result, a lot of water flowing from the mountain and dam was wasted. It seemed that the lack of adequate infrastructure to store and transport water contributed to the artificial water shortages for smallholder farmers. During the focus group discussion, farmers also expressed concerns that the funding that was invested by responsible institutions for canal maintenance was unfortunately not assigned to them. One of the farmers revealed that only farmers outside Genadendal were assisted with funding for infrastructure development. This means that assisting smallholder farmers in Genadendal with water infrastructure was not a priority for those institutions that were responsible for the governance of agricultural water resources.

The absence of adequate funding for infrastructure development and maintenance can lead to water insecurity even if water is available. In their study, Huppert et al. (2003) found that lack of funds can contribute to inadequate maintenance of infrastructure and consequently, water insecurity. Chikozho et al (2020) found that the lack of finance for investing in sustainable irrigation water supply systems contributed to water insecurity in South Africa. Adequate and reliable infrastructure is required to extract water from the source to the field. Key informants 4 and 5 highlighted that they assisted smallholder farmers to apply for funding that could be used to maintain all types of infrastructure. Key informants 4 and 5 added that unfortunately, the applications that they had received from farmers were unsuccessful due to insufficient funding from the responsible institution. Key informant 4 also pointed out that most often the funding was restricted to farmers who were members of a water user association. Of the eight farmers who were interviewed, only two indicated that the Zonderend Water User Association was one of the institutions that were responsible for managing agricultural water resources for smallholder farmers. This means that most of the farmers were not members of that water user association.

According to Muller et al. (2009), investment in infrastructure for storing and delivering water as well as in institutions for water resources management is critical in ensuring water security. Key informant 2 indicated that farmers were not fully committed to paying their annual water use fees. According to key informant 1, farmers believed that water was a free product from God, hence they were not supposed to pay for it. Funds generated from water use payments can be used to assist the development, operation, and maintenance of irrigation water infrastructure, thereby ultimately enhancing water security.

Key informant 1 highlighted that because of the absence of water meters, farmers with small pieces of land were forced to pay for the same amount of water used as farmers who owned large pieces of land. In addition, key informant 1 stated that the water usage bill was often equally divided among farmers regardless of their different land sizes. This seems to have contributed to the unwillingness of some farmers to pay for water use. Kujinga (2002) asserted that if farmers do not pay their water charges it can lead to poor water management and inadequate access to water. In their study on smallholder farmers in South Africa, Dirwai et al. (2019) found that farmers who did not pay their irrigation water use fees contributed to water insecurity. If water users do not pay for using water, it can compromise the sustainability of water infrastructure and consequently lead to water insecurity.

5.4.4. Capacity gap

More than half of the interviewed smallholder farmers reported that they had not received any training on the optimal use of irrigation water which means that irrigation water management training skills were lacking. Farmers' lack of training reduces the probability of them saving water and consequently affects their water security status. The finding from this study is consistent with Mvelase (2016) who indicated that most South African smallholder farmers lack skills. According to van Koppen et al. (2018), investing in soft skills such as farmer training can improve agricultural production. In cases of water

scarcity, capacity building and empowerment of farmers play an important role in enhancing their adaptation capacity (Fissahaye et al., 2017). Training for optimal use of agricultural water can also assist farmers to cope during periods of drought (Bijani and Hayati, 2018). In their study, Dirwai et al. (2019) found that water management training was very important in ensuring the adequacy of water in smallholder irrigation schemes. More than half of the interviewed farmers in this study revealed that they conserved irrigation water to ensure its availability for future use. This was surprising because these farmers did not receive any training from any institutions regarding the optimal use of irrigation water. It shows that farmers were training themselves informally to conserve water. Cousins (2013) highlighted that informal water management training whereby farmers train themselves through information sharing is crucial because at times access to extension services by smallholder farmers is very challenging.

According to key informants 1, 2, and 8, their institutions did not have sufficient human resource capacity, particularly technical staff, to manage water resources for smallholder farmers in Genadendal, which appears to have contributed to the difficulty of controlling water use for smallholder farmers. When there is no control over water use, it can lead to water insecurity among users. Key informant 2 highlighted that only two persons were available to handle all irrigation water-related issues, including the collection of water use fees. Furthermore, key informant 1 mentioned that due to inadequate technical staff in their institution, some committee members, who were smallholder farmers, ended up working voluntarily to maintain infrastructure despite not having enough resources. The maintenance of water infrastructure is a task that requires sufficient and skilled human resources. Human resource capacity is critical to enable the management of water, ensure equitable distribution of water, collect water payments, maintain irrigation infrastructure, and manage conflicts (Mutiro and Lautze, 2015), all of which would assist in attaining water security. Lack of human resource capacity can lead to difficulty in controlling and monitoring water resources (Kabote and John, 2017).

6. Conclusion

This study assessed infrastructure performance and irrigation water governance as well as the availability of agricultural water resources for smallholder farmers. Farmers depended on surface water extracted from the river and irrigation dams for their farming. Farmers who relied on the river were affected during the 2015-2018 drought period and this led to severe water shortages for both livestock and crop production. On the other hand, the study has shown that smallholder farmers who utilized water from the irrigation dams were not affected. The study concludes that there are adequate agricultural water resources for smallholder farmers even during drought periods in Genadendal, especially for the farmers who depend on irrigation dams. The study also showed that the performance of irrigation infrastructure, particularly the canal system, was in a poor state mainly because of inadequate maintenance. Lack of infrastructure maintenance was mainly due to insufficient funding and human resource capacity as well as a lack of commitment from farmers and institutions to carry out maintenance.

Farmers were not committed to paying water use fees that could be used to contribute towards funds for the operation and maintenance of water infrastructure. The study also showed that the irrigation water governance systems for smallholder farmers were dysfunctional. Lack of coordination within and among institutions as well as inadequate support and mechanisms to engage smallholder farmers contributed to the dysfunctionality of irrigation water governance systems. Finally, the study concludes that there are sufficient agricultural water resources in Genadendal, but the performance of water governance systems needs improvement to enhance water security for smallholder farmers.

Acknowledgments: The research was funded by the Water Research Commission (Project No. K5/2716/4). Private Bag X03, Gezina, 0031, South Africa. The student bursary was provided by the Cape Peninsula University of Technology.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- Abera, A., Verhoest, N.E., Tilahun, S.A., Alamirew, T., Adgo, E., Moges, M.M., Nyssen, J., 2019. Performance of small-scale irrigation schemes in Lake Tana Basin of Ethiopia: technical and socio-political attributes. *Physical Geography*. 40(3), 227-251. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02723646.2018.1516445>.
- Akuriba, M.A., Haagsma, R., Heerink, N., Dittoh, S., 2020. Assessing governance of irrigation systems: A view from below. *World Development Perspectives*. 19, 100197.
- Alkharaz, M., 2016., Applying the water governance framework on rural water development projects in Guatemala. Doctoral dissertation, The University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee.
- Archer, E., Landman, W., Malherbe, J., Tadross, M., Pretorius, S., 2019. South Africa's winter rainfall region drought: A region in transition? *Climate Risk Management*. 25, 100188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2019.100188>.
- Awulachew, S.B., Ayana, M., 2011. Performance of irrigation: An assessment at different scales in Ethiopia. *Experimental Agriculture*. 47(S1), 57-69. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014479710000955>.
- Bastakoti, R.C., Shivakoti, G.P., 2012. Rules and collective action: An institutional analysis of the performance of irrigation systems in Nepal. *Journal of Institutional Economics*. 8(2), 225-246. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1744137411000452>.
- Bhatta, K.P., Ishida, A., Taniguchi, K., Sharma, R., 2006. Performance of agency-managed and farmer-managed irrigation systems: A comparative case study at Chitwan, Nepal. *Irrigation and Drainage Systems*. 20(2-3), 177-191.
- Biggs, E.M., Duncan, J.M., Atkinson, P.M., Dash, J., 2013. Plenty of water, not enough strategy: How inadequate accessibility, poor governance and a volatile government can tip the balance against ensuring water security: The case of Nepal. *Environmental Science & Policy*. 33, 388-394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2013.07.004>.
- Bijani, M., Hayati, D., 2018. Farmers' perceptions toward agricultural water conflict: The case of Doroodzan Dam irrigation network, Iran. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology*. 17(3), 561-575.
- Birch, S., Merwe, F., Isaacs, G., Rulien, F.K., Volschenk, R., 2017. Overberg climate change response framework. <https://odm.org.za/overberg-climate-change-response-framework> (accessed 14 August 2019).
- Botai, C.M., Botai, J.O., De Wit, J.P., Ncongwane, K.P., Adeola, A.M., 2017. Drought characteristics over the Western Cape Province, South Africa. *Water*. 9(11), 876. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w9110876>.
- Braun, V., Clarke, V., 2006. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*. 3(2), 77-101.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 2018. Data collection methods for pro-program evaluation: Questionnaires. <https://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/evaluation/pdf/brief14.pdf>. (accessed 06 November 2019).
- Chan, N.W., Roy, R., Chaffin, B.C., 2016. Water governance in Bangladesh: An evaluation of institutional and political context. *Water*. 8(9), 403. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w8090403>.
- Chikozho, C., Managa, R., Dabata, T., 2020. Ensuring access to water for food production by emerging farmers in South Africa: What are the missing ingredients? *Water SA*. 46(2), 225-233.
- Cousins, B., 2013. Smallholder irrigation schemes, agrarian reform and 'accumulation from above and from below' in South Africa. *Journal of Agrarian Change*. 13(1), 116-139. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joac.12000>.
- Da Costa, C. 2018. Food security and climate change: The role of subsistence agriculture in Genadendal, Western Cape of South Africa. Unpublished Master's thesis, Stellenbosch University, South Africa.
- de Fraiture, C., Wichelns, D., 2010. Satisfying future water demands for agriculture. *Agricultural Water Management*. 97(4), 502-511. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2009.08.008>.
- Dejen, Z.A., 2015. Hydraulic and operational performance of irrigation schemes in view of water saving and sustainability: sugar estates and community managed schemes in Ethiopia. Wageningen University and Research. <https://research.wur.nl/en/publications/hydraulic-and-operational-performance-of-irrigation-schemes-in-vi> (accessed 12 July 2019).
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2003. Breede Water Management Area. Report No PWMA 18/000/00/0203.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2008. Water allocation reform strategy. <https://www.dws.gov.za/WAR/documents/WARStrategySep08.pdf> (accessed 20 September 2019).
- Dinka, M.O., 2016. Evaluating the adequacy performance of sprinkler irrigation systems at Finchaa sugar cane plantation, Eastern Wollega zone, Ethiopia. *Irrigation and Drainage*. 65(4), 537-548. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ird.2059>.
- Dirwai, T.L., Senzanje, A., Mudhara, M., 2019. Water governance impacts on water adequacy in smallholder irrigation schemes in KwaZulu-Natal province, South Africa. *Water Policy*. 21(1), 127-146. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wp.2018.149>.
- Dittoh, S., Akuriba, M.A., Issaka, B.Y., Bhattarai, M., 2010. Sustainable micro-irrigation systems for poverty alleviation in the Sahel: A case for "micro" public-private partnerships? Poster presented at the Joint 3rd African Association of Agricultural Economists (AAAE) and 48th Agricultural Economists Association of South Africa (AEASA) Conference, Cape Town, South Africa, September 19-23, 2010. No. 308-2016-5037. <https://ageconsearch.umn.edu/record/97045/files/122.%20Sustainable%20Micro%20Irrigation%20in%20the%20Sahel.pdf> (accessed 20 September 2019).
- Dube, K., Nhamo, G., Chikodzi, D., 2020. Climate change-induced droughts and tourism: Impacts and responses of Western Cape province, South Africa. *Journal of Outdoor Recreation and Tourism*, 100319. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jort.2020.100319>.
- Elshaikh, A.E., Jiao, X., Yang, S.H., 2018. Performance evaluation of irrigation projects: Theories, methods, and techniques. *Agricultural Water Management*. 203, 87-96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2018.02.034>.

- Faurès, J.M., Santini, G., 2008. Water and the rural poor: Interventions for improving livelihoods in sub-Saharan Africa. <https://reliefweb.int/report/world/water-and-rural-poor-interventions-improving-livelihoods-sub-saharan-africa> (accessed February 2021).
- Fissahaye Y.D., Ritsema, C.J., Solomon, H., Froebrich, J., Van Dam, J.C., 2017. Irrigation water management: Farmers' practices, perceptions and adaptations at Gumselassa irrigation scheme, North Ethiopia. *Agricultural Water Management*. 191, 16-28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2017.05.009>.
- Giordano, M., Barron, J., Ünver, O., 2019. Water scarcity and challenges for smallholder agriculture. In *Sustainable Food and Agriculture*, 75-94. Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-812134-4.00005-4>.
- Gomo, T., Mudhara, M., Senzanje, A., 2014. Farmers satisfaction with the performance of the Mooi River Irrigation Scheme, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *Water SA*. 40(3), 437-444.
- Grey, D., Sadoff, C.W., 2007. Sink or swim? Water security for growth and development. *Water Policy*. 9(6), 545-571. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wp.2007.021>.
- Haileslassie, A., Agide, Z., Erkossa, T., Hoekstra, D., Schmitter, P.S., Langan, S.J., 2016. On-farm smallholder irrigation performance in Ethiopia: From water use efficiency to equity and sustainability. <https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/77017> (accessed 11 June 2019).
- Hoogendoorn, G., Nel, E., 2019. Small town change in South Africa. In: *The geography of South Africa*. Springer, Cham, pp. 153-158.
- Howarth, S., Nott, G., Parajuli, U., Dzhaibayev, N., 2007. Irrigation, governance and water access: Getting better results for the poor. In: 4th Asian Regional Conference & 10th International Seminar on Participatory Irrigation Management, Tehran, Iran, 2nd - 5th May. <https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.928.5747&rep=rep1&type=pdf> (accessed 19 April 2020).
- Huppert, W., Svendsen, M., Vermillion, D.L., 2003. Maintenance in irrigation: Multiple actors, multiple contexts, multiple strategies. *Irrigation and Drainage Systems*. 17(1), 5-22.
- Imburgia, L., 2019. Irrigation and equality: An integrative gender-analytical approach to water governance with examples from Ethiopia and Argentina. *Water Alternatives*. 12(2), 571-587.
- Inocencio, A.B., 2007. Costs and performance of irrigation projects: A comparison of sub-Saharan Africa and other developing regions (Vol. 109). IWMI. <https://econpapers.repec.org/RePEc:iwt:rerpts:h036214> (accessed 18 September 2019).
- International Federation of Agricultural Producers (IFAP), 2005. Good practices in agricultural water management: Case studies from farmers worldwide. http://www.un.org/esa/sustdev/csd/csd13/documents/bgground_3.pdf (accessed 20 September 2019).
- Jiménez, A., Livsey, J., Åhlén, I., Scharp, C., Takane, M., 2018. Global assessment of accountability in water and sanitation services using GLAAS data. *Water Alternatives*. 11(2), 238.
- Kabote, S.J., John, P., 2017. Water governance in Tanzania: Performance of governance structures and institutions. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/319401725_Water_Governance_in_Tanzania_Performance_of_Governance_Structures_and_Institutions (accessed 12 August 2019).
- Karimi, P., Bongani, B., Blatchford, M., de Fraiture, C., 2019. Global satellite-based ET products for the local level irrigation management: An application of irrigation performance assessment in the Sugarbelt of Swaziland. *Remote Sensing*. 11(6), 705. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11060705>.
- Kharrou, M. H., Le Page, M., Chehbouni, A., Simonneaux, V., Er-Raki, S., Jarlan, L., Chehbouni, G., (2013). Assessment of equity and adequacy of water delivery in irrigation systems using remote sensing-based indicators in semi-arid region, Morocco. *Water Resources Management*. 27(13), 4697-4714.
- Klümper, F., Herzfeld, T., Theesfeld, I., 2017. Can water abundance compensate for weak water governance? Determining and comparing dimensions of irrigation water security in Tajikistan. *Water* 9(4), 286. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w9040286>.
- Kujinga, K., 2002. Decentralizing water management: an analysis of stakeholder participation in the management of water in Odzi subcatchment area, Save Catchment. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*. 27(11-22), 897-905. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-7065\(02\)00092-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-7065(02)00092-X).
- Lautze, J., De Silva, S., Giordano, M., Sanford, L., 2011. Putting the cart before the horse: Water governance and IWRM. *Natural Resources Forum*. 35(1), 1-8. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-8947.2010.01339.x>.
- Letsoalo, S.S., van Averbek, W., 2006. Infrastructural maintenance on smallholder canal irrigation schemes in the north of South Africa. In: *Proceedings International Symposium on Water and Land Management for Sustainable Irrigated Agriculture*. Adana: Cukurova University, pp. 4-8.
- Marimbe, S., Manzungu, E., 2003. Challenges of communicating integrated water resource management in Zimbabwe. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*. 28(20-27), 1077-1084. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2003.08.007>.
- Mirzaei, A., Knierim, A., Nahavand, S. F., Mahmoudi, H., 2017. Gap analysis of water governance in Northern Iran: A closer look into the water reservoirs. *Environmental Science & Policy*. 77, 98-106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2017.08.004>.
- Moyo, M., Van Rooyen, A., Moyo, M., Chivenge, P., Bjornlund, H., 2017. Irrigation development in Zimbabwe: Understanding productivity barriers and opportunities at Mkoba and Silalatshani irrigation schemes. *International Journal of Water Resources Development*. 33(5), 740-754. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07900627.2016.1175339>.
- Mpandeli, S., Nesamvuni, E., Maponya, P., 2015. Adapting to the impacts of drought by smallholder farmers in Sekhukhune District in Limpopo Province, South Africa. *Journal of Agricultural Science*. 7(2), 115.
- Muchara, B., Ortmann, G., Wale, E., Mudhara, M., 2014. Collective action and participation in irrigation water management: A case study of Mooi River Irrigation Scheme in KwaZulu-Natal Province, South Africa. *Water SA*. 40(4), 699-708.

- Muller, M., Schreiner, B., Smith, L., van Koppen, B., Sally, H., Aliber, M., Cousins, B., Tape-la, B., Van der Merwe-Botha, M., Karar, E., Pietersen, K., 2009. Water security in South Africa. Development Planning Division. Working Paper Series, 12. <https://www.dbsa.org/sites/default/files/media/documents/2021-03/DPD%20No12.%20Water%20security%20in%20South%20Africa.pdf> (accessed 13 September 2019).
- Muthige, M.S., Kobo, N.S., Mpheshea, L.E., Nkoana, R., Moyo, E., 2020. Dissection of the Western Cape drought focusing on the response and adaptive capacity of Langa, Cape Town. <http://wrc.org.za/mdocs-posts/dissection-of-the-western-cape-drought-focusing-on-the-response-and-adaptive-capacity-of-langa-cape-town/> (accessed 12 September 2021).
- Mutiro, J., Lautze, J., 2015. Irrigation in southern Africa: Success or failure? *Irrigation and Drainage*. 64(2), 180-192. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ird.1892>.
- Mvelase, T.C., 2016. Developing practical solutions to problems and constraints faced by smallholder irrigation schemes in South Africa. Published MSc Thesis, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pietermaritzburg.
- Naik, M., Abiodun, B.J., 2020. Projected changes in drought characteristics over the Western Cape, South Africa. *Meteorological Applications*. 27(1), 1802. <https://doi.org/10.1002/met.1802>.
- Ncube, B., 2020. Smallholder farmer drought coping and adaptation strategies in Limpopo and Western Cape Provinces. <http://wrc.org.za/?mdocs-file=60673> (accessed 22 April 2021).
- Neuman, W.L., 2014. Social research methods: Qualitative and quantitative approaches. http://letrunghieutvu.yolasite.com/resources/w-lawrence-neuman-social-research-methods_-qualitative-and-quantitative-approaches-pearson-education-limited-2013.pdf (accessed 16 September 2021).
- Ngasoh, F.G., Anyadike, C.C., Mbajorgu, C.C., Usman, M.N., 2018. Performance evaluation of sprinkler irrigation system at Mambilla beverage limited, Kakara-Gembu, Taraba State-Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Technology*. 37(1), 268-274.
- Noemdoe, S., Jonker, L., Swatuk, L.A., 2006. Perceptions of water scarcity: The case of Genadendal and outstations. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*. 31(15-16), 771-778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2006.08.003>.
- Odoulami, R.C., Wolski, P., New, M., 2021. A SOM-based analysis of the drivers of the 2015–2017 Western Cape drought in South Africa. *International Journal of Climatology*. 41, 1518-1530. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.6785>.
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 2011. Water governance in OECD countries: A multi-level approach. https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/water-governance-in-oecd-countries_9789264119284-en (accessed 18 March 2020).
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 2015. OECD principles on water governance. <https://www.oecd.org/governance/oecd-principles-on-water-governance.htm> (accessed 18 March 2020).
- Ostrom, E., 1993. Design principles in long-enduring irrigation institutions. *Water Resources Research*. 29(7), 1907-1912. <https://doi.org/10.1029/92WR02991>.
- Ostrom, E., 2000. Collective action and the evolution of social norms. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. 14(3), 137-158.
- Otto, F.E., Wolski, P., Lehner, F., Tebaldi, C., Van Oldenborgh, G.J., Hogesteeger, S., Singh, R., Holden, P., Fučkar, N.S., Odoulami, R.C., New, M., 2018. Anthropogenic influence on the drivers of the Western Cape drought 2015–2017. *Environmental Research Letters*. 13(12), 124010.
- Otto, F.E., Wolski, P., Lehner, F., Tebaldi, C., Van Oldenborgh, G.J., Hogesteeger, S., Singh, R., Holden, P., Fučkar, N.S., Odoulami, R.C., New, M., 2018. Anthropogenic influence on the drivers of the Western Cape drought 2015–2017. *Environmental Research Letters*. 13(12) -124010.
- Overberg District Municipality, 2018. Climate change adaptation summary report. https://odm.org.za/download_document/1623 (accessed 16 March 2021).
- Poricha, B., Dasgupta, B., 2011. Equity and access: Community-based water management in urban poor communities: An Indian case study. *WIT Transactions on Ecology and the Environment*. 153, 275-285.
- Rogers, P., Hall, A.W., 2003. Effective water governance (Vol. 7). Stockholm: Global water partnership. <https://www.gwp.org/globalassets/global/toolbox/publications/background-papers/07-effective-water-governance-2003-english.pdf> (accessed 21 September 2019).
- Roos, J., 2002. Project "Restoration Genadendal, South Africa", 336–340. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/author/J.-Roos/144259970> (accessed 13 September 2019).
- Singh, S., Shrestha, K., Hamal, M., Prakash, A., 2020. Perform or wither: Role of water users' associations in municipalities of Nepal. *Water Policy*. 22(S1), 90-106. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wp.2019.051>.
- Sinyolo, S., Mudhara, M., Wale, E., 2014. Water security and rural household food security: Empirical evidence from the Mzinyathi district in South Africa. *Food Security*. 6(4), 483-499.
- South African Department of Agriculture, 2015. Annual report 2014/2015. https://static.pmg.org.za/151015Daff_AR.pdf (accessed 27 December 2021).
- Statistics South Africa, 2011. Formal census. <https://www.statssa.gov.za/publications/P03014/P030142011.pdf> (accessed 17 May 2020).
- Swart, A., van Oers, R., du Preez, H., Roos, J., Smidt, I., le Grange, L., van Papendorp, J., Rughubar, R., Combrink, G.C., Oosthuizen, K., Pansegrouw, P., Brand, M., Damons, D., Jonas, M., Retief, J., Verhoef, G.W., 2009. The challenge of Genadendal. IOS Press. <https://za1lib.org/book/1095708/0f7009>
- Theewaterskloof Municipality, 2019. Integrated Development Planning. <https://municipalities.co.za/resources/1225/theewaterskloof-local-municipality> (accessed 14 June 2019).
- United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and Water Integrity Network (WIN), 2013. User's guide on assessing water governance. <https://www.undp.org/publications/users-guide-assessing-water-governance> (accessed 15 May 2020).

-
- van Koppen, B., Tapela, B.N., Mapedza, E., 2018. Joint ventures in the Flag Boshielo Irrigation Scheme, South Africa: A history of smallholders, states and business. IWMI. <https://www.iwmi.cgiar.org/publications/iwmi-research-reports/iwmi-research-report-171/> (accessed 10 December 2021).
- Wolski, P., 2018. How severe is Cape Town's "Day Zero" drought? Significance. 15(2), 24-27. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1740-9713.2018.01127.x>.
- Zeitoun, M., 2011. The global web of national water security. Global Policy. 2(3), 286-296. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-5899.2011.00097.x>.
- Zwane, E.M., 2019. Impact of climate change on primary agriculture, water sources and food security in Western Cape, South Africa. Jàmbá: Journal of Disaster Risk Studies. 11(1), 1-7.