
Review, Special Issue "Real World Evidence for Resuscitation of Science"

Real world evidence – current developments and perspectives

Friedemann Schad^{1,2 *} and Anja Thronicke²

¹ Interdisciplinary Oncology and Palliative Care, Hospital Gemeinschaftskrankenhaus Havelhöhe, Berlin, Germany; fschad@havelhoehe.de

² Research Institute Havelhöhe, Hospital Havelhöhe, Berlin, Germany; anja.thronicke@havelhoehe.de

* Correspondence: fschad@havelhoehe.de

Abstract: Real-world evidence (RWE) is increasingly involved in the early benefit assessment of medicinal drugs. It is expected that RWE will help to speed up approval processes comparable to RWE developments in vaccine research during the COVID-19 pandemic. Definitions of RWE are diverse marking the highly fluid status in this field. So far, RWE comprises information produced from data routinely collected on patient's health status and/or delivery of health care from various sources other than traditional clinical trials. These sources can include electronic health records, claims, patient-generated data including in home-use settings, data from mobile devices as well as patient, product and disease registries. The aim of the present update was to review the current RWE developments and guidelines mainly in the U.S., the UK, Europe and Germany field during the last decade. RWE has already been included in various approval procedures of regulatory authorities reflecting its actual acceptance and growing importance in evaluating and accelerating new therapies. However, since the RWE research is still in a transition process and since a number of gaps in this field have been explored, more guidance and a consented definition are necessary to increase the implementation of real-world data.

Keywords: review, real -world evidence, real -world data, randomized controlled trials, registry, digital health technology, early drug approval

1. Introduction

Real world evidence (RWE) research has received attention in the recent decade and is playing an increasing role in health care decisions [1]. Globally, growing activities of international initiatives and the release of legal frameworks by regulatory authorities have been observed in this field [2]. The British Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) defines RWE as information produced from data routinely collected on patients such as electronic health records (EHR) as well as disease and patient registries [2]. The FDA defines RWE as *clinical evidence about the usage and potential benefits or risks of a medical product derived from analysis of RWD*, [35]. The US congress defines real-world data (RWD) utilized in RWE as *data regarding the usage, or the potential benefits or risks, of a drug derived from sources other than traditional clinical trials*, [2]. The FDA has expanded the definition of RWD as follows: *RWD are the data relating to patient health status and/or the delivery of health care routinely collected from a variety of sources*, [2]. RWE can come from a number of sources, such as EHRs, claims and billing activities, product and disease registries, patient-generated data including in home-use settings, data gathered from other sources that can inform on health status (e.g. mobile devices), and data linkage approaches [3]. Information generated and analyzed from RWE can help to consider the effectiveness, efficacy and safety of a medicinal product or an electronic device. Thus the potential of high-quality RWD seems promising - for research as well as for health care. For decades, RWE has played a role in post-approval but not in approval processes. The present review will highlight the chronological development of RWE including the actions taken and guidelines released by the regulatory authorities, indicate current gaps and describe the current situation of RWD in accelerating the orphan drug market.

2. Results & Discussion

2.1. Developments and regulatory guidelines of RWE

As RWE has been received increased attention during the past decade, several RWE initiatives were established and regulatory authorities worldwide have disseminated guidance, framework and standards in this field. In order to explore the potential of RWE the Food and Drug Authority (FDA) has launched the 21st Century Cures Act [6] in 2016, see Table 1. This Cures Act had the intention to accelerate medicinal product development and to effectively delivering medicinal advances to the patients. Subsequently, the FDA released a framework in 2018 to evaluate RWE sources [7,8]. In the following years a series of several other guidance for the use and for the submission of RWE documents were released such as the guidance on the use of RWE to support regulatory decision making for medical devices (2017) and the industry guidance on the use of electronic health record data in clinical investigations (2018) [9], see Table 1. In 2019 the FDA published a guidance on how to use evidence drawn from RWE to fulfil requirements for post-approval studies of drugs and biologics [10], see Table 1.

Table 1. RWE developments in the international context for regulatory decision-making

RWE developments	Year
FDA - 21st Century Cures Act [6]	2016
FDA - Use of Real-World Evidence to Support Regulatory Decision-Making for Medical Devices [36]	2017
FDA - Use of Electronic Health Record Data in Clinical Investigations Guidance for Industry [9]	2018
FDA - Framework for FDA's real-world evidence program [7]	2018
National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) - Evidence standards framework for digital health technologies [24]	2018
FDA - Submitting Documents Using Real-World Data and Real-World Evidence to FDA for Drugs and Biologics Guidance for Industry [10]	2018
HMA-EMA Joint Big Data Taskforce Phase II report: Evolving Data-Driven Regulation [5]	2019
ISPE's Comments on the Core Recommendations in the Summary of the Heads of Medicines Agencies (HMA) - EMA Joint Big Data Task Force [17]	2019
EMA – Questions and answers: Qualification of digital technology-based methodologies to support approval of medicinal products [25]	2019
Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) (Germany) and the German Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG): Scientific rapid report on the evaluation of healthcare research for the purpose of the benefit assessment of drugs [18, 19]	2019
RWE taskforsk of the ISPE - Statement on Real-World Evidence (RWE) [17]	2020
EMA - guidance on registry-based studies as a tool to generate RWE for marketing authorization applicants and holder [12]	2020
FDA - grants for 4 projects exploring the utility of RWE [29]	2020
Medicines and healthcare products regulatory agency - Guidance on randomised controlled trials generating real-world evidence to support regulatory decisions [13]	2020
RAPS Euro Convergence - conference created by European regulatory professionals for regulatory professionals operating in Europe and other countries [11]	2021
FDA - Considerations for the Use of Real-World Data and Real-World Evidence to Support Regulatory Decision-Making for Drug and Biological Products, guidance for industry, draft guidance [35]	2021

* () For references in parentheses please see reference list.

Meeting the gap of limited access to RWE due to multiple sources and interoperability the European Medicines Agency (EMA) released a draft guidance on studies based on registry data as one source of RWD for marketing authorization applicants in 2020 [12], see Table 1. Recently, the absence of an appropriate RWE information submission structure was identified by the EMA as an additional gap – by reviewing applications for marketing authorization in 2018 and 2019 the EMA found that RWD was utilized in 40% of

these applications mainly at the post-approval stage and in 18% of extensions of indication filings [11]. Furthermore, it was observed that disease registries and hospital data were the two most frequently used data sources in applications for marketing authorization and indication expansions [11]. Several years ago the EMA started to support and to encourage companies utilizing these disease registries as a source of data and RWE [12], see Table 1. The EMA plans to further analysing the criteria used to evaluate RWE. In addition, further gaps have to be identified, e.g. whether a new RWE terminology is needed and whether RWE includes safety studies besides effectiveness studies [12]. Further gaps of RWE research include the transparency of RWE studies. In accordance with this, the RWE Transparency Initiative [15] released a report on the improvement of transparency of RWE studies by a routinely preregistration of RWE study protocols following suggested guidelines in 2020 [16]. In October 2021, the Real-World Evidence Registry was launched in co-operation with the Real-World Evidence Transparency Initiative where RWE studies can be pre-registered [30]. Adding value to this topic, the International Society of Pharmacoepidemiology (ISPE) disseminating information on the effects of health interventions in humans has recently formed an RWE taskforce [17] to provide guidance, develop protocols for study investigations and data extraction procedures in this field.

2.2. RWE accelerates approval in early benefit assessment

It is believed that by implementing RWE in early assessments of newer therapies it would potentially speed up approval processes of effective therapies and reduce costs of drug development [4]. To present the additional benefit of RWE in the early benefit assessment of drugs this topic has been included in the health policy arena in 2020 by the German joint federal committee, which commissioned the Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care. Finally, a scientific rapid report on the evaluation of healthcare research for the purpose of the benefit assessment of drugs was released in 2020 [18, 19]. The report concluded that conventional studies for rare diseases were often too short or did not collect patient-relevant endpoints. Therefore, the report suggested to including healthcare research data such as results from comparative studies, data collection of electronic patient records as well as billing data from health insurance companies (all as per definition RWE sources) for the early benefit assessment of drugs. For the early drug benefit assessment, it has been recognized that the data situation is insufficient for the accelerated approval and for drugs implicated in the rare disease market [17]. Rare disease studies being mostly limited to case studies or studies with small patient numbers would greatly benefit from electronic health records and disease registries to gain insights into the epidemiology, treatment, and outcomes in this field. In addition, rare diseases are currently under-represented in databases or records due to the older ICD-10 regulation. With the new ICD-11 regulation in 2022, new and approximately 10times more data on this disease will become available and it will also be possible to explore new treatment pathways due to RWE [26].

2.3 Cancer registries - RWE in oncology

A first systematic review evaluated oncological new drug applications and biologics license application between 2015 and 2020 [27] and found that, among other results, already 11 among 133 oncology new therapy approvals (11%) utilized RWE to support efficacy of the drugs. The review concluded *,that real-world studies used as external controls complemented efficacy data from single-arm trials in successful oncology product approvals, and the key attributes identified include early engagement, a priori protocol development, and robust research design*, [27]. During the COVID-19 pandemic RWE has accelerated vaccine efficacy and safety research and it is expected that RWE will also help to speed up approval processes and thus bringing new therapies to the patients, especially in the orphan and oncological drug development. In accordance, the majority of American oncologists believe that RWE will improve efficiency of clinical trials for cancer drug development [28]. In line with this, cancer institutes and pharmacological companies increasingly include an

external RWD control arm in their clinical trials in order to accelerate therapies being provided to patients. Among other issues, this may also help meliorating the diversity problems of representative patients in oncological trials. An emerging field of RWE in oncology represent cancer registries. This may be partly because the primary data collection and analysis in non-interventional studies using registry data may be faced with less hurdles than using data from EHRs, medical claims or other data sources [37]. Cancer registries indicate the degree of implementation of therapies and the use of guidelines in healthcare, and *vice versa*, feed data from healthcare into guideline updates [33]. Already more than 700 cancer registries exist worldwide exploring RWE cancer entities, population, standard-oncological treatments and their associations [34]. In the near future, the guidance on data quality, accuracy, completeness, provenance and traceability of registry data as primary data in non-interventional studies or arms of clinical studies will be key for RWE development [37]. With high-quality patient registries, both with and without randomization, relevant results for disease assessments can be received according to a report in 2020 of the German joint federal committee, the highest decision-making body of the German health care system [19].

2.4 RWE and RCT – one aim

In 2020 the MHRA released a guidance on randomized controlled trials (RCTs) generating RWE to support regulator decisions [13], see Table 1. Together with further upcoming guidance documents in this field it aimed to explore the potential of RWE in the support of regulatory submission, thereby covering topics as authorization, design and database quality. Importantly, the MHRA concludes that RWE generated from RCTs including RWD ...*“is not generally considered of more or less value for regulatory decision making than evidence from conventional RCTs provided if the data quality is robust and the trial well designed”*...[14]. The perception pattern of RWE has shifted towards being the “most important data for informing treatment decisions, followed by clinical trial data” as a result of a grand survey of 866 US physicians from 50 states [28]. It is widely acknowledged that RWE more likely reflects real treatment effects and is more generalizable than evidence from standardized clinical trial data [13]. The strengths of RWE lies in mapping the reality of health care providing insights into therapy’s course or particular subgroups with various comorbidities. In addition, it gives the opportunity to study diseases in very small or very special patient populations. Furthermore, the reduction of purely selected patient collectives and thus the effectiveness of interventions under routine conditions can be analysed in RWE. In addition, RWE studies help to provide information about disease risks, improve diagnostics, make over-use and under-use visible and can be used in the development of experimental therapies [4]. Thus, RWE research is complementing RCTs for identifying research gaps and for the evaluation of treatment effectiveness, especially in diverse patient sub-groups.

2.5 Digital health technologies

Newer RWE methods including digital health technologies (DHTs), data linkages and registries have an expanding role in health care offering considerable opportunities for drug development [37]. DHTs comprise of wearable devices, mobile apps, software, computing platforms, sensors for healthcare, telehealth, telemedicine, and personalized medicine [23]. In Europe, currently (as of June 2020) a certain definition for DHTs has not been given by the EMA in order not to exclude any of the innovative approaches, reflecting the high dynamics in this field. However, the EMA has limited the requirements for DHTs to the *“specific use of a methodology in the development, use or monitoring of medicinal products pre- or post/authorisation, evaluation and ultimately use of medicines”* [25], such as digital record systems (e.g. apps), sensors, wearables, tele-healthcare, and health data analytics. DHTs may help to improve the prevention and early diagnosis of life-threatening diseases, as well as the management of chronic conditions outside of traditional health care settings and thus help to reduce costs and inefficiencies, improve the access and make

medicine more personalized [23]. In 2018, evidence standards framework for DHTs have been already released by the British National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) to inform innovators and commissioners on the requirements for DHTs for the health care system and to create the approach and commissioning more dynamically focussing on the real values for the patients [24]. In Europe DHT applicants are broadly supported and the EMA has released a Q&A document in 2020 to give guidance to the applicant during the submission on whether to seek a scientific or other regulatory advice [25].

2.6 FDA funded RWE research

Alongside the FDA framework to explore RWE sources the FDA announced in November 2020 four grant awards on the utility of RWD to accelerate the regulatory decision-making [29]. One project as a co-operation of the Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School aims to show how RCT and RWD have been linked and how the follow-up of patients can be extended beyond trial's completion thus marking new low-cost and highly effective approaches [20]. The second project as a collaboration between the University of North Carolina and the company Genentech aims at combining studies from different sources such as simulation studies, RCTs and RWD studies and by providing training material, workshops and R-algorithms to be utilized for hybrid studies [21]. The third funded project as a collaboration of the Critical Path Institute and the Tufts Medical Center and the International Neonatal Consortium will collect data from neonatal intensive care units worldwide and deposit it in a RWD and Analytics Platform (RW-DAP) as they discovered a lack of a systematic collection and analysis of such data. Overcoming this lack would improve clinical trials in neonates [22]. Finally, a project of the company Verantos compares between various RWE methods in a RWD study to explore which of the methods are more valid, yield credible results, and thus help to implement RWE within regulatory decisions [3].

3. Conclusions

The present review provides an overview on the different definitions, types and utilities of RWE, on how it has been developed during the last seven years, on the gaps and challenges and on the necessary regulatory guidances in this field to accelerate regulatory procedures and decision-making. Hereby, the FDA in the US as well as the EMA in Europe play a pro-active role in exploring gaps and potentials of RWE and in guiding stakeholders in this field with the release of actual and appropriate guidelines. In addition, international RWE initiatives and registries have increasingly been developed supporting this process. Provided the data quality is robust and transparent, RWE research is complementing RCTs for identifying research gaps and for the evaluation of treatment effectiveness, especially in diverse patient sub-groups. Catalysed by the COVID-19 pandemic the general perception of RWE among clinicians is that it produces important data for informing treatment decisions. In addition, the ongoing implementation of RWE in early benefit assessments of newer therapies will potentially speed up approval processes of effective therapies and reduce costs of drug development. Newer research such as the implementation of registry data and various types of DHTs will grow in the near future reflecting the dynamic state in this field. By funding RWE projects and by involving stakeholders in the development of future guidelines the trust, credibility, reproducibility and transparency of RWE studies may further be promoted. This will help to speed up and reduce costs of the delivery of patient-oriented effective therapies adding beneficial value to public health.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, F.S. and A.T.; methodology, F.S. and A.T.; software, F.S.; validation, F.S. and A.T.; formal analysis, A.T.; investigation, F.S. and A.T.; resources, F.S.; data curation, A.T.; writing—original draft preparation, A.T.; writing—review and editing, F.S. and A.T.; visualization, A.T.; supervision, F.S.; project administration, F.S.; funding acquisition, F.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its supporting information files.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank all documentation officers from Research Institut Havelhöhe (FIH).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declared the following conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this opinion article: FS reports grants from ABNOBA GmbH, AstraZeneca GmbH, Helixor Heilmittel GmbH, and Iscador AG, outside the submitted work. Grants from AstraZeneca GmbH and Helixor Heilmittel GmbH include travel costs and honoraria for speaking. The other author has declared that no competing interests exist. No payment was received for any other aspects of the submitted work. There are no patents, products in development or marketed products to declare. There are no other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Real-world data (RWD) and real-world evidence (RWE) are playing an increasing role in health care decisions. Available online: <https://www.fda.gov/science-research/science-and-research-special-topics/real-world-evidence> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
2. Framework for FDA'S Real-world evidence program. Available online: <https://www.fda.gov/media/120060/download> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
3. Riskin, D. Transforming Real-world evidence with Unstructured and Structured data to advance tailored therapy (TRUST). Available online: <https://grantome.com/grant/NIH/U01-FD007172-01> (assessed on 07.07.2022)
4. Khozin, S., Blumenthal G.M., Pazdur R., Real-world Data for Clinical Evidence Generation in Oncology. *J Natl Cancer Inst*, **2017**, 109.
5. HMA-EMA Joint Big Data Taskforce Phase II report: 'Evolving Data-Driven Regulation'. Available from: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/other/hma-ema-joint-big-data-taskforce-phase-ii-report-evolving-data-driven-regulation_en.pdf
6. 21st Century Cures Act. Available online: <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/selected-amendments-fdc-act/21st-century-cures-act> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
7. Framework for FDA's real-world evidence program. Available online: <https://www.fda.gov/media/120060/download> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
8. Sherman R.E., Davies K.M., Robb M.A., Hunter N.L., Califf RM. Accelerating development of scientific evidence for medical products within the existing US regulatory framework. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. **2017**, 16, 297-298.
9. Use of Electronic Health Record Data in Clinical Investigations Guidance for Industry. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/use-electronic-health-record-data-clinical-investigations-guidance-industry> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
10. Submitting Documents Using Real-World Data and Real-World Evidence to FDA for Drugs and Biologics Guidance for Industry 2019. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/submitting-documents-using-real-world-data-and-real-world-evidence-fda-drugs-and-biologics-guidance> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
11. Convergence: EMA study reveals need for RWE framework, submission structure. Available online: <https://www.raps.org/news-and-articles/news-articles/2021/9/convergence-ema-study-reveals-need-for-rwe-framework> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
12. Guideline on registry-based studies 2020. Available online: <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/guideline-registry-based-studies> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
13. MHRA draft guidance on randomised controlled trials generating real-world evidence to support regulatory decisions. Available online: <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/mhra-draft-guidance-on-randomised-controlled-trials-generating-real-world-evidence-to-support-regulatory-decisions> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
14. Consultation document: MHRA draft guidance on randomised controlled trials generating real-world evidence to support regulatory decisions; closed consultation. Available online: <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/mhra-draft-guidance-on-randomised-controlled-trials-generating-real-world-evidence-to-support-regulatory-decisions/consultation-document-mhra-draft-guidance-on-randomised-controlled-trials-generating-real-world-evidence-to-support-regulatory-decisions> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
15. Real-World Evidence Transparency Initiative 2021. Available online: <https://www.ispor.org/strategic-initiatives/real-world-evidence/real-world-evidence-transparency-initiative> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
16. Improving Transparency to Build Trust in Real-World Secondary Data Studies for Hypothesis Testing—Why, What, and How: Recommendations and a Road Map from the Real-World Evidence Transparency Initiative [Editor's Choice]. Available online: <https://www.ispor.org/publications/journals/value-in-health/abstract/Volume-23--Issue-9/Improving-Transparency-to-Build->

- Trust-in-Real-World-Secondary-Data-Studies-for-Hypothesis-Testing-Why-What-and-How-Recommendations-and-a-Road-Map-from-the-Real-World-Evidence-Transparency-Initiative (assessed on 07.07.2022).
17. IPE's position on real-world evidence (RWE). Available online: <https://pharmacoepi.org/pub/?id=136DECF1-C559-BA4F-92C4-CF6E3ED16BB6> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
 18. Konzepte zur Generierung versorgungsnaher Daten und deren Auswertung zum Zwecke der Nutzenbewertung von Arzneimitteln nach § 35a SGB V. Available online: https://www.iqwig.de/download/a19-43_versorgungsnaher-daten-zum-zwecke-der-nutzenbewertung_rapid-report_v1-1.pdf (assessed on 07.07.2022).
 19. Registerdaten eignen sich – bei entsprechender Qualität – für die erweiterte Nutzenbewertung von Arzneimitteln, Report zeigt auf, wie versorgungsnaher Daten erhoben und aufbereitet sein sollten. Available online: <https://www.iqwig.de/de/presse/pressemitteilungen/2020/registerdaten-eignen-sich-bei-entsprechender-qualitaet-fuer-die-erweiterte-nutzenbewertung-von-arzneimitteln.12795.html> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
 20. Najafzadeh, M. Enhancing evidence generation by linking randomized clinical trials (RCTs) to real world data (RWD). 2021 [cited 24.06.2022]; Available from: <https://www.nal.usda.gov/legacy/fsrio/research-projects/enhancing-evidence-generation-linking-randomized-clinical-trials-rcts-real-world-data-rwd> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
 21. Kosorok, M.R., Lieberman, G., Pang, H., Zhu, J. Applying novel statistical approaches to develop a decision framework for hybrid randomized controlled trial designs which combine internal control arms with patients' data from real-world data source. Available online: <https://www.grantome.com/grant/NIH/U01-FD007206-01> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
 22. Romero, K. Advancing standards and methodologies to generate real-world evidence from real-world data through a neonatal pilot. Available online: https://reporter.nih.gov/search/fyCrSyEuW0OtD_5CpB2Qmw/project-details/10183942 (assessed on 07.07.2022).
 23. What is digital health? Available online: <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/digital-health-center-excellence/what-digital-health> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
 24. Evidence standards framework for digital health technologies. Available online: <https://www.nice.org.uk/about/what-we-do/our-programmes/evidence-standards-framework-for-digital-health-technologies> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
 25. Questions and answers: Qualification of digital technology-based methodologies to support approval of medicinal products. Available online: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/other/questions-answers-qualification-digital-technology-based-methodologies-support-approval-medicinal_en.pdf (assessed on 07.07.2022).
 26. Aymé S., Bellet B., Rath A. Rare diseases in ICD11: making rare diseases visible in health information systems through appropriate coding. *Orphanet J Rare Dis.* **2015**, *10*, 35.
 27. Arondekar B., Duh M.S., Bhak R.H., DerSarkissian M., Huynh L., Wang K., Wojciehowski J., Wu M., Wornson B., Niyazov A., Demetri G.D. Real-World Evidence in Support of Oncology Product Registration: A Systematic Review of New Drug Application and Biologics License Application Approvals from 2015-2020. *Clin Cancer Res.* **2022**, *28*, 27-35.
 28. Tracking the shift from volume to value in healthcare. Capgemini Consulting and OmniCrom Health Group. Available online: <https://www.capgemini.com/consulting/wp-content/uploads/sites/30/2017/07/tracking-the-shift-from-volume-to-value-in-healthcare.pdf> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
 29. FDA Announces 4 Grant Awards for Projects Exploring the Use of Real-World Data to Generate Real-World Evidence in Regulatory Decision-Making. Available online: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/science-and-research-drugs/fda-announces-4-grant-awards-projects-exploring-use-real-world-data-generate-real-world-evidence> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
 30. New Real-World Evidence Registry Launches Registry Is an Initiative of the Real-World Evidence Transparency Initiative [cited 24.06.2022]; Available online: https://www.pharmacoepi.org/ISPE/assets/File/General/RWE-Registry-ISPE-NewsRelease-FINAL_10_26_2021.pdf#:~:text=The%20objective%20of%20the%20Real-World%20Evidence%20Transparency%20Initiative,%20and%20trust%20in%20RWE%20studies%20is%20transparency (assessed on 07.07.2022).
 31. Benesova K., Diekmann L., Lorenz H.M., Jordan K., Leipe J., et al. OP0270 TRHEUMA registry explores characteristics and suitable diagnostic and therapeutic management of rheumatic immune-related adverse events (irAEs). *Ann Rheum Dis*, **2020**; *79*:168-169.
 32. Benesova K., Lorenz H.M., Leipe J., Jordan K. How I treat cancer: treatment of rheumatological side effects of immunotherapy. *ESMO Open*. **2019**, *4*, e000529.
 33. Hofstädter F., Zusammenspiel von Onkologischen Registern, Zertifikaten und Leitlinien. The Global Oncology Network 19. Leitlinien – Konferenz der AMWF, Regensburg. Available online: https://www.awmf.org/fileadmin/user_upload/Leitlinien/Veranstaltungen/LL-Konferenz_19/Zusammenspiel_Onkologische_Register_Zertifikaten_Leitlinie.pdf (assessed on 07.07.2022).
 34. International Cancer Control Platform. Cancer Registries, ICCP Portal. Available online: iccp-portal.org (assessed on 07.07.2022).
 35. Considerations for the Use of Real-World Data and RealWorld Evidence to Support Regulatory Decision-Making for Drug and Biological Products Guidance for Industry DRAFT GUIDANCE. Available online: <https://www.fda.gov/media/154714/download> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
 36. Use of Real-World Evidence to Support Regulatory Decision-Making for Medical Devices, Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff. Available online: <https://www.fda.gov/media/99447/download> (assessed on 07.07.2022).
 37. Concato J., Corrigan-Curay J. Real-World Evidence - Where Are We Now? *N Engl J Med.* **2022**, *386*, 1680-1682.