 doi:10.20944/preprints202207.0121.v2

Theory From The Big Bang to Big Crunch and Eternal Vacuum Space

Amrit Ladhani, Independent Researcher (Pakistan)

Abstract

We propose a cosmological model in which the Big Bang was not the beginning of space and time; there was a previous phase leading up to it, with multiple cycles of contraction and expansion that repeat indefinitely; from big bang to big crunch. The observed accelerated expansion, which relates the change in the space, to change in the stretching of shrink space for the new theory, is derived. As pressure of shrink space decreases, the expansion of space increases, that is although in general, decelerate in the pressure of shrink space leads to accelerate the stretching of space. (pressure of shrink space approaching zero at the end of accelerated expansion, or when all the shrinked space stretches), after that a period of slow contraction begins, thus bringing the universe back to contract to its initial state, ending in a Big Crunch. The universe will not always continue to expanding, no need however, for dark energy. The new definition of eternal space enables us to describe a sequence of events from the Big Bang to the Big Crunch.

Keywords: Quantum Space, Big Bang Cosmology, Cyclic Eternal Universe, Gravitational Force, Shrink Space.

Postal Address: Meghwar Muhalla Behadmi Road, Post Office Kadhan Town, District Badin. (Sindh, Pakistan)

Email address: * amritladhani@gmail.com

 doi:10.20944/preprints202207.0121.v2

1.Introduction

The current standard model of cosmology combines the original big bang model and the inflationary scenario. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] Inflation, a brief period (10−30 s) of very rapid cosmic acceleration occurring shortly after the big bang, can explain the homogeneity and isotropy of the universe on large scales (> 100 Mpc), its spatial flatness, and also the distribution of galaxies and the fluctuations in the cosmic microwave background. However, the standard model has some cracks and gaps. The recent discoveries of cosmic acceleration indicating self-repulsive dark energy [8,9,10,11] were not predicted and have no clear role in the standard model. [1,2,3] Furthermore, no explanation is offered for the 'beginning of space and time', the initial conditions of the universe, or the long-term future.

In this paper, we present a new cosmology consisting of an endless sequence of cycles of expansion and contraction. By definition, there is neither a beginning nor an end of space and time. We explain a more descriptive physical model of space based on the theory that the space is eternal and consists of a unique nature of shrinking and expanding. Our shrunk space model provides a rational explanation for the accelerated expansion of the universe. We follow up on this approach to predict the future and the ultimate end of the cosmos. This new theory is best understood by pictures rather than by a large number of equations.

2 . The Eternal Vacuum Space And Beginning of Universe:

It is proposed that, about 14 billion years ago the Universe started from the shortest meaningful length, Planck Length, (the smallest measure of length because shorter than it, quantum effects dominate and it becomes meaningless to consider exact values of measurements) and the shortest meaningful measure of time, Planck Time. Our model has no zero volume singularity because the size of space is finite, limited, i.e., the shrink space (hence the volume) cannot be zero at the quantum scale. But it consists of a unique property, this particular and marvelous nature of space shows us that space can stretch, expand, and shrink, it is like a spring. If we push the spring it shrink, in the same way when matter comes closer to each other, the space also shrinking between them. As space shrunk it produced the pressure, which pressure stretching the Shrunk space. This property of space is causes the size of the Universe changed over time: growing or shrinking. As the particles get closer to each other, the vacuum space should also consequently get closer. In a way, we can say that space shrunk, and as shrunk space expands, it allows particles to move away from each other. The pressure of shrink space produces an exponential change in the size of the Universe. When space exponentially shrank, it creates pressure, which leads to stretching the shrink volume of space.

This interpretation is simpler than some portions of the theory for the Big Bang: such as "...that the nascent Universe passed through a phase of exponential expansion soon after

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 15 July 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202207.0121.v2

the Big Bang, driven by a positive vacuum energy density [12].'' Whereas the proposed Theory depends upon the infinite pressure of shrunk space, which pressure caused the exponential growth of space. It is speculated that spacetime, grow in concert very rapidly at first. (In particular, that the infinite shrunk space, which stretching very rapidly at first). About 14 billion years ago, the infinite shrunk space produced the infinite pressure in the singularity, which pressure gave rise to the big bang, and shrunk space began to stretching very rapidly. It then expanded and cooled undergoing phase transitions to radiation, fundamental particles, and matter. Matter grew into galaxies, and was further consolidated by gravity into super clusters. Early seeds of stars, planets, and galaxies expanded out from that momentous point in time and space. It spread in such a way that the universe became highly smooth. Smoothness, on an enormous scale, just means that things within the universe are relatively evenly distributed. That is, if you were to put a cube around one section of the universe, it wouldn't be much more dense than another randomly placed cube. On a smaller scale, like between galaxies or within a solar system, matter is ''lumpy'' and filled with clusters. Thus the Big Bang was not an explosion of matter and radiation ''all over the place''; it may just have been a silent burst of infinite pressure of infinite shrink space and, high energy radiation, that caused the simultaneously appearance of space everywhere. The universe may have had no beginning — the Big Bang may have been just a particular moment in the evolution of this always-existing, not a true beginning.

3. Results and Discussions

A. Theory of shrinking and Expanding Nature of Vacuum Space

The reality and property of vacuum space and its quantization have not been discussed much in the scientific literature. It is treated like a canvas in which a portrait of the universe as a function of time, in effect, a film recording [13]. We have a different concept. Space is all around us, it expands, it reacts to what it contains (matter, energy, radiation). It is a dynamical entity. It grows, and shrink, but it has a finite volume, it can shrink at Planck Length and it grows largest scale. It is part of our universe and plays a very important role in it. It obeys the Theory of General Relativity like an ordinary physical object, it exhibits length contraction. It consists of its unique property of shrinking and expanding. As a participant in the evolution of the universe, we can follow and trace its progress and its ultimate fate. Our model takes it space Shrink, which is something more physical. Our eternal space model suggests and embodies some properties of space:

1) Pressure of shrink Space differs from gravity. Gravity is an attractive force between material objects. The shrink space force is repulsive; it exerts a pressure opposite to that of gravity.

2) The space field is a scalar field. It is similar to the gravitational field. Vacuum Space, might be the most fundamental entities in nature. There cannot be anything without space; without space there is ''nothing''.

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 15 July 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202207.0121.v2

3) We might point out certain implications of our model, It could be that our universe is cyclic and no beginning; there may have been Big Bangs before ours.

4) Finally, we may comment on the ultimate fate of the universe as this topic is also quite controversial in the scientific community. The ultimate fate of the Universe with any level of certainty that will depend on how much space had shrunk, which essentially determines how the pressure of the shrunk space responds to the expansion of the universe. The pressure of the shrunk space will decreased at a certain level, and eventually the expansion of the Universe will stop, and then gravity will start to contract the Universe until all the matter in the universe re-collapses to a final singularity (Big Crunch).

B. Accelerated Expansion of the Universe

The recently observed accelerated expansion of the universe has put a challenge for its theoretical understanding. In the standard big bang and inflationary models, the recently discovered dark energy and cosmic acceleration [8,11] are an unexpected surprise with no clear explanation.

This model, however, not only is the source of accelerated expansion of universe explained, but it predicts it's ultimate fate. As a possible explanation of this, technically, the space is not creating, but the shrink volume of space is stretching or expanding. In other words, stretching shrink space is causing the expansion to accelerate by causing the decreasing in pressure of shrink space. In the distant past, the pressure of the shrunk space should have been greater, so in the distant past, the universe must have been expanding more slowly than it is today. As the Shrunk space stretching, the pressure of shrink space decreasing. And as the pressure of Shrunk space decreasing, the expansion of space increasing, that is although in general, decelerate in the pressure of shrink space leads to accelerate the stretching of space, no need however, for dark energy.

As the expansion of space increases, the density of the matter and energy decreases, so the pressure of shrink space decreases.

The pressure of shrink space is directly proportional to the density of the universe and inversely proportional to the expansion of shrunk space.

Since the variation of the Hubble constant (leading to the concept of dark energy) might well be dependent on the decreasing pressure of shrink space. If pressure of shrink space decreasing faster, than the stretching of space increasing with respect! So that processes would appear to move very rapidly in the early universe, due to the infinite pressure of shrink space, and it decreasingly rapidly and only readily observable by detectors of high-frequency gravitational waves such as the Li-Baker [14] [15] [16].

C. The Future and Ultimate Fate of the Cosmos

A possibility is predicted by Rovelli's theory of Planck Stars [17, 18], that a "bounce"is more likely rather than a crunch. Using a quantum gravity approach, he showed that there is no

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 15 July 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202207.0121.v2

singularity in a Black Hole because the universe undergoes a bounce due to quantum pressure counteracting the force of gravity and the volume does not shrink beyond a certain size. The universe may therefor undergo a bounce. This would lead to the ”Heat Death” and “Big Feeze” often discussed in the literature. However, this will leave a lot of Black Holes floating around in the universe since their lifetime is longer than the age of the universe. This seems rather unrealistic.

Our model suggest that the ultimate fate of the Universe with any level of certainty that will depend on how much space had shrunk, which essentially determines how the pressure of the shrunk space responds to the expansion of the universe. Because the pressure of the shrunk space will decreased at a certain level, and eventually the expansion of the Universe will stop, and then gravity will start to contract the Universe until all the matter in the universe re-collapses to a final singularity (Big Crunch). Gravitational force and pressure of shrunk space play an important role in the reformation of the Universe. Gravitational force contracted the Universe until all the matter in the universe re-collapses to a final singularity, and pressure of shrunk space expands the universe until all the shrunk space will expand at its certain large scale. Eventually the accelerated expansion of space, will stop at it reached its maximum volume of finite space. And then universe start to contracted until all the space will shrink at the Planck Length. Which we called the singularity Big Crunch. The eternal space and its unique nature of shrinking and expanding are the most fundamental quantities, which govern the cosmic evolution. Thus bringing the universe back to contract to its initial state, ending in a Big Crunch. The universe will not always continue to expanding, no need however, for dark energy. This could account that the big bang was not the beginning of the Universe, there's always a universe before the big bang. The universe may have had no beginning — that it has simply always existed. What we perceive as the Big Bang may have been just a particular moment in the evolution of this always-existing, not a true beginning.

4. Summary and Conclusions

This cyclic model of the universe is designed to solve some of the seemingly unsolvable problems of the Big Bang and inflation models. “It allows us to go beyond the Big Bang. “Because space and time has always existed in the past.” A number of problems arise with the inflation model, which itself expanded and corrected previous models that arose from Big Bang theory. The inflation model was supposed to explain why, for example, the universe appears so homogenous on a huge scale without the same initial conditions. One of the most compelling successes of inflationary theory was to obtain a nearly scaleinvariant spectrum of density fluctuations that can seed large-scale structure. (4) But, there are so many possibilities that arise from an inflationary model that it makes the model itself less useful. Then there’s the singularity problem. The inflation theory, also gets stuck at the point “before” the Big Bang, because according to it, there is nothing before it. “The fundamental philosophical problem with the Big Bang is, there's an after but there's not a before.” “In a similar way, we don't know ‘one time only’ things that happened in history.” But this interpretation of the universe drive us to deeper understanding the universe and a reconsideration of our previous ideas and asking us to rethink the Big Bang and the rapid inflation of the universe. This could fill in some of the biggest gaps in our common

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 15 July 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202207.0121.v2

understanding of the way space and time work. Mathematically, the Big Bang looks like it came from an undefined state — something that isn't explained by the laws of physics under Einstein's theory of general relativity. This is also called a "singularity."

Our model deals directly with the cosmic singularity, explaining it as a transition from a contracting to an expanding phase. Although inflation does not address the cosmic singularity problem directly, it does rely implicitly on the opposite assumption: that the big bang is the beginning of time and that the universe emerges in a rapidly expanding state. Inflating regions with high potential energy expand more rapidly and dominate the universe. This model correctly described that the Universe started from the shortest meaningful length, Planck Length, (the smallest measure of length because shorter than it, quantum effects dominate and it becomes meaningless to consider exact values of measurements) and the shortest meaningful measure of time, Planck Time. Our model has no zero volume singularity because the size of space is finite, and no beginning, and Its property suggest that the vacuum space could be shrink at Planck Length and it would be expanded at the certain finite scale. But, the shrink space (hence the volume) cannot be zero at the quantum scale.

We have elaborated on the mechanism by which pressure emanates from the Shrink space and provides the repulsive force or pushing pressure to accelerate the expansion. We have extended the unique property of eternal space to cover the period of the universe from the Big Bang until its ultimate fate. We show the picture to trace the history of the universe, the universe started from a near-singular infinite shrink volume of space with high energy density and pressure. Exponentially shrunk space produced an infinite pressure, which pressure gave rise to the big bang. It then expanded and cooled undergoing phase transitions to radiation, fundamental particles, and matter. Matter grew into galaxies, and was further consolidated by gravity into super clusters, thus bringing the universe back to contract to its initial state, ending in a Big Crunch. We speculate that the big bang was not the first beginning of the Universe. What we perceive as the Big Bang may have been just a particular moment in the evolution of this always-existing universe, not a true beginning. They are the two most fundamental quantities in the universe that govern cosmic evolution. The two principal long range forces are the gravitational force and the pressure of shrink space. This latter could be the fifth force in the universe. They may provide the clockwork mechanism that operates our eternal cyclic universe.

Reviewing the overall scenario and its implications, what is most remarkable is that the our model can differ so much from the standard picture in terms of the origin of space and time and the sequence of cosmic events that lead to our current universe. Yet, the model requires no more assumptions or tunings (and by some measures less) to match the current observations. It appears that we now have two disparate possibilities: a universe with a definite beginning and It could be that our universe is cyclic and no beginning; there may have been Big Bangs before ours. The ultimate arbiter will be Nature.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202207.0121.v2

Author deceleration:

The author decelerates no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this manuscript.

References and Notes

[1] A. H. Guth, Phys. Rev. D23 (1981) 347.

[2] A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B108 (1982) 389.

[3] A. Albrecht and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982) 1220.

[4] J. Bardeen, P. J. Steinhardt and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. D28, 679 (1983).

[5] A. H. Guth and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49 (1982) 1110.

[6] A. A. Starobinskii, Phys. Lett. B117 (1982) 175.

[7] S. W. Hawking, Phys. Lett. B115 (1982) 295.

[8] S. Perlmutter, et al, Ap. J. 517 (1999) 565.

[9] A. G. Riess, et al, Astron.J. 116 (1998) 1009.

[10] P. M. Garnavich et al, Ap. J. 509 (1998) 74.

[11] N. Bahcall, J.P. Ostriker. S. Perlmutter, and P.J. Steinhardt, Science 284 (1999) 1481.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202207.0121.v2

[12] Lemley, B. and Fink, L. (2002) Guth's Grand Guess. Discover Magazine, 1/8-8/8, April. 23, No. 4,

[13] Futch, M. (2008) Leibniz's Metaphysics of Time and Space. Springer, Berlin. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-8237-5

[14] Li, F. and Baker Jr., R.M.L. (2007) Detection of High-Frequency Gravitational Waves by Superconductors. International Journal of Modern Physics B, 21, 3274-3278. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217979207044366

[15] Baker Jr., R.M.L. (2001) Peoples Republic of China Patent Number 01814223.0 Gravitational Wave Generator and Detector. Filed July 13, 2001, Granted September 19, 2007.

[16] Woods, C.R., Baker Jr., R.M.L., Li, F., Stephenson, G.R., Davis, E.W. and Beckwith, A.W. (2011) A New Theoretical Technique for the Measurement of High-Frequency Relic Gravitational Waves. Journal of Modern Physics, 2, 498-518. https://doi.org/10.4236/jmp.2011.26060

[17] Rovelli, C, Planck Stars as Observational Probes of Quantum Gravity, Nature Astronomy, 1, 0065 (2007), HAL

[18] Barrau, A., Roveli., Vidotto, F., Fast Radio Bursts and White Hole Signals