Article

Cell Division Controls Final Fruit Size in Three Apple (*Malus X Domestica*) Cultivars

Siti Khadijah A. Karim[1*], Andrew C. Allan[2,3], Robert J. Schaffer[2,3] and Karine M. David[2]

[1] Faculty of Plantation and Agrotechnology, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) Melaka, Jasin campus, 77300, Merlimau, Melaka, Malaysia; khadijahkarim@uitm.edu.my

[2] School of Biological Sciences, The University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland 1142, New Zealand; Andrew.Allan@plantandfood.co.nz; robert.schaffer@auckland.ac.nz; k.david@auckland.ac.nz

[3] The Plant and Food Research Institute Ltd, Private Bag 92169, Auckland 1142, New Zealand; Andrew.Allan@plantandfood.co.nz; robert.schaffer@auckland.ac.nz; k.david@auckland.ac.nz

*   Correspondence: khadijahkarim@uitm.edu.my; Tel: +6062645246

Abstract: Apple (*Malus x domestica*) fruit size is dependent on cell division and cell expansion, processes which are subsequently regulated by plant hormones such as auxins, gibberellins, and cytokinins. In this study, we investigated the role of cell division and cell expansion in apple growth and identified which of the two was more deterministic to final fruit size. Three cultivars of different sizes were selected, namely, 'Twenty Ounce' (large-sized), 'Royal Gala' (medium-sized), and 'Crabapple' (small-sized). Gene expression and cell size analyses were conducted over the course of two consecutive seasons. The expression patterns of three classes of genes were markedly similar across all cultivars. Two cell division markers, namely, *MdCDKB2;2* and *MdANT2*, were discovered to be correlatively expressed as both displayed initially high expression levels, which gradually declined from the early to late stages of growth time course. For cell expansion markers, *MdEXP3* was upregulated as the cells expanded, while *MdARF106* was expressed in both the cell division and expansion stages. Meanwhile, the ripening related gene *MdACO1* was expectedly expressed only during the ending stages associated with ripening. Interestingly, the cell measurements taken regularly from each cultivar throughout the same experimental timespan showed that cell sizes were unaltered and remained constant from initial pollination at the zeroth Days After Pollination (DAP), to ripening at 120 Days After Full Bloom (DAFB).

Keywords: apple; fruit size; fruit development; cell division; cell expansion; ripening

1. Introduction

Apple fruit size is dependent on its cell number and size, characteristics regulated by cell division and expansion rates [1,2]. Although the average-sized fruit has approximately 50 million of cells, some grow larger by either increasing their cell numbers or enlarging their cells [3]. For commercially grown apples, fruit size is an important factor in determining the keeping quality of apples in storage [4]. All fruits stored together must be of a similar size as smaller apples have a shorter storage life than their bigger counterparts. Furthermore, as they are sold by weight, producing larger fruits will help growers gain maximum profit [3]. Therefore, research on the factors controlling final fruit size hold both economic and scientific interest.

Great variations in fruit size exist among apples. For instance, Crabapples are typically 5 cm in diameter, whereas other cultivars may produce fruit in excess of 10 cm [1,5]. Apart from cellular growth, harvest time is also a critical determinant of fruit size. Red Delicious are larger than Royal Gala at harvest as their delayed maturity meant a longer time on the tree [3]. Enlargement strategies have also been introduced to improve fruit size. In fruit thinning, lateral flowers are removed either manually [1,6] or by utilising plant growth hormones, such as gibberellin, auxin, and cytokinin [7,8]. This increases the leaf:fruit ratio, thus assisting the remaining flowers to grow larger fruits [1,9]. Unfortunately, genetics bears a strong influence and may render the thinning process unsuccessful in some cultivars: for instance, Crabapples will remain small despite cultivation under

the same conditions as bigger cultivars [3]. Deeper knowledge of fruit development at a cellular and molecular level would therefore be valuable to improving techniques of fruit size manipulation in apple breeding.

Fruits are reproductive organs unique to the Angiosperm phylum that have evolved to promote seed dispersal. Fleshy fruits assist seed dispersal by attracting animals due to their colour, flavour, nutritional content and texture. Despite being a major contributor to the human diet, little is known about how the flesh tissue develops, differentiates and ripens. Fruit development starts with successful fertilisation. This event releases the hormones necessary to consecutively induce the stages of fruit set, cell division, cell expansion, and ripening [10,11]. The entire process takes 20 to 21 weeks, which culminates with the production of a crisp fruit with a waxy cuticle (Figure 1a, 1b, and 1c).

The cell division stage begins during fruit set, a stage characterised by the rapid amplification of cell numbers [11,12]. Division ceases three to four weeks after pollination to allow expansion to take place [10,11]. Although cell expansion continues until full ripening, the process reaches its peak 40 to 60 Days After Anthesis [11,13]. The onset of ripening occurs at 90 DAFB, after which the fruit would continue to mature until fully ripened by 146 DAFB [11,13]. The ripening stage is particularly crucial as it entails the changes necessary to increase the fruit's attractiveness to agents of seed dispersal such as birds and animals [11,14,15].

Final fruit size is determined by cell division and expansion. Thus, an understanding of cell cycle regulation would provide a good picture of fruit development in plants [16,17]. Cell cycle progression is a controlled process involving specific checkpoints monitored by a large family of serine/threonine protein kinases, such as the cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs), an activating subunit, the cyclins and CDK inhibitors [12,17]. Although *CDKB2;1* is relatively well-studied [18,19], *CDKB2;2* is not, likely due to its absence in some plant species [11]. In apples, the *MdCDKB2* gene has been identified by Janssen et al. [13] from a microarray data pool, findings which were then refined and elaborated upon by Malladi and Johnson [12]. Our interest in utilising *CDKB2;2* as a marker of cell division therein lies in its distinct down-regulation towards the end of the early developmental stages, an observation which may have alluded to the participation of *CDKB2;2* in the cell cycle regulation of the apple fruit.

Figure 1a. The morphology of the 'Twenty Ounce' cultivar at various timepoints (DAFB) throughout the developmental stages of fruit set, cell division, cell expansion, and ripening.


Figure 1b. The morphology of the 'Royal Gala' cultivar at various timepoints (DAFB) of the fruit development process such as fruit set, cell division, cell expansion, and ripening.


Figure 1c. Crabapple fruit morphology at various timepoints (DAFB) throughout the development stages of fruit set, cell division, cell expansion, and ripening.

AINTEGUMENTA (*ANT*) is a plant-specific gene of the *APETALA 2* (*AP2*) family of floral transcription factors and is active in developing flower and vegetative tissues [2,20]. In *Arabidopsis*, *ANT* was demonstrated to control cell proliferation in integuments during ovule growth [2,21]. The loss of *ANT* function decreased cell division activity, resulting in reduced floral organ size. However, apple-specific homologues of *ANT* are yet to be shown to function similarly to their *Arabidopsis* counterparts [1,2]. Consequently, we opted to analyse the apple *ANT* gene, *MdANT2*, as another potential marker of the cell division phase in apple fruit development.

Several other genes have been identified for their potential involvement in apple fruit growth. Previous research observed the up-regulation of *MdANT1* and *MdANT2* during the early stages of fruit growth. Their high expression levels were maintained to the end of the cell division stage to propel the division of competent undifferentiated cells. However, meristematic cells eventually lose their competence with both *ANT* variants become down-regulated as the fruit enters the ripening stage [1,2,21]. These observations were nonetheless critical in demonstrating that the cyclical expression of *MdANT1* and *MdANT2* coincided with the developmental stage of cell division during fruit growth. Both genes are known to be more lengthily and highly expressed in larger fruits within the cultivar Golden Delicious Smoothee (GS) [1,2]. Moreover, *MdANT1* and *MdANT2* have exhibited a positive correlation to A and B-type CDKs during the regulation of cell production and cell cycle in apples [1,2].

Meanwhile, the Apple Auxin Response Factor 106 (*MdARF106*) is a transcription factor discovered due to its QTL-mapped co-localisation with a population of fruit growth genes. Analysis of its expression patterns during the cell division and expansion stages of development then supported the hypothesis that *MdARF106* too might regulate fruit growth [22,23].

Expansins are a family of proteins which catalyse cell wall expansion [24,25]. In *Arabidopsis*, the expansin family consists of nearly 30 genes divided into two subfamilies, designated as either α or β, based on sequence divergence and biochemical activity [25,26,27]. Cell expansion entails the synthesis of new plant cell walls around nascent cells, a process which inevitably enlarges cellular size, thus leading to plant bulking and growth [25,28]. In apple fruit size studies, higher expression levels of *MdEXP3* were observed in the big-sized cultivar *M. domestica* "Sekaiichi" in comparison to that of the small-sized *M. floribunda* during their expansion phases (35 and 49 DAFB), thus supporting the role of expansins during this stage of fruit growth [29]. Their effects are also cell expansion-specific, as *MdEXP3* was only negligibly detectable during the preceding cell division stage (21 DAFB) [29]. Another study has then suggested that *MdEXP3* may be linked with ripening or and ethylene-regulation [30]. Nonetheless, expansins undoubtedly play a vital role in cell expansion as the low activity of another gene family member, *MdEXPA10;1*, caused a decline in fruit growth due to low cell wall expansion activity [31].

2. Materials and Methods

Entire experiments were repeated over two consecutive seasons. Gene expression were analysed with samples from two biological replicates (Rep1 and Rep2). Cell area and therefore size was determined by measuring three individuals at each time point.

2.1. Pollination

Pollen obtained from Granny Smith was used to hand-pollinate the flowers of Twenty Ounce, Royal Gala and Crabapples. All pollination was performed before 11 a.m. An unpollinated blooming flower was distinguished by its white stigma, as opposed to the brown stigma of pollinated blooms. To pollinate a flower, a small paint brush was first dipped into the pollen, then gently brushed against the stigma of a blooming flower. Each flower was tagged for recognition during sample collection. A total of 108 flowers were pollinated during Season 1, and 300 during Season 2.

2.2. Harvest

The hypanthium of unpollinated flowers were collected from each cultivar as controls (un-bloomed flowers). Pollinated flowers or fruits were collected and dissected according to the pre-determined time points. Samples were collected for three types of experiments i.e. physiology, histology, and gene expression. For physiology studies, the equatorial diameter of a fruit was determined using callipers. Measurements were taken from three individual fruits, after which they were quickly imaged. For histology experiments, flowers were fixed in a fixative solution before storage in 4 °C. For gene expression analyses, fresh samples were processed on-site at the orchard to retain RNA integrity during the process of their transport back to the laboratory. Briefly, the hypanthiums of flowers were dissected with a scalpel to discard their ovaries, then flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples were immediately stored in the -80 °C freezer upon arrival.

2.3. Gene expression analyses

RNA was extracted from frozen samples according to a protocol previously described for pine needles [45,46]. Clean-up was achieved using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) and Ambion Turbo DNase treatment Kit, both performed as per the manufacturer's instructions. First strand cDNA was synthesised using the First Strand Superscript III cDNA Supermix Kit (Invitrogen). Two biological replicates were prepared for each time point and cultivar. The qPCR runs were executed on the Applied Biosystems Real-Time PCR platform alongside the housekeeping gene *MdGAPDH* for normalisation purposes. To ensure RNA-specific targeting during qPCR, primers for a marker of cell division, *MdCDKB2;2* and one of cell expansion, *MdEXP3*, were designed to span the exons of their genomic sequences.

2.4. Histology slides preparation and cell area measurement

Hypanthium segments and fruits were fixed and embedded in paraffin wax. Specimen slides were prepared on a microtome (Leica Biosystems) on a thickness setting of 10 μm per slice. Specimens were stained with 1% Safranin- 0.5% Fast Green [44]. Brightfield microscopy images were obtained using the Leica 500 Microscope, then exported to the ImageJ software for cell area measurements. These steps were repeated with two more individual hypanthium/fruits for each time point and cultivar, for a total of three replicates.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Two-way ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) analyses were performed using the SPSS software.

3. Results

3.1. Similar cell coverage area at before full bloom and at maturity suggest cell number influence in fruit size control

In this study, fruit development was characterised by its width and cell coverage area measurements. Physiological testing revealed similar hypanthium widths among the three cultivars before full bloom, or at 0 DAFB (Figure 2). As such, the eventual differences in fruit width at maturity (120 DAFB) was instead attributable to differences in total cell number. The Twenty Ounce cultivar experienced a faster growth pace from early to late development, consequently producing the largest fruit compared to other cultivars (Figure 2). The most rapid period of fruit width gain for Twenty Ounce was the cell expansion stage (42 DAFB to 120 DAFB). This observation indicated that along with cell division, cell expansion was also accountable for the big size of Twenty Ounce. Histology and gene expression studies were subsequently conducted to further support these hypotheses.

During the first experimental season, flowers and fruits were collected at 10 time points from at 0 to 120 DAFB. Due to the need for more data from the early developmental stages, the number of collection time points were increased to 12 throughout the second experimental season. The cell coverage area of either the floral hypanthium or fruits were measured. Cell area measurements corroborated these findings as they were similar for all three cultivars along the same time points. Such outcomes were consistently obtained across the two seasons and further showed that cells in all cultivars expand at the same pace throughout fruit development (Figure 3). Microscopy images of the hypanthium showed no significant differences in the cell sizes of the cultivars at either 0 or 120 DAFB (Figure 4).

Crabapple fruits nonetheless grew in size at a slower pace than those of either Royal Gala or Twenty Ounce (Figure 1a, 1b, 1c and Figure 2), the latter which was the fastest growing cultivar. This is demonstrated by the exponential size gain of Twenty Ounce after 42 DAFB, consequently producing the largest fruits amongst the three apple variants.


Figure 2. Average fruit diameter of the three cultivars from three individual replicates (Season 2). The difference in measurements between cultivars at 14, 42, and 120 DAFB were statistically different (Tukey's HSD). ANOVA (two-factor), *p*-value ≤ 0.05


Figure 3. Average cell size of three cultivars from three individual replicates (Season 2). Error bars represent standard deviations. The difference in measurements between cultivars at 42 until 120 DAFB were statistically different (Tukey's HSD), ANOVA (two-factor), $p \leq 0.05$

Crabapples	Royal Gala	Twenty Ounce
0 DAP	0 DAP	0 DAP

| 40 DAFB | 40 DAFB | 40 DAFB |

| 120 DAFB | 120 DAFB | 120 DAFB |

Figure 4. Microscopy images of three cultivars showing a distinct cell size increment amongst the cultivars. Scale bars represent 500 μm.

3.2. Relationship between fruit diameter, cell area, and cell number in three apple cultivars

Data collected from season two showed the positive correlation between fruit diameter and cell area among the three cultivars [R^2= 0.9245, p < 0.05 (Figure 5)], an indication of the deterministic influence of cell area to final fruit size. Naturally, cell coverage areas would depend on cell division during early development, as well as cell expansion in the final developmental stages. Both cellular processes have indeed been reported to determine final fruit size [29]. Figure 3 depicts the number of cells counted within a set image area of 42×10^3 um^2. The graph shows an inverse relationship between cell coverage area and cell numbers towards late development, whereby cell numbers were decreased despite an expansion of cell area (Figure 5). Collectively, these results indicated that whilst cell division occurs rapidly in the early stages, it is gradually overtaken by cell expansion as fruit development progresses into the late stages.

Figure 5. A graph depicting the relationship between fruit diameter and cell area for the three cultivars across 10 time points during harvest (Season 2). The best fit line indicates the linear correlation of the two variables ($p < 0.05$, y = 0.0038x + 3.6089).

3.3. Comparison of expression patterns among three cultivars

Preliminary testing on samples harvested during Season 1 (data not shown) found that *MdCDKB2;2* was up-regulated during early development but was down-regulated afterwards. In preparation for Season 2, flowers from the Twenty Ounce, Royal Gala, and Crabapple cultivars were again hand-pollinated and harvested. The time course was changed slightly to include narrower intervals within the earlier stages of fruit development. Gene expression analyses were conducted with RNA samples derived from two biological replicates for all three cultivars, referred to as Rep1 and Rep2. As anticipated, a similar expression pattern was observed in Season 2 replicates where *MdCDKB2;2* was highest in Twenty Ounce during early development. The cultivar displayed the most significant surges in *MdCDKB2;2* expression at 0, 3 and 5 DAFB.

The expression pattern of *MdANT2*, a second cell division gene, was then found to be similar to that of *MdCDKB2;2*. Though expressed highly in the earlier time points, Figure 7 demonstrates the distinct downturn of *MdANT2* in the later stages. Similar to *MdCDKB2;2*, *MdANT2* expression was also the greatest in the big-sized Twenty Ounce, followed by the middling Royal Gala and the small Crabapple.

Season 1 testing of *MdEXP3* expression in Royal Gala flowers and fruits revealed its high levels during the cell expansion stages. In Season 2 (Figure 8), *MdEXP3* was most prominently found in Crabapple throughout the earlier stages. By contrast, Twenty Ounce expressed the highest levels of the cell expansion gene only as the fruit approaches maturity (120 DAFB). These observations importantly signified that although each cultivar may do so in a temporally dissimilar manner, the cells of its fruit would nevertheless undergo the expansion process; this explains why all cultivars produce similar cell sizes before full bloom (0 DAFB) and at full ripening (120 DAFB).

More anomalous outcomes were derived from gene expression analyses of two genes, namely, *MdARF106* and *MdACO1.* The transcription factor *MdARF106* was highly expressed throughout the time course and without significant differential expression among cultivars, an implication of its role as a facilitator rather than a driver of both the cell division and expansion stages. The ripening marker *MdACO1* was then shown to be strongly produced in Royal Gala over the ripening period. Unexpectedly, *MdACO1* was almost absent from the other two cultivars.

Figure 6. Differential expression of *MdCDKB2:2* in three cultivars during Season 2, relative to the control gene, *MdGAPDH*. (A) Relative expression in biological replicate 1 (Rep1). (B) Relative expression in biological replicate 2 (Rep2). Error bars = standard deviation; n = 3 (technical replicates). Expression levels between time points and cultivars were statistically different, ANOVA (two-factor), *p*-value ≤ 0.05.

Figure 7. Differential expression of *MdANT2* in three cultivars during season 2 relative to *MdGAPDH*. (A) Relative expression in biological replicate 1 (Rep1). (B) Relative expression in biological replicate 2 (Rep2). Error bars = standard deviation; n = 3 (technical replicates). The expressions between markers were statistically different, ANOVA (two-factor), *p*-value ≤ 0.05.


Figure 8. Differential expression of *MdEXP3* in three cultivars during Season 2, relative to the control gene, *MdGAPDH*. (A) Relative expression in biological replicate 1 (Rep1). (B) Relative expression in biological replicate 2 (Rep2). Error bars = standard deviation; n = 3 (technical replicates). Expression between time points and cultivars were statistically different, ANOVA (two-factor), *p*-value ≤ 0.05.

Figure 9. Differential expression of *MdARF106* in three cultivars during Season 2, relative to the control gene, *MdGAPDH*. (A) Relative expression in biological replicate 1 (Rep1). (B) Relative expression in biological replicate 2 (Rep2). Error bars = standard deviation; n = 3 (technical replicates). The expression between time points and cultivars were statistically different, ANOVA (two-factor), *p*-value ≤ 0.05.


Figure 10. Differential expression of *MdACO1* in three cultivars during Season 2, relative to the control gene, *MdGAPDH*. (A) Relative expression in biological replicate 1 (Rep1). (B) Relative expression in biological replicate 2 (Rep2). Error bars represent standard deviation (n = 3).

3.4. Phylogenetic analysis of CDK genes

A phylogenetic tree of apple, *Arabidopsis* and tomato CDK genes was generated to study the relationship between members of this gene family across different species (Figure 11). CDK genes are divided into seven classes (A to F), based on their roles in the different stages of cell cycle [18,23]. Here, *CDKB2;2* was selected as a marker for cell division in apple fruit and cell culture. *CDKA* and *CDKB* are core cell cycle genes [17,32]. Two types of *CDKB*s i.e. *CDKB1* and *CDKB2* are plant-specific. *CDKB1* is expressed from the late S to M phases of the cell cycle, whereas *CDKB2* is expressed specifically from the G2 to M phases [17,32]. Like *Arabidopsis*, the apple plant possesses two types of *CDKB1* (*CDKB1;1* and *CDKB1;2*) and two types of *CDKB2* (*CDKB2;1* and *CDKB2;2*), all of which are homologous to each other [12,17,32]. Microarray expression data from previous studies have determined that *CDKB2;2* form a more distinct peak during early apple fruit development compared to *CDKB2;1* [13,23]. Despite the scarcity of data available about apple fruit genomic sequences, alignment of the cell cycle genes from apple and the well-established *Arabidopsis* successfully established the high similarity of apple *CDKB2;2* gene (Accession number: CN943384) to the *Arabidopsis CDKB2* (Accession number: At1G20930.1). With an Expect value of $1 \times e^{-102}$, the degree of likeliness between the two was deemed great enough to indicate a shared function in cell cycle regulation [13]. The accession number of each gene is shown in Table 1.


Figure 11. Phylogenetic tree of the Cyclin Dependent Kinases (CDKs). The CDKs from apple (blue), *Arabidopsis* (black) and tomato (red) were aligned on the MUSCLE software. Parameters for phylogenetic tree building was set to neighbour joining and 500 bootstraps. Md: *Malus x domestica*, Arath: *Arabidopsis*, Sl: *Solanum lycopersicum*.

Table 1. Gene name and accession number of CDKs from three different plant species.

Gene name	Accession number
Arath; CDKA;1	AT3G48750.1
Arath;CDKB1;1	AT3G54180.1
Arath;CDKB1;2	AT2G38620.2
Arath;CDKB2;1	AT1G76540.1
Arath;CDKB2;2	AT1G20930.1
Arath;CDKC;1	AT5G10270.1
Arath;CDKC;2	AT5G64960.1
Arath;CDKD;1	AT1G73690.1
Arath;CDKD;2	AT1G66750.1
Arath;CDKD;3	AT1G18040.1
Arath;CDKE;1	AT5G63610.1
Arath;CDKF;1	AT4G28980.2
MdCDKA1	MDP0000185491
MdCDKB1;1	MDP0000223519
MdCDKB1;2	MDP0000240040
MdCDKB2;1	MDP0000418062
MdCDKB2;2	MDP0000722904
MdCDKC1	MDP0000243737
MdCDKC2	MDP0000253325
MdCDKD1	MDP0000128357
MdCDKE1	MDP0000206441
MdCDKE2	MDP0000235270
MdCDKF1;1	MDP0000303768
MdCDKG2	MDP0000263387
SlCDKA1	Solyc08g066330.1.1
SlCDKA2	Solyc12g095860.1.1
SlCDKB1;1	Solyc10g074720.1.1
SlCDKB2;1	Solyc04g082840.2.1

4. Discussion

Given that there are over 7000 varieties of apples known to mankind, it is only natural that distinct fruit size differences would be found among apple cultivars [11,33]. Once fertilised and having entered the fruit set stage, cells in the hypanthium will rapidly divide and expand, thus resulting in the organ's lateral growth; specifically, the hypanthium would have developed into fruit flesh (mesocarp) by the end of the development process. Interestingly, we found that the cell size of all three cultivars were very similar at before full bloom (0 DAFB) as well as at ripening (120 DAFB), despite heir vast final fruit size differences. The same findings were reported before in other apple cultivars [17,34]. As such, these observations affirm that ultimately, fruit size is dependent not on cell size but instead cell numbers, the growth of which is determined by cell division and expansion genes. By contrast, the smaller fruits of a blueberry cultivar was shown to produce smaller cells at full bloom compared to the bigger fruits of the same plant. However, it was later concluded that the cell size differences at full bloom did not actually influence final fruit size in the cultivar [17,35].

Physiologically, Twenty Ounce grows at a faster rate than other cultivars. At ripening, its fruit width was three times larger than that of Crabapple. However, our histology studies demonstrated that the cells of the three cultivars expanded at a similar pace

throughout development, an implication of the weak impact of cell expansion in determining fruit size. Even though expansion itself is a major cause of cell growth, its contribution to the final fruit size among apple variants was unclear [17,35]. In this study, the low expression of *MdEXP3* in big-sized Twenty Ounce proved that cell expansion is not deterministic for fruit size differences among the three cultivars. Rather, the high expression of *MdCDKB2;2* observed in Twenty Ounce asserts that cell division more prominently influenced the final fruit size of the cultivar. Nonetheless, such a straightforward relationship appears unlikely. As high levels of *MdCDKB2;2* is speculated to encourage fruit growth, its up-regulation at an earlier period would benefit fruit size gain more effectively than later surges. However, should this be the only contributing factor to fruit size, then Crabapple fruits would grow larger than Royal Gala fruits, as the *MdCDKB2;2* spike in the former precedes that of the latter by two days. There must thus be intrinsic genetic factors which override cell division genes in the determination of final fruit size.

Early research has revealed that cell division influences fruit size in apples [4,36]. Cell number comparisons between big-sized and small-sized apples indicated that the cells of either cultivar would be similarly sized at maturity, insinuating that cell population numbers as a whole is more impactful to fruit size in apples [38]. A high cell number has indeed been shown to lead to larger fruit sizes in other species such as the rabbit-eye blueberry [*Vaccinium ashei* [35]], sweet cherry [*Prunus avium* [39]], and tomato [*Solanum lycopersicum* [40,41]]. In apple fruits, reports preceding the present study have concluded that both cell division and expansion are involved in large-fruit production, although to what extent expansion could influence final fruit size was not determined [16,29].

Most of the pre-existing apple fruit size control research involved cellular and/or physiological assessments [4,40], and few have incorporated gene expression analysis. In this study, we performed real-time quantitative RT-PCR (qPCR) to investigate the differential expression of specific markers throughout fruit development. This approach is more reliable and adds more depth to the overall analysis. Another cell division marker, *MdANT2*, was chosen due to its positive correlation with *MdCDKB2;2*, and might thus be used to reaffirm one another's expression patterns. Though it is a known regulator of cell division control in other species [1,23], the role of *MdANT* in apple fruits is not yet studied. *MdANT* was hypothesised to function similarly to its homologue in *Arabidopsis* [1,2], a gene involved in floral organ initiation and growth [2,42]. To investigate the truth to this assumption, we first quantified *MdANT* expression levels in the Royal Gala cultivar harvested over Season 1. *MdANT* was shown to be up-regulated during early development before declining towards the ripening stage. With the Season 2 harvest, we observed the positive correlation of *MdANT* and *MdCDKB2;2*, in line with findings from a previous report [1]. We also determined that cell division more actively occurs in the young fruits of Twenty Ounce than those of either the Royal Gala or Crabapple variants. The high expression of *MdANT2* in Twenty Ounce at before full bloom and the cultivar's rapid cell production rate together implicate the gene in cell division and population growth.

The transcription factor *MdARF106* has been previously identified as a highly present gene throughout early development [1,22]. Our analysis of *MdARF106* duly showed that the gene was expressed during both cell division and cell expansion, with no significant level differences among cultivars. As the utilisation of stage-specific markers was crucial to our experimental design, *MdARF106* was disregarded in favour of the expansin *MdEXP3* [30,43]. Indeed, *MdEXP3* displayed an expression pattern that was temporally in tune with cell expansion; curiously, however, the gene was more modestly expressed in Twenty Ounce than in both Royal Gala and Crabapple. Contrarily, preceding studies have shown *MdEXP3* to exhibit high-expression profiles in big-sized apple variants, leading to the conclusion that cell expansion genes could influence fruit production in those cultivars

[30,43]. Taken together, these observations therefore suggest that the effects of cell expansion on final fruit size may be more prominent in some apple cultivars over others.

5. Conclusions

Molecular approaches have been utilised to great effect in apple research to dissect the complex interaction and interplay between hormones during fruit growth. Data from three apple cultivars were collected over a two-year period (two seasons of harvest), where it was found that cell numbers determined the final fruit size. Additionally, the expression of certain genes during early fruit development directly influenced fruit size. The biggest cultivar within this study, Twenty Ounce, evidently demonstrated such a connection as the cultivar, in direct contrast the middling Royal Gala and small-sized Crabapple, exhibited the highest levels of cell division genes during early fruit development.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.K.; A.A.; R.S.; K.D.; methodology, S.K.; software, S.K.; validation, S.K.; A.A.; R.S.; K.D.; formal analysis, S.K.; writing—original draft preparation, S.K.; writing—review and editing, S.K.; A.A.; R.S.; K.D. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Dash M, Malladi A. The AINTEGUMENTA genes, MdANT1 and MdANT2, are associated with the regulation of cell production during fruit growth in apple (*Malus× domestica* Borkh.). *BMC plant biology*. **2012**, 12(1):1-5.
2. Han X, Liu K, Yuan G, He S, Cong P, Zhang C. Genome-wide identification and characterization of AINTEGUMENTA-LIKE (AIL) family genes in apple (*Malus domestica* Borkh.). *Genomics*. **2022**, 114(2):110313.
3. Hirst PM. Advances in understanding flowering and pollination in apple trees. Achieving sustainable cultivation of apples. **2017**, 31:109-26.
4. Bain JM, Robertson RN. The physiology of growth in apple fruits I. Cell size, cell number, and fruit development. *Australian Journal of Biological Sciences*. **1951**, 4(2):75-91.
5. Zeng X, Li H, Jiang W, Li Q, Xi Y, Wang X, Li J. Phytochemical compositions, health-promoting properties and food applications of crabapples: A review. *Food Chemistry*. **2022**, 23:132789.
6. Gonzalez L, Torres E, Àvila G, Bonany J, Alegre S, Carbó J, Martín B, Recasens I, Asin L. Evaluation of chemical fruit thinning efficiency using Brevis®(Metamitron) on apple trees ('Gala') under Spanish conditions. *Scientia Horticulturae*. **2020**, 261:109003.
7. Jonkers H. Biennial bearing in apple and pear: a literature survey. *Scientia horticulturae*. **1979**, 11(4):303-17.
8. Hao S, Lu Y, Peng Z, Wang E, Chao L, Zhong S, Yao Y. McMYB4 improves temperature adaptation by regulating phenylpropanoid metabolism and hormone signaling in apple. *Horticulture research*. **2021**, 8.
9. Forshey CG. Fruit numbers, fruit saize, and yield relationships in'McIntosh'apples. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci*. **1977**, 102:399-402.
10. McAtee P, Karim S, Schaffer R, David K. A dynamic interplay between phytohormones is required for fruit development, maturation, and ripening. *Frontiers in plant science*. **2013**, 4:79.
11. Karim SK, Read N, David KM, Allan AC, Schaffer RJ. Characterisation of induced *Malus x domestica* 'Royal Gala'cell differentiation by using different hormones in cell cultures. *The Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology*. **2022**, 1-5.
12. Malladi A, Johnson LK. Expression profiling of cell cycle genes reveals key facilitators of cell production during carpel development, fruit set, and fruit growth in apple (*Malus× domestica* Borkh.). *Journal of experimental botany*. **2011**, 62(1):205-19.
13. Janssen BJ, Thodey K, Schaffer RJ, Alba R, Balakrishnan L, Bishop R, Bowen JH, Crowhurst RN, Gleave AP, Ledger S, McArtney S. Global gene expression analysis of apple fruit development from the floral bud to ripe fruit. *BMC Plant Biology*. **2008,** 8(1):1-29.
14. Giovannoni JJ. Fruit ripening mutants yield insights into ripening control. *Current opinion in plant biology*. **2007**, 10(3):283-9.

15. Rugkong A, McQuinn R, Giovannoni JJ, Rose JK, Watkins CB. Expression of ripening-related genes in cold-stored tomato fruit. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*. **2011**, 61(1):1-4.

16. Malladi A, Hirst PM. Increase in fruit size of a spontaneous mutant of 'Gala' apple (*Malus× domestica* Borkh.) is facilitated by altered cell production and enhanced cell size. *Journal of experimental botany*. **2010**, 61(11):3003-13.

17. Malladi A. Molecular physiology of fruit growth in apple. *Horticultural reviews*. **2020**, 47:1-42.

18. Kitsios G, Doonan JH. Cyclin dependent protein kinases and stress responses in plants. *Plant signaling & behavior*. **2011**, 6(2):204-9.

19. Endo M, Nakayama S, Umeda-Hara C, Ohtsuki N, Saika H, Umeda M, Toki S. CDKB2 is involved in mitosis and DNA damage response in rice. *The Plant Journal*. **2012**, 69(6):967-77.

20. Klucher KM, Chow H, Reiser L, Fischer RL. The AINTEGUMENTA gene of Arabidopsis required for ovule and female gametophyte development is related to the floral homeotic gene APETALA2. *The Plant Cell*. **1996**, 8(2):137-53.

21. Mizukami Y, Fischer RL. Plant organ size control: AINTEGUMENTA regulates growth and cell numbers during organogenesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. **2000**, 97(2):942-7.

22. Devoghalaere F, Doucen T, Guitton B, Keeling J, Payne W, Ling TJ, Ross JJ, Hallett IC, Gunaseelan K, Dayatilake GA, Diak R. A genomics approach to understanding the role of auxin in apple (*Malus x domestica*) fruit size control. *BMC Plant Biology*. **2012**, 12(1):1-5.

23. Jiao QQ, Cui M, Chen XS, Zhang ZY, Wang N, Jiang YM, Mao ZQ, Shu J, Shen X, Jiang SH, Chen XL. *MdCKS1* Expression Involved in Fruit Size in Apple Cultivar 'Fuji' and 'Ralls'. *Russian Journal of Plant Physiology*. **2021**, 68(6):1059-68.

24. Cho HT, Kende H. Expansins and internodal growth of deepwater rice. *Plant Physiology*. **1997,** 113(4):1145-51.

25. Wu B, Shen F, Wang X, Zheng WY, Xiao C, Deng Y, Wang T, Yu Huang Z, Zhou Q, Wang Y, Wu T. Role of *MdERF3* and *MdERF118* natural variations in apple flesh firmness/crispness retainability and development of QTL-based genomics-assisted prediction. *Plant biotechnology journal*. **2021**, 19(5):1022-37.

26. Cosgrove DJ. Loosening of plant cell walls by expansins. *Nature*. **2000**, 407(6802):321-6.

27. Cho HT, Cosgrove DJ. Altered expression of expansin modulates leaf growth and pedicel abscission in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. **2000**, 97(17):9783-8.

28. Percy AE, Melton LD, Jameson PE. Expansion during early apple fruit development induced by auxin and N-(2-chloro-4-pyridyl)-N'-phenylurea: Effect on cell wall hemicellulose. *Plant growth regulation*. **1998**, 26(1):1-6.

29. Harada T, Kurahashi W, Yanai M, Wakasa Y, Satoh T. Involvement of cell proliferation and cell enlargement in increasing the fruit size of Malus species. *Scientia horticulturae*. **2005**, 105(4):447-56.

30. Wakasa Y, Hatsuyama Y, Takahashi A, Sato T, Niizeki M, Harada T. Divergent expression of six expansin genes during apple fruit ontogeny. *European Journal of Horticultural Science*. **2003**, 68(6):253-9.

31. Dash M, Johnson LK, Malladi A. Reduction of fruit load affects early fruit growth in apple by enhancing carbohydrate availability, altering the expression of cell production-related genes, and increasing cell production. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science*. **2013**, 138(4):253-62.

32. Gentric N, Genschik P, Noir S. Connections between the cell cycle and the DNA damage response in plants. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. **2021**, 22(17):9558.

33. McAtee PA, Hallett IC, Johnston JW, Schaffer RJ. A rapid method of fruit cell isolation for cell size and shape measurements. *Plant methods*. **2009**, 5(1):1-7.

34. Ng JK, Schröder R, Sutherland PW, Hallett IC, Hall MI, Prakash R, Smith BG, Melton LD, Johnston JW. Cell wall structures leading to cultivar differences in softening rates develop early during apple (*Malus x domestica*) fruit growth. *BMC Plant Biology*. **2013**, 13(1):1-7.

35. Johnson LK, Malladi A, NeSmith DS. Differences in cell number facilitate fruit size variation in rabbiteye blueberry genotypes. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science*. **2011**, 136(1):10-5.

36. Patricia Denne M. Fruit development and some tree factors affecting it. *New Zealand Journal of Botany*. **1963**, 1(3):265-94.

37. Chen P, Li Z, Zhang D, Shen W, Xie Y, Zhang J, Jiang L, Li X, Shen X, Geng D, Wang L. Insights into the effect of human civilization on Malus evolution and domestication. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*. **2021**, 19(11):2206-20.

38. Hugh Smith W. The histological structure of the flesh of the apple in relation to growth and senescence. *Journal of Pomology and Horticultural Science*. **1941**, 18(3):249-60.

39. Olmstead JW, Iezzoni AF, Whiting MD. Genotypic differences in sweet cherry fruit size are primarily a function of cell number. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science*. **2007**, 132(5):697-703.

40. Bertin N, Génard M, Fishman S. A model for an early stage of tomato fruit development: cell multiplication and cessation of the cell proliferative activity. *Annals of Botany*. **2003**, 92(1):65-72.

41. Bohner J, Bangerth F. Effects of fruit set sequence and defoliation on cell number, cell size and hormone levels of tomato fruits (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) within a truss. *Plant Growth Regulation*. **1988**, 7(3):141-55.

42. Krizek BA. Ectopic expression of AINTEGUMENTA in Arabidopsis plants results in increased growth of floral organs. *Developmental genetics*. **1999,** 25(3):224-36.

43. Khan A, Gutierrez B, Chao CT, Singh J. Origin of the Domesticated Apples. In The Apple Genome 2021 (pp. 383-394). Springer, Cham.

44. Sass JE. Botanical microtechnique. Botanical microtechnique. 1958(3rd ed).

45.   Chang S, Puryear J. Cairney. A simple and efficient method for isolating RNA from pine trees. *Plant molecular biology reporter*. **1993**, 11(2):113-6.

46.   Schaffer RJ, Friel EN, Souleyre EJ, Bolitho K, Thodey K, Ledger S, Bowen JH, Ma JH, Nain B, Cohen D, Gleave AP. A genomics approach reveals that aroma production in apple is controlled by ethylene predominantly at the final step in each biosynthetic pathway. *Plant physiology*. **2007**, 144(4):1899-912.