Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 1 July 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202206.0221.v3

Proposed method of combining continuum mechanics with Einstein Field Equations

Piotr Ogonowski

E-mail: piotrogonowski@kozminski.edu.pl

Kozminski University, Jagiellonska 57/59, Warsaw, 03-301, Poland

Abstract. The article proposes an amendment to the relativistic continuum mechanics which introduce the relationship between density tensors and the curvature of spacetime. The resulting formulation of a symmetric stress-energy tensor for a system with an electromagnetic field, leads to the solution of Einstein Field Equations indicating a relationship between the electromagnetic field tensor and the metric tensor. In this EFE solution, the cosmological constant is related to the invariant of the electromagnetic field tensor, and an additional gravitational pull appears, dependent on the velocity of orbiting bodies and the vacuum energy contained in the system. In flat Minkowski spacetime, the vanishing four-divergence of this stress-energy tensor expresses relativistic Cauchy's momentum equation, leading to the emergence of new force densities which can be further developed and parameterized to obtain known interactions. Transformation equations were also obtained between spacetime with fields and forces, and a curved spacetime reproducing the motion resulting from the fields under consideration, which allows for the extension of the solution with new fields.

Keywords: General relativity, Cosmology, Field theory, Electrodynamics, Continuum mechanics, Fluid dynamics, Hamiltonian mechanics.

1. Introduction

Currently, field phenomena in physics is described in many ways, e.g., [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], but in most field theories [7], the field is still something additional to the spacetime - not natural consequence of spacetime existence. There are also still some challenges in describing systems that contain electromagnetic field. Stress-energy tensor for a system with electromagnetic field [8], derived from the widely accepted Lagrangian density [9], is not symmetrical [10] and attempts are still being made to link the description of such a system with the GR, e.g. [11], [12], [13].

Much theoretical work was also done to combine the equations of GR and fluid dynamics, e.g. [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], however, so far the general solutions connecting these two branches of physics are unknown. There are also some unresolved problems, e.g. with the dependence of four-velocity on four-position. In relativistic electrodynamics, it is assumed that the four-velocity is independent of the four-position, while a large number of fluid dynamics equations operate on velocity gradients, such as Navier-Stokes equations [19] and many others.

The motivation of this article was to find a general solution to the Einstein Field Equations that would explain electrodynamics in curved spacetime, allow for generalization to other fields and be consistent with the equations of the continuum mechanics. The article may also be considered as the voice in still present scientific discussion about foundations of electromagnetism and its relation to spacetime geometry and spacetime itself, discussed e.g. in [20], [21], [22], [23], [24] and [25].

In the first part of the article, the consequences of Hamiltonian mechanics for electrodynamics were considered. The conclusions were then used to make a minor tweak to relativistic continuum mechanics equations. Finally, the stress-energy tensor was proposed for a system containing an electromagnetic field, and then it was used to analyze the transformation to curvilinear coordinates and its relation to Einstein Field Equations.

The author uses the Einstein summation convention, metric signature $(+,-,-,-)$ and some standard definitions: t denotes coordinate time, τ denotes test body proper-time, m denotes test body rest mass, q denotes test body charge, S denotes Hamilton's principal function (action), L denotes Lagrangian, $\mathcal{L}$ denotes Lagrangian density, H denotes Hamiltonian.

The author also uses some standard four-vector definitions: U^α for four-velocities, P^α for four-momentums, F^α for four-forces, $\mathbb{F}^{\alpha\beta}$ for electromagnetic tensors, A^α for four-accelerations, $\mathbb{A}^\alpha \equiv \left(\frac{\phi}{c}, \vec{\mathbb{A}}\right)$ for electromagnetic four-potentials, J^α for four-currents, $H^\alpha \equiv \left(\frac{H}{c}, \vec{p_h}\right)$ for generalized, canonical four-momentums.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202206.0221.v3

2. From Hamiltonian mechanics to geometry of spacetime

One may start discussion considering Lagrangian and Hamiltonian mechanics [26] in flat Minkowski spacetime. Using Hamilton–Jacobi equations, one may express generalized canonical four-momentum H^α as a function of Hamilton's principal function S [27] as follows

$$H^\alpha \equiv \left(\frac{H}{c}, \vec{p_h}\right) = -\partial^\alpha S \tag{2.1}$$

For a system containing only electromagnetic field above takes form of

$$H^\alpha = P^\alpha + q\mathbb{A}^\alpha \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathbb{A}^\alpha$ is the electromagnetic four-potential. This equation yields the relativistic Lagrangian [27] (minimal coupling) for the electromagnetic field

$$-L = \frac{1}{\gamma} \cdot U_\alpha H^\alpha = mc^2\frac{1}{\gamma} + q(\phi - \vec{u}\vec{\mathbb{A}}) \tag{2.3}$$

It is known relativistic version of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian for electromagnetism, however, there is something that was missed what is oversight of important consequences. Taking four-gradient on (2.2) for both indexes and subtracting from each other, one obtains

$$\partial^\beta P^\alpha - \partial^\alpha P^\beta = q(\partial^\alpha \mathbb{A}^\beta - \partial^\beta \mathbb{A}^\alpha) \tag{2.4}$$

Element related to H^α vanished, since $H^\alpha = -\partial^\alpha S$ and from calculus rules for any scalar S there is

$$\partial^\beta\partial^\alpha S - \partial^\alpha\partial^\beta S = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

what is fundamental rule behind gauge fixing [28] for electromagnetic field.

It is important to emphasize that the above reasoning and eq. (2.4) rules out the conviction, that four-momentum is independent of four-position. It is worth noting, that there are many concepts of continuum mechanics that depend on velocity gradients. An example would be Cauchy stress tensor, deviatoric stress tensor [29] or vorticity [30], which is a term from dynamical theory of fluids that describes velocity rotation of a fluid element, usually denoted as ω and defined as

$$\vec{\omega} \equiv \nabla \times \vec{u} \tag{2.6}$$

Velocity gradients and velocity gradient tensors are important concepts of fluid dynamics [31], [32], [33] thus velocity independent of the four-position would create significant problems for continuum mechanics. It would be also difficult to combine continuum mechanics with GR, discarding the key elements of continuum mechanics.

Therefore, for further discussion, conclusions from the continuum mechanics will be

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 1 July 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202206.0221.v3

adopted and it will be assumed that four-velocity depends on four-position. As it will be shown soon, such an assumption (after a minor amendment) does not cause problems for GR and classical mechanics, and in fact leads to the integration of these branches of physics.

Analyzing (2.4) from the gauge theory perspective, in considered system (system containing only electromagnetic field), four-momentum P^α is just some chosen gauge for the electromagnetic four-potential. Electromagnetic filed tensor $\mathbb{F}^{\alpha\beta}$ for such system may then be expressed equivalently as

$$\mathbb{F}^{\alpha\beta} = \partial^\alpha \mathbb{A}^\beta - \partial^\beta \mathbb{A}^\alpha = \frac{1}{q}\left(\partial^\beta P^\alpha - \partial^\alpha P^\beta\right) \tag{2.7}$$

what produces the Lorentz force F^α by

$$F^\alpha = U_\beta \partial^\beta P^\alpha = q U_\beta \, \mathbb{F}^{\alpha\beta} \tag{2.8}$$

since the Minkowski metric property gives

$$U_\beta P^\beta = mc^2 \quad \to \quad U_\beta \partial^\alpha P^\beta = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

Adding other fields to the system by adding to (2.2) successive four-potentials $\mathbb{A}_i^\alpha$ and related constants q_i of i-fields (marked with the i index), would generalize the force equation (2.8) to the form of

$$F^\alpha = U_\beta \partial^\beta P^\alpha = \sum_i q_i \, U_\beta \left(\partial^\alpha \mathbb{A}_i^\beta - \partial^\beta \mathbb{A}_i^\alpha\right) \tag{2.10}$$

As it will be shown in the next chapter, the gravitational force is not subject to the above description and its origin is different.

The four-current J^α issue remains to be clarified, where

$$\mu_o J^\alpha \equiv \partial_\beta \, \mathbb{F}^{\alpha\beta} \tag{2.11}$$

and where μ_o represents the permeability of free space. The continuity equation requires that $\partial_\alpha J^\alpha = 0$. Denoting ρ_o as rest charge density, it is clear, that the classical equation $J^\alpha = \rho_o U^\alpha$ requires vanishing four-divergence of U^α. However, assuming U^α as dependent on four-position, one may also assume, that four-divergence of U^α does not vanish and note some inconsistency in the classical calculation of the density flux, which is clearly visible for volumetric mass density.

Introducing ϱ_o as volumetric mass density in some volume V for the system at rest

$$\varrho_o \equiv \frac{m}{V} \tag{2.12}$$

and following the reasoning behind the calculation of the energy density in the stress-energy tensor [34], it should be noted that both the mass m and the volume V are subject to Lorentz contraction effects ($m \to m\gamma$ and $V \to V\frac{1}{\gamma}$). In the four-momentum

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 1 July 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202206.0221.v3

P^α mass is increased alone, thus contraction of the volume alone would change the density as follows

$$\varrho = \varrho_o \gamma \tag{2.13}$$

For this reason, the total effect due to the increase of the mass (or charge) and volume contraction leads to four-momentum density of the form $\varrho\, U^\alpha$ and the four-current given by equation

$$J^\alpha = \rho\, U^\alpha = \rho_o \gamma\, U^\alpha \tag{2.14}$$

Calculating the vanishing four-divergence of above, keeping in mind that γ is a function of the four-position only (2.10), one obtains

$$\partial_\alpha U^\alpha = -\frac{d\gamma}{dt} \tag{2.15}$$

The above reasoning would remain correct for any density in motion, providing a continuity equation for any density flux in flat Minkowski spacetime. Moreover, this amendment has very favorable ramifications for the merger with the GR.

If one would like to perceive the effects of the existence of a field in flat spacetime, as some form of spacetime curvature in curvilinear coordinates, then the four-divergence of U^α should vanish in curved spacetime, where the four-acceleration is replaced by the curvature of spacetime and geodesics. Therefore,

$$U^\alpha{}_{;\alpha} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \Gamma^\alpha{}_{\alpha\beta} U^\beta = \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \tag{2.16}$$

where $\Gamma^\alpha{}_{\alpha\beta}$ represents Christoffel symbols of the second kind. This leads to further conclusions. In flat Minkowski spacetime, four-divergence of the following tensor does not vanish

$$\partial_\alpha\, U^\alpha U^\beta = -\frac{d\gamma}{dt} U^\beta + A^\beta = \left(0\,,\, \vec{a}\gamma^2\right) \tag{2.17}$$

where $\vec{a} \equiv \frac{d\vec{u}}{dt}$ is the classic acceleration. Its disappearance in curved spacetime thus leads immediately to the following conclusion

$$U^\alpha U^\beta{}_{;\alpha} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \Gamma^\alpha{}_{\alpha\mu} U^\mu U^\beta + \Gamma^\beta{}_{\alpha\mu} U^\alpha U^\mu = -\left(0\,,\, \vec{a}\gamma^2\right) \tag{2.18}$$

what, taking into account (2.16), yields

$$\Gamma^\beta{}_{\alpha\mu} U^\alpha U^\mu = -A^\beta \tag{2.19}$$

This is the expected result, making that intrinsic covariant derivative of four-velocity vanishes in curved spacetime.

$$\frac{D\, U^\beta}{D\,\tau} = \frac{dU^\beta}{d\tau} + \Gamma^\beta{}_{\alpha\mu} U^\alpha U^\mu = 0 \tag{2.20}$$

According to above findings, the total force density f^β acting in the system should be defined as follows

$$f^\beta \equiv \varrho A^\beta = \varrho_o \gamma A^\beta = \partial_\alpha\, \varrho\, U^\alpha U^\beta \tag{2.21}$$

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 1 July 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202206.0221.v3

which is in line with the assumption behind the derivation of the Navier–Stokes equations, making it possible to derive their relativistic counterpart.

Finally, analyzing all above on the transition to curved spacetime for

$$\partial_\alpha \, \varrho \, U^\alpha U^\beta = f^\beta \quad \to \quad \varrho \, U^\alpha U^\beta{}_{;\alpha} = 0 \tag{2.22}$$

it is clear that this requires a relationship between the density tensors and some tensors describing the curvature of spacetime with vanishing covariant four-divergence, which opens the way to linking the continuum mechanics with GR.

Above reasoning opens the possibility of perceiving the presence of a field as some spacetime curvature and vice versa, where eq. (2.10) opens the way to the inclusion of other fields.

It is also possible to propose a solution where some interactions are the result of fluid dynamics, as presented in the next chapter. This will prove crucial for the explanation of the gravitational interaction described in GR, which cannot be described by an ordinary field four-potential.

3. Results

Returning back to flat Minkowski spacetime, one may analyze the implications of the previous chapter for the stress-energy tensors.

One could build a stress-energy tensor for a system with an electromagnetic field, based on the density tensor $\varrho \, U^\alpha U^\beta$ in such a way, that the vanishing four-divergence of the stress-energy tensor would result from a cancellation of force densities.

The density of force due to electromagnetism f^α_{EM} may be calculated as

$$f^\alpha_{EM} \equiv J_\beta \mathbb{F}^{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\beta \left(\eta^{\alpha\beta} \, \frac{1}{4\mu_o} \mathbb{F}^{\gamma\mu} \, \mathbb{F}_{\gamma\mu} - \frac{1}{\mu_o} \mathbb{F}^\alpha{}_\gamma \, \mathbb{F}^{\beta\gamma} \right) \tag{3.1}$$

where $\eta^{\alpha\beta} \, \frac{1}{4\mu_o} \mathbb{F}^{\gamma\mu} \, \mathbb{F}_{\gamma\mu} - \frac{1}{\mu_o} \mathbb{F}^\alpha{}_\gamma \, \mathbb{F}^{\beta\gamma}$ is classic [35] stress–energy tensor for electromagnetic field and where $\eta^{\alpha\beta}$ represents Minkowski metric tensor.

For universality, one may introduce a definition of the stress-energy tensor for the electromagnetic field, in the form independent of the metric tensor $g^{\alpha\beta}$, which in the Minkowski spacetime will turn into the above classic one. Such a tensor will be denoted as $\Upsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ and defined as follows

$$\Upsilon^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \Lambda_g \, g^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{\mu_o} \mathbb{F}^{\alpha\mu} \, g_{\mu\gamma} \, \mathbb{F}^{\beta\gamma} \tag{3.2}$$

 doi:10.20944/preprints202206.0221.v3

where Λ_g is a scalar value with the dimension of energy density, related to the Lorentz invariant of the electromagnetic field tensor in the metric (subscript g)

$$\Lambda_g \equiv \frac{1}{4\mu_o}\mathbb{F}^{\alpha\mu}\, g_{\mu\gamma}\, \mathbb{F}^{\beta\gamma} g_{\alpha\beta} \tag{3.3}$$

Assuming that the only field in the system is an electromagnetic field and remaining in the Minkowski spacetime ($g^{\alpha\beta} \to \eta^{\alpha\beta}$), the below equation brings conservation of linear momentum and energy by electromagnetic interactions

$$\partial_\beta \left(\varrho\, U^\alpha U^\beta - \Upsilon^{\alpha\beta}\right) = f^\alpha - f^\alpha_{EM} \tag{3.4}$$

so this expression fits well as an expression to describe vanishing four-divergence of the stress-energy tensor for the whole system.

However, it is known that there are other forces in the system, such as gravity, weak interactions and strong interactions. One may then propose the following definition of the stress-energy tensor $T^{\alpha\beta}$ for the whole system, including new force densities that will provide prototypes for the missing forces and allow for further development and parameterization

$$T^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \varrho\, U^\alpha U^\beta - \left(1 + \frac{c^2\varrho}{\Lambda_g}\right)\Upsilon^{\alpha\beta} \tag{3.5}$$

As will be shown shortly, this will ensure compliance with the Cauchy momentum equation [36], what is known issue in EFE [37]. This will also provide the ability to recreate the description of gravity as described by GR.

Vanishing four-divergence of tensor $T^{\alpha\beta}$ would create two additional density of forces:

$$\partial_\beta T^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad \to \quad f^\alpha - f^\alpha_{EM} - f^\alpha_{sw} - f^\alpha_{gr} = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$f^\alpha_{sw} \equiv \frac{c^2\varrho}{\Lambda_g} f^\alpha_{EM} = \frac{c^2\varrho}{\Lambda_g}\partial_\beta\, \Upsilon^{\alpha\beta} \tag{3.7}$$

$$f^\alpha_{gr} \equiv \Upsilon^{\alpha\beta}\,\partial_\beta \frac{c^2\varrho}{\Lambda_g} = \partial^\alpha c^2\varrho - \frac{1}{\mu_o}\mathbb{F}^\alpha{}_\gamma\, \mathbb{F}^{\beta\gamma}\,\partial_\beta \frac{c^2\varrho}{\Lambda_g} \tag{3.8}$$

In the above picture, element f^α_{gr} seems to be related to the density of the gravitational force. It is not defined as interaction between bodies. This contraction of the electromagnetic stress–energy tensor expresses the phenomenon of bending the light path by gradient of energy density.

The relationship of this force density with the Einstein curvature tensor will be confirmed in this chapter.

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 1 July 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202206.0221.v3

Element f^{α}_{sw} seems to be related to the density of other interactions, related to electromagnetism. It will be discussed in the next chapter.

The proposed stress-energy tensors $T^{\alpha\beta}$ and $\Upsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ allows the replacement of force densities in flat Minkowski spacetime with the corresponding metric tensor in curved spacetime. It requires a metric tensor $g^{\alpha\beta}$ defined as

$$\Lambda_g \cdot g^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \frac{1}{\mu_o}\mathbb{F}^{\alpha\mu}\, g_{\mu\gamma}\, \mathbb{F}^{\beta\gamma} \quad \rightarrow \quad \Upsilon^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \tag{3.9}$$

Such definition eliminates the whole electromagnetic stress-energy tensor $\Upsilon^{\alpha\beta}$, but this tensor is no longer needed. Equation (3.5) in such curved spacetime reduces to the postulate of General Relativity

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = \varrho\, U_\alpha U_\beta \tag{3.10}$$

where the stress-energy tensor $T_{\alpha\beta}$ determines the curvature of spacetime, the relation between the metric tensor and electromagnetic field tensor is given by eq. (3.9) and instead of field and forces one obtains curved spacetime. The vanishing covariant four-divergence of (3.9) ensures that there is no force density (acceleration) resulting from the electromagnetic field and all movement takes place according to geodetic.

The question then arises about the relationship of the above equation with the main GR equation. One may thus express the energy density of the universe by the tensor $R_{\alpha\beta}$ describing perfect fluid, where the difference between pressure p in this fluid and energy density is equal to the doubled invariant of the electromagnetic field tensor Λ_g

$$R_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \frac{1}{c^2}\left([p - 2\Lambda_g] + p\right) U_\alpha U_\beta - p\, g_{\alpha\beta} \tag{3.11}$$

Next, one may define trace of this tensor by scalar R

$$R \equiv R_{\alpha\beta}\, g^{\alpha\beta} = -2p - 2\Lambda_g \tag{3.12}$$

thus

$$R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}R\, g_{\alpha\beta} - \Lambda_g\, g_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{2}{c^2}\left(p - \Lambda_g\right) U_\alpha U_\beta \tag{3.13}$$

Both sides of the equation should have a vanishing four-divergence in the considered metric and left side is apparently proportional to the Einstein tensor. Therefore, one may expect that $R_{\alpha\beta}$ is a Ricci tensor with an accuracy of some constant and comparing the above to (3.10), one may expect that both equations are proportional to the accuracy of some constant.

Introducing $G_{\alpha\beta}$ as Einstein curvature tensor, one may propose the following relation

$$\frac{c^4}{8\pi G}\, G_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_g\, g_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{c^2}\left(p - \Lambda_g\right) U_\alpha U_\beta = \varrho\, U_\alpha U_\beta \tag{3.14}$$

In the above solution, cosmological constant Λ is related to the invariant of electromagnetic field tensor

$$\Lambda = -\frac{4\pi G}{c^4}\Lambda_g \tag{3.15}$$

and it would mean, that vacuum energy that has been sought for years [38] is related to the energy of the electromagnetic field that fills the entire space. It would also lead to the conclusion, that cosmological constant Λ should be taken into account in the calculation of the metric, as they propose, inter alia, authors in [39].

It can also be seen, that in above picture the energy density, which is measured as $\varrho c^2 = p - \Lambda_g$, is only the surplus of the pressure over the vacuum energy density. The total energy density taking into account the vacuum energy density is present in the tensor $R_{\alpha\beta}$ in (3.11) and is equal to $[\varrho c^2 - \Lambda_g]$.

The covariant four-divergence of the tensor $R_{\alpha\beta}$ in curved spacetime is related to f^{α}_{gr} - gravitational force density prototype ($\partial^\alpha \varrho c^2 = \partial^\alpha p$ since Λ_g is invariant) derived in (3.8) and is reset by the four-divergence of component related to the trace $\frac{1}{2}R\, g_{\alpha\beta}$ in Einstein tensor. Therefore, Einstein tensor has vanishing covariant four-divergence and is indeed related to the, vanishing in curved spacetime, force density f^{α}_{gr} and corresponding metric tensor.

It is worth noting, that in curved spacetime, Einstein tensor may also be interpreted as stress-energy tensor describing perfect fluid, however this time, vacuum energy density acts as pressure

$$\frac{c^4}{4\pi G}\,G_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\left[\varrho c^2 + p\right] - \Lambda_g\right) U_\alpha U_\beta + \Lambda_g\, g_{\alpha\beta} \tag{3.16}$$

By analyzing above and equations (3.12) and (3.14) one may notice, that

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad p = -\Lambda_g \quad \rightarrow \quad R = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad R_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \tag{3.17}$$

thus this way one obtains Schwarzschild and Kerr vacuum solutions [40].

In flat Minkowski spacetime ($g^{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \eta^{\alpha\beta}$), one may now simplify eq. (3.5) to the following form:

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \varrho\, U^\alpha U^\beta - p \cdot \frac{\Upsilon^{\alpha\beta}}{\Lambda_g} \tag{3.18}$$

Vanishing four-divergence of the above, expresses the four-dimensional relativistic Cauchy momentum equation (convective form). To see it, one may introduce tensor $\Pi^{\alpha\beta}$ defined as

$$\Pi^{\alpha\beta} \equiv c^2 \varrho\, \frac{\mathbb{F}^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma}\, \mathbb{F}^{\gamma\beta}}{\mu_o \Lambda_g} \tag{3.19}$$

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 1 July 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202206.0221.v3

Density of electromagnetic force may be expressed as

$$f^{\alpha}_{EM} = \partial_\beta \, \Lambda_g \, \frac{\mathbb{F}^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma} \, \mathbb{F}^{\gamma\beta}}{\mu_o \Lambda_g} \tag{3.20}$$

and taking four-divergence on (3.18), after easy rearrangement of elements, one obtains

$$f^{\alpha} = \partial^{\alpha} p + f^{\alpha}_{EM} + \partial_\beta \Pi^{\alpha\beta} \tag{3.21}$$

This equation expresses convective form of the relativistic Cauchy momentum equation, where $\Pi^{\alpha\beta}$ acts as a four-dimensional deviatoric stress tensor in the mentioned fluid. According to present knowledge in the subject, deviatoric stress tensor depends only on velocity gradients [41], and indeed, in the relativistic version thanks to (2.7), it may be expressed as

$$\Pi^{\alpha\beta} = c^2 \varrho \cdot \frac{\mathbb{Z}^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma} \, \mathbb{Z}^{\gamma\beta}}{\frac{1}{4} \mathbb{Z}^{\mu\nu} \, \mathbb{Z}_{\mu\nu}} \quad where \quad \mathbb{Z}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial^{\mu} U^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu} U^{\mu} \tag{3.22}$$

since all constants from (2.7) cancel out.

In the presented solution, electromagnetic force density is described by the four-potential, while prototypes of gravity and other interactions are consequences of fluid dynamics.

The above also explains the origin of the metric tensor (3.9) for curvilinear coordinates. Adopting the metric tensor in such a way, to eliminate deviatoric stress

$$g^{\alpha\beta} \equiv -\frac{1}{c^2 \varrho} \Pi^{\alpha\beta} \tag{3.23}$$

one indeed makes mentioned fluid perfect and all forces disappear, what should be kept when introducing other, additional fields to the above solution.

4. Conclusions and Discussion

The presented solution creates a coherent picture in which spacetime is in fact a way of perceiving the electromagnetic field. It allows for further development, introducing additional fields, different parameterization and simple transformation between Minkowski spacetime and curvilinear reference systems. It should be noted that the proposed solution does not question the correctness of the currently existing, well-established physical theories, but rather leads to their integration, opening up a new field for further research.

In curved spacetime, the main equation of the proposed solution (3.14) expresses the Einstein Field Equations with cosmological constant Λ dependent on invariant of electromagnetic field tensor $\mathbb{F}^{\alpha\gamma}$

$$\Lambda = -\frac{\pi G}{c^4 \mu_o} \cdot \mathbb{F}^{\alpha\gamma} \, \mathbb{F}_{\alpha\gamma} = -\frac{4\pi G}{c^4} \Lambda_g \tag{4.1}$$

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 1 July 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202206.0221.v3

where Λ_g is auxiliary constant describing vacuum energy density. These EFE drive to classic Schwarzschild and Kerr vacuum solutions, as shown in (3.16).

Derived stress-energy tensor $T^{\alpha\beta}$ in a given spacetime, described by the metric tensor $g^{\alpha\beta}$ is equal to

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \varrho\, U^\alpha U^\beta - \left(c^2\varrho + \Lambda_g\right)\left(g^{\alpha\beta} - g^{\alpha\beta}_{(0)}\right) \tag{4.2}$$

where $c^2\varrho$ is energy density and where $g^{\alpha\beta}_{(0)}$ is the metric tensor of the spacetime in which all motion occurs along geodesics. This metric tensor appears to be equal to

$$g^{\alpha\beta}_{(0)} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_g \mu_o}\mathbb{F}^\alpha{}_\gamma\, \mathbb{F}^{\beta\gamma} \tag{4.3}$$

Vanishing covariant four-divergence of this stress-energy tensor ($T^{\alpha\beta}{}_{;\beta} = 0$) (where Christoffel symbols for $g^{\alpha\beta}_{(0)}$ spacetime are used) and the property $\varrho\, U^\alpha U^\beta{}_{;\beta} = 0$, drives to geometric description of the transformation between considered spacetimes

$$g^{\alpha\beta}_{(0)}\, \partial_\beta\, \varphi = g^{\alpha\beta}\, \partial_\beta\, \varphi + g^{\alpha\beta}{}_{;\beta} \quad where \quad \varphi \equiv \ln\left(1 + \frac{c^2\varrho}{\Lambda_g}\right) \tag{4.4}$$

In flat Minkowski spacetime ($g^{\alpha\beta} \to \eta^{\alpha\beta}$) vanishing four-divergence of the proposed stress-energy tensor ($\partial_\beta T^{\alpha\beta} = 0$) turns out to be relativistic Cauchy momentum equation which is the expected relationship. The following force densities then appear: f^α_{EM} - electromagnetic (correct value), f^α_{gr} - gravitational (as described below), f^α_{sw} - other.

In above picture (in Minkowski flat spacetime), gravitational force density f^α_{gr} derived in (3.8) is explained on the basis of classical field theory as the bending of the light path by the gradient of energy density what is a simple analogy to curving the spacetime. Introducing gravitational four-acceleration A^α_{gr} measured in the spacetime $g^{\alpha\beta}$ as

$$A^\alpha_{gr} \equiv -c^2 g^{\alpha\beta}{}_{;\beta} \tag{4.5}$$

thanks to (4.4) one may express gravitational force density f^α_{gr} measured in spacetime $g^{\alpha\beta}$ by the general expression

$$f^\alpha_{gr} = \left(g^{\alpha\beta} - g^{\alpha\beta}_{(0)}\right)\partial_\beta\, c^2\varrho = \varrho\, A^\alpha_{gr} + \frac{1}{c^2}\Lambda_g\, A^\alpha_{gr} \tag{4.6}$$

First component of this force density is the density of the attraction force. Second component describes the repulsion of bodies due to the vacuum energy (Λ_g has a negative value) and it appeared thanks to taking into account Λ_g in considered Einstein Field Equations.

For demonstration of the use of the above general description, the following example may be considered. Taking Schwarzschild metric tensor as $g^{\alpha\beta}_{(0)}$ and taking Minkowski metric tensor in spherical coordinates as $g^{\alpha\beta}$ and then calculating gravitational four-acceleration A^α_{gr} from (4.5) for Schwarzschild spacetime

$$A^\alpha_{gr} = -c^2 g^{\alpha\beta}{}_{;\beta} = 0 - c^2\Gamma^\beta{}_{\beta\mu}\, g^{\mu\alpha} - c^2\Gamma^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu}\, g^{\beta\mu} \tag{4.7}$$

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 1 July 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202206.0221.v3

(thus Christoffel symbols from Schwarzschild metric are used) one obtains the exact value of the gravitational four-force F^{α}_{gr} resulting from the Schwarzschild metric as it is measured in flat Minkowski spacetime

$$F^{\alpha}_{gr} = mA^{\alpha}_{gr} + \frac{1}{c^2}\Lambda_g V \frac{1}{\gamma} \cdot A^{\alpha}_{gr} \tag{4.8}$$

where V denotes volume and where $1/\gamma$ is the result of the amendment to the continuum mechanics introduced in equations (2.14) and (2.21) what was shown as actually expected to keep the continuum mechanics consistent with the Lorentz transformation. The first component of this gravitational four-force turns out to be Newtonian force with the correction resulting from the applied Schwarzschild metric.

The second component of this gravitational four-force describes the repulsion. As follows from (4.1), Λ_g is a negative constant related to the invariant of the electromagnetic field in the analyzed volume and express vacuum energy density. This four-force is thus moderated by $1/\gamma$ and $\Lambda_g V$ (vacuum energy in the volume). It would explain why only some fast-orbiting bodies (high γ) in selected galaxies feel less repulsive force [42], [43] because this component will reduce the effect of the centrifugal force.

Fast-orbiting body will feel this as an increase in the force of gravity compared to the felt at rest, by a value denoted as F^{α}_{dm} and equal to

$$F^{\alpha}_{dm} \equiv M_{dm}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \cdot A^{\alpha}_{gr} \quad where \quad M_{dm} = -\frac{1}{c^2}\Lambda_g V \tag{4.9}$$

It could be easily mistaken for the appearance of dark matter with mass $M_{dm}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma}\right)$ in the system.

It could also explain why repulsion of bodies moving away from each other and accelerating (increasing γ) at different parts of the universe and at different times in the life of the universe may have a different values (different values of the measurement of the Hubble constant), as pointed, inter alia, by the authors in the [44], [45], [46].

Above description of gravitational force is open for parametrization, development and further study of this approach in search of quantum gravity, search for an explanation for the dark matter phenomenon [47] and other cosmological issues. The author intentionally does not perform the parameterization on his own, because his intention is not to create a theory explaining all the contemporary challenges of physics, but only to add his own brick to the whole knowledge by creating coherent framework that will allow the broad scientific community for further theoretical research.

The force density f^{α}_{sw} that occurs naturally in the equation (3.7), interpreted here as other interactions, seems to be related to strong interactions, or sum of strong and weak interactions, what would link both phenomena with additional electromagnetic force density moderated by the density of energy. This is supported by the observation that on small scales with high energy density, the density of this force will be extremely great - one may recognize it as a strong interaction property. On larger scales with small energy density, this force will be extremely weak - one may recognize it as a weak interaction property. It is also known that both of these forces on quantum level are to some extent related to electromagnetism (charged quarks or bosons). Also the relation between strong forces and gravity has already been noted by the double copy theory [48], [49], [50] which can be thought of as an effect of the equation (4.4).

Due to the lack of equations describing the weak and strong fields in classical field theory, confirmation of the proposed relationship of these fields with force density f^{α}_{sw} must take place on the basis of quantum theories, where equation (3.7) is a quantitative prediction that can be verified or expanded with additional components in the stress-energy tensor. It also creates a new area of research to confirm the above approach or for further analysis of weak and strong interactions based on classical field theory by developing the proposed solution.

Finally, it is worth noting, that cosmological constant Λ in above solution is certainly not "Einstein's greatest mistake", but appears to be a measure for the value of invariant of the electromagnetic field tensor. Since electromagnetic field fills each considered volume regardless of its selection (from the scale of the atom to the entire space), it turns out to be a surprisingly natural explanation to the vacuum energy problem. It also may be further parameterized and extended with invariants of other fields introduced to the above solution.

5. Statements

Data sharing is not applicable to this article, as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

The author did not receive support from any organization for the submitted work.

The author has no relevant financial or non financial interests to disclose.

References

[1] Soper DE. Classical field theory. Courier Dover Publications; 2008.
[2] Landau LD. The classical theory of fields. vol. 2. Elsevier; 2013.
[3] Itzykson C, Zuber JB. Quantum field theory. Courier Corporation; 2012.

[4] Francesco P, Mathieu P, Sénéchal D. Conformal field theory. Springer Science & Business Media; 2012.

[5] Douglas MR, Nekrasov NA. Noncommutative field theory. Reviews of Modern Physics. 2001;73(4):977.

[6] Aharony O, Gubser SS, Maldacena J, Ooguri H, Oz Y. Large N field theories, string theory and gravity. Physics Reports. 2000;323(3-4):183-386.

[7] Weinberg S. Effective field theory, past and future. In: Memorial Volume For Y. Nambu. World Scientific; 2016. p. 1-24.

[8] Wyss W. The energy-momentum tensor in classical field theory. Concepts of Physics. 2005;2:295-310.

[9] Helrich CS. The classical theory of fields: electromagnetism. Springer Science & Business Media; 2012.

[10] Brau CA. Modern problems in classical electrodynamics. Oxford univ. press; 2004.

[11] Ramos J, de Montigny M, Khanna FC. On a Lagrangian formulation of gravitoelectromagnetism. General Relativity and Gravitation. 2010;42(10):2403-20.

[12] Li LX. A new unified theory of electromagnetic and gravitational interactions. Frontiers of Physics. 2016;11(6):1-32.

[13] Brennan TD, Gralla SE, Jacobson T. Exact solutions to force-free electrodynamics in black hole backgrounds. Classical and Quantum Gravity. 2013;30(19):195012.

[14] Bhattacharyya S, Minwalla S, Hubeny VE, Rangamani M. Nonlinear fluid dynamics from gravity. Journal of High Energy Physics. 2008;2008(02):045.

[15] Bemfica FS, Disconzi MM, Noronha J. Causality and existence of solutions of relativistic viscous fluid dynamics with gravity. Physical Review D. 2018;98(10):104064.

[16] Maartens R, Taylor D. Fluid dynamics in higher order gravity. General relativity and gravitation. 1994;26(6):599-613.

[17] Ashok T. Forced fluid dynamics from gravity in arbitrary dimensions. Journal of High Energy Physics. 2014;2014(3):1-25.

[18] Hubeny VE. Fluid dynamics from gravity. In: From Gravity to Thermal Gauge Theories: The AdS/CFT Correspondence. Springer; 2011. p. 183-203.

[19] Constantin P, Foias C. Navier-stokes equations. University of Chicago Press; 2020.

[20] Muller FA. Inconsistency in classical electrodynamics? Philosophy of Science. 2007;74(2):253-77.

[21] Cabral F, Lobo FS. Electrodynamics and spacetime geometry: foundations. Foundations of Physics. 2017;47(2):208-28.

[22] Blackmore D, Prykarpatski AK, Bogolubov NN. Mathematical foundations of the classical Maxwell-Lorentz electrodynamic models in the canonical Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalisms. Universal Journal of Physics and Application. 2013;1(2):160-78.

[23] Beil R. Electrodynamics from a metric. International Journal of Theoretical Physics. 1987;26(2):189-97.

[24] Norton JD. Is there an independent principle of causality in physics? The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science. 2020.

[25] Barut A. On the formulation of electrodynamics from a single principle. Foundations of Physics;(United States). 1994;34(4).

[26] Goldstein H, Poole C, Safko J. Classical mechanics. American Association of Physics Teachers; 2002.

[27] Hand LN, Finch JD. Analytical mechanics. Cambridge University Press; 1998.

[28] Jackson JD. From Lorenz to Coulomb and other explicit gauge transformations. American Journal of Physics. 2002;70(9):917-28.

[29] Irgens F. Continuum mechanics. Springer Science & Business Media; 2008.

[30] Saffman P. Dynamics of vorticity. Journal of Fluid Mechanics. 1981;106:49-58.

[31] Wallace JM, Vukoslavčević PV. Measurement of the velocity gradient tensor in turbulent flows. Annual review of fluid mechanics. 2010;42:157-81.

[32] Meneveau C. Lagrangian dynamics and models of the velocity gradient tensor in turbulent flows. Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics. 2011;43:219-45.

[33] Lawson J, Dawson J. On velocity gradient dynamics and turbulent structure. Journal of Fluid Mechanics. 2015;780:60-98.

[34] Datta S. Special Relativity, Tensors, and Energy Tensor: With Worked Problems. World Scientific; 2021.

[35] Jackson JD. Classical electrodynamics. American Association of Physics Teachers; 1999.

[36] Acheson D. Elementary fluid dynamics: Oxford University Press. Oxford, England; 1990.

[37] Friedrich H, Rendall A. The Cauchy problem for the Einstein equations. In: Einstein's field equations and their physical implications. Springer; 1999. p. 127-223.

[38] Bass SD. Vacuum energy and the cosmological constant. Modern Physics Letters A. 2015;30(22):1540033.

[39] Kubeka AS, Amani A. Thermodynamics of a modified Schwarzschild white hole in the presence of a cosmological constant. International Journal of Modern Physics A. 2022.

[40] Heinicke C, Hehl FW. Schwarzschild and Kerr solutions of Einstein's field equation: An Introduction. International Journal of Modern Physics D. 2015;24(02):1530006.

[41] Fitzpatrick R. Theoretical fluid mechanics. IOP Publishing Bristol; 2017.

[42] Di Teodoro EM, Posti L, Ogle PM, Fall SM, Jarrett T. Rotation curves and scaling relations of extremely massive spiral galaxies. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society. 2021;507(4):5820-31.

[43] Sellwood J, Spekkens K, Eckel CS. Uncertainties in galaxy rotation curves. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society. 2021;502(3):3843-54.

[44] Freedman WL. Measurements of the Hubble constant: tensions in perspective. The Astrophysical Journal. 2021;919(1):16.

[45] Dainotti MG, De Simone B, Schiavone T, Montani G, Rinaldi E, Lambiase G. On the Hubble constant tension in the SNe Ia Pantheon sample. The Astrophysical Journal. 2021;912(2):150.

[46] Shah P, Lemos P, Lahav O. A buyer's guide to the Hubble constant. The Astronomy and Astrophysics Review. 2021;29(1):1-69.

[47] Oks E. Brief review of recent advances in understanding dark matter and dark energy. New Astronomy Reviews. 2021;93:101632.

[48] Borsten L. Gravity as the square of gauge theory: a review. La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento. 2020;43(3):97-186.

[49] Bern Z, Carrasco JJM, Johansson H. Perturbative quantum gravity as a double copy of gauge theory. Physical Review Letters. 2010;105(6):061602.

[50] White CD. The double copy: gravity from gluons. Contemporary Physics. 2018;59(2):109-25.