

Article

A New Transgenic Tool to Study the Ret Signaling Pathway in the Enteric Nervous System

Ashoka Bandla¹, Ellie Melancon², Charlotte Taylor^{2,3}, Judith S. Eisen² and Julia Ganz^{1*}¹Department of Integrative Biology, Michigan State University;² Institute of Neuroscience, University of Oregon; ³Department of Biochemistry & Biophysics, University of California, San Francisco

* Correspondence: author: Julia Ganz ganz@msu.edu.

Abstract: The receptor tyrosine kinase Ret plays a critical role in regulating enteric nervous system (ENS) development. Ret is important for proliferation, migration, and survival of enteric progenitor cells (EPCs). Ret also promotes neuronal fate, but its role during neuronal differentiation and in the adult ENS is less well understood. Inactivating RET mutations are associated with ENS diseases, e.g. Hirschsprung Disease, in which distal bowel lacks ENS cells. Zebrafish is an established model system for studying ENS development and modeling human ENS diseases. One advantage of the zebrafish model system is that their embryos are transparent allowing visualization of developmental phenotypes in live animals. However, we lack tools to monitor Ret expression in live zebrafish. Here, we developed a new BAC transgenic line that expresses GFP under the *ret* promoter. We find that EPCs and the majority of ENS neurons express *ret:GFP* during ENS development. In the adult ENS, GFP⁺ neurons are equally present in female and male. In homozygous mutants of *ret* and *sox10* – another important ENS developmental regulator gene – GFP⁺ ENS cells are absent. In summary, we characterize a *ret:GFP* transgenic line as a new tool to visualize and study the Ret signaling pathway from early development through adulthood.

Keywords: Hirschsprung Disease; neuronal development; enteric neuron; enteric progenitor cell; zebrafish; ENS neuropathies

1. Introduction

The receptor tyrosine kinase Ret forms a multicomponent receptor complex with a GDNF family receptor alpha (GFRalpha) subunit that binds several ligands including glial cell-line derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF). Ret is required for normal development of the enteric nervous system (ENS) - the intrinsic nervous system of the gut. In humans, inactivating mutations in the proto-oncogene RET are the most common known cause of Hirschsprung disease – a congenital condition defined by loss of enteric neurons in the distal gut [1–5]. Functional loss of Ret in mice or zebrafish results in a lack of neurons in the ENS except for some neurons that remain in the anterior-most part of the gut in zebrafish [6–10]. In contrast, overactive RET signaling activity has been connected to multiple endocrine neoplasia (MEN) syndrome that includes ganglioneuromas of the gut [2,11].

At early stages of ENS development, enteric progenitor cells (EPCs) migrate to and along the gut [12]. During this stage, *ret* is expressed in migrating EPCs and Ret signaling promotes EPC proliferation, migration, and survival [7,13–21]. Ret continues to be expressed in neuronal EPCs and neurons into adulthood [15,20,22,23]. Ret signaling is critical for neuronal differentiation of bipotential EPCs, as shown by lineage analysis [19,23], and is also important for the survival of ENS neurons [14].

Zebrafish is an important research organism to study ENS development and function including the Ret signaling pathway [9,12,24,25]. Zebrafish are particularly well-suited for live imaging of changes in ENS development or function due to the embryo's external

development and transparency [12]. However, we do not have any transgenic tools that capture the whole extent of Ret signaling activity in live zebrafish, as the existing *ret1:GFP* transgenic line is based on a *ret* enhancer, but does not contain all regulatory components of the *ret* gene [26]. A transgenic line that represents *ret* expression will enable us to monitor the effects of genetic or environmental perturbations on *ret* expressing ENS cells.

In this study, we developed and validated a new zebrafish BAC transgenic line *TgBAC(ret:EGFP)^{b1331}* (referred to as *ret:GFP* from here forward) that expresses green fluorescent protein (GFP) under the *ret* promoter representing *ret* expression in the ENS from development through adulthood. In addition to GFP⁺ ENS cells, other cells expressing GFP include cells in the developing pronephric ducts, enteroendocrine cells in the gut epithelium, neurons in the spinal cord and retina, and cells in the pharyngeal arches, in accordance with described *ret* expression in these cell types [7,15,27–29]. In this paper, we focus on *ret:GFP* expression in the ENS. We find GFP expression in migrating EPCs during early stages of ENS development. Later in ENS development, GFP is expressed in both ENS neurons and EPCs. We find GFP expression in two important neuronal subpopulations in the ENS, nitrergic and serotonergic neurons, confirming a broad role of Ret in regulating neuronal differentiation. For the first time, we identify GFP⁺ neurons of the adult zebrafish ENS suggesting that *ret* function is also important in adult ENS neurogenesis. Analysis of the presence and distribution of GFP⁺ cells in zebrafish mutants of the ENS developmental regulators Ret or Sox10 reveals that GFP⁺ ENS cells are completely absent, suggesting that GFP⁺ cells depend on the function of *ret* or *sox10* during ENS development. In summary, our analysis puts forward the *ret:GFP* transgenic line as a new tool to visualize and study the Ret signaling pathway at all stages of ENS development and in adults.

2. Results

ret:GFP is expressed in migrating enteric progenitor cells

ENS development can be subdivided into two main stages: in the first, early stage of ENS development, neural-crest derived EPCs enter the gut at 32 hour post fertilization (hpf) and migrate to and along the developing gut until they reach the posterior end around 66 hpf [12,30]. Starting at 54 hpf, ENS neurons start to differentiate from anterior to posterior. During this later stage of ENS development, EPCs proliferate and differentiate into different types of neurons or glial cells through larval stages until adulthood [12,30,31]. Early in ENS development, *ret* is expressed in migrating EPCs [7,15]. Thus, we first analyzed if migrating EPCs are GFP⁺ in *ret:GFP* transgenics. We found that at 60 hpf, GFP⁺ EPCs migrate along the gut in two parallel streams (**Fig. 1A**). After EPC migration is completed, GFP⁺ positive cells were present within the ENS surrounding the gut at 72 and 96 hpf (**Fig. 1B, C**). These ENS expression dynamics are in agreement with what has been previously described [7,15].




Figure 1. *ret:GFP*⁺ EPCs migrate along the developing gut and populate the gut. At (A) 60 hpf, *GFP*⁺ EPCs (white arrows) migrate along the developing gut. At (B) 72 and (C) 96hpf, *GFP*⁺ ENS cells (white arrows) populate the gut (dashed line). Some of the *GFP*⁺ cells in the gut are enteroendocrine cells. The *GFP*⁺ pronephric duct (pd) directly overlays the ENS (magenta arrows). sc spinal cord. A-C: Whole-mount side-views of embryos at the stage indicated.

The majority of ret:GFP cells in the gut are ENS neurons

During later stages of zebrafish ENS development, *ret* is expressed in enteric neurons [15]. Thus, we hypothesized that *ret:GFP* expressing cells are ENS neurons. To test this hypothesis, we performed double immunostaining for GFP and the pan-neuronal marker *Elavl1* at 5 dpf. In 5 day-old larvae, we see three distinct cell populations: *GFP*⁺/*Elavl1*⁺, *GFP*⁺/*Elavl1*⁻, and *GFP*⁻/*Elavl1*⁺ (Fig. 2A). More specifically, we found that 73.2 \pm 3.2% of GFP colocalizes with *Elavl1*, defining the neuronal cell population. The 26.8 \pm 3.2% cells that express GFP but are *Elavl1* negative comprise the non-neuronal cell population. *GFP*⁺ enteroendocrine cells were differentiated from *GFP*⁺ ENS neurons by their location in the gut epithelium and their characteristic tear drop shape and thereby not included in the non-neuronal population.

To determine if there are regional differences in the distribution of *GFP*⁺ ENS neurons, we subdivided the gut into four regions: (1) anterior, (2) anterior-mid, (3) mid-posterior, and (4) posterior part (Fig. 2B). The percentage of *GFP*⁺ ENS neurons was similar in the anterior, anterior-mid, and mid-posterior parts of the gut (Fig. 2B). In the posterior part, however, we saw fewer *GFP*⁺ ENS neurons (Fig. 2B). In addition, we noticed that a portion of ENS neurons was *GFP*⁻ in all four gut regions, but this feature was more pronounced in the posterior part of the gut (Fig. 2B). In addition, posteriorly, we found slightly more *GFP*⁺ but *Elavl1*⁻ ENS cells (Fig. 2B).

To determine if the distribution of *GFP*⁺ ENS neurons along the gut changes over time, we quantified colocalization of GFP and *Elavl1* at 7 dpf (Fig. 2C, D). We found the same three cell populations identified at 5 dpf. At 7 dpf, *GFP*⁺ ENS neurons are evenly distributed along the length of the gut and there continued to be a population of *GFP*⁻ ENS neurons that was more prominent posteriorly (Fig. 2D). At this stage, the size of the non-

neuronal cell population of GFP⁺/Elavl⁻ cells was comparable to what has been observed before [31].




Figure 2. The majority of GFP⁺ cells are ENS neurons at later stages of ENS development. At (A) 5 and (C) 7 days post fertilization (dpf) GFP⁺ ENS neurons (white) are found along the whole length of the gut. A smaller fraction are GFP⁺ non-neuronal cells (green) or GFP⁻ ENS neurons (magenta). Inset shows a close-up of the boxed area, GFP, Elavl, and overlay from left to right. Quantification of GFP and Elavl colocalization at 5 dpf (B) and 7 dpf (D) in four gut regions shown in the schematic. Bar graph shows % GFP⁺/Elavl⁺ out of total number of cells quantified [GFP⁺/Elavl⁺, GFP⁺/Elavl⁻, and GFP⁻/Elavl⁺], (yellow), % GFP⁺/Elavl⁻ out of total cells (green), and % GFP⁻/Elavl⁺ out of total cells (magenta). Error bars show +/- standard error of the mean (5 dpf: 2 experiments, 19 larvae; 7 dpf: 2 experiments, 15 larvae). A, C: maximum projections of dissected guts at stage indicated. Scale bar = 100µm.

ret:GFP is expressed in two prominent neuronal subpopulations

Since we found that the majority of GFP⁺ cells are ENS neurons, we wanted to determine if two specific neuronal subtypes – nNOS⁺ nitrergic and 5-HT⁺ serotonergic neurons – are included in the GFP positive cell population. To address this question, we performed co-staining of GFP and 5-HT or nNOS at 5 dpf. We found that most nitrergic and serotonergic neurons are GFP⁺ (Fig. 3). The proportion of GFP⁺ nitrergic and serotonergic neurons is evenly distributed along the gut (Fig. 3B,D). A small portion of nitrergic and serotonergic neurons are GFP⁻ (Fig. 3B, D), indicating that those neuronal subtypes are present in both the GFP⁺ and the GFP⁻ neuronal populations.




Figure 3. The majority of nitergic and serotonergic neurons are GFP⁺. *ret:GFP* is expressed in the majority of nitergic (A) and serotonergic (C) neurons (white). (B) Quantification of GFP and nNOS colocalization in four gut regions as shown in the schematic. Bar graph shows % GFP⁺/nNOS⁺ out of total nNOS⁺ cells (yellow), and % GFP⁻/nNOS⁺ out of total nNOS⁺ cells (magenta). Error bars show +/- standard error of the mean (2 experiments, 23 larvae). (D) Quantification of GFP and 5-HT colocalization in four gut regions shown in the schematic in C. Bar graph shows % GFP⁺/5-HT⁺ out of total 5-HT⁺ cells (yellow), and % GFP⁻/5-HT⁺ out of total 5-HT⁺ cells (magenta). Error bars show +/- standard error of the mean (2 experiments, 22 larvae). A, C: maximum projections of dissected guts. Scale bar = 100 μ m.

GFP⁺ cells in the non-neuronal cell population include proliferating EPCs.

Next, we wanted to determine which cell types are GFP⁺ but negative for *Elavl* at 5 dpf. These cells could be either proliferating EPCs or enteric glia. Based on the expression of *ret* in EPCs during earlier stages of ENS development, we hypothesized that GFP⁺/*Elavl*⁻ cells are EPCs. To test this hypothesis we performed double immunostaining with GFP and EdU after a short 4h EdU pulse. EdU is a thymidine analog and is incorporated in DNA during S-phase and thus labels proliferating cells [32]. We then analyzed GFP and EdU colocalization in the anterior, anterior-mid, mid-posterior, and posterior sections of the gut. At 5 dpf, GFP colocalizes with EdU across the length of the gut, which suggests that the GFP⁺ non-neuronal cell population includes proliferating EPCs.




Figure 4. GFP⁺ non-neuronal cells include proliferating enteric progenitor cells at larval stages. (A) At 5 dpf, GFP colocalizes with EdU (white arrowheads). (B) Quantification of GFP and EdU colocalization in four gut regions shown in the schematic. Box plot shows GFP⁺/EdU⁺ cells (2 experiments, 16 larvae). A: maximum projection of dissected gut. Scale bar = 100µm.

Adult ENS neurons express *ret:GFP*

To test whether *ret:GFP* is expressed in adult ENS neurons, we performed double immunostaining with GFP and Elavl in adult guts. We quantified colocalization of GFP and Elavl in the anterior, mid, and posterior sections of the gut (Fig. 5). A smaller percentage of ENS neurons are GFP⁺ in adults than in larvae, ranging from on average 12.3% to 47.5% depending on the gut region (Fig. 5C). When we compared numbers between females and males, we found no significant difference in GFP⁺ ENS neurons in all three gut regions.




Figure 5. ENS neurons are GFP⁺ in adults. (A) GFP⁺ ENS neurons (white) at different anterior-posterior levels along the gut in male and female adult zebrafish. (B) Schematic of gut subdivisions. (C) Quantification of colocalization of GFP and Elavl in males and females in the three gut regions. Box plot shows % GFP⁺/Elavl⁺ out of total Elavl cells per 10,000 μm^2 (≥ 2 guts per condition). A: maximum projections of dissected guts. Scale bar = 100 μm .

ret:GFP positive ENS cells are absent in *ret* and *sox10* mutants

To understand whether our *ret:GFP* line faithfully represented ENS neurons, we tested if GFP⁺ cells are present in two stable mutants in known ENS developmental regulator genes, *ret* and *sox10*. Stable *ret* mutants only have a few ENS neurons in the anterior part of the gut and stable *sox10* mutants completely lack enteric neurons [8,9,33]. To analyze the presence and distribution of *ret:GFP*⁺ cells in homozygous *ret* or *sox10* mutants, we crossed the *TgBAC(ret:EGFP)^{b1331}* line to heterozygous carriers of the *ret* or *sox10* mutations and analyzed their offspring. To detect ENS neurons, ENS axonal tracts, and the extrinsic vagal nerve tracts, we performed double immunostaining with GFP, Elavl, and acetylated Tubulin, which labels neuronal projections (Fig. 6A, [34]). We found that *ret* mutants did not have any GFP⁺ ENS cells but still had GFP⁺ enteroendocrine cells. Likewise, *sox10* homozygous mutants lacked GFP⁺ ENS cells but still have GFP⁺ enteroendocrine cells (Fig. 6B, C, green arrows). In both *ret* and *sox10* mutants, vagal innervation is present (Fig. 6B, C, magenta arrows). These observations suggest that our transgenic line is representative of known Ret expression in the ENS because in the absence of *ret* or *sox10* gene function, *ret:GFP*⁺ ENS cells are absent.




Figure 6. *ret* and *sox10* mutants lack GFP⁺ ENS cells. (A) In 6 dpf wildtype siblings (wt sibs), GFP⁺ cells colocalize with the pan-neuronal markers Elavl and acetylated Tubulin (AT, white arrows) in ENS neurons; Elavl/AT⁻ GFP⁺ cells with a characteristic tear drop shape are enteroendocrine cells (green arrow). In 6 dpf (B) *ret* or (C) *sox10* homozygous mutants, GFP⁺ ENS neurons are absent. AT⁺ processes are the vagal innervation to the gut (magenta arrows). Elavl/AT⁻ GFP⁺ enteroendocrine cells are still present (green arrows). A-C, maximum projections of dissected guts. Scale bar = 100µm.

3. Discussion

The Ret signaling pathway plays a critical role during ENS development. Appropriate levels of Ret activity are crucial for EPC proliferation, migration, and survival [7,13–21]. In the ENS, migration, and proliferation are closely connected; decreased proliferation of EPCs leads to decreased EPC migration and vice versa [35–37]. As Ret signaling impacts both EPC migration and proliferation, inactivating mutations of Ret have profound effects on EPC gut colonization. The importance of Ret for proper ENS development is reflected in the prevalence of mutations in RET in Hirschsprung disease patients. Imbalance of Ret signaling activity is also implicated in MEN syndrome [2,11]. Despite the importance of Ret signaling in ENS development, we know little about its role in neuronal differentiation both during development and in adulthood. Also, we lack tools to monitor Ret expressing cells in zebrafish, an important model for studying factors that control ENS development and function, and what goes awry in situations that model human ENS diseases.

This study presents and validates a *ret:GFP* transgenic zebrafish line that represents a new tool for understanding the role of Ret during ENS development. Studying the distribution of GFP⁺ cells and the cell types that express *ret:GFP* in the ENS, we have five main findings: 1) the majority of GFP⁺ larval cells are ENS neurons, 2) GFP⁺ ENS neurons are present in the adult zebrafish ENS, albeit at a lower percentage than at larval stages, 3) a small percentage of ENS neurons are GFP⁻ at larval and adult stages 4) GFP⁺ cells are found in a non-neuronal population in the gut and include EPCs, and 5) GFP⁺ ENS cells are absent from the guts of *ret* and *sox10* mutants. Thus, the new *ret:GFP* transgenic line described in this study shows GFP expression that is consistent with previously described expression patterns and thus will be an important tool to study Ret signaling in zebrafish at all stages of ENS development and adulthood in a live animal.

Most enteric neurons are ret:GFP positive

In mice and human, single-cell RNA-sequencing analysis found that *Ret* is expressed in most ENS neurons in juveniles and adults [22,38–40]. Accordingly, a *ret:EGFP* transgenic mouse line showed EGFP expression in differentiating neurons [21]. Ret signaling activity is essential for neuronal fate in the ENS. In mice, EPCs that differentiate into ENS neurons sustain *Ret* expression, whereas EPCs on the path toward glial differentiation

downregulate *Ret* [23]. In zebrafish, *ret* is expressed in a subpopulation of ENS neurons during ENS neurogenesis [15,41]. Our analysis shows that the majority of *ret:GFP* expressing ENS cells are neurons at larval stages, which agrees with the previously observed expression patterns of *ret* and underscores that *Ret* is important for neuronal development in the zebrafish ENS. Fewer ENS neurons express *ret* in the posterior region of the gut [15]. Consistent with this observation, we find fewer GFP⁺ ENS neurons in the posterior gut at 5 dpf. At 7 dpf, the percentage of GFP⁺ ENS neurons is equal in the different parts of the gut. Since ENS neurons differentiate from anterior to posterior along the gut [12,30], our quantification suggests that a differentiation gradient might still be detectable at 5 days, but has evened out at 7 dpf.

Adult ENS neurons are GFP positive

In mammals, *Ret* continues to be expressed in a population of ENS neurons in the adult and contributes to synapse formation and neuronal plasticity by regulating neurite outgrowth [38,39,42–45]. Recently, it has been shown that ENS neurogenesis continues into adulthood in zebrafish [31], but which signals regulate neuronal development at that stage remains unknown. We find GFP⁺ ENS neurons in the adult, which suggests that *Ret* may play an important role in regulating ENS neurogenesis into adulthood. Female and male guts had a comparable distribution of GFP⁺ ENS neurons suggesting that there is no sex difference connected to the expression of *ret* in the adult. This is consistent with reports that found no difference in *Ret* expression in ENS neurons in adult female or male mice [39].

A small proportion of ENS neurons is GFP negative at larval and adult stages

From larval stages and in adulthood, we find a small population of GFP⁻ ENS neurons along the gut length. This is consistent with what has been observed in adult human and mouse ENS, which also contain *Ret*⁺ and *Ret*⁻ populations of ENS neurons in the adult [22,39,40,46]. Interestingly, the percentage of GFP⁻ ENS neurons increases in the adult gut compared to larval stages suggesting that in the adult *Ret*-independent ENS neurogenesis is more prevalent than in larval zebrafish.

Enteric progenitor cells are GFP positive at larval stages

The presence of proliferative cells in the zebrafish ENS and their detailed molecular profile, particularly at later stages of ENS development, remains unresolved. During their migration toward and along the gut, EPCs express *phox2bb*, *sox10*, and *ret* [7,15,47]. The molecular profile of EPCs at larval stages, however, has not been determined, particularly the question of whether EPCs continue to express *ret*. In this study, we find GFP⁺ cells that are proliferating at 5 dpf suggesting that *ret*-expressing EPCs continue to be present at later stages of ENS development. Our analysis shows that a non-neuronal cell population that includes EPCs still comprises 26.8% of GFP⁺ cells at 5 dpf and 31.5% at 7 dpf. This suggests that a pool of *ret:GFP*⁺ EPCs is present at larval stages. This is contrary to previous reports that suggest that there is no resident proliferating cell population in the zebrafish ENS at postembryonic stages [48]. Some of the GFP⁺/*Elavl*⁻ cells could also be enteric glial cells that were just born from GFP⁺ EPCs. As GFP persists in cellular offspring for a certain time, ENS glia could still be GFP⁺, even though they have downregulated *ret* expression [23,38]. Recently, cells with glial characteristics have been identified as progenitor cells in the zebrafish gut. These cells express *her4.3:GFP* [31]. It will be interesting to determine if the GFP⁺ EPCs are within the same progenitor cell population as the *her4.3:GFP* positive cells or if different subpopulations of EPCs are present in the zebrafish larval gut.

4. Materials & Methods

Zebrafish husbandry and strains

All experiments were carried out in accordance with animal welfare laws, guidelines, and policies and were approved by Michigan State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and the University of Oregon Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. *TgBAC(ret:EGFP)^{b1331}* zebrafish and heterozygous carriers of *ret^{hu2846}* and *sox10ⁱ³* [8,33] were maintained in a laboratory breeding colony according to established protocols [49]. *ret^{hu2846}* (ZFIN ID: ZDB-ALT-070315-12) and *sox10ⁱ³* (ZFIN ID: ZDB-GENO-130429-1) animals were of the AB background. Adult zebrafish were bred naturally in system water and fertilized eggs were transferred to 100mm Petri dishes containing ~25 mL of embryo medium. Embryos were allowed to develop at 28.5°C and staged by hours post fertilization according to morphological criteria [50,51].

Generation of transgenic line

To generate the *TgBAC(ret:EGFP)^{b1331}* transgenic line, the translational start codon of *ret* in the BAC clone DKEY-192P21 (GenBank accession number: BX005252.15) was replaced with an EGFP-flpe-KAN cassette essentially as previously described [52,53]. For recombination, each homologous arm flanking the EGFP-flpe-KAN cassette was 50 bp long. The 5' homologous arm was 3 bp upstream of the start codon of the *ret* gene and the 3' homologous arm 541 bp downstream of the start codon. ItoI2 sites were included in the BAC backbone using the same technology [53–55]. The final BAC construct was coinjected with Tol2 transposase mRNA into one-cell stage zebrafish embryos as described previously with minor modifications [52,53]. BAC DNA was co-injected at a concentration of 50 ng/μl and Tol2 transposase mRNA at a concentration of 35 ng/μl. Approximately 1-2 nl (with 2% phenol red) was injected directly into the cytoplasm of 1-cell stage zebrafish embryos.

Tissue Preparation

TgBAC(ret:EGFP)^{b1331} larvae were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 1X sweet buffer at pH 7.3 for 2 hours at room temperature. Adult fish (10-12 months old) with an average body length of ~3 cm for both sexes and gut length of 2.1 cm for the females and 1.7 cm for males, were sacrificed and then dissected carefully to collect the entire intestine. The intestine was first placed in 1X PBS solution and then gently placed on a filter paper to remove fat tissue. The intestine was quickly transferred back to the 1X PBS and sectioned into 3 parts – anterior, mid, and posterior – as described previously [56]. Sectioned intestines were then fixed in 4% PFA in 1X sweet buffer for 2 hours at room temperature. They were then rinsed in 0.5% PBS-Triton™ X-100 followed by immunostaining.

Immunostaining

Double immunostaining of larvae and adult guts for 5-HT (1:10,000, Immunostar, Hudson, WI, catalog number 20080), Acetylated Tubulin (1:2000, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, catalog number T6793), Elavl1 (1: 1000, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Eugene, OR, catalog number A-21271), GFP (1:1000, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Eugene, OR, catalog number A-11120), and nNOS (1:1000, GeneTex, Irvine, CA, catalog number GTX133407) was performed as previously described (Uyttbroek et al., 2010) with minor modifications. Briefly, embryos or adult tissues were rinsed five times with double distilled water for 1 hour each and then incubated in a blocking solution for an hour at room temperature. The blocking solution was prepared with 0.5% PBS-Triton™ X-100, 1% DMSO, 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma-Aldrich, catalog number A3059), and 5% normal growth serum (NGS, ThermoFisher Scientific, catalog number PCN5000). Embryos or adult tissues were then incubated for 16-18 hours in primary antibodies in blocking solution. After 3 washes of a minimum of 60 minutes each in 0.3% PBS Triton™ X-100, the embryos or adult tissues were incubated for 16-18 hours in secondary antibodies in blocking solution. Finally, embryos or adult tissues were washed 3 times for a minimum of 60 minutes each and stored in the refrigerator in 0.3% PBS Triton™ X-100.

EdU staining

Zebrafish larvae were incubated with 500 μ M EdU in embryo medium for 4 hours at 28.5°C and then fixed in 4% PFA in PBS for 3 hours at room temperature followed by EdU detection and immunostaining. EdU incorporation was detected using the Click-iT™ Plus EdU Cell Proliferation Kit for Imaging, Alexa Fluor™ 555 dye (ThermoFisher Scientific, catalog number C10638). Larvae were treated with 250 μ l of EdU reaction cocktail for 1 hour at room temperature in the dark.

Image Acquisition

Confocal images were acquired on a 3i spinning disk confocal microscope using a 20X objective and Slidebook6 software. Low magnification images were acquired using a Zeiss AxioZoom fluorescent dissecting microscope and LAS software. Images were processed and analyzed using FIJI software [v2.3.0/1.53f, [57]], Adobe Photoshop 2020 (Version 21.0.2, Adobe Systems, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA), and Adobe Illustrator 2020 (Version 24.0.2, Adobe Systems, Inc, San Jose, CA, USA).

Image Analysis

Following immunohistochemistry, intestines were dissected and mounted onto a glass slide in 0.3% PBS Triton™ X-100 and covered with a coverslip. For cell quantification, z-stacks were generated from confocal stacks in Slidebook6. These z-stacks were used for manual cell counts and colocalization analysis. GFP⁺ Enteroendocrine cells were differentiated from GFP⁺ ENS neurons by their location in the gut epithelium and their characteristic tear drop shape. For the larval gut, counts were performed by measuring the length of the gut and dividing the intestine into four equal sections labeled as anterior, anterior-mid, mid-posterior, and posterior. To allow for comparison between guts of different lengths, cell counts were normalized to 200 μ m. For adult gut tissue the different sections of the tissue were randomly imaged. To quantify two to five images per gut section were marked with four randomly placed boxes of 100x100 μ m. These boxes were used for manual cell counts and colocalization analysis.

Statistical Analysis

To determine significant differences, we performed an unpaired t-test using GraphPad Prism 9.1.0.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.G. and J.S.E.; Methodology, A.B., E.M., C.T., J.S.E., J.G.; Validation, A.B., E.M., C.T., J.S.E., J.G.; Formal Analysis, A.B., E.M., C.T., J.G.; Investigation, A.B., E.M., C.T., J.G.; Writing – Original Draft Preparation, A.B., J.G.; Writing – Review & Editing, A.B., E.M., C.T., J.S.E., J.G.; Visualization, A.B., J.G.; Supervision, J.S.E., J.G.; Project Administration, J.S.E., J.G.; Funding Acquisition, J.S.E., J.G.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments: We like to thank Carrie Kozel, Theresa Gunn, Taylor Lawrence, Giovanna Haddock at Michigan State University and Markus Melancon and the University of Oregon Fish facility staff for expert fish care. This work was supported by Funds from Michigan State University to J.G., the 2019 AGA-Allergan Foundation Pilot Research Award in Irritable Bowel Syndrome to J.G., the NSF CAREER grant # 2143267 to J.G., and by NIH HD22486 to J.S.E.

References

1. Romeo, G.; Ronchetto, P.; Luo, Y.; Barone, V.; Seri, M.; Ceccherini, I.; Pasini, B.; Bocciardi, R.; Lerone, M.; Kaariainen, H.; et al., Point mutations affecting the tyrosine kinase domain of the RET proto-oncogene in Hirschsprung's disease. *Nature* **1994**, *367*, (6461), 377-8.
2. Edery, P.; Lyonnet, S.; Mulligan, L. M.; Pelet, A.; Dow, E.; Abel, L.; Holder, S.; Nihoul-Fekete, C.; Ponder, B. A.; Munnich, A., Mutations of the RET proto-oncogene in Hirschsprung's disease. *Nature* **1994**, *367*, (6461), 378-80.

3. Klein, M. D.; Coran, A. G.; Wesley, J. R.; Drongowski, R. A., Hirschsprung's disease in the newborn. *J Pediatr Surg* **1984**, *19*, (4), 370-4.
4. Amiel, J.; Lyonnet, S., Hirschsprung disease, associated syndromes, and genetics: a review. *J Med Genet* **2001**, *38*, (11), 729-39.
5. Tam, P. K.; Garcia-Barcelo, M., Genetic basis of Hirschsprung's disease. *Pediatr Surg Int* **2009**, *25*, (7), 543-58.
6. Schuchardt, A.; D'Agati, V.; Larsson-Blomberg, L.; Costantini, F.; Pachnis, V., Defects in the kidney and enteric nervous system of mice lacking the tyrosine kinase receptor Ret. *Nature* **1994**, *367*, (6461), 380-3.
7. Shepherd, I. T.; Pietsch, J.; Elworthy, S.; Kelsh, R. N.; Raible, D. W., Roles for GFRalpha1 receptors in zebrafish enteric nervous system development. *Development* **2004**, *131*, (1), 241-9.
8. Ganz, J.; Baker, R. P.; Hamilton, M. K.; Melancon, E.; Diba, P.; Eisen, J. S.; Parthasarathy, R., Image velocimetry and spectral analysis enable quantitative characterization of larval zebrafish gut motility. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* **2018**, e13351.
9. Heanue, T. A.; Boesmans, W.; Bell, D. M.; Kawakami, K.; Vanden Berghe, P.; Pachnis, V., A Novel Zebrafish ret Heterozygous Model of Hirschsprung Disease Identifies a Functional Role for mapk10 as a Modifier of Enteric Nervous System Phenotype Severity. *PLoS Genet* **2016**, *12*, (11), e1006439.
10. Shepherd, I.; Eisen, J., Development of the zebrafish enteric nervous system. *Methods Cell Biol* **2011**, *101*, 143-60.
11. Nagy, N.; Guyer, R. A.; Hotta, R.; Zhang, D.; Newgreen, D. F.; Halasy, V.; Kovacs, T.; Goldstein, A. M., RET overactivation leads to concurrent Hirschsprung disease and intestinal ganglioneuromas. *Development* **2020**, *147*, (21).
12. Ganz, J., Gut feelings: Studying enteric nervous system development, function, and disease in the zebrafish model system. *Dev Dyn* **2018**, *247*, (2), 268-278.
13. Durbec, P. L.; Larsson-Blomberg, L. B.; Schuchardt, A.; Costantini, F.; Pachnis, V., Common origin and developmental dependence on c-ret of subsets of enteric and sympathetic neuroblasts. *Development* **1996**, *122*, (1), 349-58.
14. Taraviras, S.; Marcos-Gutierrez, C. V.; Durbec, P.; Jani, H.; Grigoriou, M.; Sukumaran, M.; Wang, L. C.; Hynes, M.; Raisman, G.; Pachnis, V., Signalling by the RET receptor tyrosine kinase and its role in the development of the mammalian enteric nervous system. *Development* **1999**, *126*, (12), 2785-97.
15. Taylor, C. R.; Montagne, W. A.; Eisen, J. S.; Ganz, J., Molecular fingerprinting delineates progenitor populations in the developing zebrafish enteric nervous system. *Dev Dyn* **2016**, *245*, (11), 1081-1096.
16. Young, H. M.; Ciampoli, D.; Hsuan, J.; Canty, A. J., Expression of Ret-, p75(NTR)-, Phox2a-, Phox2b-, and tyrosine hydroxylase-immunoreactivity by undifferentiated neural crest-derived cells and different classes of enteric neurons in the embryonic mouse gut. *Dev Dyn* **1999**, *216*, (2), 137-52.
17. Young, H. M.; Hearn, C. J.; Ciampoli, D.; Southwell, B. R.; Brunet, J. F.; Newgreen, D. F., A single rostrocaudal colonization of the rodent intestine by enteric neuron precursors is revealed by the expression of Phox2b, Ret, and p75 and by explants grown under the kidney capsule or in organ culture. *Dev Biol* **1998**, *202*, (1), 67-84.
18. Avantaggiato, V.; Dathan, N. A.; Grieco, M.; Fabien, N.; Lazzaro, D.; Fusco, A.; Simeone, A.; Santoro, M., Developmental expression of the RET protooncogene. *Cell Growth Differ* **1994**, *5*, (3), 305-11.
19. Lo, L.; Anderson, D. J., Postmigratory neural crest cells expressing c-RET display restricted developmental and proliferative capacities. *Neuron* **1995**, *15*, (3), 527-39.
20. Tsuzuki, T.; Takahashi, M.; Asai, N.; Iwashita, T.; Matsuyama, M.; Asai, J., Spatial and temporal expression of the ret proto-oncogene product in embryonic, infant and adult rat tissues. *Oncogene* **1995**, *10*, (1), 191-8.
21. Sunardi, M.; Ito, K.; Enomoto, H., Live visualization of a functional RET-EGFP chimeric receptor in homozygous knock-in mice. *Dev Growth Differ* **2021**, *63*, (6), 285-294.
22. Morarach, K.; Mikhailova, A.; Knoflach, V.; Memic, F.; Kumar, R.; Li, W.; Ernfors, P.; Marklund, U., Diversification of molecularly defined myenteric neuron classes revealed by single cell RNA-sequencing. *bioRxiv* **2020**, 2020.03.02.955757.

23. Lasrado, R.; Boesmans, W.; Kleinjung, J.; Pin, C.; Bell, D.; Bhaw, L.; McCallum, S.; Zong, H.; Luo, L.; Clevers, H.; Vanden Berghe, P.; Pachnis, V., Lineage-dependent spatial and functional organization of the mammalian enteric nervous system. *Science* **2017**, *356*, (6339), 722-726.
24. Kuil, L. E.; Chauhan, R. K.; Cheng, W. W.; Hofstra, R. M. W.; Alves, M. M., Zebrafish: A Model Organism for Studying Enteric Nervous System Development and Disease. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **2020**, *8*, 629073.
25. Heanue, T. A.; Pachnis, V., Ret isoform function and marker gene expression in the enteric nervous system is conserved across diverse vertebrate species. *Mech Dev* **2008**, *125*, (8), 687-99.
26. Fisher, S.; Grice, E. A.; Vinton, R. M.; Bessling, S. L.; McCallion, A. S., Conservation of RET regulatory function from human to zebrafish without sequence similarity. *Science* **2006**, *312*, (5771), 276-9.
27. Shepherd, I. T.; Beattie, C. E.; Raible, D. W., Functional analysis of zebrafish GDNF. *Dev Biol* **2001**, *231*, (2), 420-35.
28. Bisgrove, B. W.; Raible, D. W.; Walter, V.; Eisen, J. S.; Grunwald, D. J., Expression of c-ret in the zebrafish embryo: potential roles in motoneuronal development. *J Neurobiol* **1997**, *33*, (6), 749-68.
29. Ye, L.; Bae, M.; Cassilly, C. D.; Jabba, S. V.; Thorpe, D. W.; Martin, A. M.; Lu, H. Y.; Wang, J.; Thompson, J. D.; Lickwar, C. R.; Poss, K. D.; Keating, D. J.; Jordt, S. E.; Clardy, J.; Liddle, R. A.; Rawls, J. F., Enteroendocrine cells sense bacterial tryptophan catabolites to activate enteric and vagal neuronal pathways. *Cell Host Microbe* **2021**, *29*, (2), 179-196 e9.
30. Olden, T.; Akhtar, T.; Beckman, S. A.; Wallace, K. N., Differentiation of the zebrafish enteric nervous system and intestinal smooth muscle. *Genesis* **2008**, *46*, (9), 484-98.
31. McCallum, S.; Obata, Y.; Fourli, E.; Boeing, S.; Peddie, C. J.; Xu, Q.; Horswell, S.; Kelsh, R.; Collinson, L.; Wilkinson, D.; Pin, C.; Pachnis, V.; Heanue, T. A., Enteric glia as a source of neural progenitors in adult zebrafish. *Elife* **2020**, *9*.
32. Chehrehasa, F.; Meedeniya, A. C.; Dwyer, P.; Abrahamsen, G.; Mackay-Sim, A., EdU, a new thymidine analogue for labelling proliferating cells in the nervous system. *J Neurosci Methods* **2009**, *177*, (1), 122-30.
33. Kelsh, R. N.; Eisen, J. S., The zebrafish colourless gene regulates development of non-ectomesenchymal neural crest derivatives. *Development* **2000**, *127*, (3), 515-25.
34. Uyttebroek, L.; Shepherd, I. T.; Harrison, F.; Hubens, G.; Blust, R.; Timmermans, J. P.; Van Nassauw, L., Neurochemical coding of enteric neurons in adult and embryonic zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *J Comp Neurol* **2010**, *518*, (21), 4419-38.
35. Landman, K. A.; Simpson, M. J.; Newgreen, D. F., Mathematical and experimental insights into the development of the enteric nervous system and Hirschsprung's disease. *Dev Growth Differ* **2007**, *49*, (4), 277-86.
36. Newgreen, D. F.; Zhang, D.; Cheeseman, B. L.; Binder, B. J.; Landman, K. A., Differential Clonal Expansion in an Invading Cell Population: Clonal Advantage or Dumb Luck? *Cells Tissues Organs* **2017**, *203*, (2), 105-113.
37. Simpson, M. J.; Zhang, D. C.; Mariani, M.; Landman, K. A.; Newgreen, D. F., Cell proliferation drives neural crest cell invasion of the intestine. *Dev Biol* **2007**, *302*, (2), 553-68.
38. Wright, C. M.; Schneider, S.; Smith-Edwards, K. M.; Mafra, F.; Leembruggen, A. J. L.; Gonzalez, M. V.; Kothakapa, D. R.; Anderson, J. B.; Maguire, B. A.; Gao, T.; Missall, T. A.; Howard, M. J.; Bornstein, J. C.; Davis, B. M.; Heuckeroth, R. O., scRNA-Seq Reveals New Enteric Nervous System Roles for GDNF, NRTN, and TBX3. *Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol* **2021**.
39. Shepherd, A.; Feinstein, L.; Sabel, S.; Rastelli, D.; Mezhibovsky, E.; Matthews, L.; Muppurala, A.; Robinson, A.; Gershon, M. D.; Rao, M., RET Signaling Persists in the Adult Intestine and Stimulates Motility by Limiting PYY Release from Enteroendocrine Cells. *bioRxiv* **2022**, 2022.04.15.488514.
40. Barrenschee, M.; Bottner, M.; Hellwig, I.; Harde, J.; Egberts, J. H.; Becker, T.; Wedel, T., Site-specific gene expression and localization of growth factor ligand receptors RET, GFRalpha1 and GFRalpha2 in human adult colon. *Cell Tissue Res* **2013**, *354*, (2), 371-80.

41. Howard, A. G. t.; Baker, P. A.; Ibarra-Garcia-Padilla, R.; Moore, J. A.; Rivas, L. J.; Tallman, J. J.; Singleton, E. W.; Westheimer, J. L.; Corteguera, J. A.; Uribe, R. A., An atlas of neural crest lineages along the posterior developing zebrafish at single-cell resolution. *Elife* **2021**, 10.
42. Rodrigues, D. M.; Li, A. Y.; Nair, D. G.; Blennerhassett, M. G., Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor is a key neurotrophin in the postnatal enteric nervous system. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* **2011**, 23, (2), e44-56.
43. Bottner, M.; Harde, J.; Barrenschee, M.; Hellwig, I.; Vogel, I.; Ebsen, M.; Wedel, T., GDNF induces synaptic vesicle markers in enteric neurons. *Neurosci Res* **2013**, 77, (3), 128-36.
44. Wang, H.; Hughes, I.; Planer, W.; Parsadonian, A.; Grider, J. R.; Vohra, B. P.; Keller-Peck, C.; Heuckeroth, R. O., The timing and location of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor expression determine enteric nervous system structure and function. *J Neurosci* **2010**, 30, (4), 1523-38.
45. Russell, J. P.; Mohammadi, E.; Ligon, C.; Latorre, R.; Johnson, A. C.; Hoang, B.; Krull, D.; Ho, M. W.; Eidam, H. S.; DeMartino, M. P.; Cheung, M.; Oliff, A. I.; Kumar, S.; Greenwood-Van Meerveld, B., Enteric RET inhibition attenuates gastrointestinal secretion and motility via cholinergic signaling in rat colonic mucosal preparations. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* **2019**, 31, (4), e13479.
46. Luesma, M. J.; Cantarero, I.; Alvarez-Dotu, J. M.; Santander, S.; Junquera, C., New insights into c-Ret signalling pathway in the enteric nervous system and its relationship with ALS. *Biomed Res Int* **2014**, 2014, 328348.
47. Elworthy, S.; Pinto, J. P.; Pettifer, A.; Cancela, M. L.; Kelsh, R. N., Phox2b function in the enteric nervous system is conserved in zebrafish and is sox10-dependent. *Mech Dev* **2005**, 122, (5), 659-69.
48. El-Nachef, W. N.; Bronner, M. E., De novo enteric neurogenesis in post-embryonic zebrafish from Schwann cell precursors rather than resident cell types. *Development* **2020**, 147, (13).
49. Westerfield, M., *The zebrafish book. a guide for the laboratory use of zebrafish (Danio rerio)*. 4th ed.; Univ. of Oregon Press, Eugene: Eugene, Or., 2000.
50. Kimmel, C. B.; Ballard, W. W.; Kimmel, S. R.; Ullmann, B.; Schilling, T. F., Stages of embryonic development of the zebrafish. *Dev Dyn* **1995**, 203, (3), 253-310.
51. Parichy, D. M.; Elizondo, M. R.; Mills, M. G.; Gordon, T. N.; Engeszer, R. E., Normal table of postembryonic zebrafish development: staging by externally visible anatomy of the living fish. *Dev Dyn* **2009**, 238, (12), 2975-3015.
52. DeLaurier, A.; Eames, B. F.; Blanco-Sanchez, B.; Peng, G.; He, X.; Swartz, M. E.; Ullmann, B.; Westerfield, M.; Kimmel, C. B., Zebrafish sp7:EGFP: a transgenic for studying otic vesicle formation, skeletogenesis, and bone regeneration. *Genesis* **2010**, 48, (8), 505-11.
53. Suster, M. L.; Abe, G.; Schouw, A.; Kawakami, K., Transposon-mediated BAC transgenesis in zebrafish. *Nat Protoc* **2011**, 6, (12), 1998-2021.
54. Suster, M. L.; Kikuta, H.; Urasaki, A.; Asakawa, K.; Kawakami, K., Transgenesis in zebrafish with the tol2 transposon system. *Methods Mol Biol* **2009**, 561, 41-63.
55. Suster, M. L.; Sumiyama, K.; Kawakami, K., Transposon-mediated BAC transgenesis in zebrafish and mice. *BMC Genomics* **2009**, 10, 477.
56. Gupta, T.; Mullins, M. C., Dissection of organs from the adult zebrafish. *J Vis Exp* **2010**, (37).
57. Schindelin, J.; Arganda-Carreras, I.; Frise, E.; Kaynig, V.; Longair, M.; Pietzsch, T.; Preibisch, S.; Rueden, C.; Saalfeld, S.; Schmid, B.; Tinevez, J. Y.; White, D. J.; Hartenstein, V.; Eliceiri, K.; Tomancak, P.; Cardona, A., Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* **2012**, 9, (7), 676-82.