Generalizing The Average From The Hausdorff Measure and Fractal Measures

Bharath Krishnan¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Indiana East University

1 Preliminary Definitions

Suppose (X, d) is a metric space and $E \subseteq X$. Let $h : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be an **(exact)** dimension function (or gauge function) which is monotonically increasing, strictly positive, and right continuous [12]. If

$$\mu_{\delta}^{h}(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h(\operatorname{diam}(C_{i})) : \operatorname{diam}(C_{i}) \le \delta, E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i} \right\}$$
 (1)

where diam is the diameter of a set and:

$$\mu^h(E) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \mu^h_{\delta}(E) \tag{2}$$

is the *Hausdorff Outer Measure*, we define h so $\mu^h(E)$ is strictly positive and finite for a majority (but not all) "nice" sets (i.e. those measurable in the sense of Caratheodory [8]). For easy reading, I will sometimes say that these sets are only "measurable".

Note the Hausdorff measure should be positive and finite so when $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$, and A is a bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^d , the average (equation [3]) with respect to the Hausdorff Measure exists.

$$m_f(A) := \frac{1}{\mu^h(A)} \int_A f(x) \, d\mu^h$$
 (3)

Moreover, when A is unbounded and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $m_f(A)$ can be adjusted as:

$$m'_f(A) := \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mu^h(A \cap [-t, t])} \int_{A \cap [-t, t]} f(x) d\mu^h$$
 (4)

where we add [-t, t] so when $A = \mathbb{R}$, the density of positive real numbers is:

$$\frac{\mu^{h}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\cap\left[-t,t\right]\right)}{\mu^{h}\left(\mathbb{R}\cap\left[-t,t\right]\right)} = \frac{\mu^{h}\left(\left(0,t\right]\right)}{\mu^{h}\left(\left[-t,t\right]\right)} = 1/2$$

and the density of negative real numbers is

$$\frac{\mu^h \left(\mathbb{R}^- \cap [-t, t] \right)}{\mu^h \left(\mathbb{R} \cap [-t, t] \right)} = \frac{\mu^h ([-t, 0))}{\mu^h ([-t, t])} = 1/2$$

such that it's intuitive since [-t, t] has a mid-point of zero that's neither positive nor negative.

2 Motivation for Extending the Mean From the Hausdorff Measure and Fractal Setting to the Non-Fractal Setting

The function $m_f'(A)$ gives a satisfying average that is unique for a majority measurable A in the sense of Caratheodory. Despite this, there's measurable A without meaningful gauge functions since they're either σ -finite with respect to the counting-measure (e.g. Countably-Infinite sets) or their gauge function doesn't exist (e.g. the Liouville Numbers [7]). In these cases, $m_f'(A)$ can't exist as $\mu^h(A)$ is neither positive nor finite.

While there are methods to extending $m'_f(A)$, I haven't found a constructive extension which gives a unique, satisfying average for all functions defined on measurable sets in the sense of Caratheodory.

One extension uses non-standard measure theory [11] but isn't unique as it requires ultra-filters, Zorn's Lemma and equivalent principles.

Other methods extend $m_f'(A)$ to A in the fractal setting ([5],[6]) but does not work for non-fractal, measurable A.

Additional options can be found in the work of Attila Losonczi (e.g. [1]) where he provides all averages and their properties but I'm unsure if the averages he mentions are unique and satisfying for nowhere-continuous f which has a domain dense in an interval but with no meaningful gauge function.

For example, consider $f: \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & x \in \{a^2 : a \in \mathbb{Q}\} \cap [0, 1] \\ 1 & x \in (\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{a^2 : a \in \mathbb{Q}\}) \cap [0, 1] \end{cases}$$
 (5)

In this case, is the average 1, 2 or a value in between?

Note we must choose a unique, satisfying average for the cases that isn't defined; since, for the cases already defined, mathematicians choose $m'_f(A)$, or the averages in [5] and [6] than other averages.

3 Question 1

How do we find a constructive extension of $m'_f(A)$, [5] and [6] (with as many properties as the average can have from [2], [3] and [4]) which gives a unique, satisfying average for nowhere continuous functions defined on non-fractal, measurable sets with no meaningful gauge function?

3.1 Possible Answer

I believe [9] constructs a Generalized Hausdorff Measure that gives a unique, satisfying average for functions defined on *uncountable*, non-fractal measurable sets but I'm not sure if this measure could do the same for functions defined on measurable, countably infinite sets.

Are my assumptions correct?

4 Attempt To Answer The Other Half Of Question 1

Since I don't fully understand uncountable, measurable sets with no gauge function I will define a unique, satisfying average for f defined on countably infinite subsets of the real numbers (e.g. equation [5]). (I hope this is compatible with $m'_f(A)$, [11], [5], [6], and [9] and have as many properties as Losonczi listed in [2], [3] and [4]).

Note there are already methods to averaging over a countably infinite set; however, I would like to generalize them to give more satisfying averages to choose from.

4.1 Purpose of Changing the Current Definition of Average on Countably Infinite Sets

Suppose $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ and A is a countably infinite, bounded subset of \mathbb{R} .

If $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an enumeration of A, the average of f is:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(a_1) + f(a_2) + \dots + f(a_t)}{t} \tag{6}$$

where different enumerations of a function's domain could possibly give different averages: for instance nowhere continuous functions defined on countable sets dense in an interval)

A structure, however, (see Section 4.2) is a generalization of an enumeration that allows more satisfying averages to choose from.

Since different structures of the function's domain give different averages, I want to create a choice function that picks a unique class of equivalent structures (see section 4.3) such that it gives a satisfying average similar to the Hausdorff Measure for fractals.

For specific examples of A (see section 4.4), I would like to find the most natural or satisfying choice function which chooses the structures I believe would give the most satisfying average (if it exists). (If it does not exist, then I'd like to:

- 1. choose an alternate structure where the average does exist or
- 2. is undefined if no structure gives a defined average.

4.2 Defining Structures

Suppose $F_1, F_2, ...$ are a sequence of finite subsets of A where

- 1. $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset ...$
- $2. \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = A.$

We denote the sequence of subsets as a **structure** of A which has the form $\{F_n\}$.

An example of a structure, such as when
$$A=\left\{\frac{1}{m}: m\in\mathbb{N}\right\}$$
, is $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}=\left\{\left\{\frac{1}{m}: m\in\mathbb{N}, m\leq n\right\}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

As mentioned earlier, the structure F_n generalizes the enumeration since as n increases by one, if $|F_n|$ increases by one, then $\{F_n\}$ behaves as an enumeration.

Further, there may be multiple structures of A e.g. for $A = \left\{\frac{1}{m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$, a second structure of the set is $\left\{F_n\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \left\{\left\{\frac{1}{2m} : m \in \mathbb{N}, m \leq n\right\} \cup \left\{\frac{1}{2m+1} : m \in \mathbb{N}, m \leq 2n\right\}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

4.3 Defining Equivalent and Non-Equivalent Structures

Suppose we have two structures of A, $\{F_n\}$ and $\{F'_i\}$

Structures are non-equivalent if there exists a function $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ where, using the monotonic convergence theorem (if f is bounded) and the rigorous definition of limits of sequences (if unbounded):

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{x \in F_n} f(x) \neq \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F'_j|} \sum_{x \in F'_j} f(x)$$
 (7)

Otherwise if for all functions $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{x \in F_n} f(x) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F'_j|} \sum_{x \in F'_j} f(x)$$
 (8)

Then the structures $\{F_n\}$ and $\{F'_i\}$ are equivelant.

4.4 Specific Structures of Specific Countably Infinite A That My Choice Function Should Choose

Suppose the average of $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ for countably infinite A, from structure $\{F_n\}$ of A, (using the equations in [7] and [8]) is:

$$\hat{m}_f(\{F_n\}, A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{x \in F_n} f(x)$$
 (9)

Then, for specific A, if $\{F_n''\}$ is the set of equivalent structures I want the choice function to choose, then:

- 1. When $A = \mathbb{Z}$, $\{F''_n\}$ should equal $\{m \in \mathbb{Z} : -n \le m \le n\}$
- 2. When $p \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$, $A = \{ \sqrt[p]{r} : r \in \mathbb{Q} \} \{ F_n'' \}$ should equal:

$$\left\{\sqrt[p]{m/n!}: m \in \mathbb{N}, \lceil -n \cdot n! \rceil \leq m \leq \lfloor n \cdot n! \rfloor\right\}$$

if $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n''\}, A)$ is defined and finite. This would give a satisfying average. (I don't know the structure the choice function should choose if $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n''\}, A)$ is not defined and finite. I will attempt to answer this in the following sections.)

3. When $A = \{1/m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $[\times]$ is the nearest integer function, $\{F_n''\}$ should be $\{1/[2^n/m] : m \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq m \leq 2^n\}$ if $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n''\}, A)$ is defined and finite.

4. When A is almost nowhere dense (e.g. $\left\{\frac{1}{m}: m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$), $\left\{F_n''\right\}$ should be points with the smallest 1-d Euclidean Distance from each point in $C_n = \left\{m/2^n: -n \cdot 2^n \leq m \leq n \cdot 2^n\right\}$ (unless the point in C_n is a limit point of A where minimum distance won't exist) such that $\hat{m}_f(\left\{F_n''\right\}, A)$ is defined and finite.

(For other countably infinite A, I am unsure what the choice function should choose. I wish for a unique set of equivalent structures.)

4.4.1 Reasons For The Choices in 4.4

For cases with a known and desired set of equivalent structures, the reason for choosing them is that they give an intuitive $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n''\}, A)$ when f is nowhere continuous.

For example, for equation [5], with a domain of rationals between 0 and 1:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & x \in \{a^2 : a \in \mathbb{Q}\} \cap [0, 1] \\ 1 & x \in (\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{a^2 : a \in \mathbb{Q}\}) \cap [0, 1] \end{cases}$$

an intuitive average takes the arithmetic mean of the function on a finite, evenly distributed, high density sample of the domain under certain rules. The sample of the domain should contain a finite number of unique, non-simplified fractions that have the same positive-integer denominator (i.e. a common multiple of the first n positive integers, for example n!) and all positive-integer numerators between 0 and n!. As the denominator becomes larger the fractions began "covering" the entire domain of equation [5]. The result is the following:

$$\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{m=1}^{n!} f\left(\frac{m}{n!}\right) \tag{10}$$

As $n \to \infty$, the result is 1.

Note with equation [5]'s domain (which is $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]$); because the $\{F_n''\}$ I want is $\{m/n!: m \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq m \leq n!\}$, using equation [9], we get that $\hat{m}_f\left(\{F_n''\}, A\right)$ should equal 1. This is the same as the intuitive average in the previous paragraph.

If the domain of f, when $p \in 2\mathbb{N}+1$, is $\{\sqrt[p]{a}: a \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]\}$ we apply a similar method but this time the numerator and common denominator are p-roots of the integer. Note $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n''\},A)$ should give the same result as this method.

Also suppose $f:\left\{\frac{1}{m}:m\in\mathbb{N}\right\}\to\mathbb{R}$ and $A=\left\{\frac{1}{m}:m\in\mathbb{N}\right\}$, where $\{F_n''\}=\{1/[2^n/m]:m\in\mathbb{N},1\leq m\leq 2^n\}$ and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1/\sqrt{x} & x \in \{1/(2^j) : j \in \mathbb{N}\}\\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (11)

If we use the most natural structure of A (i.e. $\{F_n\} = \{\frac{1}{m} : m \in \mathbb{N}, m \leq n\}$), $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n\}, A) = 1$ but the values of $1/\sqrt{x}$, for $x \in \{1/2^j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$, are significantly larger than 1. Therefore, it could be reasonable that $1/\sqrt{x}$ should have more weight on the average.

Using a calculator, I found $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n''\}, A)$ is approximately 2.707107; however, note for $f: \{\frac{1}{m}: m \in \mathbb{N}\} \to \mathbb{R}$, if we replace $1/\sqrt{x}$ with 1/x:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1/x & x \in \{1/(2^j) : j \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (12)

then $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n''\}, A) = \infty$.

Using the choice function in the section 4.6, it may be possible to get a unique, finite $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n''\}, A)$ as long as there exists an $\{F_n\}$ where $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n\}, A)$ exists.

4.5 Using Discrepancy to Define A Choice Function

4.5.1 Defining Equidistribution For Structures

Older definitions of discrepancy and equidistribution (on enumerations) are shown in articles [13] and [10]

As with structures $\{F_n\}$, we say it's **equidistributed** or **uniformly distributed** on $A_t = [\inf(A \cap [-t,t]), \sup(A \cap [-t,t])]$, if for any sub-interval [c,d] of A_t we have:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|F_n \cap [c, d]|}{|F_n|} = \frac{d - c}{\ell(A_t)}$$
(13)

where $\ell(A_t)$ is the length of the interval A_t

We add [-t,t] so when A has no infima or suprema, the limit on the left side of equation [13] exists.

Note current measures of **discrepancy** measure the maximum point of density deviation from a uniform or equidistributed sample

$$\sup_{\inf(A\cap[-t,t])\leq c\leq d\leq \sup(A\cap[-t,t])} \left| \frac{|F_n\cap[c,d]|}{|F_n|} - \frac{d-c}{\ell(A_t)} \right| \tag{14}$$

with more rigorous definitions deriving from articles [13] and [10] (we replace $\{a_1,...,a_N\}$ with F_n and N with $|F_n|$). Unfortunately the discrepancy of most structures converges to zero as $n \to \infty$ making it impossible to find a structure

with a lower discrepancy compared to the rest.

One solution is finding a $\{F_n\}$ where the lower bound of its' discrepancy converges to zero the fastest. Unfortunately, I'm unconfident with current measures as most calculate the maximum point of density deviation rather than the overall deviation from an equidistributed structure).

4.5.2 Defining A Precise Form Of Discrepancy

Below are steps to measuring the *overall deviation* of a structure from an equidistributed structure).

- 1. Arrange the values in F_n from least to greatest and take the absolute difference between consecutive elements. Call this ΔF_n . (Note ΔF_n is **not a set** since if absolute differences repeat, we don't delete the repeating differences.)
 - 1.1 **Example:** If $A = \left\{ \frac{1}{m} : m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ and $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \left\{ \left\{ \frac{1}{m} : m \in \mathbb{N}, m \leq n \right\} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ then $F_4 = \{1, 1/2, 1/3, 1/4\}$

Arranging F_4 from least to greatest gives us $\{1/4, 1/3, 1/2, 1\}$

Therefore, $\Delta F_4 = \{|1/4 - 1/3|, |1/2 - 1/3|, |1/2 - 1|\} = \{1/12, 1/6, 1/2\}$. (None of the differences here are the same, but there are examples, such as the one below, where at least two of the differences are equivalent.)

1.2 **Example:** If $A = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]$ and $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \left\{ \left\{ \frac{j}{k} : j, k \in \mathbb{N}, k \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq k \right\} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ then the elements of F_4 , arranged from least to greatest is, $F_4 = \{0, 1/4, 1/3, 1/2, 2/3, 3/4, 1\}$ and

$$\Delta F_{4} = \left\{ \left| 0 - 1/4 \right|, \left| 1/4 - 1/3 \right|, \left| 1/2 - 1/3 \right|, \left| 2/3 - 1/2 \right|, \left| 3/4 - 2/3 \right|, \left| 1 - 3/4 \right| \right\} = \left\{ \left| 0 - 1/4 \right|, \left| 1/4 - 1/3 \right|, \left| 1/2 - 1/3 \right|, \left| 1/2$$

 $\{1/4,1/12,1/6,1/6,1/12,1/4\}$. (Here the difference 1/4 repeats two times but we do not delete the second 1/4)

- 2. Divide ΔF_t by the sum of all its elements so we get a distribution where all the elements sum to 1. We shall call this $\Delta F_n / \sum_{x \in \Delta F_n} x$ or the information probability of the structure
 - 2.1 From example 1.1 note $\sum_{x \in \Delta F_3} x = 1/2 + 1/6 + 1/12 = 3/4$ and $\Delta F_3 / \sum_{x \in \Delta F_3} x = 4/3 \cdot \{1/2, 1/6, 1/12\} = \{2/3, 2/9, 1/9\}.$

Note the elements in this set sum to 1 and act as a probability distribution (despite not being actual probabilities)

3. Since the elements of information probability always sum to 1, we can calculate its deviance from a discrete uniform distribution using Entropy which is written as

$$E(F_n) = -\sum_{j \in \Delta F_n / \sum_{x \in \Delta F_n} x} j \log j$$
 (15)

(Note the smaller the deviation from a disrete uniform distribution, the greater the entropy of the information probability and the lower the structure's discrepancy. Moreover, if $E(F_n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, we say $\{F_n\}$ is equidistributed).

3.1 From $\Delta F_3 / \sum_{x \in \Delta F_3} x$, in example 2.1, $E(F_3)$ is the same as

$$-\sum_{j \in \{2/3, 2/9, 1/9\}} j \log j = -\left(2/3 \log \left(2/3\right) + 2/9 \log \left(2/9\right) + 1/9 \log \left(1/9\right)\right)$$

$$\approx .369$$

4.6 Defining The Choice Function

In order to get my results from Section 4.4, if $g:A\to\mathbb{R}$ is the identity function, we should adjust:

$$T(F_n) = 2^{\hat{m}_g(\{F_n\}, A)} \left(2^{E(F_n)} + |F_n| \right)$$
 (16)

and also adjust the equations below (where $\mathbb{S}'(A)$ is the set of structures of A; where, if $\{F_i\} \in S'(A)$ then $\hat{m}_f(\{F_i\}, A)$ is finite and defined and finite)

$$\left| \overline{F_n''} \right| = \inf \left\{ |F_j| : j \in \mathbb{N}, \{F_j\} \in \mathbb{S}'(A), T(F_j) \ge T(F_n'') \right\}$$
 (17)

$$\left| \underline{F_n''} \right| = \sup \{ |F_j| : j \in \mathbb{N}, \{F_j\} \in \mathbb{S}'(A), T(F_j) \le T(F_n'') \}$$
 (18)

to choose $C_1: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ and $C_2: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that:

$$C_1\left(\left|F_n''\right|, \left|\overline{F_n''}\right|, \left|\underline{F_n''}\right|\right) \le \left|F_n''\right| \le C_2\left(\left|F_n''\right|, \left|\overline{F_n''}\right|, \left|\underline{F_n''}\right|\right) \tag{19}$$

or otherwise

$$\sum_{n=1}^{z} C_1\left(\left|F_n''\right|, \left|\overline{F_n''}\right|, \left|\underline{F_n''}\right|\right) \le \sum_{n=1}^{z} \left|F_n''\right| \le \sum_{n=1}^{z} C_2\left(\left|F_n''\right|, \left|\overline{F_n''}\right|, \left|\underline{F_n''}\right|\right) \tag{20}$$

5 Question 2

What are the most elegant choices for C_1 and C_2 (which for each of the A listed in Section 4.4) give the $\{F_n''\}$ required?

6 Generalized Mean

If $f:A\to\mathbb{R}$, A is a subset of \mathbb{R} , and $\operatorname{avg}_f(A)$ is a unique, satisfying average of f defined on sets measurable in the sense of Caratheodory, then $\operatorname{avg}_f(A)$ should be defined as:

$$\operatorname{avg}_f(A) := \begin{cases} m_f'(A) \text{ (See eq: [4])} & A \text{ has a gauge function} \\ \operatorname{Averages in [5], [6]} & A \text{ is fractal but has no gauge function} \\ \hat{m}_f\left(\{F_n''\},A\right) & A \text{ is countably infinite, non fractal-like and for at least one structure, } \hat{m}_f(\{F_n\},A) \text{ is defined} \\ \operatorname{Average from [9]} & A \text{ is uncountable and non-fractal with no gauge function} \\ \operatorname{Undefined} & \operatorname{Satisfying average cannot exist e.g. there is no } \{F_n\} \text{ where } \hat{m}_f(\{F_n\},A) \text{ exists} \end{cases}$$

And an example where the average is unknown is for nowhere continuous f defined on Liouville Numbers [12].

7 Question 3

Does [9] give a satisfying average when A is uncountable and non-fractal with no gauge function; or, is $avg_f(A)$ is unknown for this case?

8 Question 4:

Can we unite the peice-wise average in Section 6 into a elegant, *non-peicewise* mean?

References

- [1] Losonczi A. airxiv. https://arxiv.org/search/math?searchtype=author&query=Losonczi%2C+A.
- [2] Losonczi A. Mean on infinite sets i. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1704.07115. pdf.
- [3] Losonczi A. Mean on infinite sets ii. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1705.06344. pdf.
- [4] Losonczi A. Mean on infinite sets iii. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1810.08547. pdf.
- [5] Bedford B. and Fisher A. Analogues of the lebesgue density theorem for fractal sets of reals and integers. https://www.ime.usp.br/~afisher/ps/Analogues.pdf.
- [6] Bedford B. and Fisher A. Ratio geometry, rigidity and the scenery process for hyperbolic cantor sets. https://arxiv.org/pdf/math/9405217.pdf.
- [7] Márton Elekes and Tamás Keleti. Borel sets which are null or non-sigma-finite for every translation invariant measure. Advances in Mathematics, 201(1):102-115, 2006. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001870804003846.
- [8] Taylor M. The caratheodory construction of measures. https: //mtaylor.web.unc.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/16915/2018/04/measch5.pdf.
- [9] Balka R. and Mathe A. Generalized hausdorff measure for generic compact sets. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1204.1100.pdf.
- [10] Limic V. and Limic M. Equidistribution, uniform distribution: a probabilist's perspective. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1610.02368.pdf.
- [11] Frank Wattenberg. Nonstandard measure theory-hausdorff measure. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 65(2):326–331, 1977. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2041916.
- [12] Wikipedia. Dimension function. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dimension_function.
- [13] Wikipedia. Low-discrepancy sequence. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Low-discrepancy_sequence.