

# Generalizing the Mean

Bharath Krishnan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Indiana East University

## 1 Preliminary Definitions

Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a metric space and  $E \subseteq X$ . Let  $h : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  be an **(exact) dimension function** (or **gauge function**) which is monotonically increasing, strictly positive, and right continuous [11]. If

$$\mu_\delta^h(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h(\text{diam}(C_i)) : \text{diam}(C_i) \leq \delta, E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \right\} \quad (1)$$

where  $\text{diam}$  is the diameter of a set and:

$$\mu^h(E) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \mu_\delta^h(E) \quad (2)$$

is the *Hausdorff Outer Measure*, we define  $h$  so  $\mu^h(E)$  is strictly positive and finite for a majority (but not all) "nice" sets (i.e. measurable sets in the sense of Caratheodory [8]).

For some of these "nice sets", meaningful gauge functions don't exist, (I'll explain in the next section.)

When  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $A$  is a bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , the average with respect to the Hausdorff Measure is:

$$m_f(A) := \frac{1}{\mu^h(A)} \int_A f(x) d\mu^h \quad (3)$$

And when  $A$  is unbounded and  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $m_f(A)$  can be adjusted as:

$$m'_f(A) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mu^h(A \cap [-t, t])} \int_{A \cap [-t, t]} f(x) d\mu^h \quad (4)$$

such that we add  $[-t, t]$  so when  $A = \mathbb{R}$ , the density of positive real numbers is:

$$\frac{\mu^h(\mathbb{R}^+ \cap [-t, t])}{\mu^h(\mathbb{R} \cap [-t, t])} = \frac{\mu^h((0, t])}{\mu^h([-t, t])} = 1/2$$

and the density of negative real numbers is

$$\frac{\mu^h(\mathbb{R}^- \cap [-t, t])}{\mu^h(\mathbb{R} \cap [-t, t])} = \frac{\mu^h([-t, 0))}{\mu^h([-t, t])} = 1/2$$

which is intuitive since  $[-t, t]$  has a mid-point of zero that's neither positive nor negative.

## 2 Motivation for Extending the Mean From the Hausdorff Measure and Fractal Setting to the Non-Fractal Setting

The function  $m'_f(A)$  gives a satisfying average that is unique for a majority measurable  $A$  in the sense of Caratheodory. Despite this, there's measurable  $A$  without meaningful gauge functions since they're either  $\sigma$ -finite with respect to the counting-measure (e.g. Countably-Infinite sets) or their gauge function doesn't exist (e.g. the Liouville Numbers [7]). In these cases,  $m'_f(A)$  can't exist as  $\mu^h(A)$  is neither positive nor finite.

*While there are methods to extending  $m'_f(A)$ , I haven't found a constructive extension which gives a unique, satisfying average for all or the **largest class** of measurable  $A$ .*

One extension uses non-standard measure theory [10] but isn't unique as it requires ultra-filters, Zorn's Lemma and equivalent principles.

Other methods extend  $m'_f(A)$  to  $A$  in the fractal setting ([5],[6]) but does not work for non-fractal, measurable  $A$ .

Additional options can be found in the work of Attila Losonczi (e.g. [1]) where he provides all averages and their properties but *I'm unsure if the averages he mentions are defined for nowhere-continuous  $f$  which has a domain dense in  $\mathbb{R}$  but with no meaningful gauge function.*

For example, consider  $f : \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & x \in \{a^2 : a \in \mathbb{Q}\} \cap [0, 1] \\ 1 & x \in (\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{a^2 : a \in \mathbb{Q}\}) \cap [0, 1] \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

*In this case, is the average 1, 2 or a value in between?*

*Note we must choose a unique, satisfying average for the cases already covered; since, for the cases already covered, mathematicians choose  $m'_f(A)$ , or the averages in [5] and [6] rather than other averages.*

### 3 Question 1

*How do we find a unique, satisfying average for nowhere continuous functions defined on non-fractal, measurable sets in the sense of Caratheodory, that have no meaningful gauge function?*

### 4 Attempt

Since I don't fully understand uncountable, measurable sets with no gauge function I will define a unique, satisfying average for  $f$  defined on countably infinite subsets of the real numbers (e.g. equation [5]). (I hope this is compatible with  $m'_f(A)$ , [10], [5], and [6] and have properties Losonczi listed in [2], [3] and [4]).

Note there are already methods to averaging over a countably infinite set; however, I would like to generalize them to give more satisfying averages to choose from.

#### 4.1 Purpose of Changing the Current Definition of Average on Countably Infinite Sets

Suppose  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $A$  is a countably infinite, bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

If  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is an enumeration of  $A$ , the average of  $f$  is:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(a_1) + f(a_2) + \cdots + f(a_t)}{t} \quad (6)$$

where different enumerations of a function's domain could possibly give different averages: for instance nowhere continuous functions defined on countably dense sets)

A structure, however, (see Section 3.2) is a generalization of an enumeration that allows more satisfying averages to choose from.

Since different structures of the function's domain give different averages, I want to create a choice function that picks a unique class of equivalent structures (see section 3.3) such that it gives a satisfying average similar to the Hausdorff Measure for fractals.

For specific examples of  $A$  (see section 3.4), I would like to find the most natural or satisfying choice function which chooses the structures I believe would give the most satisfying average (*if it exists*). (If it does not exist, then I'd like to:

1. choose an alternate structure where the average does exist or
2. is undefined if no structure gives a defined average.

## 4.2 Defining Structures

Suppose  $F_1, F_2, \dots$  are a sequence of finite subsets of  $A$  where

1.  $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots$
2.  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = A$ .

We denote the sequence of subsets as a **structure** of  $A$  which has the form  $\{F_n\}$ .

An example of a structure, such as when  $A = \{\frac{1}{m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , is  $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \{\{\frac{1}{m} : m \in \mathbb{N}, m \leq n\}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

As mentioned earlier, the structure  $F_n$  generalizes the enumeration since as  $n$  increases by one, if  $|F_n|$  increases by one, then  $\{F_n\}$  behaves as an enumeration.

Further, there may be multiple structures of  $A$  e.g. for  $A = \{\frac{1}{m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , a second structure of the set is  $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \left\{ \left\{ \frac{1}{2m} : m \in \mathbb{N}, m \leq n \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{2m+1} : m \in \mathbb{N}, m \leq 2n \right\} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

## 4.3 Defining Equivalent and Non-Equivalent Structures

Suppose we have two structures of  $A$ ,  $\{F_n\}$  and  $\{F'_j\}$

Structures are non-equivalent if there exists a function  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  where, using the monotonic convergence theorem (if  $f$  is bounded) and the rigorous definition of limits of sequences (if unbounded):

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{x \in F_n} f(x) \neq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F'_j|} \sum_{x \in F'_j} f(x) \quad (7)$$

Otherwise if for all functions  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{x \in F_n} f(x) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F'_j|} \sum_{x \in F'_j} f(x) \quad (8)$$

Then the structures  $\{F_n\}$  and  $\{F'_j\}$  are equivalent.

#### 4.4 Specific Structures of Specific Countably Infinite $A$ That My Choice Function Should Choose

Suppose the average of  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for countably infinite  $A$ , from structure  $\{F_n\}$  of  $A$ , (using the equations in [7] and [8]) is:

$$\hat{m}_f(\{F_n\}, A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{x \in F_n} f(x) \quad (9)$$

Then, for specific  $A$ , if  $\{F_n''\}$  is the set of equivalent structures I want the choice function to choose, then:

1. When  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\{F_n''\}$  should equal  $\{m \in \mathbb{Z} : -n \leq m \leq n\}$
2. When  $p \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$ ,  $A = \{\sqrt[p]{r} : r \in \mathbb{Q}\}$   $\{F_n''\}$  should equal:

$$\left\{ \sqrt[p]{m/n!} : m \in \mathbb{N}, \lfloor -n \cdot n! \rfloor \leq m \leq \lfloor n \cdot n! \rfloor \right\}$$

if  $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n''\}, A)$  is defined and finite. This would give a satisfying average. (I don't know the structure the choice function should choose if  $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n''\}, A)$  is not defined and finite. I will attempt to answer this in the following sections.)

3. When  $A = \{1/m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\lfloor \times \rfloor$  is the nearest integer function,  $\{F_n''\}$  should be  $\{1/\lfloor 2^n/m \rfloor : m \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq m \leq 2^n\}$  if  $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n''\}, A)$  is defined and finite.
4. When  $A$  is almost nowhere dense (e.g.  $\{\frac{1}{m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ),  $\{F_n''\}$  should be points with the smallest 1-d Euclidean Distance from each point in  $C_n = \{m/2^n : -n \cdot 2^n \leq m \leq n \cdot 2^n\}$  (unless the point in  $C_n$  is a limit point of  $A$  where minimum distance won't exist) such that  $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n''\}, A)$  is defined and finite.

(For other countably infinite  $A$ , I am unsure what the choice function should choose. I wish for a unique set of equivalent structures.)

##### 4.4.1 Reason For The Choices in 4.4

For the cases with a known and desired set of equivalent structures, the reason for choosing them is that they give an intuitive  $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n''\}, A)$  when  $f$  is nowhere continuous e.g. using  $\{F_n''\} = \{m/n! : m \in \mathbb{N}, \lfloor -n \cdot n! \rfloor \leq m \leq \lfloor n \cdot n! \rfloor\}$  equation [5]'s domain ( $A = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ ), consider finding  $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n''\}, A)$  of that

equation. Also suppose  $f : \{\frac{1}{m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $A = \{\frac{1}{m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $\{F_n''\} = \{1/[2^n/m] : m \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq m \leq 2^n\}$  and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1/\sqrt{x} & x \in \{1/(2^j) : j \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

If we use the most natural structure of  $A$  (i.e.  $\{F_n\} = \{\frac{1}{m} : m \in \mathbb{N}, m \leq n\}$ ),  $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n\}, A) = 1$  but the values of  $1/\sqrt{x}$ , for  $x \in \{1/2^j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , are *significantly* larger than 1. Therefore, it could be reasonable that  $1/\sqrt{x}$  should have more weight on the average.

Using a calculator, I found  $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n''\}, A)$  is approximately 2.707107; however, note for  $f : \{\frac{1}{m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , if we replace  $1/\sqrt{x}$  with  $1/x$ :

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1/x & x \in \{1/(2^j) : j \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

then  $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n''\}, A) = \infty$ .

Using the choice function in the section 4.6, it may be possible to get a unique, finite  $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n''\}, A)$  as long as there exists an  $\{F_n\}$  where  $\hat{m}_f(\{F_n\}, A)$  exists.

## 4.5 Using Discrepancy to Define A Choice Function

### 4.5.1 Defining Equidistribution For Structures

Older definitions of discrepancy and equidistribution (on enumerations) are shown in articles [12] and [9]

As with structures  $\{F_n\}$ , we say it's **equidistributed** or **uniformly distributed** on  $A_t = [\inf(A \cap [-t, t]), \sup(A \cap [-t, t])]$ , if for any sub-interval  $[c, d]$  of  $A_t$  we have:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n \cap [c, d]|}{|F_n|} = \frac{d - c}{\ell(A_t)} \quad (12)$$

where  $\ell(A_t)$  is the length of the interval  $A_t$

We add  $[-t, t]$  so when  $A$  has no infima or suprema, the limit on the left side of equation [12] exists.

Note current measures of **discrepancy** measure the maximum point of density deviation from a uniform or equidistributed sample

$$\sup_{\inf(A \cap [-t, t]) \leq c \leq d \leq \sup(A \cap [-t, t])} \left| \frac{|F_n \cap [c, d]|}{|F_n|} - \frac{d - c}{\ell(A_t)} \right| \quad (13)$$

with more rigorous definitions deriving from articles [12] and [9] (we replace  $\{a_1, \dots, a_N\}$  with  $F_n$  and  $N$  with  $|F_n|$ ). Unfortunately the discrepancy of most structures converges to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  making it impossible to find a structure with a lower discrepancy compared to the rest.

One solution is finding a  $\{F_n\}$  where the lower bound of its' discrepancy converges to zero the fastest. Unfortunately, I'm unconfident with current measures as most *calculate the maximum point of density deviation rather than the overall deviation from an equidistributed structure*).

#### 4.5.2 Defining A Precise Form Of Discrepancy

Below are steps to measuring the *overall deviation* of a structure from an equidistributed structure).

1. Arrange the values in  $F_n$  from least to greatest and take the absolute difference between consecutive elements. Call this  $\Delta F_n$ . (Note  $\Delta F_n$  is **not a set** since if absolute differences repeat, *we don't delete the repeating differences*.)

1.1 **Example:** If  $A = \{\frac{1}{m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \{\{\frac{1}{m} : m \in \mathbb{N}, m \leq n\}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  then  
 $F_4 = \{1, 1/2, 1/3, 1/4\}$

Arranging  $F_4$  from least to greatest gives us  $\{1/4, 1/3, 1/2, 1\}$

Therefore,  $\Delta F_4 = \{|1/4 - 1/3|, |1/2 - 1/3|, |1/2 - 1|\} = \{1/12, 1/6, 1/2\}$ .  
 (None of the differences here are the same, but there are examples, such as the one below, where at least two of the differences are equivalent.)

1.2 **Example:** If  $A = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$  and  $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \{\{\frac{j}{k} : j, k \in \mathbb{N}, k \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq k\}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  then the elements of  $F_4$ , arranged from least to greatest is,  
 $F_4 = \{0, 1/4, 1/3, 1/2, 2/3, 3/4, 1\}$  and

$$\Delta F_4 = \{|0 - 1/4|, |1/4 - 1/3|, |1/2 - 1/3|, |2/3 - 1/2|, |3/4 - 2/3|, |1 - 3/4|\} =$$

$\{1/4, 1/12, 1/6, 1/6, 1/12, 1/4\}$ . (Here the difference  $1/4$  repeats two times but we do not delete the second  $1/4$ )

2. Divide  $\Delta F_t$  by the sum of all its elements so we get a distribution where all the elements sum to 1. We shall call this  $\Delta F_n / \sum_{x \in \Delta F_n} x$  or *the information probability of the structure*

2.1 From example 1.1 note  $\sum_{x \in \Delta F_3} x = 1/2 + 1/6 + 1/12 = 3/4$  and  $\Delta F_3 / \sum_{x \in \Delta F_3} x = 4/3 \cdot \{1/2, 1/6, 1/12\} = \{2/3, 2/9, 1/9\}$ .

Note the elements in this set sum to 1 and act as a probability distribution (despite not being actual probabilities)

3. Since the elements of information probability always sum to 1, we can calculate its deviance from a discrete uniform distribution using Entropy which is written as

$$E(F_n) = - \sum_{j \in \Delta F_n / \sum_{x \in \Delta F_n} x} j \log j \quad (14)$$

(Note the smaller the *deviation from a discrete uniform distribution*, the greater the entropy of the information probability and the lower the structure's *discrepancy*. Moreover, if  $E(F_n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we say  $\{F_n\}$  is *equidistributed*).

3.1 From  $\Delta F_3 / \sum_{x \in \Delta F_3} x$ , in example 2.1,  $E(F_3)$  is the same as

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{j \in \{2/3, 2/9, 1/9\}} j \log j &= - (2/3 \log (2/3) + 2/9 \log (2/9) + 1/9 \log (1/9)) \\ &\approx .369 \end{aligned}$$

## 4.6 Defining The Choice Function

Inorder to get my results from Section 4.4, if  $g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the identity function, we should adjust:

$$T(F_n) = 2^{\hat{m}_g(\{F_n\}, A)} \left( 2^{E(F_n)} + |F_n| \right) \quad (15)$$

and also adjust the equations below (where  $\mathbb{S}'(A)$  is the set of structures of  $A$ ; where, if  $\{F_j\} \in \mathbb{S}'(A)$  then  $\hat{m}_f(\{F_j\}, A)$  is finite and defined and finite)

$$|\overline{F_n''}| = \inf \{ |F_j| : j \in \mathbb{N}, \{F_j\} \in \mathbb{S}'(A), T(F_j) \geq T(F_n'') \} \quad (16)$$

$$|\underline{F_n''}| = \sup \{ |F_j| : j \in \mathbb{N}, \{F_j\} \in \mathbb{S}'(A), T(F_j) \leq T(F_n'') \} \quad (17)$$

to choose  $C_1 : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $C_2 : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:

$$C_1 \left( |F_n''|, |\overline{F_n''}|, |\underline{F_n''}| \right) \leq |F_n''| \leq C_2 \left( |F_n''|, |\overline{F_n''}|, |\underline{F_n''}| \right) \quad (18)$$

or otherwise



$$\sum_{n=1}^z C_1 \left( |F_n''|, |\overline{F_n''}|, \left| \frac{F_n''}{\overline{F_n''}} \right| \right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^z |F_n''| \leq \sum_{n=1}^z C_2 \left( |F_n''|, |\overline{F_n''}|, \left| \frac{F_n''}{\overline{F_n''}} \right| \right) \quad (19)$$

## 5 Question 2

What are the most elegant choices for  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  (which for each of the  $A$  listed in Section 4.4) give the  $\{F_n''\}$  required?

## 6 Generalized Mean

If  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\text{avg}_f(A)$  is a unique, satisfying average of  $f$  defined on sets measurable in the sense of Caratheodory, then  $\text{avg}_f(A)$  should be defined as:

$$\text{avg}_f(A) := \begin{cases} m'_f(A) \text{ (See eq: [4])} & A \text{ has a gauge function} \\ \text{Averages in [5], [6]} & A \text{ is fractal but has no gauge function} \\ \hat{m}_f(\{F_n''\}, A) & A \text{ is countably infinite, non fractal-like and for} \\ & \text{at least one structure, } \hat{m}_f(\{F_n\}, A) \text{ is defined} \\ \text{Unknown} & A \text{ is uncountable and non-fractal with} \\ & \text{no gauge function} \\ \text{Undefined} & \text{Satisfying average cannot exist e.g. there is} \\ & \text{no } \{F_n\} \text{ where } \hat{m}_f(\{F_n\}, A) \text{ exists} \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

And an example where the average is unknown is for nowhere continuous  $f$  defined on Liouville Numbers [12].

## 7 Question 3

How do we develop a satisfying average, when  $\text{avg}_f(A)$  is unknown?

## 8 Question 4:

Can we unite the peice-wise average in Section 6 into a elegant, *non-peicewise* mean?

## References

- [1] Losonczi A. airxiv.
- [2] Losonczi A. Mean on infinite sets i.
- [3] Losonczi A. Mean on infinite sets ii.
- [4] Losonczi A. Mean on infinite sets iii.
- [5] Bedford B. and Fisher A. Analogues of the lebesgue density theorem for fractal sets of reals and integers.
- [6] Bedford B. and Fisher A. Ratio geometry, rigidity and the scenery process for hyperbolic cantor sets.
- [7] Márton Elekes and Tamás Keleti. Borel sets which are null or non-sigma-finite for every translation invariant measure. *Advances in Mathematics*, 201(1):102–115, 2006.
- [8] Taylor M. The caratheodory construction of measures.
- [9] Limic V. and Limic M. Equidistribution, uniform distribution: a probabilist's perspective.
- [10] Frank Wattenberg. Nonstandard measure theory-hausdorff measure. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 65(2):326–331, 1977.
- [11] Wikipedia. Dimension function.
- [12] Wikipedia. Low-discrepancy sequence.