

Article

# Numerical Analysis of the Main Wave Propagation Characteristics in a Steel-CFRP Laminate Including Model Order Reduction

Andrey Mikhaylenko<sup>1</sup> , Natalie Rauter<sup>1\*</sup> , Nanda Kishore Bellam Muralidhar<sup>2</sup> , Tilmann Barth<sup>1</sup> , Dirk A. Lorenz<sup>2</sup>  and Rolf Lammering<sup>1</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Mechanics, Helmut-Schmidt-University/University of the Federal Armed Forces Hamburg, Holstenhofweg 85, Hamburg 22043, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Analysis and Algebra, Technische Universität Braunschweig, Universitätsplatz 2, Braunschweig 38106, Germany

\* Correspondence: natalie.rauter@hsu-hh.de; Tel.: +49 - (0)40 - 6541 - 2872

**Abstract:** Guided ultrasonic waves are suitable for use in the context of structural health monitoring of thin-walled, plate-like structures. Hence, observing the wave propagation in the plates can provide an indication of whether damage has occurred in the structure. In this work, the wave propagation in fiber metal laminate consisting of thin steel foils and layers of carbon fiber-reinforced polymer is studied, focusing on the main propagation characteristics like dispersion diagrams and displacement fields. For this purpose, the dispersion diagrams derived from the analytical framework and numerical simulations are first determined and compared to each other. Next, the displacement fields are computed using the global matrix method for two excitation frequencies. The results derived from the analytical framework is used to validate numerically determined displacement fields based on a 2D and a 3D modeling approach. For both investigations the results of the analytical treatment and the numerical simulation show good agreement. Furthermore, the displacement field reveals the typical and well-known characteristics of the propagation of guided waves in thin-walled structures. Since the use of full 3D models involves a very high computational cost, this work also successfully investigates the possibility for model order reduction to decrease the computational time and costs of the simulation without the loss of accuracy.

**Keywords:** guided ultrasonic waves; fiber metal laminate; dispersion diagram; displacement field

## 1. Introduction

It is in the nature that the use of the structures made of any materials is automatically associated with the fact that the defects in the material occur at different life cycles of these structures. Furthermore, not every defect is easily detectable by means of visual inspection. This is especially the case when dealing with composite materials and layered structures, which are increasingly in use in the aeronautical industry. One recent approach in the development of composite materials are fiber metal laminates (FML). They are of great interest because they combine the advantages of high ductility, often found in metals, with the high specific stiffness of fiber-reinforced polymers (FRP) [1,2]. However, this comes with high risk of low-velocity impact induced internal damage like delaminations [3,4]. Therefore, it is of great importance to detect such hidden defects to ensure a safe operation of the component.

One technique here is the use of guided ultrasonic wave (GUW) based structural health monitoring (SHM) [5,6], which has been profoundly analyzed over the last decades for isotropic materials as well as thin-walled structures made from FRP. In both cases the stiffness and density are constant over the thickness. The complex multi-modal nature of Lamb waves, first described by Horace Lamb [7], derived by the solution of the analytical framework is discussed in i.a. [8–11] for isotropic materials. The adaption to single transversely-isotropic layers, orthotropic layers and layered structures is provided in [12–15].

Besides their dispersive nature, the displacement fields are of great interest, because they are crucial for the interaction of the propagating wave with damage hidden in the structure. The displacement fields of different propagating modes are discussed in [5,11] for isotropic materials and [16,17] for wave guides made of FRP. It is shown, that the constant material properties over the thickness lead to a displacement field covering the whole thickness of the component without a phase shift between the upper and lower surface. In contrast to these findings the wave propagation in sandwich structures behaves significantly different [18]. It is shown, that the impedance differences between the layers in sandwich structures lead to frequency-dependent wave propagation phenomena. Until a certain wavelength to thickness ratio, the so called global Lamb waves propagate acting like the well-known Lamb wave propagation by covering the whole thickness without a phase shift [19,20]. This changes when the frequency is increased and hence, the wave length to thickness ratio passes a threshold value. In this case one speaks of true modes [21,22] propagating in the skin layers only and leaky Lamb waves [21]. In this case, large attenuation occurs due to waves propagating in thickness direction causing an energy dissipation into the core. It is shown in [23], that the leakage effect is strongly affected by geometry and acoustic impedance as well as wave mode and frequency. For more details about the leakage effects the reader is kindly referred to [20,22–24].

Due to the high impedance changes between the metal and FRP perpendicular to the fiber orientation this might also be an issue for the wave propagation in FML. First investigations reveal that in structures formed of aluminum and glass fiber-reinforced polymer (GFRP) layers, the wave propagation meets the framework of G UW in thin-walled structures [25–28]. However, no further material combination is analyzed. In this work the approach is extended to FML consisting of carbon fiber-reinforced polymers (CFRP) paired with thin steel foils. In contrast to aluminum-GFRP, which has a stiffness ratio of approximately 15, steel CFRP exhibits a stiffness ratio of up to 20 with respect to the Young's modulus. Based on the shear modulus the ratio are 10 and 15, respectively. Therefore, the first objective of this work presented here is the analysis of the wave propagation characteristics in FML consisting of steel and CFRP layers by solving the analytical framework with respect to the well-known boundary conditions for the G UW propagation in thin-walled structures and numerical simulations. Subsequently dispersion diagrams and the displacement field are obtained based on the analytical framework and numerical simulations before comparing the results.

The numerical models are used to calculate displacement fields in the wave propagation direction of the G UW's and in the thickness direction. Interlayer boundary conditions were considered by the use of the global matrix method [17,29]. The method used here for numerical determination of dispersion diagrams has been successfully applied in the evaluation of experimental data [30,31]. It is based on the evaluation of displacement fields along the wave propagation direction using a discrete 2D Fourier transform [32] and specially tuned excitation signals. Similar evaluations can also be found in [33–35].

To profoundly understand the propagation of G UW in the FML as well as to quantify the uncertainties of the material properties, it is required to solve the forward model several times with input parameter variations. As the multiple queries of the underlying high-fidelity system is associated with high computational cost, it is of great interest to investigate whether an order reduction of the numerical models can significantly reduce the computational effort. Model order reduction (MOR) seeks to swiftly capture the essential features of a higher order complex dynamical system by approximating it to a lower dimension without losing the accuracy of the system response. Depending on the nature of the system, reduced order modeling can be accomplished by any of the existing techniques that are classified into Krylov and singular value decomposition (SVD) methods [36]. The former methods are based on iterative approaches while the latter depends on the idea of expanding the high-dimensional solution into a sequence of orthonormal basis functions, describing the most important features of spatial and temporal variation of the state of the system. One of the most renowned techniques in SVD methods is the proper orthogonal

decomposition (POD) method [37]. The POD method has been successfully applied in several fields like geophysical fluid dynamics [38–40], meteorology, signal analysis and pattern recognition [41,42]. Based on this the second objective of the work is the application of POD based MOR on the wave propagation in undamaged FML to analyze the possibility of runtime reduction.

Following these two objectives presented above, Section 2 deals with the analytical framework of GUV in thin-walled structures. In Section 3 the material and models are defined that are used in Sections 4 and 5 to analyze the main wave propagation characteristics of GUV in FML. Subsequently, Section 6 covers the application of MOR on the wave propagation in undamaged FML. Finally, Section 7 gives a summary and conclusion of the presented work.

## 2. Analytical dispersion relation in fiber metal laminates

This section covers the analytical framework of GUV in layered structures. Hence, it gives a brief description of the dispersion relation first of transversely-isotropic layers and second of layered structures. This is followed by the computation of displacement fields.

### 2.1. Dispersion relation of a single layer

This work focuses on GUV in FML consisting of thin steel foils and CFRP layers. Since the analysis is limited to the wave propagation in fiber direction and perpendicular to it, it is sufficient to assume transversely-isotropic material symmetry. For this case a detailed implementation can be found in [17,29,43]. The analytical consideration of the dynamic behavior of a solid is provided by the balance of momentum

$$\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \rho \mathbf{b} = \rho \ddot{\mathbf{u}}. \quad (1)$$

Here,  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  is the Cauchy stress tensor,  $\rho$  is the density,  $\mathbf{b}$  are the volume forces,  $\mathbf{u}$  represents the displacement field, and the dots indicate the second derivative with respect to the time. Furthermore, to derive the equation of motion linear strains are considered

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{grad} \mathbf{u} + \operatorname{grad}^T \mathbf{u}), \quad (2)$$

and the material behavior is described by Hooke's law

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbb{C} : \mathbf{E}. \quad (3)$$

In Equations (2) and (3),  $\mathbf{E}$  denotes the linear Green Lagrange strain tensor and  $\mathbb{C}$  is the elasticity tensor. Substituting the linear strain and Hooke's law into Equation (1) and neglecting the volume forces yields

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathbb{C} : \operatorname{grad} \mathbf{u}) = \rho \ddot{\mathbf{u}}. \quad (4)$$

To solve Equation (4), a plane harmonic approach is used for the displacement field given by

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{U}_0 e^{i(k_j x_j - \omega t)}, \quad (5)$$

where  $k_j$  is the wavenumber vector, the angular frequency is given by  $\omega = kc_p$ ,  $c_p$  is the phase velocity and  $t$  stands for the time. Without loss of generality the wave propagation can be described in the  $x_1$ - $x_3$  plane, with  $x_3$  being perpendicular to the plate surface, see Figure 1. In this case, the wavenumber vector is  $k_j = k_x(1, 0, \alpha)^T = kn_j$ . Introducing the harmonic approach in Equation (5) with the angular frequency and the provided wavenumber definition into the equation of motion in Equation (4) leads to

$$\Lambda_{il} p_l = (\delta_{il} \rho c_p^2 - \lambda_{il}) p_l = 0, \quad (6)$$

with

$$\lambda_{il} = C_{ijkl}n_k n_j, \quad (7)$$

which is also known as the Christoffel equation [6]. Here  $p_l$  is the polarization vector, holding the information about the particle motion direction, and  $\delta_{il}$  is the Kronecker-delta. In the case of isotropic and transversely-isotropic material behavior the displacement fields of Lamb- and shear horizontal waves are decoupled, which is indicated by the separation of the Christoffel equation into two independent systems of equations. Here, the analysis based on the existence of Lamb-waves in FML is of interest. Therefore, it is focused on the description in the  $x_1$ - $x_3$  plane. To obtain the non-trivial solution the determinant of the matrix  $\Lambda_{il}$  must be equal to zero

$$\det(\delta_{il}\rho c_p^2 - \lambda_{il}) = 0. \quad (8)$$

This condition leads to a biquadratic equation for Lamb waves providing solutions for the parameter  $\alpha$

$$\alpha_1^L = -\alpha_3^L, \alpha_2^L = -\alpha_4^L. \quad (9)$$

Each individual solution of  $\alpha$  corresponds to a partial wave. In order to describe the propagation of Lamb wave accurately, all these partial waves must be superimposed. Thus, the displacement field of Lamb waves is described by the following equations

$$u_1 = e^{i(kx_1 - \omega t)} \sum_{j=1}^4 U_0^j e^{i\alpha_j^L x_3} = e^{i(kx_1 - \omega t)} U_1(x_3), \quad (10)$$

and

$$u_3 = e^{i(kx_1 - \omega t)} \sum_{j=1}^4 S_j U_0^j e^{i\alpha_j^L x_3} = e^{i(kx_1 - \omega t)} U_3(x_3), \quad (11)$$

$$\text{where } S_j = \frac{\rho c_p^2 - C_{11} - C_{55}(\alpha_j^L)^2}{(C_{55} + C_{12})\alpha_j^L}.$$

By combining Equations (10) and (11) with (4), the following expressions describing stress components result

$$\sigma_{33} = ike^{i(kx_1 - \omega t)} \sum_{j=1}^4 U_0^j H_{1j} e^{i\alpha_j^L x_3}, \quad (12)$$

$$\sigma_{13} = ike^{i(kx_1 - \omega t)} \sum_{j=1}^4 S_j U_0^j H_{3j} e^{i\alpha_j^L x_3}. \quad (13)$$

Here,  $H_{1j}$  and  $H_{3j}$  are defined as follows

$$H_{1j} = C_{13} + C_{33}S_j\alpha_j^L, \quad (14)$$

$$H_{3j} = C_{55}(\alpha_j^L + S_j). \quad (15)$$

Finally, the dispersion relation is determined by assuming stress-free boundary conditions at the top and bottom surfaces of the plate. Summarizing the solution in matrix form yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_3 \\ \sigma'_{33} \\ \sigma'_{13} \end{bmatrix} = e^{i(kx_1 - \omega t)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ S_1 & S_2 & -S_1 & -S_2 \\ H_{11} & H_{12} & H_{11} & H_{12} \\ H_{31} & H_{32} & -H_{31} & -H_{32} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_0^1 e^{i\alpha_1^L x_3} \\ U_0^2 e^{i\alpha_2^L x_3} \\ U_0^3 e^{i\alpha_3^L x_3} \\ U_0^4 e^{i\alpha_4^L x_3} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (16)$$





### 3.2. Model definition

The numerical simulations provided in this work cover the determination of dispersion diagrams and displacement fields. Furthermore, a model order reduction algorithm is applied. All investigations are based on a two-dimensional model in two different directions, one coincides with the fiber direction the second is perpendicular to it. Hence, the numerical model in fiber direction represents the  $x_1$ - $x_3$ -cross section defined in Figure 1. Vice versa the  $x_2$ - $x_3$  plane is used to analyze the wave propagation perpendicular to the fiber orientation.

In addition to these simulations, the displacement field is also computed based on a full three-dimensional representation of the FML laminate to investigate any influences induced by the reduction from 3D to a 2D modeling approach.

## 4. Analysis of the dispersion diagram


This section covers the analysis of the dispersion diagram derived from the theoretical framework provided in Section 2 and computed by numerical simulations. The results are compared and discussed.

### 4.1. Analytical treatment

There is no closed solution of the analytical framework provided in Section 2. Hence, to obtain the frequency-phase velocity pairs that fulfill the dispersion relation in Equation (21) numerical algorithms are used. In this case the dispersion relation is solved by an iterative approach incorporating the bisection procedure up to a frequency of 250 kHz. Furthermore, only the fundamental  $S_0$  and  $A_0$  wave mode are of interest.

### 4.2. Numerical simulations

In order to derive the dispersion diagrams from the numerical data, a method of the authors is used, which has already been successfully applied in the evaluation of experimental data [30,31]. The method is based on the evaluation of the displacement fields along the propagation direction of the waves, seen in Equation (10), using a discrete 2D-DFT [32]. Thereby, the property that the propagating part of the displacement field behaves sinusoidal in both time and spatial domain [10] is exploited. Similar evaluation methods can also be found in [33–35]. By transferring the data into the frequency-wavenumber domain, a separation of the multifrequency signal components succeeds. Frequency-wavenumber pairs can be detected for each frequency, which describe the dispersion relations of the individual modes over the course of the frequency range.



**Figure 2.** Numerical model for the computation of the dispersion diagrams including the boundary conditions.

The numerical simulations are performed using the finite element method. The two-dimensional numerical model and the corresponding boundary conditions used are depicted in Figure 2. The total length of the model is 1 m and the discretization is realized by second-order Lagrangian elements under plane strain assumption. For the excitation of the wave field, a multifrequency signal is used, see [31]. It is tuned to be able to generate dispersion diagrams over a predefined frequency range and hence, to avoid multiple

simulations. For both directions the same signal is used, covering a frequency range from 25 kHz to 245 kHz in steps of 10 kHz. The signal is applied by a force boundary condition at a distance of 4 mm from the left edge at the upper surface of the plate. Subsequently, both fundamental  $A_0$ - and  $S_0$ -modes are excited. A mode-selective excitation is not necessary, because the evaluation is done at the center line of the plate. Due to the theoretical displacement field characteristics the  $S_0$ -mode shows only an in-plane displacement component at the center line, whereas the  $A_0$ -mode is limited to an out-of-plane motion. Therefore, with the help of the displacement component  $u_1$  the  $S_0$ -mode and with the help of the component  $u_3$  the  $A_0$ -mode can be evaluated separately. Details about the model size and the discretization are provided in Table 2.

To evaluate the required frequency range with the help of the 2D-DFT, the numerical model must fulfill requirements to spatial and temporal resolution as well as simulation time and propagation distance. The choice of the model parameters ensures that the wave bodies can be clearly detected and the individual contained frequencies can be separated from each other during the evaluation. The specific model parameters are shown in Table 2.


**Table 2.** Parameters of the numerical 2D model for the computation of dispersion diagrams.

Parameter	Value	Unit
Thickness	1.98	mm
Length	1000	mm
Element size CFRP	$0.2 \times 0.25$	mm <sup>2</sup>
Element size steel	$0.2 \times 0.12$	mm <sup>2</sup>
Nodes per element	9	-
Propagation length	1000	mm
Simulation time	10	ms
Sampling frequency	6	MHz
Min. excitation frequency	25	kHz
Max. excitation frequency	245	kHz


#### 4.3. Results and comparison

In this subsection, the dispersion curves of GUV in FML are compared, which are obtained firstly from analyses based on the analytical framework and secondly, from finite element simulations. The corresponding results are collected in two diagrams, one holding the information of the phase velocity-frequency pairs for the wave propagation in fiber direction, see Figure 3, left, and the other one for the wave propagation perpendicular to the fiber direction (right).

In addition, Figure 4 gives the deviations between the results of the analytical and numerical approach. The comparison shows that the maximum deviation of the phase velocity is about 0.6%. Thus, the results prove that the numerical and analytical models provide almost identical results for the dispersion behavior over a larger frequency range. This agreement is valid for the fiber direction as well as perpendicular to it.



**Figure 3.** Comparison of numerically and analytically determined dispersion diagrams in fiber direction (left) and perpendicular (right) to the fiber orientation.



**Figure 4.** Deviation of the numerically and analytically determined dispersion diagrams in fiber direction (left) and perpendicular (right) to the fiber orientation.

## 5. Displacement field analysis

The analysis of the displacement field again comprises the solution of the analytical framework and two-dimensional numerical simulations. Furthermore, full 3D simulations are conducted to analyze the effect of the domain reduction on the numerical solution. The analysis focuses on a frequency of 120 kHz. In addition, although the dispersion plots in Figure 3 do not suggest significantly different findings, computations were performed at 80 kHz. The results are shown in the appendix A.


### 5.1. Analytical treatment

Based on the solution of the dispersion relation at a frequency of 80 kHz and 120 kHz the analytical results are determined by evaluating the displacement field given in Equation (15). Since the calculation of the analytical displacement fields involves solving an eigenvalue problem, the normalized displacements are computed.


### 5.2. Numerical simulations

For the numerical simulations 2D and a 3D modeling approaches are utilized. The 2D model with the corresponding boundary conditions is shown in Figure 5. In comparison to the dispersion diagram investigation this model has a length of 0.3 m and the displacement field over the thickness is extracted only at one position. Furthermore, at this point a mode selective excitation is realized to be able to analyze the displacement fields of the fundamental  $A_0$  and  $S_0$ -mode separately. Therefore, the excitation is implemented by a five cycle Hanning windowed sine burst at the upper and lower left corner of the wave guide. For the generation of the  $A_0$ -mode the displacements at these two points is oriented in the same direction. In contrast to this, they point in opposite directions for the excitation of the  $S_0$ -mode. The signal is captured at a distance of 0.1 m from the excitation point. Again the model is discretized with second-order Lagrange elements by assuming a plane strain state.

For the full 3D numerical simulations only a quarter of the steel CFRP plate is modeled to reduce the computational cost. A schematic of the model is provided in Figure 6. The model reduction requires the introduction of the symmetry boundary conditions at the sides marked in gray. Beside the adaption to the third dimension the modeling approach is not changed. All parameters of the models and simulations for the two cases are summarized in Table 3.



**Figure 5.** Numerical 2D model for the computation of the displacement fields including the boundary conditions.



**Figure 6.** Numerical 3D model for the computation of displacement fields including the boundary conditions.

**Table 3.** Parameters of the numerical models and simulations for the computation of displacement fields.

	Parameter	Value	Unit
2D model	Thickness	1.98	mm
	Length	0.3	m
	Element size CFRP	$0.75 \times 0.25$	mm <sup>2</sup>
	Element size steel	$0.75 \times 0.12$	mm <sup>2</sup>
	Nodes per element	9	-
3D model	Thickness	1.98	mm
	Length	0.3	m
	Width	0.15	m
	Element size CFRP	$0.75 \times 0.75 \times 0.25$	mm <sup>3</sup>
	Element size steel	$0.75 \times 0.75 \times 0.12$	mm <sup>3</sup>
	Nodes per element	27	-
Analysis at 80 kHz	Excitation frequency	80	kHz
	Sampling frequency	1.6	MHz
	Simulation time	0.3	ms
Analysis at 120 kHz	Excitation frequency	120	kHz
	Sampling frequency	2.4	MHz
	Simulation time	0.21	ms

### 5.3. Results and comparison

Since the calculation of the analytical displacement fields involves solving an eigenvalue problem, the normalized displacements are evaluated and compared. First, the results for the wave propagation in fiber orientation for a frequency of 120 kHz are presented in Figure 7. Here, excellent agreement can be seen between the numerically and analytically determined results. In the first row the displacement fields for the symmetric wave mode are shown, where also the typical features of the displacement fields the axial symmetry of the in-plane component (left) and the point symmetry for out-of-plane component (right) can be observed. Here, the x-axis of the diagrams was strongly refined for the in-plane component in order to be able to represent the deviations. The second row shows the in-plane and out-of-plane components of the displacement field for the antisymmetric wave mode. Again, already known behavior of the displacement field can be seen. In contrast to the symmetric wave mode the in-plane component is point symmetric and the out-of-plane component is axisymmetric.


Subsequently, Figure 8 shows the components of the displacement fields when considering the wave propagation perpendicular to the fiber orientation at 120 kHz. Here, again the typical features such as point symmetry and axis symmetry can be observed. Among other things, the analytical and numerical results also agree excellently here.

**Table 4.** Calculated mean error for 2D model at 120 kHz.

	$A_0$ in-plane	$A_0$ out-of-plane	$S_0$ in-plane	$S_0$ out-of-plane
in fiber direction	0.072%	0.019%	0.042%	0.22%
perpendicular	0.57%	0.016%	0.06%	0.28%

**Table 5.** Calculated mean error for 3D model at 120 kHz.

	$A_0$ in-plane	$A_0$ out-of-plane	$S_0$ in-plane	$S_0$ out-of-plane
In fiber direction	0.22%	0.055%	0.054%	1.53%
Perpendicular	1.02%	0.01%	0.074%	0.31%



**Figure 7.** In-plane (left) and out-of-plane (right) components of normalized displacement field for  $S_0$  (top) and  $A_0$  (bottom) wave modes in fiber orientation at 120 kHz from analytical treatment and numerical 2D- and 3D-simulations.

In order to quantify the small observable deviations between the solution of the analytical framework and the numerical simulation Tables 4 and 5 hold the mean error for each component and wave mode obtained by the 2D and 3D simulations at 120 kHz. Following these results, a 2D modeling approach for the wave propagation in FML is still sufficient to capture the main wave propagation characteristics adequately. The results are also confirmed for the wave propagation at 80 kHz. Here, Figure 9 holds the results for the displacement field of the  $S_0$ -mode for the wave propagation perpendicular to the fiber orientation. All remaining results are summarized in Appendix A.


## 6. Parametric model order reduction of numerical model

### 6.1. Fundamentals


We performed several numerical simulations in Section 4.2 and Section 5.2, by utilizing a finite element discretized undamped linear dynamic structural model as expressed in the following equation

$$\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}. \quad (24)$$

The Equation (24) follows from the balance of momentum in Equation (1) by applying variational calculus and subsequent discretization of the equilibrium in its weak form,



**Figure 8.** In-plane (left) and out-of-plane (right) components of normalized displacement field for  $S_0$  (top) and  $A_0$  (bottom) wave modes perpendicular to the fiber orientation at 120 kHz from analytical treatment and numerical 2D- and 3D-simulations.



**Figure 9.** In-plane (left) and out-of-plane (right) components of normalized displacement field for  $S_0$  wave modes perpendicular to the fiber orientation at 80 kHz from analytical treatment and numerical 2D- and 3D-simulations.

where  $\mathbf{M}(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  denotes the global mass matrix,  $\mathbf{K}(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  represents the global stiffness matrix,  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times m}$  the load matrix, and  $\mathbf{u}(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times m}$  the displacement matrix. The vector  $\theta \in \mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N_p}$  contains  $N_p$  parameters that define the system from parametric domain  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $t \in [0, t_{max}]$  is the time variable. The total number of degrees of freedom in the system is given by  $N$  and the number of discretized time steps is indicated by  $m$ . The adapted model order reduction method is as described in [44] and summarized below.

The projection of basis functions, using a projection matrix  $\Phi(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$  with  $n \ll N$ , from high-dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^N$  allows the order reduction of the high-fidelity model to its lower dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \Phi \mathbf{u}_r, \quad \ddot{\mathbf{u}} \approx \Phi \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_r. \quad (25)$$

Substituting Equation (25) in Equation (24) and projecting them on the reduced order space results the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^T \mathbf{M} \Phi \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_r + \Phi^T \mathbf{K} \Phi \mathbf{u}_r &= \Phi^T \mathbf{f}, \\ \mathbf{M}_r \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_r + \mathbf{K}_r \mathbf{u}_r &= \mathbf{f}_r. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

In Equation (26),  $\mathbf{M}_r, \mathbf{K}_r \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $\mathbf{f}_r \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  denote the reduced system matrices. The projection matrix  $\Phi$  can be obtained by the POD method. This involves the collection of observations from the HiFi model into a snapshot matrix  $\Psi(\theta)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= [\mathbf{u}(\theta, t_1), \mathbf{u}(\theta, t_2), \dots, \mathbf{u}(\theta, t_m)], \\ &= [\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m], \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and decomposing it by thin singular value decomposition method as follows:

$$\Psi = \mathbf{W} \Sigma \mathbf{V}^T. \quad (28)$$

In Equation (28), the left and right-singular matrices are given by  $\mathbf{W}(\theta) = [\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times m}$  and  $\mathbf{V}$ , respectively. The right-singular matrix  $\mathbf{V}$  serves no interest in this context, while the left-singular matrix  $\mathbf{W}$  contains orthogonal basis functions also known as proper orthogonal modes (POMs) of the system. The diagonal matrix  $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  contains singular values  $\{\sigma_k\}_{k=1}^m$  with  $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \sigma_m > 0$ . The relative energy measure  $\Xi$  which is used to determine the number of most influential modes and its approximation error  $\varepsilon$  are obtained as follows

$$\Xi = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k}{\sum_{k=1}^m \sigma_k}, \quad \varepsilon = \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^m \sigma_k}{\sum_{k=1}^m \sigma_k}. \quad (29)$$

As the projection matrix  $\Phi = [\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$  is computed, the reduced order Equation (26) can be deduced and solved for  $\mathbf{u}_r$  and  $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}_r$ . Eventually, the solution for the HiFi system can be evaluated using Equation (25). The choice of the observations extracted in the snapshot matrix largely influence the accuracy of the reduced-order model. As the reduced-order models produced through this technique are based on a certain parameter, their application at off-design parameters often fails to accurately predict the solution. In other words, whenever the system parameters changes, the reduced order basis should be rebuilt again. This is practically intractable in inverse problem analysis wherein the system should be solved for several thousand times. Therefore, a more sophisticated robust reduced-order modeling technique that preserve the parametric dependence of the system has to be developed. PMOR methods precisely satisfy this requirement. PMOR involves the extraction of global proper orthogonal modes (POMs) that accurately defines the behaviour of the underlying system for any given parameter set within the parametric domain.


The parametrized elliptic and parabolic PDE models are well approximated by POD-based global reduced-order models. However, the PMOR of a wide range of hyperbolic problems with non-linearity and discontinuity still remain a challenge. Therefore, the researchers within the MOR community are intensively seeking methodologies to reduce the Kolmogorov  $n$ -width of the solution manifold [45,46]. Boncoraglio et al. [47] efficiently solved multidisciplinary design optimization problem, which blends both linear and nonlinear constraints in aerodynamics using projection-based MOR along with an active manifold. The authors utilized a deep convolutional autoencoder to discover the relevant active manifold for dimensionality reduction of a high-dimensional design parameter space. An efficient adaptive algorithm was implemented by Bui-Thanh et al. [48] to achieve MOR for design optimization of a heat conduction fin to determine appropriate sample points over a large input parametric space. Based on [49,50] McBane et al. proposed a component-wise reduced-order model based on to optimize the topology of a lattice-type structure [51]. Moreover, they simplified the model to increase the speedup of the optimization process. A space-time MOR method built on least-squares Petrov–Galerkin projection was presented by Kim et al. to solve linear dynamical systems [52]. The approach was well demonstrated on 2D diffusion and 2D convection diffusion problems. Further contributions on PMOR span across the domains of contact in multibody nonlinear dynamics [53], nonlinear fluid–structure interaction problems [54], uncertainty quantification [55,56] and contact mechanics [57].

## 6.2. Application

In this research work, a POD-based adaptive parametric model order reduction technique along with a surrogate model for estimating the error indicator, based on the work of Paul-Dubois-Taine et al. [58], was employed to produce the global POMs. The adaptive sampling of the parameters was achieved in a greedy sense, wherein the locally best solution in a particular iteration was sampled assuming it to be the global optimal solution. The training phase resulted in global reduced-order basis which could approximate the HiFi solution with a very high accuracy. A detailed description of the procedure along with its algorithms can be found in [44], wherein the authors have applied the proposed PMOR method to approximate the GUV propagation in FML containing a damage. In this paper, the analysis is restricted to the GUV propagation in an intact plate. Since the PMOR method employed in this research is a model-intrusive approach, the Newmark's time integration technique is utilized to solve Equation (24). This required the excitation of the specimen to be applied in a force-controlled manner and the other boundary conditions are consistent with those from the study of the displacement fields on the 2D model. The discretization is realized by quadratic Lagrangian elements with a size of 0.5 mm in the length direction and one element over the thickness for each layer. The evaluation was performed at a distance of 57.5 mm from the excitation points in the center of the upper CFRP layer package.

The comparison plot of the out-of-plane displacement of FML over time obtained using the HiFi model and the reduced-order model, produced using the proposed method of adaptive PMOR approach with Galerkin projection, is shown in Figure 10. The displacement field was measured at 57.5 mm away from the left end of the laminate and 1.49 mm through the thickness from the bottom of the laminate.

It can be seen that a precise approximation of the solution was achieved by the reduced-order model. The global reduced-order basis has gathered 250 modes to attain this accuracy of approximation of GUV propagation in an intact FML. This numerical experiment was conducted on a 4-core Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-10510U CPU @ 1.80 GHz processor with 16 GB RAM. Table 6 summarizes the computational costs of HiFi solution and its reduced-order solution. The application of POD-based adaptive PMOR approach, that employed the surrogate model for estimating error indicators, resulted in a speedup factor of 45.72 corresponding to the setting mentioned in this research paper. This essential reduction



**Figure 10.** Comparison of high-fidelity and reduced-order solution of GUV propagation in FML.

of computation time affords an opportunity to conduct several parametric studies and analyze the GUV propagation in FML.

**Table 6.** Computation time for high-dimensional and reduced-order models.

Model	Training time	Computational time
High-dimensional	-	66.29 s
Reduced-order	17.6 h	1.45 s

## 7. Conclusions

The investigations presented here are based on an FML plate with the stacking sequence  $[\text{steel}/0_4/\text{steel}/0_2]_s$ . In a first step the dispersive nature of GUV in a steel-CFRP laminate is analyzed by computing dispersion diagrams for the wave propagation in fiber direction and perpendicular to it. This is done by utilizing the analytical framework and numerical 2D simulations. The analytically and numerically obtained dispersion diagrams for a frequency range of up to 250 kHz coincide very well. In a next step the phase velocity derived from the dispersion diagram for two excitation frequencies (80 kHz and 120 kHz) are used to compute the displacement following the analytical framework. Furthermore, numerical 2D and 3D simulations are conducted to obtain the displacement field components for the wave propagation in fiber direction and perpendicular to it. The resulting displacement fields show identical properties as described in the literature for the GUV propagation in isotropic, transversely-isotropic and orthotropic materials [16,17,29]. For wave propagation in fiber orientation as well as perpendicular to it, the in-plane component of an  $S_0$ -wave mode shows an axisymmetric shape and the out-of-plane component shows a point-symmetric shape. For the  $A_0$ -wave mode, the opposite features are observed - point symmetry for the in-plane component and axisymmetry for the out-of-plane component. The agreement of the qualitative analytical and numerical results both in the direction and perpendicular to the fiber orientation is excellent and the deviations are difficult to detect, which is also shown by the overview of the percentage deviations of the displacement fields in Tables 4 and 5. The complex shape of the displacement fields indicate that finite shell elements are not suitable for modeling and 3D continuum elements should be used to accurately represent the displacement fields, when a full 3D simulation is required.

Since the investigations presented here involve high computational effort, the possibility of model reduction is also investigated using a 2D model as an example. For this purpose, a PMOR method is applied to approximate the GUV propagation in FML plate. In

the Figure 10 it can be seen that in the context of this model reduction no disadvantages in the accuracy of the model arise and also a speed-up factor of the model of 45.72 is achieved.

In conclusion, the numerical investigation of the main wave propagation characteristics in a steel-CFRP laminate reveal the well-known wave propagation properties of GUW in thin-walled structures. As already shown for isotropic, transversely-isotropic and orthotropic materials the modeling approach can successfully be reduced to 2D for the wave propagation along a symmetry axis. Furthermore the computation time can be significantly reduced by a PMOR method with a minimal the loss of information about the wave propagation. In further investigations, the displacement fields on other FML plate configurations with different metal-UD layer ratios will be investigated.

**Author Contributions:** “Conceptualization, A.M. and N.R.; methodology, A.M., T.B and N.K.B.M.; validation, A.M., T.B, N.R. and N.K.B.M.; formal analysis, A.M., N.R., T.B. and N.K.M.B.; investigation, A.M., T.B and N.K.B.M.; data curation, A.M., N.K.M.B., N.R. and T.B.; writing—original draft preparation, A.M., T.B., N.R. and N.B.; writing—review and editing, All; visualization, A.M.; supervision, R.L., N.R. and D.A.L.; project administration, R.L., N.R. and D.A.L.; funding acquisition, R.L., N.R. and D.A.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.”

**Funding:** The financial support within the Research Unit 3022 “Ultrasonic Monitoring of Fibre Metal Laminates Using Integrated Sensors” (project number: 418311604) by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) is gratefully acknowledged.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data sharing is not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

## Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

2D	two dimensional
2D-DFT	discrete two dimensional Fourier transformation
3D	three dimensional
CFRP	carbon fiber-reinforced plastic
FML	fiber metal laminate
FRP	fiber-reinforced polymer
GFRP	glass fiber-reinforced plastic
GUW	guided ultrasonic wave
HiFi	high fidelity
MOR	model order reduction
PMOR	parametric model order reduction
POD	proper orthogonal decomposition
POM	proper orthogonal modes
SHM	structural health monitoring
SVD	singular value decomposition
UD	unidirectional

## Appendix A


Below the results of the displacement field analysis at 80 kHz are summarized. Therefore, Figures A1 and A2 hold the results of the displacement field components of the  $A_0$ -mode perpendicular to the fiber direction and the components of the displacement fields in fiber direction for both the  $A_0$ - and  $S_0$ -modes. The corresponding results of the mean error calculation are collected in Table A1 for the 2D simulation results and in Table A2 for the 3D simulation results.


**Table A1.** Calculated mean error for 2D model at 80 kHz.

	$A_0$ in-plane	$A_0$ out-of-plane	$S_0$ in-plane	$S_0$ out-of-plane
in fiber direction	2.01%	0.01%	0.007%	0.035%
perpendicular	1.02%	0.006%	0.023%	0.092%

**Table A2.** Calculated mean error for 3D model at 80 kHz.

	$A_0$ in-plane	$A_0$ out-of-plane	$S_0$ in-plane	$S_0$ out-of-plane
in fiber direction	0.33%	0.004%	0.008%	0.055%
perpendicular	1.06%	0.006%	0.036%	0.19%

**Figure A1.** In-plane (left) and out-of-plane (right) components of normalized displacement field for  $A_0$  wave mode perpendicular to the fiber orientation at 80 kHz derived from the analytical framework and numerical 2D- and 3D-simulations.



**Figure A2.** In-plane (left) and out-of-plane (right) components of normalized displacement field for  $S_0$  (top) and  $A_0$  (bottom) wave modes in fiber orientation at 80 kHz derived from the analytical framework and numerical 2D- and 3D-simulations.

## References

1. Alderliesten, R.C.; Hagenbeek, M.; Homan, J.J.; Hooijmeijer, P.A.; de Vries, T.J.; Vermeeren, C.A.J.R. Fatigue and Damage Tolerance of Glare. *Applied Composite Materials* **2003**, *10*, 223–242. doi:10.1023/A:1025537818644.
2. Vlot, A.; Gunnink, J.W., Eds. *Fibre Metal Laminates: An Introduction*; Springer eBook Collection, Springer Netherlands: Dordrecht and s.l., 2001. doi:10.1007/978-94-010-0995-9.
3. Chai, G.B.; Manikandan, P. Low velocity impact response of fibre-metal laminates – A review. *Composite Structures* **2014**, *107*, 363–381. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2013.08.003.
4. Morinière, F.; Alderliesten, R.; Tooski, M.; Benedictus, R. Damage evolution in GLARE fibre-metal laminate under repeated low-velocity impact tests. *Open Engineering* **2012**, *2*, 603–611. doi:10.2478/s13531-012-0019-z.
5. Giurgiutiu, V. *Structural health monitoring with piezoelectric wafer active sensors*; Academic Press/Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2008.
6. Lammering, R. *Lamb-Wave Based Structural Health Monitoring in Polymer Composites*; Research Topics in Aerospace Ser, Springer International Publishing: Cham, 2017.
7. Lamb, H. On waves in an elastic plate. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character* **1917**, *93*, 114–128. doi:10.1098/rspa.1917.0008.
8. Viktorov, I.A. *Rayleigh and Lamb waves: Physical theory and applications*; Ultrasonic technology, Springer Science + Business Media: New York, 2013.
9. Achenbach, J.D. *Wave propagation in elastic solids*; Vol. volume 16, North-Holland series in applied mathematics and mechanics, North-Holland Pub. Co: Amsterdam, 1973.
10. Graff, K.F. *Wave motion in elastic solids*, 1. publ., unabridged, corr. republication of the work orig. publ. in oxford 1975 ed.; Dover Publ: New York, 1991.
11. Rose, J.L. *Ultrasonic guided waves in solid media*; Cambridge Univ. Press: New York, NY, 2014.
12. Nayfeh, A.H. The general problem of elastic wave propagation in multilayered anisotropic media. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **1991**, *89*, 1521–1531. doi:10.1121/1.400988.

13. Nayfeh, A.H. *Wave propagation in layered anisotropic media: With applications to composites*; Vol. v. 39, North-Holland series in applied mathematics and mechanics, Elsevier: New York, 1995.
14. Rokhlin, S.I.; Wang, L. Ultrasonic waves in layered anisotropic media: characterization of multidirectional composites. *International Journal of Solids and Structures* **2002**, *39*, 4133–4149. doi:10.1016/S0020-7683(02)00363-3.
15. Wang, L.; Rokhlin, S.I. Ultrasonic wave interaction with multidirectional composites: modeling and experiment. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **2003**, *114*, 2582–2595. doi:10.1121/1.1616920.
16. Nandyala, A.R.; Darpe, A.K.; Singh, S.P. Effective stiffness matrix method for predicting the dispersion curves in general anisotropic composites. *Archive of Applied Mechanics* **2019**, *89*, 1923–1938. doi:10.1007/s00419-019-01552-x.
17. Nguyen Vu Ngoc. Zur Wellenausbreitung in geschichteten Faserverbundstrukturen unter Verwendung nichtlinearer Stoffgesetze. Dissertation, Helmut Schmidt University / University of the Federal Armed Forces Hamburg, Hamburg, 2020.
18. Hosseini, S.M.H.; Kharaghani, A.; Kirsch, C.; Gabbert, U. Numerical simulation of Lamb wave propagation in metallic foam sandwich structures: a parametric study. *Composite Structures* **2013**, *97*, 387–400. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2012.10.039.
19. Thwaites, S.; Clark, N.H. Non-destructive Testing of Honeycomb Sandwich Structures Using Elastic Waves. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **1995**, *187*, 253–269. doi:10.1006/jsvi.1995.0519.
20. Song, F.; Huang, G.L.; Hu, G.K. Online Guided Wave-Based Debonding Detection in Honeycomb Sandwich Structures. *AIAA Journal* **2012**, *50*, 284–293. doi:10.2514/1.J050891.
21. Bertoni, H.L.; Park, S.K. Propagation of elastic waves in honeycomb panels for application to rapid inspection techniques. *Journal of Nondestructive Evaluation* **1981**, *2*, 209–217. doi:10.1007/BF00570733.
22. Lowe, M.J.S.; Cawley, P. The applicability of plate wave techniques for the inspection of adhesive and diffusion bonded joints. *Journal of Nondestructive Evaluation* **1994**, *13*, 185–200. doi:10.1007/BF00742584.
23. Guo, N.; Lim, M.K. Lamb Waves Propagation in Aluminum Honeycomb Structures. In *Review of Progress in Quantitative Nondestructive Evaluation*; Thompson, D.O.; Chimenti, D.E., Eds.; Springer US: Boston, MA, 1996; pp. 323–330. doi:10.1007/978-1-4613-0383-1\textunderscore |41.
24. Fujita, T.; Toda, K. Operational Performance of A 0-mode Lamb Wave Interdigital Transducers Under Water-Loaded Condition. *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics* **2004**, *43*, 5577–5581. doi:10.1143/JJAP.43.5577.
25. Maghsoodi, A.; Ohadi, A.; Sadighi, M.; Amindavar, H. Damage detection in multilayered fiber–metal laminates using guided-wave phased array. *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology* **2016**, *30*, 2113–2120. doi:10.1007/s12206-016-0418-9.
26. Gao, J.; Lyu, Y.; Zheng, M.; Liu, M.; Liu, H.; Wu, B.; He, C. Modeling guided wave propagation in multi-layered anisotropic composite laminates by state-vector formalism and the Legendre polynomials. *Composite Structures* **2019**, *228*, 111319. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2019.111319.
27. Tai, S.; Kotobuki, F.; Wang, L.; Mal, A. Modeling Ultrasonic Elastic Waves in Fiber-Metal Laminate Structures in Presence of Sources and Defects. *Journal of Nondestructive Evaluation, Diagnostics and Prognostics of Engineering Systems* **2020**, *3*. doi:10.1115/1.4046946.
28. Muc, A.; Barski, M.; Stawiarski, A.; Chwał, M.; Augustyn, M. Dispersion curves and identification of elastic wave modes for fiber metal laminates. *Composite Structures* **2021**, *255*, 112930. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2020.112930.
29. Wang, L. Elastic Wave Propagation in Composites and Least-squares Damage Localization Technique. Master-thesis, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, 2004.
30. Barth, T.; Lammering, R. Experimental Approach on Lamb Wave Dispersion Diagrams Using 2D Fourier Transformation and Laser Vibrometry. *PAMM* **2021**, *21*. doi:10.1002/pamm.202100060.
31. Barth, T.; Rauter, N.; Lammering, R. Experimental Determination of Lamb Wave Dispersion Diagrams Using 2D Fourier Transform and Laser Vibrometry. *preprint* **2022**. doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-1321459/v1.
32. Bracewell, R.N.; Bracewell, R.N. *The Fourier transform and its applications*, 3. ed., internat. ed. ed.; McGraw-Hill series in electrical and computer engineering, McGraw Hill: Boston, Mass., 2000.
33. Alleyne, D.; Cawley, P. A two-dimensional Fourier transform method for the measurement of propagating multimode signals. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **1991**, *89*, 1159–1168. doi:10.1121/1.400530.
34. Su, Z.; Ye, L. *Identification of Damage Using Lamb Waves: From Fundamentals to Applications*; Vol. 48, Springer, London, 2009. doi:10.1007/978-1-84882-784-4.
35. Hora, P.; Červená, O. Determination of Lamb wave dispersion curves by means of Fourier transform. *Appl. Comput. Mech.* **2012**, *6*, 5–16.
36. Antoulas, A.C. *Approximation of large-scale dynamical systems*; Vol. 6, *Advances in design and control*, SIAM Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics: Philadelphia, Pa., 2005.
37. Pearson, K. LIII. On lines and planes of closest fit to systems of points in space. *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science* **1901**, *2*, 559–572. doi:10.1080/14786440109462720.
38. Ahlman, D.; Söderlund, F.; Jackson, J.; Kurdila, A.; Shyy, W. Proper Orthogonal Decomposition for Time-Dependent Lid-Driven Cavity Flows. *Numerical Heat Transfer, Part B: Fundamentals* **2002**, *42*, 285–306. doi:10.1080/10407790190053950.
39. Berkooz, G.; Holmes, P.; Lumley, J.L. The Proper Orthogonal Decomposition in the Analysis of Turbulent Flows. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* **1993**, *25*, 539–575. doi:10.1146/annurev.fl.25.010193.002543.
40. Holmes, P.; Lumley, J.L.; Berkooz, G.; Rowley, C.W. *Turbulence, Coherent Structures, Dynamical Systems and Symmetry*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 2012. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511919701.

41. Ly, H.V.; Tran, H.T. Modeling and control of physical processes using proper orthogonal decomposition. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* **2001**, *33*, 223–236. doi:10.1016/S0895-7177(00)00240-5.
42. Kirby, M.; Sirovich, L. Application of the Karhunen-Loeve procedure for the characterization of human faces. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* **1990**, *12*, 103–108. doi:10.1109/34.41390.
43. Han, S.; Palazotto, A.N.; Leakeas, C.L. Finite-Element Analysis of Lamb Wave Propagation in a Thin Aluminum Plate. *Journal of Aerospace Engineering* **2009**, *22*, 185–197. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0893-1321(2009)22:2(185).
44. Bellam Muralidhar, N.K.; Rauter, N.; Mikhaylenko, A.; Lammering, R.; Lorenz, D.A. Parametric Model Order Reduction of Guided Ultrasonic Wave Propagation in Fiber Metal Laminates with Damage. *Modelling* **2021**, *2*, 591–608. doi:10.3390/modelling2040031.
45. Cagniard, N.; Crisovan, R.; Maday, Y.; Abgrall, R. Model Order Reduction for Hyperbolic Problems: A New Framework.
46. Mirhoseini, M.A.; Zahr, M.J. Model reduction of convection-dominated partial differential equations via optimization-based implicit feature tracking.
47. Boncoraglio, G.; Farhat, C. Active Manifold and Model-Order Reduction to Accelerate Multidisciplinary Analysis and Optimization. *AIAA Journal* **2021**, *59*, 4739–4753. doi:10.2514/1.J060581.
48. Bui-Thanh, T.; Willcox, K.; Ghattas, O. Model Reduction for Large-Scale Systems with High-Dimensional Parametric Input Space. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing* **2008**, *30*, 3270–3288. doi:10.1137/070694855.
49. Phuong Huynh, D.B.; Knezevic, D.J.; Patera, A.T. A Static condensation Reduced Basis Element method : approximation and a posteriori error estimation. *ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis* **2013**, *47*, 213–251. doi:10.1051/m2an/2012022.
50. Eftang, J.L.; Patera, A.T. Port reduction in parametrized component static condensation: approximation and a posteriori error estimation. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* **2013**, *96*, 269–302. doi:10.1002/nme.4543.
51. McBane, S.; Choi, Y. Component-wise reduced order model lattice-type structure design. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* **2021**, *381*, 113813. doi:10.1016/j.cma.2021.113813.
52. Kim, Y.; Wang, K.; Choi, Y. Efficient Space–Time Reduced Order Model for Linear Dynamical Systems in Python Using Less than 120 Lines of Code. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 1690. doi:10.3390/MATH9141690.
53. Blockmans, B.; Tamarozzi, T.; Naets, F.; Desmet, W. A nonlinear parametric model reduction method for efficient gear contact simulations. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* **2015**, *102*, 1162–1191. doi:10.1002/nme.4831.
54. Balajewicz, M.; Farhat, C. Reduction of nonlinear embedded boundary models for problems with evolving interfaces. *Journal of Computational Physics* **2014**, *274*, 489–504. doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2014.06.038.
55. Galbally, D.; Fidkowski, K.; Willcox, K.; Ghattas, O. Non-linear model reduction for uncertainty quantification in large-scale inverse problems. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* **2009**, pp. n/a–n/a. doi:10.1002/nme.2746.
56. Chen, P.; Schwab, C. Model Order Reduction Methods in Computational Uncertainty Quantification. In *Handbook of Uncertainty Quantification*; Ghanem, R.; Higdon, D.; Owhadi, H., Eds.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, 2016; pp. 1–53. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-11259-6\textunderscore }70-1.
57. Balajewicz, M.; Amsallem, D.; Farhat, C. Projection-based model reduction for contact problems. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* **2016**, *106*, 644–663. doi:10.1002/nme.5135.
58. Zahr, M.J.; Avery, P.; Farhat, C. A multilevel projection-based model order reduction framework for nonlinear dynamic multiscale problems in structural and solid mechanics. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* **2017**, *112*, 855–881. doi:10.1002/nme.5535.