

Review

Small Nucleolar Derived RNAs as Regulators of Human Cancer

Alexander B. Coley^{1,*}, Jeffrey D. DeMeis^{1,*}, Neil Y. Chaudhary¹ and Glen M. Borchert^{1,2,†}

¹ Department of Pharmacology, College of Medicine, University of South Alabama, Mobile, AL esh; abc1323@jagmail.southalabama.edu (A.B.C.); jdd1527@jagmail.southalabama.edu (J.D.D.); nyc1921@jagmail.southalabama.edu (N.Y.C.)

² School of Computing, University of South Alabama, Mobile, AL

*These authors contributed equally and are considered co-first authors

†Corresponding author: borchert@southalabama.edu; Tel: +1 251 461 1367

Abstract: In the past decade, RNA fragments derived from full length small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs) have been shown to be specifically excised and functional. These sno-derived RNAs (sdRNAs) have been implicated as gene regulators in a multitude of cancers, controlling a variety of genes post-transcriptionally via association with the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC). In this review, we have summarized the literature connecting sdRNAs to cancer gene regulation. SdRNAs possess miRNA-like functions, and are able to fill the role of tumor-suppressor or tumor-promoter in a tissue context-dependent manner. Indeed, there are many miRNAs that are actually derived from snoRNA transcripts, meaning that they are truly sdRNAs and as such are included in this review. As sdRNAs are frequently discarded from ncRNA analyses, we emphasize that sdRNAs are functionally relevant gene regulators and likely represent an overlooked subclass of miRNAs. Based on the evidence provided by the papers reviewed here, we propose that sdRNAs deserve more extensive study to better understand their underlying biology and to identify previously overlooked biomarkers and therapeutic targets for a multitude of human cancers.

Keywords: cancer; gene regulation; small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA); small nucleolar derived RNA (sdRNA); microRNA (miRNA); RNA; snoRNA; sdRNA; miRNA; genetics

1. Introduction

Until the first association of microRNA (miRNA) dysregulation and cancer in 2002, noncoding RNAs (ncRNA) in cancer were largely disregarded by many as “junk RNA” or transcriptional noise¹. miRNAs are ~22 nucleotide (nt) long small RNAs which form part of the RNA Induced Silencing Complex (RISC) and suppress messenger RNA expression through miRNA-mRNA sequence complementarity. The pathway in which miRNA facilitates post-transcriptional gene suppression of target mRNA is formally known as RNA interference (RNAi). Elucidation of this RNAi pathway afforded the scientific community with a broader understanding of the existence of short ncRNA species and their relevance with respect to regulation of protein coding gene expression, including tumor suppressor genes in the context of human cancer. While a plethora of studies confirmed roles for dysregulated miRNAs in cancer, others wondered if additional short ncRNA species with distinct functions could similarly arise from full-length ncRNA transcripts. In 2008, Kawaji et al performed an unbiased investigation of small RNA sequencing data obtained from HepG2 cells. In this study, miRNA-like fragments were aligned to the human genome² which resulted in the first ever characterization of noncoding-derived RNA (ndRNA) excised from other full length ncRNAs including transfer RNA, ribosomal RNA, and small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA). Similar to miRNAs, many of the observed ndRNA species were initially disregarded as degradation products or transcriptional noise and were assumed to lack functional purpose. However, many studies have since demonstrated that ndRNAs can be specifically excised from longer ncRNA species and can exert

microRNA-like regulatory function on target genes. This distinguishes ndRNAs from the canonical roles of the longer transcripts from which they were derived. That said, annotation of these novel ndRNAs supports the belief that the human ncRNA regulatory network is vastly larger than currently appreciated. Moreover, expansion of the repertoire of tractable ncRNA targets for biomarker detection and drug discovery to include the emerging classes of ndRNAs is imperative. Clinical recognition of ndRNAs which possess comparable roles in gene regulation and disease pathogenesis to miRNAs will expand our collection of diagnostic markers. This will hopefully contribute to earlier disease detection and thereby confer improved clinical outcomes.

2. snoRNA function

One class of ncRNA found to give rise to functional ndRNAs is the snoRNA. SnoRNAs constitute a class of ncRNA which can be further divided into two main subgroups: C/D box and H/ACA box snoRNA³. These snoRNA are grouped based on the type of chemical modification that they confer onto ribosomal RNA (rRNAs). That is, C/D Box snoRNA functions to guide 2'-O-methylation of rRNAs⁴⁻⁶; whereas, H/ACA box snoRNA characteristically pseudouridylate rRNA⁷⁻⁹. In order for snoRNAs to exert their function on rRNAs, the formation of a sno-ribonucleoprotein (snoRNP) between snoRNA and small nucleolar proteins (SNP) is required. For example, the SNP dyskerin binds H/ACA box snoRNAs and the SNP fibrillarin binds C/D box snoRNAs. Erroneous expression and/or activity of either of these classes of snoRNA have been extensively linked to tumorigenesis. However, reports have implied that several proteins comprising the snoRNP are required for the biogenesis of distinct species of sdRNA¹⁰.

3. sdRNA Biogenesis




Figure 1: sdRNA biogenesis and function.

Figure 1. sdRNA biogenesis and function. Biogenesis of Argonaute 2 (AGO2)-associating sno-derived RNAs (sdRNAs) Full length small-nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs) are generated either as products of transcription or splicing¹¹⁻¹⁴. snoRNAs produced by transcription can give rise to microRNA-like sdRNAs which are specifically excised from parent snoRNA transcripts by employment of the classical microRNA (miRNA) processing pathway. This occurs by processing of parent snoRNAs into smaller transcripts by the microprocessor complex which consists of Drosha Ribonuclease III (DROSHA) and DiGeorge syndrome critical region 8 (DGCR8). The intermediate snoRNA then undergoes cytoplasmic exportation via exportin 5 (XPO5). Following this, the smaller cytoplasmic snoRNA is processed by Dicer RNase III endonuclease (DICER) to generate the mature sdRNA which associates with AGO2, leading to the formation of the RNA-induced Silencing complex (RISC). Similar to miRNAs, these sdRNAs function in post-transcriptional gene suppression by antisense binding to target mRNA transcripts within RISC¹⁵. That said, snoRNAs produced by splicing can also enter the classical miRNA processing pathway. Spliced snoRNAs however can bypass processing from DROSHA/DGCR8 and/or DICER as a result of trafficking to the nucleolus and subsequent processing by the fibrillarin complex followed by cytoplasmic export via a transporter belonging to the Exportin (XPO) family of proteins¹⁰. **Biogenesis of PIWI-associated RNA (piRNA) like sdRNAs** Spliced snoRNAs which arrive at the nucleolus for fibrillarin processing can be trafficked into Yb bodies via Nuclear RNA Export Factor (NXF1)/ Nuclear Transport Factor 2 Like Export Factor 1 (NXT1) where the 3' end is cleaved by Zucchini (ZUC) and subsequently degraded. The remaining transcript is processed further within the Yb body by the papi-dependent trimmer. Following this, HEN1 double-stranded RNA binding protein binds at the 3' end of the transcript where it adds a methyl group, generating a mature piRNA/PIWI complex which is exported to the

cytoplasm. This piRNA/PIWI complex can then be shuttled back into the nucleus where it functions to inhibit transcription^{16,17}.

3.1. Canonical Biogenesis

Despite requiring the existence of a parental snoRNA for sdRNA biogenesis, the transcriptional abundance of any given sdRNA and its corresponding parent snoRNA are largely unrelated¹⁸. SnoRNAs are approximately 100-300 nt in length and primarily arise from intronic transcripts produced by splicing mechanisms^{12-14,19}. However, there is evidence supporting that correct expression and cellular localization of H/ACA snoRNA are mediated by RNA polymerase II dependent production of the snoRNA precursor, as is the case for snoRNA U64¹¹. After generation of the parent snoRNA, processing and excision of the mature sdRNA is thought to primarily follow the classic microRNA biogenesis pathway. That is, full length snoRNAs are processed into shorter transcripts by the microprocessor complex composed of Drosha and DGCR-8, similar to the conversion of primary microRNAs into precursor microRNAs²⁰. Following this, the snoRNA fragment is exported from the nucleus into the cytoplasm via Exportin-5 in a RAN-GTP dependent manner. Once in the cytoplasm, the snoRNA fragment is processed further by the enzyme Dicer, yielding the mature sdRNA which can then associate with Argonaute-2 to form the RNA Induced Silencing Complex (RISC)¹⁵. At this point, the mature sdRNA functions as a molecular guide, directing RISC to a target mRNA. Upon perfect complementary binding of the sdRNA to the target mRNA, RISC then cleaves the mRNA resulting in its degradation. Alternatively, an imperfect binding of the sdRNA to the target results in a translational blockade wherein the presence of RISC impedes translation. While this sdRNA processing mechanism is widely accepted as the primary pathway for sdRNA biogenesis, there is evidence suggesting the existence of alternative mechanisms of sdRNA biogenesis which function independently of the microprocessor complex and DICER.

3.2. Alternative sdRNA Biogenesis

Recent reports have indicated that some sdRNA species can arise from a mechanism independent of the microprocessor complex¹⁰. In lieu of the microprocessor complex, biogenesis of this particular sdRNA, derived from SNORD44, requires the presence of NOP58 and fibrillarin, two proteins necessary for the formation of snoRNP. Others have reported DICER independent production of miRNA-like sdRNAs²¹. At this point the remaining processing events and functional implementation are identical to the canonical biogenesis pathway for miRNA-like sdRNAs. Moreover, the production of piRNA-like sdRNAs follows a distinct biogenesis pathway to which snoRNA transcripts are exported to Yb bodies where the 3' ends undergo enzymatic cleavage from Zucchini (ZUC), followed by attachment of the PIWI domain to the 5' end. The intermediate piRNA-like sdRNA is then further processed by Papi-dependent Trimmer and is trafficked to the cytoplasm then can re-enter the nucleus to suppress transcription^{16,17}. That said, there may be additional alternative pathways utilized in the biogenesis of sdRNAs which have not been realized.

4. sdRNAs in Cancer

Considering the expanding role of sdRNAs in cancer and the growing scientific interest surrounding them, we have provided a review of the existing literature highlighting specific sdRNAs involved in malignant pathology. The sdRNAs presented here represent the best studied sdRNAs to date. For convenience, Table 1 compiles these into a readily available reference with key information about each sdRNA.

Previous reports^{22,23}, our own literature analysis, as well as a cursory in-house alignment of miRNAs to full-length snoRNAs collectively show that many ncRNA species currently defined as miRNAs are in fact sdRNAs. Since sdRNAs have been rapidly garnering more scientific interest, it is important to retrospectively re-categorize these miRNAs as the sdRNAs that they actually constitute. For this review, we have included several such

sdRNAs that have an established role in cancer, regardless of whether the author at the time referred to the ncRNA as a miRNA or sdRNA. Previous misidentification is reported in Table 1, and each section figure shows the alignments of each of these “miRNAs” to full length snoRNAs in the human genome when relevant. For clarity, we have attached the prefix “sd/” to the beginning of the miRNA’s name to indicate that the miRNA is snoRNA-derived.

Table 1. – “Summary of sdRNAs implicated in cancer”.

sdRNA	Sequence (5'-sdRNA :: 3'-sdRNA)	Parental snoRNA	Annotated as miR?	Cancer	Expression	Phenotypic effect	Target	Reference
sdRNAs Misannotated as Traditional miRNAs								
sd/miR-664a (ENSG00000281696)	5'-ACUGGCUAGGGAAAAUGAUUGGAU-3' :: 5'-UAUUCAUUUAUCCCCAGCCUACA-3'	SNORA36B (ENSG00000222370)	Yes	Hepatocellular carcinoma	Downregulated in tumor	Tumor-suppressor	AKT2	25
				Cervical	Downregulated in tumor	Tumor-suppressor	c-Kit	37
				Cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma	Upregulated in Tumor	Tumor-promoter	IFR2	38
sd/miR-1291 (ENSG00000281842)	5'-UGGCCUGACUGAAGACCAGCAGU-3'	SNORA2C (ENSG00000221491)	Yes	Pancreatic	Downregulated in Tumor	Tumor-suppressor	FOXA2	26
				Pancreatic	UNDETERMINED	Tumor-suppressor	FOXA2	27
				Renal Cell Carcinoma	Downregulated in Tumor	Tumor-suppressor	GLUT1	28
				Prostate	Downregulated in Tumor	Tumor-suppressor	MED1	29
				Breast	Downregulated in metastases	Tumor-suppressor	UNDETERMINED	30
sd/miR-1248 (ENSG00000283958)	5'-ACCUUCUUGUAUAAGCACUGUGCUGAAA-3'	SNORA81 (ENSG00000221420)	Yes	Prostate	Upregulated aggressive tumor	UNDETERMINED	UNDETERMINED	31
sd/miR-3651 (ENSG00000281156)	5'-CAUAGCCCGGUCGCGUGGUACAUGA-3'	SNORA84 (ENSG00000239183)	Yes	Colorectal	Upregulated in tumor	Tumor-promoter	TBX1	32
				Esophageal	Downregulated in tumor	UNDETERMINED	UNDETERMINED	33
	5'-GUUGGAGGAUGAAAG		Yes	Breast	UNDETERMINED	UNDETERMINED	YB-1	35

sd/miR-768 (ENSG00000223224)	UACGGAGUGAU-3' :: 5'- UCACAAUGCUGACAC UCAAACUGCUGAC-3'	SNORD71 (ENSG00000223224)		Gastric	Downregul ated in tumor	UNDETER MINED	UNDET ERMIN ED	34
				Lung*†, Breast*, Ovary†, Melano ma†, Liver†, Parotid Gland†, Thyroid Gland†, Large Cell†	Downregul ated in tumor	*Tumor- suppressor, †UNDETER MINED	†KRAS	36
sdRNAs not Previously Annotated as miRNAs								
sd/hsa-sno- HBII-296B	NA	SNORD91B (ENSG00000275084)	No	Pancreat ic ductal adenocar cinoma	Downregul ated in Tumor	UNDETER MINED	UNDET ERMIN ED	43
sd/hsa-sno- HBII-85-29	NA	SNORD116- 29 (ENSG00000207245)	No	Pancreat ic ductal adenocar cinoma	Downregul ated in Tumor	UNDETER MINED	UNDET ERMIN ED	43
sno-miR-28	5'- AAUAGCAUGUUAGAG UUCUGAUGG-3'	SNORD28 (ENSG00000274544)	No	Breast	Upregulate d in Tumor	Tumor- promoter	TAF9B	44
sdRNA-93	5'- GCCAAGGAUGAGAAC UCUAAUCUGAUUU-3'	SNORD93 (ENSG00000221740)	No	Breast	Upregulate d in Tumor	Tumor- promoter	PIPOX	45
sdRNA- D19b	5'- AUUACAAGAUCCAAC UCUGAU-3'	SNORD19b (ENSG00000238862)	No	Prostate	Upregulate d in tumor	Tumor- promoter	CD44	46
sdRNA-A24	5'- CUCCAUGUAUCUUUG GGACCUGUCA-3'	SNORA24 (ENSG00000275994)	No	Prostate	Upregulate d in tumor	Tumor- promoter	CDK12	46
miRNAs that Bind Dyskerin								
sd/miR-140 (ENSG00000208017)	5'- CAGUGGUUUUACCCU AUGGUAG-3' :: 5'- UACCACAGGGUAGAA CCACGG-3'	Binds Dyskerin	Yes	Prostate	Downregul ated in tumor	Tumor- suppressor	BIRC1	50
sd/miR-151 (ENSG00000254324)	5'- UCGAGGAGCUCACAG UCUAGU-3' :: 5'- CUAGACUGAAGCUCC UUGAGG-3'	Binds Dyskerin	Yes	Prostate	Downregul ated in tumor	Tumor- suppressor	UNDET ERMIN ED	53
sd/miR-215 (ENSG00000207590)	5'- AUGACCUAUGAAUUG ACAGAC-3' :: 5'-	Binds Dyskerin	Yes	Ovary	Downregul ated in Tumor	Tumor- suppressor	XIAP (not confirme d)	51

UCUGUCAUUUCUUUA GGCCAAUA-3'				Colorectal	Downregulated in Tumor	Tumor-suppressor	EREG, HOXB9	52
				Prostate	Downregulated in Tumor	Tumor-suppressor	PGK1 (not confirmed)	54
				Lung	Downregulated in Tumor	Tumor-suppressor	Leptin, SLC2A5	55
				Melanoma	UNDETERMINED	Tumor-suppressor	INPP4B	58
				Prostate	UNDETERMINED	Tumor-suppressor	EN2	60
sd/miR-605 (ENSG00000207813)	5'-UAAAUCCCAUGGUGCUUUCUCCU-3' :: 5'-AGAAGGCACUAUGAGAUUUAGA-3'	Binds Dyskerin	Yes	Colorectal*, Breast*, Lung +	UNDETERMINED	*Tumor-suppressor, +UNDETERMINED	Mdm2	56
				Prostate	Downregulated in tumor	UNDETERMINED	UNDETERMINED	59
				Prostate	UNDETERMINED	UNDETERMINED	UNDETERMINED	57
	miRNAs that Bind Fibrillarin							
				Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia	Downregulated in tumor	UNDETERMINED	Multiple (not confirmed)	1
				Gastric	Downregulated in tumor	Tumor-suppressor	TWIST1	61
sd/miR-16-1 (ENSG00000208006)	5'-UAGCAGCACGUAAAU AUUGGCG-3' :: 5'-CCAGUAUUAACUGUGCUGCUGA-3'	Binds Fibrillarin	Yes	Non-small cell lung cancer	Downregulated in tumor	Tumor-suppressor	TWIST1	62
				Osteosarcoma	Downregulated in tumor	Tumor-suppressor	FGFR2	63
				Breast	Downregulated in tumor	Tumor-suppressor	PGK1	64
sd/miR-27b (ENSG00000207864)	5'-AGAGCUUAGCUGAUU GGUGAAC-3' :: 5'-UUCACAGUGGCUAAGUUCUGC-3'	Binds Fibrillarin	Yes	Prostate	Downregulated in tumor	Tumor-suppressor	UNDETERMINED	65
				Lung	Downregulated in tumor	Tumor-suppressor	LIMK1	66

					Bladder	Downregul ated in tumor	Tumor- suppressor	EN2	67
					Colorect al	Upregulate d in tumor	Tumor- promoter	UNDET ERMIN ED	70
					Head and neck squamo us cell carcino ma	Upregulate d in tumor	Tumor- promoter	FIH (not confirme d)	71
sd/miR-31 (ENSG00000199177)	5'- AGGCAAGAUGCUGGC AUAGCU-3' :: 5'- UGCUAUGCCAACAUA UUGCCAU-3'	Binds Fibrillarin	Yes	Lung	Upregulate d in tumor	Tumor- promoter	LATS2, PP2R2A		82
					Glioblast oma	Downregul ated in tumor	Tumor- suppressor	RDX	83
					Melano ma	Downregul ated in tumor	Tumor- suppressor	UNDET ERMIN ED	72
					Prostate	Downregul ated in tumor	Tumor- suppressor	UNDET ERMIN ED	73
					Non- small cell lung cancer	Downregul ated in tumor	Tumor- suppressor	KRAS (not confirme d)	74
sd/let-7g (ENSG00000199150)	5'- UGAGGUAGUAGUUUG UACAGUU-3' :: 5'- UGAGGUAGUAGUUUG UACAGUU-3'	Binds Fibrillarin	Yes	Colorect al	Downregul ated in tumor	Tumor- suppressor	UNDET ERMIN ED		75
					Ovary	Downregul ated in tumor	Tumor- suppressor	UNDET ERMIN ED	76
					B-cell Lympho ma	Downregul ated in tumor	Tumor- suppressor	MAD2L 1, BAG1, RAP1B, RAB23	77
sd/miR-28 (ENSG00000207651)	5'- AAGGAGCUCACAGUC UAUUGAG-3' :: 5'- CACUAGAUUGUGAGC UCCUGGA-3'	Binds Fibrillarin	Yes	Prostate	Downregul ated in tumor	Tumor- suppressor	SREBF2		78
					Breast	Downregul ated in tumor	Tumor- suppressor	WSB2	79
Sno-Derived Piwi-interacting RNAs									
pi-sno75	5'- GGGAUUUCUGAAAUU CUAUUCUGAGGCU-3'	SNORD75	No	Breast	Downregul ated in Tumor	Tumor- suppressor	WDR5		87
pi-sno74	5'- AGUAAUGAUGAAUGC CAACCGCUCUGAUG-3'	SNORD74	No	Breast	Downregul ated in Tumor	UNDETER MINED	UNDET ERMIN ED		87

pi-sno44	5'- CCUGGAUGAUGAUAA GCAAAUGCUGACU-3'	SNORD44	No	Breast	Downregul ated in Tumor	UNDETER MINED	UNDET ERMIN ED	87
pi-sno78 (sd78-3')	5'- GAGCAUGUAGACAAA GGUAAACACUGAAG-3'	SNORD78	No	Breast	Downregul ated in Tumor	UNDETER MINED	UNDET ERMIN ED	87
				Prostate	Upregulate d in metastases	UNDETER MINED	UNDET ERMIN ED	88
pi-sno81	5'- AUUACUUGAUGACAA UAAAAUAUCUGAUA- 3'	SNORD81	No	Breast	Downregul ated in Tumor	UNDETER MINED	UNDET ERMIN ED	87
piR-017061 (piR-33686)	5'- CUCAGUGAUGCAAUC UCUGUGUGGUUCUGA GA-3'	SNORD91A (ENSG00000212163)	No	Pancreat ic ductal adenocar cinoma	Downregul ated in Tumor	UNDETER MINED	UNDET ERMIN ED	43

In 2019 Lv *et al.* published a paper focused on elucidating the tumor-suppressive role of sd/miR-664 in cervical cancer. Comparing sd/miR-664 expression in cervical cancer patient samples and control tissue revealed that the sdRNA is expressed at lower levels in

cancer. Using the Si-Ha cell line as a model of cervical cancer, sd/miR-664 expression was found to enhance apoptosis and reduce cell viability and migration. In a Si-Ha mouse xenograft model, treatment with an sd/miR-664 mimic drastically reduced tumor volume and weight while enhancing tumor apoptosis. The binding target was predicted to be c-Kit using online target prediction software, and this was confirmed via the Renilla Luciferase assay. Sd/miR-664 expression was negatively correlated with c-Kit expression, which further solidified the proto-oncogene c-Kit as a target of this tumor-suppressive sdRNA in cervical cancer³⁷.

To assess the role of sd/miR-664 in cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (cSCC), Li *et al.* quantified the sdRNA's expression by *in situ* hybridization in patient samples as well as cSCC cell lines³⁸. Both analyses revealed an increase in sd/miR-664 in cSCC compared to control. Phenotypic assays showed increased cSCC viability, colony formation, invasion, and migration when sd/miR-664 levels were elevated in cell lines. Additionally, a murine cSCC xenograft model treated with sd/miR-664 mimic exhibited increased tumor volume compared to control. The 3'-UTR of IRF2 was predicted and then confirmed to be a binding target of sd/miR-664. Taken together, sd/miR-664 functions as an onco-miR in cSCC by downregulating IRF2 expression. While the role of IRF2 has not been established in cSCC, IRF2 has been identified as a tumor suppressor in lung cancer and gastric cancer and paradoxically as an oncogene in testicular embryonal carcinoma³⁹⁻⁴¹. This adds a layer of complexity to sd/miR-664, showing that its overall impact on tumor progression can be tissue context-dependent.

In 2020 Li *et al.* measured sd/miR-664-5p expression in HCC patient cancer and normal samples as well as the HCC cell lines HepG2 and SUN-475. They found that sd/miR-664-5p is significantly downregulated in HCC patient samples as well as in the HCC cell lines compared to normal control. Sd/miR-664-5p was discovered to function as a tumor suppressor, reducing cell viability, invasion, migration, and enhancing apoptosis as measured by phenotypic assays in HepG2 and SUN-475 cells. Target engagement with the AKT2 transcript 3'-UTR was confirmed and expression of sd/miR-664-5p was negatively correlated with AKT2 expression. AKT2 (PKB) is an oncogene and critical component of the PI3K/AKT growth-promoting pathway. Taken together, this study identifies sd/miR-664-5p as a tumor suppressor that exerts its effect by transcriptionally regulating AKT2²⁵.

4.2. Sd/miR-1291

Building on their 2016 publication where they found sd/miR-1291 to be downregulated in pancreatic cancer patient tissues, Tu *et al.* published an article in 2020 to further investigate this mechanism of action in pancreatic cancer cells^{26,27}. They found that increasing sd/miR-1291 reduced ASS1 levels in the ASS1-abundant L3.3 pancreatic cancer cell line and sensitized them to arginine deprivation *in vitro*. In addition, the glucose transporter GLUT1 mRNA has previously been shown to be a direct target of sd/miR-1291 in renal cell carcinoma (RCC), and Tu *et al.* confirmed this to be the case in pancreatic cancer cells as well with a resulting decrease in glycolytic capacity²⁸. Further, sd/miR-1291 treatment sensitized pancreatic cancer cell lines to cisplatin. Taken together, sd/miR-1291 has a clear role as a modulator of cell metabolism to bring about tumor suppression in pancreatic cancer.

In 2019 Cai *et al.* investigated the role of sd/miR-1291 in prostate cancer. They found that sd/miR-1291 is significantly downregulated in both prostate cancer patient samples as well as prostate cancer cell lines compared to normal prostate²⁹. Overexpression of sd/miR-1291 in prostate cancer cell lines inhibited cell proliferation and caused cell cycle arrest at G₀/G₁, and significantly reduced tumor weight and volume in a murine model of prostate cancer. *In silico* target prediction identified the 3'-UTR of MED1 as a sd/miR-1291 target, and MED1 protein levels were shown to be inversely correlated with sd/miR-1291 expression both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. MED1 has previously been shown to be a marker of poor prognosis for prostate cancer⁴². This study therefore places sd/miR-1291 as a tumor

suppressor sdRNA that regulates the MED1 oncogene to restrict prostate cancer progression.

In 2020 Escuin and colleagues investigated whether miRNAs can be used as biomarkers to identify sentinel lymph node (SLN) metastasis. Sixty breast cancer patients matched primary and SLN metastasis samples were sequenced. Differential expression analysis revealed that miR-1291 expression is significantly increased in the SLN metastasis. By separating the SLN metastases by molecular subtype, the authors found that sd/miR-1291 is upregulated in HR+ breast cancer compared to the HER2+ subtype. A bioinformatic pathway analysis predicted that sd/miR-1291 regulates WNT signaling, planar cell polarity/convergent extension (PCP/CE) pathway, β -catenin independent WNT signaling, diseases of signal transduction, and signaling by receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) among others. While these results strongly suggest that sd/miR-1291 is a tumor suppressor, patient survival analysis revealed that expression of this sdRNA alone could not significantly predict patient survival³⁰.

4.3. *Sd/miR-3651*

A 2020 publication aimed at identifying novel contributors to colorectal cancer (CRC) found that miR-3651, which arises from SNORA84, was overexpressed in 34/40 CRC patient tumors³². Model cell lines of CRC were observed to have ~3-fold overexpression of sd/miR-3651. Phenotypic assays revealed that sd/miR-3651 expression was positively correlated with CRC cell proliferation, and that reduction of the sdRNA enhanced apoptosis via deactivation of PI3K/AKT and MAPK/ERK signaling. Target prediction identified the 3'-UTR of tumor suppressor TBX1 as a sd/miR-3651 target, which was confirmed via the luciferase assay. Since TBX1 is a transcription factor that has an established role as a regulator of PI3K/AKT and MAPK/ERK pathways, these results show a coherent mechanism of action by which sd/miR-3651 inactivates TBX1 and thereby activates pro-growth mitogenic pathways to function as an oncogenic sdRNA.

Wang *et al* assessed the expression of sd/miR-3651 in esophageal cancer patient samples and found that sd/miR-3651 expression is significantly downregulated in esophageal cancer³³. Kaplan-Meier analysis of a separate esophageal cancer patient cohort (n=108) revealed that low sd/miR-3651 expression was a marker of poor overall survival and poor disease-free progression. In contrast with studies implicating sd/miR-3651 as an oncogenic sdRNA, Wang *et al* showed that sd/miR-3651's role in esophageal cancer is tumor suppressive which indicates that the effect of this sdRNA is tissue context-dependent.

4.4. *sd/miR-768*

In 2012, Su *et al* published a study in which they interrogated gastric cancer patient samples for miRNA expression. They found that sd/miR-768-5p, which arises from SNORD71 (Fig.2), was significantly downregulated in cancer samples³⁴. A 2013 study by Blenkiron *et al* identified sd/miR-768-5p as a YB-1 binding partner via CO-IP in MCF7 cells³⁵. The authors proposed potential explanations for the YB-1/sdRNA interaction including YB-1 functioning as a ncRNA-sponge to prevent ncRNA-mediated transcriptional repression as well as YB-1 potentially playing a role in ncRNA biogenesis.

In that same year, Subramani *et al.* found that sd/miRNA-768-5p and its alternatively processed variant sd/miRNA-768-3p were both greatly downregulated in lung cancer and breast cancer cell lines *in vitro*³⁶. Patient brain metastases originating from Lung, Breast, Ovary, Melanoma, Liver, Parotid Gland, Thyroid Gland, and Large Cell tumors were also found to have downregulated sd/miRNA-768-3p. Overexpression of either sd/miRNA-768-5p or sd/miRNA-768-3p increased lung and breast cancer enhanced cell viability and chemoresistance. KRAS, one of the most commonly mutated oncogenes in human cancer, was confirmed to be a sd/miRNA-768-3p target.

4.5. sd/miR-1248

There is a clear need for additional reliable biomarkers to aid care providers in their decision on which treatment to apply to prostate cancer patients following radical prostatectomy. Aimed at meeting this need, Pudova *et. al* published a paper in 2020 focused on identifying differentially expressed miRNAs between prostate cancer patient tumors with or without lymphatic dissemination³¹. Among the nine miRNAs found to be significantly upregulated in prostate cancer with lymphatic dissemination, sd/miR-1248 was identified which arises completely from SNORA81.

B. sdRNAs not Previously Annotated as miRNAs




Figure 3: sdRNAs not Previously Annotated as miRNAs.

Figure 3. sdRNAs not Previously Annotated as miRNAs. (A-F) The most thermodynamically stable secondary structures of sdRNA producing snoRNA transcripts were generated by mfold²⁴. Highlighted in blue are sdRNAs shown to function as bona fide miRNAs. (A) sdRNA produced by SNORD91B (ENSG00000275084) was determined to be downregulated in PDAC⁴³. (B) sdRNA which arises from SNORD116-29 (ENSG00000207245) was determined to be downregulated in PDAC⁴³. (C) sdRNA derived from SNORD28 (ENSG00000274544) was found to function as a tumor promoter and is upregulated in breast cancer⁴⁴. (D) sdRNA excised from SNORD93 (ENSG00000221740) was found to function as a tumor promoter and is upregulated in breast cancer⁴⁵. (E) sdRNA produced from SNORA24 (ENSG00000275994) was functionally identified as a tumor promoter and is upregulated in prostate cancer⁴⁶. (F) sdRNA derived from SNORD19B (ENSG00000238862) was determined to function as a tumor promoter and is upregulated in prostate cancer⁴⁶.

4.6. hsa-sno-HBII-296B and hsa-sno-HBII-85-29

In 2015, Müller *et al* performed small RNA seq on six PDAC tissue samples and 5 normal pancreas tissue samples to assess the expression of ncRNAs not typically found via microarray analysis⁴³. They considered 45 noncoding RNAs identified as significantly down-regulated in PDAC, of which there were fourteen sdRNAs and a single sno-derived piRNA. The most downregulated sdRNA in PDAC as determined by log₂ fold change was hsa-sno-HBII-85-29. Four sdRNAs of a total 78 ncRNAs were significantly upregulated in PDAC. The most upregulated sdRNA in PDAC as determined by log₂ fold change was hsa-sno-HBII-296B. Subsequent analyses were focused on a miRNA identified in the study, however this work by *et al* constitutes the only publication that characterizes differentially expressed sdRNAs focused specifically in PDAC. PDAC is a particularly difficult cancer to detect and treat, with a reported 5 year survival rate of just 11%⁴⁷. Müller *et al*'s work lays the foundation for many future studies aimed at further characterizing sdRNAs in PDAC which can potentially provide tractable biomarkers/therapeutic targets to reduce the lethality of this especially morbid cancer type.

4.7. Sno-miR-28

By measuring snoRNA expression following induced P53 activation, Yu *et al* found significant downregulation of all snoRNAs associated with the SNGH1 snoRNA gene⁴⁴. One sdRNA arising from SNGH1-associated sno28, sno-miR-28, was identified in complex with Ago via HITS-CLIP data and was found to be abundantly expressed in patient breast cancer tissue. Elevating TP53 expression *in vitro* consequently reduced sno-mir-28, and *in silico* and *in vitro* target engagement experiments revealed the P53-stabilizing protein TAF9B as a likely sno-mir28 target. Taken together, the authors proposed that sno-mir28 directly regulates TAF9B to bring about indirect repression of P53, forming a loop as P53 overexpression decreases sno-mir28 levels. Taqman expression analysis of matched breast cancer patient tumor and normal tissues revealed that SNHG1, SNORD28 and sno-miR-28 were all significantly upregulated in tumors. Furthermore, using the MCF10A cell line as a model of undifferentiated breast epithelium, the authors found that sno-miR-28 overexpression enhanced breast cancer cells' proliferative capacity and colony formation. In sum, this study defined a role for sno-miR-28 as an oncogenic sdRNA heavily involved in suppressing the P53 pathway.

4.8. sdRNA-93

In 2017 our lab published a study in which we investigated the role of sdRNAs in the aggressive breast cancer phenotype⁴⁵. RNAseq analysis identified 13 total full length snoRNAs differentially expressed (>7.5x) in MDA-MB-231 compared to MCF7, 10 of which consistently give rise to sdRNAs that associate with AGO in publicly available HITS-CLIP data. We elected to focus on the sdRNA arising from sno93 (sdRNA-93) due to it displaying the highest differential expression in MDA-MB-231 (≥75x) and previous publications implicating sdRNA-93 with miRNA-like silencing capabilities in luciferase assays⁴⁸. SdRNA-93 silencing in MDA-MB-231 reduced cell invasion by >90% while sdRNA-93 overexpression conversely enhanced cell invasion by >100% at the same time point. By contrast, sdRNA-93 silencing in MCF7 had no significant effect on invasion while overexpression resulted in a substantial ~80% increase in cell invasion. We then employed multiple *in silico* miRNA target-prediction algorithms which predicted with consensus that Pipox, a gene involved in sarcosine metabolism which has been implicated in breast cancer progression, is a likely candidate for regulation by sdRNA-93. We then confirmed sdRNA-93/Pipox 3'-UTR target engagement *in vitro* using the Renilla Luciferase assay. Taken together, these results identified sdRNA-93 as a strong regulator of breast cancer invasion, particularly in the more aggressive MDA-MB-231 cell line, with Pipox as a verified cellular target. Moving forward, sdRNA-93 could serve as a potential target for breast cancer therapeutics and biomarker studies.

4.9. sdRNA-D19b and sdRNA-A24

A study published in 2022 by our lab identified 38 specifically-excised and differentially expressed sdRNAs in prostate cancer⁴⁶. We began by querying PCa patient TCGA datasets alongside TCGA normal prostate using an in-house web-based search algorithm SURFR (Short Uncharacterized RNA Fragment Recognition). SURFR aligns next generation sequencing (NGS) datasets to a frequently updated database of all human ncRNAs, performs a wavelet analysis to specifically determine the location and expression of ncRNA-derived fragments (ndRNAs) and then conducts an expression analysis to identify significantly differentially expressed ndRNAs. Two sdRNAs, sdRNA-D19b and sdRNA-A24, were among the most overexpressed in PCa patient tumors and were identified as Ago-associated in publicly available datasets so they were selected for further scrutiny. *In vitro* phenotypic assays in PC3 cells, a model cell line for castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC), revealed that both sdRNAs markedly increased PC3 cell proliferation and that sdRNA-D19b in particular greatly enhanced cell migration. When the sdRNAs were overexpressed alongside treatment with chemotherapeutic agents, both sdRNAs provided drug-specific resistances with sdRNA-D19b levels correlating with

paclitaxel resistance and sdRNA-A24 conferring dasatinib resistance. Multiple *in silico* target prediction algorithms provided a consensus prediction of the CD44 and CDK12 3' UTRs as targets for sdRNA-D19b and sdRNA-A24 respectively, which we then confirmed *in vitro* via the Renilla Luciferase assay. Taken together, this work outlines a biologically coherent mechanism by which sdRNAs downregulate tumor suppressors in CRPC to enhance proliferative/metastatic capabilities and to encourage chemotherapeutic resistance.

C. miRNAs derived from Box H/ACA snoRNA-like transcripts that bind dyskerin




Figure 4: miRNAs derived from Box H/ACA snoRNA-like transcripts that bind dyskerin.

(A) Dyskerin binds H/ACA box snoRNAs... (B-E)...

Figure 4. miRNAs derived from Box H/ACA snoRNA-like transcripts that bind dyskerin. (A) Schematic of box H/ACA snoRNA bound to dyskerin complex, giving rise to snoRNP. Dyskerin complex includes Dyskerin, NHP2, NOP10, and GAR1⁴⁹. (B-E) Most thermodynamically stable secondary structures of box H/ACA snoRNA-like transcripts known to bind dyskerin complex²² were generated by mfold²⁴. Mature miRNA sequences derived from these transcripts are highlighted in blue. (B) Box H/ACA snoRNA-like transcript (GRCh38: chr16:69933072-69933264:1) was identified to bind dyskerin and encompasses miR-140 (ENSG00000208017) which functions as a tumor suppressor and is downregulated in prostate cancer⁵⁰. (C) Box H/ACA snoRNA-like transcript (GRCh38: chr1:220117845-220118007:-1) was identified to bind dyskerin and surrounds miR-215 (ENSG00000207590) which is known to function as a tumor suppressor and is downregulated in ovarian, colorectal, prostate and lung cancer^{51,52}. (D) Box H/ACA snoRNA-like transcript (GRCh38: chr8:140732552-140732807:-1) was determined to bind dyskerin and encapsulates miR-151 (ENSG00000254324) which is recognized as a tumor suppressor and is downregulated in prostate cancer⁵³⁻⁵⁵. (E) Box H/ACA snoRNA-like transcript (GRCh38: chr10:51299393-51299708:1) was determined to bind dyskerin and surrounds miR-605 (ENSG00000207813) which is described to act as a tumor suppressor in melanoma, colorectal, breast, lung and prostate cancer⁵⁶⁻⁶⁰.

4.10. *Sd/miR-140*

Based on prior investigations linking high expression of the long-noncoding RNA MALAT1 with poor prostate cancer patient prognosis, Hao *et al*'s 2020 paper focused on elucidating the mechanism of action by which MALAT1 brings about this effect⁵⁰. *In silico* target prediction and *in vitro* confirmation via the Renilla luciferase assay identified miR-140 as a target of MALAT1, indicating that MALAT1 functions as a miRNA sponge to reduce sd/miR-140 bioavailability. This was further supported by RIP-seq analysis where direct engagement of MALAT1 and sd/miR-140 was confirmed. Target prediction indicated that sd/miR-140's target is the 3'UTR of BIRC, and this was confirmed via the Renilla luciferase assay. Knockdown of MALAT1 inhibited the growth of prostate cancer both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, an effect that the authors suggest is brought about through sd/miR-140's release from repression by MALAT1. This outlines a pathway where sd/miR-140 functions as a tumor suppressor and is tightly regulated by the lncRNA MALAT1.

4.11. *Sd/miR-151*

A study in 2020 by Chen *et al*. found that sd/miR-151 is downregulated in human prostate cancer cell lines⁵³. In prostate cancer cells, Chen *et al* demonstrated that sd/miR-151 overexpression inhibited cell proliferation, migration, and invasion; enhanced apoptosis; and sensitized cells to treatment with 5-FU, an antimetabolite chemotherapy. While no target prediction was performed, the authors did find that overexpression of sd/miR-151 reduces phosphorylation of PI3K/AKT. Even without a precisely mapped mechanism of action, this publication links sd/miR-151 with prostate cancer as a tumor-suppressive sdRNA.

4.12. *Sd/miR-215*

A 2015 study by Ge *et al* found that sd/miR-215 expression was reduced in both epithelial ovarian cancer cell lines and patient tissue⁵¹. Sd/miR-215 decreased cell proliferation, enhanced apoptosis, and enhanced sensitivity to paclitaxel treatment. In addition, increased sd/miR-205 resulted in a decrease of cellular X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis (XIAP) mRNA albeit without confirmation of target engagement. Vychytilova-Faltejskova *et al* found in 2017 that sd/miR-215-5p is significantly reduced in CRC patient samples and that low sd/miR-215-5p expression is associated with late clinical stages of CRC as well as poor overall survival for CRC patients⁵². Overexpression of sd/miR-215-5p reduced cell proliferation, viability, colony formation, invasion, migration, *in vivo* tumor volume, and weight while enhancing apoptosis. Epiregulin (EREG) and HOXB9 mRNA were confirmed to be two targets of sd/miR-215-5p. Both genes are involved in epithelial growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling, a critical pathway exploited by CRC to promote tumor growth.

In 2020 Chen *et al* found that sd/miR-215-5p is downregulated in prostate cancer patient samples and that lower sd/miR-215-5p expression predicted worse overall survival⁵⁴. Sd/miR-215-5p expression was found to be inversely correlated with cell viability, migration, and invasion. Target prediction algorithms identified the PGK1 mRNA as a likely target, and *in vitro* protein quantification revealed an inverse relationship between sd/miR-215-5p expression and PGK1. A 2020 study by Jiang *et al* found that the lncRNA lnc-REG3G-3-1 regulates sd/miR-215-3p availability by adsorption in lung adenocarcinoma (LAD)⁵⁵. Overexpression of sd/miR-215-3p in LAD cells was found to reduce cell viability, invasion, and migration. Both Leptin and SLC2A5 mRNA were confirmed as targets for sd/miR-215-3p.

4.13. *Sd/miR-605*

With their 2011 publication, Xiao *et al* found that sd/mir-605 overexpression significantly reduced cell survival and enhanced apoptosis in breast and colon cancer cell lines⁵⁶. The MDM2 mRNA was predicted as a target of sd/mir-605, and sd/mir-605 overexpression was found to cause a reduction in MDM2 protein. MDM2 is a regulator of P53 tumor

suppressor, and sd/mir-605 transfection was shown to increase P53 activity without increasing P53 protein levels, suggesting the sdRNA relieves MDM2-mediated repression of P53. In 2014 a study by Huang *et al* found that single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the sd/miR-605 precursor were significantly correlated with a shorter prostate cancer biochemical recurrence for patients⁵⁷.

Chen *et al* found in 2017 that sd/miR-605 was significantly downregulated in a panel of melanoma cell lines and patient samples. Overexpression of sd/miR-605 markedly decreased cell proliferation, colony formation, and soft-agar growth in melanoma cell lines while reducing tumor volume in a murine model. INPP4B mRNA was confirmed as a sd/miR-605 target. The authors found that overexpression of sd/miR-605 decreases cellular INPP4B, leading them to conclude that sd/miR-605 suppresses melanoma growth through the inhibition of INPP4B⁵⁸.

In 2016 Alhasan *et al* measured small noncoding RNA expression in prostate cancer patient serum samples and found that sd/miR-605 expression was significantly lower in low risk prostate cancer and normal tissue compared to high risk prostate cancer⁵⁹. This publication established that sd/miR-605 is detectable in patient serum and is negatively correlated with the aggressive prostate cancer phenotype. A 2017 study from Zhou *et al* found that sd/miR-605 is significantly downregulated in prostate cancer cell lines and patient samples⁶⁰. EN2 mRNA was confirmed to be a sd/miR-605 target, and sd/miR-605 mimic decreased EN2 protein levels while antisense sd/miR-605 enhanced EN2 production. Prostate cancer proliferation and invasion were significantly reduced by sd/miR-605 overexpression, and this effect was rescued by transfection with EN2 cDNA. Cell-cycle analysis via flow cytometry revealed that sd/miR-605 overexpression resulted in a higher count of prostate cancer cells arrested at G₀/G₁. Taken together, the authors concluded that sd/miR-605 functions as a prostate cancer tumor suppressor by downregulating EN2.

D. miRNAs derived from C/D Box snoRNA-like transcripts that bind fibrillarin




Figure 5: miRNAs derived from C/D Box snoRNA-like transcripts that bind fibrillarin.

Figure 5. miRNAs derived from C/D Box snoRNA-like transcripts that bind fibrillarin. (A) Cartoon illustration of C/D Box snoRNA (left) and schematic of C/D Box snoRNA bound to fibrillarin complex, giving rise to snoRNP (right). Fibrillarin complex consists of NOP56, NOP58, NAF1 and Fibrillarin⁴⁹. (B-F) Most thermodynamically stable secondary structures of C/D Box snoRNA-like transcripts described to bind fibrillarin complex²³ were obtained from mfold²⁴. Mature miRNA sequences embedded in these transcripts are highlighted in blue. (B) miR16-1 (ENSG00000208006) is downregulated in chronic lymphocytic leukemia, gastric, NSCLC, Osteosarcoma, and breast cancer and is embedded within a C/D Box snoRNA-like transcript (GRCh38: chr 13:50048958-50049077:-1) known to bind fibrillarin^{1,61-64}. (C) miR-27b (ENSG00000207864) is downregulated in prostate, lung, and bladder cancer and is located within a C/D Box snoRNA-like transcript (GRCh38: chr 9:95085436-95085592:1) known to bind fibrillarin⁶⁵⁻⁶⁷. (D) miR-31 (ENSG00000199177) is upregulated in colorectal, HNSCC, and lung cancer but is downregulated in glioblastoma, melanoma, and prostate cancer. miR31 is also located within a C/D Box snoRNA-like transcript (GRCh38: chr 9:21512102-21512221:-1) known to bind fibrillarin⁶⁸⁻⁷³. (E) miR-let7g (ENSG00000199150) has been shown to be downregulated in NSCLC, colorectal and ovarian cancers and is also embedded within a C/D Box snoRNA-like transcript (GRCh38: chr 3:52268239-52268408:-1) known to bind fibrillarin⁷⁴⁻⁷⁶. (F) miR-28 (ENSG00000207651) has been shown to be downregulated in B-cell lymphoma, prostate and breast cancer and is located within a C/D Box snoRNA-like transcript (GRCh38: chr 3:188688746-188688887:1) known to bind fibrillarin⁷⁷⁻⁷⁹.

4.14. *Sd/miR-16-1*

Sd/miR-16-1 is one of the miRNAs first implicated in human cancer¹. In 2002, a paper by Calin *et al* found that the sd/miR-16-1 gene was deleted in more than 65% of chronic lymphocytotic leukemia (CLL). Northern blot analysis of sd/miR-16-1 expression in CLL patient cancer and normal tissue revealed that miR-16-1 is downregulated in cancer. Sd/miR-16-1 has since been verified as a tumor suppressor in a multitude of cancers, several of which have been detailed in this 2009 review by Aqeilan *et al*⁸⁰. In 2017, the sdRNA was demonstrated to function as a tumor-suppressor in gastric cancer by Wang *et al*⁶¹. The authors found sd/miR-16-1 to be downregulated in gastric cancer patient samples. Additionally, overexpression decreased gastric cancer cell migration, invasion, and colony formation *in vitro* while reducing tumor volume *in vivo*. Target engagement with the 3'-UTR of TWIST1 was confirmed via the Renilla luciferase assay. Interestingly, a 2018 paper by a separate group Feng *et al* discovered that sd/miR-16-1 also targets TWIST1 in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)⁶². Overexpression inhibited NSCLC proliferation, migration, and invasion *in vitro*. Together these publications add to the numerous examples of sd/miR-16-1 functioning as a tumor suppressor.

In 2019, Maximov *et al* published an article in which they found that sd/miR-16-1 was downregulated in osteosarcoma (OS) patient samples⁶³. Overexpression of sd/miR-16-1 was tumor suppressive *in vitro* resulting in decreased colony formation and invasion while enhancing apoptosis and chemosensitivity. Increasing sd/miR-16-1 expression in a murine model of OS resulted in a reduction of tumor volume and weight. Target prediction followed by Renilla luciferase engagement confirmation identified the 3'-UTR of FGFR2 as sd/miR-16-1's target. Even more recently, Ye *et al* conducted a similar study in 2020 where they found that sd/miR-16-1 targets PGK1 to suppress breast cancer malignancy⁶⁴. In sum, sd/miR-16-1 is among the most well studied sdRNAs in cancer where its role as a tumor suppressor has been cemented.

4.15. *Sd/miR-27b*

In 2012, Ishteiwy *et al* found that sd/miR-27b is downregulated in castration-resistant prostate tumors compared to primary prostate cancer and normal tissue⁶⁵. Increasing sd/miR-27b expression in CRPC cell lines reduced invasion, metastasis, and colony formation. While there was no confirmation of target interactions, the authors found that modulating sd/miR-27b expression had a subsequent impact on Rac1 activity and E-cadherin expression. These findings indicate a tumor-suppressive role for sd/miR-27b in CRPC whose mechanism may involve the tumor suppressor gene E-cadherin.

Motivated by prior studies linking sd/miR-27b as a tumor suppressor, Wan *et al* published a study in 2014 where they identified sd/miR-27b as downregulated in NSCLC patient tissues and cell lines⁶⁶. Overexpression of the sdRNA reduced NSCLC proliferation, colony formation, migration, and invasion *in vitro*. The mRNA target was confirmed to be LIMK1, which is an oncogene that has been implicated as an enhancer of metastatic potential⁸¹. Regulation of LIMK1 expression by sd/miR-27b therefore suppresses the NSCLC malignant phenotype.

A 2021 publication by Li *et al* measured sd/miR-27b expression in patient bladder cancer and adjacent normal tissue, finding that the sdRNA had lower expression in cancer. Overexpression of sd/miR-27b in bladder cancer cell lines caused decreases in cell proliferation, invasion, and migration while enhancing apoptosis. Target engagement with the 3'-UTR of the transcription factor EN2 was confirmed *in vitro*, and overexpression of sd/miR-27b was capable of reducing cellular EN2 protein as measured via western blot. Therefore, in bladder cancer the sdRNA sd/miR-27b functions as a tumor suppressor by reducing expression of the EN2 oncogene⁶⁷.

4.16. *Sd/miR-31*

The diverse role of miR-31 in cancer has been well studied and is the subject of two excellent reviews both in 2013 and more recently in 2018^{68,69}. Some examples from the

aforementioned reviews include sd/miR-31 acting as a tumor promoter in CRC, HNSCC, and lung cancer while acting as a tumor-suppressor in glioblastoma, melanoma, and prostate cancer^{70–73,82,83}.

4.17. *Sd/let-7g*

The let-7g miRNA belongs to the let-7 family of miRNAs, all of which have been well studied in the context of human cancer. Reviews published in 2010, 2012, and 2017 detail the let-7 family as well as let-7g specifically^{84–86}. One example from this includes an early publication from 2008 by Kumar *et al.* where let-7g was found to suppress the NSCLC phenotype both *in vitro* and *in vivo* through the RAS pathway⁷⁴. Many publications implicating sd/let-7g as a tumor-suppressor in cancer have emerged since the aforementioned review, including a 2019 publication by Chang *et al* in colorectal cancer and a 2019 paper by Biamonte *et al* in ovarian cancer^{75,76}.

4.18. *Sd/miR-28*

Sd/miR-28 has been identified as a tumor-suppressor in several cancers, including a 2014 publication by Schneider *et al* focused on B-cell lymphoma (BCL)⁷⁷. The sdRNA was downregulated in patient BCL samples (n=25) compared to normal B cells (n=4), and sd/miR-28 overexpression in BCL cell lines suppressed proliferation and colony formation while enhancing apoptosis. Target engagement was confirmed for sd/miR-28 with the 3'-UTRs of MAD2L1, BAG1, RAP1B, and RAB23 mRNA. Taken together, sd/miR-28 suppresses the BCL phenotype by regulating the expression of four oncogenes involved in cell cycle progression and apoptosis.

Two additional papers linking sd/miR-28-5p as a tumor-suppressor were published recently in 2020. Fazio *et al* found that sd/miR-28-5p binds to the 5'-UTR of SREBF2 to inhibit prostate cancer malignancy⁷⁸. A separate publication by Ma *et al* discovered that sd/miR-28-5p binds to the 3'-UTR of WSB2 mRNA to suppress breast cancer tumor migration⁷⁹. The available literature indicates that in the majority of cases, sd/miR-28 gene products function as tumor-suppressors in a variety of cancers of diverse tissue origin.

E. Sno-Derived Piwi-interacting RNAs




Figure 6: Sno-Derived Piwi-Interacting RNAs.

Figure 6. Sno-Derived Piwi-Interacting RNAs. (A-F) The most thermodynamically stable secondary structures of snoRNAs containing Piwi-interacting RNAs were obtained from mfold in which the piRNA sequence is highlighted in blue²⁴. (A) pi-sno74 is embedded within SNORD74 (GRCh38: chr 1:173867674-173867745:-1) and is downregulated in breast cancer⁸⁷. (B) Pi-sno75 is located within SNORD75 (GRCh38: chr 1:173866879-173866938:-1) and has been shown to be downregulated in breast cancer⁸⁷. (C) pi-sno44 resides within SNORD44 (GRCh38: chr 1:173865968-173866028:-1) and is downregulated in breast cancer⁸⁷. (D) pi-sno78 is embedded in SNORD78 (GRCh38: chr 1:173865622-173865686:-1) and is upregulated in prostate cancer metastasis but downregulated in breast cancer^{87,88}. (E) pi-sno81 resides within SNORD81 (GRCh38: chr 1:173864146-173864222:-1) and is downregulated in breast cancer⁸⁷. (F) piR-017061 (piR-33686) is embedded within SNORD91A (HBII-296A) (GRCh38: chr 17:2330279-2330370:-1) and was determined to be downregulated in PDAC⁴³. (G-L) sno-derived piRNA sequences (highlighted in blue) were aligned to their respective snoRNA precursor sequences, and the snoRNA transcripts were aligned to their corresponding genomic loci. (G) Alignment between human genome (GRCh38: chr 1:173867650-173867768:-1) (top), SNORD74 (GRCh38: chr 1:173867674-173867745:-1) (middle) and pi-sno74 (bottom). (H) Alignment between human genome (GRCh38: chr1:173866856-173866960:-1) (top), SNORD75 (GRCh38: chr 1:173866879-173866938:-1) (middle), and pi-sno75 (bottom). (I) Alignment between human genome (GRCh38: chr1:173865945-173866051:-1) (top), SNORD44 (GRCh38: chr 1:173865968-173866028:-1) (middle), and pi-sno44 (bottom). (J) Alignment between human genome (GRCh38: chr1:173865598-173865709:-1) (top), SNORD78 (GRCh38: chr 1:173865622-173865686:-1) (middle) and pi-sno78 (bottom). (K) Alignment between human genome (GRCh38: chr1:173864122-173864245:-1) (top), SNORD81 (GRCh38: chr 1:173864146-173864222:-1) (middle) and pi-sno81 (bottom). (L) Alignment between human genome (GRCh38: chr17:2330254-2330394:-1) (top), SNORD91A (HBII-296A) (GRCh38: chr 17:2330279-2330370:-1) (middle) and piR-017061 (piR-33686) (bottom). (A-E & G-K) SNORD74, SNORD75, SNORD44, SNORD78, and SNORD81 arise from the GAS5 locus (ENSG00000234741) which is also annotated as a small nucleolar host gene (SNHG2).

4.19. *pi-sno74, pi-sno75, pi-sno44, pi-sno78, and pi-sno81*

Small RNA-seq (smRNAseq) of patient breast cancer tissue identified five differentially expressed sno-derived piRNAs (pi-snos) within the long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) GAS5 locus⁸⁷. These pi-sno's included pi-sno74, pi-sno75, pi-sno44, pi-sno78, and pi-sno81. Each of these were found to be downregulated in breast cancer compared to adjacent normal tissue. Microarray and PCR revealed that pi-sno75 upregulates expression of tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL), a proapoptotic protein. *In silico* target prediction identified a locus 169 basepairs (bp) upstream of the TRAIL transcription start site that was highly complementary to pi-sno75 with predicted thermodynamically stable binding. To further investigate this mechanism of action, knockout (KO) and Co-IPs were performed to identify PIWIL1/4 binding partners during pi-sno75 overexpression. This revealed that pi-sno75/PIWIL can specifically interact with the methyltransferase complex protein WDR5, thereby increasing TRAIL expression. In MCF7 cells, pi-sno75 overexpression greatly increased TRAIL expression and, in combination with doxorubicin treatment, enhanced apoptosis. Treatment with pi-sno75 alone resulted in marked reductions of tumor volume in MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 mouse xenografts. In summary, the sno-derived piRNA pi-sno75 recruits epigenetic machinery to specifically upregulate TRAIL, and thus functions as a tumor suppressive sdRNA.

4.20. *pi-sno78 (Sd78-3')*

In 2011, a study by Martens-Uzunova *et al* that focused on identifying a miRNA expression signature of prostate cancer also identified significantly differentially expressed sdRNAs⁸⁹. While the majority of reads aligned to defined microRNAs, sdRNAs were found to be predominantly upregulated in the metastatic LN-PCa compared to local prostate cancer. The authors then focused on canonical miRNAs, leaving these enticing putative sdRNA drivers of metastatic LN-PCa uncharacterized until their follow-up publication in 2015. In their 2015 publication, Martens-Uzunova *et al* specifically focused on investigating the role of sdRNAs in prostate cancer progression⁸⁸. Consistent with their 2011 study, they identified an sdRNA from the 3' end of snoRNA78 "sd78-3'" that was upregulated in the more aggressive LN-PCa patient samples. By examining expression at multiple stages of PCa, the authors concluded that globally overexpressed sdRNAs, including sd78-3', are already present at early stages of cancer but exhibit striking overexpression concordantly with malignant transformation. While the authors did not investigate the mechanism of action of sd78-3', this sdRNA is actually the pi-sno78 characterized in the above study by He *et al*⁸⁷.

4.21. *piR-017061*

Revisiting the 2015 publication by Muller *et al* which queried small RNA expression in PDAC patient samples and normal pancreas controls, a total of 123 ncRNAs were found to be significantly differentially expressed⁴³. This approach considered 45 noncoding RNAs identified as significantly down-regulated in PDAC, of which there were fourteen sdRNAs and a single sno-derived piRNA, piR-017061.

F. SdRNAomes

Table 2. – “Cancer “sdRNAomes”.”.

Cancer	# of sdRNAs Identified	Experimental Validation	Reference
32 TCGA Cancer Types	>300	133 sdRNAs correlate with PD-L1 expression, CD8+ T cell abundance, GZMA expression, patient survival, and copy number variation	18
Prostate	78	sd78-3' was found to be overexpressed in aggressive patient tumors	88,89
Breast	10	sdRNA-93 was confirmed to correlate with malignant invasion <i>in vitro</i> and cancer type <i>in vivo</i>	45
Prostate	38	sdRNA-D19b and sdRNA-A24 were confirmed to correlate with the malignant phenotype <i>in vitro</i> and cancer type <i>in vivo</i>	46

In a large-scale pan-cancer analysis of TCGA patient small RNA sequencing data and normal tissue controls, Chow *et al* created a pan-cancer “sdRNAome” which was used to investigate the role of sdRNAs in the context of tumor immunity and clinical outcomes¹⁸. Small RNAseq data from a total of 10,262 tumor samples with 675 adjacent normal samples across 32 cancer types were aligned to full-length human snoRNAs to generate the pan-cancer “sdRNAome”. To determine whether a relationship exists between sdRNA expression and tumor immunity, the sdRNAome was queried alongside expression of PD-L1, CD8⁺ T cell infiltration, GZMA (serine protease granzyme A) expression, and other factors such as tumor vascularization (EndothelialScore) and overall survival (OS). Taking together correlation with PD-L1 expression, CD8⁺ T cell abundance, GZMA expression, and patient survival to calculate an ImmuneSurv score, the authors identified 267 sdRNAs that had a score of at least two (fulfilling at least 2 of the ImmuneSurv categories) in at least one cancer type. After including copy number variation as a category, 133 sdRNAs were found to be significant in all 5 tested categories. In sum, this far-reaching study provides a detailed examination of the human sdRNAome in patient tumor samples. Critically, sdRNA expression is linked to tumor immunity and patient survival in distinct cancer types, providing evidence that sdRNAs could function as useful biomarkers to assess cancer response to immunotherapies and to predict patient survival. Since a large number of sdRNAs were associated with cancers in this study, several representative sdRNAs highlighted in the paper have been compiled into Table 1 for the sake of clarity.

In addition to this, other publications reviewed above have reported comprehensive sdRNAomes comprised of differentially expressed sdRNAs in their respective cancers. In 2015 Martens-Uzunova *et al* identified 657 unique sdRNAs from C/D-box snoRNAs and 244 unique sdRNAs from H/ACA-box snoRNAs in their analysis of patient prostate cancer samples. Of these, they identified at least 78 to be significantly differentially expressed in prostate cancer⁸⁸. Additionally, in 2017 our group identified 10 unique sdRNAs in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells that form complexes with Ago. Further, 5 of these were significantly overexpressed in MDA-MB-237 compared to MCF7 breast cancer⁴⁵. Most recently, in 2022, our lab similarly described 38 unique sdRNAs differentially expressed in prostate cancer patient samples⁴⁶.

5. Discussion / Conclusion

The past decade has clearly demonstrated the existence and functional relevance of small RNAs arising from full length snoRNAs. This new class of ncRNA has a similar form and function to that of miRNAs, and sdRNAs differ from traditional miRNAs primarily in terms of transcriptional origin. Additionally, alternative processing pathways to DICER/DROSHA have been proposed for sdRNA genesis, potentially distinguishing sdRNAs further from their miRNA cousins. In this review we have collected and detailed the growing catalogue of sdRNAs implicated in human cancer, including both tumor-

promoters and tumor-suppressors. Like miRNAs, sdRNAs post-transcriptionally regulate gene expression in a myriad of ways that can result in highly variable effects on oncogenesis and malignant pathology. Despite differences in genetic origin and some possible bioprocessing divergence, the majority of sdRNAs appear to be functionally identical to canonical miRNAs. What then, is the purpose of pointing out sdRNAs? The answer to this question is two-fold.

First, and of extreme importance, is the fact that sdRNAs are routinely discarded from miRNA databases and consequently omitted from any miRNA-focused studies that follow. Even with mounting evidence to the contrary, sdRNAs are still considered by many to be random degradation products without relevance to gene regulation. As shown in this study, many such misannotated sdRNAs clearly contribute to a variety of cancers. Omission of sdRNAs unnecessarily hinders efforts to discover valuable new ncRNA biomarkers and therapeutic targets. We stress that, instead of discarding sdRNAs, they deserve inclusion in miRNA databases. By bringing the wealth of cancer sdRNA literature to the light, we hope that researchers who aim to search for ncRNAs regulating cancer will include sdRNAs in their search moving forward.

Second, we simply do not know precisely how distinct sdRNAs and miRNAs are from one another. There is ample evidence that sdRNAs are frequently processed independently of the DROSHA/DICER pathway. Still, the preponderance of evidence indicates that they are extremely similar, especially regarding their function. Indeed, we have identified a 200 kb locus on human chromosome 14 that produces about 50 snoRNAs and 50 miRNAs (**Supplementary Figure 1**), indicating that these ncRNAs are often co-regulated and functionally similar.

As evidenced by the sdRNAs outlined in this review, we suggest that including and emphasizing sdRNAs in exploratory ncRNA cancer regulation studies as well as closing the knowledge gaps regarding what makes sdRNAs distinct, including but not limited to their alternative biogenesis and mechanism of action pathways, will be of significant value in enhancing our understanding of cancer gene regulation. Notably, sdRNAs may be thought of as the lowest hanging fruit in cancer genomics research as, to date, the principle miRNA discovery algorithms have simply, erroneously disregarded any and all small RNAs aligning to snoRNAs (example text: "Aligned sequences with the following annotations were eliminated (as potential microRNAs): tRNA, snoRNA,..."⁹⁰). A more complete understanding and more extensive cataloguing of sdRNAs in cancer will likely result in novel biomarkers and treatment targets that now lay only just out of reach. By appreciating sdRNAs as functionally relevant ncRNA molecules in cancer, a great deal of readily attainable information regarding cancer gene regulation and the molecules responsible for pathological phenotypes may soon be realized.

Supplementary Materials: Figure S1: Human Chromosome 14 microRNA and snoRNA cluster (C14MSC).

Author Contributions: A.B.C. and J.D.D. wrote the manuscript and produced these figures and tables. N.Y.C. conducted extensive and detailed literature searches and checked and corrected the language of the manuscript for publication. G.M.B. assisted at all stages. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Funding was provided in part by NSF RAPID grant NSF2030080 (GMB) and NSF CAREER grant 1350064 (with co-funding provided by the NSF EPSCoR program) (GMB) both awarded by the Division of Molecular and Cellular Biosciences. This work was also funded in part by the USA COM IGP award 1828 (GMB). Graduate funding was also provided in part by Alabama Commission on Higher Education ALEPSCoR grant 210471 (JDD). The project used an instrument funded, in part, by the National Science Foundation MRI Grant No. CNS-1726069. Research reported in this publication was also supported by the National Center for Advancing Translational Research of the National Institutes of Health under award number UL1TR001417.

Acknowledgments: We thank the University of South Alabama College of Medicine Department of Pharmacology for their ongoing support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Calin GA, Dumitru CD, Shimizu M, et al. Frequent deletions and down-regulation of micro- RNA genes miR15 and miR16 at 13q14 in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2002;99(24):15524. doi:10.1073/PNAS.242606799
2. Kawaji H, Nakamura M, Takahashi Y, et al. Hidden layers of human small RNAs. *BMC Genomics*. 2008;9. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-9-157
3. Balakin AG, Smith L, Fournier MJ. The RNA World of the Nucleolus: Two Major Families of Small RNAs Defined by Different Box Elements with Related Functions. *Cell*. 1996;86(5):823-834. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80156-7
4. Kiss-László Z, Henry Y, Kiss T. Sequence and structural elements of methylation guide snoRNAs essential for site-specific ribose methylation of pre-rRNA. *EMBO J*. 1998;17(3):797-807. doi:10.1093/EMBOJ/17.3.797
5. Cavaille J, Nicoloso M, Bachellerie JP. Targeted ribose methylation of RNA in vivo directed by tailored antisense RNA guides. *Nat* 1996 3836602. 1996;383(6602):732-735. doi:10.1038/383732a0
6. Kiss-László Z, Henry Y, Bachellerie JP, Caizergues-Ferrer M, Kiss T. Site-specific ribose methylation of preribosomal RNA: a novel function for small nucleolar RNAs. *Cell*. 1996;85(7):1077-1088. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81308-2
7. Ganot P, Bortolin ML, Kiss T. Site-Specific Pseudouridine Formation in Preribosomal RNA Is Guided by Small Nucleolar RNAs. *Cell*. 1997;89(5):799-809. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80263-9
8. Ni J, Tien AL, Fournier MJ. Small Nucleolar RNAs Direct Site-Specific Synthesis of Pseudouridine in Ribosomal RNA. *Cell*. 1997;89(4):565-573. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80238-X
9. Bortolin ML, Ganot P, Kiss T. Elements essential for accumulation and function of small nucleolar RNAs directing site-specific pseudouridylation of ribosomal RNAs. *EMBO J*. 1999;18(2):457-469. doi:10.1093/EMBOJ/18.2.457
10. Bai B, Yegnashubramanian S, Wheelan SJ, Laiho M. RNA-Seq of the Nucleolus Reveals Abundant SNORD44-Derived Small RNAs. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(9). doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0107519
11. Richard P, Kiss AM, Darzacq X, Kiss T. Cotranscriptional Recognition of Human Intronic Box H/ACA snoRNAs Occurs in a Splicing-Independent Manner. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2006;26(7):2540-2549. doi:10.1128/MCB.26.7.2540-2549.2006/ASSET/720932AB-9D98-493B-9A0B-6EB43363BC9E/ASSETS/GRAPHIC/ZMB0070658320006.JPEG
12. Leverette RD, Andrews MT, Maxwell ES. Mouse U14 snRNA is a processed intron of the cognate hsc70 heat shock pre-messenger RNA. *Cell*. 1992;71(7):1215-1221. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(05)80069-8
13. Fragapane P, Prislei S, Michienzi A, Caffarelli E, Bozzoni I. A novel small nucleolar RNA (U16) is encoded inside a ribosomal protein intron and originates by processing of the pre-mRNA. *EMBO J*. 1993;12(7):2921. doi:10.1002/J.1460-2075.1993.TB05954.X
14. Tycowski KT, Shu M Di, Steitz JA. A mammalian gene with introns instead of exons generating stable RNA products. *Nat* 1996 3796564. 1996;379(6564):464-466. doi:10.1038/379464A0
15. Ender C, Krek A, Friedländer MR, et al. A Human snoRNA with MicroRNA-Like Functions. *Mol Cell*. 2008;32(4):519-528. doi:10.1016/J.MOLCEL.2008.10.017
16. Chen S, Ben S, Xin J, et al. The biogenesis and biological function of PIWI-interacting RNA in cancer. *J Hematol Oncol* 2021 141. 2021;14(1):1-18. doi:10.1186/S13045-021-01104-3
17. Nishimasu H, Ishizu H, Saito K, et al. Structure and function of Zucchini endoribonuclease in piRNA biogenesis. *Nat* 2012 4917423. 2012;491(7423):284-287. doi:10.1038/nature11509
18. Chow RD, Chen S. Sno-derived RNAs are prevalent molecular markers of cancer immunity. *Oncogene*. 2018;37(50):6442-6462. doi:10.1038/s41388-018-0420-z
19. Edoh D, Kiss T, Filipowicz W. Activity of U-snRNA genes with modified placement of promoter elements in transfected protoplasts and stably transformed tobacco. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 1993;21(7):1533-1540. doi:10.1093/NAR/21.7.1533
20. Gregory RI, Yan KP, Amuthan G, et al. The Microprocessor complex mediates the genesis of microRNAs. *Nat* 2004 4327014. 2004;432(7014):235-240. doi:10.1038/nature03120
21. Taft RJ, Glazov EA, Lassmann T, Hayashizaki Y, Carninci P, Mattick JS. Small RNAs derived from snoRNAs. *RNA*. 2009;15(7):1233-1240. doi:10.1261/RNA.1528909
22. Scott MS, Avolio F, Ono M, Lamond AI, Barton GJ. Human miRNA Precursors with Box H/ACA snoRNA Features. *PLOS Comput Biol*. 2009;5(9):e1000507. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PCBI.1000507
23. Ono M, Scott MS, Yamada K, Avolio F, Barton GJ, Lamond AI. Identification of human miRNA precursors that resemble box C/D snoRNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2011;39(9):3879. doi:10.1093/NAR/GKQ1355
24. Zuker M. Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2003;31(13):3406-3415. doi:10.1093/NAR/GKG595
25. Li H, Guo D, Zhang Y, Yang S, Zhang R. miR-664b-5p Inhibits Hepatocellular Cancer Cell Proliferation Through Targeting Oncogene AKT2. <https://home.liebertpub.com/cbr>. 2020;35(8):605-614. doi:10.1089/CBR.2019.3043
26. Tu MJ, Pan YZ, Qiu JX, Kim EJ, Yu AM. MicroRNA-1291 targets the FOXA2-AGR2 pathway to suppress pancreatic cancer cell proliferation and tumorigenesis. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(29):45547. doi:10.18632/ONCOTARGET.9999
27. Tu MJ, Duan Z, Liu Z, et al. MicroRNA-1291-5p Sensitizes Pancreatic Carcinoma Cells to Arginine Deprivation and Chemotherapy through the Regulation of Arginolysis and Glycolysis. *Mol Pharmacol*. 2020;98(6):686. doi:10.1124/MOLPHARM.120.000130
28. Yamasaki T, Seki N, Yoshino H, et al. Tumor-suppressive microRNA-1291 directly regulates glucose transporter 1 in renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Sci*. 2013;104(11):1411. doi:10.1111/CAS.12240

29. Cai Q, Zhao A, Ren L, et al. MicroRNA-1291 mediates cell proliferation and tumorigenesis by downregulating MED1 in prostate cancer. *Oncol Lett.* 2019;17(3):3253. doi:10.3892/OL.2019.9980
30. Escuin D, López-Vilaró L, Bell O, et al. MicroRNA-1291 Is Associated With Locoregional Metastases in Patients With Early-Stage Breast Cancer. *Front Genet.* 2020;11. doi:10.3389/FGENE.2020.562114/FULL
31. Pudova EA, Krasnov GS, Nyushko KM, et al. miRNAs expression signature potentially associated with lymphatic dissemination in locally advanced prostate cancer. *BMC Med Genomics.* 2020;13(Suppl 8). doi:10.1186/S12920-020-00788-9
32. Li C, Ding D, Gao Y, Li Y. MicroRNA-3651 promotes colorectal cancer cell proliferation through directly repressing T-box transcription factor 1. *Int J Mol Med.* 2020;45(3):956-966. doi:10.3892/IJMM.2020.4458/HTML
33. Wang C, Guan S, Chen X, et al. Clinical potential of miR-3651 as a novel prognostic biomarker for esophageal squamous cell cancer. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2015;465(1):30-34. doi:10.1016/J.BBRC.2015.07.109
34. Su Y, Ni Z, Wang G, et al. Aberrant expression of microRNAs in gastric cancer and biological significance of miR-574-3p. *Int Immunopharmacol.* 2012;13(4):468. doi:10.1016/J.INTIMP.2012.05.016
35. Blenkiron C, Hurley DG, Fitzgerald S, Print CG, Lasham A. Links between the Oncoprotein YB-1 and Small Non-Coding RNAs in Breast Cancer. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(11). doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0080171
36. Subramani A, Alsaidawi S, Jagannathan S, et al. The brain microenvironment negatively regulates miRNA-768-3p to promote K-ras expression and lung cancer metastasis. *Sci Rep.* 2013;3(1):1-11. doi:10.1038/srep02392
37. Lv M, Ou R, Zhang Q, et al. MicroRNA-664 suppresses the growth of cervical cancer cells via targeting c-Kit. *Drug Des Devel Ther.* 2019;13:2371. doi:10.2147/DDDT.S203399
38. Li X, Zhou C, Zhang C, et al. MicroRNA-664 functions as an oncogene in cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas (cSCC) via suppressing interferon regulatory factor 2. *J Dermatol Sci.* 2019;94(3):330-338. doi:10.1016/J.JDERMSCI.2019.05.004/ATTACHMENT/4CC082F7-C35B-4EBB-BD60-D82E7DA0DAEA/MMC2.DOCX
39. Liang C, Zhang X, Wang HM, et al. MicroRNA-18a-5p functions as an oncogene by directly targeting IRF2 in lung cancer. *Cell Death Dis* 2017 85. 2017;8(5):e2764-e2764. doi:10.1038/CDDIS.2017.145
40. Chen YJ, Luo SN, Wu H, et al. IRF-2 inhibits cancer proliferation by promoting AMER-1 transcription in human gastric cancer. *J Transl Med.* 2022;20(1):1-13. doi:10.1186/S12967-022-03275-0/FIGURES/6
41. Wang X, Zhang X, Wang G, Wang L, Lin Y, Sun F. Hsa-miR-513b-5p suppresses cell proliferation and promotes P53 expression by targeting IRF2 in testicular embryonal carcinoma cells. *Gene.* 2017;626:344-353. doi:10.1016/J.GENE.2017.05.033
42. Hulf T, Sibbritt T, Wiklund ED, et al. Epigenetic-induced repression of microRNA-205 is associated with MED1 activation and a poorer prognosis in localized prostate cancer. *Oncogene* 2013 3223. 2012;32(23):2891-2899. doi:10.1038/ONC.2012.300
43. Müller S, Raulefs S, Bruns P, et al. Next-generation sequencing reveals novel differentially regulated mRNAs, lncRNAs, miRNAs, sdrRNAs and a piRNA in pancreatic cancer. *Mol Cancer.* 2015;14(1). doi:10.1186/S12943-015-0358-5
44. Yu F, Bracken CP, Pillman KA, et al. p53 Represses the Oncogenic Sno-MiR-28 Derived from a SnoRNA. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(6):e0129190. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0129190
45. Patterson DG, Roberts JT, King VM, et al. Human snoRNA-93 is processed into a microRNA-like RNA that promotes breast cancer cell invasion. *NPJ Breast Cancer.* 2017;3(1). doi:10.1038/S41523-017-0032-8
46. Coley AB, Stahly AN, Kasukurthi M V., et al. MicroRNA-like snoRNA-Derived RNAs (sdRNAs) Promote Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *Cells.* 2022;11(8):1302. doi:10.3390/CELLS11081302
47. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2022. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2022;72(1):7-33. doi:10.3322/CAAC.21708
48. Brameier M, Herwig A, Reinhardt R, Walter L, Gruber J. Human box C/D snoRNAs with miRNA like functions: expanding the range of regulatory RNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2011;39(2):675-686. doi:10.1093/NAR/GKQ776
49. Wajahat M, Bracken CP, Orang A. Emerging Functions for snoRNAs and snoRNA-Derived Fragments. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2021;22(19):22. doi:10.3390/IJMS221910193
50. Hao T, Wang Z, Yang J, Zhang Y, Shang Y, Sun J. MALAT1 knockdown inhibits prostate cancer progression by regulating miR-140/BIRC6 axis. *Biomed Pharmacother.* 2020;123:109666. doi:10.1016/J.BIOPHA.2019.109666
51. Ge G, Zhang W, Niu L, Yan Y, Ren Y, Zou Y. MiR-215 functions as a tumor suppressor in epithelial ovarian cancer through regulation of the X-chromosome-linked inhibitor of apoptosis. *Oncol Rep.* 2016;35(3):1816-1822. doi:10.3892/OR.2015.4482/HTML
52. Vychytilova-Faltejskova P, Merhautova J, Machackova T, et al. MiR-215-5p is a tumor suppressor in colorectal cancer targeting EGFR ligand epiregulin and its transcriptional inducer HOXB9. *Oncog* 2017 611. 2017;6(11):1-14. doi:10.1038/S41389-017-0006-6
53. Liao B, Chen S, Ke S, Cheng S, Wu T, Yang Y. MicroRNA-151 regulates the growth, chemosensitivity and metastasis of human prostate cancer cells by targeting PI3K/ AKT. *JBUN.* 2020;25(4):2045-2050.
54. Chen J-Y, Xu L-F, Hu H-L, Wen Y-Q, Chen D, Liu W-H. MiRNA-215-5p alleviates the metastasis of prostate cancer by targeting PGK1.
55. Jiang C, Zhao H, Yang B, Sun Z, Li X, Hu X. lnc-REG3G-3-1/miR-215-3p Promotes Brain Metastasis of Lung Adenocarcinoma by Regulating Leptin and SLC2A5. *Front Oncol.* 2020;10:1344. doi:10.3389/FONC.2020.01344/BIBTEX
56. Xiao J, Lin H, Luo X, Luo X, Wang Z. miR-605 joins p53 network to form a p53:miR-605:Mdm2 positive feedback loop in response to stress. *EMBO J.* 2011;30(24):5021. doi:10.1038/EMBOJ.2011.463
57. Huang SP, Levesque E, Guillemette C, et al. Genetic variants in microRNAs and microRNA target sites predict biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy in localized prostate cancer. *Int J Cancer.* 2014;135(11):2661-2667. doi:10.1002/IJC.28904
58. Chen L, Cao Y, Rong D, Wang Y, Cao Y. MicroRNA-605 functions as a tumor suppressor by targeting INPP4B in melanoma. *Oncol Rep.* 2017;38(2):1276-1286. doi:10.3892/OR.2017.5740/HTML

59. Alhasan AH, Scott AW, Wu JJ, et al. Circulating microRNA signature for the diagnosis of very high-risk prostate cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2016;113(38):10655-10660. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1611596113
60. Zhou Y jun, Yang H qiong, Xia W, et al. Down-regulation of miR-605 promotes the proliferation and invasion of prostate cancer cells by up-regulating EN2. *Life Sci*. 2017;190:7-14. doi:10.1016/J.LFS.2017.09.028
61. Wang T, Hou J, Li Z, et al. miR-15a-3p and miR-16-1-3p Negatively Regulate Twist1 to Repress Gastric Cancer Cell Invasion and Metastasis. *Int J Biol Sci*. 2017;13(1):122. doi:10.7150/IJBS.14770
62. Qq F, Zq D, Zhou Y, Zhang H, Long C. miR-16-1-3p targets TWIST1 to inhibit cell proliferation and invasion in NSCLC. *Sci Cit Index Expand J Cit Reports/Science Ed Bratisl Med J*. 2018;119(1). doi:10.4149/BLL_2018_012
63. Maximov V V., Akkawi R, Khawaled S, et al. MiR-16-1-3p and miR-16-2-3p possess strong tumor suppressive and antimetastatic properties in osteosarcoma. *Int J Cancer*. 2019;145(11):3052-3063. doi:10.1002/IJC.32368
64. Ye T, Liang Y, Zhang D, Zhang X. MicroRNA-16-1-3p Represses Breast Tumor Growth and Metastasis by Inhibiting PGK1-Mediated Warburg Effect. *Front Cell Dev Biol*. 2020;8. doi:10.3389/FCELL.2020.615154/FULL
65. Ishteiwy RA, Ward TM, Dykxhoorn DM, Burnstein KL. The microRNA -23b/-27b Cluster Suppresses the Metastatic Phenotype of Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer Cells. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(12):52106. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0052106
66. Wan L, Zhang L, Fan K, Wang J. MiR-27b targets LIMK1 to inhibit growth and invasion of NSCLC cells. *Mol Cell Biochem*. 2014;390(1-2):85-91. doi:10.1007/S11010-013-1959-1/FIGURES/6
67. Li Y, Duan Q, Gan L, Li W, Yang J, Huang G. microRNA-27b inhibits cell proliferation and invasion in bladder cancer by targeting engrailed-2. *Biosci Rep*. 2021;41(1). doi:10.1042/BSR20201000
68. Laurila EM, Kallioniemi A. The diverse role of miR-31 in regulating cancer associated phenotypes. *Genes, Chromosom Cancer*. 2013;52(12):1103-1113. doi:10.1002/GCC.22107
69. Yu T, Ma P, Wu D, Shu Y, Gao W. Functions and mechanisms of microRNA-31 in human cancers. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2018;108:1162-1169. doi:10.1016/J.BIOPHA.2018.09.132
70. Bandrés E, Cubedo E, Agirre X, et al. Identification by Real-time PCR of 13 mature microRNAs differentially expressed in colorectal cancer and non-tumoral tissues. *Mol Cancer*. 2006;5:29. doi:10.1186/1476-4598-5-29
71. Liu CJ, Tsai MM, Hung PS, et al. miR-31 ablates expression of the HIF regulatory factor FIH to activate the HIF pathway in head and neck carcinoma. *Cancer Res*. 2010;70(4):1635-1644. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-2291/655589/P/MIR-31-ABLATES-EXPRESSION-OF-THE-HIF-REGULATORY
72. Greenberg E, Hershkovitz L, Itzhaki O, et al. Regulation of Cancer Aggressive Features in Melanoma Cells by MicroRNAs. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(4). doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0018936
73. Fuse M, Kojima S, Enokida H, et al. Tumor suppressive microRNAs (miR-222 and miR-31) regulate molecular pathways based on microRNA expression signature in prostate cancer. *J Hum Genet* 2012 5711. 2012;57(11):691-699. doi:10.1038/jhg.2012.95
74. Kumar MS, Erkeland SJ, Pester RE, et al. Suppression of non-small cell lung tumor development by the let-7 microRNA family. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008;105(10):3903-3908. doi:10.1073/PNAS.0712321105/SUPPL_FILE/12321FIG12A.PDF
75. Chang CM, Wong HSC, Huang CY, et al. Functional Effects of let-7g Expression in Colon Cancer Metastasis. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2019;11(4). doi:10.3390/CANCERS11040489
76. Biamonte F, Santamaria G, Sacco A, et al. MicroRNA let-7g acts as tumor suppressor and predictive biomarker for chemoresistance in human epithelial ovarian cancer. *Sci Reports* 2019 91. 2019;9(1):1-12. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-42221-x
77. Schneider C, Setty M, Holmes AB, et al. microRNA 28 controls cell proliferation and is down-regulated in B-cell lymphomas. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2014;111(22):8185-8190. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1322466111/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1322466111.SD05.XLSX
78. Fazio S, Berti G, Russo F, et al. The miR-28-5p Targetome Discovery Identified SREBF2 as One of the Mediators of the miR-28-5p Tumor Suppressor Activity in Prostate Cancer Cells. *Cells*. 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/CELLS9020354
79. Ma L, Zhang Y, Hu F. miR-28-5p inhibits the migration of breast cancer by regulating WSB2. *Int J Mol Med*. 2020;46(4):1562-1570. doi:10.3892/IJMM.2020.4685/HTML
80. Aqeilan RI, Calin GA, Croce CM. miR-15a and miR-16-1 in cancer: discovery, function and future perspectives. *Cell Death Differ* 2010 172. 2009;17(2):215-220. doi:10.1038/cdd.2009.69
81. Chen Q, Jiao D, Hu H, et al. Downregulation of LIMK1 level inhibits migration of lung cancer cells and enhances sensitivity to chemotherapy drugs. *Oncol Res*. 2013;20(11):491-498. doi:10.3727/096504013X13657689382699
82. Liu X, Sempere LF, Ouyang H, et al. MicroRNA-31 functions as an oncogenic microRNA in mouse and human lung cancer cells by repressing specific tumor suppressors. *J Clin Invest*. 2010;120(4):1298. doi:10.1172/JCI39566
83. Hua D, Ding D, Han X, et al. Human miR-31 targets radixin and inhibits migration and invasion of glioma cells. *Oncol Rep*. 2012;27(3):700-706. doi:10.3892/OR.2011.1555/HTML
84. Boyerinas B, Park SM, Hau A, Murmann AE, Peter ME. The role of let-7 in cell differentiation and cancer. *Endocr Relat Cancer*. 2010;17(1):F19-F36. doi:10.1677/ERC-09-0184
85. Wang X, Cao L, Wang Y, Wang X, Liu N, You Y. Regulation of let-7 and its target oncogenes (Review). *Oncol Lett*. 2012;3(5):955-960. doi:10.3892/OL.2012.609/HTML
86. Balzeau J, Menezes MR, Cao S, Hagan JP. The LIN28/let-7 pathway in cancer. *Front Genet*. 2017;8(MAR):31. doi:10.3389/FGENE.2017.00031/BIBTEX
87. He X, Chen X, Zhang X, et al. An Lnc RNA (GAS5)/SnoRNA-derived piRNA induces activation of TRAIL gene by site-specifically recruiting MLL/COMPASS-like complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2015;43(7):3712. doi:10.1093/NAR/GKV214
88. Martens-Uzunova ES, Hoogstrate Y, Kalsbeek A, et al. C/D-box snoRNA-derived RNA production is associated with malignant transformation and metastatic progression in prostate cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2015;6(19):17430. doi:10.18632/ONCOTARGET.4172

-
- ^{89.} Martens-Uzunova ES, Jalava SE, Dits NF, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic signatures from the small non-coding RNA transcriptome in prostate cancer. *Oncogene* 2012 318. 2011;31(8):978-991. doi:10.1038/onc.2011.304
- ^{90.} Lai EC, Tomancak P, Williams RW, Rubin GM. Computational identification of Drosophila microRNA genes. *Genome Biol.* 2003;4(7). doi:10.1186/GB-2003-4-7-R42