

Article

Description of a One-Year Succession of Variants of Interest and Concern of SARS-CoV-2 in Venezuela

Rossana C Jaspe^{1*}, Carmen L Loureiro¹, Yoneira Sulbaran¹, Zoila C Moros², Pierina D'Angelo³, Mariana Hidalgo⁴, Lieska Rodríguez³, Víctor Alarcón³, Marwan Aguilar³, Doneyla Sánchez³, Jesús Ramírez³, Domingo J Garzaro¹, José Luis Zambrano², Ferdinando Liprandi², Héctor R Rangel¹, and Flor H Pujol^{1**}

¹Laboratorio de Virología Molecular, Centro de Microbiología y Biología Celular, Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas, Caracas, Miranda, Venezuela.

²Laboratorio de Biología de Virus, Centro de Microbiología y Biología Celular, Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas, Caracas, Miranda, Venezuela.

³Instituto Nacional de Higiene "Rafael Rangel", Caracas, Miranda, Venezuela.

⁴Laboratorio de Inmunoparasitología, Centro de Microbiología y Biología Celular, Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas, Caracas, Miranda, Venezuela.

*Correspondance to: RCJ: rossanajaspeivic@gmail.com; Tel. +58.2125041187 FHP: fhpujol@gmail.com; Tel. +58.2125041623. Laboratorio de Virología Molecular, Centro de Microbiología y Biología Celular, Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas, Caracas, Miranda, Venezuela.

Abstract: Some of the lineages of SARS-CoV-2, the new coronavirus responsible for COVID-19, exhibit higher transmissibility or partial resistance to antibody mediated neutralization and were designated by WHO as Variants of Interests (VOIs) or Concern (VOCs). The aim of this study was to monitor the dissemination of VOIs and VOCs in Venezuela during a year. A 614 nt genomic fragment was sequenced for the detection of some relevant mutations of these variants. Their presence was confirmed by complete genome sequencing, with a correlation higher than 99% between both methodologies. After the previously reported introduction of the Gamma VOC since the beginning of the year 2021, the variants Alpha VOC and Lambda VOI were detected as early as March 2021, at a very low frequency. In contrast, the Mu VOI, detected in May 2021, was able to circulate throughout the country. After the detection of Delta VOC in June 2021, it became the predominant circulating variant. With the arrival of the Omicron VOC in December, this variant was able to displace the Delta one in less than one month. This succession of variants was accompanied by a reduction in the Cycle threshold (Ct) values, in agreement with the increase in transmissibility described for these variants.

Keywords: COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; Variants of Concern; Rapid screening; Mutations

1. Introduction

Two years and a half after the detection of the emerging SARS-CoV-2, the disease caused by this coronavirus, COVID-19, has caused more than 500 million reported cases and more than 6 million reported deaths worldwide. This virus belongs to the family Coronaviridae. The enveloped virus contains a helicoidal nucleocapsid that surrounds a positive sense single stranded genome of approximately 29,900 nt. This genome encodes near the 5'-end for two Open Reading Frames (ORF), coding for 15 non-structural proteins (NSP1-NSP10 and NSP12-NSP16), 4 genes coding for the 4 structural proteins (the Spike, Membrane, Envelope, and Nucleoprotein) and several genes coding for accessory proteins [1]. The NSPs constitute the replication-translation complex (RTC). This RTC includes an exonuclease, enabling proof-reading capacity, and limiting then mutational events. However, the great frequency of replication of this virus, together with its high rate of recombination, and the probable action of host deaminases on its viral genome,

have led to many mutations [2,3]. Around 1900 lineages of this virus have been identified [4,5].

WHO classified some of these lineages as Variant of Interest (VOI) or Concern (VOC). VOIs carry mutations that might confer to these viruses a specific phenotypic characteristic, such as higher transmission or immune evasion. VOCs are VOIs for which some of such characteristics have been confirmed. Five VOCs and several VOIs were recognized by WHO [6]. The Alpha VOC (lineage B.1.1.7) emerged in the UK [7], Beta VOC (lineage B.1.351) in South Africa [8], Gamma VOC (lineage B.1.1.28.1 or P.1) in Brazil [9], Delta VOC (lineage B.1.617.2) in India [10], and the last identified Omicron VOC (lineage B.1.529), first detected in South Africa [11]. In addition to their increased transmission rate, these four later VOCs are also more resistant to the neutralizing activity of antibodies produced during natural infection or vaccination, particularly Omicron VOC [12,13]. Among the different VOIs, the Lambda VOI (lineage C.37) emerged probably in Peru [14] and Mu VOI in Colombia (lineage B.1.621) [15]. At present, many of these VOCs and VOIs are not being detected anymore. WHO reduced the list of circulating variants to only two: VOCs Delta and Omicron, with their multiple sublineages [4].

Some key mutations found in the VOIs and VOCs are located in the Receptor Binding Domain (RBD) of the viral Spike protein (S). Mutation N501Y, present in all except the Delta VOC, confers a higher affinity for the cellular ACE2 (Angiotensin-converting Enzyme 2) viral receptor and may be related to increased transmissibility of VOCs carrying this mutation [16]. Mutation E484K, present in Beta and Gamma VOCs, might reduce the neutralizing activity of antibodies produced by vaccination [17,18]. Mutation L452R of the Delta VOC is associated with an increased transmission potential and reduced susceptibility to protective immunity, both at a humoral and cellular level [19].

Genomic surveillance was recommended by WHO for monitoring the introduction of SARS-CoV-2 VOCs in each country [20]. However, not all countries possess a robust genome sequencing capacity, compared to the one available in many developed countries. The aim of this study was the monitoring of succession of VOIs and VOCs for one year in Venezuela, by combining a rapid screening procedure (sequencing of a small genomic fragment) for the initial assignment of variants, with complete genome sequencing for confirmation of the identity of the variants.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Identification of VOCs or VOIs by partial genome sequencing

This study was approved by the Human Bioethical Committee of IVIC. Samples, from nasopharyngeal or nasal swabs and positive by qRT-PCR during the routine COVID-19 diagnosis in Venezuela, from May 2021 to March 2022, were analyzed (Figure 1). The identity of the patients was maintained anonymous.

RNA from clinical samples positive by qRT-PCR was amplified with primers 75L (Artic primer) and 76.8R to generate an amplicon of 614 nt (22.517 pb to 23.310 pb of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) reference genome), as previously described [21,22]. PCR purified fragments were sent to MacroGen Sequencing Service (MacroGen, Korea). This fragment allows us to analyze amino acids 345 to 533 of the Spike gene, which includes several mutations which allow discrimination of variants (Table 1).

2.2. Complete genome sequencing of selected isolates

Complete genome sequencing was performed on selected samples, by Next Generation sequencing, as previously described [21]. Libraries were prepared with DNA Prep library preparation kit using the Nextera DNA CD Indexes (Illumina, Inc. USA), or EasySeq™ RC-PCR SARS-CoV-2 WGS kit (NimaGen BV, Nijmegen, The Netherlands),

using SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) with random primers for Nested RT-PCR. Some complete genome sequences were obtained by Sanger sequencing, as previously described [21]. The libraries were pooled, quantified (Qubit DNA HS, Thermo Scientific), and their quality checked (Bio-Fragment Analyzer, Qsep1-Lite, BiOptic) before sequencing, which was performed with 10% PhiX control v3, using an iSeq 100 platform and a 300 cycle V2 kit with paired-end sequencing. Viral genome assembly was performed with the Dragen COVID-19 program or Genome Detective Virus tool. The variant assignment was performed with the Dragen COVID-19 program, Nextclade Web 1.14.1 or Pangolin COVID-19 Lineage Assigner. Nucleotide sequences of complete genomes have been deposited into the GISAID database with the accession numbers described in Supplemental Table S1. Illumina DNA Prep Reference Guide (Document # 1000000025416 v09)

2.3. Statistical analysis

Statistical differences were evaluated by the Student t test. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

3. Results

A partial sequencing methodology was developed to allow the screening of a large number of samples for genomic monitoring of variants. Analysis of 10 amino acid positions allowed the discrimination between the different VOIs and VOCs (Table 1). A total of 7486 samples were analyzed from March 2021 to February 2022. Complete genome analysis was performed in selected samples, according to the following criteria: for confirmation of the detection of a new variant, and to analyze the variant distribution in the different states during the period tested. Complete genome sequences were available for 396 sequences: 11 Alpha, 54 Gamma, 170 Delta, 60 Omicron VOCs, 8 Lambda and 82 Mu VOIs, and 11 samples belonging to other lineages (Table S1). For 389/396 of these sequences, Sanger sequences obtained by the rapid sequencing method was also available. The correlation of variant assignment between complete genome sequencing and partial genome analysis was more than 99% (Table 2).

Table 1. Mutations analyzed in Sanger sequencing for variant assignment.

Variant	Alpha	Beta	Gamma	Delta	Omicron*	Lambda	Mu
D405					N (BA.2/3/4/5)		
R408					S (BA.2/5)		
K417		N	T	N (AY.1)**	N		
N440					K		
G446					S (BA.1)		
L452				R	R (BA.4/5)	Q	
T478				K	K		
E484		K	K		A		K
F490						S	
N501	Y	Y	Y		Y		Y

Mutation information is available at [23].

*Omicron VOC exhibits other mutations in this region, but they were not necessary for the proper assignment of this variant. Mutation D405N is present in Omicron sublineages BA.2 to BA.5, but not in BA.1, and R408S is only present in BA.2. and BA.5

** Mutation K417N is present in only one sublineage of VOC Delta: AY.1.

Table 2. Correlation between variant assignment by Sanger and NGS sequencing

Variant	NGS	Sanger
Alpha	11	11
Gamma	54	52 ¹
Delta	163 (several sublineages) ²	163
Omicron	60 ³	60
Lambda	8	8
Mu	82	82
Other lineages	11	11
Correlation	387/389 ⁴ (99.5%)	

¹In one sample, the nucleotide mutated which codes for the K417N mutation was misleadingly read in the Sanger sequencing, suggesting a Mu variant instead of a Gamma one. The other sample exhibited a mixed pattern P1/Delta, eventually due to mixed infection or contamination in the Sanger sequence.

²The sublineages found for Delta VOC were, in addition to B.1.617.2: AY.3, AY.5, AY.20, AY.24, AY.25, AY.25.1, AY.26, AY.33, AY.42, AY.43, AY.44, AY.100, AY.103, AY.122, AY.122.4, and AY.127, being the most abundant AY.122 (Supplemental Table S1).

³Omicron lineages BA.1 and BA.1.1 (Supplemental Table S1).

Figure 1 shows the number of COVID-19 reported cases since the beginning of the pandemic and the dates of the first detection for each VOC and VOI, and the date of the last detection for some variants. The frequency of each VOC and VOI was monitored through time and in each state of the country (Figure 2). After the dissemination and predominance of the Gamma VOC (more than 90% in April), other variants began to be detected. Even if the Alpha VOC and Lambda VOI were detected since March 2021, their frequency was never more than 3% for each one. The highest frequency for Alpha VOC was found in August (2.8%, with most of the cases from the Zulia State, western Venezuela), and for Lambda VOI, the highest frequency was found in April (3.4%, and again most of the cases were from the Zulia State) (Supplemental Material S1).




Figure 1. Timeline of cases and detection of VOIs and VOCs in Venezuela. The curve displays the number of COVID-19 cases reported for each day, since the first case was detected in Venezuela. The colored shadow corresponds to the period studied. Upper circles represent the date of the first detection for each variant, and down circles the date of the last detection. The date of the first and the last detection for each variant is based on a complete genome or a partial genome sequence. The predominance of D614G variants was reported previously [24]. The date of the first detection of Gamma VOC was reported previously [21].

The Mu VOI was first detected in May (16%, simultaneously detected in several states, with the highest frequency in Zulia State, Supplemental Figure S1), and increased until August, when 42% of the cases were of Mu VOC, with an almost equal frequency as the one of Gamma VOC (Figure 2). The Mu VOI was detected in almost all states of the country during the period of July to September (Supplemental Material S1).




Figure 2. Prevalence of the different VOIs and VOCs in Venezuela from March 2021 to February 2022. The frequency of VOC is shown according to the month of collection of samples in the country. The average frequency was obtained by multiplying the frequency of variants by the percent population for each state, and then adjusting to 100% if not all the states were tested (see Supplemental Figure 1).

The Delta VOC was first detected in June 2021, at low frequency until September, when it reached 50% of frequency, and 96% in November, when it predominated in all the states analyzed (Figure 2 and Supplemental Figure S1). The states where the Delta VOC reached the highest frequency were the North Central states (particularly Distrito Capital and Miranda, Supplemental Figure S1). The Omicron VOC was detected as community transmission in 9 out of the 18 Venezuelan states analyzed during December (Supplemental Figure S1), and predominated in January 2022 in all national territory (Figure 2).

The Cycle threshold (Ct) values were compared between the 3 VOCs and 1 VOIs, which were the ones most frequently found in Venezuela: Gamma, Delta, Omicron, and Mu (Figure 3). A significant gradient of decrease in Ct values was observed for both genes analyzed, for Delta and Omicron VOCs, when compared to any other variant, being the Omicron samples, the ones exhibiting the lowest Ct values on average. The exception was between Gamma VOC and Mu VOI, for which no significant difference was observed. In order to discard any possible confusing effect because of the different times of circulation of the variants, Ct values were compared for the Delta VOC circulating between September-October 2021 (n=884) and December 2021-February 2022 (n=1617). No significant difference was observed between the two groups (data not shown).




Figure 3. Distribution of the Cycle threshold (Cts) of VOIs and VOCs. The line indicates the average Ct for each variant. VOCs: Gamma (n=928), Delta (n=2322), Omicron (n=878) and VOI Mu (n=714).

4. Discussion

In this study, we developed a simplified methodology of genomic surveillance of variants of SARS-CoV-2. By analyzing the sequence of 5% of the genome, we were able to detect the different variants that circulated in the country, with more than 99% certainty, as determined by complete genome sequencing.

After the introduction and rapid dissemination of the Gamma VOC, since the beginning of the year 2021 [21], the next variants detected were the Alpha VOC and the Lambda VOI, in March of the same year. The Alpha VOC was first detected as an isolated case in Miranda State, in March 2021, and then a few cases in some Western states, from May to August. One of these Western States was Apure, which shares a frontier with Colombia. We may hypothesize that the Alpha VOC was introduced through international air traveling to the Capital region, but also by displacement by land through the frontier with Colombia. In the case of the Lambda VOI, this variant was mainly found in the Andean states of the country, in consonance with the Peruvian origin of this variant. However, as far as we could detect, none of these two variants were able to disseminate at high frequency in any state of the country. Although some degree of resistance to the neutralizing activity of antibodies elicited by some vaccines has been observed for the Alpha VOC, this resistance is lower than the one observed with other VOCs, and even for some of the VOIs [25,26]. This may have hampered the dissemination of Alpha and Lambda variants in Venezuela, as they were probably introduced after the dissemination of the Gamma VOC. It is reasonable to speculate that a significant degree of herd immunity was already acquired in the Venezuelan population, after one year of the pandemic, just during the wave of Gamma dissemination. In addition, these two variants did not seem able to displace the Gamma one. The low degree of dissemination that occurred in Venezuela for the Lambda VOI is in sharp contrast with the steep increase of this variant observed in Peru, where became g predominant between January

and April 2021, despite the co-circulation of other VOCs, such as Alpha and Gamma [27].

The VOI Mu was first detected in May, in Western states of Venezuela, some of them neighboring Colombia, in agreement with the possible origin of this variant. The fact that this VOI was first detected at the same time in several states, might suggest that it could have been introduced earlier into the country. The VOI Mu has been shown to exhibit reduced susceptibility to neutralizing antibodies [25,28,29]. Although never considered a VOC, the Mu VOI was able to displace the Gamma VOC, which was predominating in the whole country, and, after being probably first introduced through the frontier with Colombia (Western states, especially Tachira and Zulia, Supplemental Figure S1), it was able to circulate even in the Oriental states of the country. This VOI reached a similar frequency of circulation to Gamma VOC in August, when the Delta VOC began to replace all the other variants. The pattern of dissemination of the Mu variant is similar to the one observed in Colombia, where this variant also displaced the Gamma VOC [15,30].

It is clear from the many sublineages identified for the Delta VOC (Table 2 and Supplemental Table S1) that multiple introductions occurred of this variant. However, in contrast to Gamma and Mu variants, for which probably a frequent flow of introduction occurred by ground from the East and West frontiers of Venezuela, respectively, the Delta VOC reached firstly its highest frequency in the Metropolitan region, suggesting that this variant was frequently introduced by international travelers.

A limitation of this study is that partial sequencing does not allow for differentiation among the many sublineages of the variants. Several sublineages of Gamma VOC and Mu VOI have already been described but none of them were found among the complete genome sequences obtained, while 17 different sublineages could be identified for the Delta VOC. Because of the relatively small number of complete genome sequences available, we cannot discard that some of the sublineages of Gamma and Mu variants might have been introduced in Venezuela. In addition, these sublineages may have been limited in their dissemination by the introduction of the Delta VOC.

After its first detection on December 8, 2021, in international travelers returning to Venezuela [31], the Omicron VOC was soon detected as community transmission in 9 out of the 18 Venezuelan states analyzed this same month (Supplemental Figure S1). The first community acquired Omicron sample was detected on December 3 (data not shown). While it took two months for the Delta VOC to displace the other circulating variants, it took less than one month for the Omicron VOC variant to displace the Delta one and to predominate in January 2022 (Figure 1). In the case of the Omicron VOC, our simplified sequencing protocol allows us to discriminate among the sublineages of the Omicron VOC BA.1 to BA.5. No evidence of the circulation of sublineages other than BA.1 and BA.1.1, was found until February 2022 in Venezuela. After the epidemic wave of the Omicron BA.1/BA.1.1 that was observed in January/February, an abrupt decrease in the cases has been observed (Figure 1). Assuming that the Omicron BA.2 did not circulate in the country until at least February 2022, a moderate new epidemic wave could be expected if one of these other lineages of Omicron arrives, as occurred in many countries of Europe and the USA.

The average Ct values was inversely correlated with the emergence of each of the variants which were able to disseminate and replace the previous variant circulating. This reduction in Ct values was probably related to the higher transmissibility of the variants that were subsequently predominant in Venezuela [25].

Another factor that may have shaped the intensity of the epidemic and the dissemination of the variants is the vaccine coverage during the epidemic in Venezuela. According to the data available in PAHO [32], Venezuela effectively began vaccination at the beginning of August 2021, and reached about 50% of two-dose vaccine coverage at the beginning of 2022, with 75% of the population having received at least one dose at this time. The most frequent vaccines used in Venezuela have been the Beijing CNBG –

BBIBP-Corv, followed by Gamaleya Sputnik. Then, vaccination might not have a profound impact on the dissemination of the variants, except perhaps during the Omicron epidemic wave, VOC known as the most resistant to the immune protection mediated by vaccination [13].

The successive waves and replacement of variants in Venezuela are also in agreement with the relative fitness evaluated for them [33]. According to this review, the relative average fitness of Omicron BA.1 has been estimated around 60% higher than the one of Delta VOC, which in turn is around 50% higher than the one of Gamma VOC. Mu VOI displayed on average a slightly higher fitness compared to Gamma VOC, which might explain that this VOI was able to circulate in the whole country albeit the presence of Gamma VOC. In contrast, the founder effect of Gamma VOC did not allow the dissemination of the Alpha VOC or the Lambda VOI, which exhibit lower or similar fitness respectively, compared to the Gamma VOC [33].

5. Conclusions

By using a partial sequencing strategy and then confirmation with complete genome sequencing, we were able to detect and monitor the introduction and variable dissemination of VOCs and VOIs in Venezuela. The epidemiological behavior of the variants detected was similar to the one described in other countries, particularly in Latin America.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: Frequency of variants according to state and month; Table S1: GISAD accession number for all the complete genome generated in this study, with the lineage defined according to the Pangolin classification [4].

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, supervision and project administration, F.H.P. and R.C.J.; investigation, R.C.J., F.H.P., C.L.L., Y.S., Z.C.M.; resources, H.R.R., P.D.A., M.H., L.R., V.A., M.A., D.S., J.R., D.J.G.; writing—original draft preparation, F.H.P.; writing—review and editing, F.H.P., R.C.J., F.L.; visualization, J.L.Z.; validation, F.H.P., funding acquisition, F.H.P., H.R.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.”

Funding: This research was funded by Ministerio del Poder Popular de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación of Venezuela.

Institutional Review Board Statement: “This study was approved by the Human Bioethical Committee of IVIC (protocol code CBE-012020, September 14, 2020).”

Informed Consent Statement: “Patient consent was waived due to samples, from nasopharyngeal or nasal swabs and positive by qRT-PCR during the routine COVID-19 diagnosis in Venezuela. The identity of the patients was maintained anonymous.”

Data Availability Statement: “Not applicable”.

Acknowledgments: This study was supported by Ministerio del Poder Popular de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación of Venezuela. We are also indebted to the Project Diálogo sobre la Pandemia directed by the Charité Institute and financed by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Germany, in cooperation with the Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ), for the generous gift of reagents for NGS sequencing. We wish to thank also the team of Instituto de Estudios Avanzados (IDEA, Caracas, Venezuela) and particularly Dr. José Luis Ramírez, for assisting us with the Sanger sequencing of the first Delta VOC complete genome isolate.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

1. Yan, W.; Zheng, Y.; Zeng, X.; He, B.; Cheng, W. Structural biology of SARS-CoV-2: open the door for novel therapies. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* 2022, 7(1), 26.
2. Bakhshandeh, B.; Jahanafrooz, Z.; Abbasi, A.; Goli, M.B.; Sadeghi, M.; Mottaqi, M.S.; Zamani, M. Mutations in SARS-CoV-2; Consequences in structure, function, and pathogenicity of the virus. *Microb Pathog*, 2021, 154, 104831.

3. Pujol, F.H.; Zambrano, J.L.; Jaspe, R.; Loureiro, C.L.; Vizzi, E.; Liprandi, F.; Rangel, H.R. Biología y evolución del coronavirus causante de la COVID-19. *Rev Soc Venezol Microbiol*, 2020, 40, 63-70.
4. Lineage List. Available online: https://cov-lineages.org/lineage_list.html (accessed on 14 05 2022).
5. Rambaut, A.; Holmes, E.C.; O'Toole, Á.; Hill, V.; McCrone, J.T.; Ruis, C.; du Plessis, L.; Pybus, O.G. A dynamic nomenclature proposal for SARS-CoV-2 lineages to assist genomic epidemiology. *Nat Microbiol* 2020, 5(11):1403-1407.
6. WHO. Tracking SARS-CoV-2 variants. Available online: <https://www.who.int/en/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants/> (accessed on 14 05 2022).
7. Challen, R.; Brooks-Pollock, E.; Read, J.M.; Dyson, L.; Tsaneva-Atanasova, K.; Danon, L. Risk of mortality in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern 202012/1: matched cohort study. *Br. Med J* 2021, 372:n579.
8. Tegally, H.; Wilkinson, E.; Giovanetti, M.; Iranzadeh, A.; Fonseca, V.; Giandhari, J.; Doolabh, D.; Pillay, S.; San, E.J.; Msomi, N.; Mlisana, K.; von Gottberg, A.; Walaza, S.; Allam, M.; Ismail, A.; Mohale, T.; Glass, A.J.; Engelbrecht, S.; Van Zyl, G.; Preiser, W.; Petruccione, F.; Sigal, A.; Hardie, D.; Marais, G.; Hsiao, N.Y.; Korsman, S.; Davies, M.A.; Tyers, L.; Mudau, I.; York, D.; Maslo, C.; Goedhals, D.; Abrahams, S.; Laguda-Akingba, O.; Alisoltani-Dehkordi, A.; Godzik, A.; Wibmer, C.K.; Sewell, B.T.; Lourenço, J.; Alcantara, L.C.J.; Kosakovsky Pond, S.L.; Weaver, S.; Martin, D.; Lessells, R.J.; Bhiman, J.N.; Williamson, C.; de Oliveira, T. Detection of a SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern in South Africa. *Nature* 2021, 592(7854):438-443.
9. Faria, N.R.; Mellan, T.A.; Whittaker, C.; Claro, I.M.; Candido, D.D.S.; Mishra, S.; Crispim, M.A.E.; Sales, F.C.S.; Hawryluk, I.; McCrone, J.T.; Hulswit, R.J.G.; Franco, L.A.M.; Ramundo, M.S.; de Jesus, J.G.; Andrade, P.S.; Coletti, T.M.; Ferreira, G.M.; Silva, C.A.M.; Manuli, E.R.; Pereira, R.H.M.; Peixoto, P.S.; Kraemer, M.U.G.; Gaburo, N Jr.; Camilo, C.D.C.; Hoeltgebaum, H.; Souza, W.M.; Rocha, E.C.; de Souza, L.M.; de Pinho, M.C.; Araujo, L.J.T.; Malta, F.S.V.; de Lima, A.B.; Silva, J.D.P.; Zauli, D.A.G.; Ferreira, A.C.S.; Schnekenberg, R.P.; Laydon, D.J.; Walker, P.G.T.; Schlüter, H.M.; Dos Santos, A.L.P.; Vidal, M.S.; Del Caro, V.S.; Filho, R.M.F.; Dos Santos, H.M.; Aguiar, R.S.; Proença-Modena, J.L.; Nelson, B.; Hay, J.A.; Monod, M.; Miskouridou, X.; Coupland, H.; Sonabend, R.; Vollmer, M.; Gandy, A.; Prete, C.A Jr.; Nascimento, V.H.; Suchard, M.A.; Bowden, T.A.; Pond, S.L.K.; Wu, C.H.; Ratmann, O.; Ferguson, N.M.; Dye, C.; Loman, N.J.; Lemey, P.; Rambaut, A.; Fraiji, N.A.; Carvalho, M.D.P.S.S.; Pybus, O.G.; Flaxman, S.; Bhatt, S.; Sabino, E.C. Genomics and epidemiology of the P.1 SARS-CoV-2 lineage in Manaus, Brazil. *Science* 2021, 372 (6544), 815-821.
10. Cherian, S.; Potdar, V.; Jadhav, S.; Yadav, P.; Gupta, N.; Das, M.; Rakshit, P.; Singh, S.; Abraham, P.; Panda, S.; Team N. SARS-CoV-2 Spike Mutations, L452R, T478K, E484Q and P681R, in the Second Wave of COVID-19 in Maharashtra, India. *Microorganisms* 2021, 9(7),1542.
11. Viana, R.; Moyo, S.; Amoako, D.G.; Tegally H.; Scheepers, C.; Althaus, Ch.L.; Anyaneji, U.J.; Bester, Ph.A.; Boni, M.F.; Chand, M.; Choga, W.T.; Colquhoun, R.; Davids, M.; Deforche, K.; Doolabh, D.; du Plessis, L.; Engelbrecht, S.; Everatt, J.; Giandhari, J.; Giovanetti, M.; Hardie, D.; Hill, V.; Hsiao, N.Y.; Iranzadeh, A.; Ismail, A.; Joseph, Ch.; Joseph, R.; Koopile, L.; Kosakovsky, Pond, S.L.; Kraemer, M.U.G.; Kuate-Lere, L.; Laguda-Akingba, O.; Lesetedi-Mafoko, O.; Lessells, R.J.; Lockman, Sh.; Lucaci, A.G.; Maharaj, A.; Mahlangu, B.; Maponga, T.; Mahlkwane, K.; Makatini, Z.; Marais, G.; Maruapula, D.; Masupu, K.; Matshaba, M.; Mayaphi, S.; Mbhele, N.; Mbulawa, M.B.; Mendes, A.; Mlisana, K.; Mnguni, A.; Mohale, Th.; Moir, M.; Moruosi, K.; Mosepele, M.; Motsatsi, G.; Motswaledi, M.S.; Mphoyakgosi, Th.; Msomi, N.; Mwangi, P.N.; Naidoo, Y.; Ntuli, N.; Nyaga, M.; Olubayo, L.; Pillay, S.; Radibe, B.; Ramphal, Y.; Ramphal, U.; San, J.E.; Scott, L.; Shapiro, R.; Singh, L.; Smith-Lawrence, P.; Stevens, W.; Strydom, A.; Subramoney, K.; Tebeila, N.; Tshiabuila, D.; Tsui, J.; van Wyk, S.; Weaver, S.; Wibmer, C.K.; Wilkinson, E.; Wolter, N.; Zarebski, A.E.; Zuze, B.; Goedhals, D.; Preiser, W.; Treurnicht, F.; Venter, M.; Williamson, C.; Pybus, O.G.; Bhiman, J.; Glass, A.; Martin, D.P.; Rambaut, A.; Gaseitsiwe, S.; von Gottberg, A.; de Oliveira, T. Rapid epidemic expansion of the SARSCoV-2 Omicron variant in Southern Africa. *Nature* 2022, 603(7902):679-686.
12. Gobeil, S.M.; Janowska, K.; McDowell, S.; Mansouri, K.; Parks, R.; Stalls, V.; Kopp, M.F.; Manne, K.; Saunders, K.; Edwards, R.J.; Haynes, B.F.; Henderson, R.C.; Acharya, P. Effect of natural mutations of SARS-CoV-2 on spike structure, conformation, and antigenicity. *Science* 2021, eabi6226.
13. Cele, S.; Jackson L.; Khoury, DS.; Khan, K.; Moyo-Gwete, T.; Tegally, H.; San, J.E.; Cromer, D.; Scheepers, C.; Amoako, D.G.; Karim, F.; Bernstein, M.; Lustig, G.; Archary, D.; Smith, M.; Ganga, Y.; Jule, Z.; Reedoy, K.; Hwa, S.H.; Giandhari, J.; Blackburn, J.M.; Gosnell, B.I.; Abdool.; Karim, S.S.; Hanekom, W.; NGS-SA.; COMMIT-KZN Team.; von Gottberg, A.; Bhiman, J.N.; Lessells, R.J.; Moosa, M.S.; Davenport, M.P.; de Oliveira, T.; Moore, P.L.; Sigal, A. Omicron extensively but incompletely escapes Pfizer BNT162b2 neutralization. *Nature* 2022, 602(7898), 654-656.
14. Romero, P.E.; Dávila-Barclay, A.; Salvatierra, G.; González, L.; Cuicapuza, D.; Solís, L.; Marcos-Carbajal, P.; Huancachoque, J.; Maturrano, L.; Tsukayama, P. The Emergence of Sars-CoV-2 Variant Lambda (C.37) in South America. *Microbiol Spectr* 2021, 9(2), e0078921.
15. Laiton-Donato, K.; Franco-Muñoz, C.; Álvarez-Díaz, D.A.; Ruiz-Moreno, H.A.; Usme-Ciro, J.A.; Prada, D.A.; Reales-González, J.; Corchuelo, S.; Herrera-Sepúlveda, M.T.; Naizaque, J.; Santamaría, G.; Rivera, J.; Rojas, P.; Ortiz, J.H.; Cardona, A.; Malo, D.; Prieto-Alvarado, F.; Gómez, F.R.; Wiesner, M.; Martínez, M.L.O.; Mercado-Reyes, M. Characterization of the emerging B.1.621 variant of interest of SARS-CoV-2. *Infect Genet Evol* 2021, 95, 105038.
16. Wrobel, A.G.; Benton, D.J.; Roustán, C.; Borg, A.; Hussain, S.; Martin, S.R.; Rosenthal, P.B.; Skehel, J.J.; Gamblin, S.J. Evolution of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein in the human host. *Evolution of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein in the human host. Nat Commun* 2022, 13(1),1178.

17. Altmann, D.M.; Boyton, R.J.; Beale, R. Immunity to SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern. *Science* 2021, 371(6534),1103-1104.
18. Ferrareze, P.A.G.; Franceschi, V.B.; Mayer, A.M.; Caldana, G.D.; Zimmerman, R.A.; Thompson, C.E. E484K as an innovative phylogenetic event for viral evolution: Genomic analysis of the E484K spike mutation in SARS-CoV-2 lineages from Brazil. *Infect Genet Evol* 2021, 93,104941.
19. Motozono, C.; Toyoda, M.; Zahradnik, J.; Saito, A.; Nasser, H.; Tan, TS.; Ngare, I.; Kimura, I.; Uriu, K.; Kosugi, Y.; Yue, Y.; Shimizu, R.; Ito, J.; Torii, S.; Yonekawa, A.; Shimono, N.; Nagasaki, Y.; Minami, R.; Toya, T.; Sekiya, N.; Fukuhara, T.; Matsuura, Y.; Schreiber, G.; Genotype to Phenotype Japan (G2P-Japan) Consortium.; Ikeda, T.; Nakagawa, S.; Ueno, T.; Sato, K. SARS-CoV-2 spike L452R variant evades cellular immunity and increases infectivity. *Cell Host Microbe*, 2021, 29(7):1124-1136.e11.
20. WHO. COVID-19 Weekly Epidemiological Update. Edition 91, published 11 May 2022. Available online: <https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/weekly-epidemiological-update-on-covid-19---11-may-2022>. (accessed on 14 05 2022).
21. Jaspe, R.C.; Loureiro, C.L.; Sulbaran, Y.; Moros, Z.C.; D'Angelo, P.; Rodríguez, L.; Zambrano, J.L.; Hidalgo, M.; Vizzi, E.; Alarcon, V.; Aguilar, M.; Garzaro, D.J.; CoViMol Group.; Rangel, H.R.; Pujol, F.H. Introduction and rapid dissemination of SARS-CoV-2 Gamma Variant of Concern in Venezuela. *Infect Genet Evol* 2021, 96,105147.
22. Jaspe, R.C.; Zambrano, J.L.; Hidalgo, M.; Sulbaran, Y.; Loureiro, C.L.; Moros, Z.C.; Garzaro, D.J.; Liprandi, F.; Rangel, H.R.; Pujol, F.H. Detection of the Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2 by restriction analysis targeting the mutations K417N and N440K of the Spike protein. *Invest Clin* 2022, 63(1), 92-99.
23. GISAID. SARS-CoV-2 (hCoV-19) Mutation Reports. Lineage | Mutation Tracker. Available online: <https://outbreak.info/situation-reports?pango> (accessed on 14 05 2022).
24. Loureiro, C.L.; Jaspe, R.C.; D'Angelo, P.; Zambrano, J.L.; Rodríguez, L.; Alarcon, V.; Delgado, M.; Aguilar, M.; Garzaro, D.; Rangel, H.R.; Pujol, F.H. SARS-CoV-2 genetic diversity in Venezuela: Predominance of D614G variants and analysis of one outbreak. *PLoS One* 2021, 16(2), e0247196.
25. Tatsi, E.B.; Filippatos, F.; Michos, A. SARS-CoV-2 variants and effectiveness of vaccines: a review of current evidence. *Epidemiol Infect* 2021, 4,149, e237.
26. Hu, Y.F.; Hu, J.C.; Gong, H.R.; Danchin, A.; Sun, R.; Chu, H.; Hung, I.F.; Yuen, K.Y.; To, K.K.; Zhang, B.Z.; Yau, T.; Huang, J.D. Computation of Antigenicity Predicts SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine Breakthrough Variants. *Front Immunol* 2022, 24,13:861050.
27. Padilla-Rojas, C.; Jimenez-Vasquez, V.; Hurtado, V.; Mestanza, O.; Molina, I.S.; Barcena, L.; Morales Ruiz, S.; Acedo, S.; Lizarraga, W.; Bailon, H.; Cáceres, O.; Galarza, M.; Rojas-Serrano, N.; Vargas-Herrera, N.; Lope-Pari, P.; Huayra, J.; Solari L. Genomic analysis reveals a rapid spread and predominance of lambda (C.37) SARS-COV-2 lineage in Peru despite circulation of variants of concern. *J Med Virol* 2021, 93(12), 6845-6849.
28. Álvarez-Díaz, D.A.; Muñoz, A.L.; Tavera-Rodríguez, P.; Herrera-Sepúlveda, M.T.; Ruiz-Moreno, H.A.; Laiton-Donato, K.; Franco-Muñoz, C.; Pelaez-Carvajal, D.; Cuellar, D.; Muñoz-Suarez, A.M.; Galindo, M.; Arias-Ramírez, E.J.; Mercado-Reyes, M. Low Neutralizing Antibody Titers against the Mu Variant of SARS-CoV-2 in 31 BNT162b2 Vaccinated Individuals in Colombia. *Vaccines (Basel)* 2022, 10(2):180.
29. Uriu, K.; Kimura, I.; Shirakawa, K.; Takaori-Kondo, A.; Nakada, T.A.; Kaneda, A.; Nakagawa, S.; Sato, K. Genotype to Phenotype Japan (G2P-Japan) Consortium. Neutralization of the SARS-CoV-2 Mu Variant by Convalescent and Vaccine Serum. *N Engl J Med* 2021, 385(25):2397-2399.
30. Hernandez-Ortiz, J.; Cardona, A.; Ciuoderis, K.; Averhoff, F.; Maya, M.A.; Cloherty, G.; Osorio, J.E. Assessment of SARS-CoV-2 Mu Variant Emergence and Spread in Colombia. *JAMA Netw Open* 2022, 5(3), e224754.
31. Jaspe, R.C.; Sulbaran, Y.; Loureiro, C.L.; Moros, Z.C.; Marulanda, E.; Bracho, F.; Ramírez, N.A.; Canonico, Y.; D'Angelo, P.; Rodríguez, L.; Castro, J.; Liprandi, F.; Rangel, H.R.; Pujol, F.H. Detection of the Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2 in international travelers returning to Venezuela. *Travel Med Infect Dis* 2022 5, 48:102326.
32. PAHO. COVID-19 Vaccination in the Americas. Available online: https://ais.paho.org/imm/IM_DosisAdmin-Vacunacion.asp (accessed on 14 05 2022).
33. Telenti, A.; Hodcroft, E.B.; Robertson, D.L. The Evolution and Biology of SARS-CoV-2 Variants. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 2022, a041390.