

Original Article

Perception of medical students regarding teaching health policy and their participation in health policy roles: A survey at a Public University in Malaysia

List of Authors

Mainul Haque ¹, Nor Azlina A Rahman ², Sayeeda Rahman ³, Md Anwarul Azim Majumder ⁴, Sharifah Shasha Binti Syed Mohdhar ⁵, Halyna Lugova ⁶, Adnan Abdullah ⁷, Shahidah Leong Binti Abdullah ⁸, Mohd Hafizi Bin Ismail ⁹, Jaykaran Charan ¹⁰, Santosh Kumar ¹¹, Mohammed Irfan ¹², Ibrahim Haruna Sani ¹³, Abdullahi Rabiu Abubakar ¹⁴, Kona Chowdhury ¹⁵, Farhana Akter ¹⁶, Dilshad Jahan ¹⁷, Rahnuma Ahmad ¹⁸

1. **Mainul Haque**, Professor of the Unit of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine and Defence Health, Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia (National Defence University of Malaysia), Kem Perdana Sungai Besi, 57000 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Cell Phone: + 60 10 926 5543. Email: runurono@gmail.com. **Orcid ID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6124-7993>
2. **Nor Azlina A Rahman**. Email: nazara@iiumn.edu.my **Orcid ID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9046-6183> Kulliyah of Allied Health Sciences, International Islamic University Malaysia, 25200 Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia
3. **Sayeeda Rahman**. Email: srahman@aus.edu. **Orcid ID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7005-8801>. School of Medicine, American University of Integrative Sciences, Bridgetown BB11114, Barbados.
4. **Md Anwarul Azim Majumder**. Email: azim.majumder@cavehill.uwi.edu **Orcid ID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3398-8695> Director of Medical Education, Faculty of Medical Sciences, The University of the West Indies, Cave Hill Campus, Bridgetown BB11000, Barbados.
5. **Sharifah Shasha Binti Syed Mohdhar**. Email: shashamohdhar@gmail.com **Orcid ID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2478-3244> Medical Student, Faculty of Medicine and Defence Health, Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia (National Defence University of Malaysia), Kem Perdana Sungai Besi, 57000 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
6. **Halyna Lugova**. Email: halina@upnm.edu.my **Orcid ID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8052-0580>. Community Medicine Unit, Faculty of Medicine and Defence Health, Humanitarian Assistance and Disaster Relief Centre, National Defence University of Malaysia, Sungai Besi Prime Camp, Kuala Lumpur, 57000, Malaysia.
7. **Adnan Abdullah**. Email: dradnanabdullah@upnm.edu.my. **Orcid ID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4323-7617>. Unit of Occupational Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Defence Health, Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia, (National Defence University of Malaysia), Kuala Lumpur 57000, Malaysia.
8. **Shahidah Leong Binti Abdullah**. Email: shahidah2013@gmail.com **Orcid ID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9296-1946> Clinical Psychologist. Faculty of Medicine and Defence Health, Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia (National Defence University of Malaysia), Kem Perdana Sungai Besi, 57000 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
9. **Mohd Hafizi Bin Ismail**. Email: hafizi@upnm.edu.my **Orcid ID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7745-9413> Senior Assistant Registrar. Faculty of Medicine and

Defence Health, Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia (National Defence University of Malaysia), Kem Perdana Sungai Besi, 57000 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

10. **Jaykaran Charan.** Department of Pharmacology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Marudhar Industrial Area, 2nd Phase, M.I.A. 1st Phase, Basni, Basni, Jodhpur, Rajasthan 342005, India. **Email:** dr.jaykaran78@gmail.com **Orcid ID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4857-6725>

11. **Santosh Kumar.** **Email:** drsantoshkumar2004@gmail.com. **Orcid ID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5117-7872>. Department of Periodontology and Implantology, Karnavati School of Dentistry, Karnavati University, 907/A, Uvarsad Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India.

12. **Mohammed Irfan.** **Email:** irfan_dentart@yahoo.com. **Orcid ID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0683-106X>. Department of Forensics, Federal University of Pelotas, R. Gomes Carneiro, 1-Centro, Pelotas 96010-610, RS, Brazil.

13. **Ibrahim Haruna Sani.** **Email:** harunaibrahim81@yahoo.com, **Orcid ID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1153-5900>. Unit of Pharmacology, College of Health Sciences, Yusuf Maitama Sule University (YUMSUK), Kofar Kansakali 700282, PMB 3220, Kano, Nigeria.

14. **Abdullahi Rabiu Abubakar.** **Email:** uniswa7@gmail.com **Orcid ID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9740-7856> Lecturer, Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Bayero University, Kano 700233. PMB, 3452, Kano, Nigeria.

15. **Kona Chowdhury.** **Email:** konaonu96@gmail.com. **Orcid ID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3836-1691> Department of Paediatrics, Gonoshasthaya Samaj Vittik Medical College and Hospital, Dhaka 1344, Bangladesh.

16. **Farhana Akter.** **Email:** fakter36@gmail.com **Orcid ID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4488-9388> Department of Endocrinology, Chittagong Medical College, Chattogram 4203, Bangladesh.

17. **Dilshad Jahan.** **Email:** dr.dilshad.bd@gmail.com. **Orcid ID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3939-9093>, Department of Hematology, Asgar Ali Hospital, 111/1/A Distillery Road, Gandaria Beside Dhupkhola, Dhaka 1204, Bangladesh.

18. **Rahnuma Ahmad.** Assistant Professor. **Email:** rahnuma.ahmad@gmail.com, **Orcid ID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7379-0822> Department of Physiology, Medical College for Women and Hospital, VC52+87V, Plot No 4 Road-8/9, Sector-1, Dhaka 1230, Bangladesh.

Address of Correspondence

Mainul Haque. Professor of the Unit of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine and Defence Health, Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia (National Defence University of Malaysia), Kem Perdana Sungai Besi, 57000 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Cell Phone: + 60 10 926 5543. Email: runurono@gmail.com. mainul@upnm.edu.com

[Original Article](#)

Perception of medical students regarding teaching health policy and their participation in health policy roles: A survey at a Public University in Malaysia

Short Title: Medical student Perception regarding Health Policy teaching

Abstract

Background: Health policy is a set of comprehensive principles and legislations that guide how healthcare should be effectively delivered in the community. Medical schools should prepare students to undertake managerial responsibilities by incorporating health policy in the curriculum to deal with the intricacies of healthcare systems and their clinical roles in their future professional careers.

Objective: To examine medical students' perception at a Public University in Malaysia regarding teaching health policy and their participation in health policy roles.

Material and Methods: A cross-sectional study using universal sampling was carried out among the medical students using a paper-based questionnaire to collect the data.

Results: Most respondents opined their willingness to learn health policy (80.9%) and that teaching health policy (83.6%) should be compulsory for medical students. The respondents thought health policy should be introduced earlier in Year 1 or 2. The student scores on their knowledge regarding health policy and year of study were significantly associated with their involvement in the health policy roles in both the simple and multiple logistic regression. Both statistical tests reported higher participation in health policy roles with the higher year of study, though only Year 4 and 5 were significant in the simple logistic regression and only Year 5 in the multiple logistic regression compared to Year 1. On the other hand, age and type of admission show significant results only in the simple logistic regression, while the race was only significant at the multivariate level.

Conclusion: This study demonstrated that most respondents showed their willingness to learn health policy, participate in the health policy programs, and recommend that health policy be considered an essential topic in the medical curriculum, which should be taught right from the first year of medical school. We recommend encouraging students' participation in health policy activities.

Keywords: Health, Policy, Knowledge, Perception, Medical Students, Malaysia.

Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines health policy as the verdicts, strategies, and activities to accomplish precise health care goals within the social order [1, 2]. Additionally, health policy has been defined as an inkling for the forthcoming days and set short- and medium-term targets and milestones for effective health care [3]. Health policy usually targets to improve population health [4], and the healthcare policy goals are typical to

provide equitable and efficient access to high-quality healthcare services [5, 6, 7, 8]. A well-planned health policy could help mobilize adequate resources to a priority healthcare program to ensure effective distribution of limited resources from tertiary healthcare facilities down to the primary healthcare facilities located in the communities. It is necessary to include healthcare professionals in health policy formulation and decision-making of effective allocation of resources [8, 9, 10, 11, 12].

Multiple reports mentioned that several developments and civilization goals were actualized through effective health policy formulation [13, 14, 15, 16]. In developing countries with scarce resources and diverse healthcare needs, health policy is crucial in determining equitable, efficient, and effective healthcare services [17, 18]. Effective health policy is a yardstick for predicting and realizing future health needs, such as personnel, volunteers, infrastructures, and community integration to seasonal diseases and global pandemics [13, 19, 20, 21]. Furthermore, understanding international health policies is becoming very important in the modern world due to the emergence of a worldwide pandemic like COVID-19 [22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27].

Healthcare management and health economics are considered essential components of the training curricula for all categories of healthcare professional students [28, 29, 30, 31]. It has been highlighted by many studies and reports that health professionals should have a sound knowledge of all aspects of the fields, as mentioned earlier [32, 33, 34, 35, 36]. To build a solid foundation for effective health policies, the health professional students should be educated in these fields, including knowledge of medicine, pharmaceuticals, behavioral sciences, biomedical and environmental sciences [3, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48]. Despite the tremendous significance of learning health policies among health professionals, especially medical doctors globally, in determining the success of the prevention of diseases [33, 49, 50, 51, 52], many medical schools around the globe yet not incorporated health policies issues into their curricula [9, 11, 14, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57]. Multiple studies highlighted the lack of standardized guidelines to develop and implement an effective health policy curriculum necessary for medical graduates to practice medicine [58, 59, 60]. Consequently, incorporating population-based health into the medical students' curriculum is a longstanding priority [9, 11, 14, 55, 56, 61, 62].

The International Federation for Medical Students Associations (IFMSA) recognizes global health policy as an educational priority for future healthcare professionals. The IFMSA believes that health education is vital to the development of all health personnel [57]. There should be a greater emphasis on medical schools developing a comprehensive global health framework within their medical curricula [57]. A well-designed public health curriculum may help students see the value and importance of this field as they move through medical school and prepare to enter professional practice. 16, 63 Moreover, evaluating and remodeling the current health policy curricula, particularly adopting a new "service learning" approach to facilitate student involvement in health policy, can never be overemphasized [16, 43, 63]. This research aimed to evaluate medical students' perception

of a Public University in Malaysia regarding teaching health policy and their participation in health policy roles. The outcome would help the policymakers improve medical students' curricula, improve their practice after graduation, and prepare them for future global health challenges.

2.0 Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design

This study used a cross-sectional study to collect the data from medical students of a Public University in Malaysia.

2.2. Study Population and Sampling Method

The study population was both the preclinical and clinical years' medical students from years 1 to 5 in a Public University in Malaysia. Year 1 to Year 5 students of both genders and of all ages from Malay, Chinese, and Indian were included in the study. The survey was conducted using a universal sampling method.

2.3. Study Period

Data collection was carried out from 14th January to 28th March 2019 using the self-administered questionnaire. The students were given the hard copy of the questionnaire in a prearranged free time in the lecture hall, and they answered the questionnaire on their own.

2.5. Data Collection Tool (Questionnaire)

A validated questionnaire about health policy was adopted from an earlier study conducted by Malik et al., 2017 [56]. The study instrument was again pretested and validated in the local context. The questionnaire was administered to 15 (3X5=15) medical students who did not participate in the principal study. Their responses were collected and analyzed for validity and reliability. The Cronbach alpha was calculated as 0.73. Our value was within the passable range alpha, from 0.70 to 0.95 [64, 65, 66, 67, 68], and determined an adequate measure of reliability or internal consistency of our instrument. A total of 238 (253-15 non-participants) questionnaires were given to the study respondents after a prearranged lecture class. The sociodemographic variables collected using this questionnaire were age in years, gender (male and female), race (Malay, Chinese, Indian), year of study (year 1 to 5), type of admission (cadet officer, territorial army, civil servants), and whether they had any family member currently working in the health-related field (yes/no).

The outcome variable in this study was the earlier or current involvement of the medical students in any health policy role, which was coded as 'Yes' and 'No.' A few other questions on health policy were also coded as 'Yes' and 'No,' namely whether they would like to be taught about health policy and whether they thought medical students should receive

compulsory teaching on health policy as part of the curriculum. Similarly coded were the factors which the students perceived as the factors which prevent them from being involved in the student health policy committee in the university, namely lack of time, lack of knowledge, no interest in health policy, unaware of the available opportunities, believe that students cannot give impact on health policy, or others. However, no elaborations were given on the other factors mentioned. Another question asked was in which year of study they think the teaching on health policy should be introduced in medical school (Year 1 to 5) and the scoring on how much they know about health policy from 1 (nothing) to 5 (very knowledgeable).

2.6. Ethical Consideration

This research was approved by the Institutional Research Ethics Committee from the Centre for Research, Innovation, and Management, National Defense University, Malaysia [Code of Research: SF0043-UPNM/2018/SF/SKK/06, Memo No: UPNM (PPPI) 16.01/06 Jld 2 (21), 06 June 2018]. An information sheet was given to each student to read when they would provide their written consent on a consent form before the data collection was conducted. The students were allowed to withdraw, and their privacy and confidentiality were always guarded.

2.7 Data Analysis

Data analysis was done using STATA Intercooled version 15.1 software (StataCorp, Texas). Descriptive statistics were reported in the form of frequency and percentages for the categorical variables also mean and standard deviation (SD) for the numerical variable.

Binary logistic regression was used to evaluate the factors associated with the student's involvement in health policy. The univariate analysis was conducted on all the possible factors using simple logistic regression, followed by multiple logistic regression. Manual forward and backward regression were carried out by initially fitting all the variables in the model and removing any non-significant variables one by one. Then the variables were put into the model again one by one and removed if it was still not significant. The procedure was carried out until the final model was fit with only all the significant variables included. The model fitness was checked using the function of *lstat* (classification table), *lfit* (Pearson Chi2 goodness-of-fit test), *lfit group* (10) (Hosmer-Lemeshow Chi2 goodness-of-fit test), *lsens* (sensitivity and specificity graph), *lroc* (area under the ROC (receiver-operating characteristic) curve) and *VIF* (variation inflation factor) using the STATA software to ensure that the final model fitness was good. The significance level was set at 0.05 for the 95% confidence interval.

3. Results

Out of 238, 209 students who responded to the questionnaire, the response rate was 87.81%. The sociodemographic information is shown in Table 1. The mean age of the

students was 21.5 ± 1.11 years. The majority of the participants were male (50.7%), Malay (74.2%), and 71.6% did not have any family member working in the health-related field. According to the type of admission, the highest participation was from territorial army (19.2%) students. More than a quarter of the medical students (27.4%) were involved in health policy roles during the data collection.

Table 1: Sociodemographic information of the medical students (n = 209).

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
Gender (n = 209):		
Male	106	50.7
Female	103	49.3
Race (n = 209)#		
Malay	155	74.2
Chinese	16	7.7
Indian	38	18.2
Year of study (n = 209)#		
1	50	23.9
2	45	21.5
3	59	28.2
4	38	18.2
5	17	8.1
Type of admission (n = 208)*		
Cadet officer	72	34.6
Territorial army	40	19.2
Civil servants	96	46.2
Any family member works in the health-related field (n = 208)*		
Yes	59	28.4
No	149	71.6
Earlier or current involvement in any health policy role (n=208)		
Yes	57	27.4%
No	151	72.6%

Total percentage is not 100% due to the rounding-up.

* With one missing value.

The response related to teaching and knowledge of health policy is summarized in Table 2. It is reassuring to know that a majority of the students (80.9%) would like to be taught about health policy and recommended that it be made compulsory (83.6%). More than one-third of the students (34.1%) opined that health policy should be introduced earlier in Year 1 of the curriculum. More than half of the students scored 3 (Scale: 1-nothing to 5-very knowledgeable) when asked about knowledge of health policy.

Table 2: Responses to health policy teaching and knowledge (N = 209).

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
Would like to be taught about health policy (n = 209):		
Yes	169	80.9
No	40	19.1

Thought medical students should receive a compulsory teaching on health policy (n = 208)*:		
Yes	174	83.6
No	34	16.4
Year of study, they think health policy should be introduced in medical school (n = 164)**:		
1	56	34.1
2	27	16.5
3	45	27.4
4	15	9.1
5	21	12.8
Scoring on how much they know about health policy (n = 209):		
1 (nothing)	16	7.7
2	28	13.4
3	110	52.6
4	48	23.0
5 (very knowledgeable)	7	3.3

Total percentage is not 100% due to the rounding-up.

* With some missing value.

The most common factors preventing the students from being involved in the student health policy committee were lack of time (47.4%), followed by unawareness of the available opportunities (40.2%), and lack of knowledge (39.2%) (Table 3).

Table 3: The perceived factors preventing the students from participating in the health policy committee (n = 209).

Variable	Frequency	Percentage*
Lack of time	99	47.4
Lack of knowledge	82	39.2
No interest in health policy	34	16.3
Unaware of available opportunities	84	40.2
Believe that students cannot impact health policy	21	10.0
Others	19	9.1

* The total 100% is for each factor as students can choose more than one answer.

Tables 4 and 5 show the simple and multiple binary logistic regression results, respectively, in assessing the factors associated with the student's involvement in health policy roles. As shown in Table 4, age, scores on knowledge about health policy, years 4 and 5, and the territorial army was found to be significantly associated with the student's involvement in health policy roles at the univariate level. The simple logistic regression shows 1.5 times higher odds of getting involved in a health policy role with every one-year increment in age. Similarly, for every unit increment in the scoring on knowledge about health policy, there were 2.7 times higher odds of getting involved in a health policy role. Year 4 and Year 5 students were also found to have higher odds (1.7 and 3.7 times, respectively) than Year 1 students of getting involved in a health policy role. However, students admitted to the territorial army had about 3 times lower odds of getting involved in a health policy role than those admitted as cadet officers.

Table 4: Simple logistic regression of factors associated with the student's involvement in health policy roles (n = 209).

Variable	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p-value
Age	1.501 (1.039, 2.168)	0.030
Scores on knowledge about health policy	2.663 (1.716, 4.132)	<0.001
Gender:		
Male	1.000	-
Female	0.978 (0.531, 1.800)	0.944
Race:		
Malay	1.000	-
Chinese	2.581 (0.911, 7.313)	0.074
Indian	0.484 (0.189, 1.240)	0.130
Year of study:		
1	1.000	-
2	0.829 (0.264, 2.607)	0.748
3	1.187 (0.981, 6.337)	0.055
4	1.742 (1.263, 9.283)	0.016
5	3.664 (1.751, 19.926)	0.004
Type of admission:		
Cadet officer	1.000	-
Territorial army	0.353 (0.130, 0.956)	0.041
Civil servants	0.794 (0.409, 1.540)	0.495
Any family member works in the health-related field:		
No	1.000	-
Yes	1.784 (0.928, 3.432)	0.083
Would like to be taught about health policy		
No	1.000	-
Yes	0.994 (0.459, 2.152)	0.988
Thought medical students should receive compulsory teaching on health policy:		
No	1.000	-
Yes	1.897 (0.740, 4.861)	0.182
Year of study, students think health policy should be introduced in medical school:		
1	1.000	-
2	1.227 (0.398, 3.782)	0.721
3	1.848 (0.742, 4.602)	0.187
4	1.023 (0.246, 4.259)	0.975
5	1.636 (0.516, 5.187)	0.403

Perceived factors preventing the students from being involved in the student health policy committee:

Lack of time:

No	1.000	-
Yes	0.737 (0.398, 1.363)	0.331

Lack of knowledge:

No	1.000	-
Yes	0.698 (0.369, 1.323)	0.271

No interest in health policy:

No	1.000	-
Yes	0.945 (0.412, 2.170)	0.894

Unaware of available opportunities:

No	1.000	-
Yes	1.218 (0.657, 2.258)	0.531

Believe that students cannot impact health policy:

No	1.000	-
Yes	1.486 (0.561, 3.937)	0.425

Others:

No	1.000	-
Yes	1.622 (0.605, 4.349)	0.337

CI = confidence interval

Table 5: Multiple logistic regression of factors associated with the student's involvement in health policy roles (n = 209).

Variable	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p-value
Scores on knowledge about health policy	2.663 (1.614, 4.297)	<0.001
Race:		
Malay	1.000	-
Chinese	1.328 (0.410, 4.303)	0.637
Indian	0.222 (0.072, 0.685)	0.009
Year of study:		
1	1.000	-
2	0.572 (0.171, 1.910)	0.364
3	1.843 (0.683, 4.973)	0.228
4	2.265 (0.711, 7.214)	0.166
5	4.347 (1.138, 16.609)	0.032

CI = confidence interval; Bold = significant categories.

On the other hand, Table 5 shows the final model of the multiple logistic regression with scores on knowledge about health policy, the Indian race, and year 5 were significantly associated with the student's involvement in health policy roles at the final multivariable level. Similar to the simple logistic regression result, multiple logistic regression also found that there were 2.7 times higher odds of getting involved in a health policy role for every unit increment in the score on knowledge about health policy. It was also noted that the odds of getting involved in health policy roles increased with each year of study. However, on this multivariate level, a significant association was only found between Year 1 and Year 5. The Year 5 students were 4.3 times at higher odds of being involved in the health policy roles than the Year 1 students. The race was another variable that was significantly associated with the student's involvement in health policy roles at the multivariate level. Indian students were found to be least involved in health policy roles, with 0.2 times at odds compared to the Malay students.

4. Discussion

In the present study, more than four-fifths of the respondents showed their willingness to learn health policy (81%) and mentioned that teaching health policy should be compulsory for medical students (83%). Several studies proposed similar recommendations to include these aspects in medical curricula [12, 30, 56, 69]. More than one-third (34.5%) of the respondents recommended that health policy be taught right from the first year of medical school. The study conducted by Malik et al. found that 77% of students in the UK medical schools expressed their willingness to be taught health policy [56]. In comparison, 73% recommended compulsory teaching of health policy at undergraduate levels. The knowledge level of our students (3 out of 5) was higher than the knowledge of the UK students (2/5). The study conducted in three USA medical schools found that students felt less strongly (2.85/5) about their knowledge regarding health policy-related current events [70]. Our study also showed 2.7 times higher odds of getting involved in a health policy role for every unit increment in the score on knowledge about health policy. A new curriculum on health care policies and systems at the Keck School of Medicine of the University of the South Carolina (USA) demonstrated significantly higher post-curriculum scores (16%) among first-year medical students [69]. Another study showed that a 4-week online health policy elective for medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic increased their knowledge and skills relating to essential health policy topics [58].

There is a growing recognition of the need to develop a need-based medical curriculum to train tomorrow's doctors to address health systems changes and challenges healthcare professionals face in their professional lives [71]. Various reports highlighted the role of health policy and health economics in training medical students to prepare them for future professional positions [70, 71, 72, 73]. A considerable mismatch was observed in teaching health policy and health economics across the medical schools about content coverage, time allocation, and delivery contents [74, 75]. Many studies highlighted the absence of knowledge and application of health policy principles and health economics in medical

education and clinical practice. [74, 76]. Better student knowledge was observed among medical students who received teaching by health economists using a structured curriculum [74, 75]. However, healthcare management has attracted considerable attention from medical educationists in recent years, and there is evidence that these aspects have been accommodated in the overcrowded health professional curricula. The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic has emphasized “the study of healthcare, health efficacy, health policy, and health research” and pushed these agendas to “the front of the academic line” in dealing with “allocation of healthcare resources, payment for health services, and research and development of vaccines” [77]. Health policy reform is a global agenda, and the COVID-19 pandemic emphasized the “need for political advocacy from the medical community” [58].

The present study also found that more than one quarter (27%) of the medical students positively opined their involvement in the health policy activities, which is higher than the finding (6%) reported by Malik et al. (2017) [56]. We also found that the odds of getting involved in health policy roles increased by year of study – Year 4 and Year 5 students have a higher chance (1.7 and 3.7 times, respectively) of getting involved than Year 1 students. Several research findings revealed that medical students were interested in participating in health policy projects [12, 56]. Other studies mentioned that students and faculty members were interested in participating in training related to health policy and related competencies [78, 79, 80]. Medical students in our study suggested that they could help in the health policy-making process by direct participation or volunteering; however, lack of time is a limiting factor to participate in health policy activities, which medical students in the UK also highlighted [56]. A US medical school study showed that 94% of schools offer health policy education but no structured program guidelines to teach such courses [81]. During the medical admission interview, prospective applicants were questioned about the current state and challenges of the healthcare system, healthcare reforms, and proposed solutions to improve medical care [82].

Teaching health policy at undergraduate levels is a real reach challenge, especially adding health policy topics into an already crowded curriculum “would put undue strain on both the medical school curricula and the students” [55]. Though various authorities have highlighted the importance of health policy, the medical education system failed to adequately prepare future health professionals for the critical public health challenges [12]. Concerns have been expressed that tomorrow’s doctors will face significant challenges in providing evidence-based care because of a lack of proper training in fundamental concepts of health policy [43, 83]. It has been recommended that integrating health policy topics in the student and resident curricula will go a long way to improve healthcare service delivery at the community level [84, 85, 86, 87].

5. Limitation of the Study

This cross-sectional study involved only medical school and had a small sample size; therefore, caution should be taken to generalizing the data to other settings.

6. Conclusion and Recommendations

The present study demonstrated that the majority of the respondents showed their willingness to learn health policy and recommended that health policy be considered an essential topic in the medical curriculum, which should be taught right from the first year of medical school. The majority of respondents were interested in participating in the health policy programs, but lack of time is the main hindrance. Future doctors need to participate in health policy decision-making during their training. The best solution is to incorporate health policy into the medical student's curriculum, and the teaching should begin from year 1 of the medical school. We also recommend encouraging students' participation in health policy activities and allowing students to take part in health policy-making activities.

7. Article Highlights

1. Health policy is a set of comprehensive principles and legislations that guide how healthcare should be effectively delivered in the community.
2. Healthcare professionals should be involved in health policy formulation and decision-making to effectively allocate resources to improve population health.
3. A curriculum that includes health policy can help students comprehend the significance of this field and learn to implement this knowledge in their future medical practice.
4. Incorporating health policy into the medical curriculum from the early years of medical school would help develop public health-oriented physicians.

Consent for Publication: The author reviewed and approved the final version and has agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work, including any accuracy or integrity issues.

Disclosure: The author declares that they do not have any financial involvement or affiliations with any organization, association, or entity directly or indirectly with the subject matter or materials presented in this article. This includes honoraria, expert testimony, employment, ownership of stocks or options, patents or grants received or pending, or royalties.

Funding: This paper was not funded.

Data Availability: This is a review paper. Data utilized from open-access resources.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to the Faculty of Medicine and Defence Health medical students. Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia (National Defence University of Malaysia), Kem Perdana Sungai Besi, 57000 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

Authorship Contribution: All authors made a significant contribution to the work reported, whether that is in the conception, study design, execution, acquisition of data, analysis, and interpretation, or in all these areas; they took part in drafting, revising, or critically reviewing the article; gave final approval of the version to be published; have agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted, and decided to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

References

1. World Health Organization. Effective Health System Governance for Universal Health Coverage UHC. Available at https://www.who.int/health-topics/health-systems-governance#tab=tab_1 [Accessed March 16, 2022]
2. Moutselos K, Maglogiannis I. Evidence-based Public Health Policy Models Development and Evaluation using Big Data Analytics and Web Technologies. *Med Arch.* 2020;74(1):47-53. doi: 10.5455/medarh.2020.74.47-53.
3. World Health Organization. Health Policy. 2016. Available at <https://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/health-policy> [Accessed March 16, 2022]
4. Bhattacharya D, Bhatt J. Seven Foundational Principles of Population Health Policy. *Popul Health Manag.* 2017;20(5):383-388. doi: 10.1089/pop.2016.0148.
5. Kruk ME, Gage AD, Arsenault C, Jordan K, Leslie HH, Roder-DeWan S, Adeyi O, Barker P, Daelmans B, Doubova SV, English M, García-Elorrio E, Guanais F, Gureje O, Hirschhorn LR, Jiang L, Kelley E, Lemango ET, Liljestrand J, Malata A, Marchant T, Matsoso MP, Meara JG, Mohanan M, Ndiaye Y, Norheim OF, Reddy KS, Rowe AK, Salomon JA, Thapa G, Twum-Danso NAY, Pate M. High-quality health systems in the Sustainable Development Goals era: time for a revolution. *Lancet Glob Health.* 2018;6(11):e1196-e1252. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30386-3.
6. Thapa G, Jhalani M, García-Saisó S, Malata A, Roder-DeWan S, Leslie HH. High-quality health systems in the SDG era: Country-specific priorities for improving quality of care. *PLoS Med.* 2019;16(10):e1002946. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002946.
7. Busse R, Panteli D, Quentin W. An introduction to healthcare quality: defining and explaining its role in health systems. In: Busse R, Klazinga N, Panteli D, et al., editors. *Improving healthcare quality in Europe: Characteristics, effectiveness, and implementation of different strategies* [Internet]. Copenhagen (Denmark): European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies; 2019. (Health Policy Series, No. 53.) 1. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK549277/> [Accessed March 16, 2022]
8. Williams JS, Walker RJ, Egede LE. Achieving Equity in an Evolving Healthcare System: Opportunities and Challenges. *Am J Med Sci.* 2016;351(1):33-43. doi: 10.1016/j.amjms.2015.10.012.
9. Durand MA, Yen R, Barr PJ, Cochran N, Aarts J, Légaré F, Reed M, James O'Malley A, Scalia P, Painchaud Guérard G, Elwyn G. Assessing medical student knowledge and attitudes about shared decision making across the curriculum: protocol for an international online survey and stakeholder analysis. *BMJ Open.* 2017;7(6):e015945. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-015945.
10. Khatana SA, Patton EW, Sanghavi DM. Public Policy and Physician Involvement: Removing Barriers, Enhancing Impact. *Am J Med.* 2017;130(1):8-10. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2016.07.020.
11. Dugger RA, El-Sayed AM, Messina C, Bronson R, Galea S. The Health Policy Attitudes of American Medical Students: A Pilot Survey. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(10):e0140656. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0140656.

12. Emil S, Nagurney JM, Mok E, Prislin MD. Attitudes and knowledge regarding health care policy and systems: a survey of medical students in Ontario and California. *CMAJ Open*. 2014; 2(4):E288-94. doi: 10.9778/cmajo.20130094.
13. Anderson PD, Suter RE, Mulligan T, Bodiwala G, Razzak JA, Mock C; International Federation for Emergency Medicine (IFEM) Task Force on Access and Availability of Emergency Care. World Health Assembly Resolution 60.22 and its importance as a health care policy tool for improving emergency care access and availability globally. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2012;60(1):35-44.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.annemergmed.2011.10.018.
14. Geppert CM, Arndell CL, Clithero A, Dow-Velarde LA, Eldredge JD, Kalishman S, Kaufman A, McGrew MC, Snyder TM, Solan BG, Timm CT, Tollestrup K, Wagner LK, Wiese WH, Wiggins CL, Cosgrove EM. Reuniting public health and medicine: the University of New Mexico School of Medicine Public Health Certificate. *Am J Prev Med*. 2011;41(4 Suppl 3): S214-9. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2011.06.001.
15. Buse K, Hawkes S. Health in the sustainable development goals: ready for a paradigm shift? *Global Health*. 2015;11:13. doi: 10.1186/s12992-015-0098-8.
16. Hawkes S, Buse K. Searching for the Right to Health in the Sustainable Development Agenda Comment on "Rights Language in the Sustainable Development Agenda: Has Right to Health Discourse and Norms Shaped Health Goals?". *Int J Health Policy Manag*. 2016;5(5):337-9. doi: 10.15171/ijhpm.2016.21.
17. Dang A, Likhar N, Alok U. Importance of Economic Evaluation in Health Care: An Indian Perspective. *Value Health Reg Issues*. 2016;9:78-83. doi: 10.1016/j.vhri.2015.11.005.
18. Saif-Ur-Rahman KM, Mamun R, Nowrin I, Hossain S, Islam K, Rumman T, Kabir E, Rahman A, Dahal N, Anwar I. Primary healthcare policy and governance in low-income and middle-income countries: an evidence gap map. *BMJ Glob Health*. 2019;4(Suppl 8):e001453. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2019-001453.
19. Madhav N, Oppenheim B, Gallivan M, et al. Pandemics: Risks, Impacts, and Mitigation. In: Jamison DT, Gelband H, Horton S, et al., editors. *Disease Control Priorities: Improving Health and Reducing Poverty*. 3rd edition. Washington (DC): The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank; 2017 Nov 27. Chapter 17. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK525302/> doi: 10.1596/978-1-4648-0527-1_ch17 [Accessed March 16, 2022]
20. Budd J, Miller BS, Manning EM, Lampos V, Zhuang M, Edelstein M, Rees G, Emery VC, Stevens MM, Keegan N, Short MJ, Pillay D, Manley E, Cox IJ, Heymann D, Johnson AM, McKendry RA. Digital technologies in the public-health response to COVID-19. *Nat Med*. 2020;26(8):1183-1192. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-1011-4.
21. Pagatpatan CP, Ward PR. Understanding the factors that make public participation effective in health policy and planning: a realist synthesis. *Aust J Prim Health*. 2017;23(6):516-530. doi: 10.1071/PY16129.
22. Paremoer L, Nandi S, Serag H, Baum F. Covid-19 pandemic and the social determinants of health. *BMJ*. 2021;372:n129. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n129.
23. Heesterbeek H, Anderson RM, Andreasen V, Bansal S, De Angelis D, Dye C, Eames KT, Edmunds WJ, Frost SD, Funk S, Hollingsworth TD, House T, Isham V, Klepac P, Lessler J, Lloyd-Smith JO, Metcalf CJ, Mollison D, Pellis L, Pulliam JR, Roberts MG, Viboud C;

- Isaac Newton Institute IDD Collaboration. Modeling infectious disease dynamics in the complex landscape of global health. *Science*. 2015;347(6227):aaa4339. doi: 10.1126/science.aaa4339.
24. Rajabzadeh V, Burn E, Sajun SZ, Suzuki M, Bird VJ, Priebe S. Understanding global mental health: a conceptual review. *BMJ Glob Health*. 2021;6(3):e004631. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2020-004631.
 25. Abubakar AR, Sani IH, Godman B, Kumar S, Islam S, Jahan I, Haque M. Systematic Review on the Therapeutic Options for COVID-19: Clinical Evidence of Drug Efficacy and Implications. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2020;13:4673-4695. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S289037.
 26. Pollard CA, Morran MP, Nestor-Kalinowski AL. The COVID-19 pandemic: a global health crisis. *Physiol Genomics*. 2020;52(11):549-557. doi: 10.1152/physiolgenomics.00089.2020.
 27. Haque M. The COVID-19 pandemic-a global public health crisis: a brief overview regarding pharmacological interventions. *Pesqui. Bras. Odontopediatria Clín. Integer*. 2020; 20(Supp1): e0146.doi:10.1590/pboci.2020.137
 28. Kaur N, Singh T. Teaching healthcare management to medical students: an early experience. *Natl Med J India*. 2013;26(3):166-8.
 29. Berkenbosch L, Brouns JW, Heyligers I, Busari JO. How Dutch medical residents perceive their competency as manager in the revised postgraduate medical curriculum. *Postgrad Med J*. 2011;87(1032):680-7. doi: 10.1136/pgmj.2010.110254.
 30. Gogineni V. Exposure to Health Care Economics and Policy in Medical School Curricula as an Avenue to Improve Patient Advocacy. *Acad Med*. 2021;96(1):12-13. doi: 10.1097/ACM.0000000000003783.
 31. Bhatt V, Arya A. Health Systems Education: A Call for National Medical Curriculum Reform. *Acad Med*. 2017;92(4):435-436. doi: 10.1097/ACM.0000000000001607.
 32. Fatoye F. Editorial: Understanding of health economics among healthcare professionals. *J Clin Nurs*. 2013;22(21-22):2979-80. doi: 10.1111/jocn.12454.
 33. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on the Health Professions Education Summit; Greiner AC, Knebel E, editors. *Health Professions Education: A Bridge to Quality*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2003. Chapter 3, The Core Competencies Needed for Health Care Professionals. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK221519/> [Accessed March 16, 2022]
 34. Fink-Samnack E. Managing the Social Determinants of Health: Part I: Fundamental Knowledge for Professional Case Management. *Prof Case Manag*. 2018;23(3):107-129. doi: 10.1097/NCM.000000000000281.
 35. Fink-Samnack E. Managing the Social Determinants of Health: Part II: Leveraging Assessment Toward Comprehensive Case Management. *Prof Case Manag*. 2018;23(5):240-255. doi: 10.1097/NCM.000000000000308.
 36. Naimoli JF, Saxena S. Realizing their potential to become learning organizations to foster health system resilience: opportunities and challenges for health ministries in low- and middle-income countries. *Health Policy Plan*. 2018 Dec 1;33(10):1083-1095. doi: 10.1093/heapol/czy100.

37. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Health Research and the Privacy of Health Information: The HIPAA Privacy Rule; Nass SJ, Levit LA, Gostin LO, editors. Beyond the HIPAA Privacy Rule: Enhancing Privacy, Improving Health Through Research. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2009. 3, The Value, Importance, and Oversight of Health Research. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK9571/> [Accessed March 16, 2022]
38. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Educating Public Health Professionals for the 21st Century; Gebbie K, Rosenstock L, Hernandez LM, editors. Who Will Keep the Public Healthy? Educating Public Health Professionals for the 21st Century. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2003. 3, The Future of Public Health Education. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK221190/> [Accessed March 16, 2022]
39. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Behavioral and Social Sciences in Medical School Curricula; Cuff PA, Vanselow NA, editors. Improving Medical Education: Enhancing the Behavioral and Social Science Content of Medical School Curricula. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2004. 3, The Behavioral and Social Sciences in Medical School Curricula. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK10239/> [Accessed March 16, 2022]
40. Hassali MA, Shafie AA, Al-Haddad MS, Abduelkarem AR, Ibrahim MI, Palaian S, Abrika OS. Social pharmacy as a field of study: the needs and challenges in global pharmacy education. *Res Social Adm Pharm.* 2011;7(4):415-20. doi: 10.1016/j.sapharm.2010.10.003.
41. Koplan JP, Bond TC, Merson MH, Reddy KS, Rodriguez MH, Sewankambo NK, Wasserheit JN; Consortium of Universities for Global Health Executive Board. Towards a common definition of global health. *Lancet.* 2009;373(9679):1993-5. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60332-9.
42. Harrington AR, Warholak TL, Hines LE, Taylor AM, Sherrill D, Malone DC. Healthcare professional students' knowledge of drug-drug interactions. *Am J Pharm Educ.* 2011;75(10):199. doi: 10.5688/ajpe7510199.
43. Patel VL, Yoskowitz NA, Arocha JF, Shortliffe EH. Cognitive and learning sciences in biomedical and health instructional design: A review with lessons for biomedical informatics education. *J Biomed Inform.* 2009;42(1):176-97. doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2008.12.002.
44. Austad KE, Avorn J, Kesselheim AS. Medical students' exposure to and attitudes about the pharmaceutical industry: a systematic review. *PLoS Med.* 2011;8(5):e1001037. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001037.
45. Buja LM. Medical education today: all that glitters is not gold. *BMC Med Educ.* 2019;19(1):110. doi: 10.1186/s12909-019-1535-9.
46. Peluso MJ, Hafler JP. Medical students as medical educators: opportunities for skill development in the absence of formal training programs. *Yale J Biol Med.* 2011;84(3):203-9.
47. Kligler B, Pinto Zipp G, Rocchetti C, Secic M, Ihde ES. The impact of integrating environmental health into medical school curricula: a survey-based study. *BMC Med Educ.* 2021 Jan 8;21(1):40. doi: 10.1186/s12909-020-02458-x.

48. Wellbery C, Sheffield P, Timmireddy K, Sarfaty M, Teherani A, Fallar R. It's Time for Medical Schools to Introduce Climate Change Into Their Curricula. *Acad Med.* 2018;93(12):1774-1777. doi: 10.1097/ACM.0000000000002368.
49. Jackson SF, Perkins F, Khandor E, Cordwell L, Hamann S, Buasai S. Integrated health promotion strategies: a contribution to tackling current and future health challenges. *Health Promot Int.* 2006;21 Suppl 1:75-83. doi: 10.1093/heapro/dal054.
50. Kumar S, Preetha G. Health promotion: an effective tool for global health. *Indian J Community Med.* 2012;37(1):5-12. doi:10.4103/0970-0218.94009
51. Nalunkuma R, Nkalubo J, Abila DB. Knowledge on Infection Prevention and Control and associated factors among undergraduate health professional students at Makerere University College of Health Sciences, Uganda. *PLoS One.* 2021;16(8):e0255984. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0255984.
52. Ahmed N, Shakoor M, Vohra F, Abduljabbar T, Mariam Q, Rehman MA. Knowledge, Awareness, and Practice of Health care Professionals amid SARS-CoV-2, Corona Virus Disease Outbreak. *Pak J Med Sci.* 2020;36(COVID19-S4):S49-S56. doi: 10.12669/pjms.36.COVID19-S4.2704.
53. Weiner S. Medical schools overhaul curricula to fight inequities. Association of American Medical Colleges, 655 K Street, NW, Suite 100 Washington, DC, 20001-2399. 2021. Available at <https://www.aamc.org/news-insights/medical-schools-overhaul-curricula-fight-inequities> [Accessed March 16, 2022]
54. Finkel ML. Integrating the public health component into the medical school curriculum. *Public Health Rep.* 2012;127(2):145-146. doi:10.1177/003335491212700201
55. Mohammed R, Shah Foridi J, Ogunmwonyi I. Barriers to implementing a health policy curriculum in medical schools. *Adv Med Educ Pract.* 2017;9:5-6. doi: 10.2147/AMEP.S156762.
56. Malik B, Ojha U, Khan H, Begum F, Khan H, Malik Q. Medical student involvement in health policy roles. *Adv Med Educ Pract.* 2017;8:735-743. doi: 10.2147/AMEP.S147212.
57. International Federations Of Medical Students Association (IFMSA). IFMSA Policy Document Global Health Education, e IFMSA General Assembly August Meeting 2019, in Taipei, Taiwan. 2019. Available at <https://ifmsa.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/AM19-Global-Health-Education.pdf> [Accessed March 16, 2022]
58. Walton R, Greenberg A, Ehlke D, Solá O. Development of a Health Policy Elective for Medical Students During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Pilot Study. *PRiMER.* 2020;4:29. doi: 10.22454/PRiMER.2020.557079.
59. Cole McGrew M, Wayne S, Solan B, Snyder T, Ferguson C, Kalishman S. Health Policy and Advocacy for New Mexico Medical Students in the Family Medicine Clerkship. *Fam Med.* 2015;47(10):799-802.
60. Denizard-Thompson N, Palakshappa D, Vallevand A, Kundu D, Brooks A, DiGiacobbe G, Griffith D, Joyner J, Snavelly AC, Miller DP Jr. Association of a Health Equity Curriculum With Medical Students' Knowledge of Social Determinants of Health and

- Confidence in Working With Underserved Populations. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2021;4(3):e210297. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.0297.
61. Keith KC, Carlos B, Friesinger M, Anderson K, Wilson K, Kern D, Hazen-Martin D, Hughes-Halbert C. Student Perspectives on Public Health Education in Undergraduate Medical Education. *Diversity and Equality in Health and Care*. 2018; 15(5): 234-240. doi: 10.21767/2049-5471.1000181
 62. Carney JK, Schilling LM, Frank SH, Biddinger PD, Bertsch TF, Grace CJ, Finkelstein JA. Planning and incorporating public health preparedness into the medical curriculum. *Am J Prev Med*. 2011;41(4 Suppl 3): S193-9. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2011.05.026.
 63. Nur A, Chaudry A, Sodha A. Broadening health policy education in medical school. *Adv Med Educ Pract*. 2018;9:107-108. doi: 10.2147/AMEP.S157569.
 64. Tavakol M, Dennick R. Making sense of Cronbach's alpha. *Int J Med Educ*. 2011;2:53-55. doi: 10.5116/ijme.4dfb.8dfd.
 65. Nunnally J, Bernstein L. *Psychometric theory*. New York: McGraw-Hill Higher, INC; 1994.
 66. Bland J, Altman D. *Statistics notes: Cronbach's alpha*. *BMJ*. 1997;314:275. doi: 10.1136/bmj.314.7080.572
 67. DeVellis R. *Scale development: theory and applications: theory and application*. Thousand Okas, CA: Sage; 2003.
 68. Taber KS. The Use of Cronbach's Alpha When Developing and Reporting Research Instruments in Science Education. *Res Sci Educ*. 2018; 48: 1273–1296. doi: 10.1007/s11165-016-9602-2
 69. Reddy S, Obremskey A, Hochman M, Schaff P, Harlan G, Saluja S. The impact of a new curriculum on medical students' interest in and knowledge of health systems science. *Healthc (Amst)*. 2020;8(2):100409. doi: 10.1016/j.hjdsi.2020.100409.
 70. Theophanous C, Peters P, O'Brien P, Cousineau MR. What do medical students think about healthcare policy education? *Educ Health (Abingdon)*. 2018;31(1):54-55. doi: 10.4103/1357-6283.239049.
 71. Frenk J, Chen L, Bhutta ZA, Cohen J, Crisp N, Evans T, Fineberg H, Garcia P, Ke Y, Kelley P, Kistnasamy B, Meleis A, Naylor D, Pablos-Mendez A, Reddy S, Scrimshaw S, Sepulveda J, Serwadda D, Zurayk H. Health professionals for a new century: transforming education to strengthen health systems in an interdependent world. *Lancet*. 2010;376(9756):1923-58. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61854-5.
 72. Oppong R, Mistry H, Frew E. Health economics education in undergraduate medical training: introducing the health economics education (HEe) website. *BMC Med Educ*. 2013; 13:126. doi: 10.1186/1472-6920-13-126.
 73. Jain V. Time to take health economics seriously-medical education in the United Kingdom. *Perspect Med Educ*. 2016;5(1):45-7. doi: 10.1007/s40037-015-0238-0.
 74. Da'ar OB, Al Shehri AM. Towards integration of health economics into medical education and clinical practice in Saudi Arabia. *Med Teach*. 2015;37 Suppl 1:S56-60. doi: 10.3109/0142159X.2015.1006611.

75. Gray E, Lorgelly PK. Health economics education in undergraduate medical degrees: an assessment of curricula content and student knowledge. *Med Teach*. 2010;32(5):392-9. doi: 10.3109/01421590903480113.
76. Gupta R. Why should medical students care about health policy? *PLoS Med*. 2006;3(10):e199. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0030199.
77. Platt M, Goodman AC. Introduction to learning and teaching health economics. In: *Handbook on Teaching Health Economics 2021*. Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd. Cheltenham, United Kingdom.
78. Sola O, Kothari P, Mason HRC, Onumah CM, Sánchez JP. The crossroads of health policy and academic medicine: an early introduction to health policy skills to facilitate change. *MedEdPORTAL*. 2019; 15:10827. doi: 10.15766/mep_2374-8265.10827
79. Agrawal JR, Huebner J, Hedgecock J, Sehgal AR, Jung P, Simon SR. Medical students' knowledge of the U.S. health care system and their preferences for curricular change: a national survey. *Acad Med*. 2005;80(5):484-8. doi: 10.1097/00001888-200505000-00017.
80. Beverly EA, Skinner D, Bianco JA, Ice GH. Osteopathic medical students' understanding of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act: a first step toward a policy-informed curriculum. *J Am Osteopath Assoc*. 2015;115(3):157-65. doi: 10.7556/jaoa.2015.029.
81. Mou D, Sarma A, Sethi R, Merryman R. The state of health policy education in U.S. medical schools. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364(10):e19. doi: 10.1056/NEJMp1101603.
82. Lotfi A. US Health Care System Challenges: What Every Premed Student Should Know. 2020. Available at <https://www.usnews.com/education/blogs/medical-school-admissions-doctor/articles/us-health-care-system-challenges-what-every-premed-student-should-know> [Accessed March 19, 2022]
83. Cooke M. Cost consciousness inpatient care--what is medical education's responsibility? *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362(14):1253-5. doi: 10.1056/NEJMp0911502.
84. Patel MS, Lypton ML, Davis MM. Medical student perceptions of education in health care systems. *Acad Med*. 2009;84(9):1301-6. doi: 10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181b17e3e.
85. Huntoon KM, McCluney CJ, Scannell CA, Wiley EA, Bruno R, Andrews A, Gorman P. Healthcare reform and the next generation: United States medical student attitudes toward the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(9):e23557. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0023557.
86. Quraishi SA, Orkin FK, Weitekamp MR, Khalid AN, Sassani JW. The Health Policy and Legislative Awareness Initiative at the Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine: theory meets practice. *Acad Med*. 2005;80(5):443-7. doi: 10.1097/00001888-200505000-00006.
87. Jacobsohn V, DeArman M, Moran P, Cross J, Dietz D, Allen R, Bachofer S, Dow-Velarde L, Kaufman A. Changing hospital policy from the wards: an introduction to health policy education. *Acad Med*. 2008;83(4):352-6. doi: 10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181667d6e.