

Tandem Recurrence Relations for Coefficients of Logarithmic Frobenius Series Solutions about Regular Singular Points

Dr. Ramses van der Toorn

Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mathematics and Computer Science,
Delft University of Technology,
Mekelweg 4, 2628 CD, Delft,
The Netherlands
e-mail: r.vandertoorn@tudelft.nl

9th September 2021

Abstract

We enhance Frobenius' method for solving linear ordinary differential equations about regular singular points. Key to Frobenius' approach is the exploration of the derivative with respect to a single parameter; this parameter is introduced through the powers of generalized power series. Extending this approach, we discover that tandem recurrence relations can be derived. These relations render coefficients for series occurring in logarithmic solutions. The method applies to the, practically important, exceptional cases in which the roots of the indicial equation are equal, or differ by a non-zero integer. We demonstrate the method on Bessel's equation and derive previously unknown tandem recurrence relations for coefficients of solutions of the second kind, for Bessel equations of all integer and half-integer order.

keywords: ordinary differential equations, Frobenius method, tandem recurrence relations, Bessel's equation

Mathematics Subject Classification: 02.30.Hq, 02.30.Mv, 02.40.Xx

1 Introduction

1.1 Tandem Recurrence Relations for Solutions of Differential Equations

In this manuscript we significantly enhance Frobenius' method for derivation of generalized power series solutions of linear ordinary differential equations with variable, analytical coefficients, about their regular singular points. As we shall explain, *Frobenius' method* here refers to his method of exploring derivatives d/dr , with respect to a parameter r that denotes the power of a prefactor to analytical series, as they occur in generalized series solutions. *The standing problem*, that hitherto has prohibited practical applications of Frobenius' d/dr -method in important cases, but *that we shall resolve in this paper*, is the following.

The current, most practical, documented version [7, 5, 2] of Frobenius' d/dr -method, as far as it aims to *calculate* the coefficients c_n of the correction series that may occur in generalized power series solutions, *cannot be evaluated recursively*. Indeed, as we shall explain in detail in section 4.3, when it comes to calculation of coefficient c_n , the documented methods do not, and cannot, profit from the fact that at that stage the c_m for $m < n$ are already known. Instead, the derivative d/dr is to be

applied to intermediate quantities $a_n(r)$ that have to be *explicitly calculated as functions of parameter r and index n* . This will usually have to be done explicitly for each subsequent integer value of n . Because these explicit expressions for the quantities $a_n(r)$ tend to be very complicated, and hence their subsequent derivations readily become enormously tedious, this strategy would render Frobenius' d/dr -method virtually intractable in practical cases. This may explain that Frobenius' d/dr method, although its idea once was recommended [14, sec 3.5]¹, is usually no longer covered in modern textbooks [11, e.g.].

The aim of this manuscript is to develop and present the full power of Frobenius' d/dr -method, *for practical applications*. We focus on second order equations of which the indicial polynomial has only real roots. The novelty of our approach has bearing on the two so-called "exceptional cases". The first of these concerns the second linearly independent solution in case the two roots of the indicial equation are equal. The second case concerns the solution associated with the smallest of the two roots, in case the two roots differ by a non-zero integer N .

The key result presented in this manuscript is the existence of *tandem recurrence relations* for the coefficients of the second linearly independent solution of the differential equation in the two exceptional cases just mentioned. We present a *general method* to *construct* these and this renders our new, enhanced variant of Frobenius' d/dr method to be a practically applicable and rather efficient, algorithmic method to construct generalized power series solutions *in all cases*.

So as to provide a non-trivial example, we demonstrate our method on Bessel's equation, obtaining tandem recurrence relations for coefficients of solutions of the second kind, for Bessel equations of integer or half-integer² order. To our best knowledge, this particular representation of Bessel functions has not yet been documented in the literature.

1.2 Frobenius' method

Frobenius' method [8] is widely established in textbooks [7, 13, 5, 6, 4, 2, 3, 11] as a theory of solutions of linear ordinary differential equations

$$L[y(x), x] = 0 \quad , \quad (1)$$

about their regular singular points. To avoid the prolixity that comes with unneeded generality, in this manuscript we shall restrict our presentation to cases of second order equations. Rephrased within the limitations of this restriction, Frobenius [8] opened his 1873 paper introducing the linear operator L (actually P in his notation) in (1) as

$$L[y(x), x] = x^2 \lambda(x) y''(x) + x p(x) y'(x) + q(x) y(x) \quad , \quad (2)$$

with the restrictions that the functions $\lambda(x)$, $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ be analytical functions in a neighborhood of $x = 0$, so they have series expansions

$$\lambda(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n x^n \quad , \quad p(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n x^n \quad , \quad q(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n x^n \quad . \quad (3)$$

A further restriction is that $\lambda_0 \neq 0$. These conditions together restrict $x = 0$ to be what is now commonly known as a *regular singular point*.

Proceeding from an *Ansatz* (through which parameter r is introduced)

$$y(x, r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+r} \quad , \quad (4)$$

¹.. be it without proper explanation about how to apply the method efficiently..

²We follow the convention that a *half-integer* is a number of the form $n + \frac{1}{2}$, where n is an integer.

Frobenius recovered, in a more direct and simpler [8, 12] way, earlier results of Fuchs [9, 10]. An overview was established of the solutions of (1) in the form of generalized power series (4) about the regular singular point, possibly with an additional term involving a logarithmic factor. Frobenius [8] included a proof of uniform convergence of all series involved, concluding the proof that solutions of the differential equation had been achieved indeed [12]. One of the key merits of Frobenius' method, from a modern application point of view, is that it readily provides a characterization of the solutions about regular singular points, in terms of whether or not their values are singular, whether or not their derivatives are singular and whether or not they have terms that include a logarithmic factor. Moreover, Frobenius' method is *constructive*, in the sense that it renders algorithms for computation of solutions of differential equations; these algorithms indeed express the solutions in terms of converging series of operations of elementary arithmetic, logarithms and power functions. The radius of convergence of the series involved is also predicted by Frobenius' theory.

In the works of Fuchs the indicial equation

$$\rho(r) = 0 \quad (5)$$

for r can already be found; in this, for second order equations, the *indicial polynomial* $\rho(r)$ is defined as

$$\rho(r) = \lambda_0 r(r-1) + p_0 r + q_0 \quad (6)$$

The complete overview of the possible solutions of (1) associated with the roots of the indicial equation (5) had also been established by Fuchs [9, 10, 12]. This included the result that, in cases in which the two roots r_1 and r_2 of the indicial equation ³ differ by an integer N , i.e. $r_1 - r_2 = N$, a second independent solution $y_2(x)$ of (1), with (2), (3) and (4), is of the form ⁴

$$y_2(x) = a y(x, r_1) \ln(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^{n+r_2} \quad (7)$$

The novelty in Frobenius' approach (i.e. his *method*) was the exploration of r as a parameter. This involved his derivation of especially the solutions of type (7), that possibly include logarithmic terms – coefficient a may vanish – *by means of differentiation with respect to r* ; in what follows, we shall briefly refer to this as to the “ d/dr -method”. It is this method that we shall enhance in the present manuscript.

1.3 Tandem recurrence relations emerging from the d/dr method

The d/dr method is relevant to cases in which the roots of the indicial equation (5) differ by an integer, including cases in which the roots are equal. A novel ⁵ aspect that we shall present in the current manuscript is the fact that by the “ d/dr -method” it is possible to derive a *tandem of two interlinked recurrence relations*. That is, two recurrence relations that combined allow for straightforward computation of the coefficients c_n of (7). The first member, (16), of the tandem, (16) combined with (17), is a recurrence relation for auxiliary coefficients $a_n(r_2)$ analogous to the a_n in (4), but associated with the smallest root r_2 of the indicial equation. The second member of the tandem is recurrent in the c_n , but involves the $a_n(r_2)$. We shall also obtain the value of the coefficient a of the logarithmic term in (7).

³In this manuscript we shall restrict to cases in which the roots r_1 and r_2 of the indicial equation (5) are real and we shall adhere to the convention that r_1 and r_2 are ordered as $r_2 \leq r_1$.

⁴In expression (7) we allow N to be either equal to zero or to be a positive integer. Later in the manuscript the case $N = 0$ needs to be distinguished.

⁵So far, we have not been able to detect any documentation of this aspect of the d/dr -method in the existing literature.

Our technique to derive a tandem of recurrence relations for c_n seems to not be widely known, if at all. The classic textbook by Boyce and DiPrima [2] does present formulae, partly without presenting the underlying theory, for the d/dr -approach in connection with solutions of type (7). How to apply these formulae is most explicitly outlined in Boyce and DiPrima's [2] exercise about solving Bessel's equation of order 1 by their version of the d/dr -method. This exercise truthfully follows Forsyth's [7, chap. VI] solution of this problem, which was later recommended, but not covered in detail, by Watson [14, sec 3.5]. Earlier, Ince did include, in his extensive sections covering Frobenius' method [13, sec. 16], a detailed presentation of Forsyth's solution of Bessel's equation [13, sec. 16.32], acknowledging Forsyth for it. Forsyth himself praised Frobenius' *process* as being *more general, simpler, and more direct* [7, chap. VI], than the more conventional ways to introduce Bessel functions.

Yet, along the route that apparently Forsyth paved, to obtain the coefficients of generalized power series for Bessel functions of the second kind, it is required to solve the recurrence relation for the coefficients a_n , so as to obtain an explicit, non-recurrent expression for these. The tandem recurrence relations that we shall introduce are fully outside the scope of the Forsyth route. Indeed, as we shall discuss in section 4.3, the formulae implementing the d/dr -method as presented in Boyce and DiPrima cannot be used to construct the tandem relations that we shall present. Actually, it seems that our tandem relations have not yet been documented at all in the existing literature.

The fact that solving a recurrence relation is no longer needed in our tandem approach greatly enhances the range and ease of application of the d/dr -method and indeed turns it into an algorithmic tool for solving Fuchsian differential equations. Furthermore, the existence of the tandem relations, and their structure, are of interest in their own right.

1.4 To normalize or not to normalize the coefficient of the highest order derivative

In his 1873 paper Frobenius merely set himself the task to recover, in a more direct and simpler way, results about the solutions of differential equations that Fuchs had published a decade earlier [9, 10]. Given these aims, it is fully understandable that, to start with, Frobenius pointed out that the requirements put on $\lambda(x)$, $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ (in our notation here) allow for division by $\lambda(x)$. For a general theoretical treatment this is simply equivalent to assuming that $\lambda(x) = 1$ and redefining $p(x)$ and $q(x)$. And so, "*Zur Vereinfachung der Beweise*" ("*To simplify the proofs*"), Frobenius assumed $\lambda(x) = 1$, indeed merely to simplify the notation throughout his paper.

Textbooks [7, 13, 5, 6, 2, 4, 3] have followed this convention ever since. For theoretical purposes, no generality is lost. In applications however, $\lambda(x)$, $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are often polynomials. In such cases, division by $\lambda(x)$ and redefining $p(x)$ and $q(x)$, such that the expansions (3) again apply, will *turn* $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ *from finite degree polynomials into infinite series*. The theoretical results of Frobenius are not sensitive to this. Indeed, his method is fully applicable to any analytical $p(x)$ and $q(x)$. *Practically speaking* however, division by $\lambda(x)$ may have both subtle and dramatic consequences, as outlined in the following paragraphs.

To further introduce this, we turn our attention to key ingredients in the theory, namely the recurrence relations for the coefficients a_n of series (4). In the introduction of his 1873 paper Frobenius explicitly documented to have found ("*fand ich*") that for solutions of differential equations as defined by (1) to (3), the coefficients of series solutions can be calculated easily ("*einfach*"). Apparently, by this he meant that, once the *Ansatz* (4) is accepted, deriving recurrence relations for the a_n turns out to be straightforward. His subsequent proof of convergence, including the valuable proof that the radius of convergence is at least that of the series for $p(x)$ and $q(x)$, is founded on his method to calculate the coefficients.

In general, the recurrence relations for the a_n tend to involve the previous coefficients a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} ,

the number of which is strictly increasing as a function of n . That is, the recurrence relations for the a_n tend to change in form and grow in size, as a function of n . Accordingly, the computations of the coefficients c_n of solutions of type (7) will also increase in complexity as a function of n . The complexity of the computation of the coefficient a of the logarithmic term in (7) is affected likewise.

The coefficient a may vanish, and therefore its value is of considerable practical interest. As a criterion for this coefficient of the logarithmic term to vanish, Frobenius [8] recovered Fuchs' [10] conditions, in terms of determinants of rows and columns representing the recurrence relations for the a_n . If the recurrence relations grow in length with increasing n , the complexity of the determinants increases accordingly, and as it concerns determinants, dramatically so.

These increases of complexities of the recurrence relations, and consequently of the determinants, are induced when any of the functions $\lambda(x)$, $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ needs to be represented by an infinite series. When $\lambda(x)$, $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ all are polynomials however, of at most degree M , then for $M < n$, the recurrence relations for the coefficients a_n of solutions of form (4) will at most depend on the M previous coefficients a_{n-M}, \dots, a_{n-1} . They will be functions of n , but, as we will highlight in the present manuscript, their *computational complexity will no longer depend on n* . In practice, they can actually simply be conceived as a single, fixed, closed form recurrence relation that depends on r , n and a fixed number of previous coefficients a_m , $m < n$. As we shall see, this simplicity carries over to the calculation of the coefficients c_n . As we indicated above, this simplicity may be lost, when $\lambda(x)$ is normalized to 1. Therefore, in section 2 we shall avoid this normalization; this in itself evokes a slight generalization of Frobenius original formulae [8] on the subject.

1.5 Outlook

The plan for this manuscript further is as follows. In section 2 we shall revisit, and further develop understanding of, Frobenius' approach to the solutions of linear second order differential equations as specified by relations (1) to (3). The first of our results consists of the combination of expressions (16) and (17). These relations form a *tandem of two recurrence relations*. From it, the coefficients of an, as such well-known, series solution containing a logarithmic term, (19), can be obtained. In section 2.2.5 we show that and how relation (17) can be *derived* by differentiation with respect to Frobenius' parameter r .

In section 2.2.5 we obtain this result for the case of two equal roots of the indicial equation. In section 2.3 we obtain a similar result for the more subtle case in which the two roots differ by a non-zero integer N , $r_1 - r_2 = N$.

An important difference between the two cases is that, while for equal roots, i.e. if $r_1 = r_2$, a logarithmic term *always* occurs in the second independent solution of the differential equation, in cases $r_1 - r_2 = N$ the logarithmic term *may* vanish. As we shall discuss in section 2.3.4, solutions of a differential equation about so-called *ordinary points* turn out to be an example of this. Another important example is provided by Bessel functions of second kind and half-integer order, to be covered in appropriate detail in section 3.5.3. Solving Bessel's equation with our variant of Frobenius' method also provides illustrative and useful examples of tandem recurrence relations for series solutions. We derive such relations relevant to Bessel functions of the second kind in the course of section 3; these tandem recurrence relations do not seem to have been documented yet in the literature.

Section 4 provides a summary of the main results and a concise reflection on the history of key ingredients of the material. The reason for postponing this reflection to section 4, instead of incorporating it in this introductory section 1, is that we feel that a comprehensible reflection on these matters is really only possible after the theory in sections 2 and 3 has been presented.

The historical reflection in section 4 is essentially an attempt to understand why the full power of

the d/dr -method has only come to surface now, i.e. almost one and a half century after Frobenius first introduced the approach of exploring d/dr .

2 Frobenius' approach

2.1 Frobenius' expansion of the image of the differential operator, first solution of the differential equation and second solution when the roots of the indicial equation are unequal and do not differ by an integer

2.1.1 General expansion of the image of the differential operator

As we mentioned in the introduction, Frobenius' approach to construct solutions for equation (1), (2) builds on the *Ansatz* (4). The existence and details of a first solution y_1 of this form readily follow from substitution of (3) and (4) into (2) and expanding. As a first and founding step of his approach however, Frobenius' wrote down the result of such an expansion *for general* r . Following this initiative, we arrive at the following expansion of the image of any function $y(x, r)$ of the form (4), as produced by the differential operator (2), with $\rho(r)$ as in (6),

$$L[y(x, r), x] = \rho(r) a_0 x^r + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\rho(n+r) a_n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ((i+r)(i+r-1)\lambda_{n-i} + (i+r)p_{n-i} + q_{n-i}) a_i \right) x^{n+r} ; \quad (8)$$

we emphasize that this expansion is valid for any value of r .

2.1.2 Solution associated with the largest root of the indicial equation

From (8) it follows that $y(x, r_1)$, in which r_1 is the largest root of the indicial equation (5), so that $\rho(r_1) = 0$, and with $y(x, r)$ as given by (4), provides a first solution of (1) if its coefficients a_n satisfy

$$\rho(n+r_1) a_n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ((i+r_1)(i+r_1-1)\lambda_{n-i} + (i+r_1)p_{n-i} + q_{n-i}) a_i = 0 \quad , \quad 1 \leq n \quad . \quad (9)$$

Since r_1 is the *largest* of the roots r_1 and r_2 of the indicial equation $\rho(r) = 0$, it follows that $\rho(n+r_1) \neq 0$, for all n , $1 \leq n$. Relation (9) then provides a recurrence relation, which uniquely defines the values of all of the coefficients a_n , given r_1 and any chosen value for a_0 .

2.1.3 Second linearly independent solution? Emergence of the so-called exceptional cases

A second independent solution $y_2(x, r_2)$ of (1) of the form (4) exists, fully analogous to $y(x, r_1)$, if $r_1 \neq r_2$ and provided $\rho(n+r_2) \neq 0$, for all n , $1 \leq n$. The second condition is equivalent to $r_1 - r_2$ not being equal to a positive integer.

Frobenius' method [8] is especially distinguished when these latter conditions are violated, i.e. when either $r_1 = r_2$ or $r_1 - r_2 = N$, in which N is a positive integer. These cases have been referred to as to the *exceptional cases* [5], but precisely these have turned out to frequently be of great relevance in mathematical physics.

2.2 Conception of the d/dr method, general relations central to the method and second linearly independent solution in case the roots of the indicial equation are equal

2.2.1 Inspiration from the case in which the roots of the indicial equation are equal

In case the two roots r_1 and r_2 of the indicial equation $\rho(r) = 0$, (5), are equal, the graph of $\rho(r)$ will be a parabola tangent to the horizontal axis of the (r, ρ) plane. In that case, together with its value $\rho(r_1) = \rho(r_2) = 0$, the derivative $\rho'(r)$ of the function $\rho(r)$ will *also* vanish for $r = r_1$; i.e. we shall have *both* $\rho(r_1) = 0$ and $\rho'(r_1) = 0$. In view of the fact that $\rho(r)$ appears in the leading term at the right hand side of expression (8), this coincidence can be taken as a hint to explore the derivatives of both sides of expression (8) with respect to r .

A step that will enable us to actually *focus* on the first term at the right hand side of expression (8), is to introduce, and confine ourselves to, a class of functions, such that the nested sum in expression (8) will vanish identically.

2.2.2 Frobenius' class of functions $\tilde{y}(x, r)$ and general relations central to the d/dr method

The right hand side of expression (8) can be simplified significantly, if, following an initiative that Frobenius took on the fourth page of his 1873 paper, we introduce the notation $\tilde{y}(x, r)$ for functions $y(x, r)$ of the form (4) *while their coefficients a_n furthermore are required* ⁶ *to satisfy*

$$\rho(n+r)a_n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ((i+r)(i+r-1)\lambda_{n-i} + (i+r)p_{n-i} + q_{n-i})a_i = 0 \quad , \quad 1 \leq n \quad ; \quad (10)$$

this relation indeed ensures that the nested sum in expression (8) will vanish identically, whenever $y(x, r)$ is of the type $\tilde{y}(x, r)$.

Note that, again following Frobenius, we explicitly do *not* yet require r to be a solution of the indicial equation; rather, r is explicitly kept as a parameter of the functions $\tilde{y}(x, r)$. Note furthermore that relation (10) then implies that the coefficients a_n will be functions of r . Once more following Frobenius, we now also explicitly allow a_0 to depend on r ⁷.

The key advantage of the introduction of the functions $\tilde{y}(x, r)$ is that, when expression (8) is applied to this class of functions, the nested series on the right hand side of (8) will vanish *regardless the value of r* , and hence so will its derivative with respect to r . Hence, provided it is allowed to interchange the order of derivatives with respect to x and r respectively, taking the derivative with respect to r of the both sides of expression (8) leads to

$$L\left[\frac{d\tilde{y}(x, r)}{dr}, x\right] = \left(\left(\frac{da_0(r)}{dr} + a_0(r)\ln(x)\right)\rho(r) + a_0(r)\frac{d\rho(r)}{dr}\right)x^r \quad . \quad (11)$$

2.2.3 First application of the d/dr method: solutions in case the roots r_1 and r_2 of the indicial equation are equal

From relation (11) it follows that in case $r_1 = r_2$ we shall have

$$L\left[\frac{d\tilde{y}(x, r)}{dr}, x\right]|_{r=r_1} = 0 \quad ,$$

⁶Note that this is not prevented by occurrence of $\rho(n+r_2) = 0$ for some value of n . Such occurrence would possibly prevent (10) to have a *unique* solution for the a_n , $1 \leq n$, but it would *not* prevent it to have a solution at all.

⁷As we shall discuss in section 4, the possible dependence of a_0 on r does turn out to play a key role in the theory, be it seemingly in a different way than originally anticipated by Frobenius.

since, as we discussed, $r_1 = r_2$ implies that together with $\rho(r_1) = 0$ we shall have $\rho'(r_1) = 0$. Hence the function

$$\frac{d\tilde{y}(x, r)}{dr} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{da_n(r)}{dr} x^{n+r} + \ln(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(r) x^{n+r} , \quad (12)$$

provided all series involved converge, *after substitution* $r = r_1$ reduces to a second solution

$$\begin{aligned} y_2(x) &= \frac{d\tilde{y}(x, r)}{dr} \Big|_{r=r_1} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{da_n(r)}{dr} \Big|_{r=r_1} x^{n+r_1} + \ln(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(r_1) x^{n+r_1} , \quad (r_1 = r_2) , \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

of the differential equation (1) in the exceptional case of equal roots of the indicial equation. Given the occurrence of the singular factor $\ln(x)$, it is straightforward to show that $y_2(x)$ (13) and $y(x, r_1)$ will be linearly independent.

2.2.4 The derivative of the first recurrence relation with respect to Frobenius' parameter r

An aspect that, to the best of the author's knowledge, has not yet been mentioned in the existing literature as yet, is the following. Relation (10) is considered to be an *identity* in r . From this it follows that the derivative of the left hand side of relation (10) with respect to r must identically vanish. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(n+r) \frac{da_n(r)}{dr} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ((i+r)(i+r-1)\lambda_{n-i} + (i+r)p_{n-i} + q_{n-i}) \frac{da_i(r)}{dr} + \\ + \rho'(n+r) a_n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ((2(i+r)-1)\lambda_{n-i} + p_{n-i}) a_i = 0 , \quad 1 \leq n . \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

In this, $\rho'(r)$ denotes the derivative of the indicial polynomial function (6).

We emphasize here that relation (14) is valid for any r . We shall now first apply it in the case $r_1 = r_2$. In section 2.3 we shall apply it to the other exceptional case, i.e. when $r_1 - r_2 = N$, in which N then will be a positive integer.

2.2.5 Tandem recurrence relations for generalized power series coefficients in case $r_1 = r_2$

In the case of equal roots of the indicial equation, $r_1 = r_2$, relation (14), together with relation (10) itself, will form a tandem of recurrence relations for the coefficients a_n and b_n , with

$$b_n = \frac{da_n(r)}{dr} \Big|_{r=r_1} , \quad (15)$$

occurring in solution (13). Indeed, relation (10), with r_1 substituted for r , forms the first member of this tandem:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(n+r_1) a_n(r_1) + \\ + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ((i+r_1)(i+r_1-1)\lambda_{n-i} + (i+r_1)p_{n-i} + q_{n-i}) a_i(r_1) = 0 , \quad 1 \leq n . \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

From this recurrence relation the coefficients $a_n(r_1)$ follow, given any choice of $a_0(r_1)$. The second member of the tandem follows from relation (14), using (15) and after substitution of r_1 for r :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(n+r_1)b_n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ((i+r_1)(i+r_1-1)\lambda_{n-i} + (i+r_1)p_{n-i} + q_{n-i})b_i + \\ + \rho'(n+r_1)a_n(r_1) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ((2(i+r_1)-1)\lambda_{n-i} + p_{n-i})a_i(r_1) = 0 \quad , \quad 1 \leq n . \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

This relation provides a recurrence relation for the coefficients b_n , (15). Because, in case $r = r_1 = r_2$, for $1 \leq n$ the coefficient $\rho(n+r_1)$ of b_n will not vanish, the recurrence relations (17) uniquely define the values of the coefficients b_n , for all $1 \leq n$. Note that (17) takes the $a_n(r_1)$, as calculated from relation (16) as input. It is in this sense that (16) and (17) form a tandem.

The coefficients $a_m(r_1)$ in (17) were defined by recurrence relation (10) with $r = r_1$, i.e. by (16) actually, for any choice of $a_0(r)$. Using the conventional choice $a_0(r_1) = 1$, and reusing this for the second solution, the $a_n(r_1)$ are really the same coefficients as those of the solution $y(x, r_1)$. With this choice, according to (15),

$$b_0 = \left(\frac{da_0(r)}{dr} \right)_{|r=r_1} = 0 \quad , \quad (18)$$

so that in case $r_1 = r_2$, from (13) we recover the well-known result that

$$y_2(x) = \ln(x) y(x, r_1) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n x^{n+r_1} \quad (19)$$

provides a second linearly independent solution of the differential equation.

2.2.6 The novelty of, and enhancement established by, the tandem technique

The *novelty* rendered by our reconstruction of (19), i.e. our *enhancement* of the underlying d/dr -method, lies in the fact that the coefficients b_n can be computed, algorithmically, from the tandem formed by relations (16) and (17), starting from $a_0 = 1$ and $b_0 = 0$. *The decisive enhancement established by our tandem method lies in the fact that it eliminates the need⁸ to solve the recurrence relations (10) for the $a_n(r)$, so as to obtain all the coefficients $a_n(r)$ explicitly as functions of r .* Indeed, solving recurrence relations (10) for the a_n as explicit functions of r can be forbiddingly complicated, or even difficult, whereas recursive evaluation of our tandem (16) and (17) is merely a routine, in all cases. As a result, once enhanced with our tandem technique, Frobenius' d/dr -method becomes an efficient, algorithmic method for routinely solving differential equations about their regular singular points.

2.3 Solutions associated with r_2 in case $r_1 - r_2 = N$

2.3.1 Structure of indicial polynomial

Because r_1 and r_2 are the roots of the indicial polynomial $\rho(r)$, we can rewrite $\rho(r)$ (6) as

$$\rho(r) = \lambda_0 (r - r_1) (r - r_2) \quad . \quad (20)$$

⁸This need is suggested by relation (15); indeed Boyce and DiPrima [2], in their discussion of application of relation (15), mentioned the need to “first determine $a_n(r)$ ”.

Hence, the pre-factor of a_N according to (10) for $n = N$, which is the same as that of da_N/dr according to (14) for $n = N$, is

$$\rho(N+r) = \lambda_0(r - (r_1 - N))(r - (r_2 - N)) \quad . \quad (21)$$

In case the two roots r_1 and r_2 , of the indicial polynomial differ by a positive integer number N , $r_1 - r_2 = N$, expression (21) reduces to

$$\rho(N+r) = \lambda_0(r - r_2)(r - (r_2 - N)) \quad , \quad \text{in case } r_1 - r_2 = N \quad . \quad (22)$$

Hence, in case $r_1 - r_2 = N$, in which N is a positive integer, the pre-factor of a_N according to (10) and that of da_N/dr according to (14), vanishes if $r = r_2$. Hence, the coefficient a_N and the quantity da_N/dr remain free, as far as equations (10) and (14) are concerned. With respect to possible solutions $y(x, r_2)$ of the form (4), i.e. without logarithm, with $r = r_2$ and with the coefficients obeying (10), the situation is then as outlined in the next subsection.

2.3.2 With $r = r_2$ and the free coefficient a_N , a solution linearly dependent on $y(x, r_1)$ is associated

Since the coefficient of a_N vanishes for $r = r_2$, equation (10) for $n = N$ reduces to a relation for a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1} . This implies that for $n = 1, \dots, N$, equation (10) provides a homogeneous system of N coupled linear algebraic equations for the N coefficients a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1} . The matrix of coefficients of this system *may* be singular, in which case the system would allow for a non-trivial solution.

We insert two remarks, labeled for later reference:

Remark 2.1 *From the recurrent structure of the equations, combined with the fact that the coefficient of a_n in equation (10) will be non-zero for $n = 1, \dots, N-1$, it is clear that any non-trivial solution for coefficients a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1} would have at most a single free parameter: all coefficients a_1, \dots, a_{N-1} could be expressed as functions of a_0 .*

Remark 2.2 *In any case, the system of N coupled linear algebraic equations will have the trivial solution*

$$a_0 = 0, \dots, a_{N-1} = 0 \quad .$$

We shall refer back to the case of non-trivial solutions, remark 2.1, later. We shall now first further explore the trivial solution $a_0 = 0, \dots, a_{N-1} = 0$, remark 2.2.

Proceeding – regardless of the matrix being singular or non-singular – with the trivial solution $a_0 = 0, \dots, a_{N-1} = 0$, combined with free coefficient a_N , expression (10) provides recurrence relations for all a_m , $N+1 \leq m$. In this way it does provide a solution $y(x, r_2)$ of the form (4), with $r = r_2$. Rewriting (10), for $N \leq n$, in terms of new indices of summation $m = n - N$ and $j = i - N$, using $r_1 - r_2 = N$, and finally expressing the result in terms of new coefficients $d_m = a_{m+N}$ reveals that the solution $y(x, r_2)$ thus obtained is the same as, or a multiple of, $y(x, r_1)$.

In summary, if $r_1 - r_2 = N$, for any positive integer N , then through its solution initiated by $a_0 = 0, \dots, a_{N-1} = 0$ and its free parameter a_N , when applied to construct solutions of the form (4) with $r = r_2$, relation (10) renders a solution that is merely a multiple of $y(x, r_1)$.

2.3.3 Second linearly independent solution in case $r_1 - r_2 = N$

In this subsection we continue to explore remark 2.2: we continue to explore the trivial solution

$$a_0 = 0, \dots, a_{N-1} = 0 \quad , \quad (23)$$

of the set of algebraic equations that is obtained from (10) for $n = 1, \dots, N$. In case $r_1 - r_2 = N$, an independent second solution of differential equation (1) is associated with the smallest root $r = r_2$ of the indicial equation, combined with the trivial solution $a_0 = 0, \dots, a_{N-1} = 0$, as follows.

Obviously, since r_2 is a root of the indicial equation (5), we have $\rho(r_2) = 0$. Hence, since we have $a_0 = 0$, when $r = r_2$ is substituted into expression (11), the right hand side of expression (11) will vanish. This just shows that expression (12) will reduce to a solution of differential equation (1) if we substitute $r = r_2$ into it, while we have $a_0 = 0$. This solution takes the form

$$y_2(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^{n+r_2} + \ln(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(r_2) x^{n+r_2} \quad . \quad (24)$$

With $a_0(r_2) = 0, \dots, a_{N-1}(r_2) = 0$ and the coefficients $a_n(r_2)$ satisfying (10), we identify the second series as $a_N(r_2) y(x, r_1)$, so we recover the familiar result [7, 13, 5, 2]

$$y_2(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^{n+r_2} + a_N(r_2) \ln(x) y(x, r_1) \quad . \quad (25)$$

The coefficients c_n in (24) or (25), defined fully analogously to (15), as

$$c_n = \left(\frac{da_n(r)}{dr} \right) \Big|_{r=r_2} \quad , \quad (26)$$

must furthermore satisfy relation (14) for $r = r_2$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(n+r_2) c_n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ((i+r_2)(i+r_2-1)\lambda_{n-i} + (i+r_2)p_{n-i} + q_{n-i}) c_i + \\ + \rho'(n+r_2) a_n(r_2) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ((2(i+r_2)-1)\lambda_{n-i} + p_{n-i}) a_i(r_2) = 0 \quad , \quad 1 \leq n ; \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

the coefficients $a_m(r_2)$ in this are the solutions of relation (10), for $r = r_2$ and with starting values (23). NB: immediately after the next paragraph, we shall consider $a_N(r_2)$.

In the context of relation (27) with $n = N$, it is now c_N which appears as a free, undetermined coefficient. In view of $r = r_2$, the form of the series in which c_N appears and in the light of our discussion above of how a free $a_N(r_2)$ in the context of (4) merely produces a copy of $y(x, r_1)$, we recognize that the free coefficient c_N once more represents the possibility to add a multiple of $y(x, r_1)$ to (24).

Note furthermore that in the new context of (24) and (27), the coefficient $a_N(r_2)$ is no longer free: its coefficient in relations (27), for $n = N$, does *not* vanish. Indeed, this coefficient is $\rho'(N+r_2) = \rho'(r_1)$, and since $r_1 \neq r_2$, certainly $\rho'(r_1) \neq 0$. Hence, given $a_0(r_2) = 0, \dots, a_{N-1}(r_2) = 0$, the coefficient $a_N(r_2)$ is actually determined by (27) for $n = N$, i.e. by

$$\rho'(r_1) a_N(r_2) + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} ((i+r_2)(i+r_2-1)\lambda_{N-i} + (i+r_2)p_{N-i} + q_{N-i}) c_i = 0 \quad . \quad (28)$$

The c_i occurring in this equation are to be obtained from relations (27) for $n = 1, \dots, N-1$, i.e. from

$$\rho(n+r_2)c_n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ((i+r_2)(i+r_2-1)\lambda_{n-i} + (i+r_2)p_{n-i} + q_{n-i})c_i = 0, \quad (29)$$

$$1 \leq n \leq N-1,$$

so that c_0 is left as a free coefficient; this represents the scaling freedom of solution $y_2(x)$, due to the linearity of the differential equation.

2.3.4 Possibility of solutions associated with r_2 without a logarithmic term

We recall that in the previous subsection, we are aiming to construct a solution of type (25). The procedure is to calculate coefficients $a_n(r_2)$ and c_n using the tandem of recurrence relations (10) and (27), starting from $a_0(r_2) = 0, \dots, a_{N-1}(r_2) = 0$ and having c_0 as a non-zero, free coefficient. As a special case of (27), namely for $n = N$, we have relation (28), which *determines* $a_N(r_2)$.

Depending on the values of the coefficients λ_j , p_j and q_j then, it *may* occur that (28) implies that $a_N(r_2)$ vanishes, too. The logarithmic term in solution of type (25) then would vanish, i.e. in these cases we find a second solution of type (4), with $r = r_2$, without logarithmic term.

In such cases, it would furthermore follow from (10) that *all* $a_n(r_2)$ vanish. An immediate consequence of this is that relation (27) for the coefficients c_i will essentially reduce to what relation (10) is for the coefficients $a_i(r_2)$.

In other words, in these cases it would have been possible⁹ to find these same solutions of type (25) with vanishing logarithmic term, i.e. of type (4), associated with r_2 , directly from (10). Recalling that c_0 is non-zero, this means that the corresponding solution of (10), with $r = r_2$, has non-zero a_0 . Hence this situation corresponds precisely to a possible non-trivial solution of (10) with $r = r_2$ (which, as we saw, has at most a single free parameter, e.g. a_0) as meant in remark 2.1.

Note that the solution $y(x, r_2)$ associated with r_2 thus found is linearly independent of $y(x, r_1)$. This easily follows from the observation that the lowest powers of x occurring in the two solutions are precisely r_2 and r_1 respectively, and these powers differ, by N .

A unifying example of this case occurs when a differential equation, for which $x = 0$ is a so-called *ordinary point* [2], is multiplied by x^2 . When the resulting equation, for which $x = 0$ is treated as a regular singular point, then is solved about $x = 0$ by the method discussed in this section, one finds $r_1 = 1$ and $r_2 = 0$. The logarithmic term of the second independent solution, associated with $r_2 = 0$, can subsequently be easily shown to always vanish.

A less trivial example is provided by Bessel equations of half-integer order, to be addressed in detail as an example application in section 3.5.3. About a feature of Bessel functions that is relevant to our study here, Watson [14, sec. 3.11] remarked in his *Treatise*:

“(..) no modification in the definition of $J_\nu(z)$ is necessary when ν (is half-integer); the real peculiarity of the solution in this case is that the negative root of the indicial equation gives rise to a series containing *two* arbitrary constants, i.e. to the general solution of the differential equation.”

As we revealed in the present section, this peculiarity of the Bessel functions of second kind and half-integer order is an instance of a more general phenomenon.

⁹This neatly corresponds to a heuristic recommended by Boyce and DiPrima [2]: in case r_1 and r_2 differ by a positive integer, one may still attempt to find a second linearly independent solution of type (4) using recurrence relation (10) with $r = r_2$. Only if such an attempt is unsuccessful, one needs to proceed looking for a solution of form (25).

2.3.5 Conclusion

The major new result achieved in section 2.3 lies in the fact that the combination of relations (27) and (10), for $r = r_2$, forms a *tandem of interlinked recurrence relations*, that as a whole renders the coefficients c_n of the solution (25). They are to be used with the condition $a_0(r_2) = 0$, while c_0 is to be a free, but non-zero parameter of the final solution; it is actually a free constant of integration, reflecting linearity of the differential equation (1).

Our formulation (27) reveals that, in case the second independent solution does have a non-vanishing logarithmic term, the recurrence relations determining the c_n change drastically at $n = N$: for $n < N$, all a_n vanish, while for $N \leq n$ they typically do not¹⁰.

Relations (27) and (10) also show that, when $\lambda(x)$, $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are all finite degree polynomials, say of degree K , then for $K \leq n$ the sum over $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ reduces to a sum $i = n-K, \dots, n-1$. That is, the number of terms generated by this sum then becomes constant and equal to at most K , and it is no longer increasing with n . Relations (27) and (10) then become closed form recurrence relations: they will be functions of n , and of the coefficients of the polynomials $\lambda(x)$, $p(x)$ and $q(x)$, but they will be the same in form (i.e. *form invariant*) for all a_n and c_n , $K \leq n$. This advantage may be lost when the differential equation (1) is divided by $\lambda(x)$, so as to normalize the coefficient of y'' and it is for this reason that we avoided this normalization in our assessment of the subject.

3 Application: series solutions for Bessel's equation

To highlight the novelty in our results, by way of an example, we shall apply essentially relations (10), (17) and (27) to construct form invariant recurrence relations for coefficients of all solutions of Bessel's equation, for all positive real order¹¹ ν . Especially the tandem recurrence relations for the coefficients a_n , b_n and c_n that we shall derive for solutions of Bessel's equation of integer or half-integer order, as far as the author has been able to verify, have not previously been documented in the literature.

3.1 Coefficients and indicial polynomial

Bessel's equation of order ν

$$x^2 y''(x) + x y' + (x^2 - \nu^2) y = 0 \quad , \quad (30)$$

clearly is of form (1)-(3). The only non-zero coefficients of its series (3) are

$$\lambda_0 = 1, p_0 = 1, q_0 = -\nu^2 \quad \text{and} \quad q_2 = 1 \quad , \quad (31)$$

so that the roots r_1 and r_2 of the associated indicial polynomial (6)

$$\rho(r) = r^2 - \nu^2 \quad , \quad (32)$$

are

$$r_1 = \nu \quad , \quad r_2 = -\nu \quad . \quad (33)$$

¹⁰This explains why it is considered to be "usually impossible" [11, §9.5] to find closed form expressions for the coefficients c_n , i.e. to have the coefficients c_n as explicit functions of n .

¹¹We follow terminology that is common in the literature about Bessel functions: in this context, the *order* of a Bessel equation is understood to refer to the value of the parameter ν , not to the highest order of the derivatives that occur in the equation. The *order* of a Bessel equation thus corresponds to the *index* of the Bessel functions that are its solutions.

3.2 Minimal value of n for the recurrence relations to be form invariant

Due to (31), the sum over i in (10) only has non-vanishing terms for $i = n - 2$ and $i = n - 1$, as far as these values of i are allowed, given the value of n , since i has to be at least zero. We conclude that for every integer value of n larger than or equal to 2, the sum over i in (10) will consist of precisely its terms for $i = n - 2$ and $i = n - 1$. This means that the recurrence relations for the coefficients a_n , for $2 \leq n$, all depend on at most a_{n-1} and a_{n-2} , while the coefficients of these will be form invariant functions of n and r ; see further subsections 3.4 and 3.5.

For $n = 1$, the recurrence relation will not involve terms corresponding to $i = n - 2$, so the recurrence relation for a_1 will be of different functional form than the recurrence relation for a_n with $2 \leq n$. The same observations apply to the recurrence relations (17) and (27). For this reason we shall consider the case of $n = 1$, i.e. the recurrence relations for a_1 , b_1 and c_1 , separately in subsection 3.3.

3.3 Recurrence relations for $n = 1$

From (10), (31) and (32) we find, for $n = 1$

$$\rho(1+r)a_1(r) = ((1+r)^2 - \nu^2)a_1(r) = 0. \quad (34)$$

Substituting for r both cases of (33), i.e. $r = \pm\nu$, gives

$$(1 \pm 2\nu)a_1(\pm\nu) = 0. \quad (35)$$

Likewise, for $n = 1$ and $r = r_2 = -\nu$, relation (27) (which, as we recall, is relevant to cases in which $r_1 - r_2 = 2\nu = N$, in which N is a non-zero integer) reduces to

$$(1 - 2\nu)c_1 + 2(1 - \nu)a_1(-\nu) = 0. \quad (36)$$

We observe that equation (35) implies $a_1(\pm\nu) = 0$, except for $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$. In this exceptional case $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ however, (35) still implies $a_1(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$, while the same is implied for $a_1(-\frac{1}{2})$ by equation (36), for $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$. Hence,

$$a_1(\pm\nu) = 0 \text{ for all } \nu. \quad (37)$$

With result (37), equation (36) implies $c_1 = 0$, except in case $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$, in which case

$$r_1 - r_2 = 2\nu = 1,$$

so that the prefactor of c_1 in (36) vanishes. Therefore, when $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$, c_1 is a free coefficient. This is just in accordance to the general theory of section 2.3.3; in that section we saw that in case $r_1 - r_2$ equals a non-zero integer N , c_N is free. This corresponds to the freedom of adding $y(x, r_1)$ to the solution y_2 . Hence, in the exceptional case $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$, coefficient c_1 is free but we may choose c_1 to be zero, without risk of loss of any independent solution of the differential equation. We will do so, so that, in summary, we shall have

$$c_1 = 0, \quad \text{for all } \nu \neq 0. \quad (38)$$

Lastly, for $\nu = 0$, so for the exceptional case $r_1 = r_2$ (see (33)) and $n = 1$, the relevant recurrence relation (17) reduces to

$$\rho(1)b_1 + \rho'(1)a_1(0) = 0. \quad (39)$$

Combining (37) and (39) with the fact that surely, for $\nu = 0$, $r_1 = r_2 = 0$, so that $\rho(1) \neq 0$, we conclude

$$b_1 = 0, \quad \text{relevant to case } \nu = 0. \quad (40)$$

3.4 Recurrence relations for $y(x, \nu)$ and $2 \leq n$

For $2 \leq n$ we find from (10), (31) and (32)

$$((n+r)^2 - \nu^2) a_n(r) + a_{n-2}(r) = 0 \quad , \quad 2 \leq n \quad . \quad (41)$$

Substituting into this from (33) the case $r = r_1 = \nu$ we find the recurrence relation for solutions $y(x, r_1)$ of the form (4)

$$a_n(\nu) = -\frac{a_{n-2}(\nu)}{n(n+2\nu)} \quad , \quad r = r_1 = \nu \quad , \quad 2 \leq n \quad . \quad (42)$$

With result (37), i.e. $a_1(\nu) = 0$, and recurrence relation (42) for the further coefficients of (4), we have recovered the Bessel functions $J_\nu(x)$ of the first kind, for all orders ν . The standardized definition [14, 1] of these functions corresponds to the choice $a_0(\nu) = (2^\nu \Gamma(1+\nu))^{-1}$.

3.5 Results relevant to Bessel functions of the second kind

In the literature about Bessel functions, Bessel functions the second kind are defined using normalizations that transcend the topic of the present manuscript [14, 2]. Yet these Bessel functions are essentially linear combinations of the solutions that we shall derive here. In what follows we shall focus on highlighting aspects of our topic and disregard the link with standardized definitions of Bessel functions.

3.5.1 Distinct roots of the indicial equation, not differing by an integer

Associated with the second, smallest root r_2 (33) of the indicial equation (32), i.e. $r = r_2 = -\nu$, relation (10) with (31) leads to

$$a_n(-\nu) = -\frac{a_{n-2}(-\nu)}{n(n-2\nu)} \quad , \quad r = r_2 = -\nu \quad , \quad 2 \leq n \quad . \quad (43)$$

As expected, as a recurrence relation for the a_n relation (43) breaks down at a_N if

$$r_1 - r_2 = 2\nu = N \quad ,$$

for any integer N larger than 1 (note that relation (43) only applies for $2 \leq n$). Hence, a break-down of relation (43) at $n = N = 2\nu$ occurs if the order ν of the Bessel equation is an integer multiple of $1/2$, for ν larger than $1/2$. The case $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ does not lead to a breakdown of relation (43), but it is still an exceptional case in which $r_1 - r_2 = N$. $N = 1$ in this case and associated with that the coefficients a_1 and c_1 need special consideration. We covered this in section 3.3.

In summary, *all* cases in which the order ν is a positive integer multiple of $1/2$ give rise to exceptions in the recurrence relations, so far either relation (43) or (35) and (36). We shall continue to explore these cases in subsection 3.5.3.

The other exception is $\nu = 0$, in which case $r_1 = r_2$, relations (42) and (43) coincide and essentially two copies of the same solution $y(x, r_1)$ are produced. This case we shall explore in subsection 3.5.2.

In all other cases, so whenever ν is *not* any integer multiple of $1/2$, relation (43) specifies a second independent solution $y(x, r_2)$ of form (4).

3.5.2 Two equal roots: Bessel equation of order zero

In case $r_1 = r_2 = \pm\nu = 0$ the second solution is of type (19). A tandem of recurrence relations rendering its coefficients b_n could be written down directly by substituting the coefficients (31) of Bessel's equation into the general relations (16) and (17). Instead however, we choose to illustrate the theory *behind* (16) and (17) by simply re-applying it here.

The counterpart, for Bessel's equation, of relation (10) is relation (41). In this we substitute $\nu = 0$ and from the result we solve $a_n(r)$, to find

$$a_n(r) = -\frac{a_{n-2}(r)}{(n+r)^2} \quad , \quad 2 \leq n \quad . \quad (44)$$

Substitution of $r = r_1 = r_2 = \nu = 0$ into (44) just recovers (42) for $\nu = 0$, i.e.

$$a_n = -\frac{a_{n-2}}{n^2} \quad , \quad 2 \leq n \quad ; \quad (45)$$

this relation actually is the instance of relation (16) with (31) and $r_1 = r_2 = \nu = 0$, i.e. for Bessel's equation of order zero. Taking the derivative of (44) with respect to r gives

$$a'_n(r) = \frac{2a_{n-2}(r)}{(n+r)^3} - \frac{a'_{n-2}(r)}{(n+r)^2} \quad , \quad 2 \leq n \quad . \quad (46)$$

In this, we substitute $r = r_1 = r_2 = \nu = 0$ and use (15) to identify coefficients b_n and b_{n-2} , to find

$$b_n = \frac{2a_{n-2} - n b_{n-2}}{n^3} \quad , \quad 2 \leq n \quad . \quad (47)$$

For the first two coefficients b_0 and b_1 , we have from (18) $b_0 = 0$ and from (40) $b_1 = 0$. From (37) we have $a_1 = 0$, while a_0 is a free coefficient, reflecting the linearity of the differential equation. With these initial values for a_0 , a_1 , b_0 and b_1 , expressions (45) and (47) together form our first example of a tandem of recurrence relations. This tandem of recurrence relations does not yet seem to be commonly documented, if at all, in the existing literature about Bessel functions of the second kind and of order zero.

3.5.3 Bessel equations of integer or half-integer order larger than zero

For the case $r_1 - r_2 = 2\nu = N$, a second solution of Bessel's equation is to be expected of the form (25). The appropriate instance of relation (27) for $2 \leq n$ follows simply by differentiation of (41) with respect to r ,

$$((n+r)^2 - \nu^2) a'_n(r) + a'_{n-2}(r) + 2(n+r) a_n(r) = 0 \quad , \quad 2 \leq n \quad , \quad (48)$$

followed by substitution of $r = r_2 = -\nu$, using (26) to identify c_n and c_{n-2}

$$n(n-2\nu) c_n + c_{n-2} + 2(n-\nu) a_n(-\nu) = 0 \quad , \quad 2 \leq n \quad . \quad (49)$$

As expected, we recognize that $c_N = c_{2\nu}$ will be a free parameter, according to this relation: for $n = 2\nu$ its pre-factor vanishes. As we saw in our general analysis, it will be associated with a copy of $y(x, r_1)$, so we can set c_N equal to zero:

$$c_N = 0 \quad . \quad (50)$$

Substitution of $r = r_2 = -\nu$ into (41) gives the recurrence relations for $a_n(r_2) = a_n(-\nu)$, for $2 \leq n$

$$n(n-2\nu) a_n(-\nu) + a_{n-2}(-\nu) = 0 \quad , \quad 2 \leq n \quad . \quad (51)$$

We recall from section 2.3.3 that, according to result (11), to construct a solution of the form (25), we have to choose $a_0(-\nu) = 0$. Furthermore, according to (37), we have $a_1(-\nu) = 0$. Combining this with (49), (50) and (51) we find, (all a_n and c_n here are associated with $r = r_2 = -\nu$, but we drop this detail from our notation and write a_n instead of $a_n(-\nu)$):

$$N = 2\nu \quad , \quad 2 \leq n < N \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_n = 0 \quad , \\ c_n = -\frac{c_{n-2}}{n(n-N)} \quad , \end{array} \right. \quad (52)$$

$$n = N \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_N = -\frac{1}{N}c_{N-2} \quad , \\ c_N = 0 \quad , \end{array} \right. \quad (53)$$

$$N < n \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_n = -\frac{a_{n-2}}{n(n-N)} \quad , \\ c_n = -\frac{c_{n-2} + (2n-N)a_n}{n(n-N)} \quad . \end{array} \right. \quad (54)$$

With (38) we found $c_1 = 0$. Coefficient c_0 is arbitrary and can be chosen equal to one. With these initial values, relations (52) to (54) form tandem recurrence relations for solutions of type (25) of Bessel's equation for integer or half-integer order, i.e. $2\nu = N$.

Note that, since $c_1 = 0$ in these cases, all c_i for odd i smaller than 2ν vanish, as as result of (49). As a consequence of (53) then, $a_N = a_{2\nu}$ will vanish whenever $2\nu - 2$ is odd, so for half-integer values of ν . Thus, as announced in section 2.3, we recover the well-known and distinctive characteristic of Bessel functions of the second kind and of half-integer order, that, although they arise from the smallest root r_2 of the indicial equation, and do so in a case of the two roots differing by an integer, they do *not* feature a logarithmic term.

4 Discussion

4.1 Synopsis and Goal of Further Discussion

We have *enhanced* Frobenius' method for solving linear differential equations with variable coefficients. To avoid the kind of prolixity that tends to come with generality, we formulated our assessment in terms of 2^{nd} order equations.

The enhancement consists of amending Frobenius' method with essentially recursive algorithms for straightforward calculation of the coefficients of the series that give the solutions in the, so-called exceptional, but practically important, cases in which the solutions may contain a so-called logarithmic term. Our algorithms come in the form of tandems of interlinked recursive relations. This includes a straightforward method for calculation of the coefficient of the logarithmic term itself – which may vanish – and hence an algorithmic, diagnostic tool for deciding whether or not such a logarithmic term will actually be present.

Altogether these algorithms indeed offer a substantial enhancement of Frobenius' method, as compared to the traditional way of obtaining the series coefficients, i.e. by substitution of a template of the solution into the original differential equation and extensive series manipulations; indeed the calculations involved in this traditional way of working have recently been qualified as being “long and tedious” [11].

As we showed, the tandem of recurrence relations can be constructed by, essentially, performing Frobenius' original d/dr -method, but in an implicit manner. The idea that the coefficients b_n (15) and

c_n (26) could be obtained by differentiation with respect to r , as such, is not new ([5, 2, e.g.]), but our approach to take the derivatives only implicitly is novel.

This idea eliminates the need to “first determine $a_n(r)$ ” [2], i.e. to solve the recurrence relations (10) for the $a_n(r)$, so as to obtain all the coefficients $a_n(r)$ explicitly as functions of r . This elimination is a great enhancement indeed, because solving recurrence relations (10) for the a_n as explicit functions of r can be forbiddingly complicated, or even difficult.

In contrast with this, construction, and subsequent recursive evaluation of our tandems is merely a routine, in all cases. As a result, once enhanced with our tandem technique, Frobenius’ d/dr -method becomes an efficient, algorithmic method for routinely solving differential equations about their regular singular points.

Apart from being efficient, our enhancement of Frobenius’ method is merely constructive and systematic. Hence, it provides additional insight in the structure of the sequence of series coefficients.

It may well be considered surprising that the significant enhancement that we reached was still possible almost one and a half century after publication of Frobenius’ original [8] manuscript on the subject. In the upcoming subsections we seek for an explanation of this remarkable historical fact, by a targeted review of the history of the subject.

4.2 Historical origin and background

As a concise summary of the historical origin and background of the subject, that we shall subsequently reflect on, we list six steps in Frobenius’ original manuscript [8]. For our further discussion it is of importance to emphasize that the order of items in this list represents the order of the steps as they were taken in the original publication of Frobenius.

1. The standardization of the differential equation by normalizing the pre-factor of y'' to be x^2 .
2. The *Ansatz* (4), inspired by the earlier results of Fuchs, to look for solutions in the form of generalized power series, while this generalization introduces *no more than only a single parameter* r . This parameter is used to shift the powers of the variable x in the power series, all by the same amount.
3. Frobenius’ discovery that, at least for a first series solution, the coefficients a_n of the series obey a recurrence relation that can be easily (in his words) derived.
4. The idea that then all coefficients $a_n(r)$ of the series may be conceived as functions of r , too.
5. As an attempt to construct solutions associated with a second root, r_2 , of the indicial equation, in cases of roots r_1 and r_2 being equal or differing by an integer, Frobenius explored an initiative to choose the leading coefficient $a_0(r)$ such that a division by zero in recurrence relations for the a_n would be replaced by a limit process, $\lim_{r \rightarrow r_2}$, so as to obtain finite values for all coefficients a_n . That is, in our phrasing, Frobenius explored the option to have

$$a_0(r) = c_0 (r - r_2) \quad , \quad (55)$$

instead of e.g. $a_0 = 1$.

6. Frobenius’ idea that by means of differentiation with respect to r , new solutions of the differential equation can be obtained.

In his original manuscript [8], Frobenius actually took steps 1 to 5 all on the third and fourth page, immediately following his two-page introductory section. For what follows, it may be worth noting¹² that step 6 was physically separated from the earlier steps by no less than five pages devoted to the proof of the convergence of solutions of type (4).

Step 5 was taken so as to obtain solutions of the differential equation, each of the form as proposed in step 2. Furthermore, the goal was to have one such a solution associated with each root of the indicial equation. The later discussion by Coddington [5] reflects the same purpose of step 5. Step 5 was documented indeed as being aiming at solutions in cases in which two roots r_2 and r_1 differ by an integer number, so when $r_1 - r_2 = N$.

Essentially to the end of obtaining a finite value for $\lim_{r \rightarrow r_2} a_N(r)$, step 5 introduces a factor $r - r_2$ in $a_0(r)$. Although Frobenius' limit procedure is effective in obtaining a solution associated with r_2 of the differential equation indeed, it actually only produces a solution that is linearly dependent on the first solution, $y(x, r_1)$, i.e. on the solution that had already been obtained, associated with r_1 . This may well be perceived as a failed attempt to complete the set of fundamental solutions. However, for the overall understanding of the theory, the fact that in cases of $r_1 - r_2 = N$, the coefficient a_N is in this sense associated with $y(x, r_1)$ is a key result. In our subsection 2.3.2, we showed that one may naturally *recover* this result *without* Frobenius' device, item 5 of our list, of choosing $a_0(r) \sim r - r_2$.

As we will document and discuss further in subsection 4.3, *the factor $r - r_2$ introduced through $a_0(r)$ in item 5, historically became a stowaway in the context of item 6*, i.e. the technique of generating linearly independent solutions through *differentiation* with respect to r . As we shall discuss shortly, the introduction of the factor $r - r_2$ seems to have been in so far disadvantageous, that it seems to have delayed development of the tandem recurrence relations for the coefficients of solutions that we have developed and presented in the present manuscript.

In section 3 we presented an illustration in support of this interpretation of the history of the subject, by deriving tandem recurrence relations for the coefficients of solutions of the second kind and integer and half-integer order of Bessel's equation. Bessel functions of course are very well-known and vast amounts of results have been documented about them [14, 1]. The tandem recurrence relations we presented here however, elementary as they seem to be, seem to not be widely known, if at all.

Lastly, we should mention consequences of the first item of our list, the normalization $\lambda(x) = 1$ used by Frobenius and in textbooks on the subject ever since. As we highlighted in our exploration, whenever $\lambda(x)$, $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are polynomials, as they often are in practical applications, we arrive at recurrence relations the number of terms of which are bounded, as a function of n . This boundedness is typically lost when $\lambda(x)$ is normalized.

4.3 The role and history of the factor $r - r_2$ in the literature

To search for solutions associated with r_2 and in case $r_1 - r_2 = N$, for non-zero integer N , Frobenius [8] took the *initiative* to set $a_0(r)$ proportional to a factor $r - r_2$. It is interesting that in our variant of the approach, we may recover this same proportionality of $a_0(r)$ to $r - r_2$, but only as a by-catch, *after* deriving the solutions of the differential equation. Indeed, along our approach, in first instance, according to relation (11) combined with $\rho'(r_2) \neq 0$, to obtain a solution of the differential equation associated with $r = r_2$ no more is required than $a_0(r_2) = 0$. To obtain more than a trivial solution from relation (27) then, we need $c_0 \neq 0$. Combined with expression (26), finally this leads to the conclusion that $a_0(r)$ must depend on r , e.g. at its simplest,

$$a_0(r) = c_0 (r - r_2) \quad . \quad (55)$$

¹²This may perhaps help to understand why, in the history of the method, step 6 was never before fully explored without first taking step 5, like we essentially did in our version of applying Frobenius' method.

Frobenius' motivation for *setting this from the onset* was that, from expression (22) it is clear that, using (10) as a recurrence relation to obtain *all* the coefficients a_n , $0 < n$, is problematic for $r = r_2$. Indeed, in case $n = N$, so to calculate a_N , the division by $\rho(n + r_2)$ needed to calculate the a_n , evokes division by $\rho(N + r_2) = \rho(r_1)$. That is, it would evoke a division by zero.

It was to remedy this division by zero that Frobenius [8] proposed to choose a_0 to be proportional to $r - r_2$. For then the recurrence relation (10) would imply all the a_i to share this factor. The strategy was then to divide (10) by $\rho(n + r)$ to solve all the a_n , including a_N , as a function of r and then essentially to take the limit $r \rightarrow r_2$. Common factors $r - r_2$ in the a_i and $\rho(N + r)$ would cancel, and a finite value for a_N would be obtained. This procedure is also documented in detail by Coddington [5] and it seems to be the origin of the factors $r - r_2$ in the formulae proposed by Boyce and DiPrima in their presentation of these matters in their textbook on differential equations [2]. Indeed, instead of our relation (26), Boyce and DiPrima have

$$c_n(r_2) = \frac{d}{dr} [(r - r_2) a_n(r)]|_{r=r_2} \quad ; \quad \text{NB: with } a_0 = 1 \text{ and } a_n(r) \text{ must be explicit.} \quad (56)$$

We have added the warning that $a_n(r)$ here must be an explicit function of r , so it may no longer recurrently depend on previous coefficients a_i , $i < n$. This will be explained shortly but it is usually prohibitive to application of relation (56). Indeed, it would be required to actually solve the recurrence relation for the $a_n(r)$, which is usually insurmountable [11].

Boyce and DiPrima did actually not include a *derivation* of their formula (56) in their textbook; for this they referred to Coddington [5]. Coddington did actually not present equation (56) at all, but his textbook does contain relation (12), with $r = r_2$. With (25) however, this suggests our (26), rather than (56).

The difference between our (26) and Boyce and DiPrima's (56), i.e. the factor $r - r_2$, can be explained by interpreting $a_n(r)$ in (56), like the $a_n(r_1)$, to have been calculated from (10) with the standard choice $a_0(r) = 1$; this latter value for a_0 is indeed documented, by Boyce and DiPrima. For any choice of $a_0(r_2)$ however, all $a_n(r_2)$ would be proportional to $a_0(r_2)$, and so the factor $r - r_2$ can be included *after* solving the $a_n(r_2)$ from (10) with $a_0(r_2) = 1$. This apparently was done and therefore the factor $r - r_2$ appears in formula (56).

Boyce and DiPrima's substitution of $(r - r_2) a_0$ for their original $a_0 = 1$, in the limit $r \rightarrow r_2$ effectively implements our $a_0(r_2) = 0$ of (23). And thus, Boyce and DiPrima's expression (56) is correct. They have documented how to successfully apply it indeed, to derive the coefficients for a second independent solution of Bessel's equation of order one: this is one of the exercises in their section on this Bessel equation. Watson [14] recommended, but did not document, this approach to obtain these solutions of Bessel's equation of order one; Watson identified the approach as being essentially Frobenius' method and attributed application of it to Bessel's equation to Forsyth [7]. The presentation by Boyce and DiPrima truthfully follows these originals. In this approach, the $a_n(r)$ are indeed first *explicitly* solved from (10). Application of (56) directly to such an intermediate result would indeed give correct coefficients c_n .

At this point we need to emphasize however, that the factor $r - r_2$ in (56) does prohibit a *recursive* interpretation of the c_n of (56): indeed, with a recursive interpretation, the c_n would be multiplied by $r - r_2$ once again at each recursive step. This is clearly not intended and would obviously lead to erroneous results.

In contrast to this, our formulation, relations (27) and (10) can be applied fully recursively, without any need to obtain a non-recursive, explicit expression for the $a_n(r)$. This renders our approach generally applicable, i.e. a genuine method. Along this route we naturally find the tandem recurrence relations for the coefficients of the so-called exceptional, but practically important solutions of the differential equation, a result that, following the procedure as suggested by relation (56), hitherto seems to have been beyond reach.

4.4 Conclusion

The key result of the work presented here is the following. We enhanced Frobenius' method by augmenting it with *tandem recurrence relations* that render all coefficients for those solutions of linear, second order differential equations about their regular singular points that may involve logarithmic terms. These tandem recurrence relations can be *constructed* by our enhanced variant of Frobenius' method, exploring derivatives with respect to a parameter r . This parameter r corresponds to a shift of all the powers of the variable x in generalized power series, (4): Frobenius' *Ansatz*.

Besides generalized power series, a logarithmic term may indeed appear in the solutions of a differential equation; the coefficient of this logarithmic term *may* vanish in certain cases. Hence this coefficient is of significant interest for applications in e.g. physics. Our enhanced variant of the theory naturally enables calculation of this coefficient of the logarithmic term, i.e. it provides a diagnostic tool to decide whether or not there *does* appear a logarithmic term.

Our avoiding of a normalization of the coefficient of the highest order derivative in the differential equation, $\lambda(x)$, led to a slight generalization of Frobenius' original central formulae. As we showed however, in practical applications, the implied simplification of the recurrence relations can be very substantial.

The historical fact that these results seem not to have been established earlier seems surprising and calls for an explanation. As we discussed, it may well be that Frobenius' initiative [8] to explicitly introduce a factor $r - r_2$, through $a_0(r)$, in his first attempt to derive a second independent solution of the form (4) through a limit procedure, may in the end have raised a stumbling block that has persisted in the subsequent literature for a long time. Frobenius' idea that a way forward was to let the coefficients a_n depend on r was priceless. In as far as we have derived any new result in the present manuscript, still it was derived from this powerful idea. Frobenius also proposed the correct required dependence of a_0 on r , in the exceptional cases $r_1 - r_2 = N$: we did in the end recover Frobenius' factor $r - r_2$ in $a_0(r)$. However, this factor turns out not to play the role Frobenius seems to have had in mind. In Frobenius' manuscript, the purpose of the factor $r - r_2$ was to cancel a division by zero, so as to obtain a finite value for a_N or c_N . In our variant of Frobenius' method, the role of the factor $r - r_2$ is to reconcile the facts that to support a second independent solution of the differential equation, associated with r_2 , a_0 needs to vanish and c_0 should not vanish, while c_0 is the derivative of a_0 with respect to r .

Acknowledgment: The author wishes to express gratitude to H.F.M. Corstens (Delft University of Technology) for searching discussions, about the manuscript and its subjects, that were both critical and encouraging. The author also thanks the students of Aerospace Engineering at Delft University for their probing and motivating questions about the subject during the years 2017 until present. Further gratitude is due to R. F. Swarttouw, J. L. A. Dubbeldam (both at TU-Delft), and T. Gerkema (Roy. Dutch. Inst. Sea Res.) for their encouragement.

References

- [1] Milton Abramowitz and Irene A. Stegun. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Dover, New York, 1964.
- [2] William E. Boyce and Richard C. DiPrima. *Elementary Differential Equations and Boundary Value Problems*. Wiley, 11th edition, 2013.
- [3] James R. Brannan and William E. Boyce. *Differential Equations, with Boundary Value Problems*, chapter 8.6. Wiley, 2nd edition, 2011.

- [4] Eugene Butkov. *Mathematical Physics*. Addison-Wesley, 1968.
- [5] Earl A. Coddington. *An Introduction to Ordinary Differential Equations*. Prentice-Hall, 1961.
- [6] Earl A. Coddington and Norman Levinson. *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*. Int. Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill, New-York, 1955.
- [7] Andrew Russell Forsyth. *A Treatise on Differential Equations*. MacMillan and Co, Limited, 1903.
- [8] Ferdinand Georg Frobenius. Über die Integration der linearen Differentialgleichungen durch Reihen. In Jean-Pierre Serre, editor, *Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, volume 1, pages 84–105. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1968. Originally in *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* 76, 214-235 (1873).
- [9] Lazarus I. Fuchs. Zur Theorie der Linearen Differentialgleichungen mit veränderlichen Coefficienten. In Richard Fuchs and Ludwig Schlesinger, editors, *Gesammelte Mathematische Werke von L. Fuchs*, volume I, chapter Jahrsber. Gewerbeschule, pages 111 – 158. University Of Michigan Library, Berlin, 1865.
- [10] Lazarus I. Fuchs. Zur Theorie der Linearen Differentialgleichungen mit veränderlichen Coefficienten. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 66:159 – 204, 1866.
- [11] Stephan Goode and Scott Annin. *Differential Equations and Linear Algebra*. Pearson, 2014.
- [12] Jeremy Gray. *Linear Differential Equations and Group Theory from Riemann to Poincaré*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1986. ISBN 0-8176-3318-9.
- [13] E.L. Ince. *Ordinary Differential Equations*. Longmans, Green and co. ltd, 1927.
- [14] G.N. Watson. *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*. Cambridge University Press, 1944.