

Article

The Application of a Behavior-Based Safety Program at Power Plant Sites: A Pre-Post Study

Jeongmo Yang ¹ and YoungGuk Kwon ^{2*}

¹ Department of Safety Engineering, Seoul National University of Science and Technology, 232 Gongneung-ro, Nowon-gu, Seoul, 01811, Korea; pjmyang1411@daum.net

² Department of Safety Engineering, Seoul National University of Science and Technology, 232 Gongneung-ro, Nowon-gu, Seoul, 01811, Korea; safeman@seoultech.ac.kr

* Correspondence: safeman@seoultech.ac.kr; Tel.: (82-10-8765-5835)

Abstract: Background: It is necessary to apply a behavior-based safety (BBS) program to prevent at-risk behavior. An effective BBS program requires the implementation of not only behavioral definitions and a customized critical behavior checklist (CBC) but also observations of behavior, coupled with customized interventions at power plants. Method: In this study, a customized CBC and behavioral definition were developed through a review of five different sites that previously used a CBC. The rules of observation, flow, and target were established to initiate the observations. Customized interventions were selected to increase safe behaviors. CBC scoring was used to evaluate observed safe behaviors for three years. Recognized safe behaviors were evaluated with a questionnaire that included four items each for conformity and participation behaviors and were then analyzed through a factor analysis and a t-test. The questionnaires were conducted three months before and after the implementation of the BBS program. Results: The customized CBC, behavioral definition, and interventions were effective, such that observed safe behaviors and the levels of workers' recognized safe behaviors increased. Conclusion: The application of the BBS program was found to increase the observed and recognized safe behaviors. Therefore, the program applied to this site can help increase safe behaviors at other identical or similar sites, as well as prevent an accident, which also corresponds with the results of prior studies.

Keywords: Behavior-Based safety, Critical behavior checklist, Behavioral definition, Intervention, Safe behavior.

1. Introduction

Behavior-based safety (BBS) management based on a culture of safety is necessary, as rule violations and mistakes made by workers cause many accidents [1]. Programs that encourage workers to change their behaviors, based on measures regarding facility safety and the safety management system, help in establishing a safety culture [2]. Moreover, a behavioral intervention program based on safety technology and the safety management system is necessary [3].

When proper evaluation, execution, and review processes are in place, the BBS program can be applied more effectively. The evaluation process may include conducting interviews and surveys regarding the site's safety management system and identifying and analyzing the on-site accident history. The execution process can be comprised of the following: preparing observation checklists and reviewing the critical behaviors on them; providing training for the committee, and observers; conducting a start-off meeting; carrying out observation activities; analyzing collected data; and applying interventions for improvement. In the review process, the progress of the entire program is assessed. It is important to establish proper intervention measures based on an analysis of checklists [4-6].

At a milk processing plant, safety observations and feedback processes were conducted for 26 months during the application of a BBS program. The results showed that

the accident rate declined by 42% in the 24th month and by 33% in the 26th month [7]. In another study, the BBS program was applied to 229 workers in 73 companies for five years. In the initial year, the occurrence of accidents to the initial year declined to around 26%, and after five years, the results showed a reduction of approximately 69% [8]. Additionally, a cement plant's accident rate was lowered to operate a safety observation card system; when more cards were issued, fewer accidents occurred [9].

The purpose of the BBS program in this study is to develop a customized critical behavior checklist (CBC) and behavioral definition for observing the behaviors of five power plants. This is expected to increase safe behaviors through the implementation of appropriate interventions.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Sample

The sample comprised hired or contractual maintenance and operation workers at five power plants, observed over three years.

2.2 Development of CBC

It is vital to develop a checklist to identify the risks associated with worker behavior. Additionally, conducting safety observations using the developed checklist can reduce at-risk behaviors and prevent accidents [10]. To effectively develop a customized CBC for this study, CBCs used at five different sites were reviewed: Department of Energy, six observation categories and 28 observation items [11]; Takula Oil Field, seven observation categories and 36 observation items [12]; ENSCO Drilling Site (2011), six observation categories and 44 observation items [13]; Marathon Petroleum Company Michigan Refining Division six observation categories and 33 observation items [14]; Dow Chemical, eight observation categories and 26 observation items [15]. As shown in Table 1, a CBC, containing 6 observation categories and 23 observation items, was developed for this study by analyzing the main points of the previously used CBCs and accident cases of our company and other companies.

Table 1. Development of the customized CBC

Category	Observation item	Safe	At-risk
PPE	Head		
	Eyes		
	Ears		
	Face		
	Hand		
	Feet		
	Breathing/ Respiratory Protection		
	Fall protection		
Body position	Caught in between		
	Dangerous position		
	High temperature		
	In contact with material		
	Electric shock		
	Toxic Material Handling		
	Falling		
Tool/equipment	Suitability for work		
	Adequate use		

	Safe condition		
Safe procedure	Existing procedure		
	Adequate procedure		
Arrangement	Executed arrangement		
	Slip		
Electric cart	Move safe route		

2.3 Development of the Behavioral Definition

The behavioral definition guides the observer in understanding the CBC observation categories and items. The behavioral definition was developed based on both a customized CBC and an appropriate example of behavioral definition [14]. Table 2 shows an example of the customized behavioral definition developed for this study.

Table 2. An example of the customized behavioral definition

Classify	Item	Critical Behavioral Definition
PPE	Head	Are you wearing a hard hat? Is in good condition?
Body position	Caught in between	Contact with rotating parts of equipment
Tool/Equipment	Suitability for work	Is the tool/equipment appropriate for the purpose of the job?
Safe procedure	Existing procedure	Is the work subject to the establishment of work procedures? Are working procedures established?
Arrangement	Execute arrangement	Are there foreign objects in the workplace? Is garbage left in the workplace? Are there any materials that impede passage?
Electric cart	Safe movement	Do you go through a designated passage? Do occupants wear seat belts in electric carts

2.4 Training

A BBS training curriculum must contain the observation skill method, the feedback skill, the introduction of the CBC, and a discussion of at-risk behaviors [16]. Additionally, it must include the following: observation skills, intervention, process of observation, and feedback [17-18].

According to these recommendations, the training content of this study comprised accidents and impacts, operating guidelines, the approach toward accident prevention, the theory of BBS, an introduction to the CBC and definitions, the method of observation, and feedback. The training was implemented 12 times; at each site, the investigator performed training and received constructive feedback regarding the BBS program.

2.5 Setting Observation Goal and Flow

The effects of voluntary and forced observation were investigated in 31 in-depth group interviews for 629 participants. Voluntary observation was a method in which the observer participated without an observation goal for a few observations. Conversely, forced observation may be considered coercive because the observer is forcibly assigned an observation goal for a high number of observations. A previous study found that safety behavior increased as safety observation increased; therefore, forced observation

was considered more effective in improving behavior [19]. Furthermore, it is believed that at-risk behavior can be improved if work behavior is observed daily [20].

The headquarters’ BBS committee agreed with the use of the forced observation method in this study. The number of observations was set through discussion with the management, safety manager, and supervisor. The manager conducted one or more observations in a month, while the supervisor and safety manager conducted three.

According to the protocol, the observer went to the applicable working place, greeted the workers, explained the goal of the behavioral observation, and then observed the workers’ behaviors for approximately five to ten minutes, depending on the situation. In cases of high risk, such as workers not connecting the safety lanyard to the point, work was suspended, and at-risk behavior was improved. However, in cases without high risk, the observer spoke positively, giving praise for wearing basic personal protective equipment. The observer provided feedback to improve at-risk behavior, filled out the CBC, and entered the data into the reporting system. The observation and feedback processes are shown in Figure 1.




Fig. 1. Observation and feedback process

2.6. Data management

2.6.1 Development of an IT Reporting System

A reporting system was developed to handle the enormous amount of observational data collected from each site. This reporting system was added to the existing plant IT reporting system for operation and maintenance. It comprised the name of the observer, the date of observation, and the CBC observation categories and items.

2.6.2 Evaluation of Behaviors

Both observed and recognized behaviors were reviewed and tested to evaluate safe behaviors.

To measure the observed safe behaviors in this study, the observers used the CBC and calculated the ratio of safe behaviors over three years. The ratio was calculated by dividing the safe behavior score by the sum of the safe and at-risk behaviors and multiplying this value by 100.

To measure the recognized safe behaviors in this study, the questionnaire proposed by Griffin and Neal (2000) was used. The questionnaire comprised four items each for conformity and participation behaviors, presented on a five-point Likert scale. A factor analysis and t-test were conducted on eight questions pertaining to recognized safe behaviors. The questionnaire addressed the three months before and after the intervention. Table 3 shows the questionnaire on the recognized safety behaviors of conformity and participation.

Table 3. Questionnaire for recognized safety behaviors

Variable	Contents
Conformity behavior	1. I do things in a safe manner. 2. I always use the necessary safety device when I work. 3. I work according to the exact safety procedures. 4. I make sure I work in the safest condition.
Participation behavior	5. I actively participate in organizational safety programs. 6. I personally try harder to improve the safety of the workplace. 7. I help my colleagues work safely when they do harmful or dangerous work. 8. I voluntarily participate in the workplace safety improvement work.

2.7 Intervention

The ABC procedure increases safe behavior and improves at-risk behavior. In this procedure, an antecedent stimulus (A) event induces a behavior (B), which further leads to a consequence (C). Consequences are divided into three categories: temporal factors (soon/late); certainty (certain/uncertain); and behavioral consequences (positive/negative). They are more influential in improving safe behavior than antecedents [21-23]. Human behavior can be altered through observational goal-setting and feedback. Improvements in goal-setting and feedback, management's visible commitment, and multiple, detailed interventions have been shown to increase safe behaviors [24-28].

Improvement measures, such as banner posting, monthly committee meetings, safety behavior trend posting, and a monthly compensation system, were implemented for 9,000 workers at four steel and mining sites in India; safety behaviors increased from 60% to 96% [29]. Thus, safety-related compensation and incentive systems are recommended to improve safety behavior continuously [30].

The BBS committee in this study decided to apply four interventions: observation feedback display charts, behavior observation training, observation awards, and the committee.

2.7.1 Observation feedback display charts

Observation feedback display charts were posted on a bulletin board at the entrance of the main gate and in the cafeteria every month at each power plant.

2.7.2 Behavior observation training

Supervisors are required to perform safety training, called "Tool Box Meeting," about the data on the observation feedback display charts.

2.7.3 Observation awards

The existing monthly award categories are "best near-miss reporter" and "best reporter to improve the unsafe condition." The "best observer" award was added to induce autonomous observation of the existing awards. The site head could award up to four people per site.

2.7.4 Committee meetings

The committee members included the site manager, supervisors, and safety manager and convened once a month. The major agenda item included intervention measures for safe and at-risk behaviors, unsafe conditions or facilities found on site, and related issues.

3. Results

3.1 Intervention

3.1.1 Observation feedback display chart

The monthly display chart shared with employees included the following: the number of observation targets versus the results for the site; the monthly best observers; behavior patterns of safe and at-risk behaviors; and the top three safe and at-risk behaviors. Figure 2 shows the observation feedback display chart for August (2020).




Fig. 2. Observation feedback display chart

3.1.2 Behavior Observation training

Supervisors shared the status of behavior observation and trained workers prior to work. Workers were required to leave signatures on the form stating that they understood the contents of the top three safe and at-risk behaviors. Figure 3 shows an example of the behavior observation training record document.

Top 3 safe behavior	Top 3 at-risk behavior	Date of training	Name of supervisor	Signature
Follow proper procedure	Not use eye PPE			
Wear foot PPE	Not use fall PPE			
Move safe route	Inadequate use of tool			

Date of training	Name of worker	Signature

Fig. 3. Behavior observation training record document.

3.1.3 Observation award

The on-site safety manager reviewed and analyzed the performance of the best near-miss reporter, best reporter to improve the unsafe condition, and best observer every month. To select the best observer, the safety manager analyzed each observer's observation goals and performance and selected the observer who exceeded the observation goal. The safety manager then prepared a written proposal for the awardee, and the on-site head gave the final approval. The fee (42 dollars) was awarded through payroll. The best observer awards from the five sites were as follows: 1,444 US dollars to 36 people in 2018; 1,134 US dollars to 27 people in 2019; and 714 US dollars to 16 people in 2020.

3.1.4 Committee meetings

Monthly-based site committee meetings were conducted, and the site manager, supervisors, safety manager, and workers participated in these meetings. Agenda items included the number of observation targets versus the results, safe and at-risk behavior

items, improvement discussions about safety improvements such as the installation of a permanent lifeline, electric shock prevention methods, PPE preparation at the entrance to the electrical room, appropriate rack installation inside the warehouses, and other items.

3.2 Behavior

3.2.1 Observed safety behaviors

In total, 12,334 observations were conducted from 2018 to 2020, for which the target observations numbered 9,573. Table 4 shows the number of observations across three years.

Table 4. Number of observations across three years

	2018	2019	2020	Sum(means)
Target	2,981	3,205	3,387	9,573
Result	3,658	4,144	4,532	12,334
Performance rate	123%	129%	134%	(129%)

The total number of safe behaviors was 212,256, and that of at-risk behaviors was 12,044 over three years. Table 5 shows the number of safe and at-risk behaviors over three years.

Table 5. Number of safe/at-risk behavior for three years

	2018	2019	2020	Sum
Safe	59,448	72,493	80,315	212,256
At-risk	4,962	3,567	3,515	12,044
Sum	64,410	76,060	83,830	224,300

The rate of safety behaviors (more safe behaviors and fewer at-risk behaviors) increased across three years (2018: 92%, 2019: 95%, and 2020: 96%).

3.2.2 Recognized safety behavior

Questionnaires were received before and after intervention for 136 workers at five sites. A t-test was conducted on eight questions pertaining to recognized safe behaviors. The factor analysis of the questionnaire demonstrated decent reliability. Conformity and participation behavior increased (from 4.35 to 4.51). Consequently, the interventions (observation feedback display chart, Behavior observation training, observation award and committee meetings) and observation were effective to improve recognized safe behavior. Table 6 shows the analysis of the questionnaire for recognized safety behaviors.

Table 6. Analysis of the questionnaire of recognized safety behaviors

Variable		Conformity Behavior	Participation Behavior	Total
Before	M(SD)	4.36(0.554)	4.33(0.577)	4.35(0.525)
	N	136	136	136
After	M(SD)	4.56(0.441)	4.45(0.495)	4.51(0.423)
	N	136	136	136
t		-3.45	-1.89	-2.88
p		0.001*	0.061	0.005*

* p<.05

4. Discussion

Using the customized CBC and behavioral definition, the observed safe behaviors of five power plants were assessed for three years. Recognized safe behaviors were evaluated using a questionnaire. As a result of applying the customized intervention suitable for the power plant, the observed and recognized safe behaviors increased. However, for the BBS program to be more effective, the following improvements are required.

4-1 CBC and Behavioral Definition

A customized CBC and behavioral definition were developed to observe workers' behavior effectively over three years. However, the number of observation items in the CBC that need to be minimized with a review of risks and behavior trends for over three years must be determined. Additionally, there were requests from the sites to minimize the number of observation items.

4-2 Setting the Observation Goal and Reporting System

The forced observation method was selected for this study to increase the number of observations compared to the initial study. The number of observation times was set as one of the sites' key performance indicators, and newly hired employees were designated as observers at each site. Consequently, 12,334 observations (129%) were achieved, exceeding the observational goal of 9,573 times over three years. Nonetheless, as the forced observation method can have a detrimental effect on the observers, it is necessary to convert it to an autonomous observation method [31]. Autonomous observation methods allow for mutual observation and feedback among fellow workers engaged in active care [32]. Therefore, this will result in benefits such as intrinsic motivation, greater interest, less pressure and tension, more creativity, more cognitive flexibility, and high self-esteem [33].

After observing the behavior of the workers, the observer writes the observation result on the CBC, returns to the office, and enters the result into the IT reporting system. Sometimes, observers enter the results directly into the IT reporting system without writing the result on the CBC at the site. Therefore, the preference for observing behavior using mobile application programs must be reviewed [34].

4-3. Interventions

An observation feedback display chart is a good communication method to inform workers about observation performance, safe and at-risk behavior trends, and the top three safe and at-risk behaviors [35]. In this study, at the end of every month, the safety manager at the site conducted observational analysis, printed the results, and posted them to a safety bulletin board at the entrance of the cafeteria and the central control room. However, a monitor-type display chart could communicate the importance of

participation effectively by removing the need to display a document on a bulletin board every month.

The behavior observation training was conducted before work as part of the Tool Box Meeting, and signatures were obtained. Consequently, workers became aware of safety and at-risk behaviors, and this was found to be a factor in increasing safety behaviors [36]. However, the effectiveness of the behavioral observation training needs further validation.

As a result of implementing the observation award, the observation performance exceeded the observation goal. However, in one instance, the same observer received the award for several months consistently. Although the monetary award received a good response during the initial operation, the number of awardees was limited. Therefore, a mileage program, in which many people can receive awards, and other measures to replace cash payments are needed [24].

The number of safety observations and safety behaviors increased through the monthly committee meetings hosted by the safety manager. Moreover, many unsafe situations and facilities were improved. However, the meetings, which were actively conducted at the beginning of the BBS program, tended to decrease in importance over time. Therefore, a company-wide committee, led by a CEO with a high level of safety culture, would aid in reinforcing the importance of the program [37].

4-4 Safe Behavior

The observed safe behavior of each category and item increased over three years (2018: 92%, 2019: 95%, and 2020: 96%). The five most increased safe behaviors are as follows: “eyes,” “head,” and “fall protection” in PPE; “falling” in body position; and “safe condition” in tool/equipment. In particular, safety behaviors increased in 2020 and 2019 compared to the initial stage of the 2018 program.

The recognized safe behaviors of conformity and participation increased from 4.35 to 4.51.

The recognized safe behavior of satisfaction was additionally evaluated in the questionnaire after the intervention. Questions such as “what is my compliance level?” and “what is the degree of participation?” were used. The satisfaction increased from 4.33 to 4.49 due to the increased satisfaction as a result of the increase in recognized safe behaviors. Table 7 shows the recognized safe behavior satisfaction.

Table 7. Recognized safe behavior satisfaction

Variable		Safe Behavior
Before	M(SD)	4.33 (0.6)
	N	136
After	M(SD)	4.49 (0.534)
	N	136
t		-2.220
p		0.028*

* p<.05

Author Contributions: [Conceptualization](#), J.M.; [methodology](#), J.M. and Y.G.; [formal analysis](#), J.M.; [investigation](#), J.M. and Y.G.; [data curation](#), J.M. and Y.G.; [original draft preparation](#), J.M.; [writing—review and editing](#), J.M. and Y.G.; [supervision](#), Y.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study received no funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding authors.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Health and Safety Executive: HSE human factors briefing note, UK, 2007.
2. Holstvoogd, R.; Graaf, G.; Bryden, R.; Zijlker, V.; Hudson, P. Hearts and minds programmes the road map to improved HSE culture. *ICChemE*. 2006, 151, 1-3.
3. Turney, R.D.; Alford, L. Improving human factors and safety in the process industries: 'The Prism Project': *ICChemE*. 2003, 149, 398-399.
4. Wirth, O.; Sigurdsson, S.O. When workplace safety depends on behavior change: Topics for behavioral safety research. *J. Safety Res.* 2008, 39(6), 589-598. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2008.10.005>.
5. Myers, W.V.; McSween, T.E.; Medina, R.E.; Rost, K.; Alvero, A.M. The implementation and maintenance of a behavioral safety process in a petroleum refinery. *J. Organ. Behav. Manage.* 2010, 30(4), 285-307. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01608061.2010.499027>.
6. Cooper, M.D. Exploratory analyses of the effects of managerial support and feedback consequences on behavioral safety maintenance. *J. Organ. Behav. Manage.* 2006, 26(3), 1-41. https://doi.org/10.1300/J075v26n03_01.
7. Yeow, P.H.P.; Goomas, D.T. Outcome-and-behavior-based safety incentive program to reduce accidents: A case study of a fluid manufacturing plant. *Saf. Sci.* 2014, 70, 429-437. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2014.07.016>.
8. Krause, T.R.; Seymour, K.J.; Sloat, K.C.M. Long-term evaluation of a behavior-based method for improving safety performance: A meta-analysis of 73 interrupted time-series replications. *Saf. Sci.* 1999, 32(1), 1-18. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-7535\(99\)00007-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-7535(99)00007-7).
9. Nunu, W.N.; Kativhu, T.; Moyo, P. An evaluation of the effectiveness of the Behaviour Based Safety Initiative card system at a cement manufacturing company in Zimbabwe. *Saf. Health Work.* 2018, 9(3), 308-313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shaw.2017.09.002>.
10. Mangan, M.D. Safety in practice: Applying behavior-based safety to serious and fatal injury prevention: *Safety+Health*. 2015, 50.
11. Department of Energy. Behavior based safety process 2002, 11-13.
12. Agraz-Boeneker, R.; Groves, W.A.; Haight, J.M. An examination of observations and incidence rates for a behavior based safety program. *J. saf. health environ. res.* 2005, 4(3), 20-25.
13. Karish, J.M. "Starting to get STOP™ Right (Behaviour Based Safety – One Drilling Contractor's Journey)", IADC Drilling HSE Asia Pacific Conference & Exhibition. 2011, 1-23.
14. Marathon Petroleum Company Michigan Refining Division: Application for the Accreditation of Safety Programs on the Principles of Behavior, US, 2017, 42.
15. Construction Owners Association of Alberta: Best Practice for behavior based safety, US, 2008, 27.
16. Fleming, M.; Lardner, R. Behaviour modification programmes establishing best practice: The Keil Centre, Edinburgh, U K, 2001, 1-26.
17. Jasiulewicz-Kaczmarek, M.; Szwedzka, K.; Szczuka, M. Behaviour based intervention for occupational safety—case study. *Procdia Manuf.* 2015, 3, 4876-4883. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2015.07.615>.
18. Geller, E.S. Behavior-based safety in industry: Realizing the large-scale potential of psychology to promote human welfare. *Appl. Prev. Psychol.* 2001a, 10(2), 87-105.
19. DePasquale, J.P.; Geller, E.S. Critical success factors for behavior-based safety: A study of twenty industry-wide applications. *J. Saf. Res.* 2000, 30(4), 237-249. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4375\(99\)00019-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4375(99)00019-5).
20. Cooper D. Improving safety culture: A practical guide. Wiley, 1998, 234-237.
21. Fishwick, T.; Southam, T.; Ridley, D.; Blackpool, L. Behavioral Safety Application Guide, 2004, 5-6.
22. Geller, E.S. Working safe: How to help people actively care for health and safety; CRC Press, USA, 2001b. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315273983>.
23. Krause, T.R.; Hidley, J.H. The behavior-based safety process: Management involvement for an injury-free culture; Van Nostrand Reinhold, USA, 1990.
24. Cameron, I.; Duff, R. A critical review of safety initiatives using goal setting and feedback. *Constr. Manag. Econ.* 2007, 25(5), 495-508. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446190701275173>.
25. Ludwig, T.D.; Geller, E.S. Intervening to improve the safety of delivery drivers: A systematic behavioral approach. *J. Organ. Behav. Manage.* 2000, 19(4), 1-124. https://doi.org/10.1300/J075v19n04_01.
26. Geller, E.S.; Lehman, G.R. The buckle-up promise card: A versatile intervention for large-scale behavior change. *J. of Appl. Behav. Anal.* 1991, 24(1), 91-94. <https://doi.org/10.1901/jaba.1991.24-91>.
27. Geller, E.S. Performance management and occupational safety: Start with a safety belt program. *J. Organ. Behav. Manage.* 1990, 11(1), 149-174. https://doi.org/10.1300/J075v11n01_10.
28. McAfee, R.B.; Winn, A.R. The use of incentives/feedback to enhance work place safety: A critique of the literature. *J. Safety Res.* 1989, 20(1), 7-19. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4375\(89\)90003-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4375(89)90003-0).
29. Kaila, H.L. A case of behavior based safety (BBS) implementation at a multinational organisation. *J. organ. Hum. Behav.* 2014, 3(2&3), 1-7.

30. McSween, T.E. Values-based safety process: Improving your safety culture with behavior-based safety 2nd edition; John Wiley & Sons, USA, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1002/0471721611>.
31. Latham, G.P.; Locke, E.A. Enhancing the benefits and overcoming the pitfalls of goal setting. *Organ. Dyn.* 2006, 35(4), 332-340. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.orgdyn.2006.08.008>.
32. Roberts, D.S.; Geller, E.S. An "actively caring" model for occupational safety. A field test: *Appl. Prev. Psychol.* 1995, 4(1), 53-59.
33. Deci, E.L.; Ryan, M.R. The support of autonomy and the control of behavior. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* 1987, 53 (6), 1024-1037. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.53.6.1024>.
34. Guo, S.; Luo, H.; Yong, L. A big data-based workers behavior observation in China metro construction. *Procedia Eng.* 2015, 123, 190-197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2015.10.077>.
35. Chatterjee, M.T.; Moon, J.C.; Murphy, R.; McCrea, D. The "OBS" chart: an evidence based approach to re-design of the patient observation chart in a district general hospital setting. *Postgrad. Med. J.* 2005, 81(960), 663-666. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/pgmj.2004.031872>.
36. Jeschke, K.C.; Kines, P.; Rasmussen, L.; Andersen, L.P.S.; Dyreborg, J.; Ajslev, J.; Kabel, A.; Jensen, E.; Andersen, L.L. Process evaluation of a Toolbox-training program for construction foremen in Denmark. *Saf. Sci.* 2017, 94, 152-160. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2017.01.010>.
37. Pęciłło, M. Results of implementing programmes for modifying unsafe behaviour in Polish companies. *Int. J. of Occup. Saf. Erg.* 2012, 18(4), 473-485. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10803548.2012.11076954>.