Flexible, biocompatible PET sheets: a platform for attachment, proliferation and differentiation of eukaryotic cells

Soumen Samanta¹, Diana Gaad^{2#}, Eva Cabet^{3,*}, Alain Lilienbaum³,
Ajay Singh¹, Dinesh K. Aswal¹, Mohamed M. Chehimi^{2*}

¹Technical Physics Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre (BARC), Mumbai 400085, India

²Université de Paris, ITODYS, UMR CNRS 7086, 15 rue J-A de Baïf, 75013 Paris, France

³ Université de Paris, BFA, UMR CNRS 8251, 5 rue Marie-Andrée Lagroua Weill-Halle 75013, Paris, France

Abstract

Transparent, flexible, biaxially oriented polyethylene terephthalate (PET) sheets were modified by bioactive polymer-fibronectin top layers for the attachment of cells and growth of muscle fibers. Towards this end, PET sheets were grafted with 4-(dimethylamino)phenyl (DMA) groups from the in situ generated corresponding diazonium compound. The arylated sheets served as macro-hydrogen donors for benzophenone and the growth of poly(2-hydroxy ethyl methacrylate) (PHEMA) top layer by surface-confined free radical photopolymerization. The PET-PHEMA sheets were further grafted with fibronectin (FBN) through the 1,1-carbonyldiimidazole coupling procedures. The bioactive PET-PHEMA-I-FBN was then employed as a platform for the attachment, proliferation and differentiation of eukaryotic cells which after a few days gave remarkable muscle fibers, of ~120 µm length and ~45 µm thickness. We demonstrate that PET-PHEMA yields a fast growth of cells followed by muscle fibers of excellent levels of differentiation compared to pristine PET or standard microscope glass slides. The positive effect is exacerbated by crosslinking PHEMA chains with ethylene glycol dimethacrylate at initial HEMA/EGDMA concentration ratio = 9/1. This work conclusively shows that in situ generated diazonium salts provide aryl layers for the efficient UV-induced grafting of biocompatible coating that beneficially serve as platform for cell attachment and growth of muscle fibers. Beyond this work, diazonium coupling agents constitute the corner stone of next generation processes for building flexible platforms for cell adhesion and uses thereof.

Keywords: Flexible supports, diazonium salts, surface modification, biocompatible polymers, fibronectin, eukaryotic cells, adhesion, muscle fibers.

Corresponding authors:

M.M. Chehimi: mohamed.chehimi@cnrs.fr

E. Cabet: eva.cabet@u-paris.fr

present address: Assystem, Courbevoie, France.

1. Introduction

The development of flexible surfaces is essential to create soft spatial topologies that allow to study mechanical strains on cells, to create soft spatial topologies, or to graft cells into moving organs subjected to topological constraints, like muscles for example.^{1,2,3} Indeed, biomaterials play major role in modern medicine; they can be employed as part of reconstructive implants,⁴ implanted sensing objects,⁵ and system for site specific drug delivery.⁶ Advances in biomaterials have led to non-toxic implants as well as implants that are specifically designed to elicit particular functions within the host.^{7,8} Extracellular matrix (ECM)-based tissue engineering strategies are already successfully employed clinically for the regeneration of a range of different tissues,⁹ including heart valves,¹⁰ trachea,¹¹ muscles,¹² tendons,¹³ and abdominal walls.¹⁴ Successful clinical application of specifically designed implants has been thus reported in cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, and breast reconstructive surgery.¹⁵

Eucaryotic cells that make up implants normally grow in multicellular organisms surrounded by a specific molecular environment, the extracellular matrix.^{16,17} ECM is a dynamic and complex environment characterized by biophysical, mechanical and biochemical properties specific for each tissue. The ECM consists of a complex assembly of many proteins and polysaccharides whose precise composition varies from tissue to tissue. The primary components include insoluble fibrous structural proteins (*i.e.* collagens, laminins, fibronectin, vitronectin, and elastin), proteoglycans, and specialized proteins (*i.e.* growth factors, small matricellular proteins and small integrin-binding glycoproteins).^{18,19} The extracellular matrix is thus critically important for many cellular processes including growth, differentiation, survival, and morphogenesis.^{20,21}

Flexible substrates are not only of importance to biomedical research and development but find also many other applications pertaining to thermoelectric organic materials,²² sensors,²³ dye-sensitized solar cells,²⁴ to name but a few. However, regardless the application, substrates usually require surface modifications particularly when they are intended to support reactive and functional compounds,²⁵ polymers,²⁶ biomacromolecules²⁷ and living cells.²⁸ Towards this end, several surface engineering strategies are currently investigated in view of designing robust devices. Particularly, over the recent years, time and efforts were spent on the surface modification of a range of substrates by ultrathin reactive and functional polymer films for a variety of purposes.²⁹

One of the elegant strategies to attach polymers to surfaces is through the modern approach employing diazonium coupling agents.³⁰ This strategy is now accepted and explored by many laboratories around the Globe.^{25,31,32,33} However, whilst it is currently applied to numerous materials such as metals, carbon and semi-conductors, only a few reports considered the modification of plastic substrates by aryl diazonium salts for biomedical purposes. In this regard, Ben Slama et al.³⁴ prepared copolymer-silver grafts on ITO for anti-bacterial applications, whereas Mahjoubi et al.³⁵ have used diazonium salts for the surface phosphonation of polyetheretherketone (PEEK) in order to design new PEEK-based orthopedic implants.

In the present work, we have used polyethylene terephthalate sheets modified with *N,N*-dimethyl-*p*-phenylenediamine salts (PET-DMA) as flexible surfaces on which fibronectin, an important extracellular matrix component, has been covalently linked. To study the biocompatibility of this type of flexible surface, we coated it with myoblastic cells that can proliferate and then differentiate into myotubes, the constitutive components of muscular fibers. This type of muscular cells has two advantages: first, they grow rapidly, which allows

measuring their well-being on the PET-DMA membranes by counting them along time and quantifying their rate of growth: second, because after reaching confluence, muscular cells spontaneously differentiate. The differentiation process is sensitive to the cellular environment, and particularly to the nature of the surface on which cells are growing³⁶. We therefore used the capacity of differentiation into myotubes as essential criteria to quantify the quality of flexible surfaces studied in this work.

For this purpose, we have assessed the propensity of muscular cells to grow and differentiate on Fibronectin-coated, modified PET substrates. Herein, we show for the first time that this device combines flexibility as well as a good attachment for eukaryotic cells and enhancement of their proliferation and differentiation.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA, 130.14 g/mol, Aldrich) and poly(ethylene glycol diacrylate) (PEGDA, average 700 g/mol, Aldrich) were purified by filtering through basic alumina (Al_2O_3) column to remove the inhibitor, and then stored in the fridge before use. *N,N*-Dimethyl-p-phenylenediamine (99.5% purity, Acros), isopentyl nitrite (purity ~ 97%, Alfa Aesar), carbonyldiimidazole (Aldrich) and benzophenone (Aldrich) were used as received. Solvents including acetonitrile (ACN), methanol (MeOH), Ethanol (EtOH), dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO), dimethyl formamide (DMF) were purchased from VWR Prolabo. The organic solvents used were analytical grade and DI (de-ionized) water was used for various cleaning and solution preparation. The PET sheets (thickness ~ 100 μm) were purchased from DuPont. The PET sheets were cut into sizes of 30mm X 15 mm for use in our experiments.

2.2. Functionalization of PET substrate by in-situ grafting of *N,N*-dimethyl-*p*-phenylenediamine (DMA):

The PET sheets were cleaned and activated prior to diazonium functionalization. First, they were ultrasonically cleaned with chloroform and ethanol for 15 min and then dried with argon flush. Before diazonium functionalization, the PET sheets were hydroxylated in a dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) solution of potassium hydroxide (4 mg KOH dissolved in 30 ml DMSO; KOH concentration = 2×10^{-3} mol.L⁻¹) for 10 min maximum, then washed with copious amounts of de-ionized (DI) water and dried in argon flow. The surface chemical modification of PET sheets was carried out using the diazonium salt of *N,N*-dimethyl-*p*-phenylenediamine (DMA). Typically three necked round bottomed flask (vol: 100 ml) with a reflux condenser arrangement, was used for the functionalization reaction. The flask with the colorless, transparent substrate was kept on a preheated oil bath and DMA (15 mmol, 2.04 g) was introduced. Cautiously isopentyl nitrite (15 mmol, 2.01 mL) was added slowly via syringe and 10 ml dimethyl formamide (DMF) was also added for solubilization. The reaction was left to proceed for 5 hrs at 60 °C under continuous bubbling of argon. Finally, the yellow colored modified substrates were thoroughly washed with DMF, DI water and dried in argon flow.

2.3. Surface-confined photopolymerization.

A typical procedure of photopolymerization of HEMA on DMA modified PET surface was as follows. A homogeneous solution of HEMA monomer (20 mmol, 2600 mg) and benzophenone (208 mg, 8% wt percent relative to monomer), as photosensitizer, were prepared in chloroform (20 ml) in a glass bottle. The DMA-modified PET was dipped in the mixture and bubbled with argon gas for 15 minutes to degas. Radical photopolymerization was carried out using a Spectrolinker XL-1500 equipped with six lamps emitting light nominally at 365 nm with a power density of 5 mW/cm². The photopolymerization time was 1200 sec. To study the effect of crosslinker, in the present case poly-ethylene glycol

diacrylate (PEGDA), we have added two different weight percent (1% and 10% with respect to total weight of monomer and crosslinker) of crosslinker with HEMA monomer. The use of crosslinker ensures better adhesion of the photopolymerized toplayer to the substrate.³⁷ The polymerized sample was then taken out of the glass bottle and washed with methanol in a Soxhlet extractor for 2 h to remove the unreacted monomer and then washed with copious amounts of dichloromethane to remove organic species. Finally polymer-coated sheets were dried under argon flow and used in next step of surface modification.

2.4. Immobilization of fibronectin protein.

Before the fibronectin protein immobilization the polymer coated surface were activated by Imidazolyl carbamate. These moieties were attached to the polymer surface by the reaction of 1,1'-carbonyldiimidazole (CDI, 10 g/L in dioxane) with the hydroxyl group of PHEMA. The reaction was carried out for 6h at room temperature. Finally activated surface were thoroughly washed with dioxane and phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution to remove unreacted CDI. The covalent protein immobilization was performed by immersing the CDI activated surface in a fibronectin protein solution for 25 h under bidirectional stirring. The protein immobilization was tuned by changing the protein concentration ranging from 0.5 to 20 µg/mL. After the protein attachment the surfaces were carefully rinsed with 5% (v/v) aqueous solution of tween20 to remove unattached protein and then finally surface was cleaned with DI water.

2.5. XPS surface characterization

Surface chemical analysis was performed using a Thermo VG ESCALAB 250 X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (XPS) fitted with an Al monochromatic X-ray source ($h\nu = 1486.6$ eV; spot size = 500 µm). The samples attached to the sample holder using double-sided adhesive tapes. Binding energy positions were calibrated against the C-C/C-H C1s peak position set at 285.0 eV. Elemental atomic concentrations were calculated from the XPS

peak areas and the corresponding Scofield sensitivity factors corrected for the analyzer transmission work function.

2.6. Cell adhesion

Murine C2C12 myoblastic cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC®, reference CRL-1772™), and used between passages 3 and 10.

PET membranes were first sterilized by rinsing with Ethanol 70 % and then put down in 6-well cell culture dishes. 25000 C2C12 cells were plated with 2 ml of Dulbecco Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM glutamax, Life Technologies, Illkirch-Graffenstaden, France), supplemented with 10% (or 2%) of bovine calf serum. Observations and photographs were performed on a AxioA1 microscope with a 10 X objective and an AxioCam camera (Zeiss, Marly le Roi, France).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. General procedure to modify PET surface for cell adhesion and growth of muscle fibers.

Figure 1 displays the steps to the growth of muscular fibers on biocompatible, flexible PET sheets. After activation of PET by KOH, the *N,N*-dimethylaminobenzenediazonium cation is generated in situ and reacted with the activated PET. From colorless, the PET sheet turns to yellow and remains transparent; it is marked PET-DMA and served as macro-hydrogen donor in the photopolymerization process. Then HEMA is photopolymerized or copolymerized with PEGDA using benzophenone as photosensitizer to yield PHEMA or crosslinked PHEMA films on PET (PET-DMA-PHEMA or PET-DEMA-PHEMA/PEGDA) which were further activated by CDI to obtain imidazole-functionalized PHEMA grafts for the

attachment of fibronectin. The final fibronectin-functionalized plate (PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN) served for eukaryotic cell adhesion and growth of muscular fibers.

Figure 1. Sequential steps for the growth of muscle fibers onto flexible, bioactive PET sheets. Upper panel: diazonium modification of PET for the grafting of radical photoinitiator and PET surface-confined photopolymerization process for grafting PHEMA or PHEMA/PEGDA nanofilms. Lower panel: immobilization of fibronectin followed by cell adhesion and growth of muscular fibers.

3.2. Surface characterization of PET surfaces by XPS

3.2.1. Survey regions and surface elemental composition

Typical survey regions for clean and coated PET sheets are displayed in Figure 2. Qualitatively, it is worth to note the efficiency of each step towards the preparation of bioactive platforms for cell adhesion. The main peaks C1s, O1s and N1s are centred at 285, 532 and 400 eV, respectively. The activated, clean PET displays only C1s and O1s peaks (Figure 2a) while the diazonium attachment is testified by the appearance of an N1s peak from the grafted DMA aryl groups (Figure 2b). With an initial concentration of the

photosensitizer benzophenone of 8% (benzophenone to monomer molar ratio) it was possible to graft a PHEMA toplayer, thick enough (well above 10-12 nm) to screen the underlying DMA aryl layer; for this reason the N1s peak is no longer visible on the survey scan (Figure 2c). However, after activation of polymer OH groups by CDI, hydroxyl groups convert to carbamates, hence the appearance of the N1s peak again (Figure 2d). Immobilization of fibronectin was tuned by changing the initial concentration of fibronectin from 0.5 to 20 $\mu\text{g/mL}$.

Figure 2f shows that the relative intensity of the N1s peak is significantly higher than that exhibited by PET-PHEMA-FBN₂ (Figure 2e). The survey spectra permit thus to qualitatively diagnostic the sequential changes at the surfaces, simply by tracking the relative intensity of the N1s peak.

Figure 2. Survey regions of bare and modified PET. (a): PET, (b): PET-DMA, (c): PET-DMA-PHEMA, (d): PET-DMA-PHEMA-I, (e): PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₂, (f): PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₂₀,

The surface chemical composition (in atomic percents) is reported for bare and modified PET sheets in Table 1.

Table 1. Surface chemical composition (in at.%) of bare and modified PET sheets.

Materials	%C	%O	%N	% S
PET-KOH	74.4	25.6	0	-
PET-DMA	71.7	25.1	3.3	-
PET-DMA-PHEMA	71.8	28.0	0.2	-
PET-DMA-PHEMA-I	50.7	46.7	2.6	-
PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN _{0.5}	70.9	27.0	2.1	traces
PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN ₂	70.2	28.0	1.7	0.1
PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN ₅	69.8	26.8	3.3	0.1
PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN ₁₀	69.6	25.2	5.0	0.2
PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN ₂₀	68.5	24.3	7.0	0.2
PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA ₁	69.3	30.4	0.3	-
PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA ₁₀	69.3	30.5	0.2	-
PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA ₁ -I	49.2	48.7	2.2	
PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA ₁₀ -I	46.5	53.2	0.3	
PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA ₁ -I-FBN ₂₀	49.8	49.1	1.0	~0.1
PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA ₁₀ -I-FBN ₂₀	49.5	49.6	0.8	~0.1

3.2.2. High resolution regions

C1s

Figure 3 displays the high resolution C1s spectra of PET, PET-DMA, PET-DMA-PHEMA, and PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₂₀. PET C1s peak is fitted with 4 components centred at 285.0, 286.5, 289.0 and 291.5 assigned to C-C/CH, $\text{CH}_2\text{-OC=O}$, O-C=O and the shake-up satellite, respectively (Figure 3a). After DMA attachment to PET, the component at 286.4 eV has a higher contribution due to the C-N bonds in the aryl layer (Figure 3b). Similarly, the shake-up satellite contribution is increased due to the attachment of the aryl layer. Photopolymerization of HEMA induces a drastic change in the C1s structure: the peak is fitted with 3 components centred at 285.0, 286.6, 288.9 eV; and the shake-up satellite is suppressed as the PET is very well screened (Figure 3c). The activation of the OH groups by CDI induces slight

changes in the shape of the C1s region. Finally, the covalent attachment of fibronectin induces the appearance of a peak at 287.7 eV due to the amide groups from the protein (Figure 3d). The contribution of the amide N-C=O groups to the C1s peak area is plotted against the initial concentration of fibronectin (in $\mu\text{g/ml}$). One can see that a steady state is reached for an initial concentration of $\sim 10 \mu\text{g/ml}$. One can also note a component centred at 290.7 eV due to polymer-fibronectin interfacial carbamate group. As all proteins have a prominent peak at 286-286.5 eV, it follows that fibronectin induces an increase in the contribution of the peak centred at 286.4 eV to the total C1s region (Figure 3d).

Figure 3. High resolution C1s regions from (a) PET, (b) PET-DMA, (c) PET-DMA-PHEMA, and (d) PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₅. (e) The contribution of N-C=O (amide) groups to the C1s peak is plotted versus fibronectin concentration (in %).

O1s

The main information that can be obtained from O1s regions is the change from PET to a PHEMA-rich surface (Figure 4). PET has two ester groups per repeat unit and thus one

carbonyl and one alkoxy groups which are known to give a well resolved doublet as displayed in Figure 4a. After photopolymerization and the build-up of a quite thick PHEMA layer, one ends up with repeat units having one carbonyl and alkoxy groups which should yield a resolved doublet. However, the OH group from HEMA repeat units give an O1s peak is centered at an intermediate binding energy position which yields a broad convoluted O1s region as shown in Figure 4b. It follows that the O1s regions testifies for the drastic change from PET to PHEMA after surface-confined radical photopolymerization.

Figure 4. High resolution O1s regions from (a) PET, and (b) PET-DMA-PHEMA.

N1s and S2p

We discussed above about the usefulness of the N1s peaks in tracking changes in macromolecular species at the surface. The changes do not concern only the relative intensity visible on the survey regions and the contribution of nitrogen to the surface elemental composition in atomic percent ; herein, we demonstrate that the high resolution N1s regions provide a deeper insight into the subtle interface chemistry experienced by the layered coated-PET sheets. Figure 5 displays selected high resolution N1s regions from PET-DMA, PET-DMA-PHEMA-I and PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₅: the N1s from PET-DMA (Figure 5a) has two contributions assigned to the free dimethylamino groups

(main component) at 399.2 eV and the azo groups at ~401 eV included in the polyphenylene-like chain. Figure 5b displays an N1s peak from PET-DMA-PHEMA-I assigned to the attached imidazole group. Upon covalent binding of fibronectin, one can see that the N1s peak has a high signal to noise ratio, centred at ~400 eV and assigned to the peptidic links in the attached protein.

Figure 5. High resolution N1s regions from (a) PET-DMA, (b) PET-DMA-PHEMA-I, and (c) PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₅.

Figure 6 displays the S2p narrow regions from the fibronectin-topped sheets prepared with 0.5 and 5% initial fibronectin concentration. Obviously, one switches from a noisy, flat background to an S2p signal that rises above the baseline. Sulfur from the top layer gives noisy peaks; it is nevertheless an important element to track at the surface as it is a unique marker for fibronectin. S2p gives thus a strong supporting evidence for the attachment of

fibronectin and firmly confirms the success of the final surface chemical reaction we have performed on the flexible plastic sheets.

Figure 6. S2p narrow regions from PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN prepared with an initial fibronectin concentration of 0.5 and 5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$.

3.3. Cell adhesion and growth of muscular fibers

Cell growth and differentiation require biocompatible surface and optimal environmental parameters. For this reason flexible PET surfaces were first grafted with PHEMA and further functionalized with fibronectin. The as-modified PET sheets were employed as bioactive platforms for the attachment of myoblastic cells. Hereafter we investigate the propensity of the cells to proliferate and differentiate into myotubes on the bioactive PET sheets.

Figure 7. Cell growth on PET membranes. C2C12 myoblastic cells were plated on plastic dishes containing PET substrates subjected to various treatments. The day of plating was defined as day 0. Cells were grown on regular medium supplemented with calf serum and antibiotics. At days 1, 2, 3, 6 and 7 after plating, 5 fields taken at random above PET substrates were visualized under microscope and the number of cells counted.

GCS-FBN₅: glass coverslips coated with 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ of fibronectin.

PET: untreated PET sheets.

PET-FBN₅: untreated PET membranes coated with 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ of fibronectin. PET-DMA-PHEMA-FBN₂₀: PET membranes grafted but not treated with CDI, and then coated with 20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ of fibronectin.

PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN_x: PET membranes grafted with the DMA, PHEMA, imidazole and reacted with fibronectin at initial concentration $x=5, 10$ and $20 \mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ respectively.

We thus first investigated how eukaryotic C2C12 cells attach and grow on various PET surfaces. These surfaces were treated as described above (abbreviated as PET-DMA-PHEMA-I). To better understand how these surface treatments modulate cell growth, we

used PET-DMA-PHEMA-I membranes on which fibronectin is bonded. The rationale for topping the PHEMA-grafted PET sheets with Fibronectin is that this protein is a natural component of the extracellular matrix known to favour growth and differentiation of cells.²⁰ Fibronectin was grafted on PET-DMA-PHEMA-I using an initial concentration of 5, 10 or 20 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. The resulting bioactive platforms are abbreviated as PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN_x, x= 0.5, 2, 5, 10 and 20 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. Control substrates were PET membranes without any treatment (PET), untreated PET sheets incubated with 5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ fibronectin (PET-FBN₅), and grafted PET membranes without CDI but coated with fibronectin (PET-DMA-PHEMA-FBN₂₀). In addition, a glass coverslip (GCS) was incubated with 5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ fibronectin, which is usually used in biological studies for cell growth and differentiation (GCS-FBN₅).

Prior to cell growth, the samples were cut to 1 cm^2 and placed at the bottom of one well (5.5 cm^2) in a 6-well plastic culture dish. In each well, 25000 murine myoblastic cells were plated in the growth medium, and left to grow for a set period of time. The number of cells present in 5 fields (0.6 mm^2) taken randomly on the PET surfaces were counted daily. Figure 7 displays the mean value of the number of cells on the PET surface versus elapsed time from the start of plating. Cell growth was quantified by fitting the plots to exponentials, and the exponential coefficient k was calculated (Table 2). The decreasing trend of cell growth is PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₂₀ ($k = 0.35 \text{ d}^{-1}$) > PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₁₀ ($k \text{ FBN } 0.27 \text{ d}^{-1}$) > PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₅ ($k = 0.24 \text{ d}^{-1}$) > PET ~ PET-FBN₅ ($k = 0.23 \text{ d}^{-1}$) > and then PET-DMA-PHEMA-FBN₂₀ (0.21 d^{-1}), which indicates that control surfaces are less efficient than fully grafted fibronectin-PET membranes. Finally, the "usual" glass coverslip coated with fibronectin, GCS-FBN₅, displayed the lowest growth rate $k = 0.17 \text{ d}^{-1}$. This result clearly shows that grafting flexible PET surfaces with fibronectin yields two-fold growth rate in comparison to "classical" fibronectin-coated glass surface currently used by biologists, and predicts interesting uses for these types of surfaces in the future.

Figure 8. Cell growth on PET membranes treated with PEGDA.

A) Photomicrographs displaying cell growth on PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁₀-I-FBN₂₀ membranes at day 1 (D1), 3 (D3) and 6 (D6) after plating. Please note the considerable densification of cells on the surface.

B) C2C12 myoblastic cells were plated on plastic dishes containing PET membranes grafted with PEGDA the day 0. The number of cells counted in 5 independent fields taken at random on the PET sheets, at different days following plating, were reported on the graph.

- PET: untreated PET sheets.
- PET-FBN₅: untreated PET membranes coated with 5 µg/ml of fibronectin.
- PET-DMA-PHEMA: PET membranes stopped at the PHEMA grafting step.
- PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁ and PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁₀: PEGDA-containing PET prepared using 1% and 10 % PEGDA relative to HEMA, respectively.
- PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA_x-I-FBN₂₀: PET sheets grafted with crosslinked copolymers of HEMA and PEGDA using 1 and 10% PEGDA (relative to HEMA) and finally grafted with 20 µg/ml of fibronectin after imidazole activation.

In order to improve the chemical treatment of the PET surfaces, we modified the protocol of radical photopolymerization of HEMA on PET-DMA by adding PEGDA comonomer at 1% or

10 % relative to HEMA. HEMA and PEGDA were co-photopolymerized in order to obtain crosslinked, biocompatible polymer grafts with improved polymer adhesion to diazonium-modified surfaces.³⁷ Investigations of cell growth were conducted in a similar manner as described above in Figure 7. Results are presented in Figure 8 and Table 2. The half-time defined as $\ln(2)/k$ is another way to measure cell growth; the lowest values (in days) correspond to the most favourable surfaces, whereas the highest values indicate less favourable surfaces for cell growth. As far as the k values are concerned, Table 2 shows that cell growth on PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA_x-I-FBN₂₀ ($x=1$ and 10%) reached an exponential coefficient k of 0.44 and 0.42 d⁻¹, and was higher than PET-DMA-PHEMA-FBN₂₀ ($k = 0.35$ d⁻¹) displayed in Figure 7. This rate of growth was 10 to 15 % higher than for PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA_x-I sheets but without any addition of fibronectin (0.38 d⁻¹). Interestingly, treatment with PEGDA considerably improves cells growth on this surface without fibronectin (PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA_x-I, $k = 0.38$ d⁻¹), by almost 100% compared to PET-DMA-PHEMA ($k = 0.20$ d⁻¹), a platform that bears neither PEGDA nor fibronectin. Altogether, these results suggest that treatment with PEGDA considerably improves the efficiency of cell growth (on PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA_x-I-FBN surfaces).

Table 2. Measurement of cell growth on glass and PET surfaces.

Curves of cell growth were fitted to exponential curves, and the exponential coefficient k , which indicates the speed of growth (in $A = A_0 e^{kt}$) calculated. Another way to estimate cell growth is the doubling time (half-time) calculated ($\text{half-time} = \ln(2) / k$) and shown in the right column.

PET surfaces	Exponential coefficient (k)	Half-time (days)	Figure
GCS-FBN ₅	0.17	4.1	7
PET	0.23	3.0	7
PET-FBN ₅	0.23	3.0	7
PET-DMA-PHEMA-FBN ₂₀	0.21	3.3	7
PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN ₅	0.24	2.9	7
PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN ₁₀	0.27	2.6	7
PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN ₂₀	0.35	2.0	7
PET	0.24	2.9	8
PET-DMA-PHEMA	0.20	3.5	8
PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA ₁	0.38	1.8	8
PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA ₁₀	0.38	1.8	8
PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA ₁ -I-FBN ₂₀	0.44	1.6	8
PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA ₁₀ -I-FBN ₂₀	0.42	1.7	8

Figure 9. Cell differentiation on PET membranes.

A) Photomicrographs representative of cell differentiation on PET membranes. Photographs were taken at day 7 after plating, for PET NT, PET 5 FBN and PET 20 FBN membranes. Fusiform long structures are differentiated myotubes resulting from the fusion of individual myoblasts.

B) Number of myotubes. C2C12 muscular cells were plated at day one at the same conditions as for Figure 7. They were left 7 days to grow and differentiate. At day 7 the medium was changed from growth medium to differentiation medium. Differentiation was characterized by cell fusion into long multinucleated myotubes. At day 7 the number of myotubes on PET membranes was counted on 5 different fields. The mean value of the number of myotubes is shown on PET surfaces subjected to various treatments.

C) Diameter of myotubes. Photographs of the fields were taken and the diameter of myotubes measured. The average value is reported on the graph, depending on the various treatment of PET supports. Glass + FBN: glass coverslips coated with 5 μg/ml of fibronectin. PET NT: untreated PET membranes. PET NT 5 FBN: untreated PET membranes coated with 5 μg/ml of fibronectin. PET PHEMA 20 FBN: PET membranes grafted but not treated with CDI, and coated then with 5 μg/ml of fibronectin. PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₅, PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₁₀, PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₂₀: PET membranes grafted with DMA-PHEMA-I and then with fibronectin at 5, 10 and 20 μg/ml respectively.

A second important biological parameter to investigate is the capacity of the cells to differentiate; it indicates how cells adequately interact with the support on which they grow. Indeed, it is well known that differentiation is obtained only if cellular environmental parameters are optimal with regard to reduced growth factors in culture medium as well as attachment to extracellular molecules such as fibronectin. For that purpose, all myoblast cells were left to grow to confluence without changing the culture medium after day 7 to allow exhaustion of growth factors. In these conditions, cells switch from a growth program to a differentiation program: they align in a parallel fashion and start to fuse together to give multinucleated myotubes (Figure 9A). At this step, cell culture medium is renewed, but the fetal calf serum providing growth factors is reduced to 2 % instead of 10 % to allow cell survival but not cell growth. To quantify cell differentiation, the number and diameter of the myotubes adhering to the PET surfaces were determined.

Differentiation was assessed on PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN_x (x= 5, 10 and 20 µg/ml of fibronectin), with the same conditions as used for Figure 7. After 8 days of cell plating, myotubes were already well developed, with a density between 6 and 12 per 0.6 mm² field (see Figure 9A). The best result is obtained with PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₂₀ (12 myotubes per field), while lower concentrations (5 and 10 µg/ml fibronectin) remained at basal levels, equivalent to untreated PET surfaces (PET) that is 6 myotubes per field (Figure 9B).

Interestingly, PET-DMA-PHEMA-FBN₂₀ gives also a high level of differentiation, with 10 myotubes per field, slightly lower than PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₂₀. With this condition, fibronectin is adsorbed on the surface, but not covalently linked to it. Similar results were obtained after 10 and 13 days of culture and differentiation (data not shown).

The number of myotubes counted and shown in Figure 9B does not take into account the evolution of differentiation once the myotubes are formed: when two cells fuse together, it is already considered as a myotube, but differentiation still goes on and other myoblasts fuse to this myotube, allowing it to grow in length, diameter, and number of nuclei. Therefore, in order to better quantify the progression of differentiation, the diameter of myotubes was measured. Indeed, the diameter is proportional to the number of cells that have fused into

myotubes. Results are shown in Figure 9C, and are essentially similar to those displayed in Figure 9B: the diameter of myotubes reaches 35 μm with 20 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ FBN grafted to the PET surface (PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₂₀), equivalent to the control PET-DMA-PHEMA-FBN₂₀. PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₅ was equivalent to the untreated, bare PET surface with a diameter of 30 μm . It should be noted that this parameter shows less variation from 30 to 35 μm of myotube diameter (maximum ~20% variation) than changes in the number of myotubes per field (from 6 to 12) when surfaces are differently treated. This result suggests that it is less difficult for cells to form a new myotube than to fuse and grow in diameter of the already created myotubes.

In addition and as shown in Figure 8, we used conditions of preparation and grafting PET surfaces for myoblastic differentiation with addition of PEGDA crosslinker for PHEMA. Figure 10A shows that after 8 days of growth and differentiation, myotubes differentiated on PET with 10 % PEGDA and 20 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ of fibronectin (PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁₀-I-FBN₂₀) are present at the highest number (left panel), compared to 10 % PEGDA without fibronectin (PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁₀), at a level equivalent to the control PET-DMA-PHEMA. Quantification of the mean number of myotubes per field (Figure 10B) shows that after 8 days of growth and differentiation, PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁₀-I-FBN₂₀ gives the highest number of myotubes, followed by PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁-I-FBN₂₀, at a level equivalent to the control PET-FBN₅. When PEGDA (1 % or 10 %) is added, but not FBN, the number of myotubes per field is reduced to a number similar to the control, untreated PET surfaces. Therefore, these results clearly indicate that addition of PEGDA potentiates the effect of FBN. Thus, treatment with PEGDA, even at a low concentration of 1 % may potentiate the efficiency of the PET membranes for myoblasts differentiation.

With regard to myotube diameter (Figure 10C), only the combination of 10 % PEGDA and 20 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ of fibronectin (PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁₀-I-FBN₂₀) shows a significantly higher result (45 μm) compared to all other conditions (PET-DMA-PHEMA) at 30 μm of diameter, PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁, PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁₀ and PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁-I-FBN₂₀ at 25 μm .

Figure 10. Cell differentiation on PET membranes treated with PEGDA.

C2C12 muscular cells were plated at day 0 at the same conditions as for Figure 8. They were left 7 days to grow and differentiate. At day 7 the medium was changed from growth medium to differentiation medium.

A) Photomicrographs showing myotubes, the long fusiform multinucleated cells resulting from fusion of individual myoblastic cells, taken 7 days after plating. In the figure, myotubes differentiated on PET-DMA-PHEMA, PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁₀ control membranes, and processed completely with PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁₀-I-FBN₂₀ surfaces, are shown.

B) At day 8 the number of myotubes on PET membranes was counted on 5 different fields. The mean value of the number of myotubes is shown on PET surfaces subjected to various treatments.

C) Photographs of the fields were taken and the diameter of myotubes measured. The average value is reported on the graph, depending on the various treatment of PET supports. PET-FBN₅: untreated PET membranes coated with 5 μg/ml of fibronectin. PET-DMA-PHEMA: PET membranes for which the grafting process was stopped at the PHEMA step. PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁, PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁₀: PET membranes grafted and treated with 1% and 10 % PEGDA respectively. PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁-I-FBN₂₀, PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁₀-I-FBN₂₀: PET membranes grafted and treated with 1% and 10 % PEGDA, respectively, and finally linked to 20 μg/ml of fibronectin.

In conclusion, the results obtained so far show altogether that PET membranes grafted with fibronectin at the highest dose (20 µg/ml) through the DMA-PHEMA-I protocol, with addition of PEGDA, is very efficient in allowing proliferation and differentiation of muscular cells.

Discussion and Conclusion

Fibronectin, an important component of the extracellular matrix, was grafted on bioactive PET-DMA-PHEMA-I and derivatives in order to investigate proliferation and differentiation of muscular cells on these biomaterials. The general observation is that this type of treatment improves both proliferation and differentiation over untreated or partially treated PET surfaces. Several conclusions can be reached: (i) The untreated PET membrane (Figure 7 and Table 2, $k = 0.23 \text{ d}^{-1}$) provides an improvement of growth over glass slides used in biology (GCS-FBN₅, $k = 0.17 \text{ d}^{-1}$); (ii) In contrast, PHEMA surfaces (PET-DMA-PHEMA platform), without any post-modification with CDI and fibronectin ($k = 0.2 \text{ d}^{-1}$), might be slightly less efficient for cell to attach and/or proliferate, compared to PET or PET-FBN₅ ($k = 0.23 \text{ d}^{-1}$); (iii) When the process of chemical grafting is stopped after the PHEMA step, with no CDI treatment (PET-DMA-PHEMA, $k = 0.20 \text{ d}^{-1}$), addition of fibronectin at high dose does not improve the rate of cell proliferation (PET-DMA-PHEMA-FBN₂₀, $k = 0.21 \text{ d}^{-1}$); (iv) this indicates that the post modification by CDI is essential for the efficient covalent attachment of fibronectin; (v) the addition of PEGDA (1% or 10 % relative to HEMA comonomer) on chemically treated surfaces but without any grafted fibronectin markedly enhances the rate of cell division up to 0.38 d^{-1} . In addition, this effect is strong enough so that fibronectin grafting then only marginally stimulates the rate of proliferation by 10 to 15 %, up to 0.44 d^{-1} (PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁-I-FBN₂₀). When compared to PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₂₀ ($k = 0.35 \text{ d}^{-1}$), addition of PEGDA has a 30 % effect and stimulates cell division. The effect of PEGDA could be ascribed to an enhanced biocompatible character imparted by the crosslinker as it is a *PEGylated* comonomer with an average number 13 of ethylene glycol

repeat units per monomer (*i.e.* per PEGDA). Moreover, polyethylene glycol (PEG) is known to facilitate cell fusion.³⁸

With regard to cell differentiation, the situation appears more drastic: only the highest dose of fibronectin with PEGDA treatment (PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁₀-I-FBN₂₀, Figure 10A) gives the best number of myotubes, as well as the largest diameter of myotubes, two criteria used to evaluate differentiation. The second best result is provided by PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₂₀ (Figure 9A). It appears that fibronectin is essential for a good differentiation, since only PET membranes grafted with fibronectin provide the best results, in number as well in diameter of myotubes (see results Figures 9 and 10 for PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₂₀ and PET-DMA-PHEMA-PEGDA₁₀-I-FBN₂₀). However, in contrast to results obtained for cell proliferation, PEGDA treatment does not improve differentiation over PET PHEMA (Figure 10A). This result may indicate that if addition of PEGDA stimulates the initial step of differentiation, full differentiation may be obtained only with the PET 10 % PEGDA + 20 µg/ml of FBN. Altogether, these results suggest that either a threshold concentration of FBN is required for cells to fully differentiate, or that PET-DMA-PHEMA-I may inhibit cell differentiation, by providing inappropriate charges or signals to differentiating cells. This inhibition effect may be overcome by fibronectin when high concentration (20 µg/ml) is used. However, these two explanations are not necessarily mutually exclusive.

The diameter of myotubes may result from a complex situation: when muscular cells reach confluence, they may create with their neighboring cells a new myotube, or fuse with a preexisting myotube. It is possible that chemical treatment of PET membrane modifies this mechanism in a subtle fashion. The main result from this study is that fibronectin is important (PET-DMA-PHEMA-FBN₂₀ and PET-DMA-PHEMA-I-FBN₂₀, Figure 9B) but PEGDA improves the diameter, although PET-DMA-PHEMA gives a slightly higher value (Figure 10B) possibly due to improved biocompatibility imparted by PHEMA.

To sum up, the full treatment, with PEGDA and fibronectin gives the best results in all cases, and stimulates cell proliferation as well as differentiation and growth of myotubes. This opens

avenues for providing favourable environments for cells in order to graft them in muscular tissue, such as the heart to help for heart diseases.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Indo-French Centre for the Promotion of Advanced Research (IFCPAR) for financial support through the "All-Polymer Flexible Sensors" Project No 4705-2.

Inverted microscopic analysis were performed on the "Plateau imagerie", of Unit of Functional and Adaptive Biology (BFA), Université de Paris, Paris, France.

REFERENCES

- (1) Schwartz, M.A. Integrins and extracellular matrix in mechanotransduction. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* **2010**, 2, 1-13.
- (2) Srivastava, N.; James, J.; Narayan, K. S. Morphology and electrostatics play active role in neuronal differentiation processes on flexible conducting substrates. *Organogenesis*, **2014**, 10, 1-5.
- (3) Nune, M., Manchineella, S., Govindaraju, T., & Narayan, K. S. (2019). Melanin incorporated electroactive and antioxidant silk fibroin nanofibrous scaffolds for nerve tissue engineering. *Materials Science and Engineering: C*, 94, 17-25.
- (4) Park, J. H., Park, J. U., & Chang, H. Advances in Biomaterials for Breast Reconstruction. *Applied Sciences*, **2021**, 11, 7493.
- (5) Balakin, S., Ibarlucea, B., Belyaev, D., Baraban, L., Hänsel, S., Maitz, M., ... & Cuniberti, G. (2021). Hemocompatible Electrochemical Sensors for Continuous Monitoring of Blood Parameters. *Engineering Proceedings*, 6(1), 19.
- (6) Kou, L., Yao, Q., Zhang, H., Chu, M., Bhutia, Y. D., Chen, R., & Ganapathy, V. Transporter-targeted nano-sized vehicles for enhanced and site-specific drug delivery. *Cancers*, **2020**, 12, 2837.
- (7) Chung, S. ; King, M.W. Design concepts and strategies for tissue engineering scaffolds. *Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem.* **2011**, 58, 423-438.
- (8) Ng, K., Gao, B., Yong, K. W., Li, Y., Shi, M., Zhao, X., ... & Xu, F. Paper-based cell culture platform and its emerging biomedical applications. *Materials Today*, **2017**, 20, 32-44.
- (9) Brown, R.A. ; Phillips, J.B. Cell Responses to Biomimetic Protein Scaffolds Used in Tissue Repair and Engineering. *International Review of Cytology* **2007**, 262, 75-150.
- (10) Zhang, X., Xu, B., Puperi, D. S., Yonezawa, A. L., Wu, Y., Tseng, H., Grande-Allen, K. J. (2015). Integrating valve-inspired design features into poly (ethylene glycol) hydrogel scaffolds for heart valve tissue engineering. *Acta Biomater.* **2015**, 14, 11-21.
- (11) Abdul Samat, A., Abdul Hamid, Z. A., Jaafar, M., & Yahaya, B. H. (2021). Mechanical Properties and In Vitro Evaluation of Thermoplastic Polyurethane and Polylactic Acid Blend for Fabrication of 3D Filaments for Tracheal Tissue Engineering. *Polymers*, **2021**, 13, 3087.
- (12) Beldjilali-Labro, M., Garcia Garcia, A., Farhat, F., Bedoui, F., Grosset, J. F., Dufresne, M., Legallais, C. Biomaterials in tendon and skeletal muscle tissue engineering: current trends and challenges. *Materials*, **2018**, 11, 1116.
- (13) Vasiladis, A. V., & Katakalos, K. The Role of Scaffolds in Tendon Tissue Engineering. *J. Func. Biomater.*, **2020**, 11(4), 78.

- (14) Zhao, Y., Li, Y., Peng, X., Yu, X., Cheng, C., & Yu, X. Feasibility study of oxidized hyaluronic acid cross-linking acellular bovine pericardium with potential application for abdominal wall repair. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, **2021**, *184*, 831-842.
- (15) Brown, B.N. ; Badylak, S.F. Extracellular matrix as an inductive scaffold for functional tissue reconstruction. *Translational Res.* **2013**, *163*, 268-285.
- (16) Mecham, R.P. Overview of extracellular matrix. *Curr Protoc Cell Biol.* **2012**, Chapter 10: Unit 10.1. doi: 10.1002/0471143030.cb1001s57.
- (17) Hussey, G. S., Dziki, J. L., Badylak, S. F.. Extracellular matrix-based materials for regenerative medicine. *Nature Rev. Mater.*, **2018**, *3*, 159-173.
- (18) Hynes, R.O. ; Naba A. Overview of the matrisome--an inventory of extracellular matrix constituents and functions. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* **2012**, *4*, 1-16.
- (19) Statzer, C., & Ewald, C. Y. The extracellular matrix phenome across species. *Matrix Biol. Plus*, **2020**, *8*, 100039.
- (20) Lu, P. ; Takai, K. ; Weaver, V.M. ; Werb, Z. Extracellular matrix degradation and remodeling in development and disease. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* **2011**, *3*, 1-24.
- (21) Winkler, J., Abisoye-Ogunniyan, A., Metcalf, K. J., & Werb, Z. (2020). Concepts of extracellular matrix remodelling in tumour progression and metastasis. *Nature communications*, *11*(1), 1-19.
- (22) Singh, A. ; Zakaria, S. ; Joshi, N. ; Jha, P. ; Decorse, P. ; Lecoq, H. ; Lau-Truong, S.; Jouini, M.; Aswal, D. K.; Chehimi, M. M. Electrochemical investigation of free-standing polypyrrole–silver nanocomposite films: a substrate free electrode material for supercapacitors. *RSC Adv.* **2013**, *3*, 24567-24575.
- (23) Lo, M., Seydou, M., Bensghaïer, A., Pires, R., Gningue-Sall, D., Aaron, J. J., Mekhalif, Z., Delhalle, J., Chehimi, M. M. (2020). Polypyrrole-wrapped carbon nanotube composite films coated on diazonium-modified flexible ITO sheets for the electroanalysis of heavy metal ions. *Sensors*, **2020**, *20*, 580..
- (24) Fukuda, K., Yu, K., Someya, T. The future of flexible organic solar cells. *Advanced Energy Materials*, **2020**, *10*, 2000765..
- (25) Gooding, J.J.; Ciampi, S. The molecular level modification of surfaces: from self-assembled monolayers to complex molecular assemblies. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 2704–2718.
- (26) Mousli, F., Snoussi, Y., Khalil, A. M., Jlassi, K., Mekki, A., & Chehimi, M. M.. Surface Modification of Polymeric Substrates with Photo-and Sonochemically Designed Macromolecular Grafts.. In *Surface Modification of Polymers: Methods and Applications*, Pinson, J.; Thiry, D. (Eds), Wiley-VCH, 2019, pp. 273-315.
- (27) Hetemi, D., Noël, V., & Pinson, J. Grafting of diazonium salts on surfaces: Application to biosensors. *Biosensors*, **2020**, *10*, 4.
- (28) Amani, H., Arzaghi, H., Bayandori, M., Dezfouli, A. S., Pazoki-Toroudi, H., Shafiee, A., & Moradi, L. Controlling cell behavior through the design of biomaterial surfaces: a focus on surface modification techniques. *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, **2019**, *6*, 1900572..
- (29) Zoppe, J. O., Ataman, N. C., Mocny, P., Wang, J., Moraes, J., & Klok, H. A. (2017). Surface-initiated controlled radical polymerization: state-of-the-art, opportunities, and challenges in surface and interface engineering with polymer brushes. *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 1105-1318.
- (30) Bensghaïer, A.; Mousli, F.; Lamouri, A.; Postnikov, P. S.; Chehimi, M. M. The Molecular and Macromolecular Level of Carbon Nanotube Modification Via Diazonium Chemistry: Emphasis on the 2010s Years. *Chem. Afr.* **2020**, *3*, 535-569.
- (31) Aryl Diazonium Salts: New Coupling Agents in Polymer and Surface Science; Chehimi, M. M., Ed.; Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2012.
- (32) Bélanger, D.; Pinson, J. Electrografting: a powerful method for surface modification. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 3995-4048.
- (33) Gautier, C. ; Lopez, I. ; Breton, T. A post-functionalization toolbox for diazonium (electro)-grafted surfaces: review of the coupling methods. *Mater. Adv.* **2021**, *2*, 2773-2810

-
- (34) Ben Slama, R. ; Mrabet, B. ; Bakhrouf, A. ; Salmi, Z. ; Bakas, I. ; Chehimi, M.M. Polymer/silver hybrid thin films for anti-pathogenic bacterial applications. *Surf. Innov.* **2015**, 3, 103-114
- (35) Mahjoubi, H.; Buck, E.; Manimunda, P.; Farivar, R.; Chromik, R.; Murshed, M.; Cerruti, M. Surface phosphonation enhances hydroxyapatite coating adhesion on polyetheretherketone and its osseointegration potential. *Acta Biomater.* **2017**, 47, 149-158.
- (36) Boccafroschi, F. ; Fusaro, L. ; Botta, M. ; Ramella, M. ; Chevallier, P. ; Mantovani, D. ; Cannas, M. Arginine-glycine-glutamine and serine-isoleucine-lysine-valine-alanine-valine modified poly(l-lactide) films: bioactive molecules used for surface grafting to guide cellular contractile phenotype. *Biointerphases* **2014**, 9, 1-10.
- (37) Gam-Derouich, S., Mahouche-Chergui, S., Turmine, M., Piquemal, J. Y., Hassen-Chehimi, D. B., Omastová, M., & Chehimi, M. M. A versatile route for surface modification of carbon, metals and semi-conductors by diazonium salt-initiated photopolymerization. *Surf. Sci.* **2011**, 605, 1889-1899.
- (38) Yang, J. ; Shen, M. H. Polyethylene glycol-mediated cell fusion. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **2006**, 325, 59-66.