



Article

The Expansion Theorems for Sturm-Liouville Operators with an Involution Perturbation

Abdissalam A. Sarsenbi^{1,†}  0000-0002-1667-3010, Abdizhahan M.Sarsenbi^{1,*}  0000-0003-4709-2777

¹ M.Auezov South Kazakhstan University, Shymkent, 160000, Kazakhstan; abdisalam@mail.ru

* Correspondence: abzhahan@gmail.com;

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: In this work, we studied the Green's functions of the second order differential operators with involution. Uniform equiconvergence of spectral expansions related to the second-order differential operators with involution is obtained. Basicity of eigenfunctions of the second-order differential operator operator with complex-valued coefficient is established.

Keywords: differential equations; involution; boundary value problems; Green's function; eigenfunction expansions; equiconvergence; Riesz basis; spectral properties

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider in the Hilbert space $L_2(-1, 1)$ a second-order differential operator L_0 defined by

$$L_0 y = -y''(x) + \alpha y''(-x), \quad (1)$$

with domain $D(L_0) \subset L_2(-1, 1)$, where $-1 < \alpha < 1$, $\alpha \neq 0$. We denoted by $AC[-1, 1]$ the space of absolute continuous functions on $[-1, 1]$ and denoted

$$AC^1[-1, 1] = \{y(x) \in C^1[-1, 1] \mid y'(x) \in AC[-1, 1]\}.$$

The functions $y(x) \in D(L_0)$ satisfy the conditions: $y(x)$ belongs to $AC^1[-1, 1]$ and

$$y(-1) = y(1), \quad y'(-1) = y'(1). \quad (2)$$

Along with operator L_0 we also consider an operator L defined by

$$Ly = -y''(x) + \alpha y''(-x) + q(x)y(x), \quad (3)$$

with domain $D(L) = D(L_0) \subset L_2(-1, 1)$, where $q(x) \in L_1[-1, 1]$ is complex-valued function.

Uniform equiconvergence of spectral expansions related to the operators L_0 and L , given by (1), (3) respectively, is studied.

Differential equations with involution form a special class of linear functional-differential equations, with their theory having been developed since the middle of the last century. Among a variety of studies in this direction, one can mention the books [1–3]. The existence of a solution of the partial differential equation with involution has been studied in [2] by the separation of variables method. As in the case of classical equations, applying the Fourier method to partial differential equations with involution leads to the related spectral problems for differential operators with involution. The study of spectral problems for differential operators with involution started relatively recently. In [4–7] the spectral problems for the first-order differential operators with an involution have been studied. In [8] (see also references therein), [9] the spectral problems for differential operators with involution in the lower terms have been considered. The

spectral problems related to the second-order differential operators with involution have been studied in [10–16]. Qualitative analysis of the solutions (Green's functions) to boundary value problems for the differential equations with involution is available in [2, 3,17–19]. In [14,15,20,21] the Green's functions of the second-order differential operators with involution have been investigated and theorems on basicity of eigenfunctions are proved. Theorems on basicity of eigenfunctions of the second order differential operators with involution [16] have been used to solving inverse problems in [22–24]. Solvability of problems for partial differential equations with involution is discussed in [25–30].

In this paper the integral Cauchy method [31] (well-known in the spectral theory of ordinary differential operators) is modified for the case of differential operators with involution. The method is based on proving the equiconvergence of the known expansion with the eigenfunction expansion of the considered problem.

2. Auxiliary Statements

In this section the boundary value problem

$$Ly = -y''(x) + \alpha y''(-x) + q(x)y(x) = \lambda y(x),$$

$$U_i(y) = a_{i1}y'(-1) + a_{i2}y(-1) + a_{i3}y'(1) + a_{i4}y(1) = 0, (i = 1, 2)$$

will be first considered. Here λ is a complex parameter.

We introduce the definition of the Green's function. Let the boundary value problem not has a nontrivial solution. But can be exists a function $G_q(x, t, \lambda)$ such that:

- 1) $G_q(x, t, \lambda)$ is continuous on the rectangle $-1 \leq x, t \leq 1$;
- 2) the function $G_q(x, t, \lambda)$ has the continuous derivative $(G_q(x, t, \lambda))'_x$ for $x \neq \mp t$ and satisfies the conditions:

$$(G_q(x, t, \lambda))'_x \Big|_{t=-x-0} - (G_q(x, t, \lambda))'_x \Big|_{t=-x+0} = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1-\alpha^2}},$$

$$(G_q(x, t, \lambda))'_x \Big|_{t=x-0} - (G_q(x, t, \lambda))'_x \Big|_{t=x+0} = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha^2}};$$

- 3) the function $G_q(x, t, \lambda)$ has the derivative $(G_q(x, t, \lambda))''_{xx}$, satisfies $Ly = \lambda y$ (except at $x \neq \mp t$) and $U_i(y) = 0, (i = 1, 2)$.

The function $G_q(x, t, \lambda)$ is called the Green's function of the considered boundary value problem (of the operator $L - \lambda I$, where L defined by the rule (3) on the functions for which $U_i(y) = 0, (i = 1, 2)$, I is identity operator).

If the function $G_q(x, t, \lambda)$ is the Green's function of the operator $L - \lambda I$, then the function

$$y(x) = \int_{-1}^1 G_q(x, t, \lambda) f(t) dt$$

gives the solution to the problem

$$-y''(x) + \alpha y''(-x) + q(x)y(x) = \lambda y(x) + f(x), \quad -1 < x < 1,$$

with boundary conditions $U_i(y) = 0, (i = 1, 2)$, for any function $f(x) \in C[-1, 1]$ (this statement, existence and uniqueness of the Green's function can be proved by standard methods [32] (see chapter 1).

Now write homogeneous equation $L_0 y = \lambda y(x)$ according to (1). Let us denote by $y_1(x) = \cos \alpha_0 \rho x, y_2(x) = \sin \alpha_1 \rho x$, where $\sqrt{\lambda} = \rho, \arg \rho \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, $\alpha_0 = \sqrt{(1-\alpha)^{-1}}, \alpha_1 = \sqrt{(1+\alpha)^{-1}}$, the linearly independent solutions of this homogeneous equation. Let $\rho_0 = \text{Im} \rho$ be the imaginary part of the complex number ρ . Let

a function $G(x, t, \lambda)$ is the Green's function of the operator $L_0 - \lambda I$ and the function $y(x) = \int_{-1}^1 G(x, t, \lambda) f(t) dt$ is the solution of problem

$$L_0 y = \lambda y(x) + f(x), \quad (4)$$

with boundary conditions (2), for any function $f(x) \in C[-1, 1]$.

Lemma 1. *If λ is not an eigenvalue of the operator L_0 , then a function*

$$y(x) = -\frac{\alpha_0 \cos \alpha_0 \rho}{2\rho \sin \alpha_0 \rho} \cos(\alpha_0 \rho x) \int_{-1}^1 \cos(\alpha_0 \rho t) f(t) dt - \frac{\alpha_1 \cos \alpha_1 \rho}{2\rho \sin \alpha_1 \rho} \sin(\alpha_1 \rho x) \int_{-1}^1 \sin(\alpha_1 \rho t) f(t) dt + g_0(x)$$

is the solution of non-homogeneous problem (4), (2) for any continuous function $f(x)$, where

$$g_0(x) = \frac{1}{2\rho} \int_{-1}^{-x} [\alpha_0 \cos(\alpha_0 \rho x) \sin(\alpha_0 \rho t) - \alpha_1 \sin(\alpha_1 \rho x) \cos(\alpha_1 \rho t)] f(t) dt + \frac{1}{2\rho} \int_{-x}^x [-\alpha_0 \cos(\alpha_0 \rho t) \sin(\alpha_0 \rho x) + \alpha_1 \sin(\alpha_1 \rho t) \cos(\alpha_1 \rho x)] f(t) dt + \frac{1}{2\rho} \int_x^1 [-\alpha_0 \cos(\alpha_0 \rho x) \sin(\alpha_0 \rho t) + \alpha_1 \sin(\alpha_1 \rho x) \cos(\alpha_1 \rho t)] f(t) dt.$$

This Lemma 1 can be proved by direct calculations. From Lemma 1, we get the following

Corollary 1. *The Green's function of the operator $L_0 - \lambda I$ can be represented in the form*

$$G(x, t, \lambda) = -\frac{\alpha_0 \cos \alpha_0 \rho}{2\rho \sin \alpha_0 \rho} \cos(\alpha_0 \rho x) \cos(\alpha_0 \rho t) - \frac{\alpha_1 \cos \alpha_1 \rho}{2\rho \sin \alpha_1 \rho} \sin(\alpha_1 \rho x) \sin(\alpha_1 \rho t) + \frac{1}{2\rho} \begin{cases} \alpha_0 \cos(\alpha_0 \rho x) \sin(\alpha_0 \rho t) - \alpha_1 \sin(\alpha_1 \rho x) \cos(\alpha_1 \rho t), & t < -x, \\ -\alpha_0 \cos(\alpha_0 \rho x) \sin(\alpha_0 \rho t) + \alpha_1 \sin(\alpha_1 \rho x) \cos(\alpha_1 \rho t), & t > x, \\ -\alpha_0 \cos(\alpha_0 \rho t) \sin(\alpha_0 \rho x) + \alpha_1 \sin(\alpha_1 \rho t) \cos(\alpha_1 \rho x), & -x < t < x. \end{cases}$$

Green's function of the operator $L_0 - \lambda I$ has the following properties:

- 1) $G(x, t, \lambda)$ is the symmetric: $G(x, t, \lambda) = G(t, x, \lambda)$, for all $-1 \leq x, t \leq 1$;
- 2) $G(x, t, \lambda)$ is continuous on the rectangle $-1 \leq x, t \leq 1$;
- 3) the function $G(x, t, \lambda)$ has the continuous derivative $G'_x(x, t, \lambda)$ for $x = \mp t$, and satisfies the conditions:

$$G'_x(x, t, \lambda)|_{t=-x-0} - G'_x(x, t, \lambda)|_{t=-x+0} = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1-\alpha^2}},$$

$$G'_x(x, t, \lambda)|_{t=x-0} - G'_x(x, t, \lambda)|_{t=x+0} = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha^2}};$$

- 4) the function $G(x, t, \lambda)$ has the derivative $G''_{xx}(x, t, \lambda)$, satisfies $L_0 y = \lambda y$ (except at $x = \mp t$) and (2).

The operator L_0 of the form (1) with periodic boundary conditions (2) has the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k1} = (1 - \alpha)(k\pi)^2$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; $\lambda_{k2} = (1 + \alpha)(k\pi)^2$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. The system of eigenfunctions $\{y_{k1} = \cos k\pi x, y_{k2} = \sin k\pi x\}$ of the operator L_0 is complete and orthogonal in $L_2(-1, 1)$. Denote $\rho_{k1} = \sqrt{(1 - \alpha)k\pi}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $\rho_{k2} = \sqrt{(1 + \alpha)k\pi}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

Since $\rho_{k+1,1} - \rho_{k1} = \sqrt{(1-\alpha)\pi}$; $\rho_{k+1,2} - \rho_{k2} = \sqrt{(1+\alpha)\pi}$, we denote by
 $O_\varepsilon(\rho_{01}) = \{\rho : |\rho - \rho_{01}| < \varepsilon\}$,
 $O_\varepsilon(\rho_{kl}) = \{\rho : |\rho - \rho_{kl}| < \varepsilon, k = 1, 2, \dots; l = 1, 2\}$ a circle $C_{01}, C_{kl}, k = 1, 2, \dots; l = 1, 2$, of radius $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4} \min((1-\alpha)\pi, (1+\alpha)\pi)$. Then the circles $C_{01}, C_{kl}, k = 1, 2, \dots; l = 1, 2$, with equations $\rho = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \rho = \rho_{kl} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ do not intersect the circles $O_\varepsilon(\rho_{01}), O_\varepsilon(\rho_{kl})$ for large k .

Further we need an estimate of the Green's function of the operator $L_0 - \lambda I$.

Lemma 2. Let $\rho \notin O_\varepsilon(\rho_{kl})$ and $|\rho| > 1$. Then the Green's function $G(x, t, \lambda)$ of the operator $L_0 - \lambda I$ satisfies the uniform with respect to $-1 \leq x, t \leq 1$ estimate

$$|G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq c_0(\alpha, \varepsilon) |\rho|^{-1} r(x, t, \rho)$$

where

$$r(x, t, \rho) = \left(e^{-\alpha_2 |\rho_0| (2-|x|-|t|)} + e^{-\alpha_2 |\rho_0| (|x|-|t|)} \right), \rho_0 = \operatorname{Im} \rho, \alpha_2 = \min\{\alpha_1, \alpha_0\}.$$

Proof of Lemma 2. We have to examine three cases: $1 \leq t < -x$, $-x < t < x$, $x < t \leq 1$. Let $t > x$. Then the Green's function $G(x, t, \lambda)$ can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, t, \lambda) &= \frac{\alpha_0}{4i\rho} \left\{ \frac{e^{-i\alpha_0\rho}}{e^{i\alpha_0\rho} - e^{-i\alpha_0\rho}} \left[e^{i\alpha_0\rho(x+t)} + e^{i\alpha_0\rho(t-x)} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{e^{i\alpha_0\rho}}{e^{i\alpha_0\rho} - e^{-i\alpha_0\rho}} + \left[e^{i\alpha_0\rho(x-t)} + e^{i\alpha_0\rho(-x-t)} \right] \right\} \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_1}{4i\rho} \frac{e^{i\alpha_1\rho}}{e^{i\alpha_1\rho} - e^{-i\alpha_1\rho}} \left[-e^{i\alpha_1\rho(x+t)} + e^{i\alpha_1\rho(t-x)} \right] + \frac{e^{i\alpha_1\rho}}{e^{i\alpha_1\rho} - e^{-i\alpha_1\rho}} \left[e^{i\alpha_1\rho(x-t)} - e^{i\alpha_1\rho(-x-t)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From this and $\rho_0 = \operatorname{Im} \rho$ we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |G(x, t, \lambda)| &\leq \frac{\alpha_0}{4|\rho|} \frac{e^{\alpha_0\rho_0}}{|e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0} - e^{\alpha_0\rho_0}|} \left[e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(x+t)} + e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(t-x)} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha_0}{4|\rho|} \frac{e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0}}{|e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0} - e^{\alpha_0\rho_0}|} \left[e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(x-t)} + e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(-x-t)} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \frac{e^{\alpha_1\rho_0}}{|e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0} - e^{\alpha_1\rho_0}|} \left[e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(x+t)} + e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(t-x)} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \frac{e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0}}{|e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0} - e^{\alpha_1\rho_0}|} \left[e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(x-t)} + e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(-x-t)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Let $\rho_0 > 0$ and γ is arbitrary positive number. For sufficiently large $\rho_0 > 0$, the estimates

$$\frac{e^{\gamma\rho_0}}{|e^{-\gamma\rho_0} - e^{\gamma\rho_0}|} \sim 1, \quad \frac{e^{-\gamma\rho_0}}{|e^{-\gamma\rho_0} - e^{\gamma\rho_0}|} \sim e^{-2\gamma\rho_0} \quad (6)$$

holds. Applying these inequalities (6) to (5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |G(x, t, \lambda)| &\leq \frac{\alpha_0}{4|\rho|} \left[\left(e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(x+t)} + e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(t-x)} \right) + \left(e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(2+x-t)} + e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(2-t-x)} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \left[\left(e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(x+t)} + e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(t-x)} \right) + \left(e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(2+x-t)} + e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(2-t-x)} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If $t > x > 0$, it is obviously that

$$t + x > t - x > 0, 2 + x - t > 2 - x - t > 0. \quad (7)$$

Therefore we obtain the relation

$$|G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq \frac{\alpha_0}{4|\rho|} \left[e^{-\alpha_0 \rho_0(2-x-t)} + e^{-\alpha_0 \rho_0(t-x)} \right] + \frac{\alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \left[e^{-\alpha_1 \rho_0(2-x-t)} + e^{-\alpha_1 \rho_0(t-x)} \right].$$

Hence,

$$|G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \left(e^{-\alpha_2 |\rho_0|(2-x-t)} + e^{-\alpha_2 |\rho_0|(t-x)} \right), \quad \alpha_2 = \min(\alpha_0, \alpha_1). \quad (8)$$

Let $\rho_0 < 0$ and γ is any positive number. For sufficiently large $|\rho_0|$ the estimates hold:

$$\frac{e^{\gamma \rho_0}}{|e^{-\gamma \rho_0} - e^{\gamma \rho_0}|} \sim e^{-2\gamma \rho_0}, \quad \frac{e^{-\gamma \rho_0}}{|e^{-\gamma \rho_0} - e^{\gamma \rho_0}|} \sim 1. \quad (9)$$

Applying these inequalities (9) to (5)

$$|G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq \frac{\alpha_0}{4|\rho|} \left[\left(e^{\alpha_0 \rho_0(2-x-t)} + e^{\alpha_0 \rho_0(t-x)} \right) + \left(e^{\alpha_0 \rho_0(t-x)} + e^{\alpha_0 \rho_0(t+x)} \right) \right] \\ + \frac{\alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \left[\left(e^{\alpha_1 \rho_0(2-x-t)} + e^{\alpha_1 \rho_0(t-x)} \right) + \left(e^{\alpha_1 \rho_0(t-x)} + e^{\alpha_1 \rho_0(t+x)} \right) \right].$$

Now if we recall (7), we get

$$|G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq \frac{\alpha_0}{4|\rho|} \left[e^{\alpha_0 \rho_0(2-x-t)} + e^{\alpha_0 \rho_0(t-x)} \right] + \frac{\alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \left[e^{\alpha_1 \rho_0(2-x-t)} + e^{\alpha_1 \rho_0(t-x)} \right].$$

From this we obtain the inequality

$$|G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \left(e^{\alpha_2 \rho_0(2-x-t)} + e^{\alpha_2 \rho_0(t-x)} \right), \quad \alpha_2 = \min\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1\}. \quad (10)$$

The estimates (8), (10) implies the inequality

$$|G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \left(e^{-\alpha_2 |\rho_0|(2-x-t)} + e^{-\alpha_2 |\rho_0|(t-x)} \right), \quad \alpha_2 = \min(\alpha_0, \alpha_1). \quad (11)$$

Thus, for $t > x > 0$ the Green's function satisfies the estimate (11). In the case $-x < t < x$ the Green's function $G(x, t, \lambda)$ can be rewritten appropriately:

$$G(x, t, \lambda) = \frac{\alpha_0}{4i\rho} \left\{ \frac{e^{-i\alpha_0 \rho}}{e^{i\alpha_0 \rho} - e^{-i\alpha_0 \rho}} \left[e^{i\alpha_0 \rho(x+t)} + e^{i\alpha_0 \rho(x-t)} \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{e^{i\alpha_0 \rho}}{e^{i\alpha_0 \rho} - e^{-i\alpha_0 \rho}} + \left[e^{i\alpha_0 \rho(t-x)} + e^{i\alpha_0 \rho(-x-t)} \right] \right\} \\ + \frac{\alpha_1}{4i\rho} \left\{ \frac{e^{-i\alpha_1 \rho}}{e^{i\alpha_1 \rho} - e^{-i\alpha_1 \rho}} \left[-e^{i\alpha_1 \rho(x+t)} + e^{i\alpha_1 \rho(x-t)} \right] + \frac{e^{i\alpha_1 \rho}}{e^{i\alpha_1 \rho} - e^{-i\alpha_1 \rho}} \left[e^{i\alpha_1 \rho(t-x)} - e^{i\alpha_1 \rho(-x-t)} \right] \right\}.$$

From this we obtain the inequality

$$G(x, t, \lambda) = \frac{\alpha_0}{4i\rho} \left\{ \frac{e^{-i\alpha_0\rho}}{e^{i\alpha_0\rho} - e^{-i\alpha_0\rho}} \left[e^{i\alpha_0\rho(x+t)} + e^{i\alpha_0\rho(x-t)} \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{e^{i\alpha_0\rho}}{e^{i\alpha_0\rho} - e^{-i\alpha_0\rho}} \left[e^{i\alpha_0\rho(t-x)} + e^{i\alpha_0\rho(-x-t)} \right] \right\} \\ + \frac{\alpha_1}{4i\rho} \left\{ \frac{e^{-i\alpha_1\rho}}{e^{i\alpha_1\rho} - e^{-i\alpha_1\rho}} \left[-e^{i\alpha_1\rho(x+t)} + e^{i\alpha_1\rho(x-t)} \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{e^{i\alpha_1\rho}}{e^{i\alpha_1\rho} - e^{-i\alpha_1\rho}} \left[e^{i\alpha_1\rho(t-x)} - e^{i\alpha_1\rho(-x-t)} \right] \right\}. \quad (12)$$

Let $\rho_0 > 0$. Applying (6) to (12) we get

$$|G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq \frac{\alpha_0}{4|\rho|} \left[\left(e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(x+t)} + e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(x-t)} \right) + \left(e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(2+t-x)} + e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(2-x-t)} \right) \right] \\ + \frac{\alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \left[\left(e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(x+t)} + e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(x-t)} \right) + \left(e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(2+t-x)} + e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(2-x-t)} \right) \right].$$

In fact, $0 < t+x < x-t, 2+t-x < 2-t-x$ for $t > 0$, and

$0 < t+x < x-t, 2+t-x < 2-t-x$ for $t < 0$. From this and the last inequality we obtain

$$|G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq \frac{\alpha_0}{4|\rho|} \left(e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(x-|t|)} + e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(2-x-|t|)} \right) + \frac{\alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \left(e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(x-|t|)} + e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(2-x-|t|)} \right).$$

This implies the estimate

$$|G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \left(e^{-\alpha_2\rho_0(x-|t|)} + e^{-\alpha_2\rho_0(2-x-|t|)} \right), \quad \alpha_2 = \min\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1\}. \quad (13)$$

Let $\rho_0 < 0$. Next, using (9) in (12) we get

$$|G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \left(e^{\alpha_2\rho_0(x-|t|)} + e^{\alpha_2\rho_0(2-x-|t|)} \right), \quad \alpha_2 = \min\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1\}. \quad (14)$$

From inequalities (13) and (14) it follows that the estimate

$$|G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq \frac{c_1}{|\rho|} \left(e^{-\alpha_2|\rho_0|(2-|x|-|t|)} + e^{-\alpha_2|\rho_0|(x-|t|)} \right), \quad \alpha_2 = \min\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1\}, \quad (15)$$

holds. Let us consider the case $t < -x$. Then the Green's function $G(x, t, \lambda)$ can be rewritten in the form

$$G(x, t, \lambda) = \frac{\alpha_0}{4i\rho} \left\{ \frac{e^{i\alpha_0\rho}}{e^{i\alpha_0\rho} - e^{-i\alpha_0\rho}} \left[e^{i\alpha_0\rho(x+t)} + e^{i\alpha_0\rho(t-x)} \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{e^{-i\alpha_0\rho}}{e^{i\alpha_0\rho} - e^{-i\alpha_0\rho}} \left[e^{i\alpha_0\rho(x-t)} + e^{i\alpha_0\rho(-x-t)} \right] \right\} \\ + \frac{\alpha_1}{4i\rho} \left\{ \frac{e^{i\alpha_1\rho}}{e^{i\alpha_1\rho} - e^{-i\alpha_1\rho}} \left[-e^{i\alpha_1\rho(x+t)} + e^{i\alpha_1\rho(t-x)} \right] + \frac{e^{-i\alpha_1\rho}}{e^{i\alpha_1\rho} - e^{-i\alpha_1\rho}} \left[e^{i\alpha_1\rho(x-t)} - e^{i\alpha_1\rho(-x-t)} \right] \right\}.$$

From this it follows the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(x, t, \lambda) \leq & \frac{\alpha_0}{4|\rho|} \left\{ \frac{e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0}}{|e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0} - e^{\alpha_0\rho_0}|} \left[e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(x+t)} + e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(t-x)} \right] \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{e^{\alpha_0\rho_0}}{|e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0} - e^{\alpha_0\rho_0}|} \left[e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(x-t)} + e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(-x-t)} \right] \right\} \\
 & + \frac{\alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \frac{e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0}}{e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0} + e^{\alpha_1\rho_0}} \left[e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(x+t)} + e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(t-x)} \right] \\
 & + \frac{\alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \frac{e^{\alpha_1\rho_0}}{e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0} + e^{\alpha_1\rho_0}} \left[e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(x-t)} + e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(-x-t)} \right] \quad (16)
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\rho_0 > 0$. Using (6) in (16) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq & \frac{\alpha_0}{4|\rho|} \left[\left(e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(2+x+t)} + e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(2+t-x)} \right) + \left(e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(x-t)} + e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(-x-t)} \right) \right] \\
 & + \frac{\alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \left[\left(e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(2+x+t)} + e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(2+t-x)} \right) + \left(e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(x-t)} + e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(-x-t)} \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies the following inequality

$$|G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq \frac{\alpha_0}{4|\rho|} \left(e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(2+t-x)} + e^{-\alpha_0\rho_0(-x-t)} \right) + \frac{\alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \left(e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(2+t-x)} + e^{-\alpha_1\rho_0(-x-t)} \right).$$

Thus, we have established the estimate

$$|G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \left(e^{-\alpha_2\rho_0(2+t-x)} + e^{-\alpha_2\rho_0(-x-t)} \right), \quad \alpha_2 = \min\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1\}, \quad (17)$$

for $t < -x$ and $\rho_0 > 0$. If $\rho_0 < 0$ then using (9) in (16) we get the inequality

$$|G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \left(e^{\alpha_2\rho_0(2+t-x)} + e^{\alpha_2\rho_0(-x-t)} \right), \quad \alpha_2 = \min\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1\}. \quad (18)$$

From inequalities (17) and (18) it follows that the estimate

$$|G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \left(e^{-\alpha_2|\rho_0|(2-|t|-x)} + e^{-\alpha_2|\rho_0|(|t|-x)} \right), \quad (19)$$

holds. Combining (11), (15) and (19), we get

$$|G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}{4|\rho|} \left[e^{-\alpha_2|\rho_0|(2-|x|-|t|)} + e^{-\alpha_2|\rho_0||x|-|t|} \right].$$

Lemma 2 is proved. \square

Let L_0 be the differential operator defined by (1), (2) and let L be the differential operator defined by (3)–(2). Let $G_q(x, t, \lambda)$ denote the Green's function of the operator $L - \lambda I$, where I is identity operator. Denote by $G(x, t, \lambda)$ the Green's function of the operator $L_0 - \lambda I$. It is easy to see that the function $G_q(x, t, \lambda)$ satisfies

$$LG_q(x, t, \lambda) = \lambda G_q(x, t, \lambda)$$

and the function $G(x, t, \lambda)$ satisfies the equation

$$L_0 G(x, t, \lambda) = \lambda G(x, t, \lambda).$$

Then the difference $G_q(x, t, \lambda) - G(x, t, \lambda)$ satisfies the equation

$$L_0[G_q(x, t, \lambda) - G(x, t, \lambda)] = \lambda[G_q(x, t, \lambda) - G(x, t, \lambda)] - q(x)G_q(x, t, \lambda), x \neq \mp t,$$

and boundary conditions (2). From this it follows that, except at the poles of functions $G(x, t, \lambda)$ and $G_q(x, t, \lambda)$

$$G_q(x, t, \lambda) - G(x, t, \lambda) = - \int_{-1}^1 G(x, s, \lambda) q(s) G_q(s, t, \lambda) ds. \quad (20)$$

Then a solution of the equation (20) is the Green's function of the operator $L - \lambda I$. Let us assume that all eigenvalues of the operator L are simple. Further we need the following

Lemma 3. *Suppose all assumptions of Lemma 2 hold true. Then for all sufficiently large ρ , $\rho \notin O_\varepsilon(\rho_{kl}) = \{\rho : |\rho - \rho_{kl}| < \varepsilon\}$, there exists the solution of the integral equation (20).*

Proof of Lemma 3. We apply the method of successive approximations. Let us introduce the following functions

$$G_{q0}(x, t, \lambda) \equiv 0, \quad G_{q,n+1}(x, t, \lambda) = G(x, t, \lambda) - \int_{-1}^1 G(x, s, \lambda) q(s) G_{q,n}(s, t, \lambda) ds.$$

By Lemma 2

$$|G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq \frac{C}{|\rho|} r(x, t), \quad r(x, t, \rho) = \left(e^{-\alpha_2 |\rho_0| (2-|x|-|t|)} + e^{-\alpha_2 |\rho_0| (|x|-|t|)} \right), \quad \alpha_2 = \min\{\alpha_1, \alpha_0\}.$$

For brevity, let us introduce the notations

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |G_{q1}(x, t, \lambda)| |\rho| r^{-1}(x, t) &= C_0, \\ \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |G_{q,n+1}(x, t, \lambda) - G_{q,n}(x, t, \lambda)| |\rho| r^{-1}(x, t) &= C_n, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

for fixed t and sufficiently large ρ , $\rho \notin O_\varepsilon(\rho_{kl})$. Now let us show that

$$C_j \leq \frac{C}{2^j}, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (22)$$

For $j = 0$ the estimate (22) directly follows from Lemma 2 and the relation (21). Let us assume that the assertion is true for $j = n$ and prove its validity for $j = n + 1$. Using the relations (20), (21) and Lemma 2 we obtain

$$C_{n+1} \leq C \cdot C_n |\rho|^{-1} \max_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 r(x, s) r(s, t) r^{-1}(x, t) |q(s)| ds. \quad (23)$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} r(x, s) \cdot r(s, t) &= e^{-\alpha_0 |\rho_0| (4-|x|-2|s|-|t|)} + e^{-\alpha_0 |\rho_0| (2-|x|-|s|+|s|-|t|)} \\ &+ e^{-\alpha_0 |\rho_0| (2-|s|-|t|+|x|-|s|)} + e^{-\alpha_0 |\rho_0| (|x|-|s|+|s|-|t|)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $||x| - |t|| \leq ||x| - |s|| + ||s| - |t||$, the relation $|t| = |t| + |s| - |s| \geq |s| - ||t| - |s||$ implies $|x| + |t| \geq |x| + |s| - ||t| - |s||$. The inequality $|x| \geq |s| - ||x| - |s||$ implies $|x| + |t| \geq |t| + |s| - ||x| - |s||$.

In fact

$$||x| - |t|| = ||x| - 1 + 1 - |t|| < 1 - |x| + 1 - |t| < 1 - |x| + 1 - |t| + 2 - 2|s| = 4 - |x| - |t| - 2|s|.$$

From these relations it follows that $r(x, s) \cdot r(s, t) \leq 2r(x, t)$. Therefore, from (23) follows the estimate

$$C_{n+1} \leq 2 \leq CC_n |\rho|^{-1} \int_{-1}^1 |q(s)| ds. \quad (24)$$

For sufficiently large $|\rho|$, the inequality

$$2C |\rho|^{-1} \int_{-1}^1 |q(s)| ds \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

holds true. Applying this inequality in (24) we conclude that (22) remains valid for all integer n . From the estimate (22) it follows that the series

$$\sum_1^{\infty} (G_{q,n+1}(x, t, \lambda) - G_{qn}(x, t, \lambda))$$

converges uniformly. The partial sums of this series is

$$\chi_m(x) = G_{q,n+m}(x, t, \lambda) - G_{q1}(x, t, \lambda)$$

and the sequence $G_{q,n}(x, t, \lambda)$ converges uniformly. Its limit $G_q(x, t, \lambda)$ satisfies the equation (20). Lemma 3 is proved. \square

3. Main Results

Now, we are ready to prove our main result. Denote by

$$S_m(f) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1}^1 \left[\int_{C_{ml}} G_q(x, t, \lambda) 2\rho d\rho \right] f(t) dt,$$

$$s_m(f) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_{ml}} \left(\int_{-1}^1 G(x, t, \lambda) f(t) dt \right) d\lambda.$$

the partial sums of eigenfunction expansions for the operators L and L_0 respectively, $f(x) \in L_1(-1, 1)$. This representation holds true in the case when all eigenvalues of the operator L and L_0 are simple. Note that all eigenvalues of the operator L_0 are simple if $\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_1} \neq \nu_0$ and $\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_0} \neq \nu_1$ for any integer ν_0, ν_1 .

We say that the sequence $S_m(f)$ equiconverges with $s_m(f)$ on the interval $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ if $S_m - s_m \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on this interval as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Now, we are ready to state our main results.

Theorem 1. *Let all eigenvalues of operator L are simple, $\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_1} \neq \nu_0$ and $\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_0} \neq \nu_1$ for any integer ν_0, ν_1 . Then for any function $f(x) \in L_1(-1, 1)$ the sequence $S_m(f)$ equiconverges with $s_m(f)$ on the interval $-1 \leq x \leq 1$.*

Proof of Theorem 1. To prove Theorem 1, we consider the difference

$$S_m(f) - s_m(f) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_{ml}} \left\{ \int_{-1}^1 [G_q(x, t, \lambda) - G(x, t, \lambda)] f(t) dt \right\} 2\rho d\rho.$$

It follows from Lemma 3 that

$$|G_q(x, t, \lambda)| \leq \frac{2C}{|\rho|} r(x, t).$$

This inequality and expression (20) yields the estimate

$$|G_q(x, t, \lambda) - G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq 2C^2 |\rho|^{-2} \int_{-1}^1 r(x, s) |q(s)| r(s, t) ds.$$

Since $r(x, s) \cdot r(s, t) \leq 2r(x, t)$, the latter inequality can be rewritten in the form

$$|G_q(x, t, \lambda) - G(x, t, \lambda)| \leq 4C^2 |\rho|^{-2} r(x, t) \int_{-1}^1 |q(s)| ds.$$

From this inequality and relation (20) we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |S_m(f) - s_m(f)| &\leq \frac{2C^2}{\pi} \int_{C_{ml}} \left[\int_{-1}^1 r(x, t) |f(t)| dt \right] \frac{2|\rho|}{|\rho|^2} d\rho \cdot \int_{-1}^1 |q(s)| ds \\ &= \frac{4C^2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 |q(s)| ds \int_{C_{ml}} \left[\int_{-1}^1 r(x, t) |f(t)| dt \right] \left| \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote $C_1 = \frac{4C^2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 |q(s)| ds$. Then we have

$$|S_m(f) - s_m(f)| \leq C_1 \int_{C_{ml}} \left[\int_{-1}^1 r(x, t) |f(t)| dt \right] \left| \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \right|. \quad (25)$$

Further we split the interval of integration into two parts:

$$\Delta_1 = (-1 + \delta, -x - \delta) \cup (-x + \delta, x - \delta) \cup (x + \delta, 1 - \delta),$$

$$\Delta_2 = (-1, -1 + \delta) \cup (-x - \delta, -x + \delta) \cup (x - \delta, x + \delta) \cup (1 - \delta, 1),$$

thus $(-1, 1) = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2$ and $\delta > 0$ is a sufficiently small number. Now the inequality (25) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} |S_m(f) - s_m(f)| &\leq C_1 \int_{C_{ml}} \int_{\Delta_1} \left(e^{-\alpha_0 |\rho_0| ||x| - |t||} + e^{-\alpha_0 |\rho_0| (2 - ||x| - |t||)} \right) |f(t)| dt \left| \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \right| \\ &\quad + 2C_1 \pi \int_{\Delta_2} |f(t)| dt. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The identity

$$\int_{\Delta_2} |f(t)| dt = \int_{-1}^{-1+\delta} |f(t)| dt + \int_{-x-\delta}^{-x+\delta} |f(t)| dt + \int_{x-\delta}^{x+\delta} |f(t)| dt + \int_{1-\delta}^1 |f(t)| dt$$

yields the inequality

$$2C_1\pi \int_{\Delta_2} |f(t)| dt < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let r_{ml} be the radius of the circle C_{ml} . Then the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_{ml}} e^{-\alpha_0|\rho_0|\delta} \left| \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \right| &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{-\alpha_0\delta\rho_{ml}|\sin t|} dt + \int_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{3\pi}{4}} e^{-\alpha_0\delta\rho_{ml}|\cos t|} dt + \int_{\frac{3\pi}{4}}^{\frac{5\pi}{4}} e^{-\alpha_0\delta\rho_{ml}|\sin t|} dt \\ &+ \int_{\frac{5\pi}{4}}^{\frac{7\pi}{4}} e^{-\alpha_0\delta\rho_{ml}|\cos t|} dt + \int_{\frac{7\pi}{4}}^{2\pi} e^{-\alpha_0\delta\rho_{ml}|\sin t|} dt \end{aligned}$$

gives the inequality

$$\int_{C_{ml}} e^{-\alpha_0|\rho_0|\delta} \left| \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \right| < \frac{C_2}{|r_{ml}|\delta}.$$

With sufficiently large value of m , the first term in (26) can be made less than $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. The Theorem 1 is proved. \square

From Theorem 1 we derive the following assertion.

Theorem 2. *Suppose all assumptions of Theorem 1 hold true. Then the system of eigenfunctions of the operator L forms the basis in $L_2(-1, 1)$.*

Proof of Theorem 2. To prove Theorem 2 it suffices to show that $\|f - S_m\|_{L_2} < \varepsilon$ for any function $f(x) \in L_2(-1, 1)$. But it easily follows from the basicity of the system of eigenfunctions for operator L_0 and the equiconvergence result of Theorem 1. The Theorem 2 is proved. \square

There arises the question of whether this basis is also unconditional basis. The answer to this question is given by the following theorems.

Theorem 3. *Suppose all assumptions of Theorem 1 hold true. If the coefficient $q(x) \in L_1(-1, 1)$ in (3) is the real-valued function, then the system of eigenfunctions of the operator L forms orthonormal basis in $L_2(-1, 1)$.*

Since the operator L is self-adjoint, Theorem 3 follows directly from Theorem 2.

Theorem 4. *Suppose all assumptions of Theorem 1 hold true. Then the system of eigenfunctions for the operator L forms the Riesz basis in $L_2(-1, 1)$.*

From the estimate for the Green's function $G_q(x, t, \lambda)$ of the operator L (see proof of Theorem 1), results of Theorem 2, and the theorems in [11] Theorem 4 follows.

Remark 1. *Note that in the case $\alpha = 0$ the question of the basis property of eigenfunctions of the classical periodic problem is open problem.*

4. Conclusions

Summarizing the investigation carried out, we note that the Green's function of the second order differential operator with involution was constructed. Theorems on the basicity of eigenfunctions to the problems under consideration were proven. These theorems might be useful in the theory of solvability mixed problems for partial differential equations with involution. In the future, we plan to investigate these operators with the general boundary conditions.

Author Contributions: 'Conceptualization, A.A.S. and A.M.S.; investigation, A.A.S. and A.M.S.; writing—original draft preparation, A.A.S. and A.M.S.; writing-review and editing, A.A.S. and A.M.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The research of the first and second authors was supported by the grant of the Committee of Sciences, Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan, Project AP08855792.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All the data are present within the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Przeworska-Rolewicz, D. *Equations with Transformed Argument, An Algebraic Approach*, 1st ed.; Elsevier Scientific: Amsterdam, Netherlands, 1973. ISBN: 0-444-41078-3.
2. Wiener, J. *Generalized Solutions of Functional Differential Equations*, 1st ed.; World Scientific: Singapore, New Jersey, London, Hong Kong. World Sci, 1993. ISBN: 981-02-1207-0.
3. Cabada A.; Tojo F.A.F. *Differential Equations with Involutions*, 1st ed.; Atlantis Press: Paris, France, 2015. ISBN: 978-94-6239-120-8.
4. Kal'menov, T. S.; Iskakova, U. A. Criterion for the strong solvability of the mixed Cauchy problem for the Laplace equation. *Differential Equations*. **2009**, *45*:10, 1460–1466.
5. Kurdyumov, V.P.; Khromov, A. P. Riesz bases formed by root functions of a functional-differential equation with a reflection operator. *Differential Equations*. **2008**, *44*(2), 203–212.
6. Burlutskaya, M. Sh. Mixed problem for a first order partial differential equations with involution and periodic boundary conditions. *Comput. Mathematics and Math. Physics*. **2014**, *54*(1), 1–10.
7. Baskakov, A. G.; Krishtal, I. A.; Romanova, E. Y. Spectral analysis of a differential operator with an involution. *Journal of Evolution Equations*. **2017**, *17* (2), 669–684.
8. Baranetskij, Ya.E.; Kalenyuk, P.I.; Kolyasa, L.I.; Kopach, M.I. The nonlocal problem for the Differential-operator equation of the even order with the involution. *Carpathian Math. Publ.* **2017**, *9* (2), 10–20.
9. Vladykina, V. E.; Shkalikov, A. A. Spectral properties of ordinary differential operators with involution. *Doklady Mathematics*. **2019**, *99*, no.1, 5–10.
10. Kritskov, L. V.; Sarsenbi, A. M. Basicity in L_p of root functions for differential equations with involution. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*. **2015**, *2015*, no.278, 1–9.
11. Kritskov, L.V.; Sarsenbi, A.M. Riesz basis property of system of root functions of second-order differential Operator with Involution." , vol. 53, no.1, pp. 1-14, 2017. *Differential Equations*. **2017**, *53*(1), 1–14.
12. Kritskov, L.V.; Sadybekov, M.A.; Sarsenbi, A.M. Nonlocal spectral problem for a second-order differential equation with an involution. *Bulletin of the Karaganda University. Mathematics series. Special issue* **2018**, *3*(91), 53–61.
13. Kritskov, L.V.; Sadybekov, M.A., Sarsenbi; A.M. Properties in L_p of root functions for a nonlocal problem with involution. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*. **2019**, *43*(10), 393 – 401.
14. Kritskov, L.V.; Sarsenbi A.M. Equiconvergence Property for Spectral Expansions Related to Perturbations of the Operator $-u''(-x)$ with Initial Data. *Filomat*, **2018**, *32*:3, 1069–1078.
15. Kritskov, L. V. and Ioffe, V. L. Spectral Properties of the Cauchy Problem for a Second-Order Operator with Involution. *Differential Equations*, **2021**, *57*(1), 1–10.
16. Kopzhassarova, A.A; Sarsenbi, A.M. Basis properties of eigenfunctions of second-order differential operators with involution. *Abstract and Applied Analysis* **2012**, *2012*, 1–6.
17. Tojo, F.A.F. Computation of Green's functions through algebraic decomposition of operators. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2016**, *2016*(167),1–15.
18. Cabada, A.; Tojo, F.A.F. Solutions and Green's function of the first order linear equation with reflection and initial conditions. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2014**, *2014*(99), 1–16.
19. Codesido, S.; F. Tojo, F.A. A Liouville's Formula for Systems with Reflection. *2021*, *9*, 866 *Mathematics* . **2021**, *9*(866),1–7.

20. Sarsenbi, A. A.; Turmetov, B. Kh. Basis property of a system of eigenfunctions of a second-order differential operator with involution. *Vestn. Udmurtsk. Univ. Mat. Mekh. Komp. Nauki* **2019**, *29:2*, 183–196.
21. Sarsenbi, A.A. Unconditional basicity of eigenfunction's system of Sturm-Liouville operator with an involutorial perturbation. *Bulletin of the Karaganda University. Mathematics series. Special issue*, **2018**, *3(91)*, 117–127.
22. Kirane, M.; Al-Salti, N. Inverse problems for a nonlocal wave equation with an involution perturbation. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **2016**, *9*, 1243–1251.
23. Torebek, B.T.; Tapdigoglu, R. Some inverse problems for the nonlocal heat equation with Caputo fractional derivative. *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **2017**, *12*.
24. Ahmad, B.;Alsaedi, A.; Kirane, M. Tapdigoglu, R.G. An inverse problem for space and time fractional evolution equation with an involution perturbation. *Quaestiones Mathematicae*. **2017**, *40(2)*, 151–160.
25. Cabada, A.; Tojo, F.A.F. Existence results for a linear equation with reflection, non-constant coefficient and periodic boundary conditions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2014**, *412*, 529–546.
26. Ashyralyev, A.; Sarsenbi, A.M. Well-posedness of an elliptic equation with involution. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* **2015**. *2015*, no. 284, 1–8.
27. Ashyralyev, A.; Sarsenbi, A.M. Well-Posedness of a Parabolic Equation with Involution, *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, **2017**. *38*, no.10, 1295–1304.
28. Karachik, Valery V.; Sarsenbi, Abdizhahan M.; Turmetov, Batirkhan K. On the solvability of the main boundary value problems for a nonlocal Poisson equation. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*. **2019**, *43 (10)*, 1604 – 1625.
29. Yarka, U.; Fedushko, S.; Veselý, P. The Dirichlet Problem for the Perturbed Elliptic Equation. *Mathematics*, **2020**, *8(12)*
30. Turmetov, B.; Karachik, V.; Muratbekova, M. On a Boundary Value Problem for the Biharmonic Equation with Multiple Involutions. *Mathematics*, **2021**, *9(2020)*, 1–23.
31. Coddington, E.A., Levinson, N. *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*, 9st ed.; Tata McGraw-Hill: New-Delhi, 1987.
32. Naimark, M.A. *Linear Differential Operators*, 1st ed.; Ungar: New York, 1968.