Inflammation and Nitro-Oxidative Stress in Current Suicidal Attempts and Current Suicidal Ideation: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.

Running title: immune activation in current suicidal behaviors

Asara Vasupanrajit, M.Sc.^a; Ketsupar Jirakran, M.Sc.^{a,b}; Chavit Tunvirachaisakul, M.D., Ph.D.^{a, c};

Marco Solmi, M.D., Ph.D.^{f,g,h}, Michael Maes, M.D., Ph.D.^{a, c, d, e}

^a Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand.

^b Maximizing Thai Children's Developmental Potential Research Unit, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand.

^c Cognitive Impairment and Dementia Research Unit, Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand.

^d IMPACT Strategies Research Center, Deakin University, Geelong, Australia.

^e Department of Psychiatry, Medical University of Plovdiv, Plovdiv, Bulgaria.

^f University of Ottawa, Psychiatry Department, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

^g The Ottawa Hospital, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

^h Ottawa Hospital Research Institute (OHRI), Clinical Epidemiology Program, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Corresponding author:

Prof. Dr. Michael Maes, M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University,

Bangkok, 10330

Thailand

(co)

Email: Dr.michaelmaes@hotmail.com; asara.vasu@gmail.com

Abstract

A meta-analysis showed a significant association between activated immune-inflammatory and nitro-oxidative (IO&NS) pathways and suicide attempts (SA). There are no data whether suicidal ideation (SI) is accompanied by activated IO&NS pathways and whether there are differences between SA and SI. The current study searched PubMed, Google Scholar, and Web of Science, for articles published from inception until May 10, 2021, and systematically reviewed and meta-analyzed the association between recent SA/SI (< 3 months) and IO&NS biomarkers. We included studies which compared psychiatric patients with and without SA and SI and controls (either healthy controls or patients without SA or SI) and used meta-analysis (random-effect model with restricted maximum-likelihood) to delineate effect sizes with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Our search included 59 studies comprising 4.034 SA/SI cases and 12.377 controls. Patients with SA/SI showed activated IO&NS pathways (SMD: 0.299; CI: 0.200; 0.397) when compared to controls. The immune profiles were more strongly associated with SA than with SI, particularly when compared to healthy controls, as evidenced by activated IO&NS pathways (SMD: 0.796; CI: 0.503; 1.089), an immune-inflammatory response (SMD: 1.409; CI: 0.637; 1.462), inflammation (SMD: 1.200; CI: 0.584; 1.816), and neurotoxicity (SMD: 0.904; CI: 0.431; 1.378). The effects sizes of the IO&NS, immune-inflammatory response and inflammatory profile were significantly greater in SA than in SI. In conclusion: increased neurotoxicity due to inflammation and nitrooxidative stress and lowered neuroprotection may explain at least in part why psychiatric patients show increased SA and SI. The IO&NS pathways are more pronounced in recent SA than in SI.

Keywords: suicide, neuro-immune, inflammation, oxidative and nitrosative stress, depression, mood disorders, schizophrenia, psychiatry

Introduction

Non-fatal suicide behaviors (SB) are self-injurious behaviors, which may be classified according to their severity into suicidal ideation (SI) and suicide attempts (SA)¹. A study across 17 countries shows that SI has a greater lifetime prevalence than SA, namely 9.2% and 2.7%, respectively², indicating that not all individuals with SI will attempt suicide. Many different risk factors may lead to SB^{3, 4} but psychiatric disorders are the major risk factors^{5, 6}. Individuals with major depressive disorder (MDD) show an almost eight-fold increased risk of suicide, whilst bipolar disorder (BD) and schizophrenia (SCZ) show a six-fold increased risk as compared with individuals without these psychiatric disorders⁶.

There is now evidence that activation of immune-inflammatory and nitro-oxidative (IO&NS) pathways is involved in the pathophysiology of major psychiatric disorders, including MDD, BD, and SCZ⁷⁻¹⁰. These disorders are characterized by a simultaneous activation of the immune-inflammatory response system (IRS) and the compensatory immune-regulatory system (CIRS), which may down-regulate the IRS. **Electronic Supplementary File (ESF) 1 Table 1** shows the IRS-CIRS pathways, and their features involved in those major psychiatric disoders.¹¹⁻¹³. IRS activation is accompanied by the induction of nitro-oxidative (O&NS) pathways with increased production of reactive oxygen (ROS) and nitrogen species (RNS) especially when the antioxidant defenses are reduced⁷. The consequent redox disbalance may cause increased damage to lipids, proteins, DNA, and mitochondria⁷. Moreover, many IO&NS markers have neurotoxic effects, including interleukin (IL-)2, IL-6, tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), interferon-gamma (IFN- γ), some chemokines (i.e., CCL-2, CCL-5), C-reactive protein (CRP), quinolinic acid (QA), picolinic acid (PA), malondialdehyde (MDA), and nitric oxide metabolites (NOx)^{13, 14}. Increased neurotoxicity may cause dysfunctions in gray and white matter plasticity, which in turn are

associated with affective disorders and SCZ¹³⁻¹⁵. In contrast, antioxidants, including albumin, vitamin-D, and high-density lipoprotein (HDL), and neurotrophic substances, including brainderived neurotrophic factor (BDNF)¹⁶, may have neuroprotective effects thereby protecting the central nervous system (CNS) against the neurotoxic effects of the IRS⁷.

Since the 1990ties, there were also studies implicating IO&NS pathways in the pathophysiology of SB^{17, 18}. Since then, a rising number of studies have examined the relationship between SB (either SA or SI) and IO&NS biomarkers, including IL-1 β , IL-6, TNF- α , IFN- γ , chemokines such as IL-8, and the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; CIRS markers including IL-4, IL-10, and soluble IL-2 receptor (sIL-2R); acute phase response (APR) productions such as CRP and albumin; O&NS markers (i.e., MDA, NOx); and antioxidants or neurotrophic factors including albumin, BDNF, omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), HDL-cholesterol, total antioxidant capacity (TAC), and vitamin D3^{19,23,24}. One of the most consistently reported abnormalities in SB is the increase in IL-6 in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), blood, and postmortem brain, whilst more controversial findings were observed for other pro-inflammatory cytokines^{20, 21}. It is noteworthy that the cytokine imbalance in SB may present with activation of the tryptophan catabolite (TRYCAT) pathway leading to lowered levels of tryptophan (TRP) and increased levels of TRYCATs including QA, PA, and kynurenine (KYN)^{4, 22, 23}.

Meta-analyses, which examined associations with cytokines other than IL-6, frequently reported controversial findings. For example, Black and Miller²⁴ found significantly increased blood levels of IL-1 β , whereas Ducasse et al.²⁵ reported a medium effect size with lower IL-2 and IL-4 but higher TGF- β plasma levels. CRP (positively)^{26, 27} and BDNF (negatively)²⁸ were significantly associated with SB, while SB was associated with BDNF in plasma²⁸ but not in serum^{28, 29}.

Importantly, most previous meta-analyses on IO&NS blood biomarkers and suicide examined SA and SI patients lumped together in one SB group without considering possible differences between these groups $^{24-26}$. Hence, the current study aimed to systematically review and meta-analyze the association between IO&NS blood biomarkers and recent SB and to delineate differences among SA and SI. The examination of solitary IO&NS biomarkers is less relevant because these compounds take part in highly connected networks and subnetworks. In this regard, Maes et al.^{9, 15} showed that the combination of several IO&NS biomarkers into weighted composite scores may improve our understanding of the pathways involved in affective disorders, schizophrenia and SB. Therefore, we here examine whether SA and/or SI are associated with composite scores reflecting IO&NS (the primary analyses) and its subdomains namely IRS, inflammation, neurotoxicity, and neuroprotection (the secondary analyses) as described in ESF1 Table 1 . In addition, we also examine solitary biomarkers such as CRP (part of the inflammation profile) and BDNF (part of neuroprotection profile). Based on previous knowledge, we hypothesized that a) in patients with SA and SI, IO&NS, and IRS, inflammation, neurotoxicity, and CRP are increased, whereas neuroprotection and BDNF are reduced when compared with controls; and b) that aberrations in these functional profiles are more pronounced in SA than in SI.

Materials and methods

Our methodological approach was based on the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) 2020³⁰, the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews and Interventions³¹, and the Meta-Analyses of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE)³². We followed an a priori protocol which is available upon request from

the last author. The draft or process of this study did not directly or indirectly involve public or patient representatives.

Scope of systematic review

This study classified SB into 2 groups, namely SA and SI¹. We combined different biomarkers into composites according to their well-established functions (ESF 1 Table 1). This study first selected manuscripts, which were published in peer-reviewed journals, and then searched additional records in the reference lists of the studies and grey literature. We included English case-control studies or cohort studies when these included a control group and compared SB (SA+ or SI+ within 3 months before the study) with controls, including non-SB patients (SA- or SI-) and/or healthy controls (HC), alone and together. As such, we also examined differences in effect sizes between SA+ *versus* SA- and SI+ *versus* SI- within patients with psychiatric disorders. Moreover, we also examined possible differences in effect sizes between SA and SI. We reviewed papers, which included patients and controls of both sexes, all ages and ethnicities, and examined IO&NS biomarkers in blood, serum, and plasma but not CSF, urine, platelets or stimulated whole blood.

We contacted the authors of eligible studies, which did not report mean and standard deviation (SD) or standard error (SE) values and requested to provide means, SD, and number of cases and controls. When the authors did not respond to our request, we computed mean and SD values using formulas published by Wan et al.³³ for studies presenting median with either interquartile range (IQR) or minimum/maximum values. We excluded studies reporting animal models, translational and genetic research, or studies without controls. Any reasons why studies were excluded were recorded.

Literature search and data extraction

A systematic review of studies was conducted using the electronic databases including PUBMED/MEDLINE, Google Scholar, and Web of Science from inception to 10th May 2021. The search used the major terms of IO&NS biomarkers and suicide (**ESF 1 Table 2**), include "inflamm*" OR immun*", "cytokine", "chemokine", "IL-6", "IL-1", "interleukin", "C-Reactive Protein", "CRP", "tumor necrosis factor", "TNF", "interferon", "IFN", "Transforming growth factor", "TGF", "Tryptophan", "Oxidative stress", "Antioxidants", "Zinc", "Vitamin", "Albumin", "Nitric oxide", "Lipid hydroperoxides", "Omega 3", "Coenzyme Q10", "DHA", "suicid*". We conducted a manual search on the reference list of the studies included and previous meta-analysis studies.

The first author (AV) screened the eligibility manuscripts by considering the titles and abstracts and collected the full text of the potentially eligible papers and extracted the key data into a predefined Excel spread sheet. The second author (KJ) independently checked the extracted data once the first author (AV) completed all information. In case of disagreement, the last author (MM) was consulted. We used a methodological quality and red-point score checklist, namely the immune cofounder's scale (ICS), adapted from Andrés-Rodríguez et al.³⁴ and Vasupanrajit et al.¹⁹, which was slightly modified by the last author (**ESF 1 Table 3**).

Statistical Analysis

This study performed statistical analysis using the Comprehensive meta-analysis (CMA) V3 software. The precise methods were described previously.¹⁸ The primary outcome was the pooled standardized mean difference (SMD) of the IO&NS profiles. The secondary outcomes were

pooled SMD of the IO&NS subdomains, namely IRS, inflammation, CRP, neurotoxicity, antioxidant/neuroprotective (ANTIOXPRO), and BDNF, which were examined to explore which components of the IO&NS are more relevant to recent SA and SI. Hence, in the present MA, we employed synthetic scores which reflect outcome profiles and compared these scores between SA and SI and controls. For studies reporting more than one IO&NS biomarker, a synthetic score was computed using the mean of the outcomes, while assuming dependence. We used a random effect model with restricted maximum-likelihood because there are differences in study design, measuring time, and participants' characteristics across studies. The random-effects subgroup meta-analysis were performed, comparing patient subgroups (either SA+ or SI+) versus controls. This study computed the SMD values with 95% confidence intervals (CI) and used an alpha level of 0.05 indicating statistically significant results (two sided tests). The Cochrane Q test and the I^2 metric were computed, but Tau (τ) and τ^2 was used to evaluate heterogeneity^{35, 36}. If τ^2 was imprecise, we investigated potential sources of heterogeneity across studies when at least 10 studies provided data of the same profile, using either subgroup meta-analysis (with minimum of three studies per sub-group) or random-effects meta-regression analyses (with minimum of ten studies).

Sensitivity analyses were performed to investigate the robustness of the pooled combined meta-analysis effects and between-study heterogeneity using the leave-one-out method. We used the classical fail-safe N method, Kendall tau with continuity correction (using one-tailed p-values) and Egger's regression intercept (using one tailed p-values) to evaluate small study effects including publication bias. We also used funnel plots, which display study precision on the y-axis and the SDM on the x-axis, to detect small study effects or systemic heterogeneity by simultaneously displaying the observed studies and the imputed missing values.

Results

Search results

Our search strategy identified 2451 reports. Of those, 64 articles^{17, 18, 20, 37-97} were assessed in our systematic review after removing duplicated citations and using the selection criteria. We removed 5 articles^{50, 63, 71, 75, 88} from the meta-analysis for reasons shown in the ESF 1 Table 5. Consequently, 59 articles^{17, 18, 20, 37-49, 51-62, 64-70, 72-74, 76-87, 89-97} were considered in the meta-analysis (**Figure 1**).

ESF 1 Table 4 shows the characteristics of the included studies. Fifty-two articles were case control studies, and four articles were cohort studies, two were retrospective, and one was a longitudinal study. Thirty-three studies assayed the biomarkers in serum, 18 in plasma, 4 in blood, and 4 reported mixed mediums. Of these, only 35 studies samples reported the specific time for blood sampling. The age range of all participants samples was 12-89 years old. We included participants from all continents except Australasia, namely 1 study from Belgium, Croatia, Egypt, Iran, Ireland, Japan, Netherland, and Tunisia; 2 studies from Canada, France, India, Italy, Mexico, Poland, and Taiwan; 3 studies from Brazil and Iraq; 4 studies from Sweden; 6 studies from China and Turkey; 7 studies from USA; and 8 studies from South Korea. The median quality scores were 5.7 (min = 1.6, max = 9.7), whereas the median red point score was 17.5 (min = 9.0, max = 25.0).

The meta-analysis included 16.411 participants samples, namely 4.034 cases (either SA+ or SI+) and 12.377 controls. We used subgroups within the study as unit of analysis to compare 2.511 SA+ cases *versus* 7.784 SA- and heathy controls combined, and 1.523 SI+ *versus* 4.593 SI- and healthy controls combined (**Table 1**).

We found that 42.05% of the SA patients in the 59 studies belonged to a mixed group of psychiatric disorders (MIX), whereas 34.41% suffered from MDD, 19.59% of affective disorders, and 1% showed other psychiatric disorders including schizophrenia and adjustment disorders (OTHER). We found that 23.7% of the SI+ cases suffered from MDD and 23.57% from affective disorders, whilst 23.05% and 9.26% of the cases were allocated to the MIX or OTHER class. Most SA+ cases were included based on the attempted suicidal act (67.98%) and the others were included based on a semi-structure interview (32.02%), whereas most of SI+ cases were assessed by a self-report questionnaire (63.23%) and the remaining using a semi-structured interview (36.76%).

Qualitative synthesis

Table 1 shows the distribution of the SMD and confidence intervals of the outcome profiles. Inspecting the confidence intervals showed that most included studies favored SB and that only 1 or 2 studies reporting on IO&NS, IRS, inflammation, neurotoxicity, and ANTIOXPRO showed confidence intervals which were entirely located on the negative side of zero. All studies on CRP and BDNF favored SB and no studies showed a CI, which was entirely on the negative side of zero. Furthermore, there were two studies, not included in the CMA (ESF 1 Table 5), which reported no significant findings on plasma cytokines⁶³, chemokines⁶³, and TRP⁸⁸, whereas the other three studies revealed inconsistent results on plasma CRP^{50, 63, 75}. Regarding the SB subtypes SA and SI, we found that most studies favor SA and that no CI was entirely located on the negative side of zero (except the ANTIOXPRO profile), whereas in the SI studies 1 or 2 confidence intervals were entirely located on the negative side of zero. In addition, there were no significant findings

on the plasma TRP/amino acid ratio⁷¹ in studies which were not included in the CMA (ESF 1 Table 5) and which compared SA+ cases with SA- controls.

Meta-analysis of the primary outcome: IO&NS profile

Table 2 and Figure 2 show that there is a significant difference in the overall pooled effect size of 0.299 when comparing IO&NS in SB with controls. Since there is some heterogeneity, we used subgroup analysis within study as the unit of analysis and found that the comparison of SA+ versus controls yielded a SMD of 0.387, and SI+ versus controls a SMD of 0.167. When using the subgroups as the unit of analysis, we found that the comparison of SA+ versus SA- yielded a SMD of 0.255, whereas SA+ versus HC yielded a large, pooled effect size of 0.796. The comparison of SI+ versus SI- yielded a significantly but small effect size (n=21; SMD: 0.156; 95%CI: 0.016; 0.296), whereas the SMD was not significantly different when comparing SI+ with HC. ESF 2 Figure 1 showed the differences in the effect sizes of the comparisons of SA+ vs controls (SMD=0.387) and SI+ versus controls (0.167) which was significant (χ^2 =5.14, df=1, p=0.023), indicating that SA+ is more strongly associated with IO&NS than SI+. Sensitivity analysis using the leave-one-out method did not alter the results of these meta-analysis (and any of the metaanalyses described below). Consequently, we performed a sensitivity analysis on the high-quality studies (quality score \geq 7.0; redpoint score \leq 18.0) and compared those with a low quality (quality score≤4.0; redpoint score>18.0). ESF 2 Figure 2 shows the meta-analysis performed on the 13 high-quality studies^{44, 46, 52, 53, 55, 64, 66, 69, 70, 72, 84, 91, 93} (SMD: 0.407; 95% CI: 0.203; 0.611; τ^2 =0.092) and 5 low-quality studies^{39, 73, 79, 89, 92} (SMD: 0.129; 95%CI: 0.014; 0.0.243; τ^2 =0.005) when comparing SB to controls. This difference between high- and low-quality studies was significant $(\gamma^2 = 5.444, df = 1, p = 0.020).$

Meta-analyses of the secondary outcomes

IRS profile

Table 2 reports a moderate, pooled effect size of 0.460 in overall SB cases. Subgroup analysis showed that the comparison of SA+ *versus* controls yielded a significantly effect size of 0.590, whereas SI+ *versus* controls yielded a lower effect size of 0.231. When using subgroups as the unit of analysis, we found that the comparison of SA+ *versus* SA- yielded a SMD of 0.384, whereas SA+ *versus* HC yielded a large, pooled effect size of 1.049. There was no significant difference between SI+ and SI- or HC groups. The difference in the effect sizes of the comparisons of SA+ vs controls (SMD=0.590) and SI+ *versus* controls (0.231) was significant (χ^2 =6.54, df=1, p=0.011).

Inflammatory profile

We found that the inflammation score is higher in SB cases than in controls with a moderate effect size of 0.459. Subgroup analysis showed that the comparison of SA+ *versus* controls yielded a SMD of 0.623, whereas the comparison of SI+ *versus* controls yielded a SMD of 0.239 (**Figure 3**). When using the subgroups as the unit of analysis, we found that the comparison of SA+ *versus* SA- yielded a SMD of 0.414, whereas SA+ *versus* HC yielded a large, pooled effect size of 1.200. There was no significant difference between SI+ and SI- or HC groups. The difference in the effect sizes of the comparisons of SA+ vs controls (SMD=0.623) and SI+ *versus* controls (SMD=0.239) was significant (χ^2 =5.048, df=1, p=0.025).

When comparing CRP in SB with controls, we found a pooled effect size of 0.573 (table 2). Subgroup analysis showed that the comparison of SA+ *versus* controls yielded a significant pooled effect size of 0.689, whereas SI+ *versus* controls yielded a significant effect size of 0.429. When using subgroups as the unit of analysis, we found that the comparison of SA+ *versus* SA-yielded a SMD of 0.499, whereas SA+ *versus* HC yielded a large, pooled effect size of 1.311. The comparison of SI+ *versus* SI- yielded a significantly moderate effect size (n=4; SMD: 0.460; 95%CI: 0.098; 0.822). The comparison of SI+ *versus* HC showed only two studies and therefore we did not perform CMA. The difference in the effect sizes of the comparisons of SA+ vs controls and SI+ *versus* controls was not significant (χ^2 =1.58, df=1, p=0.208).

Neurotoxicity profiles

Table 2 also shows that the neurotoxicity levels are significantly increased in SB as compared with controls with an overall pooled effect size of 0.403. Subgroup analysis (with the subgroup as the unit of analysis) showed that the comparison of SA+ *versus* controls yielded a significant pooled effect size of 0.524, whereas SI+ *versus* controls yielded a lower effect size of 0.243. We found that the comparison of SA+ *versus* SA- yielded a SMD of 0.403, whereas SA+ *versus* HC yielded a large, pooled effect size of 0.904. There was no significant difference between SI+ and SI- or HC groups. The difference in the effect sizes of the comparisons of SA+ vs controls and SI+ *versus* controls was not significant (χ^2 =3.61, df=1, p=0.057).

ANTIOXPRO profiles

We found significant associations between ANTIOXPRO scores in SB cases with a small, pooled effect size of 0.179. The comparison of SA+ *versus* controls yielded a low but significant

effect size of 0.199, whereas there were no significant differences when comparing SI+ and controls. When using the subgroups as the unit of analysis, we found that the comparison of SA+ *versus* SA- yielded a SMD of 0.137, whereas SA+ *versus* HC yielded a SMD of 0.476. The difference in the effect sizes of the comparisons of SA+ vs controls and SI+ *versus* controls was not significant (χ^2 =0.22, df=1, p=0.636).

BDNF

Table 2 shows that SB is accompanied by the lowered BDNF levels with a SMD of 0.479. The comparison of SA+ *versus* controls yielded a SMD of 0.417, and SI+ *versus* controls yielded a SMD of 0.665. When using the subgroups as the unit of analysis, we found that the comparison of SA+ *versus* SA- yielded a SMD of 0.256, whereas SA+ *versus* HC yielded a large, pooled effect size of 0.962. The comparison of SI+ *versus* SI- yielded a significantly moderate effect size (n=3; SMD: 0.587; 95%CI: 0.324; 0.851). We are unable to perform the analyses of SI+ *versus* HC due to the small number of studies (n=2). The difference in the effect sizes of the comparisons of SA+ *versus* controls was not significant (χ^2 =1.44, df=1, p=0.230).

Other subgroup analyses and meta-regression analyses

ESF 2 Table 1 shows the association among IO&NS profiles and SB remained significant in patients with MDD and MIX, whereas in patients belonging to the affective and OTHER groups was not significant, and these differences were significant (χ^2 =8.563; df=3; p=0.036). The IO&NS markers showed a significant association with SB in inpatients but not in outpatients. The comparisons of in- and outpatients in SB *versus* controls (χ^2 = 8.147; df=1; p=0.004) and SA *versus* controls (χ^2 = 5.770; df=1; p=0.016) were significantly different. There were no significant

differences in effect sizes obtained in studies using serum, plasma, and blood (χ^2 =4.677; df=2; p=0.096). There are significant associations between IO&NS markers and SB in patients who are diagnosed based on the suicidal act or an interview, but not when the SB was assessed through self-report, and this difference was significant ($\chi^2 = 8.479$; df=2; p=0.014). In SA, the IO&NS effect size was significantly larger in studies which diagnosed SB by registering the act as compared with studies which used an interview ($\chi^2 = 7.102$; df=1; p=0.008). In SI, there was no significant difference between interview and self-report ($\chi^2 = 0.015$; df=1; p=0.902). Meta-regression revealed no effects of latitude, quality scores, and redpoint scores.

Publication bias

ESF 2 Table 2 shows the impact of publication bias on the studies under the random-effect model. There is some degree of publication bias for IO&NS, IRS, inflammation, CRP, neurotoxicity, and ANTIOXPRO studies with 6, 5, 3, 2, 4, and 4 missing studies samples on the right side of the funnel plot, respectively, and correction yielded an increase in the overall adjusted point estimate. There was no impact of publication bias on the BDNF studies.

Discussion

The first major finding of this systematic review and meta-analysis is that SB (namely SA and SI) is accompanied by significantly increased levels of the primary outcome variable, the IO&NS profile, albeit with a small effect size. In addition, also the IRS, inflammation (including CRP), neurotoxicity, ANTIOXPRO (including BDNF) profiles were significantly associated with SB, with small to moderate effect sizes. Our meta-analysis thus confirms that the pathophysiology of SB is related to activated IO&NS pathways ^{4, 22, 98} with elevated CRP²⁶ and reduced BDNF

levels.²⁸ Most importantly, our results show that suicidal behaviors are associated with an inflammatory response which is mainly driven by M1 macrophage-derived cytokines^{11, 99} including IL-1B, IL-6, and TNF- α , which induce the production of acute phase proteins such as CRP and downregulate albumin, and by Th-1 cells with increased production of IFN- γ^{11} . These products of activated macrophages and T cells exert neurotoxic effects thereby affecting white and gray matter plasticity¹¹. Moreover, both M1 and Th-1 cytokines may stimulate indoleamine 2,3dioxygenase (IDO), thereby lowering plasma TRP and inhibiting 5-HT synthesis, and increasing the production of neurotoxic TRYCATs including KYN, and QA, which may result in N-Methyl-D-Aspartic acid (NMDA)-induced neurotoxicity^{8, 99}. Furthermore, increased indicants of nitrooxidative stress with increased lipid peroxidation and lowered antioxidant levels, further contribute to increased neurotoxicity and reduced neuroprotection.⁷ Chronically elevated IO&NS pathways cause breakdown of the blood brain barrier (BBB), which allows neurotoxic molecules to enter the brain thereby contributing to the neurotoxic effects. Previously, it was shown that activated IO&NS pathways are associated with major depressive and bipolar disorder, staging of affective disorders, neurodegenerative processes, and schizophrenia.^{11, 99, 100}

We should stress that the present meta-analysis study used composite scores reflecting IO&NS profiles.^{11, 12, 101} Previously, we have discussed the many advantages of using composite scores instead of solitary markers in case-control studies ^{11, 12, 101} and in meta-analysis as well.¹⁸ For example, if a study would report on 2 inflammatory markers (e.g. CRP and albumin) with one being significantly associated with SA and the other not, it would mean that selecting only one biomarker in a meta-analysis may yield erroneous conclusions with regard to "inflammation"¹⁹. Therefore, performing meta-analyses on one biomarker only may not allow to generalize the findings because the results may by quite different after including all biomarkers of the same

profile. Moreover, the selection of only one biomarker per study may induce more biological between-study variance because different confounding variables may differently affect the biomarkers of the same profile.¹⁹ For example, selecting CRP as an index of inflammation is prone to bias because CRP is affected by many confounding variables including early lifetime trauma, sex, age and especially body mass index^{19, 102, 103}. In our study, the different biomarker scores were not only averaged in one and the same study but also averaged over all studies. As such, our meta-analyses used more robust synthetic indices of IO&NS and its subdomains based on all available data and, therefore, these data allow to generalize the findings to the functional profiles specified in our study.

The second major finding of this study is that the effects sizes of the IO&NS, IRS, inflammation, CRP, and neurotoxicity scores were higher in SA than in SI, and that the effect sizes of IO&NS, IRS and inflammation were significantly greater in SA than in SI. These findings may indicate that IRS and inflammatory processes are more tightly associated with SA than with SI. This may point towards differences in the severity with SA being more severe than SI¹. On the other hand, the effects sizes of the comparisons between SA and SI were not significant when considering CRP, neurotoxicity, ANTIOXPRO and BDNF. Therefore, the results of the current meta-analysis do not confirm the results of Gibbs et al.⁵⁷ who reported significant differences in serum hs-CRP levels between SA and SI. All in all, these results show that the higher IO&NS and IRS profiles in SA *versus* SI should be attributed to smaller but cumulative effects of inflammation, neurotoxicity, and lowered neuroprotection in SA.

The study showed some statistical heterogeneity as assessed using the tau (τ) statistic, which represents a precise measurement of heterogeneity and which is insensitive to the number of patients or studies in the meta-analysis.^{35, 36} On the other hand, Q-values and the I^2 metric do

not reflect the degree of heterogeneity, although frequently employed as such.¹⁸ Nevertheless, the current meta-analysis was able to detect five possible sources of statistical heterogeneity. Firstly, differences in outpatient *versus* inpatient status with higher effect sizes in the latter. This may be explained by effects of severity of illness which is higher in inpatients than outpatients¹⁰⁴, and the higher frequency of SA in inpatients¹⁰⁴. The second source of heterogeneity concerns the assessment of SB with a greater effect size when the diagnosis of SA was based on the suicidal act rather than on an interview. These results show that the assessment of SB based on interview and self-report may largely underestimate the actual effect sizes and, therefore, are inaccurate assessment methods. Future research on SB should recruit patients with SA based on the registered suicidal act.

Thirdly, the quality of the included papers contributed to heterogeneity as evidenced by higher pooled effect sizes of IO&NS in high-quality studies than low-quality studies. The fourth source of statistical heterogeneity is due to the choice of controls, namely patients without SB *versus* heathy controls. As such we found that that the pooled effect sizes of the IO&NS, IRS, inflammation (including), CRP, and neurotoxicity profiles were larger when comparing SB with healthy controls as reference group (between 0.796 and 1.311), whereas lower effect sizes were obtained when comparing patients with and without SB (between 0.3 to 0.5). The comparisons with normal controls show that activated IO&NS pathways are strongly associated with SA in patients with psychiatric disorders, and the comparisons obtained with patients without SB show that SB is accompanied by aberrations in IO&NS pathways above and beyond the increased levels in psychiatric patients. Therefore, it is safe to conclude that activated IO&NS, IRS, inflammatory and neurotoxic pathways and lowered neuroprotection are strongly associated not only with the major psychiatric disorders^{11, 101} but also with the SB which are associated with those disorders.

Fifthly, inspection of the forest plots shows that the heterogeneity is increased by a number of heterogeneity-influencing studies which favor SA. Previously, we performed sensitivity analyses on the included studies after deselecting a few heterogeneity-influencing studies and found that the prediction intervals no longer overlapped with the zero SMD but fell completely on the right site of zero. Indeed, a few small n studies that favor SA and show a very large effect size may bias SMD whereby the heterogeneity may increase in a random effect model and the latter may be more biased that when using a fixed effect model¹⁹. It should be added that there is probably nothing wrong with these heterogeneity-influencing studies, they only show a large SMD.

Several limitations should be considered when discussing our findings. Firstly, there are very few studies which assayed IL-4^{56, 72} and TGF- β 1⁷⁸ and therefore these important Th-2 and T regulatory (Treg) cytokines could not be included in the CMA. Secondly, key biomarkers of other profiles were also missing. For example, haptoglobin, transferrin, and zinc were missing as inflammatory biomarkers (and its parent profiles). Vitamin E, coenzyme Q10, glutathione, catalase, MPO and xanthine oxidase were missing as O&NS biomarkers. In fact, we were even unable to compute a CIRS profile, although most important to evaluate the homeostatic processes in the immune system.¹¹ Therefore, future research should focus on the missing biomarkers, i.e., Treg cytokines such as IL-10 and TGF- β 1, Th-2 cytokines including IL-4, IL-5 and IL-13 (see also ESF Table 1; column "what is missing in SB research"). Thirdly, this study did not include other potential variables regarding severity of SB, including lifetime SB¹⁹, staging of the major psychiatric disorders¹³, and stressful live events, including early lifetime trauma⁴. Further studies should also include those covariates.

In conclusion, this is the first meta-analysis to explore IO&NS pathways in SA *versus* SI. Recent SB (within 3 months) is accompanied by aberrations in IO&NS profiles, which are more

strongly associated with SA than SI. Increased neurotoxicity due to inflammation and nitrooxidative stress may explain why psychiatric patients are at higher risk of SA and SI than healthy controls. As such, we have delineated new drug targets to treat SB, namely the IO&NS subdomains.

Declaration of Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. MS received honoraria and has been a consultant for Angelini, Lundbeck.

Ethical approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The dataset (CMA file) generated during and/or analyzed during the current study will be available from MM upon reasonable request and once the dataset has been fully exploited by the authors.

Funding

The study was funded by the 90TH Anniversary of Chulalongkorn University Scholarship (Grant Batch#47 No. 3/2020).

Author's contributions

All authors contributed to the writing up of the paper. The work was designed by MM and AV. Data were collected by AV and KJ. Statistical analyses were performed by MM and AV. All authors revised and approved the final draft.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Compliance with Ethical Standards/Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Research involving Human Participants and/or Animals

Not applicable.

Informed consent

Not applicable.

References

- 1. Posner K, Oquendo MA, Gould M, Stanley B, Davies M. Columbia Classification Algorithm of Suicide Assessment (C-CASA): classification of suicidal events in the FDA's pediatric suicidal risk analysis of antidepressants. *The American journal of psychiatry* 2007; **164**(7): 1035-1043.
- 2. Nock MK, Borges G, Bromet EJ, Alonso J, Angermeyer M, Beautrais A *et al.* Cross-national prevalence and risk factors for suicidal ideation, plans and attempts. *The British journal of psychiatry : the journal of mental science* 2008; **192**(2): 98-105.
- 3. Franklin JC, Ribeiro JD, Fox KR, Bentley KH, Kleiman EM, Huang X *et al.* Risk factors for suicidal thoughts and behaviors: A meta-analysis of 50 years of research. *Psychol Bull* 2017; **143**(2): 187-232.
- 4. Capuzzi E, Caldiroli A, Capellazzi M, Tagliabue I, Buoli M, Clerici M. Biomarkers of suicidal behaviors: A comprehensive critical review. *Adv Clin Chem* 2020; **96:** 179-216.
- 5. Bachmann S. Epidemiology of Suicide and the Psychiatric Perspective. *International journal of environmental research and public health* 2018; **15**(7): 1425.
- 6. Moitra M, Santomauro D, Degenhardt L, Collins PY, Whiteford H, Vos T *et al.* Estimating the risk of suicide associated with mental disorders: A systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *J Psychiatr Res* 2021; **137**: 242-249.
- 7. Maes M, Galecki P, Chang YS, Berk M. A review on the oxidative and nitrosative stress (O&NS) pathways in major depression and their possible contribution to the (neuro)degenerative processes in that illness. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2011; **35**(3): 676-692.
- 8. Martin-Subero M, Anderson G, Kanchanatawan B, Berk M, Maes M. Comorbidity between depression and inflammatory bowel disease explained by immune-inflammatory, oxidative, and nitrosative stress; tryptophan catabolite; and gut-brain pathways. *CNS Spectr* 2016; **21**(2): 184-198.
- 9. Maes M, Sirivichayakul S, Matsumoto AK, Michelin AP, de Oliveira Semeão L, de Lima Pedrão JV *et al.* Lowered Antioxidant Defenses and Increased Oxidative Toxicity Are Hallmarks of Deficit Schizophrenia: a Nomothetic Network Psychiatry Approach. *Mol Neurobiol* 2020; **57**(11): 4578-4597.
- 10. Solmi M, Suresh Sharma M, Osimo EF, Fornaro M, Bortolato B, Croatto G *et al.* Peripheral levels of C-reactive protein, tumor necrosis factor-α, interleukin-6, and interleukin-1β across the mood spectrum in bipolar disorder: A meta-analysis of mean differences and variability. *Brain Behav Immun* 2021.

- 11. Maes M, Carvalho AF. The Compensatory Immune-Regulatory Reflex System (CIRS) in Depression and Bipolar Disorder. *Mol Neurobiol* 2018; **55**(12): 8885-8903.
- 12. Roomruangwong C, Noto C, Kanchanatawan B, Anderson G, Kubera M, Carvalho AF *et al.* The Role of Aberrations in the Immune-Inflammatory Response System (IRS) and the Compensatory Immune-Regulatory Reflex System (CIRS) in Different Phenotypes of Schizophrenia: the IRS-CIRS Theory of Schizophrenia. *Mol Neurobiol* 2020; **57**(2): 778-797.
- 13. Maes M, Moraes JB, Bonifacio KL, Barbosa DS, Vargas HO, Michelin AP *et al.* Towards a new model and classification of mood disorders based on risk resilience, neuro-affective toxicity, staging, and phenome features using the nomothetic network psychiatry approach. *Metabolic brain disease* 2021; **36**(3): 509-521.
- 14. Maes M, Plaimas K, Suratanee A, Noto C, Kanchanatawan B. The Protein-Protein Interaction Network of First Episode Psychosis and Schizophrenia Reveals Possible Trigger Factors and New Drug Targets among Intracellular Signal Transduction Pathways and Neurotoxicity Processes. *Preprints* 2021; **2021070596**.
- 15. Maes M, Landucci Bonifacio K, Morelli NR, Vargas HO, Barbosa DS, Carvalho AF *et al.* Major Differences in Neurooxidative and Neuronitrosative Stress Pathways Between Major Depressive Disorder and Types I and II Bipolar Disorder. *Molecular Neurobiology* 2019; **56**(1): 141-156.
- 16. Valvassori SS, Arent CO, Steckert AV, Varela RB, Jornada LK, Tonin PT *et al.* Intracerebral Administration of BDNF Protects Rat Brain Against Oxidative Stress Induced by Ouabain in an Animal Model of Mania. *Mol Neurobiol* 2015; **52**(1): 353-362.
- 17. Maes M, Vandewoude M, Schotte C, Martin M, Blockx P, Scharpe S *et al.* Hypothalamic-pituitaryadrenal and -thyroid axis dysfunctions and decrements in the availability of L-tryptophan as biological markers of suicidal ideation in major depressed females. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1989; **80**(1): 13-17.
- 18. Nässberger L, Träskman-Bendz L. Increased soluble interleukin-2 receptor concentrations in suicide attempters. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1993; **88**(1): 48-52.
- 19. Vasupanrajit A, Jirakran K, Tunvirachaisakul C, Maes M. Suicide attempts are associated with activated immune-inflammatory, nitro-oxidative, and neurotoxic pathways: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 2021; **295:** 80-92.
- 20. Ganança L, Oquendo MA, Tyrka AR, Cisneros-Trujillo S, Mann JJ, Sublette ME. The role of cytokines in the pathophysiology of suicidal behavior. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2016; **63**: 296-310.

- 21. Serafini G, Parisi VM, Aguglia A, Amerio A, Sampogna G, Fiorillo A *et al.* A Specific Inflammatory Profile Underlying Suicide Risk? Systematic Review of the Main Literature Findings. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020; **17**(7).
- 22. Brundin L, Erhardt S, Bryleva EY, Achtyes ED, Postolache TT. The role of inflammation in suicidal behaviour. 2015; **132**(3): 192-203.
- 23. Courtet P, Giner L, Seneque M, Guillaume S, Olie E, Ducasse D. Neuroinflammation in suicide: Toward a comprehensive model. *World J Biol Psychiatry* 2016; **17**(8): 564-586.
- 24. Black C, Miller BJ. Meta-Analysis of Cytokines and Chemokines in Suicidality: Distinguishing Suicidal Versus Nonsuicidal Patients. *Biol Psychiatry* 2015; **78**(1): 28-37.
- 25. Ducasse D, Olié E, Guillaume S, Artéro S, Courtet P. A meta-analysis of cytokines in suicidal behavior. *Brain Behav Immun* 2015; **46:** 203-211.
- 26. Chen X, Pu J, Liu Y, Tian L, Chen Y, Gui S *et al.* Increased C-reactive protein concentrations were associated with suicidal behavior in patients with depressive disorders: a meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Research* 2020; **292:** 113320.
- 27. Miola A, Dal Porto V, Tadmor T, Croatto G, Scocco P, Manchia M *et al.* Increased C-reactive protein concentration and suicidal behavior in people with psychiatric disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta psychiatrica Scandinavica* 2021.
- 28. Salas-Magaña M, Tovilla-Zárate CA, González-Castro TB, Juárez-Rojop IE, López-Narváez ML, Rodríguez-Pérez JM *et al.* Decrease in brain-derived neurotrophic factor at plasma level but not in serum concentrations in suicide behavior: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Brain Behav* 2017; **7**(6): e00706.
- 29. Eisen RB, Perera S, Banfield L, Anglin R, Minuzzi L, Samaan Z. Association between BDNF levels and suicidal behaviour: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Syst Rev* 2015; **4:** 187.
- 30. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD *et al.* The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021; **372:** n71.
- 31. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ *et al. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. Chichester (UK): John Wiley & Sons, 2019.
- 32. Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, Olkin I, Williamson GD, Rennie D *et al.* Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. 2000; **283**(15): 2008-2012.

- Wan X, Wang W, Liu J, Tong T. Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* 2014; 14(1): 135.
- 34. Andrés-Rodríguez L, Borràs X, Feliu-Soler A, Pérez-Aranda A, Angarita-Osorio N, Moreno-Peral P *et al.* Peripheral immune aberrations in fibromyalgia: A systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity* 2020; **87:** 881-889.
- 35. Borenstein M, Higgins JP, Hedges LV, Rothstein HR. Basics of meta-analysis: I(2) is not an absolute measure of heterogeneity. *Res Synth Methods* 2017; **8**(1): 5-18.
- 36. Rücker G, Schwarzer G, Carpenter JR, Schumacher M. Undue reliance on I(2) in assessing heterogeneity may mislead. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2008; **8**: 79.
- 37. Aguglia A, Solano P, Giacomini G, Caprino M, Conigliaro C, Romano M *et al.* The Association Between Dyslipidemia and Lethality of Suicide Attempts: A Case-Control Study. 2019; **10**(70).
- 38. Ai M, Wang J, Chen J, Wang W, Xu X, Gan Y *et al.* Plasma brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) concentration and the BDNF Val66Met polymorphism in suicide: a prospective study in patients with depressive disorder. *Pharmgenomics Pers Med* 2019; **12**: 97-106.
- 39. Ainiyet B, Rybakowski JK. Suicidal behaviour and lipid levels in unipolar and bipolar depression. *Acta Neuropsychiatr* 2014; **26**(5): 315-320.
- 40. Al-Amarei HM, Rasheed SMH, Eidan A. C-reactive Protein and its Relationship with Lipid Profile in Suicidal and Non Suicidal Adults with Major Depression. *Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development* 2019; **10**(7): 569.
- 41. Almeida-Montes LG, Valles-Sanchez V, Moreno-Aguilar J, Chavez-Balderas RA, García-Marín JA, Cortés Sotres JF *et al.* Relation of serum cholesterol, lipid, serotonin and tryptophan levels to severity of depression and to suicide attempts. *J Psychiatry Neurosci* 2000; **25**(4): 371-377.
- 42. Atik D, Cander B, Doğan S, Bulut B, Yazıcı R, Taşlıdere B. Relationship between suicidal patients and vitamin D: A prospective case-control study. *Journal of Surgery and Medicine* 2020; **4**(9): 766-770.
- 43. Baek JH, Kang ES, Fava M, Mischoulon D, Nierenberg AA, Yu BH *et al.* Serum lipids, recent suicide attempt and recent suicide status in patients with major depressive disorder. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2014; **51**: 113-118.

- 44. Bradley KA, Case JA, Khan O, Ricart T, Hanna A, Alonso CM *et al.* The role of the kynurenine pathway in suicidality in adolescent major depressive disorder. *Psychiatry Res* 2015; **227**(2-3): 206-212.
- 45. Brundin L, Sellgren CM, Lim CK, Grit J, Pålsson E, Landén M *et al.* An enzyme in the kynurenine pathway that governs vulnerability to suicidal behavior by regulating excitotoxicity and neuroinflammation. *Transl Psychiatry* 2016; **6**(8): e865.
- 46. Chang CC, Tzeng NS, Kao YC, Yeh CB, Chang HA. The relationships of current suicidal ideation with inflammatory markers and heart rate variability in unmedicated patients with major depressive disorder. *Psychiatry Res* 2017; **258:** 449-456.
- 47. Choi KW, Jang EH, Kim AY, Kim H, Park MJ, Byun S *et al.* Predictive inflammatory biomarkers for change in suicidal ideation in major depressive disorder and panic disorder: A 12-week follow-up study. *J Psychiatr Res* 2021; **133**: 73-81.
- 48. De Berardis D, Serroni N, Marini S, Rapini G, Carano A, Valchera A *et al.* Alexithymia, suicidal ideation, and serum lipid levels among drug-naïve outpatients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Braz J Psychiatry* 2014; **36**(2): 125-130.
- 49. Deveci A, Aydemir O, Taskin O, Taneli F, Esen-Danaci A. Serum BDNF levels in suicide attempters related to psychosocial stressors: a comparative study with depression. *Neuropsychobiology* 2007; **56**(2-3): 93-97.
- 50. Dickerson F, Adamos M, Katsafanas E, Khushalani S, Origoni A, Savage C *et al.* The association between immune markers and recent suicide attempts in patients with serious mental illness: A pilot study. *Psychiatry Res* 2017; **255:** 8-12.
- 51. Dolsen MR, Prather AA, Lamers F, Penninx B. Suicidal ideation and suicide attempts: associations with sleep duration, insomnia, and inflammation. *Psychol Med* 2020: 1-10.
- 52. Eidan A, Al-Harmoosh R, Al-Amarei H. Estimation of IL-6, INFγ, and Lipid Profile in Suicidal and Nonsuicidal Adults with Major Depressive Disorder. *J Interferon Cytokine Res* 2019; **39**(3): 181-189.
- 53. Ekinci O, Ekinci A. The connections among suicidal behavior, lipid profile and low-grade inflammation in patients with major depressive disorder: a specific relationship with the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio. *Nord J Psychiatry* 2017; **71**(8): 574-580.
- 54. Eisen RB, Perera S, Bawor M, Dennis BB, El-Sheikh W, DeJesus J *et al.* Exploring the Association between Serum BDNF and Attempted Suicide. *Scientific Reports* 2016; **6**(1): 25229.

- 55. Fang X, Chen Y, Wang Y, Zhang C. Identification of risk factors for suicidal ideation in patients with schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res* 2019; **271:** 195-199.
- 56. Gabbay V, Klein RG, Guttman LE, Babb JS, Alonso CM, Nishawala M *et al.* A preliminary study of cytokines in suicidal and nonsuicidal adolescents with major depression. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol* 2009; **19**(4): 423-430.
- 57. Gibbs HM, Davis L, Han X, Clothier J, Eads LA, Cáceda R. Association between C-reactive protein and suicidal behavior in an adult inpatient population. *J Psychiatr Res* 2016; **79:** 28-33.
- 58. da Graça Cantarelli M, Nardin P, Buffon A, Eidt MC, Antônio Godoy L, Fernandes BS *et al.* Serum triglycerides, but not cholesterol or leptin, are decreased in suicide attempters with mood disorders. *J Affect Disord* 2015; **172:** 403-409.
- 59. Grassi-Oliveira R, Brieztke E, Teixeira A, Pezzi JC, Zanini M, Lopes RP *et al.* Peripheral chemokine levels in women with recurrent major depression with suicidal ideation. *Braz J Psychiatry* 2012; **34**(1): 71-75.
- 60. Grudet C, Malm J, Westrin A, Brundin L. Suicidal patients are deficient in vitamin D, associated with a pro-inflammatory status in the blood. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2014; **50:** 210-219.
- 61. Gundogdu Meydaneri G, Meydaneri S. Can Neutrophil Lymphocyte Ratio Predict the Likelihood of Suicide in Patients with Major Depression? *Cureus* 2018; **10**(4): e2510.
- 62. Janelidze S, Suchankova P, Ekman A, Erhardt S, Sellgren C, Samuelsson M *et al.* Low IL-8 is associated with anxiety in suicidal patients: genetic variation and decreased protein levels. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2015; **131**(4): 269-278.
- 63. Jha MK, Cai L, Minhajuddin A, Fatt CC, Furman JL, Gadad BS *et al.* Dysfunctional adaptive immune response in adolescents and young adults with suicide behavior. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2020; **111:** 104487.
- 64. Khan MS, Wu GWY, Reus VI, Hough CM, Lindqvist D, Westrin Å *et al.* Low serum brain-derived neurotrophic factor is associated with suicidal ideation in major depressive disorder. *Psychiatry Res* 2019; **273:** 108-113.
- 65. Kim JM, Stewart R, Kang HJ, Jeong BO, Kim SY, Bae KY *et al.* Longitudinal associations between serum cholesterol levels and suicidal ideation in an older Korean population. *J Affect Disord* 2014; **152-154:** 517-521.

- 66. Kim YK, Paik JW, Lee SW, Yoon D, Han C, Lee BH. Increased plasma nitric oxide level associated with suicide attempt in depressive patients. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2006; **30**(6): 1091-1096.
- 67. Kim YK, Lee HP, Won SD, Park EY, Lee HY, Lee BH *et al.* Low plasma BDNF is associated with suicidal behavior in major depression. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2007; **31**(1): 78-85.
- 68. Kurosawa K, Terao T, Kanehisa M, Shiotsuki I, Ishii N, Takenaka R *et al.* Naturally absorbed polyunsaturated fatty acids, lithium, and suicide-related behaviors: A case-controlled study. *J Affect Disord* 2018; **241**: 200-205.
- 69. Lee BH, Lee SW, Yoon D, Lee HJ, Yang JC, Shim SH *et al.* Increased plasma nitric oxide metabolites in suicide attempters. *Neuropsychobiology* 2006; **53**(3): 127-132.
- 70. Lee BH, Kim H, Park SH, Kim YK. Decreased plasma BDNF level in depressive patients. *J Affect Disord* 2007; **101**(1-3): 239-244.
- 71. Lauterbach E, Brunner J, Hawellek B, Lewitzka U, Ising M, Bondy B *et al.* Platelet 5-HT2A receptor binding and tryptophan availability in depression are not associated with recent history of suicide attempts but with personality traits characteristic for suicidal behavior. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 2006; **91**(1): 57-62.
- 72. Liu MN, Tsai SJ, Yeh HL, Wu CC, Lin CP. MCP-2/CCL8 Level Associated With Suicidal Ideation in Elderly Men With Major Depression. *Arch Suicide Res* 2020; **24**(3): 467-476.
- 73. Loas G, Dalleau E, Lecointe H, Yon V. Relationships between anhedonia, alexithymia, impulsivity, suicidal ideation, recent suicide attempt, C-reactive protein and serum lipid levels among 122 inpatients with mood or anxious disorders. *Psychiatry Res* 2016; **246:** 296-302.
- 74. Ma YJ, Zhou YJ, Wang DF, Li Y, Wang DM, Liu TQ *et al.* Association of Lipid Profile and Suicide Attempts in a Large Sample of First Episode Drug-Naive Patients With Major Depressive Disorder. *Front Psychiatry* 2020; **11**(953).
- Melhem NM, Munroe S, Marsland A, Gray K, Brent D, Porta G *et al.* Blunted HPA axis activity prior to suicide attempt and increased inflammation in attempters. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2017; 77: 284-294.
- 76. Mensi R, Messaoud A, Mhallah A, Azizi I, Salah WH, Douki W *et al.* The association between altered lipid profile and suicide attempt among Tunisian patients with schizophrenia. *Ann Gen Psychiatry* 2016; **15**: 36.

- Mohamed AE, El-Latif RRA, Youssef AM, Ibrahim AS. C-reactive protein and clinical subtypes of major depressive disorder at Zagazig University Hospitals. *Middle East Current Psychiatry* 2020; 27(1): 35.
- 78. O'Donovan A, Rush G, Hoatam G, Hughes BM, McCrohan A, Kelleher C *et al.* Suicidal ideation is associated with elevated inflammation in patients with major depressive disorder. *Depress Anxiety* 2013; **30**(4): 307-314.
- 79. Oh KY, Van Dam NT, Doucette JT, Murrough JW. Effects of chronic physical disease and systemic inflammation on suicide risk in patients with depression: a hospital-based case-control study. *Psychol Med* 2020; **50**(1): 29-37.
- 80. Oshnokhah M, Bagheri M, Ghaneialvar H, Haghani K, Khorshidi A, Shahbazi A *et al.* Oxidant-Antioxidant Status in Suicide Behavior in Kurdish Ethnicity. *Basic and Clinical Neuroscience* 2020: 0-0.
- 81. Ozer OA, Kutaniş R, Agargun MY, Beşiroğlu L, Bal AC, Selvi Y *et al.* Serum lipid levels, suicidality, and panic disorder. *Compr Psychiatry* 2004; **45**(2): 95-98.
- 82. Park YM, Lee BH, Lee SH. The association between serum lipid levels, suicide ideation, and central serotonergic activity in patients with major depressive disorder. *J Affect Disord* 2014; **159**: 62-65.
- 83. Pasyk S, Sanger N, Kapczinski F, Samaan Z. Evaluation of BDNF as a Biomarker for Impulsivity in a Psychiatric Population. *Diagnostics (Basel)* 2020; **10**(6).
- 84. Peng R, Dai W, Li Y. Low serum free thyroxine level is correlated with lipid profile in depressive patients with suicide attempt. *Psychiatry Res* 2018; **266**: 111-115.
- 85. Pinheiro RT, Pinheiro KA, da Cunha Coelho FM, de Ávila Quevedo L, Gazal M, da Silva RA *et al.* Brain-derived neurotrophic factor levels in women with postpartum affective disorder and suicidality. *Neurochem Res* 2012; **37**(10): 2229-2234.
- 86. Priya PK, Rajappa M, Kattimani S, Mohanraj PS, Revathy G. Association of neurotrophins, inflammation and stress with suicide risk in young adults. *Clin Chim Acta* 2016; **457**: 41-45.
- 87. Rasheed SMH, Eidan AJ, Al-dujaili AH, Abada LH, Al-Charrakh AH. Different cytokines and Lipid Profile in Suicidal and Non Suicidal Adults with Major depression. *J Annals of Tropical Medicine Health* 2019; **22:** 135-141.
- 88. Roggenbach J, Müller-Oerlinghausen B, Franke L, Uebelhack R, Blank S, Ahrens B. Peripheral serotonergic markers in acutely suicidal patients. 1. Comparison of serotonergic platelet measures

between suicidal individuals, nonsuicidal patients with major depression and healthy subjects. *Journal of Neural Transmission* 2007; **114**(4): 479-487.

- 89. Roy A, Roy M. No relationship between serum cholesterol and suicidal ideation and depression in African-American diabetics. *Arch Suicide Res* 2006; **10**(1): 11-14.
- 90. Ruljancic N, Mihanovic M, Cepelak I, Bakliza A, Curkovic KD. Platelet serotonin and magnesium concentrations in suicidal and non-suicidal depressed patients. *Magnes Res* 2013; **26**(1): 9-17.
- 91. Segoviano-Mendoza M, Cárdenas-de la Cruz M, Salas-Pacheco J, Vázquez-Alaniz F, La Llave-León O, Castellanos-Juárez F *et al.* Hypocholesterolemia is an independent risk factor for depression disorder and suicide attempt in Northern Mexican population. *BMC Psychiatry* 2018; **18**(1): 7.
- 92. Si YJ, Guo QW, Chen X, Yang M, Lin J, Fang DZ. Increased TG/HDL-C in female G allele carriers of rs1061622 at gene of tumour necrosis factor receptor 2 with suicidal ideation. *Eur J Clin Invest* 2020; **50**(11): e13322.
- 93. Sowa-Kućma M, Styczeń K, Siwek M, Misztak P, Nowak RJ, Dudek D et al. Are there differences in lipid peroxidation and immune biomarkers between major depression and bipolar disorder: Effects of melancholia, atypical depression, severity of illness, episode number, suicidal ideation and prior suicide attempts. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry 2018; 81: 372-383.
- 94. Su M, Li E, Tang C, Zhao Y, Liu R, Gao K. Comparison of blood lipid profile/thyroid function markers between unipolar and bipolar depressed patients and in depressed patients with anhedonia or suicidal thoughts. *Mol Med* 2019; **25**(1): 51.
- 95. Velasco Á, Rodríguez-Revuelta J, Olié E, Abad I, Fernández-Peláez A, Cazals A *et al.* Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio: A potential new peripheral biomarker of suicidal behavior. *Eur Psychiatry* 2020;
 63(1): e14-e14.
- 96. Verma S, Trivedi JK, Singh H, Dalal PK, Asthana OP, Srivastava JS *et al.* Serum lipid profile in suicide attempters. *Indian J Psychiatry* 1999; **41**(4): 300-306.
- 97. Yagci I, Avci S. Biochemical predictors in presentations to the emergency department after a suicide attemp. *Bratisl Lek Listy* 2021; **122**(3): 224-229.
- 98. Sudol K, Mann JJ. Biomarkers of Suicide Attempt Behavior: Towards a Biological Model of Risk. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 2017; **19**(6): 31.

- 99. Leonard B, Maes M. Mechanistic explanations how cell-mediated immune activation, inflammation and oxidative and nitrosative stress pathways and their sequels and concomitants play a role in the pathophysiology of unipolar depression. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2012; **36**(2): 764-785.
- 100. Morris G, Fernandes BS, Puri BK, Walker AJ, Carvalho AF, Berk M. Leaky brain in neurological and psychiatric disorders: Drivers and consequences. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2018; **52**(10): 924-948.
- 101. Maes M, Moraes JB, Bonifacio KL, Barbosa DS, Vargas HO, Michelin AP *et al.* Towards a new model and classification of mood disorders based on risk resilience, neuro-affective toxicity, staging, and phenome features using the nomothetic network psychiatry approach. *Metab Brain Dis* 2021; 36(3): 509-521.
- 102. Baumeister D, Akhtar R, Ciufolini S, Pariante CM, Mondelli V. Childhood trauma and adulthood inflammation: a meta-analysis of peripheral C-reactive protein, interleukin-6 and tumour necrosis factor-α. *Molecular Psychiatry* 2016; **21**(5): 642-649.
- 103. Qin T, Liu W, Yin M, Shu C, Yan M, Zhang J *et al.* Body mass index moderates the relationship between C-reactive protein and depressive symptoms: evidence from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study. *Scientific Reports* 2017; **7**(1): 39940.
- Hjorthøj CR, Madsen T, Agerbo E, Nordentoft M. Risk of suicide according to level of psychiatric treatment: a nationwide nested case-control study. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2014; 49(9): 1357-1365.

Figure 1. PRISMA 2020 diagram



Table 1. Number of cases with suicidal attempts (SA+), suicidal ideation (SI+) and controls in the different meta-analyses and side of standardized mean difference (SMD) and the 95% confidence intervals with respect to zero SMD.

Outcome profiles	n studies		Side of 95% con	fidence intervals		Case	Control	Total number
		SMD < 0	Overlap 0	Overlap 0 and	SMD > 0	numbers	numbers	of
			and SMD < 0	SMD > 0				participants
Overall								
IO&NS	59	2	11	25	21	4034	12377	16411
IRS	33	1	2	14	16	2394	7739	10133
Inflammation	25	1	1	11	12	1762	4803	6564
CRP	15	0	1	4	10	1399	3955	5354
Neurotoxicity	29	1	3	11	13	1870	4620	6489
ANTIOXPRO	40	2	12	16	10	2985	9401	12386
BDNF	10	0	1	4	5	489	780	1269
SA+								
IO&NS	37	0	7	14	16	2511	7784	10295
IRS	23	0	1	9	13	1732	5483	7215
Inflammation	16	0	1	6	9	1117	2576	3693
CRP	10	0	1	2	7	914	1925	2839
Neurotoxicity	19	0	2	7	10	1209	2361	3570
ANTIOXPRO	26	1	8	10	7	1976	6953	8929
BDNF	7	0	1	3	3	363	481	844
SI+								
IO&NS	24	2	5	12	5	1523	4593	6116
IRS	11	1	1	6	3	662	2256	2918
Inflammation	9	1	0	6	3	645	2227	2872
CRP	6	0	0	3	3	485	2030	2515
Neurotoxicity	11	1	1	6	3	661	2259	2919
ANTIOXPRO	16	1	6	6	3	1010	2448	3458
BDNF	3	0	0	1	2	126	300	426

IO&NS: immune-inflammatory and oxidative and nitrosative stress

IRS: immune-inflammatory response system

ANTIOXPRO: protection via antioxidants and neurotrophic products.

CRP: C-reactive protein

BDNF: Brain-derived neurotrophic factor

Outcome	n	Groups,	SMD	95% CI	Z	р	Q	df	р	I^2	τ^2	Т
feature sets	studies	subgroups								(%)		
IO&NS	59	Overall	0.299	0.200; 0.397	5.933	< 0.001	276.474	58	< 0.001	79.022	0.100	0.316
	37	SA+ vs. Controls	0.387	0.256; 0.519	5.771	< 0.001	176.200	36	< 0.001	79.569	0.114	0.337
	21	SA+ vs. HC	0.796	0.503; 1.089	5.322	< 0.001	152.636	20	< 0.001	86.897	0.393	0.627
	29	SA+ vs. SA-	0.255	0.140; 0.370	4.344	< 0.001	90.748	28	< 0.001	69.145	0.056	0.238
	24	SI+ vs. Controls	0.167	0.030; 0.304	2.396	0.017	83.446	23	< 0.001	72.437	0.071	0.267
IRS	33	Overall	0.460	0.314; 0.605	6.186	< 0.001	174.008	32	< 0.001	81.610	0.128	0.358
	23	SA+ vs. Controls	0.590	0.400; 0.779	6.101	< 0.001	133.065	22	< 0.001	83.467	0.158	0.398
	14	SA+ vs. HC	1.049	0.637; 1.462	4.983	< 0.001	118.960	13	< 0.001	89.072	0.534	0.731
	17	SA+ vs. SA-	0.384	0.229; 0.538	4.855	< 0.001	56.249	16	< 0.001	71.555	0.064	0.254
	11	SI+ vs. Controls	0.231	0.033; 0.430	2.281	0.023	28.265	10	0.002	64.621	0.063	0.251
Inflammation	25	Overall	0.459	0.284; 0.633	5.142	< 0.001	147.659	24	< 0.001	83.746	0.146	0.382
	16	SA+ vs. Controls	0.623	0.388; 0.858	5.199	< 0.001	95.325	15	< 0.001	84.264	0.173	0.415
	9	SA+ vs. HC	1.200	0.584; 1.816	3.820	< 0.001	90.393	8	< 0.001	91.150	0.791	0.889
	13	SA+ vs. SA-	0.414	0.223; 0.605	4.250	< 0.001	47.029	12	< 0.001	74.484	0.080	0.282
	10	SI+ vs. Controls	0.239	0.000; 0.478	1.962	0.050	36.317	9	< 0.001	75.218	0.099	0.315
CRP	15	Overall	0.573	0.366; 0.780	5.433	< 0.001	99.036	14	< 0.001	85.864	0.128	0.358
	10	SA+ vs. Controls	0.689	0.386; 0.991	4.463	< 0.001	78.523	9	< 0.001	88.538	0.194	0.440
	4	SA+ vs. HC	1.311	0.446; 2.177	2.969	0.003	32.712	3	< 0.001	90.829	0.704	0.839
	8	SA+ vs. SA-	0.499	0.234; 0.764	3.696	< 0.001	43.082	7	< 0.001	83.752	0.110	0.331
	6	SI+ vs. Controls	0.429	0.161; 0.697	3.138	0.002	18.524	5	0.002	73.009	0.075	0.274
Neurotoxicity	29	Overall	0.403	0.253; 0.553	5.262	<0.001	133.901	28	< 0.001	79.089	0.116	0.340
	19	SA+ vs. Controls	0.524	0.320; 0.727	5.048	< 0.001	94.676	18	< 0.001	80.988	0.147	0.383
	12	SA+ vs. HC	0.904	0.431; 1.378	3.745	<0.001	106.480	11	< 0.001	89.669	0.607	0.779

Table 2. Results of meta-analysis performed on different outcome variables (immune and oxidative and nitrosative stress profiles, IO&NS, and subdomains)

3	6
2	υ

	14	SA+ vs. SA-	0.403	0.218; 0.588	4.278	< 0.001	49.022	13	< 0.001	73.481	0.079	0.282
	11	SI+ vs. Controls	0.243	0.036; 0.449	2.299	0.021	30.692	10	0.001	67.418	0.072	0.268
ANTIOXPRO	40	Overall	0.179	0.063; 0.294	3.031	0.002	196.268	39	< 0.001	80.129	0.095	0.308
	26	SA+ vs. Controls	0.199	0.058; 0.341	2.761	0.006	119.840	25	< 0.001	79.139	0.091	0.302
	13	SA+ vs. HC	0.476	0.083; 0.869	2.372	0.018	118.374	12	< 0.001	89.863	0.461	0.679
	22	SA+ vs. SA-	0.137	0.021; 0.253	2.322	0.020	58.340	21	< 0.001	64.004	0.039	1.197
	16	SI+ vs. Controls	0.141	-0.056; 0.338	1.401	0.161	73.159	15	< 0.001	79.497	0.113	0.336
BDNF	10	Overall	0.479	0.224; 0.735	3.681	< 0.001	34.811	9	< 0.001	74.146	0.118	0.344
	7	SA+ vs. Controls	0.417	0.102; 0.733	2.590	0.010	25.883	6	< 0.001	76.819	0.131	0.362
	4	SA+ vs. HC	0.962	0.708; 1.217	7.416	< 0.001	2.628	3	0.453	0.000	0.000	0.000
	6	SA+ vs. SA-	0.256	-0.026; 0.538	1.779	0.075	14.800	5	0.011	66.217	0.076	0.275
	3	SI+ vs. Controls	0.665	0.413; 0.917	5.169	< 0.001	2.039	2	0.361	1.934	0.001	0.032

SMD: standardized mean difference, 95% CI: 95% confidence intervals

IO&NS: immune-inflammatory and oxidative and nitrosative stress

IRS: immune-inflammatory response system

ANTIOXPRO: protection via antioxidants and neurotrophic products.

CRP: C-reactive protein

BDNF: Brain-derived neurotrophic factor

We used the study as well as the prespecified subgroups as the units of analysis. Thus, patients with suicide behaviors (SB) were first (overall) compared with controls. Second, we performed subgroup analyses comparing the pooled effect size of IO&NS, IRS, inflammation, CRP, neurotoxicity, ANTIOXPRO and BDNF profiles in a) SA+ *versus* SA- patients or healthy controls); and b) SI+ *versus* SI- patients or healthy controls.

IO&NS profile in suicide behaviors

Study name	Year	Subgroup within study	Outcome	Statistics for	each study	Std diff in means and 95% CI
				Std diff in means	p-Value	
Maes	1989	SI	Combined	-0,266	0,440	
Nassberger	1993	SA	sIL-2R	1,632	0,000	
Verma	1999	SA	HDL	0,073	0,743	
Almeida-Montes	2000	SA	HDL	-0,121	0,729	
Uzer	2004	SI	Combined	-0,422	0,299	
Kim (a)	2006	54	Combined	0,600	0,005	
Lee (a)	2006	SA		0,035	0,001	
Devici	2000	54	Combined	-0,027	0,042	
Kim (b)	2007	SA	Combined	0,856	0,001	
Lee (b)	2007	SA	Combined	1.006	0.000	
Gabbay	2009	SA	Combined	0.024	0,951	
Grassi-Oliveira	2012	SI	Combined	-0.813	0.036	│₽│ │ │
Pinheiro	2012	SI	BDNF	0,637	0,023	
O'Donovan	2013	SI	Combined	0,471	0,048	▏
Ruljancic	2013	SA	Combined	1,142	0,000	▏
Ainiyet	2014	Combined	Combined	0,142	0,383	▏
Baek	2014	SA	Combined	-0,330	0,132	│ │── ● ─┼ │ │
De Berardis	2014	SI	HDL	0,214	0,271	▏
Grudet	2014	SA	Combined	0,770	0,009	
Kim (c)	2014	SI	HDL	0,096	0,386	│ │ <u></u> ∎─ │ │
Park	2014	SI	Combined	-0,026	0,925	
Bradley	2015	SI	Combined	0,481	0,135	
Graça Cantarelli	2015	SA	Combined	0,058	0,792	
Brundin	2015	5A 6A	CAULO	0,101	0,747	
Eison	2010	5A 6A	Combined	-0,140	0,030	
Gibbs	2016	Combined	Combined	0,010	0,917	
Loas	2016	SA	hs-CRP	0,513	0,002	
Mensi	2016	SA	HDL	-0.021	0,940	
Priva	2016	SA	Combined	0.897	0.000	╵
Chang	2017	SI	Combined	0,539	0,004	│ │ │∎_│ │
Ekinci	2017	SA	Combined	0,286	0,165	▏
Gundogdu Meydaneri	2018	SA	Combined	0,208	0,452	│ │ <u>→</u> ∔∎── │ │
Kurosawa	2018	SA	Combined	0,148	0,443	▏
Peng	2018	SA	Combined	0,060	0,665	│ │ ─₽─ │ │
Segoviano-Mendoza	2018	SA	Combined	-0,071	0,632	▏
Sowa-Kucma	2018	SI	Combined	0,205	0,196	╷ ╷ ┼╋─╴╷ ╷
Aguglia	2019	SA	Combined	0,148	0,084	
Al America	2019	51	Combined	0,827	0,000	
Al-Amarei	2019	54	Combined	2,195	0,000	
Fang	2019	SI	HDI	0.097	0,000	
Khan	2019	Si	Combined	0,404	0,040	
Rasheed	2019	SA	Combined	0,240	0,395	
Su	2019	SI	HDL	-0.458	0.000	
Atik	2020	SA	VitD	0,779	0,000	▏
Dolsen	2020	SI	Combined	0,030	0,661	+
Liu	2020	SI	Combined	0,151	0,567	_+•
Ma	2020	SA	HDL	0,357	0,000	+
Mohamed	2020	SI	hs-CRP	0,983	0,000	
Oh	2020	SA	Combined	0,147	0,021	/ /∎− / /
Oshnokhah	2020	SA	Combined	-0,190	0,382	
Разук	2020	SA	RDNF	0,109	0,363	
SI Valaasa	2020	SI	HUL	0,073	0,362	
Choi	2020	SA	Combined	0,008	0,585	
Gananca	2021	SI	Combined	-0.162	0,400	
Yanci	2021	SA	Combined	0,102	0,003	
i agoi	2021	04	Combined	0,799	0,001	
				0,200	-2	2,00 -1,00 0,00 1,00 2,00
						Equation controls Equation
						ravours controls ravours suicide

Vasupanrajit et al.

Figure 2. Forest plot with results of meta-analysis performed on 59 studies reporting immuneinflammatory and oxidative & nitrosative stress (IO&NS) biomarkers.

Inflammation in SA/SI

Study name	Year	Subgroup within study	Outcome			Std diff in means and 95% CI
				Std diff in means	p-Value	
Gabbay	2009	SA	Combined	-0,060	0,876	
Ruljancic	2013	SA	Combined	1,142	0,000	
Baek	2014	SA	Albumin	0,000	1,000	
Graça Cantarelli	2015	SA	CRP	0,168	0,442	
Janelidze	2015	SA	CXCL8	0,101	0,747	
Gibbs	2016	SA	hs-CRP	0,903	0,001	
Loas	2016	SA	hs-CRP	0,513	0,008	
Priya	2016	SA	Combined	0,984	0,000	
Ekinci	2017	SA	Combined	0,283	0,169	
Peng	2018	SA	Combined	0,107	0,444	
Aguglia	2019	SA	CRP	0,393	0,000	
Al-Amarei	2019	SA	Combined	2,195	0,000	
Eidan	2019	SA	Combined	2,202	0,000	
Rasheed	2019	SA	Combined	0,494	0,083	
Oh	2020	SA	hs-CRP	0,292	0,000	
Yagci	2021	SA	CRP	1,069	0,000	
				0,623	0,000	
Grassi-Oliveira	2012	SI	Combined	-1,199	0,002	
O'Donovan	2013	SI	Combined	0,481	0,044	
Gibbs	2016	SI	hs-CRP	0,300	0,072	
Chang	2017	SI	hs-CRP	0,628	0,001	
Sowa-Kucma	2018	SI	Combined	0,116	0,462	
Dolsen	2020	SI	Combined	0,030	0,661	
Liu	2020	SI	Combined	0,129	0,624	
Mohamed	2020	SI	hs-CRP	0,983	0,000	
Choi	2021	SI	Combined	0,315	0,213	
Gananca	2021	SI	Combined	0,123	0,662	
				0,239	0,050	
						-2,00 -1,00 0,00 1,00 2,00

Favours Controls Favours SA/SI

Vasupanrajit et al.

Figure 3. Forest plot with results of meta-analysis (subgroup analysis) performed on 26 studies reporting inflammation profile.

Electronic Supplementary Information File (ESF) 1

Activated immune, oxidative and nitrosative stress pathways are strongly associated with suicidal attempts and less with suicidal ideation: a meta-analysis and meta-regression.

Running title: immune activation in suicidal behaviors

Asara Vasupanrajit, M.Sc.^a; Ketsupar Jirakran, M.Sc.^{a,b}; Chavit Tunvirachaisakul, M.D., Ph.D.^{a, c}; Michael Maes, M.D., Ph.D.^{a, c, d, e}

^a Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand.
^b Maximizing Thai Children's Developmental Potential Research Unit, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand.

^c Cognitive Impairment and Dementia Research Unit, Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand.

^d IMPACT Strategies Research Center, Deakin University, Geelong, Australia.

^e Department of Psychiatry, Medical University of Plovdiv, Plovdiv, Bulgaria.

Immune a	nd O&NS phenotype	What is	What examined	What is missing in SA research
	Inflammation (M1)	M1 cytokines, Acute Phase Proteins (APR), Complement	Interleukin (IL)-6, Tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, IL-1β, C-reactive protein (CRP), Albumin, CCL2, CXCL8	Fibrinogen sIL-6R, soluble gp130, sTNF-αR1, sIL-1RA, complement factors
	T helper (Th)-1	IL-2, Interferon (IFN)-γ, IL-12	IL-2, IFN-γ, IL2/IL4, IFN-γ/IL4	IL-12
IRS ^{1, 2}	Cell-mediated immunity (CMI)	Interaction M1 and Th1	IL-6, TNF-α, IL-1, IL-2, IFN-γ, sIL-2R, TRYCATS (i.e., PA, QA, KYN), Tryptophan	Neopterin
	Th-17	IL-6, IL-17	IL-6	IL-17
	Chemokines	CXCL-8, eotaxin	CXCL-8, CCL2, CCL11, RANTES	eotaxin, etc
	APR proteins	Plasminogen Activator Inhibitor-1 (PAI-1), Haptoglobin (Hp), serum amyloid A and P, alpha-1 acid, complement system (such as C3 and C4), CRP, Fb, Albumin	CRP, Albumin	Fibrinogen, Hp, PAI-1, retinol binding protein (RBP)
	Regulatory T cells (T-reg)	IL-10, Transforming growth factor (TGF)-β	TGF-β	T-reg cells CD4, CD25, Forkhead box P3 (FOXP3), TGF-β
CIKS"-	Th-2	IL-4, IL-5, IL-9, IL-10, IL-13 and IL-25	IL-4	IL-13, IL-5, IL-9
0&NS ^{1, 2}	Nitro-oxidative stress	Advanced oxidation protein products (AOPP), Homocysteine, Lipid Hydroperoxide (LOOH), 3,4-Methylenedioxyamphetamine (MDA), Nitric oxide metabolites (NOx)	MDA, NOx, TBARS	DNA oxidation damage to mitochondria, AOPP, Homocysteine, LOOH
Jans -	Antioxidant	Albumin, BDNF, HDL-c, total reactive antioxidant potential (TRAP), Vitamin D	Albumin, BDNF, DHA, EPA, HDL-c, TAC, Tryptophan, Vitamin D	Catalase, Vitamin A, Vitamin C, Vitamin E, Coenzyme Q10, Zinc, Glutathione
NT	Neurotoxicity ³	IRS, CIRS, Nitro-oxidative stress	AA, CCL1, CCL2, CCL3, RANTES, CCL11, CCL17, CCL22, CCL23, CCL24, CCL27, CX3CL1, CXCL2, CXCL5, CXCL8, CXCL10, CXCL12,	LPS bacteria, indicants BBB, AOPP, Homocysteine, LOOH,

ESF 1 Table 1. Explanation of peripheral immune and O&NS phenotypes.

			CXCL16, CRP, IFN-γ, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, TNF- α, TRYCATS (i.e., PA, QA, KYN), MDA, NOx, TBARS, TNFR60, TNFR80	
NP	Neuroprotection ³	Antioxidant	Albumin, BDNF, DHA, EPA, HDL-c, TAC, Trytophan, Vitamin D	Vitamin E, Coenzyme Q10, Zinc, Glutathione

<u>Note:</u> Further explanation of the function of the peripheral immune and O&NS phenotypes can be found in Maes and Carvalho, 2018^1 ; Roomruangwong et al., 2020^2 and Maes et al., 2021^3

- 1. Maes M, Carvalho AF. The Compensatory Immune-Regulatory Reflex System (CIRS) in Depression and Bipolar Disorder. Mol Neurobiol 2018; 55(12): 8885-8903.
- Roomruangwong C, Noto C, Kanchanatawan B, Anderson G, Kubera M, Carvalho AF et al. The Role of Aberrations in the Immune-Inflammatory Response System (IRS) and the Compensatory Immune-Regulatory Reflex System (CIRS) in Different Phenotypes of Schizophrenia: the IRS-CIRS Theory of Schizophrenia. Mol Neurobiol 2020; 57(2): 778-797.
- 3. Maes M, Moraes JB, Bonifacio KL, Barbosa DS, Vargas HO, Michelin AP et al. Towards a new model and classification of mood disorders based on risk resilience, neuro-affective toxicity, staging, and phenome features using the nomothetic network psychiatry approach. Metabolic brain disease 2021; 36(3): 509-521.

Abbreviations:

AA: Arachidonic acid (omega-6 fatty acid) APR: the acute phase response **BBB: Blood-Brain Barrier** BDNF: Brain-derived neurotrophic factor CCL: The C-C motif chemokine ligand CIRS: The compensatory immune-regulatory reflex system CXCL: The chemokine C-X-C motif ligand CX3CL1: The C-X3-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 1/Fractalkine DHA: Docosahexaenoic acid (omega-3 fatty acid) EPA: Eicosapentaenoic acid (omega-3 fatty acid) HDL-c: High-density lipoprotein cholesterol IRS: The immune-inflammatory response system KYN: Kynurenine LPS: Lipopolysaccharides MDA: Malondialdehyde NOx: Nitric oxide metabolites NT: Neurotoxicity NP: Neuroprotection O&NS: Antioxidants and nitro-oxidative stress PA: Picolinic acid OA: Quinolinic acid RANTES: Regulated on Activation, Normal T Cell Expressed and Secreted SA: Suicide attempts SI: Suicide ideation sIL2R: Soluble Interleukin 2 Receptor sIL6R: Soluble Interleukin 6 Receptor sTNF-αR1: Soluble tumor necrosis factor alpha receptor 1 TAC: Total antioxidant capacity TBARS: Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances TNFR: Tumor necrosis factor receptors TRYCATs: The tryptophan catabolites

ESF 1 Table 2. Specific search for each database.

PubMed/Medline

((inflamm* OR immun*) AND (cytokine OR chemokine OR il-6 OR il-1 OR interleukin OR (c-reactive protein

OR CRP) OR (Tumor necrosis factor OR tnf) OR (interferon OR ifn) OR (Transforming growth factor OR tgf) OR

Tryptophan AND (suicid*)) **1072**

PubMed/Medline

((Oxidative stress OR Antioxidants) AND (Zinc) OR (Vitamin C) OR (Albumin) OR (Nitric oxide metabolites)

OR (Lipid hydroperoxides) OR (Omega 3) OR (Coenzyme Q10) OR (DHA) AND (suicid*) 406

Google Scholar

Suicide AND [inflamm*] OR [immun*] AND [oxidative stress biomarkers] AND antioxidants OR [cytokine] OR

chemokine] OR [il-6 OR il-1 OR interleukin] OR [(c-reactive protein) OR CRP] OR [(Tumor necrosis factor) OR

tnf] OR [interferon OR ifn] OR (Transforming) 617

WEB OF SCIENCE

TOPIC: (Suicide AND Oxidative Stress) 353

ESF 1 Table 3. Immune confounder of suicide behaviors scale (ICS); applied from Andrés-Rodríguez, et al., 2019^a

and Vasupanrajit et al., 2021^b

I	Methodological quality of the study	
1	Study sample \geq 128 participants including patients and controls (1= Yes, 0 = No)	
2	Did the study control results for potential confounders (e.g., age, BMI, gender, race)? (1= Yes, 0 = No)	
3	Were participants with suicide attempts (SA) and controls age- and-gender-matched or statistically controlled? (1= Yes, 0 = No)	
4	Was the time of sample collection specified (e.g., morning vs. evening)? (1= Yes, 0 = No)	
5	Were participants with SA free of immunomodulatory drugs including anti-cytokines, corticoids, immunoglobulins, and immunosuppressants, been through a medication washout or the intake was statistically controlled? (1= Yes, $0 = No$)	
6	Were participants with suicidal behaviour free of antidepressants and mood stabilizers or statistically controlled? ($1 = Yes$, $0 = No$)	
7	Reporting of either the manufacturer of the test or its parameters (detection limit and coefficient of variation) (1= Yes, $0 = No$)	
8	Reporting how data under detection limit was handled $(1 = \text{Yes}, 0 = \text{No})$	
9	Reporting % of the sample under detection limit (1=Yes, 0= No)	
10	Reporting blood fraction (serum, plasma, culture supernatant or whole blood) (1= Yes, 0 = No)	
	Total quality score (10 points)	
1	Biomarker confounders red points	
7	The red points should not be given if the item is statistically controlled	
1	3 red points for comorbid illnesses such as autoimmune disorders & other immune disorders including RA, psoriasis, IBD, COPD, MS	
2	3 red points for use of recreational drugs such as methamphetamine or opioids (Not applicable if psychiatric disorders are excluded)	
3	2 red points when groups were significantly difference or there was no statistically controlled for age	
4	2 red points when groups were significantly difference or there was no statistically controlled for sex	
5	2 red points for medication use as for example immunomodulators	
6	2 red points for early traumatic life events	
7	2 red points for shift work and primary sleep disorders	

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 8 September 2021

46

8	1.5 red points for antidepressants	
9	1 red point for more common systemic immune disorders including diabetes type 1/2, essential hypertension, metabolic syndrome	
10	1 red point for not fasting (8 hours before blood extraction)	
11	1 red point for use of omega-3 and antioxidant supplements	
12	1 red point when groups were significantly difference or there was no statistically controlled for BMI	
13	1 red point for physical activity or sedentary life	
14	1 red point for smoking	
15	1 red point for use of oral contraceptives or NSAIDs	
16	0.5 red points for ethnicity in countries such as US, Brazil (not China or Japan)	
17	0.5 red points for seasonality	
18	0.5 red points for diurnal variation (8-10 a.m. versus all other time points)	
	Total red point score (26 points)	

<u>Note</u>: Threshold of study samples is stablished as it is the minimum needed for statistical power. Confounders red points should be given when the item is not reported (or statistically controlled).

^a Andrés-Rodríguez L, Borràs X, Feliu-Soler A, Pérez-Aranda A, Angarita-Osorio N, Moreno-Peral P et al. Peripheral immune aberrations in fibromyalgia: A systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression. Brain, Behavior, and Immunity 2020; **87**: 881-889.

^b Vasupanrajit A, Jirakran K, Tunvirachaisakul C, Maes M. Suicide attempts are associated with activated immune-inflammatory, nitro-oxidative, and neurotoxic pathways: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Affective Disorders 2021; **295**: 80-92.

Authors (Year)	Sub groups	Cases	N Controls	Psychiatric disorders in cases	Suicide assessment	Exclusion of comorbid illnesses	Specific time of blood sample	Medium	IO&NS markers	Key findings	Quality score (max=10)	Redpoint score (max=26)
Aguglia et al. (2019)	SA	432	200	Mixed	After SA	No	Yes	Serum	- CRP - HDL	- CRP levels in SA+ were significantly higher than in SA-, whereas no significant difference in HDL.	6.9	18.5
Ai et al. (2019)	SI	84	66	MDD	Self-report	No	No	Plasma	- BDNF	 No significant difference in BDNF between SI+ and SI 	4.7	18.0
Ainiyet & Rybakowski (2014)	SA, SI	65	159	Affective	Interview	Partly	Yes	Serum	- HDL	 No significant difference in HDL was observed. 	3.8	19.5
Al-Amarei et al. (2019)	SA	22	34	MDD	After SA	Partly	Partly	Plasma	- CRP	 CRP levels in SA+ were significantly higher than in SA- or HC. 	4.0	15.0
Almeida-Montes et al. (2000)	SA	18	15	MDD	After SA	Yes	Yes	Serum	- HDL	- No significant difference in HDL between SA+ and SA	6.3	11.5
Atik et al. (2020)	SA	59	42	Mixed	After SA	No	No	Serum	- Vitamin D	 Vitamin D levels in SA+ were significantly lower than in HC. 	4.1	20.5
Baek et al. (2014)	SA	22	464	MDD	Interview	No	Yes	Serum	- Albumin - HDL	 HDL levels in SA+ were significantly higher than in SA Albumin levels were not significantly different. 	5.7	19.0
Bradley et al. (2015)	SI	19	26	MDD	Self-report	Partly	Yes	Plasma	- KYN - TRP - KYN/TRP	 TRP levels in SA+ were significantly lower than in SA- or HC, whereas KYN levels were not substantially different. KYN/TRP ratio in SA+ were significantly higher than in SA- or HC. 	7.2	12.0
Brundin et al. (2016)	SA	18	29	MDD, Affective	After SA	No	Yes	Plasma	- PA - QA	 PA levels in SA+ with mixed group of psychiatric disorders were significantly lower than in HC, whereas QA levels were not substantially different. 	6.3	23.8
Chang et al. (2017)	SI	58	61	MDD	Interview	Partly	Yes	Blood	- ESR	- ESR and hs-CRP levels in SI+ were significantly higher than in	7.0	10.8
								Serum	- hs-CRP	51		
Choi et al. (2021)	SI	23	59	MDD, Other	Self-report	Partly	Yes	Serum	- hs-CRP - IFN-γ - IL-10 - IL-6 - TNF-α	 Higher TNF-α levels were a significant indicator of SI in MDD patients. No significant findings in SI+ patients with panic disorders. 	5.0	22.5
De Beradis et al. (2014)	SI	79	40	Other	Self-report	Partly	Yes	Serum	- HDL	 No significant findings in SI+ patients with OCD. However, alexithymia patients with OCD showed significant lower HDL levels than HC. 	6.0	14.5
Devici et al. (2007)	SA	10	25	Other	After SA	No	Yes	Serum	- BDNF	- Lower BDNF levels were observed in SA+ and MDD patients than in HC.	5.0	22.5

ESF 1 Table 4. Characteristics of 59 studies included in quantitative synthesis (meta-analysis).

Dolsen et al. (2020)	SI	244	1733	Mixed	Self-report	No	Yes	Plasma	- hs-CRP - IL-6 - TNF-α	- Higher IL-6 levels were associated with SI+ in the past week.	6.8	21.0
Eidan et al. (2019)	SA	22	34	MDD	After SA	Partly	Yes	Plasma	- IL-6 - IFN-γ - HDL	 Higher IL-6 and IFN-γ levels were found in SA+ than in HC. No significant difference in HDL was observed. 	7.5	14.5
Eisen et al. (2016)	SA	84	99	Mixed	After SA	No	Yes	Serum	- BDNF	 No significant association between BDNF and SA. 	6.6	19.0
Ekinci & Ekinci (2017)	SA	37	76	MDD	After SA	Partly	Yes	Serum	- HDL - hs-CRP	- NLR and hs-CRP levels in SA+ were significantly higher than in SA- or HC after adjusting the	8.8	9.0
								Blood	- NLR	confounding factors.		
Fang et al. (2019)	SI	26	148	Other	Interview	Partly	Yes	Serum	- HDL	 No significant association between HDL and SI+ in schizophrenia patients. 	9.4	9.0
Gabbay et al. (2009)	SA	12	17	MDD	After SA	Partly	Yes	Plasma	- IFN-γ - TNF-α - IL-1β - IL-6 - IFN-γ/IL-4	 After adjusting confounding variables, IFN-γ levels in SA+ were significantly higher than in HC and TNF-α levels in SA+ were significantly lower than in SA 	4.8	17.0
Ganança et al. (2021)	SI	22	31	MDD	Interview	Partly	No	Serum	- IL-1β - IL-6 - TNF-α	 Inflammatory and lipid markers revealed no significant differences in SI+ when compared with SI- or HC. DHA% and IL-1β showed lower in patient with history of SA+ (within 	5.0	15.0
								Plasma	- AA - EPA - DHA	5 years) when compared with SI+.		
Gibbs et al. (2016)	SA, SI	129	110	Mixed	After SA, Interview	No	No	Serum	- HDL - hs-CRP	 hs-CRP levels showed significantly higher in patient with SA+ when compared with SI+. hs-CRP levels in SA+ were significantly higher than in SA- or HC. 	5.9	18.0
Graça Cantarelli et al. (2015)	SA	50	36	Affective	After SA	No	Yes	Serum	- BDNF - HDL	- No significant differences of BDNF and HDL between SA+ and SA	5.9	19.3
Grassi-Oliveira et al. (2012)	SI	18	14	MDD	Interview, Self-report	Yes	No	Plasma	- CCL2 - RANTES - CCL11	- Lower CCL2 and RANTES levels were detected in SI+, compared with SA- and HC.	4.8	12.0
Grudet et al. (2014)	SA	59	16	Mixed	After SA	No	Yes	Serum	- Vitamin D	- Vitamin D levels in SA+ were significantly lower than in SA- or HC.	4.1	21
Gundogdu Meydaneri et al. (2018)	SA	27	26	MDD	After SA	Partly	No	Blood	- WBC - NPs - Lymphs - Monocytes - NLR	 No significant differences of those biomarkers between groups. 	4.5	17.5

Janelidze et al. (2015)	SA	46	13	Mixed	After SA	No	Yes	Plasma	- IL-8	 No significant differences of IL-8 between SA+ and HC. 	6.3	17.3
Khan et al. (2019)	SI	28	58	MDD	Interview	Yes	Yes	Serum	- BDNF	 BDNF levels in SI+ were significantly lower than in SI 	9.4	9.0
Kim et al (2006) [a]	SA	39	57	MDD	After SA	Partly	Yes	Plasma	- NOx	 NOx levels in SA+ were significantly higher than in SA- or HC. 	8.4	16.0
Kim et al. (2007) [b]	SA	32	31	MDD	After SA	Partly	No	Plasma	- BDNF	- BDNF levels in SA+ were significantly lower than in SA- or HC.	4.7	17.5
Kim et al. (2014) [c]	SI	93	639	Not Applicable	Interview	No	Yes	Serum	- HDL	 No significant differences of HDL between groups. 	5.3	21.5
Kurosawa et al. (2018)	SA	33	146	Mixed	After SA	No	No	Plasma	- AA - EPA - DHA	 EPA levels were negatively associated with SA+, whilst DHA levels were positively associated. 	4.4	19.5
Lee at al. (2006) [a]	SA	53	67	Mixed	After SA	Partly	Yes	Plasma	- NOx	 NOx levels in SA+ were significantly higher than in SA- or HC. 	8.1	15.5
Lee et al. (2007) [b]	SA	28	72	MDD	After SA	Partly	Yes	Plasma	- BDNF	 BDNF levels in SA+ were significantly lower than in SA 	9.7	10.5
Liu et al. (2020)	SI	24	61	MDD	Self-report	Yes	No	Serum	 IL-1β IL-6 IFN-γ TNF-α Chemokines (i.e., CCL8, IL- 8, etc.) 	 CCL8 levels in SI+ were significantly higher than in SI- or HC. No further significant finding was observed between SI+ and SI- or HC. 	7.0	9.8
Loas et al. (2016)	SA	41	81	Mixed	After SA	No	Yes	Serum	- hs-CRP	 hs-CRP levels in SA+ were significantly higher than in SA 	3.0	19.0
Ma et al. (2020)	SA	235	1372	MDD	Interview	Partly	Yes	Plasma	- HDL	 HDL levels in SA+ were significantly lower than in SA 	5.9	17.5
Maes et al. (1989)	SI	17	17	MDD	Interview	Partly	Yes	Blood	- TRP - TRP/CAA	- No significant differences between SI+ and SI- in TRP or TRP/CAA.	4.7	13.5
Mensi et al. (2016)	SA	15	71	Other	After SA	Partly	Yes	Serum	- HDL	 HDL had no significant association with SA in schizophrenia patients. 	4.1	22.5
Mohamed et al. (2020)	SI	24	74	MDD	Self-report	Partly	No	Serum	- hs-CRP	 hs-CRP levels in SI+ were significantly higher than in SI 	4.1	18.5
Nässberger & Träskman- Bendz(1993)	SA	30	25	Mixed	After SA	Partly	No	Plasma	- sIL-2R	- sIL-2R levels in SA+ were significantly higher than in HC.	4.5	21.5
O'Donovan et al. (2013)	SI	29	48	MDD	Interview	Partly	Yes	Plasma	- hs-CRP - IL-6 - IL-10 - TNF-α	 IL-6 and hs-CRP levels in SI+ were significantly higher than in HC. 	6.0	21.0
Oh et al. (2020)	SA	405	3584	Affective	Interview	No	No	Blood	 hs-CRP ESR WBC Vitamin D 	 hs-CRP and ESR levels in SA+ were significantly higher than in SA 	1.6	25
Oshnokhah et al. (2020)	SA	50	40	Excluded psychiatric disorders group	After SA	Yes	Yes	Serum	- MDA - NOx - TAC	 MDA and TAC levels were substantially lower in SA+ than in HC, whereas NOx levels were significantly higher. 	6.9	14.0
Özer et al. (2004)	SI	10	17	Other	Interview	Partly	Yes	Serum	- HDL	- No significant association between HDL and SI+ in panic patients.	5.9	14.0
Park et al. (2014)	SI	18	56	MDD	Interview, Self-report	No	Yes	Serum	- HDL	 No significant differences of HDL between groups. 	5.0	18.5

Pasyk et al. (2020)	SA	117	176	Mixed	After SA	No	Yes	Serum	- BDNF	 BDNF levels were shown to be significantly associated with self- reported impulsivity scores, but not with SA. 	6.6	19.0
Peng et al. (2018)	SA	69	202	MDD	After SA	Partly	Yes	Serum	- hs-CRP - HDL - Albumin	 No significant differences among those biomarkers between SA+ and SA 	8.8	14.0
Pinheiro et al. (2012)	SI	14	176	Affective	Interview	No	Yes	Serum	- BDNF	 BDNF levels were significant lower in SI+ than in SI 	7.2	21.5
Priya et al. (2016)	SA	42	42	Not Applicable	After SA	No	No	Serum	- BDNF - hs-CRP - IL-6	 BDNF levels were substantially lower in SA+ than in HC, whereas hs-CRP and IL-6 levels were significantly higher. After adjusting for confounder factors, linear regression indicated hs-CRP as a predictor of suicide risk. 	5.6	18.0
Rasheed et al. (2019)	SA	22	34	MDD	After SA	Partly	Yes	Plasma	- HDL - IL-1β - TNF-α	 TNF- levels were significantly higher in SA+ than in SA- or HC, while there were no significant differences in IL-6 or HDL levels between the groups. 	6.0	12.0
Roy & Roy (2006)	SI	61	397	Affective	Self-report	No	No	Serum	- HDL	 No significant association between HDL and SI+ in depressive patients with Type I Diabetes. 	2.8	24.5
Ruljancic et al. (2013)	SA	79	89	MDD	After SA	No	No	Serum	- Albumin	 Albumin levels were significantly lower in SA+ than in SA- or HC. 	5.3	18.4
Segoviano- Mendoza et al. (2018)	SA	59	204	MDD	After SA	Partly	Yes	Serum	- HDL	 No significant differences of HDL between groups. 	7.5	16.5
Si et al. (2020)	SI	241	441	Not Applicable	Self-report	No	Yes	Serum	- HDL	 No significant differences of HDL between SI+ and SI Females with SI had higher HDL concentrations than males with SI, but females and males without SI revealed no significant differences. 	4.0	20.5
Sowa-Kucma et al. (2018)	SI	93	95	Affective	Interview	Partly	Yes	Serum	 zCMI+TBARS sIL-1RA sIL-2R sIL-6R IL-1α TBARS sTNFR60 sTNFR80 	 Increased TBARS was associated with SI. In SI, there were no significant differences in other biomarkers across groups. 	9.3	9.0
Su et al. (2019)	SI	143	219	Affective	Self-report	No	No	Serum	- HDL	- HDL levels were significant higher in SI+ than in SI	5.3	20.5
Velasco et al. (2020)	SA	126	136	MDD	Interview	Yes	Yes	Blood	 Leukocytes Lymphs Monocytes NPs NLR MLR 	 Lymphs and NLR were significant differences between SA+ and SA-, whilst there were no significant differences in other biomarkers across groups. 	5.5	16.0
Verma et al. (1999)	SA	40	40	Mixed	After SA	No	Yes	Serum	- HDL	- HDL levels revealed no significant differences between SA+ and SA	5.0	12.5
Yagci & Avci (2021)	SA	40	41	Mixed	After SA	Partly	Yes	Blood	- CRP - Leukocytes - NLR	- CRP, leukocytes, and NLR concentrations were significant higher in SA+ than in HC.	3.8	12.5

Abbreviations:

AA: Arachidonic acid (omega-6 fatty acid) BDNF: Brain-derived neurotrophic factor CCL: The C–C motif chemokine ligand CRP: C-reactive protein DHA: Docosahexaenoic acid (omega-3 fatty acid) EPA: Eicosapentaenoic acid (omega-3 fatty acid) HDL-c: High-density lipoprotein cholesterol hsCRP: The high-sensitivity C-reactive protein IL: Interleukin IFN: Interferon KYN: Kynurenine Lymphs: Lymphocytes MDA: Malondialdehyde MLR: Monocyte to lymphocyte ratio NLR: Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio NOx: Nitric oxide metabolites NPs: Neutrophils PA: Picolinic acid QA: Quinolinic acid RANTES: Regulated on Activation, Normal T Cell Expressed and Secreted SA: Suicide attempts SI: Suicide ideation sIL2R: Soluble Interleukin 2 Receptor sIL6R: Soluble Interleukin 6 Receptor sTNF- α R1: Soluble tumor necrosis factor alpha receptor 1 TAC: Total antioxidant capacity TBARS: Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances TNF: Tumor necrosis factor TNFR: Tumor necrosis factor receptors TRYCATs: The tryptophan catabolites TRP: Tryptophans

ESF 1 Table 5. Excluded studies.

Authors, year	Reason why excluded	Key findings
Dickerson et al., 2017 ^a	Graph format.	There was a significantly higher level of CRP in the patients with history of suicide attempts more than 1 month (coefficient=0.87, 95% CI 0.25, 1.50, p=0.006) compared with the control group but not in the other two psychiatric groups ($p > 0.05$), including patients with history of suicide attempts in 1 month and without history of suicide attempts, when adjusting for age, gender, race, smoking status, and BMI
Jha et al., 2020 ^b	Combined case group with suicide attempts and suicidal ideation.	After adjustment, only IL-4 revealed significantly differences between healthy control $(n=39)$, those at risk of MDD group $(n=33)$, and those at risk of MDD with recent suicide attempts or suicidal ideation group $(n=37)$, whereas the other cytokines and chemokines did not. Plasma IL-4 levels of recent suicide group had lower than healthy controls and at risk of MDD group.
Lauterbach et al., 2006 ^c	Correlation coefficient.	There is a modest correlation between suicidal ideation and low plasma trytophan/amino acid ratio ($r=0.39$, $p=0.042$).
Melhem et al., 2017 ^d	Logarithmic trasformation. The authors did dot provide mean (SD) values upon request.	Patients with suicide attempts showed significantly higher CRP [95% CI (0.15, 1.84), $p = 0.02$] compared to patients with suicidal ideation and healthy controls.
Roggenbach et al., 2007 ^e	Combined case group with suicide attempts and suicidal ideation.	There is no significant different between depression patients with suicide group and healthy control in plasma tryptophan.

- a. Dickerson F, Adamos M, Katsafanas E, Khushalani S, Origoni A, Savage C et al. The association between immune markers and recent suicide attempts in patients with serious mental illness: A pilot study. Psychiatry Res 2017; **255**: 8-12.
- b. Jha MK, Cai L, Minhajuddin A, Fatt CC, Furman JL, Gadad BS et al. Dysfunctional adaptive immune response in adolescents and young adults with suicide behavior. Psychoneuroendocrinology 2020; **111**: 104487.
- c. Lauterbach E, Brunner J, Hawellek B, Lewitzka U, Ising M, Bondy B et al. Platelet 5-HT2A receptor binding and tryptophan availability in depression are not associated with recent history of suicide attempts but with personality traits characteristic for suicidal behavior. Journal of Affective Disorders **2006**; 91(1): 57-62.
- d. Melhem NM, Munroe S, Marsland A, Gray K, Brent D, Porta G et al. Blunted HPA axis activity prior to suicide attempt and increased inflammation in attempters. Psychoneuroendocrinology 2017; **77**: 284-294.
- e. Roggenbach J, Müller-Oerlinghausen B, Franke L, Uebelhack R, Blank S, Ahrens B. Peripheral serotonergic markers in acutely suicidal patients. 1. Comparison of serotonergic platelet measures between suicidal individuals, nonsuicidal patients with major depression and healthy subjects. Journal of Neural Transmission 2007; **114**(4): 479-487.

Electronic Supplementary Information File (ESF) 2

Activated immune, oxidative and nitrosative stress pathways are strongly associated with suicidal attempts and less with suicidal ideation: a meta-analysis and meta-regression.

Running title: immune activation in suicidal behaviors

Asara Vasupanrajit, M.Sc.^a; Ketsupar Jirakran, M.Sc.^{a,b}; Chavit Tunvirachaisakul, M.D., Ph.D.^{a, c}; Michael Maes, M.D., Ph.D.^{a, c, d, e}

^a Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand.

^b Maximizing Thai Children's Developmental Potential Research Unit, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand.

^c Cognitive Impairment and Dementia Research Unit, Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand.

^d IMPACT Strategies Research Center, Deakin University, Geelong, Australia.

^e Department of Psychiatry, Medical University of Plovdiv, Plovdiv, Bulgaria.

Comparison	n	subgroups	SMD	95% CI	Z	р	Q	df	р	I ²	τ^2	Т
	studies									(%)		
Subgroups by psychiatric g	roups								•			
SB versus Controls	29	MDD	0.370	0.195; 0.546	4.135	< 0.001	141.577	28	< 0.001	80.223	0.168	0.410
(χ^2 =8.563; df=3; p=0.036)	15	MIX	0.372	0.201; 0.543	4.267	< 0.001	57.569	14	< 0.001	75.681	0.075	0.274
	9	Affective	0.049	-0.156; 0.254	0.470	0.639	30.696	8	< 0.001	73.938	0.063	0.251
	6	OTHER	0.140	-0.067; 0.346	1.326	0.185	3.577	5	0.612	0.000	0.000	0.000
Subgroups by participants	groups								•			
SB versus Controls	33	In-patient	0.442	0.264; 0.620	4.862	< 0.001	203.090	32	< 0.001	84.243	0.215	0.464
$(\chi^2 = 8.147; df=1; p=0.004)$	18	Out-patient	0.128	0.007; 0.249	2.080	0.038	37.804	17	0.003	55.031	0.027	0.165
SA versus Controls	26	In-patient	0.505	0.312; 0.698	5.126	< 0.001	137.711	25	< 0.001	81.846	0.193	0.439
$(\chi^2 = 5.770; df=1; p=0.016)$	5	Out-patient	0.151	-0.064; 0.366	1.375	0.169	12.688	4	0.013	68.474	0.031	0.177
Subgroups by medium									•			
SB versus Controls	7	Blood	0.229	0.066; 0.391	2.759	0.006	10.229	6	0.115	41.341	0.018	0.132
(χ ² =4.677; df=2; p=0.096)	19	Plasma	0.509	0.275; 0.742	4.270	< 0.001	123.125	18	< 0.001	85.381	0.199	0.446
	39	Serum	0.232	0.117; 0.347	3.958	< 0.001	147.864	38	< 0.001	74.301	0.089	0.298
Subgroups by the assessment	nt of suici	de behaviors							•			
SB versus Controls	32	Suicidal act	0.456	0.291; 0.622	5.409	< 0.001	155.948	31	< 0.001	80.122	0.168	0.410
$(\chi^2 = 8.479; df=2; p=0.014)$	18	Interview	0.173	0.070; 0.275	3.304	0.001	28.494	17	0.039	40.338	0.016	0.126
	11	Self-report	0.184	-0.031; 0.400	1.676	0.094	58.554	10	< 0.001	82.922	0.096	0.310
SA versus Controls	32	Suicidal act	0.456	0.291; 0.622	5.409	< 0.001	155.948	31	< 0.001	80.122	0.168	0.410
(χ ² =7.102; df=1; p=0.008)	5	Interview	0.120	-0.064; 0.304	1.277	0.201	13.129	4	0.011	69.533	0.026	0.161

ESF 2 Table 1. Results of other subgroup analyses performed on IO&NS profile.

SI versus Controls	13	Interview	0.200	0.069; 0.331	3.003	0.003	15.362	12	0.222	21.886	0.012	0.110
$(\chi^2 = 0.015; df=1; p=0.902)$	11	Self-report	0.184	-0.031; 0.400	1.676	0.094	58.554	10	< 0.001	82.922	0.096	0.310

SMD: standardized mean difference, 95% CI: 95% confidence intervals

IO&NS: immune-inflammatory and oxidative and nitrosative stress

SB: suicide behaviors

SA: suicide attempts

SI: suicidal ideation

We used the prespecified subgroups as the units of analysis. Thus, patients with suicide behaviors were first compared with controls. Second, we compared a) SA+ *versus* controls); and b) SI+ *versus* controls.

Outcome	Fail safe n	Z Kendall's τ	p-value	Egger's t test	p-value	Missing studies	Adjusted SMD
feature sets			(1-tailed)	(df)	(1-tailed)	(side)	(95%CI)
IO&NS	1829	1.44	0.075	2.50 (57)	0.008	6 (R)	0.371 (0.263; 0.479)
IRS	1304	0.73	0.233	2.67 (31)	0.006	5 (R)	0.551 (0.403; 0.710)
Inflammation	828	1.06	0.145	2.07 (24)	0.025	3 (R)	0.556 (0.376; 0.737)
CRP	529	2.57	0.005	3.24 (13)	0.003	2 (R)	0.692 (0.428; 0.956)
Neurotoxicity	776	0.13	0.448	1.93 (27)	0.032	4 (R)	0.490 (0.335; 0.645)
ANTIOXPRO	319	0.72	0.235	1.03 (38)	0.154	4 (R)	0.249 (0.124; 0.374)
BDNF	110	0.89	0.186	1.99 (8)	0.041	0	-

ESF 2 Table 2. Results on publication bias.

SMD: standardized mean difference, 95% CI: 95% confidence intervals

IO&NS: immune-inflammatory and oxidative and nitrosative stress

IRS: immune-inflammatory response system ANTIOXPRO: protection via antioxidants and neurotrophic products.

CRP: C-reactive protein

BDNF: Brain-derived neurotrophic factor

Group by	Study name		Subgroup within study	Outcome	Statistics for	r each study	Std diff in means and 95% CI
Subgroup within study					Std diff in means	p-Value	
SA	Nassberger	1993	SA	sIL-2R	1,632	0,000	
SA	Almoido Montos	1999	SA	HDL	0,073	0,743	
SA SA	Kim (a)	2000	SA SA	Combined	-0,121	0,729	
SA		2000	SA	Combined	0,000	0,003	
SA	Devici	2007	SA	Combined	0,516	0,179	
SA	Kim (b)	2007	SA	Combined	0.856	0.001	
SA	Lee (b)	2007	SA	Combined	1.006	0.000	
SA	Gabbay	2009	SA	Combined	0.024	0,951	
SA	Ruliancic	2013	SA	Combined	1,142	0.000	▏
ŚA	Baék	2014	ŠA	Combined	-0,330	0,132	│ │───┼ │ │
SA	Ainiyet	2014	SA	Combined	-0,001	0,997	
SA	Grudet	2014	SA	Combined	0,770	0,009	
SA	Graça Cantarelli	2015	SA	Combined	0,058	0,792	→ ₽─
SA	Janelidze	2015	SA	CXCL8	0,101	0,747	/ / ─-∲━── / /
SA	Brundin	2016	SA	Combined	-0,145	0,638	
SA	Mensi	2016	SA	HDL	-0,021	0,940	
SA	Lisen	2016	SA	Combined	0,016	0,917	
SA	Loas	2016	SA	ns-CRP	0,513	0,008	
SA	GIDDS	2016	SA	Combined	0,539	0,036	
SA SA	Fliya	2010	SA SA	Combined	0,097	0,000	
SA SA	Sogoviano-Mondoza	2017	SA SA	Combined	0,200	0,100	
SA SA	Dong	2010	5A 6A	Combined	0,071	0,032	
SA SA	Kurosawa	2010	5A 6A	Combined	0,000	0,000	
SA	Gundoadu Meydaneri	2018	SA	Combined	0,140	0,443	
SA	Aqualia	2010	SA	Combined	0,200	0.084	
SA	Rasheed	2019	SA	Combined	0,240	0,395	
SA	Eidan	2019	SA	Combined	1 732	0,000	
SA	Al-Amarei	2019	SA	Combined	2 195	0,000	
SA	Oshnokhah	2020	SA	Combined	-0,190	0.382	
SA	Velasco	2020	SA	Combined	0.068	0.585	▏
SA	Pasvk	2020	SA	BDNF	0,109	0.363	/ / -∔∎ / /
SA	Oh	2020	SA	Combined	0,147	0,021	/ / /₌ / /
ŠA	Ma	2020	ŠA	HDL	0,357	0,000	+
SA	Atik	2020	SA	VitD	0,779	0,000	/ / / →•∔ /
SA	Yagci	2021	SA	Combined	0,799	0,001	/ / / →++- /
SA					0,387	0,000	1 • •
SI	Maes	1989	SI	Combined	-0,266	0,440	
SI	Ozer	2004	SI	Combined	-0,422	0,299	▏╶┽╼╍╁── │ │
SI	Roy	2006	SI	HDL	-0,027	0,842	▏
SI	Grassi-Oliveira	2012	SI	Combined	-0,813	0,036	
51	Pinneiro	2012	51	BUNF	0,637	0,023	
21	O Donovan	2013	21	Combined	0,471	0,048	
51	Falk Kim (c)	2014	51 91		-0,020	0,925	
	Do Borordio	2014	01		0,090	0,300	
5	Ainivet	2014	5	Combined	0,214	0,275	
SI	Bradley	2015	SI	Combined	0,481	0,135	
Si	Gibbs	2016	Si	Combined	0,200	0,230	
Si	Chang	2017	SI	Combined	0.539	0.004	
ŝi	Sowa-Kucma	2018	ŝi	Combined	0.205	0,196	/ / ∔∎⊸ / /
SI	Su	2019	SI	HDL	-0.458	0.000	-=-
SI	Fang	2019	SI	HDL	0.097	0.649	/ / _∔∎_ / /
SI	Khaň	2019	SI	Combined	0,404	0,089	▏
SI	Ai	2019	SI	Combined	0,827	0,000	▏
SI	Dolsen	2020	SI	Combined	0,030	0,661	🕈
SI	Si	2020	SI	HDL	0,073	0,362	🖶
SI	Liu	2020	SI	Combined	0,151	0,567	▏
SI	Mohamed	2020	SI	hs-CRP	0,983	0,000	
SI	Gananca	2021	SI	Combined	-0,162	0,569	
51	Choi	2021	51	Combined	0,176	0,485	
51					0,167	0,017	
						-2,	

IO&NS profile in SA/SI

Vasupanrajit et al.

ESF 2 Figure 1. Forest plot with results of subgroup analysis performed on 61 suicide attempts (SA) or suicidal ideation (SI) studies reporting immune-inflammatory and oxidative & nitrosative stress (IO&NS) biomarkers.

Group by	Study name	Year	Subgroup within study	Outcome	Statistics for	each study	Std diff in means and 95% CI			
Score					Std diff in means	p-Value				
High	Kim (a)	2006	SA	Combined	0,600	0,005	1	1-	•	- 1
High	Lee (a)	2006	SA	Combined	0,635	0,001			-	
High	Lee (b)	2007	SA	Combined	1,006	0,000			+	
High	Bradley	2015	SI	Combined	0,481	0,135		-+-	• + · ·	
High	Chang	2017	SI	Combined	0,539	0,004		-	-	
High	Ekinci	2017	SA	Combined	0,286	0,165		+-	-	
High	Peng	2018	SA	Combined	0,060	0,665		- - - -		
High	Segoviano-Mendoza	2018	SA	Combined	-0,071	0,632		-		
High	Sowa-Kucma	2018	SI	Combined	0.205	0,196		+-		
High	Eidan	2019	SA	Combined	1,732	0,000			-	→
High	Fang	2019	SI	HDL	0,097	0,649				
High	Khan	2019	SI	Combined	0,404	0,089		- H-		
High	Liu	2020	SI	Combined	0,151	0,567		-+	-	
High					0,407	0,000		-		
Low	Roy	2006	SI	HDL	-0,027	0,842		-		
Low	Ainiyet	2014	Combined	Combined	0.142	0,383		-+		
Low	Loas	2016	SA	hs-CRP	0,513	0,008		-	-	
Low	Oh	2020	SA	Combined	0.147	0,021		-		
Low	Si	2020	SI	HDL	0,073	0,362		+		
Low					0,129	0,027		•		

IO&NS in low versus high quality studies

ESF 2 Figure 2. Forest plot with results of meta-analysis performed on 13 high- and 5 low-quality studies reporting immune-inflammatory and oxidative & nitrosative stress (IO&NS) biomarkers when comparing suicide behaviors to controls.