Gender Based Comparison and Effects of Multi-Year Physical Exercise Program on Motor Skills in Preschool Children
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Abstract: The aim of this study was to determine gender differences in the level of motor skills and effects of a multi-year exercise program on the level of motor skills in 161 preschool children (5-6 yo). Participants were deployed into one control and three experimental groups. Motor skills were assessed with the Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency (BOT-2). To determine difference in scores for each BOT-2 tests between control and experimental groups, one-way ANOVA was used for girls and boys separately while two-way ANOVA was employed to determine difference between the gender in the overall BOT-2 score. The results indicate that one-year multilateral exercise program has a positive effect on the level of motor skills in preschool children. Interestingly, additional years of participation in exercise program yielded in maintenance of acquired motor skills level. Also, exercise program affected more girls than preschool boys considering both individual and composite BOT-2 scores. According to the findings of this study, presented exercise program could have potential benefits on multilateral development of the motor skills in preschool children which could facilitate balance of locomotor and manipulative skills. Therefore, integration of multilateral program intended for preschool children could be considered for implementation within the kindergarten curriculum.
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1. Introduction
Early childhood presents sensible period for cognitive, emotional, and motor development [1]. Consistent physical exercise and play in childhood are considered as key components for establishing optimal growth, healthy habits, cognitive and motor skills [2]. What is more, evidence show that development of fundamental movement skills (FMS) in preschool children represents key factor for optimal development of motor coordination,
motor control and balance [3]. FMS are defined as a specific set of movement skills that involve different body parts such as feet, legs, trunk, head, arms and hands which are essential for movement stability, locomotion and manipulation [4]. According to several studies, FMS such as running, jumping, kicking, throwing and catching serve as a ‘fundamental pillar’ for success in cognitive [5], physical and sport skills [6]. For aforementioned reasons, development of FMS through early childhood should be emphasized in the formal and informal education.

Period between two and seven years of age is considered as the period of the significant development of the FMS. This period is divided into three developmental stages: the initial, basic, and mature [7, 8]. According to this categorization, children from the age of 6 belong to the mature phase, which is characterized by the integration of all previously learned motor components into a coordinated, accurate, and efficient movement operation. Children's movements and experiences in preschool age provide a key platform for the development of new motor skills, namely: locomotor skills (jumping and running), object-control skills (throwing and catching), and stabilizing skills (static and dynamic balance) [9]. Evidence suggest that a proper motor skills development in preschool children could contribute to the maintenance of the optimal body weight [10]. Consequently, the level of the motor skills could possibly affect the child's health status. Number of authors [11-13] point out that FMS are considered to be the foundations for the performance of more complex movement skills. In addition, Stodden et al. [14] suggested that a higher level of motor skills enables a more successful participation in various physical activities. Key element of proper FMS development is targeted physical exercise program at sensible age of maturation [13]. Indeed, it has been shown that physical exercise can facilitate optimal development of the FMS [15,16].

Still, to the best of authors knowledge, none of the study investigated effect of multi-year physical exercise program on the children’s motor skills level. Therefore, this study aimed to determine effect of the multi-year physical exercise program on the children’s motor skills level. Also, this study will explore weather this program affects equally boys and girls considering the motor skills level.

2. Materials and Methods

This is cross-sectional study that included preschool children from a kindergarten in the city of Zagreb, Republic of Croatia. 161 children participated (85 girls and 76 boys; total sample: 5.8 ± 0.7 years). The total sample was divided into four groups: three experimental and one control group. The experimental groups participated differently in exercise program in terms of time duration: group one (G1) exercised for one year (n=38); group two (G2) exercised for three years (n=36); and group three (G3) exercised for four years (n=38). Participants from the control group (CG) participated in the standard preschool educational program (n=49). This educational program was organized in the
same kindergarten according to the State Pedagogical Standard of Preschool Education. All children from experimental groups were tracked and involved in the program. However, only final measurements were taken into consideration for this study. Because program ended at the same time for each group, this study examined difference between groups by the end of exercise programs. Consequently, all measurements were taken at same time point (see Figure 1.)

**Figure 1.** Study progress and inclusion of individual experimental groups in different time periods from 2015 to 2019. CG - control group, EG - experimental group, G1 - 120 hours of exercise, G2 - 350 hours of exercise, G3 - 470 hours of exercise.

In addition, only healthy children were included in the study and out of the total sample of 170 children, 9 subjects dropped out, thus the total number of participants was 161. This study was done according to the declaration of Helsinki and the Ethical Committee of the Institution verified that this investigation complied with all ethical standards for scientific investigations involving human participants (Class: 601-01/20-01/24; Number: 251-580-15-20-02).

Body height was measured with an anthropometer where the participants stood barefoot on a flat surface, in an upright position, with relaxed shoulders and joined heels. Body weight was measured with a medical scale where participants stood barefoot in an upright position. To estimate the weight status, the formula body weight / body height² (kg / m²) was used, and BMI calculated accordingly. Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency (BOT-2) [17] was used to assess children’s motor skills. BOT-2 is the test that is
suited for children from 4 up to 21 years of age and measures motor precision, motor integration, ambidexterity, manual coordination, balance, bilateral coordination, speed, agility and strength. The final test result is a standardized overall motor score which was calculated according to the literature [18].

The physical exercise program in the kindergarten has been implemented since 2015/2016. The experimental groups were actively involved in the physical exercise program and they were included in the program as follows: G3 starting from 2015/2016, G2 from 2016/2017, and G1 from 2018/2019. One pedagogical year was defined as the period of 10 months and included the physical exercise program of 40 weeks, containing 120 training units (hours). This means that when the measurement took place, the total number of hours in physical exercise program for G1 was 120, followed by the G2 with 350 hours, and the G3 with 470 hours. The exercise program was organized three times per week (three sessions) with duration of 60 minutes per each session while two physical education teachers were responsible for the realization, control and assigning of the workload for the children. Physical education teachers systematically planned, programmed and conducted physical exercises with the children. The physical exercises program was focused on a multilateral psychophysical development of the child. Also, within the physical exercises program, a schedule with the distribution of the workload through program contents was defined. Within one school year, the participants were introduced with basic gymnastics exercises, various jumps and runs, polygon obstacles, manipulation of various props and they have learned the basics of team sports such as: football, handball, basketball, and volleyball (Table 1). During the implementation of the physical exercises program, the primary task was to facilitate the development of the child’s positive life habits and the development of cognitive and motor skills. Children’s diet had been planned according to the recommended amounts of energy to be consumed in a day, in accordance with the daily workload. This was done in accordance with the provisions of the kindergarten teacher (Table 1).
Table 1. Microcycle: Example of the program content for three lessons per week

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Introductory</th>
<th>Preparatory</th>
<th>Main part of the lesson</th>
<th>Game</th>
<th>Final part of the lesson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Running with different tasks</td>
<td>General preparatory exercises with sticks</td>
<td>1. Dribbling in place Basketball (B), 2. Throwing the ball into the hoop</td>
<td>Game: Relay play by dribbling</td>
<td>Game: Fly, fly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running in a circle</td>
<td>General preparatory exercises with balls</td>
<td>Front tuck mount and dismount (vaulting box)</td>
<td>Relay game on the one leg</td>
<td>Game: Guess who I am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running in pairs</td>
<td>General preparatory exercises in pairs</td>
<td>1. Passing and catching the ball (B), 2. Obstacle polygon</td>
<td>Game: Dodgeball shooting with the ball</td>
<td>Game: Cone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: a; b; c: Example of the program content for first (a), second (b), and third (c) lesson per week.

First, descriptive analysis for basic anthropometric variables was done for girls and boys separately. Second, to determine difference in scores for each BOT-2 tests between control and experimental groups, one-way ANOVA was used for girls and boys separately. To investigate difference between the gender in the overall BOT score, two-way ANOVA was employed, using gender and years of experience as fixed factors. The level of the statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

3. Results

Body height, body weight, and BMI averaged (±SD) 117 ± 6.2 cm, 21.8 ± 4.1 kg, and 15.8 ± 2.1 kg/m², for total sample respectively. A two-way ANOVA did not reveal significant interaction between the gender and the years of exercise (p=0.48). However, analysis has shown a statistically significant difference in the overall BOT-2 test score among both girls and boys between most groups (see Table 2).
Table 2. Two-way ANOVA results for the overall BOT score within and between groups of different years of experience and gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>G1</th>
<th>G2</th>
<th>G3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>53.88</td>
<td>62.67&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>62.58&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>62.94&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>54.88&lt;sup&gt;4,5,6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>64.74&lt;sup&gt;7,8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>66.35&lt;sup&gt;9,10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>68.36&lt;sup&gt;11,12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CG: Control Group; G1: Group of participants that were included in the one-year exercise program; G2: Group of participants that were included in the three-year exercise program; G3: Group of participants that were included in the four-year exercise program; <sup>1</sup>difference between CG boys and G1 boys (p=0.002); <sup>2</sup>difference between CG boys and G2 boys (p=0.0008); <sup>3</sup>difference between CG boys and G3 boys (p=0.001); <sup>4,5,6</sup>difference between CG girls and G1 boys (p=0.01), G2 boys (p=0.97), G3 boys (p=0.99); <sup>7</sup>difference between CG boys and G1 girls (p=0.0003); <sup>8</sup>diff between CG girls and G1 girls (p=0.00005); <sup>9</sup>diff between CG boys and G2 girls (p=0.00003); <sup>10</sup>difference between G1 girls and G2 girls (p=0.99); <sup>11</sup>difference between CG boys and G3 girls (p=0.00003); <sup>12</sup>difference between CG girls and G2 girls (p=0.00004).

In girls, a one-way ANOVA has shown a statistically significant difference between CG and G1, CG and G2 and CG and G3 in the variables: Fine motor precision (CG vs G1, 9.6 vs 11.6, p=0.0004; CG vs G2, 9.6 vs 11.7, p=0.0004; CG vs G3, 9.6 vs 12.8, p=0.0001), Ambidexterity (CG vs G1, 3.0 vs 4.1, respectively, p=0.003; CG vs G2, 3.0 vs 4.35, respectively, p=0.001; CG vs G3, 3.0 vs 5.0, respectively, p<0.0001), Hand coordination (CG vs G1, 10.2 vs 12.7, respectively, p=0.0005; CG vs G2: 10.2 vs 12.3, respectively, p=0.01; CG vs G3, 10.2 vs 12.9, respectively, p=0.0003), Balance (CG vs G1, 6.7 vs 7.7, respectively, p=0.001; CG vs G2, 6.7 vs 7.8, respectively, p=0.0009; CG vs G3, 6.7 vs 7.6, respectively, p=0.003) and Strength (CG vs G1, 7.3 vs 9.9, respectively, p=0.0003; CG vs G2, 7.3 vs 10.6, respectively, p=0.0001; CG vs G3, 7.3 vs 11.1, respectively, p=0.0001).

In variable motor integration, the analysis has shown a significant difference only between CG and G1 (7.2 vs 8.6, respectively, p=0.03). Also, in variable bilateral coordination and speed and agility a significant difference was shown only between the CG and G2 (12.1 vs 13.3, respectively, p=0.04) and between CG and G3 (12.1 vs 13.5, respectively, p=0.003). Interestingly, only in variable ambidexterity, a statistically significant difference has been obtained between the experimental groups (G2 and G3, 4.3 vs 5.0, respectively, p=0.04). For detailed results see Figure 2.
Figure 2. Representation of variables and mean values within individual groups in girls. (CG: control group, 1: experimental group that practised for 120 hours, G2 - 350 hours of exercise, G3 - 470 hours of exercise). (T1 - Motor precision, T2 - Motor integration, T3 - Ambidexterity, T4 - Hand coordination, T5 - Balance, T6 - Bilateral coordination, T7 - Speed and agility, T8 - Strength); *represents a statistically significant difference between the groups (p<0.05).

Among boys, significant difference between CG and G1, CG and G2 and CG and G3 in three variables was observed (Fine motor precision: CG vs G1, 7.8 vs 9.8, p=0.009; CG vs G2, 7.8 vs 10.9, p=0.0002; CG vs G3, 7.8 vs 10.4, p=0.0003; Balance: CG vs G1, 6.1 vs 7.3, p=0.01; CG vs G2, 6.1 vs 7.6, p=0.0005; CG vs G3, 6.1 vs 7.4, respectively, p=0.003; and Strength: CG vs G1, 7.5 vs 10.5, p=0.0002; CG vs G2, 7.5 vs 9.9, p=0.001; CG vs G3, 7.5 vs 10.8, p=0.0002). However, no significant difference was evident in other variables between CG and other experimental groups (see Figure 3.).
4. Discussion

The results of this study indicate that one-year multilateral physical exercise program has a positive effect on the level of motor skill in preschool children. What is more, our results show that additional years of participation in exercise program yielded in maintenance of acquired FMS level. Also, evident sex difference was observed in effects of exercise program on FMS level. More specifically, findings of this study suggest that program affected more girls than preschool boys considering both individual and composite BOT-2 scores.

Regarding sexual dimorphism, differences were found between CG and experimental groups in all eight component of movement skill among girls, while among boys these difference were evident in only three tests (see Fig 2. and 3.). Effect of one-year additional exercise program on FMS was evident in both sexes (differences between CG and experimental groups in BOT-2 score were 56 and 64, for boys and girls respectively). However, additional three and four-year participation in exercise program did not significantly improved the FMS among children. It is important to note that aforementioned finding does not imply that children do not need to participate in additional program for more than one year. What is more, this kind of program should be emphasized through period of early childhood in order to maintain acquired level of FMS that was gained in the first year of the program. Authors of this study suggest that this could potentially reduce deterioration of motor development and development of suboptimal motor skills. However, more studies are needed in order to investigate aforementioned effects on motor development among the different pediatric populations. Our results suggest that children from the control group who participated in the standard
preschool educational program have considerably lower results in motor skill tests. Therefore, looking from the practical standpoint, this kind of programs should be enriched with multilateral physical exercises interventions in order to acquire optimal motor skill development. Overall, presented exercise program has resulted in positive benefits in preschool children compared to standard preschool educational program.

Although this program affected both sexes, one question still remains: 'Why additional years of exercise program did not result in more significant effects on FMS level in preschool children?'. The explanation for such findings in the current study may be due to reason that the participants did not exercise daily (i.e. every single day). Consequently, it would be necessary to increase the weekly frequency of physical activity which could potentially have effect on FMS level. This approach is in accordance with the recommendations of several scientific institutions [19, 20] which suggest that children should accumulate at least 180 minutes of physical education through preschool education activities each day while the guidelines point to 11,500 steps daily [21]. However, in this study we did not control for physical activity level and above-offered potential explanations should be taken with cautious. Another possible reason for these findings could be due to stabilization of learned movement skills after one year of practice where any additional year spent practicing would not yield in improvement of movement skill. However, authors point out that maintaining acquired level of FMS through all years of kindergarten education should be priority in population of preschool children. Still, more researches are needed in order to explore aforementioned effect on movement skills in preschool children.

The results of this study differ from the results of a more recent study [22] which included a sample of 31 participants (5.59 ± 0.77 yo). Results of Šalaj et al. [22] showed that there was no sex differences in gross motor quotient, and locomotor or manipulative skills among preschool girls. However, differences were found in gross motor quotient and locomotor skills when comparing selected with the non-selected gymnast girls. Also, our overall BOT-2 test results differ from the results in the study done by Kezić et al. [23] (n=70, 6.0 ± 0.5 years), where participants showed lower results in the following tests: hand coordination (1 to 12.00), bilateral coordination (2 to 7.00), and strength (0 to 8).

Furthermore, Guo et al. [24] found a significant correlation between the effectiveness of motor skill and physical activity (r = 0.14-0.17) where they stressed that early interventions of programmed physical activity are crucial for development of FMS. Although these studies examined children with the same chronological age, the results of our study differ from the results of the above-mentioned studies. This could be probably because aforementioned studies had a differently oriented concept of exercise program. Also, the results of above-mentioned researches show a lower level of locomotor and manipulative skills. Although the results of this study are different from aforementioned researches, this study gave new insights about impact of various exercise programs on motor development of the children.

This study has three advantages. First, this study included three experimental groups with different previous motor experience and different exercise volume, which can allow better conclusions about effects of exercise program on the measured motor skill parameters. Second, this study followed children for four years through a sensitive period
of development. Lastly, given the long duration of the physical exercise program, relatively large number of participants remained throughout the study, which contributes significantly to the quality of this study. However, this study has several limitations. In this study we did not control for other variables such as: children’s cognitive status, family’s socioeconomic indicators, and children and parents’ physical activity. This could potentially affect the results and conclusions drawn. Also, this research has the cross-sectional design, which limits causal conclusion. Lastly, the sample is not representative, which may influence generalizability of the results in the context of the general preschool children population.

According to the results of our study, authors support that preschool children take up regular exercises with the multilaterally directed exercise program as covered within this study. In order to increase the effectiveness of motor skills, further researches are needed regarding the validation of new methods and the construction of multilateral exercise programs intended for preschool children. Conclusively, presented exercise program could have potential benefits on multilateral development of the motor skills in preschool children which could facilitate balance of locomotor and manipulative skills. Therefore, integration of multilateral program intended for preschool children could be considered for implementation within the kindergarten curriculum.
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