

Article

Perioperative outcome in dogs undergoing emergency abdominal surgery: A retrospective study on 82 cases (2018-2020)

Aida Fages ^{1,2 *} DVM; Carme Soler ^{2,3} DVM, PhD; Nuria Fernández-Salesa ² DVM, Dip. ECVS; Giuseppe Conte ⁴ PhD; Massimiliano Degani ¹ DVM; Angela Briganti ¹ DVM, PhD

¹ Veterinary Teaching Hospital, University of Pisa "Mario Modenato", Pisa, Italy.

² Veterinary Teaching Hospital, Catholic University of Valencia "San Vicente Mártir", Valencia, Spain.

³ Small Animal Medicine and Surgery Department, Catholic University of Valencia "San Vicente Mártir", Valencia, Spain

⁴ Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy.

* Correspondence: aida.fages92@gmail.com; Tel.: +34 659654391

Abstract: Emergency abdominal surgery carries high morbidity and mortality rates in human medicine; however, there is less evidence characterizing the outcome of these surgeries as a single group in dogs. The aim of the study was to characterize the clinical course, associated complications and outcome of dogs undergoing emergency abdominal surgery. A retrospective study was conducted. Dogs undergoing emergency laparotomy were included in the study. Logistic regression analysis was performed to identify variables correlated with death and complications. Eighty-two dogs were included in the study. The most common reason for surgery was gastrointestinal foreign body. Overall 15-day mortality rate was 20.7% (17/82). Median (range) length of hospitalization was 3 (0.5 - 15) days. Of the 82 patients, 24 (29.3%) developed major complications and 66 (80.5%) minor complications. Perioperative factors significantly associated with death included tachycardia ($P < 0.001$), hypothermia ($P < 0.001$), lactate acidosis ($P < 0.001$), shock index > 1 ($P < 0.001$), leukopenia ($P < 0.001$), thrombocytopenia ($P < 0.001$) at admission; intraoperative hypotension ($P < 0.001$) and perioperative use of blood products ($P < 0.001$). The results of this study suggest that mortality and morbidity rates after emergency abdominal surgery in dogs are high.

Keywords: emergency; laparotomy; surgery; dogs

1. Introduction

Emergency abdominal surgery is a common procedure in both veterinary and human medicine. In human medicine, emergency surgery accounts for 11-15% of all total surgeries, however, it is responsible for almost 50% of all postoperative deaths and 30% of all surgical complications [1].

Emergency abdominal surgery carries an overall high risk of mortality, with rates of 11–23% commonly reported in human medicine [2-7]. Causes for these high mortality rates are multifactorial and different risk factors for death have been reported, including age [1-3,8-11], American Society of Anaesthesiology (ASA) classification [2,3,9,11-13], preoperative comorbidities [8,14], diagnosis [2,13], presence of sepsis, disseminated cancer [1,2] and development of complications [11,13].

In 2015, Havens and colleagues [4] demonstrated an independent association between emergency general surgery and negative outcome in people. They found that patients had significantly more comorbidities in the emergency setting, but, even when controlling these factors, patients undergoing emergency surgery were still 39% more likely to die compared with non-emergency surgery [4]. Procedural urgency is also a known risk factor for anaesthetic-related death in small animals [15].

Emergency surgery also has a higher proportion of postoperative complications (48%) compared with elective surgery (27%) [4]. The most frequent complications after emergency abdominal surgery in people are respiratory complications, with an approximately 20% of incidence rate [4,7]. Medical errors are as well reported to be of higher incidence under emergency procedures in human medicine, during which the possibility that a surgeon leave an instrument or sponge in the patient is almost nine times higher compared with elective procedures [16].

The term emergency abdominal surgery includes a wide variety of surgical procedures performed to treat potentially life-threatening conditions, for which the presentation of the patient, perioperative management and the severity of the process can vary significantly. These surgical procedures are performed at short time from presentation, without anticipated planning, and the period for stabilizing these patients is limited, due to the urgent need to perform the surgery as soon as possible. Moreover, these surgeries are usually performed outside of normal working hours, with reduced staff and higher personnel fatigue when compared with scheduled surgeries. All these factors are likely to contribute to the high risk of these patients and the poorer outcomes when compared with general non-emergency surgery [1,4,17].

Studies related to the mortality and morbidity of dogs undergoing emergency abdominal surgery as a single group are lacking in veterinary medicine, and factors affecting the perioperative outcome have not been identified.

The first aim of the study was to report signalment, diagnosis, clinical course, complications and outcome during the perioperative period of a cohort of dogs undergoing emergency abdominal surgery. The second aim was to identify factors related to death and complications in this population of dogs. We believe this information could be of help in designing future enhanced recovery programs in this potentially vulnerable patient group.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study design

This was a retrospective, observational study conducted in two institutions (the University of Pisa "Mario Modenato" Veterinary Teaching Hospital and the Catholic University of Valencia (UCV) "San Vicente Mártir" Veterinary Teaching Hospital).

2.2. Data collection

Medical records for all dogs undergoing emergency surgery from January 2018 to March 2020 were reviewed. Dogs that underwent emergency open abdominal surgery and have complete medical records were included in the study. Emergency surgery was defined as surgery not planned the day before. Caesarean surgeries were excluded from the study. Multiple surgeries involving different anaesthetic episodes in the same dog related to the first surgery were treated as a complication of the first surgery. Multiple surgeries involving the same dog in different period of time were treated as different cases.

Data collected from medical records included breed, sex, age, body weight, body condition score (BCS) (measured by a 5-point scale 1 to 5, 1: emaciated, 2: lean, 3: ideal, 4: overweight and 5: obese), presence of preoperative comorbidities, clinical signs at admission, physical examination findings, shock index (SI) value (heart rate [HR]/systolic

arterial pressure [SAP]), presence of septic peritonitis at admission and preoperative and postoperative clinical laboratory findings (haemato-biochemical and blood gas analysis). Preoperative comorbidities were retrospectively classified using a modification of the Charlson Comorbidity Index score used in Human Medicine [18] (Table 1). The criteria for diagnosis of septic peritonitis at admission were the evidence of peritoneal effusion (with abdominal ultrasound or at the time of surgery) and the identification of intracellular bacteria and/or positive bacterial culture results for a peritoneal fluid sample.

Table 1. Charlson Comorbidity Index modified classification.

Comorbidity Index (CI)	
0	No comorbidity present
1	Any malignancy, compensated diabetes, mild cardiomyopathy
2	Moderate or severe cardiomyopathy, liver disease, renal insufficiency, decompensated diabetes
3	Metastatic tumour

Other data recorded included indication for surgery, length of anaesthesia, length of surgery, requirement of blood product transfusions, use of vasopressors, use of synthetic colloids, complications, duration of hospitalization and 15-day mortality.

Complications were divided in intraoperative and postoperative. Intraoperative complications evaluated were hypotension (mean arterial blood pressure < 60 mm Hg as measured via a direct or indirect method), tachycardia (heart rate > 130 bpm), bradycardia (heart rate < 50 bpm) and hypothermia (temperature < 36 °C). Postoperative complications were divided into minor and major (Table 2).

Table 2. Postoperative complications classification.

MINOR COMPLICATIONS	MAJOR COMPLICATIONS
Anorexia (more than 24 hours without voluntary eating) Vomiting / regurgitation Diarrhoea Melena / haematochezia Tachycardia (HR > 140 bpm for small-breed dogs /HR > 100 bpm for large-breed dog) Bradycardia (HR < 60 bpm) Tachypnoea (RR > 40 rpm) Incisional complications (seroma, dehiscence, infection) without requiring surgical intervention Phlebitis Hypotension (MAP < 60 mm Hg / SAP < 90 mmHg as measured via a direct or indirect method) not requiring vasopressors therapy Hypertension (SAP > 180 mmHg) Fever Abdominal pain (assessed using the Glasgow Composite Pain Score) Anaemia (haematocrit < 30%) Leucocytosis (WBC > 19 x 10 ³ /μL) / leukopenia (WBC < 5 x 10 ³ /μL) Hypoalbuminemia (albumin < 2.5 g/dl) Hyperlactatemia (lactate > 2.5 mmol/L) Hypoglycaemia (glucose < 60 mg/dl) Major electrolyte imbalance (K ⁺ < 3.3 mmol/L or > 5.2 mmol/L; Ca ²⁺ < 1.1 mmol/L or > 1.6 mmol/L; Na ⁺ > 165 mmol/L or < 125 mmol/L; Cl ⁻ > 140 mmol/L or < 90 mmol/L)	Hypotension requiring vasopressors therapy Pulmonary complications: respiratory failure requiring oxygen supplementation / mechanical ventilation Neurological signs Intra-abdominal suture dehiscence (retrospectively deemed to have occurred if results of postoperative abdominocentesis were consistent with sepsis or dehiscence was confirmed during a subsequent surgery or at necropsy) Surgical reintervention Acute kidney injury (creatinine levels increase 0.3 mg/dL from the initial value or creatinine values higher than 1.6 mg/dL) SIRS/sepsis: ≥ 2 of the following criteria: Hypo- or hyperthermia (< 37.8 or > 39.4°C), Tachycardia (HR > 140 bpm/min), tachypnoea (RR > 20 bpm/min), leukopenia or leucocytosis (WBC [< 6.0 or > 16.0 x 10 ³ /μL]) or immature (band neutrophils > 3%)

HR= heart rate; bpm= beats per minute; RR= respiratory rate; bpm=breaths per minute; MAP= mean arterial pressure; SAP= systolic arterial pressure; WBC= white cell count; SIRS= systemic inflammatory response syndrome

2.3. Statistical Analyses

For the statistical analysis, some perioperative variables were retrospectively divided in categories on the basis of the age (young <2 years; adult 2-8 years and old >8 years), BCS (≤ 2 ; 3 and ≥ 4), weight (< 10; 10-25 and > 25kg), comorbidity index (CI) at admission (0; 1 and ≥ 2), SI at admission ($SI \leq 1$ and $SI > 1$), temperature at admission (< 37.5; 37.5-39.1 and > 39.1°C), pH value at admission (< 7.3; 7.3-7.45 and > 7.3), lactate value at admission (< 2.5; 2.5-4.5 and > 4.5 mmol/L), platelet count at admission (< 175; 175-500 and > 500 $\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$) haemoglobin value at admission (< 13; 13-21 and > 21 g/dl), white blood cell (WBC) count at admission (< 6; 6-16.9. and > 16.9 $\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$) and duration of surgery (< 70; 70-120; >120 minutes).

Descriptive statistics were calculated and expressed as percentages. Data were analysed for distribution with a D'Agostino & Pearson test. Parametrical normally distributed data were expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD); non parametrical and not normally distributed variables were expressed as median (range). A Student T-test or a Mann-Whitney test were used to compare data between survivors and non-survivors. Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$. A logistic regression model was used to evaluate univariable association of perioperative variables with mortality and postoperative complications. Model-fit was evaluated using the Hosmer Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test statistic and the area under the ROC curve (Hosmer and Lemeshow, 2000; Dohoo e Stryhn, 2009). Results are reported as Odd Ratio (OR). Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$. Prism Version 6.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., CA, USA) and JMP software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) were used to develop all statistical procedures.

3. Results

The initial medical record search identified 142 possible cases of emergency abdominal surgery in dogs. All but 92 were removed because of incomplete medical records. An additional 10 dogs that underwent caesarean sections were not included. Eighty-two dogs met the inclusion criteria during the study period. Of the 82 dogs enrolled 45 (55%) were females (35 sexually intact and 10 spayed) and 37 (45%) males (31 sexually intact and 6 castrated). The median age was 7.1 (0.4 – 15.6) years and the median body weight was 18.5 (1.7-50) kg. Thirty breeds were included in the study. The most common breeds were mixed-breed dog ($n = 23$ [28%]), Boxer (6 [7.3%]), German Shepherd (6 [7.3%]), Yorkshire Terrier (5 [6.1%]), Labrador Retriever (3 [3.6%]), Weimaraner (3 [3.6%]), Jack Russell Terrier (3 [3.6%]), Dachshund (3 [3.6%]), and Cocker (3 [3.6%]). On the basis of a body condition score scale 16/70 (21%) were considered thin or cachectic ($BCS \leq 2$) and 10/70 (14%) were considered overweight or obese ($BCS \geq 4$).

The most common clinical signs at presentation were lethargy (43/82 [52%]), vomiting (36/82 [44%]), anorexia (33/82 [40%]), diarrhoea (19/82 [23%]) and distended abdomen (15 [18%]). Tachycardia was documented in 48 dogs (58%) and no cases of bradycardia were documented. Most dogs, $n = 47$ [57.3%] were normothermic, 17 (20.7%) were hyperthermic and 13 (15.8%) were hypothermic. Hypotension ($SAP < 90$ mm Hg) and tachypnoea were present in 18 (22%) and 31 (37.8%) dogs respectively.

Physical examination and laboratory findings recorded at arrival of all animals and the differences between survivors and non-survivors are expressed in Table 3 and Table 4, respectively. Perioperative variables significantly associated with mortality are represented in Table 5.

The most frequent reason for surgery was non-linear gastrointestinal foreign body ($n = 31$ [39%]), followed by gastric dilatation and volvulus (GDV) (15 [18.3%]), pyometra (15 [18.3%]) and hemoperitoneum (8 [6.5%]). Rare indications for surgery were linear foreign

body (3), urolithiasis with uroperitoneum (2), dehiscence at the site of previous gastrointestinal incisions (1), gastric necrosis (1), diaphragmatic rupture (1), intestinal obstruction caused by adhesions of previous surgery (1), intussusception (1), prostatic abscess rupture (1), postoperative septic peritonitis after sternotomy for pleural foreign body (1) and penetrating abdominal bite wound (1). Diagnosis was not correlated with outcome. Eleven out of 82 (13%) dogs had septic peritonitis. The presence of septic peritonitis at admission was not associated with failure to survive.

Table 3. Physical examination findings at arrival and their associations with death.

Variables	All dogs (n = 82)	Survivors (n = 65)	Non-survivors (n = 17)	P value
Heart rate (beats/min)	138 (80 – 247)	130 (60 – 220)	160 (80 – 250)	0.001
Respiratory rate (breaths/min)	40 (16 – 150)	44 (16 – 150)	32 (20 – 80)	0.08
Systolic arterial blood pressure (mmHg)	130 (70 – 240)	130 (70 – 270)	130 (70 – 200)	0.65
Body temperature (°C)	38.4 (33.4 – 40.1)	38.6 (35.3 – 40.2)	37.6 (33.4 – 40.1)	0.002
Shock index (HR / SAP)	0.98 (0.6 – 2.85)	0.94 (0.3 – 2.8)	1.2 (0.6 – 3.3)	0.01

P values represent values for Student T-test or Mann-Whitney test; (values of $P < 0.05$ were considered significant). Values are reported as median (range). Values significantly different between survivors and non-survivors are highlighted using bold characters. HR= heart rate; SAP= systolic arterial pressure.

Table 4. Laboratory values at admission of the complete cases and divided on the basis of the outcome (survivors and non-survivors).

Variables	All dogs (n =82)	Survivors (n = 65)	Non-survivors (n = 17)	P value
pH	7.38 (6.81 – 7.48)	7.39 (7.12-7.55)	7.23 (6.81-7.46)	<0.0001
PCO ₂ (mm Hg)	36.4 ± 9.4	35.2 ± 8.7	41 ± 10.8	0.03
BE (mmol/L)	-5.2 ± 6.1	-3.6 ± 4.5	-10.5 ± 7.9	<0.0001
HCO ₃ ⁻ (mm Hg)	19.7 ± 4.9	20.7 ± 4.2	16.2 ± 5.9	0.001
Glucose (mg/dL)	114 (5 – 356)	113 (30-274)	128 (5-356)	0.56
Lactate (mmol/L)	2.1 (0.3 – 16.6)	1.9 (0.3-14.8)	5 (0.6-16.6)	0.008
Na ⁺ (mmol/L)	144 ± 7	144 ± 6	144 ± 8	0.96
K ⁺ (mmol/L)	3.72 (2.9 – 5.1)	3.7 ± 0.4	4.3 ± 0.6	<0.0001
Cl ⁻ (mmol/L)	113 (92 - 134)	111 ± 6	113 ± 8	0.35
Ca ²⁺ (mmol/L)	1.31 (0.79 – 3.28)	1.23 (0.39-1.64)	1.28 (0.56-1.36)	0.83
WBC count (x 10 ³ /μL)	12.92 (3.8 – 43)	13.2 (4.7-43)	10.5 (3.8-39)	0.17
Platelet count (x 10 ³ /μL)	246 (8-590)	261 (40-590)	160 (8-484)	0.02
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	15.4 ± 4.5	15.7 ± 4	14.6 ± 6.1	0.38
Total proteins (g/dL)	6.6 ± 1.4	6.9 ± 1.4	5.3 ± 1.1	0.001
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.9 (0.4-12.8)	0.9 (0.4-4.3)	1 (.04-12.8)	0.88
Albumin (mg/dL)	3 ± 0.7	3.2 ± 0.7	2.1 ± 0.6	0.0002

P values represent values for Student T-test or Mann-Whitney test; (values of $P < 0.05$ were considered significant). Values are reported as mean ± SD or median (range). Values significantly different between survivors and non-survivors are highlighted using bold characters.

Table 5. Variables correlated with death in the multiple logistic regression analysis

Characteristics	n (%)	Mortality (%)	Odds ratio	P value
All patients	82	17/82 (21)		
BCS (scale 1-5)				
BCS ≤ 2	16 (23)	7/16 (43)	Base	P < 0.05
BCS 3	44 (63)	9/44 (20)	0.331	
BCS ≥ 4	10 (14)	0	<0.001	
CI (0-3)				
CI 0	58 (71)	10/58 (17)	Base	P < 0.001
CI1	16 (19)	0	0.830	
CI ≥ 2	8 (10)	7/8 (87.5)	33.600	
Tachycardia at admission				
Yes	48 (58.5)	16/48 (33)	Base	P < 0.001
No	34 (41.5)	1/34 (2.9)	16.498	
Temperature (°) at admission				
< 37.5	13 (16)	8/13 (62)	Base	P < 0.001
37.5 – 39.2	47 (57)	7/47 (15)	0.109	
> 39.2	17 (21)	2/17 (12)	0.083	
SI at admission				
>1	37 (45)	12/37 (32)	Base	P < 0.05
≤ 1	45 (55)	5/45 (11)	0.26	
pH value at admission				
< 7.3	22 (27)	12/22 (55)	Base	P < 0.001
7.3 – 7.45	34 (41)	3/34 (9)	0.064	
> 7.45	14 (17)	1/14 (7)	0.081	
Lactate (mmol/L) value at admission				
< 2.5	43 (52)	6/43 (14)	Base	P < 0.001
2.5 – 4.5	11 (13)	0/11 (0)	0.860	
> 4.5	17 (21)	11/17 (65)	11.305	
Platelet count (x 10 ³ /μL) at admission				
< 175	26 (32)	12/26 (46)	Base	P < 0.001
175 – 500	46 (56)	5/46 (11)	0.001	
> 500	5 (6)	0/5 (0)	0.891	
WBC count (x 10 ³ /μL) at admission				
< 6	13 (16)	7/13 (54)	Base	P < 0.001
6 – 16.9	35 (43)	3/35 (8)	0.080	
> 16.9	26 (32)	6/26 (26)	0.257	
Haemoglobin (g/dl) value at admission				
< 13	23 (19)	8/23 (35)	Base	P < 0.05
13 - 21	52 (43)	5/52 (10)	0.199	
> 21	7 (6)	3/7 (43)	1.406	
Albumin (g/dl) value at admission				
< 2.5	11 (13)	6/11 (55)	Base	P < 0.001
2.5 – 4	34 (41)	2/34 (6)	<0.001	
> 4	6 (7)	0/6 (0)	<0.001	
Perioperative blood product transfusion				
Yes	18 (22)	9/18 (50)	Base	P < 0.001
No	64 (78)	8/64 (13)	0.142	
Intraoperative hypotension				
Yes	50 (61)	17/50 (34)	Base	P < 0.001
No	32 (39)	0/32 (0)	<0.001	
Use of vasopressors in the postoperative period				
Yes	15 (18)	10/15 (67)	Base	P < 0.001
No	67 (82)	6/67 (9)	0.050	
Postoperative development of major complications				
Yes	20 (24)	14/20 (70)	Base	P < 0.001
No	62 (75)	3/62 (5)	171128	
Postoperative development of minor complications				
Yes	67 (82)	16 (24)	Base	P < 0.001
No	15 (18)	0 (0)	23008	

Variables significantly associated ($P < 0.05$) with mortality in the multiple regression analysis. The variables significantly associated with mortality are highlighted using bold characters. BCS= body condition score; CI= comorbidity index; SI= shock index; WBC=white blood cells

The median (range) durations of surgery and anaesthesia were 90 (30-230) and 150 (60-350) minutes, respectively. Colloids were administered to 25 (30.5%) patients and loco-regional anaesthesia was performed in 29 (35.4%) patients. The intraoperative complications reported were tachycardia (51/82 [62.2%]), hypotension (50/82 [61%]), hypothermia (47/82 [57.3%]) and bradycardia (7/82 [8.5%]). Development of intraoperative hypotension was associated with mortality ($P < 0.001$), postoperative development of SIRS/sepsis ($P < 0.001$), anorexia ($P < 0.01$) and postoperative hypotension requiring use of vasopressors ($P > 0.001$). No other intraoperative variable was associated with mortality or the development of postoperative complications.

Oesophagostomy and nasogastric feeding tubes were placed in 20/82 and 2/82 dogs, respectively, for management of patients' nutritional requirements after surgery and 11/82 dogs had a central venous catheter placed. The decision of feeding tube or central venous catheter placement was determined by the clinician at the time of surgery.

Of the 82 patients, 24 (29.3%) developed major complications while minor complications were reported in 66/82 (80.5%) patients. Major complications reported were systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) or sepsis development (12/82), pulmonary complications (4/82), acute renal injury (AKI) (4/82), neurological signs (3/82) and need of surgical reintervention (1/82). Of the pulmonary complications, two dogs had pulmonary thromboembolism confirmed at necropsy, one dog had pneumonia caused by aspiration of gastric content and one dog had pulmonary haemorrhage caused by coagulation abnormalities. The development of major complications was associated with mortality ($P < 0.001$).

The most commonly encountered postoperative minor complication was anorexia, documented in 41/82 (50%) dogs, followed by tachycardia ($n=25$), diarrhoea (21), hypotension (18), reduced intestinal motility (17), melena (14), vomiting (12), fever (12), abdominal pain (12) and surgical site complications (5). Oesophagostomy tube infection was present in 4 of 20 (20%) dogs. Some alterations in the postoperative laboratory values were also considered as minor complications, as leukocytosis, present in 26 of 44 dogs with data available (59.1%), hypoalbuminemia (14/27 [51.8%]), electrolyte imbalance (23/63 [36.5%]), hyperlactatemia (14/58 [24.1%]), anaemia (27/68 [15.8%]), hypoglycaemia (7/57 [12.3%]) and leukopenia (3/44 [6.8%]). Rare postoperative complications reported were arrhythmias ($n=4$), pancreatitis (4), phlebitis (3), peripheric oedema (2) and jugular thrombosis associated with central venous catheter (1). Fourteen of 82 (17%) dogs had no complications reported. Risk factors significantly associated with the development of some postoperative complications are reported in Table 6.

Eighteen dogs in this study received a blood product transfusion during the perioperative period. Thirteen (15,85%) dogs received fresh-frozen plasma and 5 (6.1%) dogs received packed red blood cells (RBCs). Postoperative vasopressors were used in 15/82 (18.3%) dogs. The requirement of blood product transfusion significantly correlated with mortality ($P < 0.001$) and postoperative development of SIRS ($P < 0.01$) and hypotension requiring vasopressor therapy ($P > 0.001$). The postoperative use of vasopressors was correlated to death ($P < 0.001$) and postoperative development of diarrhoea ($P < 0.01$), SIRS ($P < 0.001$), anorexia ($P < 0.05$) and major electrolyte imbalance ($P < 0.05$).

Table 6. Risk factor analysis for postoperative complications.

Postoperative complication	Variables	Odds ratio	P value
Anorexia	Age: > 8 years	13.75	P < 0.001
Anorexia	Weight: < 10 kg	0.195	P < 0.05
Anorexia	Tachycardia at admission	4.051	P < 0.01
Anorexia	pH < 7.3 at admission	0.246	P < 0.05
Anorexia	Intraoperative hypotension	0.241	P < 0.01
Anorexia	Postoperative use of vasopressors	0.208	P < 0.05
Vomiting	Hb value > 21 g/dl at admission	16.072	P < 0.01
Vomiting	Hypothermia at admission	0.228	P < 0.05
Diarrhoea	BCS \leq 2	0.303	P < 0.01
Diarrhoea	Hb value > 21 g/dl at admission	8.000	P < 0.05
Diarrhoea	Postoperative use of vasopressors	0.148	P < 0.01
SIRS/sepsis	BCS \leq 2	0.356	P < 0.05
SIRS/sepsis	CI \geq 2	7.142	P < 0.05
SIRS/sepsis	Tachycardia at admission	185804	P < 0.001
SIRS/sepsis	SI > 1 at admission	4.67	P < 0.05
SIRS/sepsis	Intraoperative hypotension	< 0.001	P < 0.001
SIRS/sepsis	Perioperative use of blood product	0.121	P < 0.01
SIRS/sepsis	Postoperative use of vasopressors	0.016	P < 0.001
SIRS/sepsis	WBC < $6 \times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$	0.131	P < 0.05
Surgical site complications	BCS \leq 2	0.071	P < 0.05
Major electrolyte imbalance	CI \geq 2	11.783	P < 0.05
Major electrolyte imbalance	Postoperative use of vasopressors	0.258	P < 0.05
Postoperative hypotension requiring use of vasopressors	Tachycardia at admission	13.998	P < 0.001
Postoperative hypotension requiring use of vasopressors	SI > 1 at admission	13.998	P < 0.001
Postoperative hypotension requiring use of vasopressors	Intraoperative hypotension	0.081	P < 0.01
Postoperative hypotension requiring use of vasopressors	Perioperative use of blood product	0.137	P < 0.001

Variables significantly associated ($p < 0.05$) with postoperative complications in the multiple logistic regression analysis. SIRS= systemic inflammatory response syndrome; BCS= body condition score; CI= comorbidity index; SI= shock index; WBC=white blood cells; Hb= haemoglobin.

Sixteen (19.5%) dogs died or were euthanized during the perioperative period. One dog had a cardiopulmonary arrest 7 days after discharge because of complications related to concomitant disease (hepatic insufficiency). Cardiopulmonary arrest unresponsive to resuscitation efforts occurred in 1 dog during surgery and in 13 after surgery. The remaining 2 dogs were euthanized because of complications arising after surgery.

A total of 66 (80.5%) dogs survived to discharge from the hospital and a total of 65 (79.3%) were alive at the follow-up visit 2 weeks after surgery. The overall 15-day mortality rate was 20.7% and median (range) duration of hospital stay for the dogs that survived to discharge was 3 (0.5-15) days.

The median (range) duration of the long term follow up was 90 (15-425) days for all dogs. One dog treated for gastric dilatation-volvulus underwent surgery 4 months after the first surgery because of intestinal obstruction created by adherence of the first surgery and was euthanized in the postoperative period because of complications related to concomitant diseases. One dog treated for intestinal foreign body was readmitted to the hospital 2 months after surgery in shock because another intestinal foreign body was causing obstruction and was euthanized. The remaining dogs were all alive at the time of the last follow up.

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, the present study was the first in which factors associated with death and complications were evaluated in dogs that underwent emergency abdominal surgery.

The present study demonstrated that emergency laparotomy is a high-risk procedure, with an overall 15-day mortality rate of 20.7% and total complication rate of 80.5%

with 24.4% of major complications. Factors associated with death included presence of CI ≥ 2 ; BCS ≤ 2 ; tachycardia, hypothermia, lactic acidosis, thrombocytopenia and leukopenia at admission; intraoperative hypotension; development of major complications and peri-operative use of blood product. Normal haemoglobin value at admission was positively associated with survival to discharge.

In human medicine, the most common procedures performed in the emergency setting are intestinal surgeries [2,11,19]. We found similar results, but, whereas the most common indication for humans are intestinal obstruction caused by adhesions or neoplasia [2,10,11,19], gastrointestinal foreign body causing mechanical obstruction was the most common indication for surgery in the present study, which is in accordance with previous research in gastrointestinal emergencies in dogs [20,21].

The documented overall mortality rate in human medicine for patients undergoing emergency abdominal surgery varies from 11 to 23 % [2-7] and can be as high as 35 – 45% in the elderly population [8,11]. The 15-day mortality rate in this study was 20.7%. There is no comparable veterinary study with which to compare data, however, mortality rates reported in veterinary medicine for the most common surgical procedures encountered here, like gastrointestinal, hemoperitoneum or GDV syndrome surgeries, range from 0.8 to 28% [21-26], 12 to 36% [27,28] and 12 to 26 % [29-36], respectively.

Considering preoperative patient factors and their associations with mortality, the odds of non-survival increased with increasing CI and decreasing BCS. Previous research in people have found associations between malnutrition and presence of comorbidities with increasing postoperative complications and death [14,37]. It is also worth noting that most patient with CI 2 in the present study had a diagnosis of chronic enteropathy, and a relationship between high CI and low BCS that was not statistically evaluated cannot be excluded.

On the other hand, it is well established in people that mortality after emergency surgery increases with age [2,3,9,10,19]. This association was not found in the present study. This difference may be explained by differences in range of age between humans and dogs. Moreover, in contrast with studies in people, most common reason for surgery in the present study was gastrointestinal foreign body causing mechanical obstruction, condition commonly reported in young dogs [24-26].

Clinical signs in emergency abdominal surgery can vary significantly depending on the underlying condition. Most of these patients are in shock and require immediately treatment. In the present study tachycardia, hypothermia and SI > 1 at admission were found to be associated with death. These alterations can reflect decreased perfusion and the sympathetic compensatory response in patients with shock [38]. Hypothermia can be caused by decreased systemic perfusion and it is associated to reduced venous return [31]; and tachycardia can occur for multiple reasons, pain and/or compromised cardiovascular state. Their association with mortality may be related to severity and duration of hypoperfusion at presentation. This is in accordance with other studies; tachycardia and hypothermia have been identified as risk factors for death in dogs with hemoperitoneum [28] and GDV [31,39].

On the other hand, SAP values < 90 mmHg at admission were not correlated with failure to survive. This could indicate that most patient arrived in a compensatory shock stage and increased HR at this stage is more accurately predicting the severity of illness than SAP. Moreover, the method of SAP measurement (oscillometer or doppler) was not standardized, and that could have altered the results. On the other hand, median (range) SI value of survivors (0.94 [0.3 – 2.8]) was significantly ($P = 0.01$) lower than value of non-survivors (1.2 [0.6 - 3.3], and SI > 1 was significant in predicting mortality in the multiple regression analysis. This is in accordance with previous researches that support that SI is more accurately predicting mortality in comparison with low SAP values [40], and SI have been associated with shock and mortality in both human [41,42] and dogs [43]. These results point out the need for haemodynamic stabilization before the surgery for these

patients and that the SI, a powerful and easily calculated tool, should always be taken into account to predict more adequately the shock status of the patient.

Dogs that failed to survive had a median (range) plasma lactate concentration of 5 (0.6 – 16.6) mmol/L and a median venous pH of 7.23 (6.81 – 7.46) compared with a median plasma lactate concentration of 1.9 (0.3 – 14.8) mmol/L and a median venous pH of 7.39 (7.12 – 7.55) in survivors. Lactate > 4.5 mmol/L and pH < 7.3 were associated with death in the multiple regression logistic model. Lactic acidosis is a common finding in critically ill patients and is used as a marker of patient perfusion and resuscitation response both in human and veterinary medicine [38,44]. High serum lactate concentrations at admission have been associated with failure to survive in dogs after gastrointestinal surgery [21], secondary septic peritonitis [45], GDV [30,34-36] and trauma [46]. Nevertheless, the response of the lactate to intervention (fluid resuscitation) has been shown to provide a more accurate assessment of patient's prognosis in human [47,48] and veterinary [34,49] studies. In fact, in the present study 10/82 dogs had a lactate value at admission above 6 mmol/L, and 5/10 (50%) survive. Lactate clearance was not evaluated in the present study, so we are not able to say if it had any influence on survival rate. Even so, patients with high plasma lactate concentration at hospital admission should be aggressively treated and closely monitored perioperatively to improve outcomes.

The presence of leukopenia and thrombocytopenia at admission were identified as risk factors for mortality ($P < 0.001$). WBC count $< 4.5 \times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$ have been correlated with mortality in emergency abdominal surgery in human medicine [2]. The presence of leukopenia before surgery certainly evidences an immune imbalance or a severe inflammatory response and makes the dog more susceptible to any type of infection [50]. Thrombocytopenia may cause pulmonary and neurologic complications and severe blood loss, in human medicine patients with platelet counts $< 200 \times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$ that underwent an invasive procedure are at risk of haemorrhage [51]. Fresh frozen plasma should be administered before surgery in severely leukopenic and thrombocytopenic patients.

Albumin value < 2.5 g/dL at admission was as well associated with mortality ($P < 0.001$) and perioperative use of blood products ($P < 0.001$). Hypoalbuminemia is often associated with critical illness and can result in complications like pulmonary oedema, enteral feeding intolerance, poor wound healing and hypercoagulability [52]. Hypoalbuminemia has been shown to be associated with increased morbidity and mortality both hospitalized people [53-55] and dogs [22,24,52,56-58]. In human medicine one study demonstrated that the increase in the estimated odds of death ranged from 24% to 56% for every 0.25 g/dL reduction in albumin concentration [55]. Albumin concentration serves as a marker for disease and nutritional status and is essential for the maintaining of oncotic pressure [52]. It is worth to note that 36% of dogs that were hypoalbuminemic at admission developed postoperative hypotension, and that all dogs that developed postoperative hypotension and had postoperative albumin values measured had postoperative hypoalbuminemia. The use of albumin supplementation has been shown to improve outcomes in dogs [57,58] and its use in these vulnerable patients should be considered.

Intraoperative hypotension was diagnosed in 50 (60%) of the dogs in the present study and was significantly associated with postoperative development of hypotension, SIRS/sepsis and anorexia; use of vasopressors in the postoperative period; perioperative use of blood products and death. Similarly, Beck et al [29] found that hypotension detected at any time during the hospitalization period was associated with increased risk of death in patients with GDV. These results likely reflect the fact that dogs that developed intraoperative hypotension and required vasopressors therapy or blood product transfusions had more severe disease in general and were more likely to develop postoperative complications and fail to survive than other dogs. However, intraoperative hypotension episodes produce low tissue oxygenation that could certainly have worsened some conditions, like SIRS or anorexia. A relationship between intraoperative hypotension and development of postoperative anastomotic leakage after intestinal surgery has been previously demonstrated, and it has been attributed to local ischemia at the anastomosis site

[22,23]. It could be hypothesized that intraoperative hypotensive episodes decrease enteric perfusion and enteric motility, which might have affected postoperative ileus and indirectly postoperative anorexia development.

Moreover, absolute and relative intraoperative hypotension has been found to be responsible for acute myocardial and renal injuries in human medicine [59]. Unfortunately, we did not evaluate the incidence of acute myocardial injuries because of lack of specific data so we cannot draw any conclusions concerning this issue. Nevertheless, intraoperative normotension should represent an important therapeutic target to maximize clinical success and invasive blood pressure monitoring is advised for these patients.

Postoperative major complications were encountered in 24.4% of dogs. This is also in accordance with human medicine where major complications rates range from 33 to 47 % [4,6,7]. Respiratory complications are the most frequent complications for emergency abdominal surgery patients in human medicine [4,8] and they have been found to be associated with failure to discharge in dogs with hemoperitoneum [28]. In the present study 6 dogs developed pulmonary complications, 2 of them pulmonary thromboembolic disease diagnosed at necropsy. Pulmonary complications were not individually analysed for associations with mortality due to low number of cases, but the development of major complications after surgery was associated with mortality ($P < 0.001$), as has been found in human medicine [11,13]. In human medicine it has also been demonstrated that the development of postoperative complications not only increases mortality in the immediate risk period, but also worsens long-term mortality [60].

Hypotension requiring use of vasopressor therapy was the most common postoperative major complication, present in 15 (18%) of the dogs in the present study. Of those 15 dogs, 10 (67%) failed to survive. The use of vasopressors in the postoperative period was associated with death and postoperative development of SIRS, anorexia, diarrhoea and major electrolyte imbalance. In total, hypotension was documented in 18/82 (22%) of patients in the postoperative period. As mentioned above, hypotension is a severe hemodynamic disturbance that cause poor tissue perfusion resulting in cellular suffering and potentially multi-organ dysfunction [29]. In 1 recent human study [61], a postoperative mean arterial pressure below a threshold of 75 mmHg was found to increase the risk of myocardial injury, with shorter durations at lower thresholds being likewise harmful, and postoperative hypotension was more correlated to myocardial injuries than intraoperative hypotension. Frequent blood pressure monitoring measurements are mandatory in the postoperative period, and a constant invasive blood pressure monitoring should be considered in critical patients.

In the postoperative period, SIRS was diagnosed in 12/82 (15%) dogs. Development of SIRS was associated with $\text{BCS} \leq 2$; $\text{CI} \geq 2$; tachycardia, $\text{SI} > 1$, and $\text{WBC} < 6 \times 10^9/\text{L}$ at admission; development of intraoperative hypotension; perioperative use of blood products and postoperative use of vasopressors. Of the 12 dogs that developed SIRS in the postoperative period, 10 failed to survive. The development of SIRS in the postoperative period can be considered as a worsening in the patient's recovery and assessing the evolution of the SIRS score daily in every patient should be considered. Unfortunately, one of the limitations of the study is that the SIRS score changes were not evaluated.

Minor complications were present in 80.5 % of dogs in this study. The most common complication was anorexia, present in 41 (50%) of dogs. Anorexia was significantly correlated with age, adult and old dogs were 3.7 and 13.7 times, respectively, more prone to develop anorexia in comparison to young dogs (< 2 years). In human medicine, it is well known that increasing age is a risk factor for postoperative ileus [11,62]. Postoperative ileus could not be evaluated because the retrospective nature of the study, but it is possible that one of the reasons of anorexia high prevalence in elderly patients is related to postoperative ileus. Weight was also found to be related with the development of anorexia. Dogs weighing less than 10 kg were more likely to be anorexic compared with dogs > 10 kg. Other risk factors for the development of anorexia found in the present study were tachycardia, $\text{SI} > 1$ and $\text{pH} < 7.3$ at admission; intraoperative hypotension and

postoperative use of vasopressors. All the conditions seem to be related to the severity of systemic injury, and critically ill patients seems to be more likely to develop anorexia in the postoperative period. Moreover, an experimental study on the pathophysiology of the perioperative causes of ileus identified the increase of catecholamines blood concentration as responsible of ileus in dogs [63]; this could explain the relationship of anorexia with the postoperative use of vasopressors.

Nutrition is essential during recovery from illness or surgery. Early nutritional support has been shown to improve outcomes and shorten recovery time [64]. Caution should be made in those dogs with known risk factors for the development of postoperative anorexia, and feedings tubes placed at the time of surgery could be a good option so enteral nutrition can be started as soon as possible after surgery in critically ill dogs. Feeding tubes were placed in 22/82 dogs in the present study.

Surgical site complications were present in 5 of 82 dogs (6%) and were significantly correlated with low BCS. Surgical site infections of 2.5 to 18.5 % are reported in small animal surgery, with variations associated with the type of surgery [65-69]. Undernutrition, emergency surgery and prolonged surgical times have been described as risks factors for surgical site infections in both human and veterinary medicine [67,68,70-73]. In contrast, surgical time did not affect the development of surgical site complications in this study. In fact, surgical time was not related with any complication in the multiple logistic regression analysis, however, the maximum surgical time was 230 minutes. It may be concluded that if the patient is stable operating time is not a critical variable to considered.

Postoperative diarrhoea was associated with postoperative use of vasopressors. It could be hypothesized that severe hypotension requiring vasopressors can be a contributor to intestinal ischemia development that may promote morphological and functional changes that enhances intestinal permeability and can manifests clinically with diarrhoea [74]. Diarrhoea in the postoperative period was also associated with high haemoglobin value at admission and low BCS. In fact, postoperative vomiting was also associated with high haemoglobin value at admission. This may be explained by the fact that high haemoglobin value was related to the presence of dehydration at admission, and dehydrated patients were more likely to develop these complications.

Postoperative major electrolyte imbalance was associated with high CI and postoperative use of vasopressors. It is not surprising that patients that needed vasopressors for the maintenance of normal blood pressures were more predisposed to electrolyte disorders. The most common electrolyte derangement found in this study was hypokalaemia and the most common comorbidity encountered in patients classified with CI 2 was chronic enteropathy. Hypokalaemia have been found to be associated with gastrointestinal disease in previous research [75] and could be one of the reasons between the association of high CI and major electrolyte derangement in the present study. Nevertheless, electrolytes concentrations should be closely monitored in these patients.

Perioperative use of blood products was associated with postoperative development of SIRS, hypotension requiring vasopressors therapy and death. We did not differ between different types of blood products, like packed RBCs or fresh frozen plasma so it is difficult to make any conclusion about these results. We neither evaluated separately massive transfusion, known risk factor for postoperative complications and death in previous research [28]. Further study is warranted to investigate the impact on prognosis and needs for transfusions in dogs undergoing emergency abdominal surgery.

The median hospitalization time was relatively short (3 days; range 0.5 – 15 days). It would appear that even if these patients usually require intensive monitoring, the median length of hospital stay is short.

This study has a number of limitations, the most important of which are a small sample size and the retrospective design where collection and interpretation of the data may be subject to information bias. Due to the retrospective and multicentric nature of the study, the laboratory test to be performed was not standardized and there is a lack of specific data, like postoperative albumin value in some patients. Types of treatment and

resuscitation of the animals could have been different between clinician of the different hospitals and may have distorted the outcome and clouded the findings. Surgeries were also performed by multiple surgeons with different degrees of experience, which could have affected the results and the development of some complications. Larger multicentre prospective studies evaluating outcomes in dogs undergoing emergency abdominal surgery should be explored.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, mortality and morbidity rates after emergency abdominal surgery in dogs from this study are comparable to those reported in human medicine. Several variables that correlated with failure to survive and postoperative complications development were identified in our patient population. We believe this study provided useful information for recognition of dogs at higher risk than other before emergency abdominal surgery and that may help clinicians identify those patients which can benefit of more intensive monitoring and intervention, as well as to inform owners about prognosis. This information could be used to create protocols for optimizing recovery after surgery in this dog population. Stabilize these parameters before surgery and verify the stabilization of the patient through end points, provide intensive intraoperative and postoperative monitoring and preventing some condition, like postoperative anorexia, with the use of feeding tubes, could be useful tools to improve outcomes. Further investigation in prospective studies is warranted to fully address the role of some interventions in improving outcomes.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.F., A.B., C.S. and N.F.; methodology, A.F., G.C. and A.B.; software, G.C. and A.B.; formal analysis, G.C. and A.B.; data curation, A.F., M.D., G.C., and A.B.; investigation, A.F., M.D. and A.B.; writing—original draft preparation, A.F.; writing—review and editing, A.F., N.F., C.S., M.D., G.C. and A.B.; supervision, N.F., C.S. and A.B.; funding acquisition, A.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available in this article

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Smith M, Hussain A, Xiao J, Scheidler W, Reddy H, Olugbade K, et al. The Importance of Improving the Quality of Emergency Surgery for a Regional Quality Collaborative. *Ann Surg.* 2013, 257(4), 596-602; DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3182863750.
2. Al-Temimi MH, Griffie M, Enniss TM, Preston R, Vargo D, Overton S, Kimball E, Barton R, Nirula R. When is death inevitable after emergency laparotomy? Analysis of the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program database. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2012, 215(4), 503-11; DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2012.06.004.
3. Saunders DI, Murray D, Pichel AC, Varley S, Peden CJ. Variations in mortality after emergency laparotomy: the first report of the UK Emergency Laparotomy Network. *Br J Anaesth.* 2012, 109(3), 368-75; DOI: 10.1093/bja/aes165.
4. Havens JM, Peetz AB, Do WS, Cooper Z, Kelly E, Askari R, Reznor G, Salim A. The excess morbidity and mortality of emergency general surgery. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2015, 78(2), 306-11; DOI: 10.1097/TA.0000000000000517.
5. Wolsted H, Møller AM, Tolstrup MB, Vester-Andersen M. A Description of Deaths Following Emergency Abdominal Surgery. *World J Surg.* 2017, 41(12), 3105-3110; DOI: 10.1007/s00268-017-4109-1.
6. Singh K, Hariharan S. Detecting Major Complications and Death After Emergency Abdominal Surgery Using the Surgical Apgar Score: A Retrospective Analysis in a Caribbean Setting. *Turk J Anaesthesiol Reanim.* 2019, 47(2), 128-133; DOI: 10.5152/TJAR.2019.65872.

7. Tengberg LT, Cihoric M, Foss NB, Bay-Nielsen M, Gögenur I, Henriksen R, Jensen TK, Tolstrup MB, Nielsen LB. Complications after emergency laparotomy beyond the immediate postoperative period - a retrospective, observational cohort study of 1139 patients. *Anaesthesia*. 2017, 72(3), 309-316. DOI: 10.1111/anae.13721.
8. Cooper Z, Scott JW, Rosenthal RA, Mitchell SL. Emergency Major Abdominal Surgical Procedures in Older Adults: A Systematic Review of Mortality and Functional Outcomes. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2015, 63(12), 2563-2571; DOI: 10.1111/jgs.13818.
9. Howes TE, Cook TM, Corrigan LJ, Dalton SJ, Richards SK, Peden CJ. Postoperative morbidity survey, mortality and length of stay following emergency laparotomy. *Anaesthesia*. 2015, 70(9):1020-7; DOI: 10.1111/anae.12991.
10. Tocaciu S, Thiagarajan J, Maddern GJ, Wichmann MW. Mortality after emergency abdominal surgery in a non-metropolitan Australian centre. *Aust J Rural Health*. 2018, 26(6), 408-415; DOI: 10.1111/ajr.12428.
11. Perregaard H, Tenma J, Antonsen J, Mynster T. Mortality after abdominal emergency surgery in nonagenarians. *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg*. 2021, 47(2), 485-492; DOI: 10.1007/s00068-019-01247-6.
12. Davies JA, Fransson BA, Davis AM, Gilbertsen AM, Gay JM. Incidence of and risk factors for postoperative regurgitation and vomiting in dogs: 244 cases (2000-2012). *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2015, 1;246(3), 327-35; DOI: 10.2460/javma.246.3.327.
13. Altamimi A, Hassanain M, Nouh T, Ateeq K, Aljiffry M, Nawawi A, Al Saied G, Riaz M, Alanbar H, Altamimi A, Alsareii S, Al-Mousa M, Al-Shammari A, Alnuqaydan S, Ghzwany A. Predictors of morbidity and mortality post emergency abdominal surgery: A national study. *Saudi J Gastroenterol*. 2018, 24(5), 282-288; DOI: 10.4103/sjg.SJG_11_18.
14. Kenig J, Richter P, Olszewska U, Żychiewicz B. The prognostic role of comorbidities in older patients qualified for emergency abdominal surgery. *Pol Przegl Chir*. 2015, 86(12), 569-75; DOI: 10.1515/pjs-2015-0003.
15. Brodbelt DC, Pfeiffer DU, Young LE, Wood JL. Results of the confidential enquiry into perioperative small animal fatalities regarding risk factors for anesthetic-related death in dogs. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2008, 233(7), 1096-104; DOI: 10.2460/javma.233.7.1096.
16. Gawande AA, Studdert DM, Orav EJ, Brennan TA, Zinner MJ. Risk factors for retained instruments and sponges after surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 2003, 348(3), 229-35; DOI: 10.1056/NEJMsa021721.
17. Ingraham AM, Cohen ME, Raval MV, Ko CY, Nathens AB. Comparison of hospital performance in emergency versus elective general surgery operations at 198 hospitals. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2011, 212(1), 20-28; DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2010.09.026.
18. Bannay A, Chaignot C, Blotière PO, Basson M, Weill A, Ricordeau P, Alla F. The Best Use of the Charlson Comorbidity Index With Electronic Health Care Database to Predict Mortality. *Med Care*. 2016, 54(2):188-94; DOI: 10.1097/MLR.0000000000000471.
19. Takayama Y, Kaneoka Y, Maeda A, Fukami Y, Takahashi T, Uji M. Etiologies and outcomes of emergency surgery for acute abdominal pain: an audit of 1456 cases in a single center. *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg*. 2020, 46(2), 363-369; DOI: 10.1007/s00068-018-1051-3.
20. Aronson LR, Brockman DJ, Brown DC. Gastrointestinal emergencies. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract*. 2000, 30(3), 555-79; DOI: 10.1016/s0195-5616(00)50039-4.
21. Gill SS, Buote NJ, Peterson NW, Bergman PJ. Factors associated with dehiscence and mortality rates following gastrointestinal surgery in dogs. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2019, 255(5), 569-573; DOI: 10.2460/javma.255.5.569.
22. Grimes JA, Schmiedt CW, Cornell KK, Radlinksy MA. Identification of risk factors for septic peritonitis and failure to survive following gastrointestinal surgery in dogs. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2011, 238(4), 486-94; DOI: 10.2460/javma.238.4.486.
23. Snowdon KA, Smeak DD, Chiang S. Risk Factors for Dehiscence of Stapled Functional End-to-End Intestinal Anastomoses in Dogs: 53 Cases (2001-2012). *Vet Surg*. 2016, 45(1), 91-9; DOI: 10.1111/vsu.12413.
24. Strelchik A, Coleman MC, Scharf VF, Stoneburner RM, Thieman Mankin KM. Intestinal incisional dehiscence rate following enterotomy for foreign body removal in 247 dogs. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2019, 255(6), 695-699; DOI: 10.2460/javma.255.6.695.
25. Hayes G. Gastrointestinal foreign bodies in dogs and cats: a retrospective study of 208 cases. *J Small Anim Pract*. 2009, 50(11), 576-83; DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-5827.2009.00783.x.
26. Hobday MM, Pachtinger GE, Drobatz KJ, Syring RS. Linear versus non-linear gastrointestinal foreign bodies in 499 dogs: clinical presentation, management and short-term outcome. *J Small Anim Pract*. 2014, 55(11), 560-5; DOI: 10.1111/jsap.12271.
27. Aronsohn MG, Dubiel B, Roberts B, Powers BE. Prognosis for acute nontraumatic hemoperitoneum in the dog: a retrospective analysis of 60 cases (2003-2006). *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc*. 2009, 45(2), 72-7; DOI: 10.5326/0450072.
28. Lux CN, Culp WT, Mayhew PD, Tong K, Rebhun RB, Kass PH. Perioperative outcome in dogs with hemoperitoneum: 83 cases (2005-2010). *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2013, 242(10), 1385-91; DOI: 10.2460/javma.242.10.1385.
29. Beck JJ, Staatz AJ, Pelsue DH, Kudnig ST, MacPhail CM, Seim HB 3rd, Monnet E. Risk factors associated with short-term outcome and development of perioperative complications in dogs undergoing surgery because of gastric dilatation-volvulus: 166 cases (1992-2003). *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2006, 229(12), 1934-9. DOI: 10.2460/javma.229.12.1934.
30. Beer KA, Syring RS, Drobatz KJ. Evaluation of plasma lactate concentration and base excess at the time of hospital admission as predictors of gastric necrosis and outcome and correlation between those variables in dogs with gastric dilatation-volvulus: 78 cases (2004-2009). *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2013, 242(1), 54-8. DOI: 10.2460/javma.242.1.54.

31. Buber T, Saragusty J, Ranen E, Epstein A, Bdolah-Abram T, Bruchim Y. Evaluation of lidocaine treatment and risk factors for death associated with gastric dilatation and volvulus in dogs: 112 cases (1997-2005). *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2007, 230(9), 1334-9; DOI: 10.2460/javma.230.9.1334.
32. Rauserova-Lexmaulova L, Vanova-Uhrikova I, Rehakova K. Acid-Base, Electrolyte and Lactate Abnormalities as Well as Gastric Necrosis and Survival in Dogs With Gastric Dilation-Volvulus Syndrome. A Retrospective Study in 75 Dogs. *Top Companion Anim Med.* 2020, 39:100403. DOI: 10.1016/j.tcam.2020.100403.
33. Spinella G, Dondi F, Grassato L, Magna L, Cola V, Giunti M, Del Magno S, Valentini S. Prognostic value of canine pancreatic lipase immunoreactivity and lipase activity in dogs with gastric dilatation-volvulus. *PLoS One.* 2018, 13(9):e0204216; DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0204216.
34. Zacher LA, Berg J, Shaw SP, Kudej RK. Association between outcome and changes in plasma lactate concentration during presurgical treatment in dogs with gastric dilatation-volvulus: 64 cases (2002-2008). *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2010, 236(8):892-7; DOI: 10.2460/javma.236.8.892.
35. Green TI, Tonozzi CC, Kirby R, Rudloff E. Evaluation of initial plasma lactate values as a predictor of gastric necrosis and initial and subsequent plasma lactate values as a predictor of survival in dogs with gastric dilatation-volvulus: 84 dogs (2003-2007). *J Vet Emerg Crit Care (San Antonio).* 2011 Feb;21(1):36-44. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-4431.2010.00599.x.
36. de Papp E, Drobatz KJ, Hughes D. Plasma lactate concentration as a predictor of gastric necrosis and survival among dogs with gastric dilatation-volvulus: 102 cases (1995-1998). *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 1999, 215(1), 49-52.
37. Huang TH, Hsieh CC, Kuo LM, Chang CC, Chen CH, Chi CC, Liu CH. Malnutrition associated with an increased risk of postoperative complications following hepatectomy in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *HPB (Oxford).* 2019, 21(9), 1150-1155; DOI: 10.1016/j.hpb.2019.01.003.
38. Prittie J. Optimal endpoints of resuscitation and early goal-directed therapy. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care.* 2006, 16(4):329-39 DOI: 10.1111/j.1476-4431.2006.00160.x
39. Bruchim Y, Kelmer E. Postoperative management of dogs with gastric dilatation and volvulus. *Top Companion Anim Med.* 2014, 29(3), 81-5; DOI: 10.1053/j.tcam.2014.09.003.
40. Rady MY, Smithline HA, Blake H, Nowak R, Rivers E. A comparison of the shock index and conventional vital signs to identify acute, critical illness in the emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med.* 1994, 24(4), 685-90; DOI: 10.1016/s0196-0644(94)70279-9.
41. Berger T, Green J, Horeczko T, Hagar Y, Garg N, Suarez A, Panacek E, Shapiro N. Shock index and early recognition of sepsis in the emergency department: pilot study. *West J Emerg Med.* 2013, 14(2), 168-74; DOI: 10.5811/westjem.2012.8.11546.
42. Liu YC, Liu JH, Fang ZA, Shan GL, Xu J, Qi ZW, Zhu HD, Wang Z, Yu XZ. Modified shock index and mortality rate of emergency patients. *World J Emerg Med.* 2012, 3(2), 114-7; DOI: 10.5847/wjem.j.issn.1920-8642.2012.02.006.
43. Kraenzlin MN, Cortes Y, Fetting PK, Bailey DB. Shock index is associated with mortality in canine vehicular trauma patients. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care.* 2020, 30(6), 706-711; DOI: 10.1111/vec.13013.
44. Gillespie Í, Rosenstein PG, Hughes D. Update: Clinical Use of Plasma Lactate. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract.* 2017, 47(2), 325-342. DOI: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2016.10.011.
45. Bush M, Carno MA, St Germaine L, Hoffmann DE. The effect of time until surgical intervention on survival in dogs with secondary septic peritonitis. *Can Vet J.* 2016, 57(12), 1267-1273.
46. Hall KE, Holowaychuk MK, Sharp CR, Reineke E. Multicenter prospective evaluation of dogs with trauma. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2014, 244(3), 300-8; DOI: 10.2460/javma.244.3.300.
47. Lokhandwala S, Andersen LW, Nair S, Patel P, Cocchi MN, Donnino MW. Absolute lactate value vs relative reduction as a predictor of mortality in severe sepsis and septic shock. *J Crit Care.* 2017, 37, 179-184. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2016.09.023.
48. Bhat SR, Swenson KE, Francis MW, Wira CR. Lactate Clearance Predicts Survival Among Patients in the Emergency Department with Severe Sepsis. *West J Emerg Med.* 2015, 16(7), 1118-26. DOI: 10.5811/westjem.2015.10.27577.
49. Cortellini S, Seth M, Kellett-Gregory LM. Plasma lactate concentrations in septic peritonitis: A retrospective study of 83 dogs (2007-2012). *J Vet Emerg Crit Care.* 2015, 25(3), 388-95; DOI: 10.1111/vec.12234.
50. Schnelle AN, Barger AM. Neutropenia in dogs and cats: causes and consequences. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract.* 2012, 42(1), 111-22; DOI: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2011.09.008.
51. Kaufman RM, Djulbegovic B, Gernsheimer T, Kleinman S, Tinmouth AT, Capocelli KE, Cipolle MD, Cohn CS, Fung MK, Grossman BJ, Mintz PD, O'Malley BA, Sesok-Pizzini DA, Shander A, Stack GE, Webert KE, Weinstein R, Welch BG, Whitman GJ, Wong EC, Tobian AA; AABB. Platelet transfusion: a clinical practice guideline from the AABB. *Ann Intern Med.* 2015, 162(3), 205-13; DOI: 10.7326/M14-1589.
52. Mazzaferro EM, Rudloff E, Kirby R. The role of albumin replacement in the critically ill veterinary patient: Albumin in critical illness. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care.* 2002, 12(2), 113-24; DOI: 10.1046/j.1435-6935.2002.00025.x.
53. Herrmann FR, Safran C, Levkoff SE, Minaker KL. Serum albumin level on admission as a predictor of death, length of stay, and readmission. *Arch Intern Med.* 1992, 152(1), 125-30.

54. Gibbs J, Cull W, Henderson W, Daley J, Hur K, Khuri SF. Preoperative serum albumin level as a predictor of operative mortality and morbidity: results from the National VA Surgical Risk Study. *Arch Surg*. 1999, 134(1), 36-42; DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.134.1.36.
55. Goldwasser P, Feldman J. Association of serum albumin and mortality risk. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1997, 50(6), 693-703; DOI: 10.1016/s0895-4356(97)00015-2.
56. Ralphs SC, Jessen CR, Lipowitz AJ. Risk factors for leakage following intestinal anastomosis in dogs and cats: 115 cases (1991-2000). *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2003, 223(1), 73-7; DOI: 10.2460/javma.2003.223.73.
57. Craft EM, Powell LL. The use of canine-specific albumin in dogs with septic peritonitis. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care*. 2012, 22(6), 631-9, DOI: 10.1111/j.1476-4431.2012.00819.x.
58. Horowitz FB, Read RL, Powell LL. A retrospective analysis of 25% human serum albumin supplementation in hypoalbuminemic dogs with septic peritonitis. *Can Vet J*. 2015, 56(6), 591-7.
59. Salmasi V, Maheshwari K, Yang D, Mascha EJ, Singh A, Sessler DI, Kurz A. Relationship between Intraoperative Hypotension, Defined by Either Reduction from Baseline or Absolute Thresholds, and Acute Kidney and Myocardial Injury after Noncardiac Surgery: A Retrospective Cohort Analysis. *Anesthesiology*. 2017, 126(1), 47-65, DOI: 10.1097/ALN.0000000000001432.
60. Toner A, Hamilton M. The long-term effects of postoperative complications. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. 2013, 19(4), 364-8; DOI: 10.1097/MCC.0b013e3283632f77.
61. Liem VGB, Hoeks SE, Mol KHJM, Potters JW, Grüne F, Stolker RJ, van Lier F. Postoperative Hypotension after Noncardiac Surgery and the Association with Myocardial Injury. *Anesthesiology*. 2020, 133(3), 510-522; DOI: 10.1097/ALN.0000000000003368.
62. Harnsberger CR, Maykel JA, Alavi K. Postoperative Ileus. *Clin Colon Rectal Surg*. 2019 32(3), 166-170; DOI: 10.1055/s-0038-1677003.
63. Smith J, Kelly KA, Weinshilboum RM. Pathophysiology of postoperative ileus. *Arch Surg*. 1977, 112(2), 203-9; DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.1977.01370020097011.
64. Liu DT, Brown DC, Silverstein DC. Early nutritional support is associated with decreased length of hospitalization in dogs with septic peritonitis: A retrospective study of 45 cases (2000-2009). *J Vet Emerg Crit Care*. 2012, 22(4), 453-9. DOI: 10.1111/j.1476-4431.2012.00771.x.
65. Beal MW, Brown DC, Shofer FS. The effects of perioperative hypothermia and the duration of anesthesia on postoperative wound infection rate in clean wounds: a retrospective study. *Vet Surg*. 2000, 29(2), 123-7, DOI: 10.1111/j.1532-950x.2000.00123.x.
66. Brown DC, Conzemius MG, Shofer F, Swann H. Epidemiologic evaluation of postoperative wound infections in dogs and cats. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 1997, 210(9), 1302-6.
67. Espinel-Rupérez J, Martín-Ríos MD, Salazar V, Baquero-Artigao MR, Ortiz-Díez G. Incidence of surgical site infection in dogs undergoing soft tissue surgery: risk factors and economic impact. *Vet Rec Open*. 2019, 6(1):e000233; DOI: 10.1136/vetrec-2017-000233.
68. Eugster S, Schawalder P, Gaschen F, Boerlin P. A prospective study of postoperative surgical site infections in dogs and cats. *Vet Surg*. 2004, 33(5), 542-50; DOI: 10.1111/j.1532-950X.2004.04076.x.
69. Vasseur PB, Levy J, Dowd E, Eliot J. Surgical wound infection rates in dogs and cats. Data from a teaching hospital. *Vet Surg*. 1988, 17(2), 60-4, DOI: 10.1111/j.1532-950x.1988.tb00278.x.
70. Alkaaki A, Al-Radi OO, Khoja A, Alnawawi A, Alnawawi A, Maghrabi A, Altaf A, Aljiffry M. Surgical site infection following abdominal surgery: a prospective cohort study. *Can J Surg*. 2019, 62(2), 111-117; DOI: 10.1503/cjs.004818.
71. Barie PS, Eachempati SR. Surgical site infections. *Surg Clin North Am*. 2005, 85(6):1115-35, DOI: 10.1016/j.suc.2005.09.006.
72. Carvalho RLR, Campos CC, Franco LMC, Rocha AM, Ercole FF. Incidence and risk factors for surgical site infection in general surgeries. *Rev Lat Am Enfermagem*. 2017, 4;25:e2848; DOI: 10.1590/1518-8345.1502.2848.
73. Nelson LL. Surgical site infections in small animal surgery. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract*. 2011, 41(5), 1041-56; DOI: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2011.05.010.
74. Hall DM, Buettner GR, Oberley LW, Xu L, Matthes RD, Gisolfi CV. Mechanisms of circulatory and intestinal barrier dysfunction during whole body hyperthermia. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2001, 280(2), H509-21; DOI: 10.1152/ajpheart.2001.280.2.H509.