Gut microbiome and cellular senescence in the context of aging and disease: an emerging frontier

Rohit Sharma*, Ph.D.

*Faculty of Applied Sciences & Biotechnology, Shoolini University, Solan, 173229, India.

Correspondence to lead author: Dr. Rohit Sharma
Assistant Professor (INSPIRE Faculty Fellow)
Faculty of Applied Sciences & Biotechnology,
Shoolini University, Solan, 173229, India.
rohit25sharma@gmail.com
rohit.sharma@shooliniuniversity.com
ORCID: 0000-0001-6209-3845.

Abstract

The significance of diversity, composition, and functional attributes of the gut microbiota is recognized in human health and disease. Studies have also shown that the gut microbiota is related to human aging, and a causal relationship between gut microflora dysbiosis and chronic age-related disorders is also becoming apparent. Further, emerging evidence indicates that age-associated changes in the gut microbiome are predictors of human survival and longevity. Recent advances in our understanding of the cellular and molecular aspects of biological aging have revealed a cellular senescence-centric view of the aging process. However, the association between gut microbiome and cellular senescence is only beginning to be understood. The present review provides an integrative view of the emerging relationship between the gut microbiome and cellular senescence in aging and disease. Evidence relating to microbiome-mediated modulation of senescent cells, as well as senescent cells-mediated changes in intestinal homeostasis have been discussed. Unanswered questions and future research directions have also been deliberated to truly ascertain the relationship of the gut microbiome and cellular senescence for developing microbiome-based age-delaying and longevity promoting therapies.
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Introduction

Human beings are considered ‘metaorganisms’ due to our close symbiotic relationship with microorganisms which account for at least half the number of total cells in our body (Sender et al., 2016). Most of these microbes are found in the gut, and extensive research has established their central role in maintaining human health and systems by regulating the development and modulation of immune responses (Schluter et al., 2020), host nutrition and metabolism (Goodrich et al., 2016; Kaoutari et al., 2013), brain development and behavior (Smith, 2015) as well as progression of diseases such as cancer (Sobhani et al., 2019) and diabetes (Li et al., 2020a). Moreover, the identification of an association between altered gut microbiota composition and the magnitude of COVID-19 severity in the ongoing pandemic highlights the importance of gut microflora in shaping bodily response to novel infectious agents (Yeoh et al., 2021). Gut microbiota is abundant in complexity and diversity, and a number of different microorganisms such as archaea, bacteria, fungi, and viruses reside in human gastrointestinal tract (Ferreiro et al., 2018). The microbiota is maternally acquired at birth (Domínguez-Bello et al., 2010) and undergoes changes throughout the lifespan dominated by dietary habits and environmental signals (O'Toole and Claesson, 2010). Gut microbial heterogeneity amongst communities has also been observed with implications in health and disease (Das et al., 2018; Priya and Blekhman, 2019).

Aging has been described as ‘an unresolved problem of biology’ (Medawar, 1952), although intensive studies in the past few decades have vastly improved our understanding of the causes and effects of aging. In general, nine ‘hallmarks of aging’ have been identified (López-Otín et al., 2013) which ultimately augment the principle that aging is essentially a time-dependent culmination of various cellular and biochemical damage (Gems and Partridge, 2013; Report, 2021). Cellular senescence, a physiological response to stress, is emerging as one of the most well-studied hallmark of aging (Borghesan et al., 2020), and gradual accumulation of senescent cells (SC) in tissues and organs is likely accountable for increased risk of disease and mortality (Baker et al., 2016). Apart from rodents and humans, age-dependent exponential increase in the numbers of SC accompanied by activation of characteristic DNA damage response has also been observed in primates (Herbig et al., 2006). Further, a breakthrough study demonstrated that removal of SC burden in various aging tissues has the potential to delay the development and severity of age-related pathologies and improve lifespan (Baker et al., 2011). Subsequently, molecular targeting of SC using pharmacological interventions showed that SC can be selectively removed from the organism which resulted in lifespan extension and delayed development of age-related symptoms and diseases (Zhu et al., 2015). Since then, several natural and synthetic compounds, which selectively target SC (senolytics) have been identified (Kirkland and Tchkonia, 2020; Salekeen et al., 2021), and senolytics-based clinical trials are already showing promising results in countering some of the deleterious effects of aging (Dolgin, 2020; Hickson et al., 2019; Justice et al., 2019).

An intricate association between the gut microbiome and organismal aging is increasingly becoming apparent (Kim and Benayoun, 2020). Since the gut microbiome co-evolves with the host, organismal aging is inevitably accompanied by changes in the gut microbiome (Hopkins and Macfarlane, 2002; Mariat et al., 2009). As a result, distinct differences in microbial composition between young and elderly human subjects have been observed (Claesson et al., 2011; Kong et al., 2016). However, the interrelationship between gut microbiome and cellular senescence in regulating the process of aging and related diseases is only beginning to be understood. The present manuscript provides an overview of cellular senescence and gut microbiota in driving the aging process, and then reviews the available evidence linking the two phenomena. Possible therapies and future directions to
better understand the causal relationship between cellular senescence and gut microbiota in governing organismal aging are also presented.

**Cellular senescence links biological aging**

Cellular senescence is a physiological stress response mechanism in mammalian cells characterized by irreversible cell cycle arrest mediated by activation of cell cycle inhibitor pathways (p53/p21 and/or p16\(^{INK4a}/pRb\)), DNA damage, chromatin remodelling, senescence-associated β-galactosidase (SA-β-gal) activity changes in metabolic signalling pathways (mTOR/AMPK), impaired mitochondrial ROS production, cellular hypertrophy and the development of characteristic senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) (Herranz and Gil, 2018; van Deursen, 2014). The connection between aging and cellular senescence was initially based on the observation that SC tend to accumulate in aging tissues (Krishnamurthy et al., 2004). However, SC develop naturally and their crucial role in regulating processes such as wound healing and embryonic tissue remodelling have also been documented (Demaria et al., 2014; Muñoz-Espín et al., 2013). Today, it is accepted that age-related accumulation of SC, and not SC per se, is responsible for the increased age-related pathologies and decreased healthspan and lifespan (He and Sharpless, 2017). It is argued that cellular senescence evolved as a mechanism to protect against tumorigenesis in cells exposed to chronic stress-induced damage (Sager, 1991), as opposed to apoptosis which is activated in response to acute stressors (Childs et al., 2014; Spallarossa et al., 2009). In younger organisms, the SASP factors secreted by SC chemotactically attract the cells of the immune system such as macrophages, NK cells or cytotoxic T cells which then recognize SC through specific cell surface receptors ultimately resulting in their elimination (Song et al., 2020). As organisms age, a tissue-specific non-linear accumulation of SC is observed that eventually impairs organ functions (Idda et al., 2020; Tuttle et al., 2020). The chronic secretion of SASP components, which are a milieu of several cytokines and growth factors, by accumulating SC plays a particularly deleterious role by rendering harmful bystander effects on nearby healthier cells ultimately promoting pro-inflammatory and pro-tumorigenic behaviour (Nelson et al., 2012) (Figure 1).

![Figure 1: Overview of development and accumulation of senescent cells during aging. Chronic stressors (oxidative/replicative/oncogenic), reduced cellular stress response capacity, and impaired immune functions can augment the development and accumulation of senescent](image-url)
cells in various tissues. Persistent senescent cells develop SASP which affect nearby healthier cells through paracrine mechanisms, promote inflammatory and tumorigenic environment and ultimately impair organ functions, and promote the aging phenotype. Illustration created at app.biorender.com.

Thus, SC, which are evolutionarily considered anti-tumorigenic, eventually render the aging organism more prone to cancerous and inflammatory disorders in a classic case of antagonistic pleiotropy (Campisi, 2005). Corroborating this, a wealth of data have shown that targeting the development of cellular senescence during aging improves longevity and healthspan, suppresses inflammatory disorders, enhances organ functioning, while transplantation of SC can provoke disease condition in healthy animals (Baker et al., 2011; Cai et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2018).

The development of cellular senescence is intimately linked to cell intrinsic and extrinsic stressors (de Magalhães and Passos, 2018). Cell autonomous (intrinsic) stress could be attributed to replicative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, autophagic dysregulation and proteostasis, while external stressors include metabolic stress, heat, genotoxic agents, heavy metals etc. It has been shown that during aging, the natural response to stress is impaired (Chen et al., 2020a), which, combined with the chronic exposure to stressors result in perturbations in mitochondrial redox balance, energy production and aggravation of inflammatory pathways (Bulua et al., 2011). This can result in a vicious loop wherein damaged mitochondria produces more free radicals, and this sustained redox pressure further damages macromolecules and initiate DNA damage response that propels cells into the state of permanent cell cycle arrest and SASP thereby hampering tissue and organ functions characteristic of aging (Childs et al., 2014) (Figure 1). It is thus no surprise that premature stress-induced models of cellular senescence have been successfully used in in vitro studies. While other stressors may be indefinite and subject to regulation, replication-induced stress is a fundamental feature of mitotic cells that gradually contributes to the development of replicative cellular senescence (Campisi, 1997). Replicative stress arises because during each cell division, chromosomes lose a part of their protective telomere cap, and with each subsequent division, telomeres become too short to sustain further replication resulting in activation of cellular senescence program (Campisi, 1997). However, there is evidence that oxidative stress can also accelerate telomere attrition suggesting that chronic presence of different stressors can rapidly induce/augment different (oxidative/replicative/oncogenic) senescence program in cells (Epel et al., 2004; Tchirkov and Lansdorp, 2003).

The exact causes for age-dependent increase in tissue SC burden are not completely understood. Recent studies have shown that accumulation of SC in tissues is not linear, but accelerates with age, suggesting that a threshold barrier may exist beyond which SC accumulation exponentially rises (Liu et al., 2009; Tuttle et al., 2020). The immune system is rapidly emerging as critical regulator of apparent age-associated SC accumulation. A recent study conclusively demonstrated that immune cells with dysfunctional cytotoxic ability exhibit inefficient clearance of SC in vivo resulting in their accumulation and augmentation of inflammatory disorders (Ovadya et al., 2018). This study advanced the idea that deleterious widespread functional and phenotypic changes in aging immune cells (immunosenescence) can result in impaired immunosurveillance and cytotoxic effector functions that may promote SC accumulation (Song et al., 2020). However, the situation gets further compounded by the fact that similar to other cells, immune cells also undergo cellular senescence with age, and thus their efficacy in clearing SC may be subject to regulation by both immunosenescence and cellular senescence (Burton and Stolzing, 2018; Yousefzadeh et al., 2021). Surprisingly, a recent study demonstrated that similar to tumorous cells, SC can also develop immune evasive functions which may augment their survival and persistence in
tissues thereby indicating a deeper relationship between host immunity and SC (Pereira et al., 2019). Taken together, it is evident that accumulation of SC is harmful, and strategies aimed at prevention or regression of cellular senescence during aging are desirable to alleviate morbidity and mortality in elderly.

**Gut microbiota in aging and associated diseases**

The diversity and composition of the gut microbiota is altered with age, which, in turn affects metabolism and immune regulation that predispose elderly to inflammatory disorders (Bana and Cabreiro, 2019; Zhang et al., 2020). Therefore, maintenance of homeostatic balance in the intestinal flora is beneficial for the host, and any perturbations in microbial community structure that induce an imbalance between commensal gut microbes and opportunistic pathogens can render the gut vulnerable to damage and loss of intestinal homeostasis. The altered gut microbial state or ‘dysbiosis’ can be attributed to loss of beneficial organisms, proliferation of harmful pathogenic genera or overall decrease in microbial diversity (DeGruttola et al., 2016). For instance, studies have shown that age-related gut dysbiosis generally results in enhanced growth of facultative anaerobes, decreased numbers of beneficial probiotic bacterial species and an altered firmicutes/bacteroidetes ratio (Salazar et al., 2017; Vaiserman et al., 2020). There is enough evidence available to suggest a correlation between gut dysbiosis, longevity and the prevalence of chronic disorders (Cardenas et al., 2014; Kamo et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2019). In fact, a recent report highlights that gut microbiome composition is a predictor of human survival and increased abundance of Bacteroides or low gut microbiome uniqueness is associated with decreased lifespan and enhanced morbidity (Wilmanski et al., 2021). Based on machine learning, another recent report inferred that age-related changes in the gut microflora can be used to precisely predict the age of an individual (Galkin et al., 2020). Further, dysbiosis in the commensal bacterial population resulted in shortening of lifespan in *Drosophila* (Iatsenko et al., 2018), while colonizing the gut of middle-age African turquoise killifish with bacteria isolated from young donors resulted in lifespan extension and delayed behavioral decline (Smith et al., 2017). Microbiota transplantation studies have demonstrated that altered gut microbiome of elderly is sufficient to induce morbidity in young recipients. For instance, it was observed that transplantation of the gut microbiota from aged mice to germ free mice promoted inflammation in the small intestine and enhanced the leakage of inflammatory bacterial components into circulation which were correlated with higher levels of TM7 bacteria and Proteobacteria (Fransen et al., 2017). A recent work showed that fecal microbiota transplantation from aged murine donors led to impaired spatial learning and memory in young adult recipients accompanied by a strong reduction of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) producing bacteria such *Lachnospiraceae*, *Faecalibaculum*, and *Ruminococcaceae* (D’Amato et al., 2020). Similarly, fecal transplant gavages from old mice (but not young mice) to germ free mice showed decreased fecal SCFAs production, and recipient animals demonstrated depressive-like behavior, impaired short-term memory, and spatial memory suggesting that aged microbiome alone is sufficient to decrease SCFAs in the host and to produce cognitive decline (Lee et al., 2020). Similarly, fecal microbiota transplantation from old to young rats impaired cognitive behavior, decreased regional homogeneity, changed synaptic structures, increased glycation-end products and enhanced oxidative and inflammatory stress in recipient young animals (Li et al., 2020b). A single-center clinical trial recommended fecal microbiota transplantation as a home therapy which could clinically benefit recovery in elderly patients with *Clostridium* infection (Jørgensen et al., 2020). On the contrary, it has been reported that transplanting the gut microbiota of healthy old donor mice into young germ-free recipient mice enhanced hippocampal neurogenesis, intestinal growth, and activated the longevity FGF21-AMPK-SIRT1 signalling pathways in the liver which was attributed to the increased
presence of butyrate producing microbes (Kundu et al., 2019). In this regard, another study shown that although aging in healthy donors is associated with compositional alterations in the faecal microbiome, but the overall microbial diversity and effectiveness of faecal microbiota transplantation may still be preserved (Anand et al., 2017). However, despite heterogenic variations in different experimental conditions, it is evident that beneficial effects of desirable bacterial populations, such as butyrate-producing microbes, may be useful in protection against age-associated morbidity. Aging not only influences the gut microflora composition, but is also associated with changes in the gut lumen and barrier functions such as shrinkage of the protective mucus layer of the gut, loss of intestinal tight junction proteins, and increased permeability of the epithelial barrier (Elderman et al., 2017; Tran and Greenwood-Van Meerveld, 2013). As a result, bacteria and their metabolites can escape the gut lumen which may provoke immune cells in the Peyer’s patches of lamina propria, and further augment systemic inflammation if translocated into peripheral circulation (Thevaranjan et al., 2017). Overall, age-dependent changes in the gut microbiome composition, remodeling of the intestinal epithelial barrier, and loss of intestinal permeability disrupt intestinal homeostasis and can result in a systemic pro-inflammatory environment with implications in the pathogenesis of several inflammatory disorders (Andersen et al., 2017) (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Schematic diagram depicting the influence of aging on gut homeostasis. Dominated by diet and environmental factors, aging induces structural and functional changes in the gut microflora resulting in a dysbiotic gut. Altered metabolome of the dysbiotic gut, shrinkage of protective mucus layer and loss of tight junction proteins promote
‘leakage’ through the gut barrier. Bacteria as well as bacterial components, such as LPS and flagellin, can translocate through the ‘leaky gut’ and reach lamina propria resulting in activation of immune cells in the Peyer’s patches, and can also cause systemic inflammatory aggravation through peripheral circulation. Illustration created at app.biorender.com.

The role of altered gut microbiota composition and the development of age-related chronic diseases such as immunosenescence, inflamm-aging, cancer, diabetes, and cardiovascular disorders is also recognized (Buford, 2017). A recent study demonstrated that gut microbiota changes in young adulthood (32-42 years age) may be associated with major metabolic, immunological and inflammatory parameters of aging, which could be useful in detecting accelerated aging (Renson et al., 2020). Microbiota and the host immune system have co-evolved to allow the existence of commensal bacteria through immune tolerance, while a robust immune response is generated against foreign pathogenic agents (Eloe-Fadrosh and Rasko, 2013). Moreover, gut microbiota is critical for appropriate development and maturation of host immunological responses (Chung et al., 2012), and there is also evidence that the deleterious effects of immunosenescence may also be linked to abnormal immune response against gut microbiota due to dysbiosis or decreased tolerance (Guigoz et al., 2002). A study on healthy middle-aged and old-aged human subjects showed a quantitative and qualitative correlation between the composition of the gut microflora and the markers of immunosenescence (Shen et al., 2018). A recent report demonstrated that exposing aged mice to the microbiota from young mice dramatically improved M-cell maturation in the intestine of the aged mice and increased antigen uptake and IgA responses suggesting novel role of gut microbiota in enhancing M-cell mediated immunosurveillance and vaccine efficacy in elderly (Donaldson et al., 2020). We have previously observed that gradual aging manifests several aspects of immunosenescence in aging mice which can be alleviated by the consumption of probiotic fermented milk that resulted in reduced inflamm-aging, suppression of Th1/Th2 immune imbalance and improved response to pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (Sharma et al., 2014). The risk of type II diabetes increases with age, and changes in the gut microbiome can modulate the physiological effects of diabetes by affecting glucose metabolism, energy expenditure, inflammation and gut permeability (Gurung et al., 2020). Recent studies have revealed that type II diabetes is also associated with altered microbiota composition generally characterized by a decrease in anti-inflammatory, probiotic and potentially pathogenic species of bacteria (Almugadam et al., 2020; Das et al., 2021). However, due to the heterogenic, multifactorial and multi-organ nature of type II diabetes, conclusive causal evidence implicating the involvement of specific microbes in the pathogenesis of the disease is challenging and remains elusive. Host microbiome is also rapidly emerging as a predictor of severity and therapy outcomes in several incidences of cancers (Poore et al., 2020; Zhuang et al., 2019). Numerous studies have shown altered gut microbioata composition during the development of various cancers including but not limited to colorectal cancer (Nakatsu et al., 2015), gastric cancer (Ferreira et al., 2018), lung cancer (Zhuang et al., 2019), pancreatic cancer (Half et al., 2019), prostate cancer (Daisley et al., 2020), breast cancer (Goedert et al., 2015), brain cancer (D’Alessandro et al., 2020) and even leukemia (Vicente-Dueñas et al., 2020). Mechanistically, the gut microbiome can influence tumorigenesis at sites distant to the gut by regulating circulatory levels of metabolites and nutrients as well as through the release of microbial toxins which are currently under intense investigation (Parida and Sharma, 2021). Changes in the gut microbiota community has also been documented in the pathogenesis of age-related cardiovascular disorders such as heart failure and coronary artery diseases. In general, decreased abundance of butyrate producing bacteria such as *Faecalibacterium*, *Roseburia* and *Eubacterium rectale* has been observed in patients with coronary heart disease (Jie et al., 2017; Karlsson et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2018), as well as in patients with chronic heart failure.
and cardiac insufficiency (Cui et al., 2018; Kamo et al., 2017; Luedde et al., 2017). While the mechanisms of the pathogenesis of gut microbiome dysbiosis in generation of cardiovascular disorders is yet unclear; age-related increase in intestinal permeability and subsequent increased diffusion of harmful bacterial metabolites that result in sustained inflammation have been considered. For example, increased circulatory levels of microbe-dependent trimethylamine-N-oxide has been observed in patients with heart failure which was also correlated with increased risk of mortality and the development of cardiovascular disorders (Tang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2011).

**Gut microbiome and cellular senescence**

A bidirectional relationship between the gut microbiome and cellular senescence can be envisaged wherein secretory metabolites of the gut microbiota and/or gut microflora mediated bio-transformed dietary components can directly impact cellular senescence in intestinal cells, while the accumulating senescent epithelial cells in the intestine (and SASP thereof) may contribute to altered cellular functions and immune activation (Figure 3).

**Figure 3.** Relationship between the gut microbiome and senescent cells. *(1)* Metabolites of the microbiome or those produced by microbial biotransformation of dietary components, can directly influence cellular stressors and stress response capacity which can ultimately attenuate the development and progression of cellular senescence. *(2)* Cellular senescence can contribute to functional dysfunctions in the intestinal cells, and SASP secreted by these cells can augment aberrant intestinal inflammation and immune cell activation. Illustration created at app.biorender.com.

The gut microbiome utilizes dietary fuel to synthesize an array of bioactive metabolites such as SCFAs, phenols, neurotransmitters, HPA hormones, endotoxins, ammonia etc. through processes such as microbial fermentation (Oliphant and Allen-Vercoe, 2019). These metabolites can reach circulation and affect the functioning of distal organs and systems (Agus et al., 2021; Colldén et al., 2019), and as such, the gut microbiome is often considered as a complex virtual endocrine organ (Clarke et al., 2014). Although cellular
senescence is subject to several regulations, however, the natural development of cellular senescence can be regulated by cells’ ability to counter ROS mediated oxidative damage and stress response capacity (Colavitti and Finkel, 2005). Indeed, it has been demonstrated that increased oxidative stress over the lifespan of an animal can directly enhance the accumulation of SC (Zhang et al., 2017), and application of antioxidants can attenuate cellular senescence both in vitro and in vivo (Kumar et al., 2019a; Varela-Eirín et al., 2020). Therefore, it is conceivable that the development and accumulation of SC can be attenuated or delayed either by enhancing the potency of cellular stress response and/or redox balance, or simply by neutralizing the external oxidative/inflammatory stressors. In this regard, diverse metabolites of the gut microbiome and probiotic bacteria, or microbiome-fermented dietary phytomolecules are known to exert strong anti-inflammatory and antioxidant attributes which may be useful in preventing senescence-associated pro-inflammatory and pro-tumorigenic environment (Chang et al., 2014; Chung et al., 2020; De Marco et al., 2018; Riaz Rajoka et al., 2019; Rossi et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2018) (Figure 3). Moreover, complex phytomolecules, especially polyphenols, which are poorly absorbed in the small intestine, are fermented by the colon microbiota to yield several simpler molecules which may have different and/or enhanced biological effects than the original compound (Parkar et al., 2013; Sharma and Padwad, 2020c; Wang et al., 2018). We have also observed that amalgamation of a probiotic bacteria and tea polyphenol EGCG can differentially modulate the aging immune system as compared to individual treatments of constitutive elements (Sharma et al., 2019). However, our recent work provided first evidence of stress-induced premature cellular senescence suppression by the application of probiotic bacteria. It was observed that metabolites secreted by a probiotic Lactobacillus fermentum, isolated from human fecal matter, can attenuate multiple characteristic markers of senescence in preadipocytes such as cellular hypertrophy, SA-β-gal activity, induction of SASP, DNA damage response, Akt/mTOR pathway and activation of cell cycle inhibitor signaling (Kumar et al., 2020). Using a reconstructed skin model, another study reported that extract of bacterium Sphingomonas hydrophobicum can delay skin senescence by attenuating the activation of p21, p16^{Ink4a} cell cycle inhibitors and SA-β-gal activity resulting in restructuring of skin as well as psychobiological effects (Gervason et al., 2019). It is thus conceivable that secretory factors of the gut microbiota can influence cellular senescence, although further in vivo studies are warranted.

Intestinal epithelial cells (IECs) not only act as protective barrier that separate the host tissues from the luminal environment, but also serve as sensors to maintain inflammatory homeostasis in the gut. Age-related progressive increase in SC burden and chronic SASP environment in the intestinal tissue can dysregulate the normal functioning of IECs (such as barrier functions) and ultimately contribute to increased gut permeability and enhanced susceptibility to inflammation and infections (Figure 3). A recent analysis showed age-dependent increase in senescent p16^{Ink4a}+/p21+ cells in various human organs, including the colon tissues, suggesting SC accumulation as a function of intestinal aging across the human lifespan (Idda et al., 2020). Similarly, another report revealed that intestinal tissue developed strong signatures of cellular senescence as evident by increased expression of senescence markers-p16^{Ink4a}, p21^{Cip1} and SA-β-gal activity both in WT mice and accelerated aging mice model- Ercc1^{-/-} (Yousefzadeh et al., 2020). We also observed an age-dependent increase in DNA damage, cellular senescence (p53/p21^{WAF1}), activation of SASP regulators (NFκB, p38MAPK, Cox-2) and metabolic stress in the intestinal tissue of aged mice indicating their vulnerability to spontaneous age-related genotoxic stress (Sharma et al., 2021). In addition, intestinal epithelial organoids derived from aged mice also showed consistent upregulation of senescence markers such as SA-β-gal activity and p21 as compared to organoids derived from younger organisms (Uchida et al., 2018). Intestinal epithelial stem cells of old mice
expressed enhanced mRNA levels of genes associated with cellular senescence and oxidative stress (Moorefield et al., 2017), and radiation exposure also induced premature cellular senescence and SASP phenotype in intestinal stem cells in vivo (Kumar et al., 2019b). It is thus evident that intestinal epithelial as well as stem cells exhibit age-dependent cellular senescence which can contribute to known functional alterations and disruption of gastrointestinal homeostasis. Moreover, the chronic SASP secreted by senescent intestinal cells can promote inflammatory environment and/or oncogenic transformation which can have harmful effects on gut permeability, immune activation as well as the gut microbiome composition (Kumar et al., 2019b; Sharma et al., 2021) (Figure 3). A breakthrough study demonstrated the effects of a dysbiotic gut in the development of SASP and its deleterious effects. It was observed that obesity-induced alterations in the gut microbiota can augment the synthesis of a genotoxic bacterial metabolite- deoxycholic acid, which provokes SASP phenotype in stellate hepatic cells, ultimately inducing pro-inflammatory environment and aiding in the development of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in mice (Yoshimoto et al., 2013). Remarkably, blocking DCA production or antibiotic mediated reduction in gut bacteria efficiently prevented HCC development in obese mice (Yoshimoto et al., 2013). It is also worth noting that although cellular senescence is seemingly undesirable in the context of aging; yet pro-senescence strategies are often considered favorable for preventing the proliferation of cancerous cells (Chen et al., 2005). In this context, bacterial metabolites such as butyrate have shown the potential to limit the proliferation of cancerous cells by upregulating the expression of pro-senescence genes such as p21, p27 and SASP regulators (Thangaraju et al., 2009; Wei et al., 2016), and suppressing genotoxic risk factors of cancer development (Abrahamse et al., 1999; Ebert et al., 2003).

A wealth of emerging data has demonstrated that nutraceuticals, especially phytomolecules can attenuate several aspects of cellular senescence and SASP (Sharma and Padwad, 2020a). Given that these dietary components first interact with the gut microbiome, it is plausible to envisage that the known anti-cellular senescence or other age-associated health beneficial effects of nutraceuticals may also be related to the modulation of gut microbiome and gut metabolites thereof (Sharma and Padwad, 2020b; Sharma and Padwad, 2020d). For instance, the mechanisms of anti-cellular senescence and senolytic attributes of putative senolytics such as quercetin, EGCG, resveratrol and fistein are incompletely understood, and could be mediated by influencing the composition of the gut microbiome (Saccon et al., 2021). This is especially plausible given the fact that bioactive phytomolecules can promote the proliferation of probiotic bacteria, suppress the growth of pathogenic bacteria, and also act as second generation synbiotics (Sharma et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2013). A recent report has demonstrated that the consumption of a senolytic combination of Dasatinib and Quercetin resulted in lower SC burden (p16\textsuperscript{Inka4a} and p21 expression) and SASP phenotype (Cxcl1, IL1\beta, IL6, MCP1, and TNF-\alpha expression) in small and large intestine as compared with control mice. Remarkably, specific microbial composition in ileal, cecal, colonic, and fecal regions were also distinctly modulated by D+Q, suggesting that senolytics might improve health via reducing intestinal senescence, inflammation, and gut microbial dysbiosis in older subjects (Saccon et al., 2021). Our recent report also observed that dietary consumption of another putative senolytic, green tea EGCG, decreased SC burden in multiple tissues which correlated with increased suppression of pathogenic bacteria in the gut microbiome of aged mice (Sharma et al., 2021). Another natural senolytic fistein, which is abundant in numerous fruits and vegetables, can suppress premature ovarian failure (Lin et al., 2020) and age-related Parkinson’s disease by regulating the composition of gut microbiota (Chen et al., 2020b). Further, polyphenols quercetin and resveratrol can enhance in vivo microbial diversity, especially related to beneficial probiotics such as Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium, and augment intestinal inflammatory homeostasis (Dos Santos et al., 2020).
2019; Lin et al., 2019; Shi et al., 2020). Similar to polyphenols, few studies have shown that dietary supplementation of probiotic bacteria can also influence inflammatory disorders through the modulation of cellular senescence in colon tissue. For example, administration of *Lactobacillus pentosus* var. *plantarum* C29 to aged rats inhibited inflamm-aging, improved expression of gut tight junction proteins and bacterial diversity which correlated with suppression of cellular senescence in the colon of aged rats (Jeong et al., 2015a). Similarly, consumption of probiotic *Lactobacillus brevis* OW38 in experimental animals inhibited cellular senescence in colon, and reduced the ratio of Firmicutes or Proteobacteria to Bacteroidetes (Jeong et al., 2016), while consumption of a probiotic mixture IRT5, containing five different probiotic strains, also attenuated multiple aspects of age-dependent colitis in rats by targeting cellular senescence and inflammatory aggravation (Jeong et al., 2015b).

**Future research directions**

There are several deficiencies and unanswered questions in our current understanding of the interrelationship between gut microbiota and cellular senescence (Figure 4). Emerging evidence suggests that the gut metabolome could be a more pragmatic and functional marker of a healthy gut (Zierer et al., 2018) since traditional metagenomics-based approaches lack quantitative functional annotation (Frias-Lopez et al., 2008). Recent observations suggest that gut metabolome analyses can effectively reveal microbiome-dependent interactions between diet and metabolites, as well as ethnic and disease conditions (Jain et al., 2019; Tang et al., 2019; Vascellari et al., 2020).

**Figure 4.** Open questions and opportunities relating gut microbiome and cellular senescence. 
(1) How the gut metabolome changes with age, and how it could be related to cellular senescence?
senescence and SASP is not known. (2) Whether the known effects of senolytics and anti-senescence agents are mediated through gut microbiome modulation is not clear. (3) How does SASP of accumulating senescent cells in the intestine affect the gut microbiome remains unknown. Illustration created at app.biorender.com.

However, studies delineating the role of gut metabolome alterations with lifespan and diseases are limited, and are only beginning to be understood (Wu et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021). Since, there is evidence that secretory metabolites of commensal bacteria can directly modulate SC development (Kumar et al., 2020), it would be interesting to assess how age-dependent gut metabolome changes affect the oxi-inflammatory stress in the gut, and whether these changes could be correlated with increased SC burden in the intestine (Figure 4). Secondly, there is an acute dearth of information whether the effects of putative anti-cellular senescence agents or senolytics and senomorphics could be related or even dependent on the modulation of the gut microbiome. This is significant since a focus is on identifying/developing diet-based senolytics, but their relationship with the gut microbiome is not clear. Moreover, probiotic bacteria, which have been ardently augmented as modulators of gut dysbiosis (Gagliardi et al., 2018), are yet to be conclusively assessed for their anti-cellular senescence attributes per se (Figure 4). Thirdly, it is essential to analyze the biological impact of senescent intestinal tissue cells in directly influencing the microflora composition as well as functional homeostasis during aging (Figure 4). This may be tested by studying the effects of SC and their secretome on the growth and abundance of specific microbial populations or a milieu of different representative microbes. Finally, we know the impact of microbiota in the development and maturation of immune system, and thus it is reasonable to speculate that strategies targeting gut dysbiosis could also improve immune functions during aging which may help counter SC burden and SASP development. However, as of now, this is purely speculative and further research is required to comprehend the relationship between age-related dysbiosis and immunosenescence vis-à-vis cellular senescence (Bosco and Noti, 2021).

Conclusions

It is accepted that gut microbiota and human aging are intimately linked. However, our understanding of the aging process per se is yet incomplete and is being progressively deciphered. Despite its limitations, cellular senescence-based understanding of aging is currently the focus of aging research (Bhatia-Dey et al., 2016), and which, combined with immunosenescence and gut dysbiosis form the major known contributors to aging (Figure 5). Given this emerging paradigm, it is essential that the gut microbiome be investigated in the emerging purview of cellular senescence, SASP and immunosenescence, which bears the promise to identify new therapeutic targets for microbiome oriented anti-aging interventions.
Figure 5. Cellular senescence, gut dysbiosis and immunosenescence are the major contributors to aging and age-related disorders.
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