

Type of the Paper (Article)

Enterococci in farm-manufactured Pecorino and goat cheese

Beniamino Cenci-Goga ^{1,2*}, Musafiri Karama ², Saeed El-Ashram ^{3,4}, Cristina Saraiva ^{5,6}, Juan García-Díez ⁵, Athanasios Chalias ⁷ and Luca Grispoldi ¹

¹ Dipartimento di Medicina Veterinaria, Università degli Studi di Perugia, Italy;

beniamino.cencigoga@unipg.it

² Faculty of Veterinary Science, Department of Paraclinical Sciences, University of Pretoria, Onderstepoort 0110, South Africa; musafiri.karama@up.ac.za

³ School of Life Science and Engineering, Foshan University, Foshan Guangdong 528231, China; saeed_elashram@yahoo.com

⁴ Faculty of Science, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafr el-Sheikh, 33516, Egypt; saeed_elashram@yahoo.com

⁵ Veterinary and Animal Research Centre (CECAV). University of Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro, 5001-801, Vila Real, Portugal; crisarai@utad.pt; juangarciadiez@utad.pt

⁶ Department of Veterinary Sciences, School of Agrarian and Veterinary Sciences, University of Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro, 5000-801. Vila Real, Portugal; crisarai@utad.pt

⁷ European Food Safety Authority, EU-FORA Programme, 43126 Parma, Italy; a.chalias@gmail.com

* Correspondence: Beniamino Cenci Goga; beniamino.cencigoga@unipg.it ; Tel.: +39 075 585 7973

Abstract: Enterococci are enteric organisms which are commonly isolated from ewe and goat's milk production in Umbria, Italy. For years enterococci have been considered as microorganisms only indicative of inadequate hygienic practices or exposure of the food to conditions that would permit multiplication of other undesirable bacteria. However, enterococci largely occur in many cheeses, and are now considered to be usual components of their typical microflora. They play a major role in cheese ripening due to lipolytic, proteolytic and caseinolytic activities. Enterococci have been also shown to be involved in food poisoning outbreaks although only *E. faecalis* has been demonstrated to cause changes in dairy products, thus being the only species of concern in dairy production. The aim of this study was to investigate the evolution of enterococci during the production and ripening of Pecorino cheese made with two different cheesemaking processes and characterize *Enterococcus* spp. isolates all along the cheesemaking and ripening process.

Keywords: Enterococcus, QPS, GRAS, safety, milk, cheese

1. Introduction

Enterococci are gram-positive bacteria and may fit within the general definition of lactic acid bacteria. With regard to safety and according to the Qualified Presumption of Safety (QPS) list from the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (<https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/topics/topic/qps>), Enterococcus species are neither recommended for the QPS list [1] nor have GRAS status [2], in spite of recent scientific knowledge allowing differentiation of commensal from pathogenic strains [3-5]. Modern classification techniques resulted, back in 1980s, in the transfer of some members of the genus Streptococcus, notably some of the Lancefield's group D streptococci, to the new genus Enterococcus [6]. Enterococci can be used as indicators of fecal contamination and have been implicated in outbreaks of foodborne illness. On the other hand, they have been ascribed a beneficial or detrimental role in foods [3-5]. In processed meats, enterococci may survive heat processing and cause spoilage, though in certain cheeses the growth of enterococci contributes to ripening and development of product flavor [3,7]. Some enterococci of food origin produce bacteriocins that exert anti-Listeria activity [8]. Enterococci are used as probiotics

to improve the microbial balance of the intestine, or as a treatment for gastroenteritis in humans and animals [4,5,9,10]. On the other hand, enterococci have become recognized as serious nosocomial pathogens causing bacteraemia, endocarditis, urinary tract and other infections. This is in part explained by the resistance of some of these bacteria to most antibiotics that are currently in use. Resistance is acquired by gene transfer systems, such as conjugative or nonconjugative plasmids or transposons. Virulence of enterococci is not well understood but adhesins, haemolysin, hyaluronidase, aggregation substance and gelatinase are putative virulence factors. It appears that foods could be a source of vancomycin-resistant enterococci [4,11,12].

Regardless these considerations Enterococci are commonly found in milk and cheese [13] and there are two divergent opinions about the presence of enterococci in cheese. One is that enterococci should be considered more suitable than others groups commonly used as indicators of unhygienic procedures in food processing and handling (e.g. Enterobacteriaceae). This is related to their high heat resistance and salt tolerance [14]. The other opinion is that enterococci have a possible contribution to the ripening of cheese due to their lipolytic, proteolytic and caseinolytic activities [15]. Moreover it has been stressed their contribution to flavor producing due to the attitude of produce acetoin, diacetyl and acetaldehyde [15].

Pecorino and goat cheeses are typical Italian cheeses made usually with whole raw ewe's or raw goat's milk, without starter culture addition. Thus, only bacteria's milk contribute to ripening changes in the cheese. In previous works the possibility of using heat treated milk with added autochthonous starter cultures was compared with traditional cheesemaking technology from raw milk [7]. Even though food hygienic aspects were improved, enterococci were found to be present at the end of the ripening in cheeses made with both cheesemaking processes, possibly playing a weighty role in determining the quality of the finished product.

The aim of this work was to investigate the evolution of enterococci during the production and ripening of Pecorino cheese made with two different cheesemaking processes and characterize *Enterococcus* spp. isolates all along the cheesemaking and ripening process.

2. Materials and Methods

General

The experiment was carried out on three farms in Umbria, farm A, has 500 Sardinian ewes, farm B has 200 Sardinian ewes, farm C has 80 Saanen goats. In farm A and B milk is collected with a milking machine and automatically filtered before the cheesemaking. In farm C goats are hand milked and the milk is filtered through a linen cloth.

Milk from each farm was collected, analyzed and subsequently used to produce Pecorino or goat cheese. On each farm two different cheesemaking processes were carried out from raw milk and from heat-treated milk to which the autochthonous cultures were added as a starter. These cultures have been characterized in previous works [9,16-20]. Each cheesemaking was replicated three times. According to this experimental procedure there were 18 manufacturing processes: 12 from raw milk and 12 from heat-treated milk.

Cheese manufacturing

Cheese was made from ewes and goats milk obtained from both evening and morning milking. The main steps of cheesemaking process were: milk coagulation in a tinned copper vat occurred at 37°C within about 30' by adding liquid calf rennet (Lima, Perugia, Italy, titre 1:10.000). The curd was cut into nut-sized granules (10 to 20 mm), then stirring for 5 minutes, heated at 42-43°. After a pause of 5 to 10 minutes the curd was put into molds (20 cm diameter by 8 cm high), pressed by hand for a few seconds, drained for 18 to 20 hours and salted in brine (20% NaCl w/v, at 12-15°C for 30 h). The cheeses were ripened in non-conditioned storage rooms at 12-15°C and 83-87% RH for 60 days (40 days for goat cheeses).

Heat treatment (where applied) provided a heating before the rennet addition. Raw milk was heated to 65-66 °C for 3-4 min in a double wall stainless steel vat. The thermic cycle 55-65-55°C (during heating and cooling) took about 14 min. After further cooling to 42 °C, the starter was added at 42°C at a final concentration of 2% (as a full-coagulated 24h culture in sterile milk)

Sampling

The following samples were taken on each farm: raw milk, heat-treated milk (where applied), curd, 7 days cheese, 30 days cheese, full-ripened cheese. Triplicate samples were collected, transported to the laboratory in chilled containers and analyzed on the same day. Sampling was made according to ISO 5538:2004 [21].

Bacterial counts

The following groups were evaluated:

- total viable count: pour plates of Plate Count Agar (Difco, Detroit, Mi, USA), were incubated at 30°C for 72 h; all colonies were counted;
- Enterobacteriaceae: pour plates of Violet Red Bile Agar (Difco) were incubated at 32°C for 48h; all pink to red colonies, irrespective of diameter or presence/absence of zone of precipitation were counted;
- enterococci: surface-inoculated plates of Barnes Agar (Biolife, Milano, Italy) were incubated at 44°C for 72 h; all pink, red or maroon colonies, irrespective of diameter, were counted.

Isolation and identification of enterococci

Two to five colonies from each sample were sub-cultured from Barnes medium into brain heart infusion broth (BHI, Difco) at 37°C for 24h and then tested for the following characteristics: cell morphology after Gram staining, presence of catalase, growth in bile-esculin-azide agar (Coccosel agar, BioMérieux, Marcy-l'Etoile, France) at 37°C, growth in 6.5% NaCl BHI agar (Difco) at 37°C, growth in the presence of 4% bile salts (Coccosel agar, Biolife, with added 4% bile salts) at 37°C, haemolysis type on tryptic soy agar (Biolife) to which 5% of ram blood was added, at 37°C.

Complementary biochemical tests were performed on colonies grown on blood agar using the API 20 STREP (BioMérieux): hydrolysis of hippurate and arginine, production of β -galactosidase, acid production from ribose, L-arabinose, mannitol, sorbitol, lactose, trehalose, inulin, raffinose, starch and glycogen. Computer program APILAB (VERSION?) (BioMérieux) was used for the results.

Antibiotics susceptibility

Enterococcus spp. isolates were tested for antimicrobial susceptibility against a panel of 12 antimicrobials by the disk diffusion method (Kirby Bauer Test) as described by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [22] The following antimicrobials were tested: amikacyn 30 mg, amoxycillin/clavulanic acid 30 (20 + 10) mg, ampicillin 10 µg, ceftriaxone 30 µg, chloramphenicol 30 µg, ciprofloxacin 5 µg, kanamycin 30 µg, sulphamethoxazole 25 µg, sulphamethoxazole/trimethoprim 25 µg, tetracycline 30 µg, ticarcillin 75 µg, vancomycin 30 µg. This antimicrobial panel was selected to test the major groups of antimicrobials. Briefly, frozen isolates were thawed and cultured in BHI broth (Bio-Rad) at 35 to 37°C for 24 h. A portion of the culture broth was inoculated into 6 mL of 0.9% sterile physiological saline solution until a turbidity of 2 McFarland was reached. Using a sterile swab, the solution was spread on Muller-Hinton agar plates (Oxoid). Antimicrobial disks (Oxoid) were placed on Muller-Hinton agar plates which were incubated at 37°C for 18 to 24 h. At the end of incubation, the diameters of the growth inhibitory zones were measured, and these were interpreted using specific CLSI tables whereby the bacterium is classified as sensitive, intermediate or resistant [22].

3. Results and discussion

Results of the determination made on Pecorino and goat cheese during manufacture and ripening are given in tables 1-2-3-4-5-6.

Changes in total viable counts and Enterobacteriaceae.

The changes observed were similar to those observed previously [7]. The differences observed on the three farms comprised a range of variability which is common to on-farm cheesemaking (Table 1).

The total mesophilic aerobes in raw milk ranged from log 5.9 cfu ml⁻¹ in farm A to log 7.54 cfu ml⁻¹ in farm C. At the early stage of production of cheeses made with raw milk, counts increased up to 1 week and then decreased. In the cheesemaking processes from heat-treated milk added with autochthonous starter cultures bacterial population in milk, after the treatment, was reduced to log 3.06 cfu ml⁻¹ in farm A, log 4.56 cfu ml⁻¹ in farm B and log 4.91 cfu ml⁻¹ in farm C. After the treatment counts showed the same evolution described for cheeses made with raw milk.

Enterobacteriaceae in raw milk ranged from 3.9 log cfu ml⁻¹ in farm A to 3.9 log cfu ml⁻¹ in farm B. In cheeses made with raw milk Enterobacteriaceae increased up to curd production and then decreased being not detectable or at low concentration at the end of the ripening. On farm B and C bacterial reduction was observed on 1 week cheese whilst on farm A an additional growth occurred in cheese during the first week of ripening, after which there was a decrease in population. Enterobacteriaceae were not detectable in full-ripened cheese made with raw ewe's milk whilst they were detected from full-ripened cheese made with raw goat's milk. In cheeses made with heat-treated milk with added autochthonous starter cultures, Enterobacteriaceae underwent great reduction in heat-treated milk being not detectable in farm A, whilst they were only partially reduced in farm B and C (4.22 log of reduction in farm B and 2.91 in farm C). Although heavy curd recontamination always occurred, no Enterobacteriaceae were detectable in full-ripened cheese made with heat-treated ewe's milk, whilst they were still detected in cheeses made with heat-treated goat's milk.

Behaviour of enterococci

Enterococci counts in milk were always lower than 4 log cfu ml⁻¹. The changes observed were similar to those observed previously [7]. At the early stage of production of cheeses made with raw milk, counts increased up to 1 week and then slightly decreased. In the cheesemaking processes from heat-treated milk with added autochthonous starter cultures no evident reduction of enterococci population was observed after the treatment. After the heat treatment counts showed the same behavior described for cheeses made with raw milk.

Identification

One-hundred strains of enterococci were identified. Forty-six from farm A, thirty-two from farm B and twenty-two from farm C. Sixty-nine were identified as *Enterococcus faecium*, twenty-two as *Enterococcus durans*, seven as *Enterococcus faecalis* and two as *Enterococcus casseliflavus* (Tables 2 and 3). *Enterococcus faecium* was found more frequently on farm A (80.4%) and on farm B (68.8%), whilst on farm C more than 95% of the isolates consisted of *Enterococcus faecium* and *Enterococcus durans* altogether. No strains of *Enterococcus faecalis* were found on Farm A, whilst *Enterococcus casseliflavus* was found only on farm B.

Bacterial cells under microscopic observation after Gram staining appeared Gram negative, in pairs or in short chains, ovoid elongated in direction of the chain. All strains were catalase negative. This result together with cells morphology confirmed the identification of the strains as belonging to the genus *Streptococcus*. Enterococci were identified as such on the basis of their growth in the presence of bile-esculine, 6.5% of NaCl solution, and 4% bile salts, and on the basis of the hydrolysis of the arginine. TTC reduction on Barnes medium differentiated *E. faecalis* from *E. faecium*. Infact culture grown in the presence of triphenyl tetrazolium chloride (TTC) on Barnes medium were white or with a red center and white border. The former were presumptively identified as *E. faecium* and the latter

as *E. faecalis* prior to biochemical tests with API 20 Strep (BioMérieux). No strains were β -haemolytic.

The principal physiological and biochemical characteristics of the isolates are given on Table 4.

Antibiotics susceptibility

The antimicrobial susceptibility test data are shown in Table 5 and 6. High percentages (>80%) of susceptible strains were found for amoxycillin/clavulanic acid, ampicillin, chloramphenicol, sulphamethoxazole, sulphamethoxazole/trimethoprim, ticarcillin, vancomycin. A high prevalence of resistance strains (> 30%) was observed for amikacin, ciprofloxacin, ceftriaxone, kanamycin, tetracycline. It is important to note that 5% of the strains (one strain of *E. durans* and 4 strains of *E. faecium* all isolated from farm B) were resistant to vancomycin. In the last two decades, Enterococci have become major nosocomial pathogens. An increasing number of these infections are due to enterococci that are resistant to vancomycin. Accurate detection of vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) is important so that appropriate therapy and infection control measures may be instituted, including veterinary surveillance [23].

Conclusion

In Pecorino and goat cheese the ripening processes are the result of the natural microbiological contamination of milk and of the characteristics cheesemaking technology. Therefore, not only lactic acid bacteria but also other bacterial groups, such as enterococci, must be considered. This study demonstrated that, regardless the cheesemaking technology, heavy curd recontamination occurs and that enterococci are always detected at high concentration at the end of ripening. A large proportion of isolates are also resistant to antibiotics and this poses the question whether microorganism belonging to *Enterococcus* spp. can be awarded the QPS or GRAS status.

Author Contributions: “Conceptualization, B.C.G. and L.G.; methodology, B.C.G. and L.G.; formal analysis, B.C.G. and L.G.; writing—original draft preparation, B.C.G.; writing—review and editing, B.C.G., M.K., S.E.S.; supervision, C.S. and J.G.D.; project administration, B.C.G.; funding acquisition, B.C.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.”

Funding: “This research was funded by EFSA, European Food Safety Authority, grant number GP/EFSA/ENCO/2018/05_GA6”.

Acknowledgments: The authors express sincere appreciation to members of Polyglot, Perugia, Italy, for a careful reading and comments on the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: “The authors declare no conflict of interest.”

References

1. Hazards, E. Panel of B. Scientific Opinion on the update of the list of QPS-recommended biological agents intentionally added to food or feed as notified to EFSA. *EFSA Journal* **2017**, *15*, e04664, doi:<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.4664>.
2. Huys, G.; Botteldoorn, N.; Delvigne, F.; De Vuyst, L.; Heyndrickx, M.; Pot, B.; Dubois, J.-J.; Daube, G. Microbial characterization of probiotics—Advisory report of the Working Group “8651 Probiotics” of the Belgian Superior Health Council (SHC). *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research* **2013**, *57*, 1479–1504, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201300065>.
3. Dapkevicius, M.D.; Sgardioli, B.; Câmara, S.P.A.; Poeta, P.; Malcata, F.X. Current Trends of Enterococci in Dairy Products: A Comprehensive Review of Their Multiple Roles. *Foods* **2021**, *10*, doi:10.3390/foods10040821.
4. Graham, K.; Stack, H.; Rea, R. Safety, beneficial and technological properties of enterococci for use in functional food applications – a review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* **2020**, *60*, 3836–3861, doi:10.1080/10408398.2019.1709800.

5. Hanchi, H.; Mottawea, W.; Sebei, K.; Hammami, R. The Genus *Enterococcus*: Between Probiotic Potential and Safety Concerns—An Update. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **2018**, *9*, 1791.
6. Schleifer, K.H.; Kilpper-Bälz, R. Transfer of *Streptococcus faecalis* and *Streptococcus faecium* to the Genus *Enterococcus* nom. rev. as *Enterococcus faecalis* comb. nov. and *Enterococcus faecium* comb. nov. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **1984**, *34*, 31-34, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-34-1-31>.
7. Clementi, F.; Cenci-Goga, B.; Trabalza Marinucci, M.; Di Antonio, E. Use of selected starter cultures in the production of farm manufactured goat cheese from thermized milk. *Italian Journal of Food Science* **1998**, *10*, 41-56.
8. Franz, C.M.A.P.; Van Belkum, M.J.; Holzapfel, W.H.; Abriouel, H.; Gálvez, A. Diversity of enterococcal bacteriocins and their grouping in a new classification scheme. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* **2007**, *31*, 293-310, doi:10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00064.x.
9. Cenci-Goga, B.T.; Karama, M.; Sechi, P.; Iulietto, M.F.; Novelli, S.; Selvaggini, R.; Mattei, S. Growth Inhibition of Selected Microorganisms by an Association of Dairy Starter Cultures and Probiotics. *Italian Journal of Animal Science* **2015**, *14*, 3745, doi:10.4081/ijas.2015.3745.
10. Grispoldi, L.; Giglietti, R.; Traina, G.; Cenci-Goga, B. How to Assess in vitro Probiotic Viability and the Correct Use of Neutralizing Agents. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **2020**, *11*, 204.
11. Cenci-Goga, B.T.; Crotti, S.; Costarelli, S.; Rondini, C.; Karama, M.; Bennett, P. Detection of tet(M) Gene from Raw Milk by Rapid DNA Extraction Followed by a Two-Step PCR with Nested Primers. *Journal of Food Protection* **2004**, *67*, 2833-2838, doi:10.4315/0362-028X-67.12.2833.
12. Werner, G.; Coque, T.M.; Franz, C.M.A.P.; Grohmann, E.; Hegstad, K.; Jensen, L.; van Schaik, W.; Weaver, K. Antibiotic resistant enterococci—Tales of a drug resistance gene trafficker. *International Journal of Medical Microbiology* **2013**, *303*, 360-379, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2013.03.001>.
13. Tsanasidou, C.; Asimakoula, S.; Sameli, N.; Fanitsios, C.; Vandera, E.; Bosnea, L.; Koukkou, A.-I.; Samelis, J. Safety Evaluation, Biogenic Amine Formation, and Enzymatic Activity Profiles of Autochthonous Enterocin-Producing Greek Cheese Isolates of the *Enterococcus faecium*/*durans* Group. *Microorganisms* **2021**, *9*, doi:10.3390/microorganisms9040777.
14. Sojeong, H.; It; sup; gt; It; sup; gt; Jungmin, L.; It; sup; et al. Genomic Insight into the Salt Tolerance of Enterococcus faecium, Enterococcus faecalis and Tetragenococcus halophilus. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2019**, *29*, 1591-1602, doi:10.4014/jmb.1908.08015.
15. Marilley, L.; Casey, M.G. Flavours of cheese products: metabolic pathways, analytical tools and identification of producing strains. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* **2004**, *90*, 139-159, doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605\(03\)00304-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(03)00304-0).
16. Cenci-Goga, B.T.; Karama, M.; Sechi, P.; Iulietto, M.F.; Grispoldi, L.; Selvaggini, R.; Ceccarelli, M.; Barbera, S. Fate of selected pathogens in spiked «SALAME NOSTRANO» produced without added nitrates following the application of NONIT™ technology. *Meat Science* **2018**, *139*, 247-254, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.02.002>.
17. Cenci-Goga, B.T.; Karama, M.; Sechi, P.; Iulietto, M.F.; Novelli, S.; Selvaggini, R.; Barbera, S. Effect of a novel starter culture and specific ripening conditions on microbiological characteristics of nitrate-free dry-cured pork sausages. *Italian Journal of Animal Science* **2016**, *15*, 358-374, doi:10.1080/1828051X.2016.1204633.
18. Sechi, P.; Iulietto, M.F.; Mattei, S.; Traina, G.; Codini, M.; Cenci-Goga, B.T. In vitro activity of a formulation of lactic acid bacteria of dairy origin and probiotics vs. selected pathogens. 2014; Vol. 185, pp 82-82.
19. Sechi, P.; Iulietto, M.F.; Mattei, S.; Traina, G.; Codini, M.; Cenci-Goga, B.T. Effect of a formulation of selected dairy starter cultures and probiotics on microbiological, chemical and sensory characteristics of swine dry-cured sausages. 2014; Vol. 185, pp 83-83.
20. Cenci-Goga, B.T.; Rossitto, P.V.; Sechi, P.; Parmegiani, S.; Cambiotti, V.; Cullor, J.S. Effect of selected dairy starter cultures on microbiological, chemical and sensory characteristics of swine and venison (Dama dama) nitrite-free dry-cured sausages. *Meat Science* **2012**, *90*, 599-606, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2011.09.022>.

-
21. ISO CH. ISO 5538:2004 [IDF 113:2004] Milk and milk products — Sampling — Inspection by attributes. ISO CH: 2004.
 22. CLSI. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Guidelines, 2011. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), Wayne,PA. **2011**.
 23. European Centre for Disease Prevention Control. Surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in Europe 2018. ECDC Stockholm: 2019.
 - 1.