Molecular Mechanisms on the Selectivity Enhancement of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine, and Uric Acid by Serine Oligomers Decoration on Graphene Oxide: A Molecular Dynamics Study
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Abstract: The selectivity in the simultaneous detection of ascorbic acid (AA), dopamine (DA), and uric acid (UA) has been an open problem in the biosensing field. Many surface modification methods were carried out for glassy carbon electrodes (GCE), including the use of graphene oxide and amino acids as a selective layer. In this work, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed to investigate the role of serine oligomers on the selectivity of the AA, DA, UA analytes. Our models consisted of a graphene oxide (GO) sheet under a solvent environment. Serine tetramers were added into the simulation box and were adsorbed on the GO surface. Then, the adsorption of each analyte on the mixed surface was monitored from MD trajectories. It was found that the adsorption of AA was preferred by serine oligomers due to the largest number of hydrogen-bond forming functional groups of AA, while UA was the least preferred due to its highest aromaticity. Finally, the role of hydrogen bonds on the electron transfer selectivity of biosensors was discussed with some previous studies.
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1. Introduction

The discovery of graphene has opened the era of two-dimensional nanomaterials for different uses. Graphene is a two-dimensional sp² hybridized carbon atom packed in a hexagonal lattice [1–3]. Due to its exceptionally high electrical conductivity at room temperature and its biocompatibility, graphene is regarded as a novel nanomaterial for bioelectronics and biosensing applications [4–10]. Chemical functionalization of graphene by amino, carboxyl, epoxy, or hydroxyl groups was carried out to improve the selectivity of carbon-based electrodes to different types of biomolecules. A possible application of the modified carbon-based substrates is the simultaneous detection of Ascorbic acid (AA), dopamine (DA), and uric acid (UA) by electrochemical techniques. AA, DA, and UA are biochemical compounds coexisting in body fluids, and their abnormal concentration levels are related to various diseases [11–16]. The goal of this wide-open research question is to precisely measure the levels of those compounds and avoid false-positive detection caused by the interference of redox potentials.

To monitor the micromolar or nanomolar quantities of biomolecules for precise medical diagnosis, such techniques as ultraviolet spectroscopy, molecularly imprinted polymer, chromatography, and electrochemiluminescence can be employed. However, the disadvantages of these methods for clinical use are the high cost and complicated procedures [17–24]. To overcome these issues, electrochemical techniques are used for detecting very small amounts of molecules and have become popular due to their low costs and relatively simple procedures [25–29]. Many studies showed that using a cyclic
voltammetry (CV) or differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) with glassy carbon electrodes (GCE) can detect AA, DA, and UA up to the micromolar limit of detection [30–33]. However, these electrochemical techniques failed to simultaneously detect AA, DA, and UA due to overlapping oxidation peaks, which might cause false-positive detection cases in clinical uses [34–37]. Many attempts were made to improve the selectivity by customizing the surface of electrodes with different techniques. Simultaneous AA/DA/UA detections was carried out under the presence of quinones [38], surfactants [39], and ionic liquids [40] adsorbed on the electrode surfaces. Later, multi-walled carbon nanotubes (mwCNTs) [41], graphene oxide (GO) [30], reduced graphene oxide (rGO) [31], and conducting polymers [42] were used due to stronger adsorption and chemical stability. The aforementioned techniques were used successfully for discriminating AA, DA, and UA through the separated oxidation peaks. Modifying electrodes with biopolymers, e.g., peptides, also became interested due to specific affinity for target materials and analyte molecules [43,44]. Chitravathi et al. carried out a simultaneous detection of AA and DA using cyclic voltammetry with the carbon paste electrode modified by the poly-L-serine film [45], which demonstrated the capability of electropolymerized peptides as a low-cost selective layer for simultaneous detections. The same work proposed that electrostatic interactions between the deprotonated carboxyl (COO-) groups of poly-L-serine and AA in the ascorbate anion form resulted in the negative shift of the oxidation peak. A very recent experiment of our group showed that GCE modified with both GO and poly-L-serine could simultaneously detect AA/DA/UA with separated oxidation peaks (see Appendix). Therefore, GO should also play important roles in selectivity.

In this study, to gain more insight into the selective mechanisms of the polymerized L-serine and graphene oxide decorated on the GCE, atomistic molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed for the model systems (Figure 1) consisting of a graphene oxide sheet, serine tetramers, and a number of analyte molecules. After that, the adsorption rates of the AA/DA/UA analytes were monitored along with the intermolecular contacts and hydrogen bonds. Finally, the role of hydrogen bonding on the oxidation potentials was extensively discussed in atomistic details, where intrinsic properties of serine amino acids and analyte molecules were taken into account. Understanding one of the molecular mechanisms underlying the electrochemical selectivity of modified electrodes should lead to more insight on further applications in biosensing.

Figure 1. Atomistic structures of the molecular models used in this study: a) graphene oxide, b) ascorbic acid, c) dopamine, d) uric acid, and e) tetraserine
2. Results

2.1. Extra adsorption layer of AA/DA/UA on GO with tetraserines

Six atomistic MD simulations were performed: a) GO+AA, b) GO+DA, c) GO+UA, d) GO+SE+AA, e) GO+SE+DA, and f) GO+SE+UA (Figure 2). The first three structures consisted of a graphene oxide sheet and twelve AA, DA, or UA analyte molecules, while the latter also consisted four tetraserine oligomers. In order to monitor the interaction between GO and analyte that occurred during the simulation, the minimum distance between the closest atom pairs from an analyte molecule and the GO surface was measured. All minimum distance was plotted as a function of time for each of the 12 AA, DA, and UA molecules on the GO surface without serine tetramer (Figure 3a-c) and with serine tetramers (Figure 3d-f). For all simulations, longer minimum distances were observed at the start as positions of the analyte molecules were randomized and free from binding. As the simulations progressed, minimum distances decreased when the analyte molecules became bound on the GO surface. Figure 3a displayed minimum distances between all AA molecules and the GO surface without tetraserine. Minimum distances of 4 AA molecules measured from GO were found about 0.25±0.05 nm after 50 ns, which were about the sum of van der Waals radii of two carbon or oxygen atoms. The flat minimum distance profiles signified that the four analyte molecules stayed in close contact with GO. Meanwhile, minimum distances of 8 AA molecules were alternating between the association and the dissociation states from the surface of GO. Figure 3b also showed the minimum
distances between DA molecules and GO without tetraserine, in which 9 DA molecules were strongly bound with GO at 0.25±0.05 nm and 3 DA molecules were found alternating between the association and the dissociation states. In the case of UA (Figure 3c), two modes of stable minimum distances around 0.25±0.05 nm and 0.55±0.08 nm were found with low fluctuations. 9 UA molecules were found in closest contacts with the GO surface during the last 50 ns, while 2 UA molecules were stabilized at the minimum distance around 0.55±0.08 nm, signifying the pi-pi stacking on top of the first UA layer. Only 1 UA molecule was dissociated from the GO surface during the last 10 ns of the simulation.

For systems decorated with serine tetramers, tetraserines lying across the GO formed a primary layer over the surface. The tetraserine layer selectively interacts with some analyte molecules and thus shields them away from the GO surface and facilitates the stacking between analyte molecules. Figure 3d showed minimum distances between all AA molecules and the GO surface with tetraserines. There were 5 AA molecules bound in closest contacts with the GO surface at 0.25 ±0.05 nm, while only 1 AA molecule was alternating between bound and unbound states. Then, it was found that the other 6 AA molecules were shielded by tetraserines from the surface of GO, so that their equilibrium minimum distances were larger than the sum of van der Waals radii between two atoms. Moreover, stacking between either the ring part and the chain part of the shielded AA molecules caused the variety of equilibrated minimum distances around 0.25-1.25 nm within the last 10 ns. In the case of DA molecules binding with GO when tetraserines were present (Figure 3e), 8 DA molecules were bound directly with the GO surface at the minimum distance around 0.25±0.05 nm during the last 50 ns of the simulation. Another 2 DA molecules were either stacked on top of the tetraserines layer or the primary layer formed by the first eight DA molecules over the GO surface. Meanwhile, the last 2 DA molecules were alternating between the association and the dissociation states. The smaller number of bound DA on the GO surface implied that the presence of tetraserines slightly disrupted the binding of DA molecules. The binding of UA to the GO surface was almost unaffected by tetraserines. Figure 3f showed the minimum distances of the GO surface and UA molecules with the presence of tetraserines. There were 9 UA molecules closely bound with GO during the last 50 ns, except by two short dissociation events. Similar to the case in which tetraserines were absent, 2 UA molecules were found with pi-pi stacking on top of the first layer, and another UA molecule was found dissociated from the GO surface. The similarity between the binding behavior of UA to the GO surface with and without tetraserines implied that van der Waals interactions between the GO surface and the UA molecules were significantly more important than the interactions between tetraserines and UA.

Table 1. Binding constant for GO-AA, GO-DA, GO-UA, GO-SE-AA, GO-SE-DA, and GO-SE-UA system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Binding constant on GO(M⁻¹)</th>
<th>Binding constant on tetraserine(M⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GO-AA</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO-DA</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO-UA</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO-SE-AA</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO-SE-DA</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO-SE-UA</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3. minimum distances measured between each of the twelve analyte molecules and GO in the a) GO+AA, b) GO+DA, c) GO+UA, d) GO+SE+AA, e) GO+SE+DA, and f) GO+SE+UA systems. Final structure is also provided for each system. GO nanosheets are represented in grey and tetraserines are represented in orange.

2.2. Serine oligomers unequally affected the AA/DA/UA adsorption on GO

Adsorption behavior of all AA, DA, and UA analyte molecules monitored in Figure 3 was then mapped onto the radial distribution function (RDF) of the minimum distance from the surfaces. The RDF profile and the coordination number ($C_n$) as a function of distance from the surface were calculated between the analyte molecules and the GO surface for the systems of AA, DA, and UA adsorbed on GO without serine tetramers (Figure 4a). For the systems of AA, DA, and UA adsorbed on GO with serine tetramers, RDF and $C_n$ of analyte molecules were calculated both from the GO surface (Figure 4b) and from serine tetramers (Figure 4c). The $C_n$ at the first adsorption layer represented the cumulative average number $N$ of the adsorbed analytes, and the binding constant $k$ was then calculated in terms of $N$ by the equation (See Table 1):

$$k = \frac{V}{m} \left( \frac{N}{N_a} - N \right)$$

When $k$ represents the affinity of molecules, $V$ is the volume of the simulation box, $m$ is the total mass of molecules in the simulation box, $N_a$ is the total number of analytes, and $N$ is the number of the adsorbed analyte molecules. The equation was related to association and dissociation rates and could relatively estimate the binding affinity of different analytes on different substrates in this study. From all cases in Figure 4, the
convergence of surface RDF to zero and the convergence of coordination numbers to 12 (the total number of analytes) within the 2 nm distance suggested that most of the analyte molecules in the models were bound to the GO surface.

**Figure 4.** radial distribution functions of AA/DA/UA analytes a) about the GO surface under the absence of tetraserines, b) about the GO surface under the presence of tetraserines, c) about the tetraserines. Corresponding coordination numbers were also plotted.

Figure 4a displayed the surface RDF profile of AA, DA, and UA from the GO. AA was with the lowest number of molecules in the first RDF shell compared to the other two analytes, while the clear second RDF shell of UA molecules stacking on top of the first shell was clearly observed and was in concurrence with the minimum distance tracking.
The coordination number ($C_n$) was 8.63 for AA at the 0.48 nm distance, while the higher $C_n$ of 9.93 was found for DA at the same distance. For UA, 9.73 molecules formed the first adsorption layer, and the remaining 2.36 UA molecules were adsorbed in the second layer. Binding constants were found at 0.62, 1.16, and 1.03 M$^{-1}$ for AA, DA, and UA, respectively, suggesting that UA was the most energetically favorable analyte for the bare GO. The planar structure of UA could increase the surface area for binding and promote the van der Waals contacts.

The absorption of analytes on the GO surface was affected by the presence of tetraserine, as shown in Figure 4b. Extra RDF shells were observed for AA, corresponding to the number of AA molecules shielded by tetraserines from the GO surface. As the results, the $C_n$ at the first adsorption layer was decreased to 4.63, and the binding constant was substantially dropped to 0.15 M$^{-1}$. Similar to AA, the decreased first RDF peak of DA on the GO surface when decorated with tetraserines was observed along with the higher probability distribution at a further distance. This also demonstrated the shielding effects of tetraserines, but the effects on DA were less significant than those on AA. The $C_n$ at the first adsorption layer for DA on the GO surface was decreased to 7.80, and the binding constant was decreased to 0.45 M$^{-1}$. For UA, however, only a slight decrease of the first RDF peak was observed, suggesting that the binding of UA on the GO surface was mostly unaffected by tetraserine. Only a small decrease of the $C_n$ at the first adsorption layer and the binding constant were found at 9.69 and 1.01 M$^{-1}$, respectively.

Coordination numbers and binding constants calculated from the first adsorption layers on GO were in concurrence with the analysis of minimum distances, in which AA molecules were the most affected and the UA molecules were the least affected by tetraserine decoration. Figure 4c described the adsorption of all three analytes on the surface created by tetraserine and the competing behavior with the GO surface. The first adsorption layer on a bare GO surface was defined by considering the first minimum of the RDF shells of UA at around 0.48 nm. However, for the relatively rough tetraserine surface, the first three peaks of RDF of AA and DA were accounted for the stern layer: the first peak corresponding to the sum of van der Waals radius between a pair of H atoms, the second peak corresponded to that between an H atom and a heavy (N, C, or O) atom, and the third peak corresponded to that between heavy atoms. For UA, only the first two peaks were considered as the UA molecule was planar and only heavy atoms contributed in the RDF and $C_n$. Comparing the RDF profiles of AA, DA, and UA on the tetraserine surfaces in Figure 4C, the highest first three RDF peaks representing the first adsorption layer belonged to AA, followed by DA and UA. The coordination numbers were found at 8.48, 5.10, and 3.84 for the first adsorption layers of AA, DA, and UA, respectively. Binding constants were also estimated for tetraserine binding (Table 1), and it was found that the constant 0.58 M$^{-1}$ for AA binding was significantly higher than the 0.18 M$^{-1}$ for DA and 0.11 M$^{-1}$ for UA binding.

The high affinity of AA binding on the tetraserine surface shown in Figure 4c corresponded to the lower affinity on the GO surface, illustrating the competitive behavior between tetraserine and GO surfaces on the analyte adsorption. AA molecules were most likely to bind with serine tetramers, while UA molecules were most likely to bind with GOs. Only slight differences ($k_{UA} / k_{AA} = 1.66$ M$^{-1}$) were found for systems without tetraserines when considering the binding constants. On the other hand, clear differences ($k_{UA} / k_{AA} = 6.73$ M$^{-1}$) in the binding constants were found for systems with tetraserines. These results suggested that tetraserine was unequally affected and was selective for the binding of AA, UA, and DA, which was due to the intrinsic properties of the analyte molecules.
2.3. Selectivity enhancement in terms of physico-chemical characteristics of serine oligomers and analyte molecules

In the previous section, our MD simulations and RDF analysis illustrated the selective adsorption of AA, DA, and UA analytes on the GO surface decorated by tetraserines. The selectivity was contributed by the binding preference of AA on tetraserines and UA on the GO surface. Due to a large number of polar functional groups, a tetraserine in Figure 5a tended to absorb the analytes by creating hydrogen bonds. Like other amino acids, a serine monomer consists of an amine group (N-H) and a carbonyl group (C=O) at the backbone, and a polar hydroxyl (O-H) functional group at the sidechain. As a tetramer in a zwitterion form, the positively charged N-terminal is a strong hydrogen bond donor, while the carboxyl (-COO\(^-\)) group is a strong hydrogen bond acceptor. Some studies reported that the formation of hydrogen bonds caused the shifting of redox potentials[46–50]. Experimental work on the AA/DA/UA detections by GO substrates showed that polyserine decoration also caused some shifts of oxidation potentials. Therefore, the effect of polyserine decoration on the oxidation peaks might be explained in terms of hydrogen bond formations.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** a) atomistic structures and partial charges within a tetraserine molecules in accordance with the GROMOS54a7 forcefield. b-d) atomistic structures and partial charges of b) AA, c) DA, and d) UA molecules in accordance with the GROMOS54a7 forcefield and 631G* DFT calculations. e-g) number of hydrogen bonds between the e) AA, f) DA, and g) UA analytes and GO. h-i) number of hydrogen bonds between the h) AA, i) DA, and j) UA analytes and tetraserines.

Partial charge distribution along with the atomic nomenclature of AA (Figure 5b), DA (Figure 5c), and UA (Figure 5d) displayed the positions of O-H and N-H groups, behaving as hydrogen donors or electron acceptors. The selectivity of tetraserine and its...
binding preference with AA could be explained in terms of molecular geometry and the distances between sites for hydrogen bonds. Consider the distances between the hydroxyl (-OH) oxygen atom of an amino acid \( n \) and a carbonyl (C=O) oxygen atom of the neighboring amino acids (Figure 5a). The distance between -OH at amino acid \( n \) and C=O at amino acids \( n+1 \) and \( n-1 \) were found at 0.55 nm and 0.46 nm, respectively. Meanwhile, the distance between two carboxyl (COO-) oxygens was found at 0.22 nm. Now, consider the distances between hydrogen bond donors in an AA molecule (Figure 5b). The distances between O2-O5 and O2-O6 atom pairs of AA were found at 0.55 nm and 0.59 nm, respectively, and were matched with the distance between C=O and -OH of tetraserines for hydrogen bonding. Also, the O5-O6 distance 0.32 nm was matched with the distance between the carboxylic oxygen pairs at the C-terminal of tetraserines. A similar pattern was found for the O1-O2 hydroxyl oxygen pairs of DA with a distance of 0.28 nm. Therefore, up to two hydrogen bonds could occur for each pair of an analyte and a tetraserine. For UA, all hydrogen bond donor groups were the N-H groups possessing lower polarity than the O-H groups.

**Figure 6.** Radial distribution function (RDF) of each hydrogen bond donor atom with a) AA, b) DA, and c) UA around the group of acceptor atoms within tetraserines. d-e) A conformational snapshot taken after 100 ns showing hydrogen bonds formed by d) AA and e) DA on the tetraserine.

Hydrogen bond analysis was then performed between the analytes and the tetraserine decorated substrates by counting the number of events that meet these criteria: 1) the distance between a donor atom and an acceptor atom was less than 0.35 nm, and 2) bending angle made by a group of donor-hydrogen-acceptor was less than 30°. It was found that the number of hydrogen bonds between the analytes and GO in Figure 5(e-g) was significantly lower than the hydrogen bonds between the analytes and tetraserines in Figure 5(h-j). The larger number of hydrogen bonds at tetraserines was due to the high density of functional groups mentioned earlier. The average number of hydrogen bonds
between tetraserines and AA during the last 50 ns of the MD trajectory was 31.62 ± 2.87, which was higher than those for DA around 16.59 ± 1.71, and those for UA around 8.30 ± 1.96. The contribution of each hydrogen bond donor of each analyte and each oxygen atom in tetraserines as hydrogen bond acceptors was addressed through the radial distribution function of each donor around the group of acceptors atoms in Figure 6. Figure 6a showed that O6, O5, and O2 atoms were with the three highest first RDF peaks, suggesting their highest contribution for hydrogen bonding. For DA (Figure 6b), O2 was with the highest contribution, followed by O1. In the case of UA (Figure 6c), only small first RDF peaks were observed for all hydrogen bond donors with nitrogen atoms, in concurrence with the smallest number of hydrogen bonds. Conformational snapshots in Figure 6d for AA displayed the contribution of the carboxyl (COO-) oxygens at the C-terminal in binding with the O5 and/or O6 atoms of AA. Either single or double hydrogen bonds could occur at the carboxyl group. Figure 6e for DA also displays the contribution of the carboxyl (COO-) oxygens at the C-terminal as a common hydrogen bond acceptor. Either O1 or O2 of DA mostly contributed as the hydrogen bond donors, while the -NH$_2$ group rarely contributed due to the weaker polarity. In addition to the hydrogen bonds with the carboxyl (COO-) oxygens of tetraserine as acceptors, the amine group at the tetraserine backbone and the hydroxyl group at the tetraserine sidechain acted as donor atoms for hydrogen bonding but were less dominant than the carboxyl (COO-) acceptors.

### 3. Discussion

In this work, atomistic molecular dynamics simulations were used to provided atomistic details on the selectivity of a GO electrode modified by serine oligomers for the simultaneous detections of the AA, DA, and UA molecules. Our model systems consisted of a small GO flake and several analyte molecules. Adsorption of analyte molecules of the GO flakes was monitored either in the presence or in the absence of tetraserines. It was found that the role of intrinsic molecular properties of the three analytes promoted the hydrogen bonding with the tetraserines, which became a selective layer. The highest number of strong hydrogen bond donors in AA resulted in combinations of hydrogen bonding patterns and the largest number of hydrogen bonds with tetraserine. Meanwhile, the weaker hydrogen bond donors and the higher aromaticity of UA corresponded to the lowest number of hydrogen bonds. The different amount of hydrogen bonds formed between tetraserine and different analytes was in concurrence with the analysis of analyte distribution about the different regions of the substrate and provided clarification on the selectivity of tetraserines. Here, the consequence of hydrogen bond formations on the oxidation potentials of the analytes would be discussed. Our assumption was made based on several reports about the changes in oxidation potentials caused by the charge transfer through hydrogen bonds [51–53]. A theoretical study by Fang et al. proposed that the electron transfer from a tyrosine residue to the P$_{680}$ chlorophylls was facilitated by hydrogen bonding of the tyrosine with a deprotonated histidine residue. The phenol group of the tyrosine received electrons from the imidazole ring of the histidine and gave away the proton. After the first charge transfer, the phenol became a phenoxyl radical, for which the adiabatic ionization and the oxidation potential for the electron transfer to P$_{680}$ became lower [50]. Analogously, the serine oligomers decorated on a GO surface possessed the very electronegative carboxyl groups, acting as hydrogen bond acceptors and electron donors. An AA analyte possessed the largest number of hydroxyl groups even in the ascorbate form at pH 7, serving as strong hydrogen bond donors and electron acceptors. Meanwhile, a tetraserine oligomer consisted of a number of both hydrogen bond donor and acceptor sites, especially a strong hydrogen bond acceptor at the C-terminus that could donate electrons to the analytes. According to the MD simulation results, the highest number of hydrogen bonds was found between the AA analytes and serine oligomers compared with DA and UA. Thus, it could be inferred that AA in the ascorbate anion received the highest amount of electrons from the serine oligomers and became an ascorbate radical that could give away electrons through one-electron oxidation, requiring lower oxidation...
potential than the typical two-electron oxidation of AA. This ability of AA to give away electrons, coupled with the higher probability of hydrogen bonding with serine oligomers, resulted in the negative shift of the oxidation peak observed in the experiments. For the case of DA, less amount of hydrogen bonds was observed in MD simulations compared with AA due to the smaller number of polar groups. Moreover, the oxidation of a DA in the neutral form at pH 7 was two-electron oxidation that required larger oxidation potential. As a result, the negative shifting of the oxidation potential of DA was smaller than that of AA. Our simulations also showed UA was with the smallest number of hydrogen bonds formed with serine oligomers, as UA molecules were with the highest affinity for the GO surface binding. Therefore, the oxidation peak of UA was the least affected.

4. Computational Methods

3D structure of a model graphene oxide nanosheet with dimensions of 20.94 nm × 22.56 nm in Figure 1a consisted of 160 carbon atoms, 72 hydrogen atoms, and 80 oxygen atoms were create by using the Avogadro program [54]. The epoxy and hydroxy1 groups were attached to the graphene plane according to the most energetically favorable configurations proposed by Yan and Chou [55]. The structure files of AA, DA, and UA analytes (Figure 1b-d) were then obtained from the PubChem database [56]. AA and UA were deprotonated into anionic forms at pH 7. Then, the topology files based on the Gromos54a7 force field were created for graphene oxide and analyte molecules by the Automated Topology Builder webservice [57]. A Tetraserine model was also created by Avogadro, and the forcefield file was created by the pdb2gmx suite embedded in GROMACS 5.1.2. Six starting structures for atomistic MD simulations were set: (a) GO+AA, (b) GO+DA, (c) GO+UA, (d) GO+SE+AA, (e) GO+SE+DA, and (f) GO+SE+UA. The first three structures consisted of a graphene oxide sheet and twelve analyte molecules within the 6×6×6 nm³ SPC [58] solvated simulation boxes. For the last three structures of the tetraserine decorated systems, a short simulation was performed to create a configuration that tetraserine molecules were adsorbed onto the graphene oxide prior to the addition of analytes. After energy minimization and pre-equilibration simulations for 100 ps in the NVT ensemble at 300K using a Berendsen thermostat [59], each system underwent a 100-ns productive run in an NPT ensemble, which used a Berendsen thermostat and an isotropic Parrinello–Rahman barostat [60] at 300K and 1 bar, respectively. The timestep was set to 2 fs and the trajectories were recorded every 10 ps. The cutoff radius for the vdW interaction was set to 1.0 nm. All the simulations were performed with the GROMACS 5.1.2 software [61]. After all simulations were completed, water molecules were deleted from the trajectory files. Minimum distances were measured between each analyte and GO to monitor the adsorption of each molecule on the bare GO and the tetraserine-decorated GO surface. Then, radial distribution functions (RDF) and coordination numbers were calculated for the center of analyte molecules about both the GO and tetraserine surfaces to monitor the selective adsorption on different parts of the heterogeneous surface. Numbers of hydrogen bonds formed (1) between analytes and GO and (2) between tetraserine and GO were also calculated along all the trajectories. Finally, RDF between hydrogen bond donor atoms of the analytes and all the oxygen atoms of the tetraserines was also calculated to display the contribution of each atom.

5. Conclusions

The use of serine oligomers to enhance the selectivity of AA/DA/UA for the graphene-based electrochemical sensors was implemented in an experimental work by our group. Here, atomistic molecular dynamics (MD) simulations demonstrated that the selectivity of serine oligomers depended the amount of hydrogen bonds between the oligomers and different analytes. Different levels of electron transfer to the analytes through
hydrogen bonds might also result in the larger separation of oxidation peaks seen in cyclic voltammetry or differential pulse voltammetry experiments.
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**Appendix**

**Figure A1.** A DPV curve for a simultaneous determination of 5 mM AA, 30 μM DA, and 30 μM DA under a scanning potential from -0.15 V to 0.7 V. The working electrode consisted of graphene oxide (GO) prepared by the Hummer method grafted by electropolymerized serine. This separation of the oxidation peaks were observed only when poly-serine was applied on the prepared GO surface.
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