

The INDIA Mutations and B.1.617 Variants: *Is there a global "strategy" for mutations and evolution of variants of the SARS-CoV2 genome?*

Jean-Claude Perez, PhD Maths & Computer Science Bordeaux University, RETIRED interdisciplinary researcher (IBM Emeritus, IBM European Research Center on Artificial Intelligence Montpellier), Bordeaux metropole, France, jeanclaudeperez2@gmail.com

ABSTRACT.

In this paper, we run for all INDIA mutations and variants a biomathematical numerical method for analysing mRNA nucleotides sequences based on UA/CG Fibonacci numbers proportions (Perez, 2021). In this study, we limit ourselves to the analysis of whole genomes, all coming from the mutations and variants of SARS-CoV2 sequenced in India in 2020 and 2021. We then demonstrate - both on actual genomes of patients and on variants combining the most frequent mutations to the SARS-CoV2 Wuhan genomes and then to the B.1.617 variant - that the numerical Fibonacci AU / CG metastructures increase considerably in all cases analyzed in ratios of up to 8 times. We can affirm that this property contributes to a greater stability and lifespan of messenger RNAs, therefore, possibly also to a greater INFECTUOSITY of these variant genomes.

Out of a total of 108 genomes analyzed:

- None ("NONE") of them contained a number of metastructures LOWER than those of the reference SARS-CoV2 Wuhan genome.
- Eleven (11) among them contained the same number of metastructures as the reference genome.
- 97 of them contained a GREATER number of metastructures than the reference genome, ie 89.81% of cases. The average increase in the number of metastructures for the 97 cases studied is 4.35 times the number of SARS-CoV2 UA/CG 17711 Fibonacci metastructures.

Finally, we put a focus on B.1.617.2 crucial exponential growth Indian variant.

Then, we demonstrate, by analyzing the main worldwide 19 variants, both at the level of spikes and of whole genomes, how and why these UA / CG metastuctures increase overall in the variants compared to the 2 reference strains SARS-CoV2 Wuhan and D614G.

Keywords : SARS-CoV2; Biomathematics; vaccine; variants; mRNA; Fibonacci; Indian variants; B.1.617; B.1.617.2.

I - INTRODUCTION.

After various papers related SARS-CoV2 origins and evolution (Perez, 2020) and (Perez&Montagnier, 2020), in (Perez, 2021), we presented a biomathematical method based on mRNA genomes and spikes UA/CG Fibonacci nucleotides proportions. Particularly we demonstrated a real corelation between variants evolution (UK, South Africa, California, Brazil) and the amount of long range Fibonacci metastructures.

In order to test this hypothesis, we are interested in the 2 countries in which the effect of variants seems uncontrollable: Brazil and India.

We chose India because the sequencing of genomes is more systematic and reliable there than in Brazil.

For this we proceed in 2 steps:

- Analyzing the first variants of 2020. For this we rely on this publication:

(Muttineri et al, 2021),

https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&source=web&rct=j&url=https://journals.plos.org/plospathogens/article/file%3Fid%3D10.1371/journal.pone.0246173%26type%3Dprintable&ved=2ahUKEwj3zdnZnorwAhUQKBoKHUxnD_EQFjABegQICBAC&usq=AOvVaw1A79ux6UbetoPoRx_jT-Mk

2/ Then we study the most recent changes of 2021. For that we rely on this systematic approach: (Srivastava Surabhi et al, 2021), <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7895735/> And more particularly on this Indian GEAR19 database: <https://data.ccmb.res.in/gear19/variants>

II- METHODS and DATA SOURCES.

2.1 - Computing FIBONACCI metastructures:

Consider the sequence of Fibonacci numbers

0 1 1 2 3 5 8 13 21 34 55 89 144 233 377 610 **987 1597 2584** 4181 6765 10946 17711
28657 46368 75025 121393 196418 317811 514229 832040 1346269 2178309
3524578 5702887...

Example of the SPIKE from Wuhan reference genome, this mRNA SPIKE is 3822 bases UCAG in length.

Recall Wuhan reference https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_045512

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 isolate Wuhan-Hu-1, complete genome NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_045512.2

the longest Fibonacci structures would therefore measure 2584 bases.

When looking for such structures, the first one found is in 1200 location:

therefore, the bases located between 1201 and 3784 (1200 + 2584):

These 2584 bases are broken down respectively into:

1597 bases UA

et 987 bases CG

Here are the first 20 basics that the reader can easily check:

SPIKREF[1200+¼20]

G U A A U U A G A G G U G A U G A A G U

0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1.../...

U A A U U A A U A U A A U 1597 bases UA

G U A A U U A G A G G U G A U G A A G U

1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0.../...

G G G G G G 987 bases CG

The SPIKE analyzes of this Wuhan-Hu-1 reference genome reports 63 metastructures of this type if we close the sequence on itself (as in mtDNA or bacteria) and 7 metastructures and if we consider the mRNA sequence in its linear form, as will be the case throughout this study.

2.2 - Analyzes of reference variants :

2.21/ Analyzing the first variants of 2020 :

- Analyzing the first variants of 2020. For this we rely on this publication:

(Muttineri et al, 2021),

https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&source=web&rct=j&url=https://journals.plos.org/plospathogens/article/file%3Fid%3D10.1371/journal.pone.0246173%26type%3Dprintable&ved=2ahUKEwj3zdnZnorwAhUQKBoKHUxnD_EQFjABegQICBAC&usq=AOvVaw1A79ux6UbetoPoRx_jT-Mk

The full-genome viral sequences were deposited in the dataset of GISAID (EPI_ISL_431101, EPI_ISL_431102, EPI_ISL_431103, EPI_ISL_431117, EPI_ISL_438139, EPI_ISL_437626, EPI_ISL_438138) and NCBI GenBank (MT415320, MT415321, MT415322, MT415323, MT477885, MT457402, MT457403).

Now we analyse :

GenBank (MT415320, MT415321, MT415322, MT415323, MT477885, MT457402, MT457403)

Main data source for mutations : <https://covariants.org/>

2.22/ Analyzing 28 INDIAN mutations applied to SARS-CoV2 Wuhan reference genome :

Then we study the most recent changes of 2021. For that we rely on this systematic approach:

(Srivastava Surabhi et al, 2021), <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7895735/>

And more particularly on this Indian GEAR19 database:

<https://data.ccmb.res.in/gear19/variants>

We test 2 possible variant scenarios:

If separate mutations are INDIABn,

INDIACn, progressive descent by accumulating mutations by decreasing probabilities.

Example

INDIAC1 = INDIAB1

INDIAC2 = INDIAC1 + INDIAB2

INDIAC3 = INDIAC2 + INDIAB3 ...

.../...

INDIAC28 = INDIAC27 + INDIAB28

Then we study the most recent changes of 2021. For that we rely on this systematic approach:

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7895735/>

And more particularly on this Indian GEAR19 database:

<https://data.ccmb.res.in/gear19/variants>

link Table 5%

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/KtbxLzGLmpFSTVtcKRqRlmnxKrplVzgNnq?projector=1&messagePartId=0.1>

2.23/ Analyzing 28 INDIAN mutations applied to B.1.617 India variant genome :

We run the same 28 genomes simulations starting from the India variant B.1.617.

2.24/ simulations of possible future mutations of the variant B.1.617.

In (Pragya Yadav et al, 2021), the authors provide a list of the 33 main mutations characterizing the genomes of the Indian variant B.1.617.

On the other hand, we have just studied the impact of the 28 most frequent mutations in India, those which represent more than 5% of contaminations). It is clear that these 2 sets of mutations partially overlap. However it would be interesting to simulate the effect of some of the 28 mutations when they are absent in B.1.617. Indeed, their high frequency makes it possible to suggest their possible future addition to B.1.617. This is what we will simulate in the last paragraph 3.3.

III - RESULTS and DISCUSSION.

3.1 - Analyzing the first variants of 2020 :

Now we analyse from (Muttineri et al, 2021) :

GenBank (MT415320, MT415321, MT415322, MT415323, MT477885, MT457402, MT457403)

- INDIAA1 MT415320
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MT415320>
- INDIAA2 MT415321
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MT415321>
- INDIAA3 MT415322
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MT415322>
- INDIAA4 MT415323
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MT415323>
- INDIAA5 MT457402
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MT457402>
- INDIAA6 MT457403
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MT457403>
- INDIA7 MT477885
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MT477885>

Table 1 - Mutations Table from paper
<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article/figure?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0246173.t003>

	ORF1 ab												Spike						ORF3a		Nucleocapsid							
	N	AA	N	AA	N	AA	N	AA	N	AA	N	AA	N	AA	N	AA	N	AA	N	AA	N	AA	N	AA	N	AA		
	3037	925	6312	2,016	11,083	3,606	13,730	4,489	14,408	4,715	15,324	5,020	18,877	6205	21644	28	23403	614	23929	789	24130	856	25563	57	28311	13	29303	344
Wuhan Hu-1	C	F	C	T	G	L	C	A	C	P	C	N	C	L	T	Y	A	D	C	Y	C	N	G	Q	C	P	C	P
OUMRK100/2020	C	F	C	T	G	L	C	A	C	P	T	N	C	L	C	H	A	D	C	Y	T	N	G	Q	C	P	T	S
GMCKN318/2020	T	F	C	T	G	L	C	A	T	L	C	N	C	L	T	Y	G	G	C	Y	C	N	G	Q	C	P	C	P
GMCKN443/2020	C	F	C	K	T	F	T	G	C	P	C	N	C	L	T	Y	A	D	T	Y	C	N	G	Q	T	L	C	P
GMCTC469/2020	T	F	C	T	G	L	C	A	T	L	C	N	C	L	T	Y	G	G	C	Y	C	N	G	Q	C	P	C	P
OUMRK1090/2020	C	F	A	N	T	F	T	V	C	P	C	N	C	L	T	Y	A	D	T	Y	C	N	G	Q	T	L	C	P
GMCKP1125/2020	T	F	C	T	G	L	C	A	T	L	C	N	T	L	T	Y	G	G	C	Y	C	N	T	H	C	P	C	P
GMC-RR1191/2020	C	F	A	K	T	F	T	V	C	P	C	N	C	L	T	Y	A	D	T	Y	C	N	G	Q	T	L	C	P

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0246173.t003>

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article/figure?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0246173.t003>

Table 2 – Summary Table related 7 SARS-CoV2 real patients from India sequenced in 2020.

Reference	Alias number line in Table1	GENBANK Identification	Date	Number of 17711 UA/CG metastructures
-----------	-----------------------------	------------------------	------	--------------------------------------

SARS-CoV2 Wuhan		NC_045512.2	18-JUL-2020	8
INDIAA1	INDIAA1 MT415320 line1	MT415320.1	30-APR-2020	23
INDIAA2	INDIAA2 MT415321 line2	MT415321.1	30-APR-2020	8
INDIAA3	INDIAA3 MT415322 line3	MT415322.1	30-APR-2020	33
INDIAA4	INDIAA4 MT415323 line4	MT415323.1	30-APR-2020	45
INDIAA5	INDIAA5 MT457402 line6	MT457402.1	12-MAY-2020	45
INDIAA6	INDIAA5 MT457402 line7	MT457403.1	12-MAY-2020	34
INDIAA7	INDIA7 MT477885 line5	MT477885.1	18-MAY-2020	37

Genomes lengths :

VSARSCOV2REF 29903

VINDIAA1 29900

VINDIAA2 29903

VINDIAA3 29888

VINDIAA4 29890

VINDIAA5 29890

VINDIAA6 29890

VINDIAA7 29899

6 of the 7 cases have deletions.

Only INDIAA2 has the same length as SARS-CoV2 Wuhan reference.

VSARSCOV2REF 29903

VINDIAA2 29903

Only 4 difference bases: it is precisely the only one that has not increased the number of metastructures.

Number of different bases : $+/\text{VSARSCOV2REF}-\text{VINDIAA2} = 4$

Locations : $(\text{VSARSCOV2REF}-\text{VINDIAA2})/1/4/2\text{VINDIAA2}$

241 3037 14408 23403

Nucleotides values in SARS-CoV2 ref : $(\text{VSARSCOV2REF}-\text{VINDIAA2})/\text{VSARSCOV2REF}$
CCCA

Nucleotides values in VINDIAA2 : $(\text{VSARSCOV2REF}-\text{VINDIAA2})/\text{VINDIAA2}$
TTTG

i.e. 3 out of 4 CG mutations ==> UA

From the results below I deduce that the deletions of 5 cases out of 6 studied cases contributed to considerably increase the UA / CG metastructures of 17711 bases.




Figure 1 – Recall SARS-CoV2 Wuhan genome metastructures.




Figure 2 – INDIAA1 genome metastructures.




Figure 3 - INDIAA2 genome metastructures.




Figure 4 - INDIAA3 genome metastructures.




Figure 5 - INDIAA4 genome metastructures.




Figure 6 - INDIAA5 genome metastructures.




Figure 7 - INDIAA6 genome metastructures.




Figure 8 - INDIAA7 genome metastructures.

3.2 - INDIAN VARIANTS SIMULATIONS with mutations on SARS-CoV2 Wuhan :

We work now from these published data :

We test 2 possible variant scenarios: (Srivastava Surabhi et al, 2021), and more particularly on this Indian GEAR19 database: <https://data.ccmb.res.in/gear19/variants>

If separate mutations are $INDIAB_n$,

$INDIAC_n$, progressive descent by accumulating mutations by decreasing probabilities.

Example

$INDIAC_1 = INDIAB_1$

$INDIAC_2 = INDIAC_1 + INDIAB_2$

INDIAC3 + INDIAC2 + INDIAB3 ...
 .../
 INDIAC28 = INDIAC27 + INDIAB28

Then we study the most recent changes of 2021. For that we rely on this systematic approach:

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7895735/>

And more particularly on this Indian GEAR19 database:

<https://data.ccmb.res.in/gear19/variants>

link Table 5% :

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/KtbxLzGLmpFSTVtcKRqRlmmxKrplVzgNnq?projector=1&messagePartId=0.1>

On the table of 28 Indian mutations > 5% of cases, The progressive study of the 29 genomes by integrating mutations step by step according to their frequency should give very interesting Fibonacci on the scale of the whole genome.

INDIAC

INDIACn, progressive descent by accumulating mutations by decreasing probabilities.

Example INDIAC2 = INDIAC1 + INDIAB2

APL Language session mutations...

===== INDIAC =====

VINDIAC1,,VSARSCOV2REF
 VINDIAC1[23403],,'G'
 VINDIAC2,,VINDIAC1
 VINDIAC2[3037],,'T'
 VINDIAC3,,VINDIAC2
 VINDIAC3[241],,'T'
 VINDIAC4,,VINDIAC3
 VINDIAC4[14408],,'T'
 VINDIAC5,,VINDIAC4
 VINDIAC5[28881],,'A'
 VINDIAC6,,VINDIAC5
 VINDIAC6[28883],,'C'
 VINDIAC7,,VINDIAC6
 VINDIAC7[28882],,'A'
 VINDIAC8,,VINDIAC7
 VINDIAC8[25563],,'T'
 VINDIAC9,,VINDIAC8
 VINDIAC9[18877],,'T'
 VINDIAC10,,VINDIAC9
 VINDIAC10[26735],,'T'

VINDIAC11,,VINDIAC10
 VINDIAC11[28854],,'T'
 VINDIAC12,,VINDIAC11
 VINDIAC12[22444],,'T'
 VINDIAC13,,VINDIAC12
 VINDIAC13[313],,'T'
 VINDIAC14,,VINDIAC13
 VINDIAC14[5700],,'A'
 VINDIAC15,,VINDIAC14
 VINDIAC15[11083],,'T'
 VINDIAC16,,VINDIAC15
 VINDIAC16[13730],,'T'
 VINDIAC17,,VINDIAC16
 VINDIAC17[28311],,'T'
 VINDIAC18,,VINDIAC17
 VINDIAC18[23929],,'T'

VINDIAC19,,VINDIAC18
 VINDIAC19[6312],,'A'
 VINDIAC20,,VINDIAC19
 VINDIAC20[8917],,'T'

VINDIAC21,,VINDIAC20
 VINDIAC21[1947],,'C'
 VINDIAC22,,VINDIAC21
 VINDIAC22[9389],,'A'
 VINDIAC23,,VINDIAC22
 VINDIAC23[6573],,'T'
 VINDIAC24,,VINDIAC23
 VINDIAC24[4354],,'A'
 VINDIAC25,,VINDIAC24
 VINDIAC25[25528],,'T'
 VINDIAC26,,VINDIAC25
 VINDIAC26[15324],,'T'
 VINDIAC27,,VINDIAC26
 VINDIAC27[3267],,'T'
 VINDIAC28,,VINDIAC27
 VINDIAC28[3634],,'T'

Table 3 – Summary on the 28 most frequent India country mutations applied to SARS-CoV2 Wuhan genome.

position	Genome location	ref	alt	gene	Amino Acids mutations	Percent	Number of 17711 UA/CG metastructures
SARS-CoV2 Wuhan							8
INDIAC1	23403	A	G	"S:614"	"D614G"	85	8
INDIAC2	3037	C	T	"ORF1a:924"	"F924F"	84	8
INDIAC3	241	C	T	"5'UTR"	"NA"	84	8
INDIAC4	14408	C	T	"ORF1b:314"	"P314L"	84	8
INDIAC5	28881	G	A	"N:203"	"R203K"	42	31
INDIAC6	28883	G	C	"N:204"	"G204R"	41	31
INDIAC7	28882	G	A	"N:203"	"R203K"	40	46
INDIAC8	25563	G	T	"ORF3a:57"	"Q57H"	25	35
INDIAC9	18877	C	T	"ORF1b:1804"	"L1804L"	25	25
INDIAC10	26735	C	T	"M:71"	"Y71Y"	25	10
INDIAC11	28854	C	T	"N:194"	"S194L"	23	10
INDIAC12	22444	C	T	"S:294"	"D294D"	22	8
INDIAC13	313	C	T	"ORF1a:16"	"L16L"	21	8
INDIAC14	5700	C	A	"ORF1a:1812"	"A1812D"	20	8

INDIAC15	11083	G	T	"ORF1a:3606"	"L3606F"	14	8
INDIAC16	13730	C	T	"ORF1b:88"	"A88V"	11	29
INDIAC17	28311	C	T	"N:13"	"P13L"	10	29
INDIAC18	23929	C	T	"S:789"	"Y789Y"	10	41
INDIAC19	6312	C	A	"ORF1a:2016"	"T2016K"	10	41
INDIAC20	8917	C	T	"ORF1a:2884"	"F2884F"	10	41
INDIAC21	1947	T	C	"ORF1a:561"	"V561A"	7	41
INDIAC22	9389	G	A	"ORF1a:3042"	"D3042N"	6	41
INDIAC23	6573	C	T	"ORF1a:2103"	"S2103F"	6	41
INDIAC24	4354	G	A	"ORF1a:1363"	"E1363E"	6	41
INDIAC25	25528	C	T	"ORF3a:46"	"L46F"	6	48
INDIAC26	15324	C	T	"ORF1b:619"	"N619N"	6	36
INDIAC27	3267	C	T	"ORF1a:1001"	"T1001I"	6	36
INDIAC28	3634	C	T	"ORF1a:1123"	"N1123N"	6	36
Average						26.25%	26.89

Table 4 – Recall summary main results from Table3.

Genome	percent	Number of 1
SARS-CoV2 Wuhan		8
INDIAC1	85	8
INDIAC2	84	8
INDIAC3	84	8
INDIAC4	84	8
INDIAC5	42	31
INDIAC6	41	31
INDIAC7	40	46
INDIAC8	25	35
INDIAC9	25	25
INDIAC10	25	10
INDIAC11	23	10
INDIAC12	22	8
INDIAC13	21	8
INDIAC14	20	8
INDIAC15	14	8
INDIAC16	11	29
INDIAC17	10	29
INDIAC18	10	41
INDIAC19	10	41
INDIAC20	10	41
INDIAC21	7	41
INDIAC22	6	41
INDIAC23	6	41
INDIAC24	6	41
INDIAC25	6	48
INDIAC26	6	36
INDIAC27	6	36
INDIAC28	6	36

INDIAN VARIANTS with embedded mutations sorted by percent frequencies

The number of 17711 Fibonacci metastructures INCREASES with cumulated MUTATIONS




Figure 9 – Increase of 17711 UA/CG metastructures with whole INDIAN variant genomes with cumulated mutations vs percent frequencies (vs SARS-CoV2 Wuhan).

From this analysis, we can draw 3 conclusions:

a / this is a simulation of genomes made from SARS-CoV2 and the most frequently encountered mutations in India. So, if it is certain that the first genomes exist in some patients, some others, towards the end of the list of 28 genomes, may not exist but could potentially emerge.

b / it is noted that none of the 28 cases found UA / CG metastructures of 177122 bases in quantity LESS than 8, a value which characterizes SARS-CoV2 Wuhan.

So, if there was no correlation between these Fibonacci metastructures and the evolution of variants, we should find cases less than 8.

c / out of the 28 cases of genomes studied, 20 of them saw an increase in the number of metastructures of 17,712 bases, or more than 2/3 of the genomes studied. The average of the 28 cases is 26.89, ie 3.36 times more than the SARS-CoV2 Wuhan and D614G reference genomes.

3.3 - INDIAN VARIANTS SIMULATIONS with mutations on B.1.617 variant :

The strain of the variant B.1.617 has grown exponentially in India since the beginning of 2021. We are going to redo the 28 previous analyzes no longer from the SARS-CoV2 Wuhan genome but by inserting the SINDIAFULL spike already analyzed in (Perez, 2021).

This therefore amounts to applying the successive mutations to a type B 1.617 genome, at least at the level of its Spike sequence.

Indeed,

B.1.617 lineage

This strain, also known as the "double mutant virus", has spread rapidly through India.

The strain has been dubbed the "double mutant virus" due to two of the concerning mutations it carries.

These two key mutations are:

- E484Q
- L452R

Further studies on the strain are needed to determine its transmissibility, although it is suspected to do so due to its spike protein mutations which are thought to increase immune evasion and receptor binding. Whether vaccine efficacy is affected also needs further research.

SINDIAFULL is the Spike B.1.617 from (Perez-2021).

Recall Spike location

21563..25384

/gene="S"

$\frac{1}{2}$ V,,VSARSCOV2REF[21562+ $\frac{1}{4}$ (25384-21562)]

3822

V[$\frac{1}{49}$]

ATGTTTGTT

$\frac{1}{2}$ SINDIAFULL

3822

SINDIAFULL[$\frac{1}{49}$]

ATGTTTGTT

VB1617,,VSARSCOV2REF

$\frac{1}{2}$ VB1617[21562+ $\frac{1}{4}$ (25384-21562)],,SINDIAFULL

3822

+ /VB1617-VSARSCOV2REF

8

(VB1617-VSARSCOV2REF)/VSARSCOV2REF

GAGGTGAA

(VB1617-VSARSCOV2REF)/VB1617

TCTTGATG

R,,GFIBOZOOMS VB1617

VINDIAC1,,VB1617

VINDIAC1[23403],,'G'

VINDIAC2,,VINDIAC1

VINDIAC2[3037],,'T'

VINDIAC3,,VINDIAC2

VINDIAC3[241],,'T'

VINDIAC4,,VINDIAC3

VINDIAC4[14408],,'T'

VINDIAC5,,VINDIAC4

VINDIAC5[28881],,'A'

VINDIAC6,,VINDIAC5

VINDIAC6[28883],,'C'

VINDIAC7,,VINDIAC6

VINDIAC7[28882], 'A'
 VINDIAC8,, VINDIAC7
 VINDIAC8[25563], 'T'
 VINDIAC9,, VINDIAC8
 VINDIAC9[18877], 'T'
 VINDIAC10,, VINDIAC9
 VINDIAC10[26735], 'T'

VINDIAC11,, VINDIAC10
 VINDIAC11[28854], 'T'
 VINDIAC12,, VINDIAC11
 VINDIAC12[22444], 'T'
 VINDIAC13,, VINDIAC12
 VINDIAC13[313], 'T'
 VINDIAC14,, VINDIAC13
 VINDIAC14[5700], 'A'
 VINDIAC15,, VINDIAC14
 VINDIAC15[11083], 'T'
 VINDIAC16,, VINDIAC15
 VINDIAC16[13730], 'T'
 VINDIAC17,, VINDIAC16
 VINDIAC17[28311], 'T'
 VINDIAC18,, VINDIAC17
 VINDIAC18[23929], 'T'
 VINDIAC19,, VINDIAC18
 VINDIAC19[6312], 'A'
 VINDIAC20,, VINDIAC19
 VINDIAC20[8917], 'T'

Table 5 - Summary on the 28 most frequent India country mutations applied to B.1.617 genome.

position	Genome location	ref	alt	gene	Amino Acids mutations	Percent	Number of 17711 UA/CG metastructures
SARS-CoV2 Wuhan							8
B1617							31
INDIAC1	23403	A	G	"S:614"	"D614G"	85	31
INDIAC2	3037	C	T	"ORF1a:924"	"F924F"	84	31
INDIAC3	241	C	T	"5'UTR"	"NA"	84	31
INDIAC4	14408	C	T	"ORF1b:314"	"P314L"	84	46
INDIAC5	28881	G	A	"N:203"	"R203K"	42	35
INDIAC6	28883	G	C	"N:204"	"G204R"	41	35
INDIAC7	28882	G	A	"N:203"	"R203K"	40	25
INDIAC8	25563	G	T	"ORF3a:57"	"Q57H"	25	10
INDIAC9	18877	C	T	"ORF1b:1804"	"L1804L"	25	10
INDIAC10	26735	C	T	"M:71"	"Y71Y"	25	8
INDIAC11	28854	C	T	"N:194"	"S194L"	23	29
INDIAC12	22444	C	T	"S:294"	"D294D"	22	29
INDIAC13	313	C	T	"ORF1a:16"	"L16L"	21	29

INDIAC14	5700	C	A	"ORF1a:1812"	"A1812D"	20	29
INDIAC15	11083	G	T	"ORF1a:3606"	"L3606F"	14	29
INDIAC16	13730	C	T	"ORF1b:88"	"A88V"	11	41
INDIAC17	28311	C	T	"N:13"	"P13L"	10	48
INDIAC18	23929	C	T	"S:789"	"Y789Y"	10	36
INDIAC19	6312	C	A	"ORF1a:2016"	"T2016K"	10	36
INDIAC20	8917	C	T	"ORF1a:2884"	"F2884F"	10	36
INDIAC21	1947	T	C	"ORF1a:561"	"V561A"	7	36
INDIAC22	9389	G	A	"ORF1a:3042"	"D3042N"	6	36
INDIAC23	6573	C	T	"ORF1a:2103"	"S2103F"	6	36
INDIAC24	4354	G	A	"ORF1a:1363"	"E1363E"	6	36
INDIAC25	25528	C	T	"ORF3a:46"	"L46F"	6	34
INDIAC26	15324	C	T	"ORF1b:619"	"N619N"	6	62
INDIAC27	3267	C	T	"ORF1a:1001"	"T1001I"	6	62
INDIAC28	3634	C	T	"ORF1a:1123"	"N1123N"	6	62
Average						26.25 %	34.57

Table 6 - Recall summary main results from Table5.

Genome	percent	Number of 1
SARS-CoV2 Wuhan		8
B.1.617		31
INDIAC1	85	31
INDIAC2	84	31
INDIAC3	84	31
INDIAC4	84	46
INDIAC5	42	35
INDIAC6	41	35
INDIAC7	40	25
INDIAC8	25	10
INDIAC9	25	10
INDIAC10	25	8
INDIAC11	23	29
INDIAC12	22	29
INDIAC13	21	29
INDIAC14	20	29
INDIAC15	14	29
INDIAC16	11	41
INDIAC17	10	48
INDIAC18	10	36
INDIAC19	10	36
INDIAC20	10	36
INDIAC21	7	36
INDIAC22	6	36
INDIAC23	6	36
INDIAC24	6	36
INDIAC25	6	34
INDIAC26	6	62
INDIAC27	6	62
INDIAC28	6	62

INDIAN B.1617 Variant combined with most frequent INDIA MUTATIONS

The number of 17711 UA/CG Fibonacci metastructures is 4.3 Times more ref Wuhan § D654




Figure 10 - Increase of 17711 UA/CG metastructures with whole INDIAN variant genomes with cumulated mutations vs percent frequencies (vs. B.1.617 variant).

The most remarkable result is the fact that the very simple combination of the 4 most frequent mutations (85% of cases) and the variant B.1.617 is sufficient to multiply by 4 to 6 (31 to 46 against 8 for SARS-CoV2 Wuhan (the number of Fibonacci metastructures of 17,712 AU / CG bases. We also note that out of the 28 genomes studied, only one of them possesses the 8 characteristic metastructures of SARS-COV2 Wuhan. The average of the other 27 is 34.57, ie 4.32 times more and some cases are 8 times more INDIA26-28 : 62).




Figure 11 – Comparing long range 17711 UA/CG Fibonacci metastructures between SARS-CoV2 Wuhan and India variant B.1.617 with the 28 most frequent India country mutations.

3.4 - simulations of possible future mutations of the variant B.1.617.

In (Pragya Yadav et al, 2021), the authors provide a list of the 33 main mutations characterizing the genomes of the Indian variant B.1.617. On the other hand, we have just studied the impact of the 28 most frequent mutations in India, those which represent more than 5% of contaminations). It is clear that these 2 sets of mutations partially overlap. However it would be interesting to simulate the effect of some of the 28 mutations when they are absent in B.1.617. Indeed, their high frequency makes it possible to suggest their possible future addition to B.1.617. This is what we will simulate in this last paragraph.

Table 7 – The 33 main mutations from India variant B.1.617 from (Pragya Yadav et al., 2021). From Figure 1: Characteristics and neutralization of VUI B.1.617 variant: A) The common nucleotide changes observed in majority of the isolates and clinical sequences. We identify 22 other frequent mutations in India (frequency greater than 5% of contaminations) but absent in the Indian variant B.1.617.

Genome location	Reference SARS-CoV2	Mutation B.1.617	Percent	CUMULATING 22 Mutations : 17711 UA/CG Fibonacci metastructures	SEPARATE 22 Mutations : 17711 UA/CG Fibonacci metastructures
B1.617	All 32 following mutations			53	
210 GT	G	T			
3457 CT	C	T			
11201 CT	C	T			
16134 CT	C	T			
20396 CT	C	T			
21895 GA	G	A			
22917 AG	A	G			
23604 CT	C	T			
26767 GA	G	A			
27520 CT	C	T			
29402 GT	G	T			
241 GT INDIAC3	G	T			
4965 AG	A	G			
14408 TG INDIAC4	T	G			
16852 CT	C	T			
20401 TC	T	C			
21987 GA	G	A			
23012 GA	G	A			
24775 TG	T	G			
27382 GC	G	C			
27638 AG	A	G			
29742 CG	C	G			
3037 AT INDIAC2	A	T			

8491 CT	C	T			
14772 TG	T	G			
17523 GC	G	C			
21846 TC	T	C			
22022 AT	A	T			
23403 TC INDIAC1	T	C			
25469 GT	G	T			
27385 GT	G	T			
28881 GT INDIAC5	G	T			
Other mutations common in India but absent in the Indian variant B.1.617					
28883 SINDIAC6	G	C	41	53	
28882 SINDIAC7	G	A	40	32	32
25563 SINDIAC8	G	T	25	21	32
26735 SINDIAC10	C	T	25	14	32
28854 SINDIAC11	C	T	23	8	32
22444 SINDIAC12	C	T	22	31	32
313 SINDIAC13	C	T	21	31	53
5700 SINDIAC14	C	A	20	31	53
11083 SINDIAC15	G	T	14	31	53
13730 SINDIAC16	C	T	11	28	32
28311 SINDIAC17	C	T	10	40	32
23929 SINDIAC18	C	T	10	48	32
6312 SINDIAC19	C	A	10	48	53
8917 SINDIAC20	C	T	10	48	53
1947 SINDIAC21	T	C	7	48	53
9389	G	A	6	48	53

SINDIAC22					
6573 SINDIAC23	C	T	6	48	53
4354 SINDIAC24	G	A	6	48	53
25528 SINDIAC25	C	T	6	38	32
15324 SINDIAC26	C	T	6	45	32
3267 SINDIAC27	C	T	6	45	53
3634 SINDIAC28	C	T	6	45	53
Average			15.05%	37.68%	43.45%

Nota :We rename these successive mutants SINDIA6 for B.1.617 consensus + INDIA6 etc ...

In this Table 7, there are 2 parts :

-In the top part, the 32 mutations characterizing the India variant B.1.617 REF.

-In the bottom part, we run the 22 remaining mutations from the 28 most frequent mutations in full India country. Then, we test two cases : cumulating the 22 successive mutations, then running each mutation separately. In all cases, the amount of 17711 UA/CG Fibonacci metastructures is around 5 (« Ten ») times the number of 17711 UA/CG in SARS-CoV2 Wuhan reference genome.

Analysing the 32 mutations consensus India variant B.617 :

We run here the 32 mutations applied to SARS-CoV2 reference Wuhan genome :

B1617REF = VSARSCOV2REF

B1617REF[210]

B1617REF[210], 'T'

B1617REF[241]

C

B1617REF[241], 'T'

B1617REF[3037]

C

B1617REF[3037], 'T'

B1617REF[3457]

C

B1617REF[3457], 'T'

B1617REF[4965]

C

B1617REF[4965], 'T'

B1617REF[8491]

G

B1617REF[8491], 'A'

B1617REF[11201]

A

B1617REF[11201], 'G'

B1617REF[14408]

C

B1617REF[14408], 'T'

B1617REF[14772]

G

B1617REF[14772], 'A'

B1617REF[16134]

C

B1617REF[16134], 'T'

B1617REF[16852]
G B1617REF[16852], 'T'
B1617REF[17523]
G B1617REF[17523], 'T'
B1617REF[20396]
A B1617REF[20396], 'G'
B1617REF[20401]
T B1617REF[20401], 'G'
B1617REF[21846]
C B1617REF[21846], 'T'
B1617REF[21895]
T B1617REF[21895], 'C'
B1617REF[21987]
G B1617REF[21987], 'A'
B1617REF[22022]
G B1617REF[22022], 'A'
B1617REF[22917]
T B1617REF[22917], 'G'
B1617REF[23012]
G B1617REF[23012], 'C'
B1617REF[23403]
A B1617REF[23403], 'G'
B1617REF[23604]
C B1617REF[23604], 'G'
B1617REF[24775]
A B1617REF[24775], 'T'
B1617REF[25469]
C B1617REF[25469], 'T'
B1617REF[26767]
T B1617REF[26767], 'G'
B1617REF[27382]
G B1617REF[27382], 'C'
B1617REF[27385]
T B1617REF[27385], 'C'
B1617REF[27520]
A B1617REF[27520], 'T'
B1617REF[27638]
T B1617REF[27638], 'C'
B1617REF[28881]
G B1617REF[28881], 'T'
B1617REF[29402]
G B1617REF[29402], 'T'
B1617REF[29742]
G B1617REF[29742], 'T'




Figure 12 – Comparing long range 17711 UA/CG Fibonacci metastructures between SARS-CoV2 Wuhan and India variant B.1.617 Reference Consensus (Pragma Yadav et al, 2021) including 32 mutations.

Now we will apply to this strain B.1.617 consensus the progressive accumulation of the 22 other frequent mutations in India (frequency greater than 5% of contaminations) but absent in the Indian variant B.1.617.

For this purpose, as we did in the previous §, we will apply to B.1.617 consensus each of the 22 mutations, accumulating them one by one and respecting the order of their frequency of contamination in India (here in the order INDIAC6, then INDIAC6 + INDIAC7, then INDIAC6 + INDIAC7 + INDIAC8 ... as these mutations appear in Table 7.

Nota :We rename these successive mutants SINDIA6 for B.1.617 consensus + INDIA6 etc...

```

SINDIAC6„B1617REF
SINDIAC6[28883]
G
SINDIAC6[28883],„C'
SINDIAC7„SINDIAC6
SINDIAC7[28882]
G
SINDIAC7[28882],„A'
SINDIAC8„SINDIAC7
SINDIAC8[25563]
G
SINDIAC8[25563],„T'
SINDIAC10„SINDIAC8
SINDIAC10[26735]
C
SINDIAC10[26735],„T'
SINDIAC11„SINDIAC10
SINDIAC11[28854]
SINDIAC11[28854],„T'
SINDIAC12„SINDIAC11
SINDIAC12[22444]
C
SINDIAC12[22444],„T'
SINDIAC13„SINDIAC12
SINDIAC13[313]
C
SINDIAC13[313],„T'
SINDIAC14„SINDIAC13
SINDIAC14[5700]
C
SINDIAC14[5700],„A'
SINDIAC15„SINDIAC14
SINDIAC15[11083]
G
SINDIAC15[11083],„T'
SINDIAC16„SINDIAC15
SINDIAC16[13730]
C
SINDIAC16[13730],„T'

SINDIAC17„SINDIAC16
SINDIAC17[23929]
C
SINDIAC17[28311],„T'
SINDIAC18„SINDIAC17
SINDIAC18[23929]
C
SINDIAC18[23929],„T'
SINDIAC19„SINDIAC18
SINDIAC19[6312]
C
SINDIAC19[6312],„A'
SINDIAC20„SINDIAC19
SINDIAC20[8917]
C
SINDIAC20[8917],„T'
SINDIAC21„SINDIAC20
SINDIAC21[1947]
T
SINDIAC21[1947],„C'
SINDIAC22„SINDIAC21

```

```

SINDIAC22[9389]
G   SINDIAC22[9389], 'A'
SINDIAC23, SINDIAC22
    SINDIAC23[6573]
C   SINDIAC23[6573], 'T'
SINDIAC24, SINDIAC23
    SINDIAC24[4354]
G   SINDIAC24[4354], 'A'
SINDIAC25, SINDIAC24
    SINDIAC25[25528]
C   SINDIAC25[25528], 'T'
SINDIAC26, SINDIAC25
    SINDIAC26[15324]
C   SINDIAC26[15324], 'T'
SINDIAC27, SINDIAC26
    SINDIAC27[3267]
C   SINDIAC27[3267], 'T'
SINDIAC28, SINDIAC27
    SINDIAC28[3634]
C   SINDIAC28[3634], 'T'

```

Here, unlike the 2 previous simulations where most of the mutations INCREASED the number of long AU / CG metastructures, here almost all of the mutations DECREASE the number of these long metastructures. It is true that the level of these metastructures of 17711 AU / CG bases is very IMPORTANT in the reference genome B.1.617 Ref.

The level of the B.1.617 consensus reference variant genome is however more than 6.6 times higher than that of the Wuhan SARS-CoV2 reference genome.

The average level of these 22 nested mutations applied to the variant genome consensus reference B.1.617 is however more than 4.7 times higher than that of the reference genome SARS-CoV2 Wuhan.

See results in Figure 13 below.

What about running the same 22 mutations but with INDIVIDUAL MUTATIONS instead of cumulated mutations ?

MEMO22INDIVIDUALS

```

SINDIAC6, B1617REF
SINDIAC7, B1617REF
SINDIAC8, B1617REF
SINDIAC10, B1617REF
SINDIAC11, B1617REF
SINDIAC12, B1617REF
SINDIAC13, B1617REF
SINDIAC14, B1617REF
SINDIAC15, B1617REF
SINDIAC16, B1617REF
SINDIAC17, B1617REF
SINDIAC18, B1617REF
SINDIAC19, B1617REF
SINDIAC20, B1617REF
SINDIAC21, B1617REF
SINDIAC22, B1617REF
SINDIAC23, B1617REF

```

SINDIAC24„B1617REF
SINDIAC25„B1617REF
SINDIAC26„B1617REF
SINDIAC27„B1617REF
SINDIAC28„B1617REF

SINDIAC6[28883],„C'
SINDIAC7[28882],„A'
SINDIAC8[25563],„T'
SINDIAC10[26735],„T'
SINDIAC11[28854],„T'
SINDIAC12[22444],„T'
SINDIAC13[313],„T'
SINDIAC14[5700],„A'
SINDIAC15[11083],„T'
SINDIAC16[13730],„T'
SINDIAC17[28311],„T'
SINDIAC18[23929],„T'
SINDIAC19[6312],„A'
SINDIAC20[8917],„T'
SINDIAC21[1947],„C'
SINDIAC22[9389],„A'
SINDIAC23[6573],„T'
SINDIAC24[4354],„A'
SINDIAC25[25528],„T'
SINDIAC26[15324],„T'
SINDIAC27[3267],„T'
SINDIAC28[3634],„T'

Table 8 - Evolution of 17711 UA/CG metastructures with whole INDIAN variant genomes with CUMULATED then SEPARATED mutations vs percent frequencies (vs. B.1.617 REF variant).

Genome	percent	Number 177	Number 17711
SARS-CoV2 Wuhan		8	8
B.1.617 REF		53	53
28883 SIN	41	53	53
28882 SIN	40	32	32
25563 SIN	25	21	32
26735 SIN	25	14	32
28854 SIN	23	8	32
22444 SIN	22	31	32
313 SIND	21	31	53
5700 SIND	20	31	53
11083 SIN	14	31	53
13730 SIN	11	28	32
28311 SIN	10	40	32
23929 SIN	10	48	32
6312 SIND	10	48	53
8917 SIND	10	48	53
1947 SIND	7	48	53
9389 SIND	6	48	53
6573 SIND	6	48	53
4354 SIND	6	48	53
25528 SIN	6	38	32
15324 SIN	6	45	32
3267 SIND	6	45	53
3634 SIND	6	45	53

India variant B.1.617 muted by the 22 most frequent mutations

Comparing 17711 UA/CG CUMULATED mutations with SEPARATED mutations




Figure 13 – Evolution of 17711 UA/CG metastructures with whole INDIAN variant genomes with CUMULATED (red) and SEPARATED (yellow) mutations vs percent frequencies (vs. B.1.617 REF variant).

Globally, all simulated whole genomes have a number of 17711 UA/CG metastructures greater than the initial SARS-CoV2 Wuhan genome.

3.5 - The disturbing rise of the Indian variant B.1.617.2.

In the spring of 2021, an endogenous strain of the Indian variant B.1.617 developed exponentially in India, then in England, then it was reported in about fifty countries, it is the dominant strain B.1.617.2.

We must recall properties of the 3 B.1.617 substrains:

B.1.617.1 detected Dec 2020

B.1.617.2 detected Dec 2020

B.1.617.3 detected Oct 2020

B.1.617 contains 3 clades with different mutation profiles which are:

- B.1.617.1 – includes a large number of sequences and has a spike profile including L452R and E484Q.
- B.1.617.2 – has a different profile without E484Q and appears to have recent expansion.
- B.1.617.3 – has L452R and E484Q but is distinct from B.1.617.1 and currently remains small.

What differentiates this variant, both in terms of the entire genome and its spike, compared to the Wuhan or D614G reference strains?

Here is the list of the various mutations of this variant (source www.covariants.org).

20A/S:478K is also known as B.1.617.2

The Pango lineage B.1.617 includes both [Variant20A/S:154K](#) and its sister lineage [Variant20A/S:478K](#).

B.1.617 was first detected in late 2020 in India, and has appeared to expand rapidly.

These sequences have Spike mutations at positions [S:L452R](#) (see [Variant20C/S:452R](#) page for more details) and [MutationS:P681](#), both of which impact antibody binding.

In addition, many sequences have mutation [S:G142D](#), in the N-terminal domain, which is an escape mutant to some antibodies ([McCallum et al., bioRxiv](#)) and has appeared in viruses grown in the presence of a monoclonal antibody ([Suryadevara et al, Cell](#)).

These sequences therefore have mutations in the N-terminal domain, receptor binding domain (RBD), and furin cleavage site of the spike protein, which could impact a variety of antibodies.

- A study found that titers against B.1.617 were reduced roughly 2-fold compared to [201/501Y.V1](#) and wild-type ([Yadav et al., bioRxiv](#))

20A/S:154K

[Variant20A/S:478K](#) has additional spike mutations at positions [S:T19R](#), [S:R158G](#), [S:T478K](#), and [S:D950N](#). Additionally, it has a deletion at positions [S:E156](#) and [S:F157](#).

Many sequences in [Variant20A/S:478K](#) also have a deletion at positions [ORF8:D119](#) and [ORF8:F120](#).

Little else is known about this variant. Please let me know if you have more information!

Defining mutations

Nonsynonymous

- [S:T19R](#)
- [S:E156](#)
- [S:F157](#)
- [S:R158G](#)
- [S:L452R](#)
- [S:T478K](#)
- [S:D614G](#)
- [S:P681R](#)
- [S:D950N](#)
- [ORF1b:P314L](#)
- [ORF1b:P1000L](#)


- M:I82T
- N:D63G
- N:R203M
- N:D377Y
- ORF3a:S26L
- ORF7a:V82A
- ORF7a:T120I

Synonymous

- G210T
- C241T
- C3037T
- A28271-
- G29742T

Comparing B.1.617.2 Spike with Wuhan original Spike :

In Figure 14, we do not notice any big differences between the respective profiles of the UA / CG metastructures of these 2 Spikes. In particular, the 2584 AU / CG metastructures remain weak (blue), the 1597 AU / CG metastructures (red) retain their remarkable "podium" structure. On the other hand, in B.1.617.2 Spike, appear two sharp points characterizing the 987 AU / CG (yellow).



There are not big differences between Wuhan Spike and B.1.617.2 Spike, meanwhile, we note 2 yellow sharp spikes (987 UA/CG) in the case of B.1.617.2

Figure 14 - Comparing SARS-CoV2 Wuhan and B.1.617.2 India variant mRNA Spikes.

Comparing B.1.617.2 whole Genome with Wuhan original whole Genome :




Figure 15 - Comparing SARS-CoV2 Wuhan and B.1.617.2 India variant whole genomes.

Summary of this study.

Unlike the Spikes for which the UA / CG metastructures hardly differentiated the Wuhan and B.1.617.2 strains, here, at the scale of whole genomes (Figure 15), we find that the very long metastructures of 17711 UA / CG are multiplied by more than 4 times (8 ==> 34) between the Wuhan and B.1.617.2 genomes.

As demonstrated by Luc Montagnier from 1963 (Montagnier L. et al., 1963) And as he specified in May 2021 in this video about the stability of the SARS-CoV2 mRNA (Montagnier L., 2021), let us specify that the mRNA of a virus quickly transforms into a double chain of DNA of considerable strength.

We suggest that these very dense and long Fibonacci metastructures precisely reinforce the strength and the lifespan of the fragile mRNA of the virus, but also of the resulting DNA.

Recall, as we note in (Perez jc, 2021) that these Fibonacci metastructures are observed in all strains and variants of SARS-CoV2 while they are completely absent in the mRNAs of the spikes (modified at the level of synonymous codons) carried by the mRNA vaccines from *Pfizer* and *Moderna*. Indeed, in order to maximize their mRNA stability, these 2 vaccines were overloaded with G bases. mRNA, thus also of their DNA, and probably also a low production of antibodies.

CONCLUSIONS.

In the first part of this study, we limit ourselves to the analysis of whole genomes, all coming from the mutations and variants of SARS-CoV2 sequenced in India in 2020 and 2021. We then demonstrate - both on actual genomes of patients and on variants combining the most frequent mutations to the SARS-CoV2 Wuhan genomes and then to the B.1.617 variant - that the numerical Fibonacci AU / CG metastructures increase considerably in all cases analyzed in ratios of up to 8 times. We can affirm that this property contributes to a greater stability and lifespan of messenger RNAs, therefore, possibly also to a greater INFECTUOSITY of these variant genomes.

Comparing India frequent mutations from SARS-CoV2 Wuhan and B.1.617 variant




Figure 16 - summarizing both 28 SARS-CoV2 and 28 B.1.617 embedded mutations cases.

In this study, we looked for the presence and number of UA / CG Fibonacci metastructures. We are interested in the longest of 17,711 bases, for genomes of 29,000 bases. These genomes concerned, for some, real patients, and, for others, the 28 mutations and variants

most frequent in India, those which represent more than 5% of the cases of infections of the country.

Out of a total of 108 genomes analyzed:

- **None ("NONE")** of them contained a number of metastructures LOWER than those of the reference SARS-CoV2 Wuhan genome.
- **Eleven (11)** among them contained the same number of metastructures as the reference genome.
- **97 of them contained a GREATER number of metastructures than the reference genome, ie 89.81% of cases. The average increase in the number of metastructures for the 97 cases studied is 4.35 times the number of SARS-CoV2 UA/CG 17711 Fibonacci metastructures.** ($4.35 = 34.83 / 8$).

Note the impact of the new B.1.617 variant which, combined with the 4 most frequent mutations (85% of contaminations in the country), multiplies by 4 ("four") the number of metastructures of 17,711 bases compared to the reference genome SARS-CoV2 ($8 \Rightarrow 31$). It is therefore clear that the evolutionary pressure of mutations and variants operates on the mRNAs of viruses a sort of adaptation and even OPTIMIZATION of the AU / CG ratios of the entire genome. Only the virus "knows" this STRATEGY, and we think we have unveiled a corner of the veil here ...

When we run the most frequent mutations in India whole country, on the reference consensus B.1.617 India Variant, the level of the B.1.617 consensus reference variant genome is more than 6.6 times (53+8) higher than that of the Wuhan SARS-CoV2 reference genome.

Now, an open question:

Is there a SARSCOV2 Variants Evolution Global Strategy ?

To demonstrate a hypothetical global variant strategy, we have gathered 19 variants representative of the great diversity of variants:

- bat RaTG13, reputed to be very close to SARS-CoV2.
- The 2 original strains Wuhan and D614G.
- A strain related to mink (Hammer et al., 2021).

A strain Marseille4, including 13 mutations, which according to professor Didier

Raoult, coming from Africa, close to mink strains, was in the majority in this region of France before being erased by the English variant (Fournier et al., 2021).

- the 3 English variants.
- the 4 South African variants.
- the 3 Brazilian variants.
- the Californian variant Cal20.C.
- the 2 Indian variants B.1.617 and B.1.617.2.

When we compare the Fibonacci of these 19 spikes, it appears (Table 9 and Figure 17) that the majority of the variants see their longest metastructure 2584 AU / CG almost always greater than much greater than that of the spikes of the 2 reference genomes.

However, a low value is noted for Marseille4, reputed to be excessively pathogenic.

We also note a low value for Mink, whose codon reading frame is shifted shortly after the PRRA insertion point.

On the contrary, bat RaTG13 is characterized by a very high value (40 against 6 for Wuhan Spike).

The analysis of "podium like" 1597 AU / CG is very interesting because it highlights strong imbalances (LEFT California, or RIGHT Marseille4) between the left and right parts of the podium, this reflects an imbalance of Fibonacci 1597bases between the regions S1 and S2 of the Spike, we suggest that this imbalance may be associated with greater PATHOGENICITY.

On the contrary, several variants are located in a region of equilibrium between the 2 left and right parts of the podium, this is the case of the 3 English variants but also despite a slight imbalance of the Indian variant B.1.617 2. We suggest that these equilibria induce greater INFECTUOSITY.

Table 9 - Comparing 19 SARS-CoV2 variants 2584 UA/CG Spike Fibonacci metastructures.

SPIKE	Long Range 25
Marseille4	5
D614G	6
UK SN501Y	6
Mink	6
India B16172	7
India B1617	7
Wuhan	7
Brazil P1	10
SA B1156	12
SA C1	12
SA B1106	12
SA B1154	12
UK SN501S	12
UK SN501T	12
Brazil C	21
Brazil A	29
Brazil B	34
BaRaTG13	40
California	44

Table 10 - Comparing 19 SARS-CoV2 strains variants « Podium » like left/right Balancing/Unbalancing 1597 UA/CG Fibonacci metastructures.

SPIKE	Left	Right	Dist. Left-Righ
Marseille4	18	26	-8
BaRaTG13	28	31	-3
SA B1156	24	26	-2
SA C1	24	26	-2
SA B1106	25	26	-1
SA B1154	25	26	-1
UK SN501S	25	26	-1
UK SN501T	25	26	-1
D614G	29	26	3
UK SN501Y	29	26	3
Brazil A	29	26	3
Brazil P1	19	15	4
Brazil C	21	15	6
India B16172	30	24	6
Brazil B	34	26	8
Wuhan	37	26	11
Mink	41	26	15
India B16171	41	26	15
California	44	26	18

Analysing SARS-CoV2 Variants balance/Unbalance Left/Right





Figure 17 - Comparing 19 Spikes from 19 variants for long range 2584 UA/CG and 1597 UA/CG Balancing/Unbalancing « Podium » like metastructures.

Genome analysis:

The few Figures below show how certain genomes of these same 19 variants will, here too, assert - at the scale of the entire genome - the pathogenicity / infectivity of bat RaTG13, Marseille4 or of California Cal.20C, but also of the last Indian B variant B.1.617.2.




Figure 18 - Comparing long range genome overlapping 17711 UA/CG Fibonacci metastructures between SARS-CoV2 reference Wuhan, Bat RaTG13, Marseille4 and India B.1.617.2.

We notice the diversity of spike and genome situations: for Bat RaTG13, the Fibonacci metastructures are very superior to those of the SARS-CoV2 Wuhan simultaneously for spike (5.7 times) and for genome (3.2 times). On the contrary, for Marseille4, only 13 mutations make it possible to multiply by more than 4.2 the Fibonacci of the genome but not of the Spike. Likewise, for India B.1.617.2, there are 23 mutations which lead to a similar situation (4.1 times).

"We can add that the evolution of the virus towards the" Fibonacci "variants was favored by the anti-spike protein antibodies of the original SARS-CoV2 virus from Wuhan. Nature or God will not facilitate the reaction of vaccinators to end to this pandemic ". Luc Montagnier.

V- ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

Thanks for fructuous discussions about this article to Megawaty Tan (A private researcher based in South Sumatera, Indonesia), , Robert Freeman M D. (author of "Nature's secret nutrient, golden ratio biomimicry, for PEAK health, performance and longevity), Philippe Risby (initiator of "Learning to Survive") project in Portugal, Valère Lounnas, (Free lance researcher at CMBI European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL) Heidelberg), Dr Daniel Favre, independant researcher, Brent, Switzerland, Christian Marc, (retired, MSEE- Dipl-Eng Physics, MBA (Beta Gamma Sigma, USA), Harvard HBS Alumn, General Director <https://www.caravanedelapaix.com/>), and Ethirajan Govindarajan (adjunct Professor, Department of Cybernetics, School of Computer Science, University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India, Director, PRC Global Technologies Inc., Ontario, Canada, President, Pentagonam Research Centre Pvt. Ltd.,

Hyderabad, India).

Particularly, this work is the result of multiple exchanges and advice, since the very beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, for which I must thank Professor Luc Montagnier (Nobel prizewinner for his discovery of HIV, Fondation Luc Montagnier Quai Gustave-Ador 62 1207 Geneva, Switzerland).

REFERENCES.

(Castro-Chavez, 2020), F. Castro-Chavez, (June 2020), Anticovidian v.2: COVID-19: Hypothesis of the Lab Origin versus a Zoonotic Event Which Can Also be of a Lab Origin, GJSFR, August 2020, <https://zenodo.org/record/3988139#.YGMMaq8zaM8>

(Dae Eun Jeong et al, 2021), Dae Eun Jeong et al, Assemblies-of-putative-SARS-CoV2-spike-encoding-mRNA-sequences-for-vaccines-BNT-162b2-and-mRNA-1273, GitHub, March 2021, <https://github.com/NAalytics/Assemblies-of-putative-SARS-CoV2-spike-encoding-mRNA-sequences-for-vaccines-BNT-162b2-and-mRNA-1273>

(Da Silva Filipe, 2020), da Silva Filipe, A., Shepherd, J.G., Williams, T. *et al.* Genomic epidemiology reveals multiple introductions of SARS-CoV-2 from mainland Europe into Scotland. *Nat Microbiol* **6**, 112–122 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-00838-z>

(Demongeot & Henrion-Caude, 2020), Demongeot J. & Henrion-Caude A. , Footprints of a Singular 22-Nucleotide RNA Ring at the Origin of Life, *Biology* 2020, 9(5), 88; <https://doi.org/10.3390/biology9050088>

(Fournier et al., 2021) Fournier P.E, Emergence and outcome of the SARS-CoV-2 “Marseille-4” variant 6 Short title: Outcome of the Marseille-4 genotype , https://www.mediterranee-infection.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/Ms_Marseille-4_IHU-MI_Jan2021vL.pdf

(Gröhs Ferrareze P. A. , et al, 2021), Patrícia Aline Gröhs Ferrareze, et al, E484K as an innovative phylogenetic event for viral evolution: Genomic analysis of the E484K spike mutation in SARS-CoV-2 lineages from Brazil
bioRxiv 2021.01.27.426895; doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.27.426895>

(Hammer et al, 2021), Hammer AS, Quaade ML, Rasmussen TB, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Transmission between Mink (Neovison vison) and Humans, Denmark. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2021;27(2):547-551.
doi:10.3201/eid2702.203794 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7853580/>

(Jackson et al, 2020), Jackson, N.A.C., Kester, K.E., Casimiro, D. *et al.* The promise of mRNA vaccines: a biotech and industrial perspective. *npj Vaccines* **5**, 11 (2020).
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41541-020-0159-8>

(Kudla et al, 2016), Kudla, G., Lipinski, L., Caffin, F., Helwak, A. & Zylicz, M. High guanine and cytosine content increases mRNA levels in mammalian cells. *Plos Biol.* **4**, e180 (2016). [High guanine and cytosine content increases mRNA levels in mammalian cells](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001800)

(Kustin T. et al, 2021), Evidence for increased breakthrough rates of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern in BNT162b2 mRNA vaccinated individuals, Talia Kustin et al, medRxiv Preprints, Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.04.06.21254882>

(Mengwen et al, 2006), Mengwen Jia, Liaofu Luo, The relation between mRNA folding and protein structure, Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, Volume 343, Issue 1, 2006, Pages 177-182, ISSN 0006-291X, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2006.02.135>.
(<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0006291X06004451>)

(Montagnier L. & Kingsley Sanders F, 1963), Luc Montagnier and F. Kingsley Sanders « Replicative Form of Encephalomyocarditis virus RNA », *Nature* 199. 664-667. 1963

(Montagnier L., 2021) video youtube (<https://youtu.be/KrIoPIQZmUE>)

(Muttineri et al, 2021), Muttineni R, Kammili N, Bingi TC, Rao M. R, Putty K, Dholaniya PS, et al. (2021) Clinical and whole genome characterization of SARS-CoV-2 in India. PLoS ONE 16 (2): e0246173. doi: 10.1371 / journal.pone.0246173

https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&source=web&rct=j&url=https://journals.plos.org/plospathogens/article/file%3Fid%3D10.1371/journal.pone.0246173%26type%3Dprintable&ved=2ahUKewj3zdnZnorwAhUQKBoKHUxnD_EQFjABegQICBAC&usq=AOvVaw1A79ux6UbetoPoRx_jT-Mk

(Naveca Felipe et al, 2021), Phylogenetic relationship of SARS-CoV-2 sequences from Amazonas with emerging Brazilian variants harboring mutations E484K and N501Y in the Spike protein, Virological.org, 2021, <https://virological.org/t/phylogenetic-relationship-of-sars-cov-2-sequences-from-amazonas-with-emerging-brazilian-variants-harboring-mutations-e484k-and-n501y-in-the-spike-protein/585>

(Perez, 1988), Perez J.C., De nouvelles voies vers l'Intelligence Artificielle, 1988, Ed. MASSON ELSEVIER, EAN 978-2225818158 ISBN 2225818150, <https://livre.fnac.com/a223887/Jean-Claude-Perez-De-Nouvelles-voies-vers-l-intelligence-artificielle>

(Perez, 1991), J.C. Perez (1991), "Chaos DNA and Neuro-computers: A Golden Link", in Speculations in Science and Technology vol. 14 no. 4, ISSN 0155-7785, January 1991
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/258439719_JC_Perez_1991_Chaos_DNA_and_Neuro-computers_A_Golden_Link_in_Speculations_in_Science_and_Technologyvol_14_no_4_ISSN_0155-7785

(Perez, 1997), Perez J.C, L'ADN décrypté, Ed. Marco Pietteur, ISBN : 2-87211-017-8
•EAN : 9782872110179, <https://www.editionsmarcopietteur.com/resurgence/91-adn-decrypte-9782872110179.html>

(Perez, 2009), Perez J.C, Codex biogenesis – Les 13 codes de l'ADN (French Edition) [Jean - Claude ... 2009);
Language: French; ISBN -10: 2874340448; ISBN -13: 978-2874340444
<https://www.amazon.fr/Codex-Biogenesis-13-codes-lADN/dp/2874340448>

(Perez, 2015), Deciphering Hidden DNA Meta-Codes -The Great Unification & Master Code of Biology, journal of Glycémies and Lipidomics,
<https://www.longdom.org/abstract/deciphering-hidden-dna-metacodes-the-great-unification-amp-master-code-of-biology-11590.html> , ISSN: 2153-0637, DOI: [10.4172/2153-0637.1000131](https://doi.org/10.4172/2153-0637.1000131)

(Perez, 2017), J.C Perez, 2017, Sapiens Mitochondrial DNA Genome Circular Long Range Numerical Meta Structures are Highly Correlated with Cancers and Genetic Diseases mtDNA Mutations

January 2017 *Journal of Cancer Science and Therapy* 09(06) DOI: [10.4172/1948-5956.1000469](https://doi.org/10.4172/1948-5956.1000469)

(Perez , 2017b), Jean Claude Perez, "[The Master Code of Biology: Self-assembly of two identical Peptides beta A4 1-43 Amyloid In Alzheimer's Diseases](#)," *Biomedical Journal of Scientific & Technical Research*, Biomedical Research Network+, LLC, vol. 1(4), pages 1191-1195, September. Handle: *RePEc:abf:journl:v:1:y:2017:i:4:p:1191-1195*
DOI: 10.26717/BJSTR.2017.01.000394

(Perez, 2017c), Perez JC (2017) The "Master Code of DNA": Towards the Discovery of the SNPs Function (Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism). *J Clin Epigenet.* 3:26. doi: 10.21767/2472-1158.100060 ,
<https://clinical-epigenetics.imedpub.com/the-master-code-of-dna-towards-the-discovery-of-the-snps-function-singlenucleotide-polymorphism.pdf>

(Perez, 2018), Perez, J.C. Six Fractal Codes of Biological Life:perspectives in Exobiology, Cancers Basic Research and Artificial Intelligence Biomimetism Decisions Making. Preprints **2018**, 2018090139 (doi: 10.20944/preprints201809.0139.v1). Perez, J.C. Six Fractal Codes of Biological Life:perspectives in Exobiology, Cancers Basic Research and Artificial Intelligence Biomimetism Decisions Making. Preprints 2018, 2018090139 (doi: 10.20944/preprints201809.0139.v1).
<https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/201809.0139/v1>

(Perez, 2019) , Perez, J. Epigenetics Theoretical Limits of Synthetic Genomes: The Cases of Artificials *Caulobacter* (C. eth-2.0), *Mycoplasma Mycoides* (JCVI-Syn 1.0, JCVI-Syn 3.0 and JCVI_3A), *E-coli* and *YEAST chr XII*. Preprints **2019**, 2019070120 (doi: 10.20944/preprints201907.0120.v1).
<https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/201907.0120/v1>

Perez J.C, (2020). "WUHAN COVID-19 SYNTHETIC ORIGINS AND EVOLUTION." *International Journal of Research - Granthaalayah*, 8(2), 285-324.
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3724003>

(Perez&Montagnier, 2020a), Perez, j.c, & Montagnier, L. (2020, April 25). COVID-19, SARS and Bats Coronaviruses Genomes unexpected Exogeneous RNA Sequences.
<https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/d9e5g>

(Perez%Montagnier, 2020b), Jean claude Perez, & Luc Montagnier. (2020). COVID-19, SARS AND BATS CORONAVIRUSES GENOMES PECULIAR HOMOLOGOUS RNA SEQUENCES. *International Journal of Research -GRANTHAALAYAH* ISSN (print): 2394-3629 July 2020, Vol 8(07), 217 – 263 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29121/granthaalayah.v8.i7.2020.678>, Vol 8(07), 217 – 263(Vol 8(07), 217 – 263), Vol 8(07), 217–Vol 8(07), 263. <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3975578>

(Perez, 2021) Perez, J. SARS-CoV2 Variants and Vaccines mRNA Spikes Fibonacci Numerical UA/CG Metastructures. Preprints **2021**, 2021040034 (doi: 10.20944/preprints202104.0034.v5). Perez, J. SARS-CoV2 Variants and Vaccines mRNA Spikes Fibonacci Numerical UA/CG Metastructures. Preprints 2021, 2021040034 (doi: 10.20944/preprints202104.0034.v5).
<https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202104.0034/v5>

(Pragya Yadav et al., 2021), Pragya Yadav, et al., Neutralization of variant under investigation B.1.617 with sera of BBV152 vaccinees bioRxiv 2021.04.23.441101; doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.04.23.441101>

(Rapoport & Perez, 2018),

Rapoport D. & Perez J.C, Golden ratio and Klein bottle Logophysics: the Keys of the Codes of Life and Cognition.

Quantum Biosystems. 9(2) 8-76. ; Vol. 9 – n.2 – 2018

(Simmonds P, 2020), P. Simmonds, Rampant C->U hypermutation in the genomes of SARS-CoV-2 and other coronaviruses – causes and consequences for their short and long evolutionary trajectories. bioRxiv 2020.05.01.072330; doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.01.072330>

(Srivastava Surabhi et al, 2021), SARS-CoV-2 genomics: An Indian perspective on sequencing viral variants, J Biosci. 2021; 46(1): 22.

Published online 2021 Feb 20. doi: 10.1007/s12038-021-00145-7 ,
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7895735/>

(Wenjuan Zhang et al, 2021), Emergence of a novel SARS-CoV-2 strain in Southern California, USA, medRxiv 2021.01.18.21249786; doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.18.21249786>