Adolescents, ambivalent sexism and social networks, a conditioning factor in the healthcare of women
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Abstract

Despite gender equality being present in the social and political sphere, we still encounter aspects that are characteristic of sexism. Such aspects impact upon gender inequality and different types of violence towards women. The present article aims to examine the behaviour of adolescents from Huelva with regards to ambivalent sexism towards women on social networks and their influence on health. Further, we seek to uncover adolescent’s perceptions with regards to gender differences in the use of social networks, the relationship between sexism and women's emotional well-being was observed.

The study sample was formed by young people aged between 14 and 16 years who were residing in rural and urban zones in the south of Spain. A mixed methods approach was taken. At a quantitative level, a sample of 400 young people was recruited. These were administered a questionnaire about sexism which was composed of two scales and has been validated at a national and international level. At a qualitative level, the study counted on 33 young people who participated in in-depth discussions via interviews and discussion groups.

The results showed that sexism emerges in adolescence in the analysed sample from the south of Spain. This favoured a digital gender gap and was reinforced through social networks such as Instagram and Snapchat. Rising awareness and a critical view of the aforementioned sexism was shown on the behalf of females, particularly those from urban backgrounds.
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Introduction

In our current society, we speak about post-modernity, development, acquired constitutional rights and social sensitivity in the context of the general citizenry with respect to equality between males and females. Discussion is based on a series of legal, mediatic, political and social improvements [1,2,3] which supposedly call check-mate on the sexist patriarchal system which has loomed large throughout history [4]. Gender inequality in the present day is clearly demonstrated, with this often being manifested as recurring violence towards women [5]. Unfortunately, such violence is being observed at increasingly younger ages, including within the adolescent population [6].

Sexism is a complex construct that forms a part of the gender differentiation between males and females. It has especially negative repercussions for females and for anyone who moves away from the dominant hegemonic masculinity [7]. Sexism in itself, houses a set of beliefs regarding respect for established gender roles. It has negative repercussions for women and perpetuates their subordination to men [8,9,10]. A nuance of this can be explored through the concept of ambivalent sexism [8], which is composed of masculine dominance and represented through hostility and interdependence. From this perspective, ambivalent sexism has two components, namely, hostile sexism and benevolent sexism [8,9,11]. Hostile sexism concerns a gender ideology that is directly discriminatory and violent towards women. It has three fundamental factors. The first is male paternalism towards females in the sense of dominance due to the belief that women are the weaker sex relative to men. The second factor pertains to a competitive gender differentiation in which the belief is held that women cannot take responsibility over important issues, such as those that are economic or social in nature, in the same way that men can. The final factor concerns heterosexual hostility and assumes that women present a danger and a manipulative force to men [12]. On the other hand, we find that which is denominated benevolent sexism. This ideology involves subtle gender discrimination and is characterised by the following factors: Paternalism, complementary gender differentiation and cisgender heteronormative intimacy. The former is manifested in this type of sexism from the standpoint of protection, whilst the second factor refers to positive characteristics which complement the man and, equally, mark gender differences in a supposedly logical way. The latter, cisgender heteronormative intimacy comes from the belief that a man is incomplete without a woman [8,10].

During adolescence, we can observe that ambivalent sexism is transmitted through social networks. This occurs in a number of ways [13], for instance in writing via hashtags, likes,
videogames, music and images, in addition to through expressive instrumentalised means, above all through mobile phones [14]. In the adolescent population, this type of sexism, through social networks, takes on special characteristics with regards to the rapid and direct dissemination of material, which quickly becomes viral in adolescent groups [15]. On the other hand, the anonymity offered by social networks means they can be used to promote more violent forms of sexism towards women [16,17]. The tendency towards audio visual preferences amongst younger people as a form of expression on social networks, for instance through photographs or videos [18,19,20], shows that females present such images in a more sexualised way. This occurs in virtual daily practices such as that known as sexting [21,22,23] and reflects sexism against women and the risk posed by this type of practice. Worryingly, such practices are increasingly more common on social networks, especially amongst women [24]. For this reason, it is important to deepen the knowledge of this worrying and current issue that especially affects the emotional state and healthcare of younger women [25]. This is relevant within our closest reality, in Huelva, and in relation to the behaviour of young people from Huelva, aged between 14 and 16 years, relating to ambivalent sexism on social networks. Further, we seek to better understand adolescents’ perceptions regarding the gender differentiated used of social networks.

Method

In order to respond to the proposed objectives, the present work took a quantitative and qualitative methodological approach which was fed by a pluralist methodological approach. It was accompanied by data and information triangulation [26]. This will enable us to better approach subtle issues regarding ambivalent sexism and gender differences [27,28]

Participants

A representative sample of 400 students, aged between 14 and 16 years, from public educational centres of the province of Huelva was selected. All participants were compulsory secondary education (ESO) students and came from 7 public educational centres. Students were classified as coming from rural (> 5,000 inhabitants) or urban (< 5,000 inhabitants) settings. The average age of participants was 15.01 years (SD = 0.82).

With regards to the qualitative analysis, the sample was formed of three discussion groups. Of these, one was formed by 7 males, another was formed by 8 females and the third was a mixed group of 10 individuals. In addition to this, 8 in-depth interviews were conducted with four
females and four males. Interview participants were different to those who attended discussion groups. Overall, qualitative analysis included a total of 33 individuals from urban and rural settings.

**Instruments**

Two types of rating scales on ambivalent sexism were administered. Some sociodemographic data were also collected, alongside to questions about social networks. The scales are described below.

*The ambivalent sexism inventory*, ASI, developed by Glick and Fiske [8] in the reduced Spanish version of Rodríguez, Lameiras & Carrera [31], with which hostile (traditional) and benevolent sexist attitudes (positive affective tone) towards women are measured. All items have a 6-point response format (from 1 “totally disagree” to 6 “totally disagree”). Higher scores indicate higher level of sexism towards women. The reliability obtained in our study was 0.81 in hostil sexism (HS) and 0.76 in Bevolent sexism (BS).

*The Detected Sexism in Adolescent scale* (DSA), the most updated version [29], validated in its smallest version [30]. This scale measures in their items hostile sexism and benevolent sexism (ambivalent sexism). The articles were answered on a 6-point Likert scale, with options running from 1 (totally disagree) to 6 (totally agree).

In addition to including sociodemographic data for the instruments, we added two questions in relation to *social networks* in order to be analyzed with the previous scales. The first is, which networks are most commonly used? This question was posed with multiple response options being provided, specifically, the following alternatives were given: Whatsapp, Twitter, Facebook, Youtube, Tuenti, Instagram, SnapChat, blogs and other social networks. Whatsapp was included although it is not really a social network because we consider that this instant messaging application increasingly possesses characteristics that are fitting of a social network at its broadest communication level (through groups, distribution lists and 24h states). The second question strives to uncover the reasons for which these networks are used. As with the former question, response options allowed various responses to be given, including: to gossip about others, talk with my partner (where relevant), communicate with my family, for school use and learning, to meet others, to hook up, and to talk with friends/people I already know. Qualitative analysis produced the following preliminary categories: differentiated use of social networks, references to the type of social networks and reasons for their use, and attributes associated with the identification of either of the genders. Different categories underlying
ambivalent sexism emerged during the interviews and discussion groups. Such themes arose following reflection.

**Procedure**

An observational design was developed which was descriptive and cross-sectional in nature. The quantitative sample was selected through non-random convenience sampling. This was made possible due to the ease of access granted by the participating educational centres in the province of Huelva. When selecting educational centres, the number of inhabitants in the locality was taken as a reference. Urban and rural settings were defined as having more or less than 5000 inhabitants, respectively. We selected a total of 7 public educational centres, with 4 coming from urban settings and 3 from rural settings. Once access was granted by the centre, a date and time was agreed upon to complete questionnaires. We then sent informed consent forms to the educational centres for legal guardians to sign on behalf of the students. The educational levels examined corresponded to the 3rd and 4th years of ESO. On the day in which questionnaires were completed in the classroom, discussion groups and interviews were organised with the students who voluntarily agreed to participate in one of the two slots. Qualitative analysis techniques were carried out the following week. Two team members participated in the interviews with the aim of minimizing bias resulting from not having physical contact between agents. One researcher proceeded to conduct the interview whilst the other took over the technical aspects and took fieldnotes.

**Data Analysis**

Quantitative data analysis was conducted using the statistical analysis program PSPP V.25, carrying out comparative analysis with basic variables (n=400).

Qualitative analysis was performed based on discourse analysis, considering emergent discourse from both discussion groups and interviews. This was conducted using the qualitative data handling program ATLAS. Ti. V.8. The categories that resulted from this analysis were as follows: differentiated use of social networks, references to the type of social networks and reasons for their use, and attributes associated with the identification of either of the genders. Next, the categories and sub-categories to emerge from quantitative analysis following the aforementioned techniques are detailed (see table 1).
Table 1. Categories and subcategories to emerge from the study according different techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Subcategories</th>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>Discussion Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Differentiated use of social networks between males and females</td>
<td>Stereotyped image given of women</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Social networks used</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambivalent sexism on SOCIAL NETWORKS</td>
<td>Benevolent sexism on social networks</td>
<td>Feminine stereotypes</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chivalry</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hostile sexism on social networks</td>
<td>Stigmatisation towards women if they don’t conform with feminine stereotypes</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geographic setting</td>
<td>Rural (control)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Urban (critical view)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: elaborated by the authors in relation to data obtained through qualitative analysis

During data collection, informed consent was received from informants and confidentiality and anonymity were maintained throughout. Prior to study start, participants were informed about the study objectives and the bioethical principles of the Helsinki declaration were respected. Likewise, data obtained from the various discourses comply with current regulations regarding the protection of personal data.

Results

Next, we describe outcomes, giving detailed information on the extent of associations between the two used scales (ASI and DSA) in the adolescent population. Overall, 57.6% of the population scored positively on both scales, with no significant differences being found between males and females according to the chi-squared statistic.

In consideration of the study objectives, the existing relationship was analysed between the two ambivalent sexism scales employed and the social networks, Instagram and Snapchat.
With regards to Instagram, many sexist beliefs emerged amongst the groups that used Instagram, with the inverse also being found (individuals stated sexist beliefs and reporting using Instagram (see table 2)). Specifically, for 8 of the sexist beliefs from the DSA scale, there was a significant relationship between sexism and Instagram use, with this only being the case for 3 of the sexist beliefs on the ASI scale.

### Table 2. Relationship between the social network Instagram and sexism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>% of young people who present with sexism and use Instagram</th>
<th>% of young people who use Instagram and present with sexism</th>
<th>Chi-squared value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instagram and ‘patient woman’ **</td>
<td>82.5%</td>
<td>65.7%</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instagram and ‘tender woman’ ***</td>
<td>82.7%</td>
<td>72.8%</td>
<td>14.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instagram and ‘accommodating woman’ ***</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>16.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instagram and ‘sympathetic woman’ ***</td>
<td>83.5%</td>
<td>61.4%</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instagram and ‘fragile woman’ **</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>11.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instagram and ‘forgiving woman’ **</td>
<td>81.7%</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>5.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instagram and ‘woman at home’ **</td>
<td>81.1%</td>
<td>59.9%</td>
<td>4.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instagram and ‘women suffers’ **</td>
<td>82.3%</td>
<td>58.6%</td>
<td>7.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instagram and ‘women as a complement’ **</td>
<td>88.4%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>6.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instagram and ‘loved and protected woman’ **</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>68.9%</td>
<td>6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instagram and ‘man without woman’ **</td>
<td>81.9%</td>
<td>67.3%</td>
<td>8.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: **p < .05, ***p < .001; degrees of freedom= 1

Source: Developed by the authors

If we move on to the social network Snapchat, we find more relationships between the variables, with these associations also showing a higher significance level (see table 3). With regards to the DSA scale, for 7 of the sexist beliefs a positive association was found between confirmation of sexism and using Snapchat, with the same outcome for young people who used the social network and stated sexist beliefs. With regards to the ASI scale, more relationships emerged in relation with Snapchat than with Instagram, with significant relationships emerging with 10 sexist beliefs in the case of the latter.
Table 3. Relationship between the social network Snapchat and sexism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>% of young people who present with sexism and use Snapchat</th>
<th>% of young people who use Snapchat and present with sexism</th>
<th>Chi-squared value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Snapchat and ‘tender woman’ ***</td>
<td>72.8%</td>
<td>76.4%</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapchat and ‘sympathetic woman’ ***</td>
<td>73.4%</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
<td>19.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapchat and ‘women raise children’ **</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
<td>68 %</td>
<td>10.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapchat and ‘forgiving woman’ ***</td>
<td>72.3%</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapchat and ‘fragile woman’ ***</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
<td>77.3%</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapchat and ‘sensitive woman’ **</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>5.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapchat and ‘woman in the home’ **</td>
<td>70.6%</td>
<td>62.2%</td>
<td>7.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapchat and ‘women complement men’ ***</td>
<td>70.6%</td>
<td>80.7%</td>
<td>17.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapchat and ‘other heterosexual sex’ **</td>
<td>69.3%</td>
<td>60.2%</td>
<td>4.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapchat and ‘woman’s purity’ **</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
<td>64.5%</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapchat and ‘loved woman’ **</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
<td>70.3%</td>
<td>6.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapchat and ‘love between man and woman’ **</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
<td>75.3%</td>
<td>8.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapchat ‘man without a woman’ **</td>
<td>70.1%</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
<td>8.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapchat and ‘women on a pedestal’ **</td>
<td>69.9%</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapchat and ‘moral woman’ **</td>
<td>68.8%</td>
<td>76.4%</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapchat and ‘accommodating woman’ ***</td>
<td>74.6%</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
<td>22.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapchat and ‘patient woman’ **</td>
<td>71.1%</td>
<td>67.6%</td>
<td>11.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: **p < .05, ***p < .001; degrees of freedom = 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DSA scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASI scale</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Developed by the authors

As we can see in table 4, we observe that the use of other social networks, such as YouTube and blogs, was also related with sexist beliefs. In this case, aspects such as perceiving there to be greater compassion and suffering amongst women were related with the use of YouTube, whilst the idea that women should be put on a pedestal by men or that women have greater moral sensitivity was related with blog use. On the other hand, some results also pointed to the lack of associations. For instance, users of Tuenti did not demonstrate a large extent of sexism, whilst at the same time, those who did not present with sexist beliefs tended not to use Tuenti. Specifically, this relationship emerged with regards to beliefs around raising children, the fragility of women in respect to men and the idea that they should be rescued by men (see table 4).
Table 4. Relationship between other social networks and sexism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>% of young people who present with sexism and use YouTube/ Blogs</th>
<th>% of young people who use YouTube/ Blogs and present with sexism</th>
<th>Chi-squared value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YouTube and ‘sympathetic woman’ **</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
<td>56.2%</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YouTube and ‘women suffering’ **</td>
<td>68.2%</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
<td>5.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YouTube and ‘women on a pedestal’ ** Blogs and ‘moral woman’ **</td>
<td>67.6%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>6.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69.8%</td>
<td>69.1%</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables (inverse relationship)</th>
<th>% of young people who do not present with sexism and do not use Tuenti</th>
<th>% of young people who do not use Tuenti and do not present with sexism</th>
<th>Chi-squared value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuenti and ‘women raise children’ **</td>
<td>80.1%</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
<td>8.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuenti and ‘fragile woman’ **</td>
<td>77.2%</td>
<td>55.4%</td>
<td>6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuenti and ‘rescued woman’ **</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>65.2%</td>
<td>5.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: **p < .05, ***p < .001; degrees of freedom = 1

Source: Developed by the author

Here we finish the presentation of the associations uncovered between data pertaining to social networks and sexist beliefs. Without a doubt, the two social networks with more visual impact such as Instagram and, especially, Snapchat, were the networks most strongly related with sexist beliefs when measured on either of the two utilized scales. We will now move onto the qualitative aspect in order to better understand the nuances present with regards to the relationship between sexism and social networks.

With regards to the discourse analysis\(^1\), we will begin by addressing the relationship between sexism and sex.

\(^1\) Transcription of categories:

[UW16]: 16-year-old urban woman
Within women, we observe the way in which images of feminine ideals are promoted, not only with regards to attributes but also the sexist beliefs that seem to be acknowledged by women and act as coercive means to restrict behaviour within what is accepted by established norms.

- **Girls who look like boys are not viewed well, in fact they tell you that you are a lesbian, you’ve got to uphold a certain feminine image, you can be hooking up with another girl, but whilst you don’t hold yourself like a guy there isn’t any problem, nobody messes with you, if you always look good [UW]**

- **It’s not that we are better, more charming, more patient, what happens is that they label us in that way and if you don’t abide by that even just a little they let you know [RW16]**

- **Here in the village the control is incredible, any situation, the way you dress or who you hang out with marks you and conditions you, for this reason you have to know really well what you are doing if you don’t want to mess up [RW14]**

In the accounts given by females from rural settings social control emerged to a greater extent than it did in the accounts of urban females, where this theme emerged occasionally. Without a doubt, in settings with fewer inhabitants, such as in rural environments, there seems to be a greater indication that behaviour occurs outside of that which is normally accepted, in this way promoting hostile sexism. In contrast, in urban areas there is a greater sense of criticism by females towards the recognition of hostile sexism through behaviour.

- **Yeah, but what do you do? On the one hand you have to be someone who waits on the man and lets him take decisions, and you’re there as if you weren’t, as if you didn’t know what to do, stay or go, get out of the way, it’s a pain, sometimes I can’t be bothered with it and I do what I like, and let them say that I’m losing my mind [UW14]**.

- **For me, when its best for me I act one way and when it isn’t I act another, I watch and act accordingly [UW14]**.

- **I think that this doesn’t happen in relationships between us, I don’t feel like I have to play a role for them, you are more you, you’ve got to go outside of the norm a bit, if you don’t you will stay in the last century [UW16]**.

To another extent, in the discourse of males we see that “being a gentleman” is more associated with chivalry in males from rural areas. In this sense, it emerges as a form of masculine identity that is inherent to benevolent sexism.

---

[RM15]: 15-year-old rural man.
- Being attentive and a gentleman with girls is appreciated, as they give us attention and care for us better in a way that another person probably won’t [RM14]
- The quality of a person is shown in the small details, and girls need affection and to be waited on, they like these things, we don’t care so much about that, but for them it is important [RM16]
- I see my father do it and I don’t see why it is wrong I don’t know why it is criticized, it is being polite [RM14]

Another dominant discourse to emerge amongst females, in this case amongst both rural and urban females, is the belief that women complement men. In this way, a heterosexual system of relationships and couples is promoted:
- Without us they are lost, they don’t know how to do anything, we have all the power [UW14]
- As hard as they try, with their buddies, going out, drinking with friends, wherever, they always come to find us afterwards, even if it is just to look good in front of the rest of their group, they need us [RW16]
- When they settle down later they come looking for you, deep down with us they share their most personal issues, we never leave them hanging, if they know how to behave [UW16]

Another key aspect that we see in the discourse and represents a characteristic of benevolent sexism is the image of females. Stereotypes are associated to the female gender with regards to their behaviour, beauty and expression, and the way this is transmitted through social networks. In this sense, certain images are demanded by males and, resultantly, taken on by females:
- I fix myself up as required, and when I do it I reap the rewards, I get myself out on social networks as best I can, with my selfies they don’t confuse me with any old village lowlife [RW14]
- They should see you smile, we have to be ready and always prepared on the networks, to a high level [RW16]
- Women need a bit more loving on social networks, they are more tender, I definitely bear it in mind, obviously I like to see a beautiful girl and I will follow her on the networks [RM14]
- It seems crazy to me but I recognise that I log in and I look, I like to see beautiful girls, with good style doing selfies, if the photo is tacky I’m not interested [RM16]
Table 5. Categories, subcategories and narratives associated with benevolent sexism in the adolescent population of Huelva (qualitative analysis).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Subcategories</th>
<th>Relates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sexism Ambivalent on social networks</strong></td>
<td>Gender stereotyped images are stronger for females</td>
<td>Use of social networks</td>
<td>Girls who look like boys are not looked well upon, they tell you that you are lesbian, you have to keep a certain feminine image, you can be hooking up with another girl but, whilst you don’t present yourself like a guy there is no problem, nobody messes with you, if you look good in life [UW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feminine stereotypes</td>
<td></td>
<td>I fix myself up just enough, and when I do I reap the rewards, I put myself out online as well as I can, with my selfies they don’t confuse me with any village lowlife [RW14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chivalry</td>
<td></td>
<td>Being attentive and a gentleman with girls is appreciated, they give you attention and take care of you when another person probably wouldn’t [RM14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heteronormativity</td>
<td></td>
<td>They [the guys] are lost without us, they don’t know how to do anything, we have all the power [UW14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hostile sexism on social networks</strong></td>
<td>Stigmatization towards women if they don’t conform with feminine stereotypes</td>
<td></td>
<td>It’s not that we are better, more charming, more patient, it’s just that they give us that tag and as little as you don’t conform with it they pull you up [RW16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Geographic setting</strong></td>
<td>Rural (control)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Control here in the town is incredible, whatever situation, way of dressing or who you go around with marks you and they condition you, for this you have to know well what you do if you don’t want to mess up [RW14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban (critical view)</td>
<td></td>
<td>I think that in the relationships between us this doesn’t happen, I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Discussion**

Various recent studies have verified ambivalent sexism to be a reality for Spanish youth [32,33,34]. In our study, males score higher in hostile sexism [35] despite its low social desirability [36].

Through the data obtained in the present research we identified equally high levels of sexism in both sexes. The qualitative nuance showed that females recognise sexism as a form of coercive social conditioning which is manifested as behaving within accepted norms, a factor that generates hostile sexism [37].

In the same way as in the present research study, recent studies present a relationship between sexism in adolescents and social networks [38,39].

Another increasingly questioned aspect is the image of a chivalrous man, a characteristic that is framed within benevolent sexism. For women, this concept concerns education and is not associated with gender as they express not desiring to receive a different treatment simply for being women. For some men, this concept related to an identity pattern that pertains to how a man expresses interest in a woman in heteronormative relationships [40].

With regards to differing beliefs between adolescents from rural and urban settings, data did not reveal significant differences. It could be observed from the discourse that the feeling of control is more important for females from rural settings, with them making explicit the risk they faced refusing to comply with accepted norms. In contrast, in rural settings we observe that chivalry is an increasingly highly valued trait, above all for men. It is even assumed to provide a model of masculinity to follow within heterosexual couples, offering a way to act on feelings of love [41].

The social networks found to be most strongly related with sexist beliefs were Instagram and Snapchat. Curiously, both encourage the use of photographs and videos. The influence of images, reflected by Instagram and Snapchat, shows us that the two social networks most related with sexism are characterised by various image-related features. These include a limited display time, almost instantaneous speed of content transmission and risk-taking in the exposure of images and text. The latter opens users up to an environment where one is observed and can act according to their free will without any restrictions [42].
With respect to photographs presented through *selfies* in females, these represent an image of a person who is alone in front of the camera and observes how the photo will look whilst they take it. In this way, individuals can present the image they wish to give within virtual settings. Further, they later have the opportunity to fulfil idealized and sexualized desires which are generally masculine [43,44].

Both numeric data and discourse showed us that sexism and social networks leads us to present and promote images of the ideal woman, especially, in relation to beauty ideals or formats of established femininity. Within this, we find ideals of sweetness, indulgence, sensuality, sexuality and many other attributes pertaining to how a woman should be. The image of women in social networks, given through photos and videos, is highly related with sexist beliefs. In such settings, women are once again particularly exposed as they are more vulnerable than men. Such settings can result in situations of harassment, blackmail and violence, in this way, opening up a new digital gender gap [45,46].

For the adolescents of Huelva, we can observe that social networks are not only associated with the digital gender gap. In fact, new and contrasting alternative performativity's are also emerging which are more critical in nature and demonstrate disagreement with gender inequality in youth populations [47,48], all of this has repercussions on women's emotional distress, and their mental health has deteriorated to a great extent [49]

**Conclusions**

Results demonstrate that sexism remains an existing reality in adolescence, regardless of gender, with women being more aware of sexism and of the stigmatising factor women face when breaking free of norms and expected behaviours. In rural settings, this is manifested as a strong sense of control due to having to behave in accordance with sexist patterns in order to be socially accepted, a determinant of hostile sexism.

With regards to the heteronormative beliefs of establishing partnerships that are ideally between men and women, diverse sexist beliefs were upheld by both sexes, especially in rural settings. Another factor to be considered is that of chivalry, a characteristic of benevolent sexism. Whilst in men, this is occasionally established as a way of cementing identity, for example in the way a man expresses his love for a woman, this construct is increasingly less important within women and sometimes even criticised as an undesirable trait in men.

The social networks to most represent sexism were Instagram and Snapchat. This suggests that it is especially important for women to present themselves by sending images and videos videos
with the aim of these becoming viral. Such acts respond to stereotyped feminine ideals which revolve around ideas of dominant masculinity. This can be seen in the specific case of *selfies* which expose women to risk situations and can lead them to suffer gender violence.

It is of great importance that future research continues to examine the way in which sexism occurs through specific social networks, photographs, videos, text, etc. Further, we feel that it is important to investigate other non-heteronormative choices pertaining to relationships or desire, going beyond binary conceptions of sex or cisgender. The aim of this is to be able to be closer to the dynamic and changing reality currently lived by adolescents. On the other hand, the relationship between sexism in social networks greatly influences emotional well-being, especially among women, affecting their mental health.

Despite the digital gender gap related with existing sexism, we see that new standpoints, emerging masculinities, feminisms and forms of expression on social networks, show subjective, alternative, reflective and critical ways of thinking which fall outside of the dominant behaviours or beliefs of youth.
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