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Abstract:

**Objectives:** This study aims to identify the impact of nutritional factors on mechanical ventilation duration for critical patients.

**Patients and Methods:** The current study was a single-center, prospective observational design which enrolled one-hundred critically ill patients who were admitted to an intensive care unit (ICU). It demonstrates purposive sampling and also performs the descriptive nutritional factors influencing the mechanical ventilation duration. Daily Calories Target Requirement Scale (DCRS), Subjective Global Assessment Form (SGA), Dyspnea assessment form, and APACHE II have been used as methods to study along with time to initial enteral nutrition (EN) after 24-hour admission and daily calories target requirement over 7 days to assess patients. Data is analyzed using the multiple regressions.

**Results:** As a result, nutritional status monitoring, time to initial EN, calories and target requirements are statistically positive significance associated with the mechanical ventilation duration respectively (R = 0.54, R = 0.30, R= 0.40, p < 0.05). However, age, illness severity, and dyspnea scales are not associated with the mechanical ventilation duration (p> 0.05). Therefore, the nutritional status, malnutrition scores and calorie target requirements can used to significantly predict the mechanical ventilation duration. The predictive power is 58 and 28.0 percent of variance. The most proper influencer to predict the mechanical ventilation duration is nutritional status or malnutrition scores.

**Conclusion:** The research findings shown that the nutritional status, time to initial EN, and calorie target requirement within 7 days of admission are associated with the mechanical ventilation duration in the critical patients. Therefore, it can be used to develop guidelines reducing the mechanical ventilation duration and to promote the ventilator halting for critical patients.
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Introduction

The critical patients usually have dysfunctional respiratory or circulation systems failure.\(^1,2\) In serious conditions, their bodies respond to inflammatory stimulating systems due to hypermetabolic and hypercatabolic and determine the malnutrition.\(^3,4\) Their energy and protein have been decreased because hypercatabolic significantly leading to infection complications, delayed recovery, constant hospitalization, or mechanical ventilation.\(^5\) The malnutrition prevalence is associated with chronic diseases, particularly in 40% to 60% of patients resulted from changes in systematic functions,\(^6\) such as loss of body mass, respiratory function impairment, diaphragm muscle weakness, fat or protein depletion and admission time, leading to morbidity and mortality.\(^7,8\)

The critical illness steadily increases hypermetabolism as protein and fats in muscle tend to decrease, especially the diaphragm resulting in muscle atrophy, fatigue movement, difficult breathing and extended mechanical ventilation.\(^3,4\) The American Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN)\(^4\) recommended that ICU patients should start enteral nutrition (EN) support within 24 to 48 hours of admission or after resuscitation \(^4\) in order to maintain systemic immune functions and structure of major organs.\(^9\) Accordingly, the American Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN) recommended that 75.6% of patients receive adequate protein and 61.2% meet energy requirements within the first 7 days of admission. It can improve their respiratory function, structure, increase weaning ability \(^10\) and reduce mechanical ventilator duration\(^11\).

It has been discovered that nutrition importantly supports critical patient recovery by affecting treatment outcome and mechanical ventilator duration\(^12\). Factors correlated with nutritional conditions for critical patients using ventilator have been studied, however, it is not applied. Therefore, this study purposely focuses on factors influencing the mechanical ventilators duration for critical patients.\(^1-6\) The selected factors include malnutrition, age, disease severity, dyspnea scale, initial EN time, and targeted calorie requirement.\(^6,10-13\) It could be defined as a guideline in mechanical ventilation for critical patients\(^13\) leading to ventilator availability and reduced admission duration. Therefore, the purpose of the current study was to examine nutritional factors
and predict the effect that those variables have on the duration of mechanical ventilation among patients with a critical illness.

**Patients and Methods**

*Research Design and Sampling*

This study is a prospective cohort study conducted in the Tertiary Hospital in Thailand between January and October 2019. A sample is derived from a medical-surgical intensive care unit (ICU) includes 8 beds and 360 critically illness patients per year. They are conscious and understand the research purpose and procedure. The purposive samplings include patients age over 18 years who received EN and invasive mechanical ventilator after hemodynamic stable within 6 hours. The study excludes patients who are transferred to another unit or changed to another hospital during treatment as well as who terminated the EN or palliative care. This study uses G*Power program (version 3.1)\(^\text{17}\) to calculate sample size, test power is examined at .80, statistically significant level is .05 (\(\alpha=.05\)) and effect size level is .25. The sample size is increased by 15% to compensate potential dropout rate. The total eligible participants are 140 persons, 40 participants are dropped out because 37 persons stopping enteral feeding (thirty-seven persons) and 3 persons required a palliative care. They involve in unstable hemodynamic due to their physiological characteristics, so, the final participants are 100 persons (Figure 1).

The researchers selected samples from medical records and collected data by assessing demographic data, disease severity, and nutrition status with malnutrition screening form within 24 hours after admitting to ICU. Additionally, the researchers assessed dyspnea scores within the first 24 hours and after or at least 4 hours after inserting the ventilator. Energy consumption that the patients receive every day and mechanical ventilation duration form are recorded by the researchers, start when the patients are inserted ventilator until they wean off. It includes receiving T-piece 10 LPM and extubated endotracheal tube or ventilator at least 48 hours.
Research Instrument

**Daily Calories Target Requirement Scale (DCRS)**

DCRS uses Harris Benedict equation x 1.0-1.3 and 1.0-2.0 gram per kilogram of bodyweight to calculate targeted calorie requirement in the first 24 hours after ICU admission and assesses every 7 days. The Cronbach’s alpha coefficient was .84 for the pilot and .86 for the main study.

**Disease Severity Assessment Form (DSAF)**

DSAF uses the APACHE II adopted by Knaus to determine initial 24 hours requirement after ICU admission. It consists of 12 potential physiologic measures with a score range 0 to 7. If the total APACHE II score is 25 or over, it indicates a greater than 50% risk of mortality. The Cronbach’s alpha coefficient was .86 for the pilot and .93 for the main study.

**Dyspnea Scales Form**

Dyspnea Scales form uses Dyspnea Visual Analogue Scale (DVAS) for dyspnea scales within 24 hours after using an invasive mechanical ventilator and hemodynamic stable. The score is 0 to 100, higher scores determining severe dyspnea. The Cronbach’s alpha coefficient was .82 for the pilot and .84 for the main study.

**Nutritional Status Scale (NSS)**

NSS uses Subjective Global Assessment (SGA) to assess patients’ nutritional status. The scale consists of 1) good nourish, 2) moderately malnourish (3) severe malnourish as well as a score from 0 to 11. The Cronbach’s alpha coefficient was .84 for the pilot and .86 for the main study.

**Demographic Data**

Demographic data consists of three items with multiple choice and open-ended questions, including sex, age, and diagnosis of the patients.

Ethical Considerations

Ethic clearance is obtained from the Research Ethics Committee on Human, Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University (IRB No. 59-043-1-3), Bangkok, Thailand. The current study is
conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki having written consents obtained from 
the patients after explaining purpose of the study.

**Data Collection**

The following data is collected:

(a) Demographic data: over 18 years old, gender, underlying disease and diagnosed to 
admit in ICU, date of initial invasive mechanical ventilation, mechanical ventilation 
duration in days, initiation EN in hours, and calorie target requirement in a day.

(b) Data assessment for the first 24 hours and every 7 days after admission to ICU: 
disease severity, dyspnea scales, nutrition status, and calorie target requirement in 
hours.

**Study Outcome**

The main outcome of this study is mechanical ventilation duration in days.

**Statistical Analysis**

All data are analyzed using SPSS, version 22.0 (IBM SPSS, Chicago, USA). Descriptive 
statistics is used to analyze demographics e.g., number, percentage, mean, and standard deviation 
(SD). This study can be categorized as a predictive correlational research to study nutritional 
facors influencing mechanical ventilator duration. The samples are critical patients using 
ventilators admitted in an intensive medicine unit. The research instruments include demographic 
data, nutrition status screening, disease severity assessments, dyspnea scale assessments and 
calorie target requirement. Data analysis is done by a distribution of frequency, percentage, 
average, standard deviation, and relationships by finding Pearson correlation coefficient. Pearson 
correlation coefficient and analyses can predict related factors by determining step-by-step 
multiplication regression coefficient. Stepwise multiple regression analysis is used to summarize 
findings and discuss association between nutrition factors and mechanical ventilation duration. The
multiple regression analysis with the stepwise method is used to assess nutrition variables as a predictor on mechanical ventilation duration, \( p < 0.05 \) is considered significant.

**Results**

**Characteristics of the study population**

A total of number of critical patients admitted in ICU between January to October 2019 are 360 and eligible participants is 140. However, 220 persons are excluded because of non-invasive ventilator \((n= 65)\), start EN longer than 48 hours \((n=100)\), and unstable after resuscitating \((n=55)\), 10 participants are dropped out by discontinuing EN caused by therapeutic procedures \((n=7)\) and palliative care \((n=3)\). Finally, 100 participants are enrolled.

The demographic data and clinical characteristics of the participants consist of 76% men with a mean age 61.79 (min-max = 20-94 years, \( SD = 16.99 \)). 40% of the participants are admitted for a medical illness, particularly a cardiovascular system. 52% are severe malnutrition \((mean=7.81, SD = 1.61)\), 67% are severe illness \((mean = 43.24, SD= 20.20)\), 69 % are severe dyspnea \((min-max = 70-100, mean = 95.0, SD = 9.10)\), 57% are started EN within 6 h \((min-max = 1-24 h, mean = 7.40, SD = 5.40)\), 89% have daily calories target requirement within 24-48 h of admission in ICU \((min-max= 14-72 h, mean = 31.15, SD = 7.20)\) and 86% have mechanical ventilation duration days, most participants have mechanical ventilation 5 to 20 days \((min-max= 1-40 days, mean = 7.70, SD= 5.80)\) (Table 1).

**The relationship between nutritional factors and the duration of a mechanical ventilator**

This single-center study investigates the association between nutritional status and mechanical ventilation duration (MV) among critical patients. It found that nutrition status, time to initial enteral nutrition (EN), and calories target requirements are positively moderate statistic significance associated with MV duration \((R = 0.54, R = 0.30, R= 0.40, p < 0.05)\) (Table 2). From variables, the greatest predictor to influence MV duration is nutrition status or malnutrition scores. Therefore, this study highlights importance of nutrition support for critical patients and also provides the association between nutritional status and MV duration (Figure 2).
Nutritional factors influencing mechanical ventilator duration

This study found that nutrition status and calories target requirements can be defined by mechanical ventilator duration \( (p<0.01) \). Therefore, the result using statistical significance with risk of malnutrition is the best predictor in terms of having impact on duration of a mechanical ventilator for critical patients. The second best predictor is calories target requirement. Additionally, when considering the standard coefficient (Beta), nutrition status has the greatest predictive power and the second is calories target requirements \( (Beta = .58, .26 \) respectively) (Table 3).

Discussion

This research studies on predictability of nutrition factors on mechanical ventilation duration with objectives discussed below:

The results, nutrition status, time to initial enteral nutrition (EN), and calories target requirements are positively correlated factor, nutrition status is the best predictor on mechanical ventilator duration for critical patients \( (r = .283, \ p < .001) \). Most critical patients have moderate risk of malnutrition of 52\% \( (mean= 7.81, \ SD = 1.61) \). It can be explained that patients have a high risk of malnutrition with statistically significant effect on mechanical ventilation duration \( (Beta = .54, \ p < .001) \). The study states that most critical patients are invasive ventilator to eat orally, and they have previous malnutrition before admitted to the hospital. The study also shows a significant association between nutrition status, time to initial EN, and calories target requirements on mechanical ventilation duration. High risk malnutrition and calories target requirements can be defined by mechanical ventilation duration. As a result of this study, patients with high risk malnutrition may require longer duration about 50.34 days for mechanical ventilation. However, if adequate calories target requirement is quicker than 1 hour, it can decrease mechanical ventilation duration used in 8 days. This study delivers initial EN within 6 hours and average daily amounts of full energy enteral nutrition within 7 days following the recommended ASPEN guidelines. In addition, malnutrition is an indirect factor effecting on mechanical ventilation duration. If the critical patients have been promoted nutritional support within 24-48 hours, it could improve to recover main organ function and reduce inflammation process from producing hypermetabolism and hypercatabolic\textsuperscript{17}. This
study confirms that patients started EN within 24-48 hours can reduce mechanical ventilation duration.

Moreover, most patients received calories target in this study may relate to the fact that adequate energy could reduce mechanical ventilation duration. This study shows that starting EN as soon as possible or within 24-48 hours after hospitalization or resuscitation and promoting adequate energy may affect mechanical ventilation duration. The reasons are to promote intestinal absorption, to prevent intestinal atrophy, as well as to increases blood circulation in gastrointestinal tract and to reduce incidence of organ failure and immune system. When body has critical illness then begins to adapt flowing phase, it increases hypermetabolic rate such as lipids and proteins which dissolved into energy. Losing of muscle mass directly affects diaphragm muscle causing muscle weakness, especially chest wall muscles which has a direct impact on breathing and difficult to wean off mechanical ventilation. Therefore, time to initial EN should begin as soon as possible or within 48 hours to recover immune systems (immunosuppressive-inflammatory response), produce proteins, restore organs to work normally, provide daily calories target or adequate energy requirements. These factors are important for critical patients using mechanical ventilation as they need more energy and protein. Critical patients have higher metabolic process to respond in crisis stages despite consume energy about 25-35 kcal/ kg per day and 1.2-1.5 g/ kg/ BW/ day protein. Critical patients should receive adequate energy according to their targets within 5 days. Those who receive targeted energy within 3 days have better clinical outcome as it reduces the duration of a ventilator (p < .05).

This study shows nutrition status and calories target requirements to define mechanical ventilation duration. Therefore, assessed nutrition status of critical patients within 24 hours of admission in ICU to evaluate malnutrition risk and to monitor every 7 days is important to integrate nutrition support strategy for patients. Moreover, the study also states that daily calories target requirement is significantly associated with mechanical ventilation duration and predictor. Critical patients with mechanical ventilation > 72 hours may need 1,000 kcal per day or 30 gram protein/day or 1.2–1.5 gram/kg/BW/day or 25-kc/kg/day to decrease mechanical ventilation duration and mortality. Likewise, Koontalay reported critical patients with early EN can accrue significantly
decreased mechanical ventilation duration in comparison with those receive standard care. Khalid, also stated that beneficial effect of early EN is associated with a decreased duration of mechanical ventilation and hospital mortality. Additionally, Stewart suggested that EN can support intestinal structure and function, prevent improved permeability, and bacteria translocation, and also utilize consequent systemic inflammation with gut obstructed. Early EN is widely accepted to decrease infection, complications, hospitalization, and mortality.

Therefore, physiologically critical patients have been altered as a result of hormonal system and immune system changes, which are a dynamic response to illness crisis by changing metabolic stages rapidly. It occurs in a crisis stage when the body has energy process breakdown. In order to maintain condition, the body demands more energy and oxygen to increase muscle mass. This directly affects the diaphragm muscle used to breathe. As a result, if the body does not receive proper nutrition care, it probably causes malnutrition and extends mechanical ventilator duration. Malnutrition screening for critical patients using ventilators is a key to relieving nutrition process in each patient. It also used to calculate how much energy the patient should receive appropriately. Higgins et al. found that nutrition assessment affects ventilator duration, and it should be evaluated within the first 24 hours admitted to a critical care ward by following-up with malnutrition every 7 days. Finally, age, illness severity, dyspnea scales, and time to initial EN cannot be used as a main predictor as nutrition factors. To predict mechanical ventilation duration in critical patients is associated with other several factors such as residual gastric volume, interrupted feeding, and feeding formulas.

There are several limitations found in the study. First, the research is conducted in a medical surgical critical care unit in a Tertiary Hospital in Thailand; thus, the results might not be generalizable to other settings. Second, the sample size is 100 critical patients, therefore these results might not be applied to other patient groups. Additionally, the factors used to predict selection are not extensive and lack of outcome assessment such as patient morbidity or mortality. However, further research should study on other nutrition factors that may affect critical patients and extend ventilators, for example, feeding obstacles in long term nutrition care, implementing enteral nutrition protocol to achieve energy and protein requirements to reduce ventilator duration.
Conclusion

Our findings shown that nutrition status, time to initial EN and calories target requirements are statistical significance associated with mechanical ventilation duration. The results also states that the assessment of nutrition status is an important procedure for critical patients. Patients who receive enteral nutrition (EN) should have daily calories target requirement monitoring every 7 days in order to provide a nutrition support strategy to reduce mechanical ventilation duration in intensive care units (ICU). Nutrition support with guidelines can reduce mechanical ventilation duration for critical patients by providing appropriate nutritional care support assessment of nutritional status and proportion of enteral nutrition. It should be performed at the start of EN after deriving stable hemodynamic or as soon as possible.
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**Table 1** Demographic characteristics of the participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic data</th>
<th>Frequency/ Min, Max</th>
<th>Percentages (%)/ Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>76.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤31</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-45</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-60</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥61</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diagnosis systems</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition status</td>
<td>3, 7</td>
<td>7.81 ± 1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity of illness</td>
<td>24, 70</td>
<td>43.23 ± 20.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea scales</td>
<td>70, 100</td>
<td>94.8 ± 9.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to initial EN (hour)</td>
<td>1, 24</td>
<td>7.41 ± 5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calories target requirements (hour)</td>
<td>14, 72</td>
<td>31.20 ± 7.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of mechanical ventilation (day)</td>
<td>1, 40</td>
<td>7.70 ± 5.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2 Associated factors between the nutrition factors and duration of mechanical ventilation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutritional Factors</th>
<th>Duration of mechanical ventilation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition status</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity of Illness</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea scales</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to initial EN</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calories target requirements</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3 The result of sequential multiple regression for predicting duration of mechanical ventilation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Adjust R²</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant (a) = -190.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition status</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>50.35</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calories target requirements</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>8.01</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Study participant’s flow chart in hospitals

360 critically ill patients who were admitted at medical and surgical ICU

140, eligible to participants

Refused by discontinued EN (30 patients)

110, patients included in baseline assessment

Reasons for exclusion included:
- Terminated EN (7 patients)
- Palliative care (3 patients)

100, patients included in final analysis

- Started the EN longer than 48 hours (100 patients)
- Vital unstable after resuscitated (55 patients)
- Non-invasive (65 patients)
**Figure 2** Association between mechanical ventilation and nutritional status
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