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Abstract: Ursolic and oleanolic acids are secondary plant metabolites that are known to be involved in the plant defence system against water loss and pathogens. Nowadays these triterpenoids are also regarded as potential pharmaceutical compounds and there is mounting experimental data that either purified compounds or triterpenoid-enriched plant extracts exert various beneficial effects, including anti-oxidative, anti-inflammatory and anticancer, on model systems of both human or animal origin. Some of those effects have been linked to the ability of ursolic and oleanolic acids to modulate intracellular antioxidant systems and also inflammation- and cell death-related pathways. Therefore, our aim was to review the current knowledge about the distribution of ursolic and oleanolic acids in plants, bioavailability and pharmacokinetic properties of these triterpenoids and their derivatives, and to discuss their neuromodulatory effects in vitro and in vivo.
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1. Introduction

The brain is an essential part of the human body, therefore neurological disorders such as neurodegenerative diseases (e.g., Alzheimer’s or Parkinson’s disease), stroke/ischaemia and brain cancer usually have a significant effect on vital functions. Noteworthy, oxidative stress has been recognized as a hallmark of the pathogenesis of these disorders. Moreover, the elevated levels of reactive oxygen (ROS) and nitrogen (RNS) species are implicated in the progression of pathological neuro-inflammation [1]. Therefore, the stimulation of cellular antioxidant systems and quenching of ROS and RNS generation, modulation of cell death and anti-inflammatory pathways have proven to be promising neurotherapeutic approaches [2-4].

Over the last decades the attention to triterpene-enriched plant extracts and especially to purified triterpenoids as bioactive phytochemicals has been considerably increased [5-8]. Pentacyclic triterpenoids are secondary plant metabolites that arise from cyclization of squalene, and are widespread in stem bark and leaves of a variety of plants, and are present in substantial amounts in apple peels [9-12]. Among various triterpenoids, naturally occurring and synthetic ursane and oleanane triterpenes have been immensely studied due to their wide ranging and promising anti-inflammatory and anticancer activities [13-16]. Therefore, in this review we will summarize the current knowledge about the chemistry, bioavailability and pharmacokinetic properties of ursolic and oleanolic acids and their derivatives, and we will also discuss the most recent findings related to their
modulatory effects in neurodegeneration, brain ischaemia and cancer in experimental models *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

2. Chemistry, occurrence and isolation of ursolic and oleanolic acids

2.1. Chemical structure of ursolic and oleanolic acids

Triterpenes constitute a significant portion of all phytochemicals, and more than 20,000 triterpenoids have been identified so far. Their biosynthesis is based on squalene cyclization, which involves chair-chair-chair-boat transition state, and results in compounds, comprised of six isoprene units. Such structures are precursors to steroids in both plants and animals [17,18].

Ursolic acid (UA) (3β-hydroxyurs-12-en-28-oic acid) and oleanolic acid (OA) (3β-hydroxyolean-12-en-28-oic acid) are pentacyclic triterpenoids which have therapeutic potential [19]. They are structural isomers differing in the position of one methyl group (see Figure 1) and having comparable physicochemical properties and pharmacologic activity [20,21]. UA and OA are ubiquitous in plants and may constitute several percent of the dry weight [17,18]. They occur as free acids or serve as aglycones for triterpene saponins [18].

![Figure 1. Chemical structures of ursolic acid (UA) and oleanolic acid (OA). The structural differences between these two compounds are marked in red ovals.](image)

2.2 Natural sources of oleanolic and ursolic acids

The name of OA is derived from the name of the plant species *Olea europaea*, and that plant currently is the main source of commercial OA preparations [22]. OA co-occurs with UA in numerous plant species, including many food, aromatic and medicinal plants [10,17,18,23,24] and generally UA is usually more abundant than OA (Table 1). Both triterpenoids are often found in the epicuticular waxes and they perform important functions, namely prevent water loss, serve as a first defence barrier against pathogens, and protect from herbivores [24–26]. For instance, OA is present as almost pure crystals on the surface of olive leaves and forms a physical barrier against fungal infection [27]. UA possesses surfactant properties and plays a role in the allelopathic effect of plants [28,29].

The synthesis of OA and UA is characteristic of plants of Araliaceae, Asteraceae, Ericaceae, Lamiaceae, Myrtaceae, Oleaceae, Rosaceae, Rubiaceae, Saxifragaceae, and Verbenaceae families. Woody or herbaceous plants that belong to these and some other families contain OA and UA in various parts [10,18,23,24]. It has been established that the composition and content of triterpenes may vary between different organs of the same plant. For example, leaves, bark and fruits of *Olea europaea* were found to accumulate different amounts of OA and UA (Table 1). Triterpenes have been shown to be more abundant in the leaves of *Olea europaea* than in the bark: 31 mg/g dry weight (DW) of OA and 3.8 mg/g DW of UA. The bark of olive trees also contained substantial amounts of OA (up to 9.8 mg/g DW) [10]. In contrast, UA predominated over OA in the leaves and bark of *Sambucus nigra* and in medicinal or aromatic herbs of Lamiaceae family (see Table 1). Moreover,
in some plants detectable amounts of OA and/or UA are synthesized in only one organ structure. For instance, OA was present only in the bark of *Betula alba* (up to 11 mg/g DW), and UA was detected only in dry leaves of *Coffea arabica* and *Nerium oleander* (up to 18 mg/g and 12.7 mg/g, respectively) [10]. It is worth noting that the aboveground parts of herbaceous ornamental plant peony may be used as an alternative source of OA and UA for medicinal purposes. For example, the amount of OA and UA in fresh leaves of different varieties of *Paeonia lactiflora* was 54.26–618.12 μg/g and 36.23–665.14 μg/g, respectively [30].

The quantity of triterpenes in the plant raw material may also depend on the age of the plant. It was shown that *Ocimum basilicum* accumulated 3.6 times more OA in the flowers compared to the roots, and 1.8 to 3.5 times more in older leaves, than in the youngest leaves. Conversely, the level of UA was higher in the youngest leaves of *Ocimum basilicum* when compared to the older leaves. It was also determined that the concentration of UA was 2.7 times higher in the flowers than in the leaves of the plant [31].

As has been already mentioned, plant flowers are also a rich source of triterpenes. High levels of triterpenes, especially UA, were determined in flowers of *Calendula officinalis*, which accumulated up to 20.5 mg/g DW of UA [23]. Different flower parts of *Eriobotrya japonica* were found to contain different amounts of OA and UA. For instance, dry sepals of *E. japonica* contained the highest amount of OA and UA (0.68 mg/g and 3.65 mg/g), while the petals had the lowest contents of OA and UA (0.12 mg/g and 0.60 mg/g) [32].

High amount of triterpenes is characteristic of the fruit peel of the plants in the Rosaceae family. UA and OA have been found to predominate other triterpenes in the cuticular wax of quince, loquat, pear, peach, apple fruits, and UA content was higher than that of OA [24]. Sut et al. have recently revealed that UA and OA may constitute up to 79–95% of the total amount of triterpene compounds in apple fruit. Modern commercial apple cultivars can be distinguished by higher amounts of OA (+174%) and UA (+175%) in peels, compared to those of ancient varieties [33]. It has also been shown that the dry peel of *Malus domestica* fruit on average had 9.4 mg/g, of *Eriobotrya japonica* - 8.0 mg/g, of *Pyrus communis* - 7.25 mg/g, of *Chaenomeles japonica* up to 5.7 mg/g, and of *Prunus persica* up to 2.97 mg/g of UA [24]. In addition, the amount of UA in the fruits of ancient apple cultivars has been found to range from 0.20 to 4.20 mg/g, and that of OA from 0.24 to 0.87 mg/g. In contrast, the amount of UA in apple fruit of the modern cultivars grown in Lithuanian industrial orchards has been reported to range from 1.18 to 2.58 mg/g, and the amount of OA from 0.32 to 0.47 mg/g [34,35]. Noteworthy, the growth location of apple trees is also relevant to triterpene accumulation. Indeed, apples grown in northern regions were usually found to contain more UA and OA than those grown in the southern locations [36]. Unlike the fruits of *Rosaceae* plants, persimmon (*Diospyros kaki*) fruits have higher content of OA than UA – 88.57 μg/g fresh weight (FW) and 27.64 μg/g FW, respectively [37]. It is worth adding that berries of *Vitis* spp. and *Vaccinium* spp. can be also used as sources of triterpenes. Dried *Vitis vinifera* berries have been found to contain up to 79.0 mg/100 g of OA, meanwhile, dried cranberries or blueberries contained both OA and UA (17.8 and 65.5 mg/100 g or 13.9 and 11.8 mg/100 g, respectively) [38].

Table 1. Natural sources of OA and UA mg/g DW (dry weight).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant species</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Plant part</th>
<th>OA mg/g DW*</th>
<th>UA mg/g DW*</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Betula alba</em></td>
<td>Betulaceae</td>
<td>bark</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Calendula officinalis</em></td>
<td>Compositae</td>
<td>flowers</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chaenomeles japonica</em></td>
<td>Rosaceae</td>
<td>fruit peel</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Coffea arabica</em></td>
<td>Rubiaceae</td>
<td>leaves</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Crataegus pinnatifida</em></td>
<td>Rosaceae</td>
<td>leaves</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eriobotrya japonica</em></td>
<td>Rosaceae</td>
<td>fruit peel</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Name</td>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Part</td>
<td>Yield (UA)</td>
<td>Yield (OA)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavandula angustifolia</td>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td>flowers</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligustrum lucidum</td>
<td>Oleaceae</td>
<td>leaves</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malus domestica</td>
<td>Rosaceae</td>
<td>fruit peel</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melissa officinalis</td>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td>herbs</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerium oleander</td>
<td>Apocynaceae</td>
<td>leaves</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocimum basilicum</td>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td>herbs</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olea europaea</td>
<td>Oleaceae</td>
<td>leaves</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fruits</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bark</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origanum majorana</td>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td>herbs</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origanum vulgare</td>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td>herbs</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panax quinquefolium</td>
<td>Araliaceae</td>
<td>roots</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunus persica</td>
<td>Rosaceae</td>
<td>fruit peel</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrus communis</td>
<td>Rosaceae</td>
<td>fruit peel</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvia officinalis</td>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td>herbs</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sambucus nigra</td>
<td>Adoxaceae</td>
<td>leaves</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bark</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satureja montana</td>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td>herbs</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silphium trifoliatum</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td>leaves</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymus vulgaris</td>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td>herbs</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.3. Extraction of ursolic and oleanolic acids from plants

Methods for the extraction of UA and OA from plants range from the conventional techniques as stirring, maceration, heat reflux extraction, to microwave assisted extraction or ultrasound assisted extraction [39,40]. The most prevalent method of extraction of both pentacyclic triterpenes is extraction by immersion in organic solvent, with ethanol, n-butanol, acetone, chloroform or ethyl acetate as the most common solvents [41]. The selection of the most suitable solvent is regarded as the most important step in the preparation of extracts of biologically active compounds. Fu et al. [41] have established that the best UA and OA yields from pomegranate flowers can be obtained using chloroform or ethanol – up to 9.2 mg/g of UA and 5.9 mg/g of OA, although ethanol was preferred as a safer alternative. The use of ultrasound resulted in higher yields of UA and OA – 12.6 mg/g and 9.7 mg/g, respectively. In addition, the extraction time was reduced to 50 min, compared to 24 h when extraction was performed using stirring or maceration. Cargnin and Gnoatto [19] have found that the purest extract having the biggest concentration of UA can be obtained by Soxhlet extraction of apple pomace using ethyl acetate as solvent. In this case, UA yield reached up to 3.5% while the use of other solvents (cyclohexane, dichloromethane or methanol) resulted in much lower UA amount – only up to 1%. Recently, aqueous solutions of surface-active ionic substances have emerged as useful solvents for extraction of hydrophobic substances. Cláudio with colleagues [42] used 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazolium-based ionic liquids combined with several anions for extraction of OA from olive leaves and established that up to 2.5 wt% of OA could be extracted at optimum conditions.
Another critical parameter is the duration of the extraction of UA and OA. Classical methods such as extraction by stirring or maceration usually take 24 h, therefore faster extraction methods, as microwave assisted extraction or ultrasound assisted extraction have been developed. The application of microwaves enabled to extract both UA and OA from the flowers of white dead nettle in 10–30 min, and the extraction efficiency reached 99–100%. Moreover, the efficiency of ultrasound assisted extraction reached 83–91% after 45 min [43]. Fan et al. [44] have established that the best UA extraction yield from apple pomace can be obtained after ultrasound assisted extraction for 60 min, without the increase of extraction yield after longer extraction (120 min). Therefore, it can be stated that optimization of extraction process is crucial in the preparation of UA- and OA-enriched plant extracts.

3. Bioavailability and pharmacokinetic properties of ursolic and oleanolic acids

Pharmacokinetic and cell membrane permeability studies are crucial in clinical development of new biologically active compounds with a view to understand their behaviour in vivo and to establish an optimal dosage regimen. Pentacyclic triterpenoids generally suffer from low oral bioavailability [45], in particular, UA and OA fall into class IV according to the Biopharmaceutical Classification System due to low aqueous solubility and poor intestinal permeability [46,47]. In line with that, there is large inter- and intra-individual absorption variability which presents a challenge of achieving safe and effective drug concentration in the organism [46].

The primary factor affecting bioavailability is the physicochemical properties of the molecule [48]. UA and OA are low molecular weight compounds (456.68 g/mol) [47], containing only three hydrogen bond acceptors and two hydrogen bond donors (see Figure 1). These properties are in accordance with Lipinski’s rule, an estimate of drug likeness [49,50]. However, UA and OA have high lipophilicity (logP<sub>ow</sub> 6.43 and 6.48, respectively [45]) as well as poor wettability [46,51]. Therefore, their absorption is hindered by poor dissolution and slow partitioning between the cell membrane and extracellular fluid [45,46,49]. It has been even hypothesized that UA may be embedded in phospholipid bilayer but not taken up by the cells [51]. Furthermore, aqueous solubility is aggravated by the crystalline structure of unprocessed UA and OA. Indeed, amorphous state and reduced particle size notably enhanced triterpenoid solubility and dissolution rate [52,53].

The second key factor is the ability of the drug to overcome biological barriers [48]. The evidence from in vitro permeability studies implies that passive diffusion is the major mechanism of UA and OA transport [45]. Apparent permeability coefficients, calculated using Caco-2 monolayers, were within the limits of moderate oral absorption [45], and it was suggested that immediate glucuronidation and sulfation in intestinal cells are highly unlikely [54]. However, it has been also reported that UA and OA are substrates of cytochrome P450 enzymes and P-glycoprotein, thus their bioavailability may be restricted by biotransformation and active efflux [46,53,55].

Despite limited absorption, the recovery of intact OA and UA has been reported in animal [52,53,55–63] and human [64–66] plasma after oral and parenteral administration. Nonetheless, several pharmacokinetic studies revealed that maximal plasma concentration following oral administration of doses up to 300 mg/kg was low (at nanogram quantities) and elimination half-life was relatively short (<1 h) [53,57,60,66]. This pharmacokinetic profile indicates rapid elimination or tissue distribution and suggests that pharmacological effects of UA and OA might not be directly related to plasma concentrations. In fact, it has been shown that UA and OA have wide tissue distribution, as they were detected in the heart, liver, kidney, colon, bladder, brain, spleen, lung, stomach and testis of animals [56,57,67,68]. Liver was found to be the major organ of triterpene disposition [56,57,67], and that is in agreement with established hepatoprotective effects. On the other hand, this may lead to hepatotoxicity, as evidenced by cholestasis after repeated OA administration in mice [69], and to liver-related dose-limiting toxicity in phase I clinical trial.
of UA liposomes [70]. It is noteworthy that OA and UA are able to cross blood-brain barrier, meaning they have the potential to exert neuroprotective effects [67,68].

High lipophilicity predisposes triterpenoids to liver metabolism. A tissue distribution study in mice has demonstrated that while the concentration of UA in plasma was steadily decreasing, the concentration in the liver was increasing (5-240 min) [56]. In addition, the plausibility of liver metabolism has been suggested by the dramatic decrease in OA concentration after the incubation of rat liver microsomes with OA [60]. Oxidation was proposed as a primary pathway of phase I metabolism because hydroxylated derivatives and epoxides were tentatively identified as OA and UA metabolites, respectively [60,71]. When excretion was investigated, unaltered OA and UA were not detected in urine, suggesting non-renal elimination [60]. However, when urine was screened for possible phase II metabolites, renal excretion was confirmed. The metabolites were characterized as glucuronides and sulphates of OA [72] and glucuronic acid, glycine and glutathione conjugates of UA [71]. Hydrophilic conjugates are generally pharmacologically inactive, whereas oxidized metabolites of UA and OA are structurally similar to aromatase inhibitors, thus they could exert anti-estrogenic effects [71]. Overall, appropriate biomarkers and sampling procedures should be selected to accurately evaluate the UA and OA bioavailability with respect to associated health benefits.

4. Neuromodulatory effects of ursolic and oleanolic acids

4.1. Neuroprotective effects of ursolic and oleanolic acids in neurodegeneration

Neurological disorders can be regarded as impairments of the brain or nervous system, resulting in physical and/or psychological symptoms. Cerebral ischaemia or brain trauma are examples of acute conditions, while gradual memory loss, neurodegenerative diseases or dementia are associated with aging [73]. Proper brain function is dependent on neuronal signal transduction and supportive activity of glial cells. Brain is also characterised by the highest metabolic rate among all organs related to the intense ROS or RNS formation and consequently requiring an effective antioxidant system [73]. The main antioxidative enzymes in the brain are glutathione peroxidase (GP), superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), peroxidase, haem oxygenase, quinone oxidoreductase 1 and γ-glutamylcysteine ligase. The expression of various antioxidant enzymes is regulated by nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2), an important transcription factor involved in the maintenance of redox and metabolic homeostasis. It is also known that non-enzymatic glutathione plays a critical role in ROS scavenging [73]. Therefore, antioxidant properties are important for potential therapeutic agents. UA has been shown to possess antioxidant activity in vitro. Salau et al. [3] have found that UA was more potent than ascorbic acid as reflected by IC50 values obtained by DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) radical scavenging potential (2.08 μg/ml and 7.64 μg/ml, respectively) and ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) (0.75 μg/ml and 20.17 μg/ml, respectively) assays. Moreover, the pretreatment with UA has abolished kainate-induced free radical generation in primary culture of hippocampal neurons [74]. Antioxidant effects of UA have been demonstrated in a wide range of experimental models (see Table 2), both in vitro and in vivo, with single or repeated administration. UA has been shown to increase the activity of CAT [75-77], SOD [3,73,74,76], glutathione (GSH) [3,75-77], GP [78] and activate the Nrf2-pathway [78,79]. The final result of the majority of experiments was the reduction of lipid peroxidation expressed as the decrease in the level of malondialdehyde (MDA) [3,75-79]. Ex vivo UA has been also able to decrease the activity of α-chymotrypsin, which is known as the marker of oxidative injury [3]. OA has been found to elicit antioxidant effects in a similar manner as UA. It induced the reduction of intracellular ROS levels in vitro [80], and it was demonstrated in vivo that OA activated GSH and SOD as well as decreased the level of MDA [16,81] (see Table 2).

Neurodegenerative diseases (see also Table 2: Parkinson’s or multiple sclerosis experimental models) are associated with neuro-inflammation – a complex process, regulated by microglia and astrocytes [82]. These cells produce pro-inflammatory factors such
as tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) [83]. Under certain conditions TNF-α can promote inflammation by activation of nuclear factor-κB (NFκB) and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signalling pathways and induce apoptotic processes [84]. Therefore, cytokines and transcription factors are feasible targets for anti-inflammatory therapy and the effects of triterpenoids have received considerable attention. It has been shown that prolonged administration of UA can lead to downregulation of NFκB pathway [77,79,85] possibly resulting in decreased levels of interleukins IL-1β [85], IL-12 [77], IL-6 [85], interferon gamma (IFN-γ) [77], matrix metalloproteinase-2 and 9 (MMP-2, MMP-9) [86]. Moreover, UA can promote the expression of genes encoding anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-4 and IL-10 [77]. Anti-inflammatory potential of OA has been also demonstrated. For example, OA could decrease the expression levels of TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-6 in BV2 cells [16].

As UA and OA are able to modulate various signalling pathways, they can also have neuroprotective effects. Indeed, it has been reported that UA increased myelinated area, oligodendrocyte count and myelin basic protein (MBP) content in a multiple sclerosis mouse model after administration for 6 weeks, as UA acted as an agonist of peroxisome proliferator activated receptor γ (PPARγ) [87,88]. It is known that PPAR-dependent transcription factors play a crucial role in the inflammatory response of the CNS by inhibition of NF-κB [89] and downregulation of genes encoding for pro-inflammatory proteins such as cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), MMP-9 [86], scavenger receptor A, inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), as well as the inhibition of the synthesis of pro-inflammatory cytokines [90–93]. It is well documented that NO in the brain is generated by neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS), whereas inflammation triggers the activation of iNOS [82]. It has been shown that prolonged administration of UA resulted in the reduced activity of iNOS [73,84]. Similarly, OA reduced the level of NO in BV2 cells, and this was associated with the downregulation of the expression of iNOS encoding gene [16]. Given the multiple interrelated molecular pathways described above, the protective effects of UA and OA can be ascribed to antioxidative and anti-inflammatory activity. Furthermore, the inhibition of apoptosis could also contribute to neuroprotection. Studies using different experimental models indicated that UA could decrease the level of apoptosis effectors, such as caspase 3 [75,94] and caspase 9 [75]. The oxygen-glucose deprivation (OGD) experiments with organotypic hippocampus slices have also demonstrated the protective effect of UA evidenced by modulation of gene expression via AKT/mTOR/HIF-1α pathway, resulting in the increased level of Bcl-2 and the decreased level of Bad [94].

It is noteworthy that the underlying target of UA and OA might be mitochondria, organelles that are involved in both oxidative stress and neuro-inflammation [82]. Recently it has been shown that prolonged administration of UA affected the functionality of mitochondrial electron transport chain. In brain mitochondria UA improved the enzymatic activity of mitochondrial complex I in rotenone-induced Parkinson’s disease model in vivo and increased the expression of mitochondrially encoded cytochrome c oxidase 1 (MrCO1) [76]. Mitochondrial membrane potential has also been found to be sustained by UA (at 10 μM concentration for 10 min) in excitotoxicity model of hippocampal neurons [74]. In another study it has been revealed that after the treatment of PC12 cells with OA, H2O2-reduced mitochondrial membrane potential was restored and the activity of succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) was improved [81]. It is worth mentioning that UA at 1–7 μM concentration had no effect on isolated mouse brain mitochondrial respiration at different metabolic states (O. Arandarcikaite, J. Liobikas, unpublished data). However, it was previously observed that UA had uncoupling and antioxidant effects on rat heart mitochondria [95]. Thus, the relationship between the administration of UA or OA and the favourable effects on mitochondrial functions under oxidative stress, ischaemic damage or neuro-inflammation requires further investigation as observed outcomes might depend on experimental models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Effects of UA and OA on experimental models of brain pathologies.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ursolic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain pathology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma/ischaemic damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCAO rat model with 24 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reperfusion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse traumatic brain injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>after 24 h.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subarachnoid haemorrhage rat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model by endovascular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>perforation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excitotoxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple sclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkinson’s disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Oleanolic acid
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Beneficial effects</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>Mouse microglial BV2 cell line activated by lipopolysaccharide.</td>
<td>Pre-treatment with 0.5-25 µM.</td>
<td>IL-1β ↓, IL-6 ↓, TNF-α ↓, NO ↓, GSH ↑, iNOS↑</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkinson's disease</td>
<td>PC12 cell culture treated with 6-Hydroxydopamine.</td>
<td>Pre-treatment and post-treatment with 100 mg/kg.</td>
<td>Dopamine ↑, intracellular ROS ↓, neuronal cell survival ↑</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischaemic damage</td>
<td>Wistar rat focal cortical hypoxia induced by cobalt chloride injection.</td>
<td>Intraperitoneal injection with 6 mg/kg/day for 7 days.</td>
<td>Neuronal survival ↑, dendritic recovery ↑, astroglial and microglial reaction ↓</td>
<td>[96]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bilateral common carotid artery ligation in mice, and PC12 cells pre-treated with H2O2</td>
<td>Pre-administration of 50 and 25 mg/kg, respectively.</td>
<td>Infarct zone size ↓, mitochondrial membrane potential ↑ and succinic dehydrogenase ↑, SOD ↑ and GP ↑, MDA ↓</td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† indicates stimulation of a process or an increased level of compound; ↓ indicates reduction of process activity or a decreased level of compound; MCAO – experimental middle cerebral artery occlusion; SOD – superoxide dismutase, CAT – catalase, GSH – glutathione, GSSH – oxidized glutathione, GP – glutathione peroxidase, Nrf2 – nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2, TLR4 – toll-like receptor 4, MDA – malondialdehyde, MBP – myelin basic protein, IFN-γ – interferon gamma, IL – interleukin, TNF-α – tumour necrosis factor alpha, NO – nitric oxide, iNOS – inducible nitric oxide synthase, MMP – matrix metalloproteinase, PPARγ - peroxisome proliferator activated receptor γ.

4.2. Glioblastoma and ursolic/oleanolic acids

Glioblastoma is the most common and the most aggressive type of primary brain tumour, accounting for approximately 55% of gliomas [97,98]. The survival of patients with glioblastoma that have undergone standard treatment encompassing surgical resection and radiation therapy followed by chemotherapy with temozolomide (TMZ) remains within 14–18 months [99,100]. More importantly, tumour relapse occurs in almost all patients, and in such cases glioblastoma often becomes resistant to chemotherapy [101]. Therefore, novel agents and therapies for the treatment of glioblastoma are needed, as newly developed agents have failed to outmatch TMZ so far [102].

Recently, in a number of reviews UA/OA-containing plant extracts, purified compounds and their natural or chemically synthesized derivatives have been reported to possess anti-tumour activity in skin, breast, lung, gastric, liver, intestine, prostate and pancreatic cancer models in vitro and in vivo [103–107]. Moreover, new data about the potential of these pentacyclic triterpenoids against glioblastoma have also emerged [15]. Byun et al. [108] have recently isolated several C-27-carboxylated OA derivatives (C27OAs) from the dried roots of Astilbe rivularis, and showed that 3β-hydroxyolean-12-en-27-oic acid, 3β,6β,7α-trihydroxyolean-12-en-27-oic acid and 3β-trans-p-coumaroyloxy-olean-12-en-27-oic acid at 10 µM concentration could exclusively sensitize the extrinsic apoptotic pathway via the p38 MAPK and CHOP-mediated DR5 expression without affecting the intrinsic pathway in human LN-428 and U-251 MG glioblastoma cell lines in vitro. The results suggest that certain C27OAs might be developed as specific TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) sensitzers, and used as chemotherapy agents in glioblastoma patients with low levels of caspase-8 or p53 activity. In another study [109], synthetic OA derivatives, C-28 methyl ester (CDDO-Me) and C-28 imidazole (CDDO-Im) of 2-cyano-3,12-dioxoooleana-1,9(11)-dien-28-oic acid, have been shown to exhibit potent apoptosis-inducing activity in human U-87 MG and U-251 MG glioblastoma cells. It is interesting that the tested compounds at 2.5–10 µM concentration induced apoptotic cell death through both extrinsic and intrinsic pathways, as the activation of pro-caspases-3, -8, and -9, mitochondrial depolarization and the release of cytochrome c from mitochondria were
observed. Moreover, CDDO-Me inhibited the expression of anti-apoptotic and pro-survival signalling molecules (p-Akt, p65 and Notch1) in the same cell lines. In addition, OA has been also investigated for its ability to affect metabolic activity, viability and cell cycle of U-87 cells in vitro [110]. It was found that after 24 h of treatment OA at 100 µg/ml (approx. 219 µM) induced both apoptosis and necrosis of cancer cells almost to the same extent, and the IC_{50} value was determined as 163.6 µg/ml (approx. 358 µM). In addition, OA-treated glioblastoma cells exhibited the increase in expression levels of proteins involved in MAPK signalling, and cell cycle arrest at G1 phase.

UA has been also found to exert a cytotoxic effect in human U-251 MG glioblastoma cells with an IC_{50} value as low as 20 µM [111]. The treatment induced JNK-dependent, caspase-independent and lysosomal associated mechanism of cell death that resulted in rapid mitochondrial membrane depolarisation. In addition, UA demonstrated greater cytotoxicity than conventional chemotherapeutics like TMZ in spite of inactivity towards O-6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT), which is a known player in TMZ-resistant glioblastomas. UA (at 20 µM) has been also found to induce apoptosis in U-251 cells by suppressing TGF-β1 signalling pathway, thus revealing an alternative mechanism of anti-cancer activity [112]. In other in vitro glioblastoma models, UA (at 17.5 µM) has been shown to induce necrosis in TMZ-resistant human DBTRG-05MG cells through mitochondrial permeability transition pore opening and ATP level decline [113] or at 40 µM concentration UA treatment has led to cell cycle arrest at G1 phase, endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced JNK activation and autophagy in U-87 MG cells [114]. However, UA from Chamaenerion angustifolium at 50 µM concentration induced both apoptosis and necrosis in U-87 MG cells, and this effect was explained by UA interaction with the PI3K/Akt signalling pathway as predicted by molecular docking [115]. UA (at 12.5 µM) has also enhanced the cytotoxicity of TMZ in human LN-18 and T98G glioblastoma cells by down-regulating MGMT expression, and at 50 mg/kg UA potentiated the efficacy of TMZ in BALB/c mice with LN-18 xenograft [116]. Furthermore, UA from Rosmarinus officinalis at 20 µM has reduced IL-1β or TNF-α-induced rat C6 glioma cell invasion in transwell chambers and inhibited the enzymatic activity and expression levels of MMP-9 via the blockage of NF-kB-dependent pathway [117]. UA from the methanolic extract of leaves of Sarauja roxburghii at 100 µM concentration has also exhibited the cytotoxicity against C6 cells in vitro [118]. Bergamin et al. [119] have found that UA at 15–20 µM increased the number of C6 glioma cells in sub-G1 phase, induced apoptotic cell death and also reduced the expression level and activity of protein kinase B (Akt) in vitro. However, 15 mg/kg/day (for 10 days) of UA did not affect the tumour size in an orthotopic glioma model in vivo [119]. In another study utilizing in vivo model of glioblastoma, the anti-tumour effects of triterpenoids have been established [120]. When C6 tumour-bearing rats were given OA solution by gavage (40 mg daily for 7 days) and underwent irradiation therapy, the combined effect of OA and radiation was demonstrated, which resulted in the decreased growth rates of tumours and the prolonged survival period of tumour-bearing rats.

It is noteworthy that our unpublished results (E. Gudoityte, J. Liobikas) indicated that UA (20–100 µM, 48 h of incubation) suppressed metabolic activity, decreased ATP content and increased the number of necrotic cells in both rat C6 glioma and primary mouse astrocyte cell cultures to the same extent. Thus, it is obvious that the observed OA and UA anti-tumour effects are rather controversial, and additional research is certainly needed to clarify the molecular mechanism of action and neuromodulatory potential of these pentacyclic triterpenes.

5. Concluding remarks

In conclusion, various parts of medicinal or aromatic plants, and also the pulps and peels of many fresh fruits are sources of structurally isomeric pentacyclic triterpenoids UA and OA. Moreover, within the last several years UA/OA-enriched extracts, purified compounds and their synthetic derivatives have become an object of increasing attention due to their potential anti-inflammatory and anticancer effects. Thus, the reviewed data
suggest that neuromodulatory activity of UA/OA and their derivatives could be associated with their ability to stimulate the cellular antioxidant defence systems and to downregulate the pro-inflammatory pathways in neurodegeneration or ischaemic brain damage models in vitro and in vivo. Moreover, UA/OA have also demonstrated anticancer activity, which might be related to the potency of these pentacyclic triterpenoids to activate or sensitize intracellular pathways leading to cell death, and to suppress tumour cell growth, proliferation and migration. However, further research is certainly needed to clarify the mechanism of action, since there is obvious variation in experimental data depending on the treatment regimen and applied models. In addition, the modification of UA and OA should be considered a valuable approach to improve the bioavailability and effectiveness of original compounds.
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