

Title: Adherence to prescribed Acamprosate® in alcohol dependence and 1-year morbidities and mortality: utilizing a data linkage methodology.

Serenella Tolomeo^{1*}, *Alex Baldacchino*^{2*}

¹ Department of Psychology, National University of Singapore, Singapore.

² Division of Population and Behavioural Sciences, St Andrews University Medical School, St Andrews, United Kingdom.

*** Corresponding Author:**

Alex Baldacchino, Division of Population and Behavioural Sciences, St Andrews University Medical School, St Andrews, UK. Email: amb30@st-andrews.ac.uk;

Keywords: adherence; alcohol; acamprosate; mortality; morbidities, data linkage.

Abstract: 222

Main text: 2790 words

Tables: 4

Supplementary Tables: 3

Abstract

Objectives

We tested the hypothesis that poor adherence is associated with a greater risk of alcohol-caused mortality and morbidities within the first year of discontinuing this medication.

Materials and Methods

Retrospective cohort study of 3319 individuals who received Acamprosate® in the East of Scotland in a 10-year period using a health informatics approach with record linkage of dispensing data, hospital utilization (SMR) and General Register Office of Scotland (GROS) data. Primary outcome was adherence between one to six months of initiating Acamprosate® medication. Secondary outcome was all cause morbidities and mortality.

Results

Of the total 3319 individuals identified, good adherence index of >80% was found in 59% of those prescribed Acamprosate® after three months and 6% after six months. There were significant linear trends of poorer adherence with increased risk of alcohol-caused mortality (HR 1.2), medical morbidities especially neoplasm (HR 4.1) and poisoning (HR 1.4) and psychiatric morbidities especially stress (HR 35.1), psychotic (HR 5.6) and neurotic disorders and directly alcohol induced conditions (7.4 HR) after adjustment for other factors within a one-year period of initiation of Acamprosate® treatment.

Discussion and Conclusions

Further exploratory studies using this digitalized approach should be encouraged in order to capture role of compliance to Acamprosate® and other types of medication that are

known to reduce relapse into alcohol dependence and its direct relationship to mortality and morbidities in this population.

Background and Significance

Alcohol dependence (AD) is a progressive and chronic disorder and with 3.3 million deaths every year directly attributed to harmful use of alcohol makes it a major challenge to public health. It contributes substantially to the global burden of disease with 5.3% of all deaths, and 5% of disability-adjusted-life-years,[1]. The health impact is most severe amongst young adults, where alcohol attributes to approximately 25% of deaths in the age group 20-39 years,[2].

Although many benefit from treatment, a low occurrence of treatment-seeking is a common denominator for the majority of people suffering from Alcohol Use Disorder (AUD) a relapsing disorder characterized by compulsive alcohol use, loss of control over alcohol intake and a negative emotional state when not using,[3]. Findings from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC) show that in the USA only 14.6% of individuals, who met lifetime criteria for an AUD, reported having received alcohol treatment,[4,5]. This denotes that a large group of individuals fail to seek help, are not offered help, or meet other limitations in accessing treatment to recover from their AUD,[4,5]. In a systematic review by May et al (2019) three barriers proved to be prominent: Shame and stigma, lack of perception of treatment need and the paradox of both need for and fear of giving up drinking,[6].

Acamprosate® is an effective and well-tolerated medication in conjunction with psychosocial and behavioral treatment programs,[7,8] for individuals with severe forms of

alcohol use disorder (alcohol dependence). According to United Kingdom's National Institute of Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidelines,[9] the use of Acamprosate® is recommended as a first-line treatment for at least six months after successful detoxification from alcohol provided that the individual is tested for normal liver function prior to initiation of this medication. Thompson and colleagues conducted an open cohort data linkage study design of 1257 alcohol dependent individuals on a prescription of Acamprosate® as identified from UK Clinical Practice Research Database (CPRD) between 1990 and 2013,[10]. Results from this study indicate that 'prescribing persistence' (a record of repeat prescription within 90 days of the expected end date of their last prescription) for those receiving acamprosate was 27.7% at the 6 months, 13.7% at 12 months and 7.5% at 18 months of initiating Acamprosate®,[10]. Many of the individuals in the Thompson *et al* study never received a repeat prescription with a median duration of therapy of 2.10 months (95% CI 1.87 to 2.53).

Objectives

Here, we report for the first time the relationship between adherence to Acamprosate® and the risk of all-cause morbidities and mortality after 1 year using a health informatics approach with record-linkage of routine healthcare data.

Materials and Methods

Setting

We identified people resident in the eastern part of Scotland, who were registered with a general practitioner and were prescribed and dispensed Acamprosate® in a 10 year period

(Every individual registered with a general practitioner in Scotland is assigned a 10 digit unique patient identifier, the Community Health Index (CHI) number used in all encounters with the NHS in Scotland,[11]. This number, which includes the person's date of birth, allows linkage of health-related datasets, providing a unique resource combining information on dispensed prescribing with detailed clinical data at the level of the individual patient. This study population represents all individuals attending the publicly run UK National Health Service in the Tayside and Fife regions of Scotland (population approximately 800,000) which delivers any medical and psychosocial interventions for free to all age group experiencing any communicable or non-communicable pathology that needs treated and/or managed by primary and/or secondary care systems,[12].

Individuals on Acamprosate®

We collected data on age, sex, and postcode for each individual who was dispensed an Acamprosate® prescription from the Community Health Index number register which covers the entire population registered with a general practitioner. We used the number to linked healthcare records to all community dispensed prescribing and to Standard Morbidity Records (SMR),[13] records for admission to medical and psychiatric inpatient units. In addition, we established linkages to General Register Office of Scotland (GROS),[14] mortality data and to laboratory datasets relating to urine testing for opioids and other illicit drugs.

We used census data to calculate a SIMD (Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation) scores and subsequent categories for social deprivation for each individual based on their home postcode,[15]. Each record of a prescription for Acamprosate® contains details on the individual's CHI number and all encashed prescriptions are recorded for reimbursement

purposes. We did not include individuals who were prescribed more than one episode of acamprosate treatment and/or were exhibiting polydrug dependence

We used liver function tests (Alanine aminotransferase (ALT), Aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and Gamma-Glutamyl Transferase (γ GT)) to determine whether the individuals who died were biologically homogeneous at start of acamprosate treatment to the individuals who were still alive. This was deemed as an adequate objective proxy confirmation of biological homogeneity.

Ethical, information and Research Governance

We followed standard operating procedures at the Health Informatics Centre (HIC) Services, University of Dundee, to ensure anonymity of the dataset (CA/FB/HIC SOP) The Tayside Committee on Medical Research Ethics (GN13AL058) and NHS Fife and Tayside Caldicott Guardians approved the study. The University of Dundee was the sponsor for this study (30-12-2012).

Calculation of adherence

Adherence indices were derived for Acamprosate® from the dispensing database. The information on dosage, frequency, length of prescription and number of tablets dispensed indicated the maximum possible length of drug coverage for each prescription. We calculated the Proportion of the total number of Days of drug Coverage (PDC) and divided by the total number of days of follow-up in the study and expressed as a percentage or '*adherence index*',[16,17,18].

We used this measure with the aim to examine each day in the specified period to determine if the patient had Acamprosate® coverage. To provide a direct comparison with previous studies, we calculated the adherence index from the first prescription to six months of treatment. Based on the existing literature,[19,20], we use these operational definitions:

- We categorized individuals as having ***good adherence*** to Acamprosate® if the adherence index is $\geq 80\%$
- We categorized individuals as having ***low adherence*** to Acamprosate® if the adherence index is $< 80\%$.

Datasets and data linkage

Each individual of the study population contained Primary Care Pharmacy Dispensing data,[21], GROS dataset,[14], Medical admissions (SMR01), Psychiatric admissions (SMR04), and Accident and Emergency (A&E) attendances datasets,[13]. Each dataset contained the CHI number, which facilitated record linkage, along with one primary and five other possible diagnosis codes (from the International Classification of Diseases Tenth Revision [ICD-10]). For this study, we linked dispensing data with (1) GROS deaths and (2) morbidities. We also used the number of different types of prescriptions as a proxy measure of comorbidity.

For the mortality and morbidity modelling we categorized the individual primary ICD10 codes for complication of interest as:

- Cardiovascular: e.g. chronic ischemic heart disease, cardiomyopathy, heart failure
- Cerebrovascular: e.g. intracerebral haemorrhage, cerebral infarction, cerebral aneurysm
- Neoplasm: e.g. neoplasm of pancreas, neoplasm of liver.

- Poisoning: e.g. poisoning by narcotics, poisoning by topical agents, poisoning by nonopioid analgesics
- Respiratory: e.g. pulmonary disease, pneumonia, bronchitis, respiratory failure
- Mental and behavior disorder: e.g. anxiety, Obsessional Compulsive disorder, stress, mood disorder, schizophrenia, withdrawal state with delirium,
- Hepatic: e.g. cirrhosis, liver disease, chronic hepatitis
- Gastrointestinal: e.g. gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, gastrointestinal hemorrhage, gastroenteritis and colitis.

We considered the following arbitrary categories to explore further the possible relationship of alcohol in the identified morbidities and mortality within 1 year of prescribing acamprosate

For medical admissions:

- (1) NOT DIRECT: cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, neoplasm, poisoning and respiratory and
- (2) DIRECT alcohol related and unspecified alcohol issues, hepatic, gastrointestinal and alcohol related in the certificate of death.

For psychiatric admissions:

- (1) NOT DIRECT: Acute stress, Mood disorder, Anxiety and panic attack
- (2) DIRECT: Mental and behavior disorders due to use of alcohol and alcohol induced (e.g. withdrawal, harmful use, dependence and hallucinations).

Statistical methods

Data were described as number (percentage) of individuals for categorical variables and mean (SD) for continuous variables. Continuous variables that did not follow a normal distribution were tested with the Shapiro-Wilks test for skewness and reported as median and interquartile range. We reported χ^2 tests for the distribution of the population.

We used survival regression models to predict mortality outcomes within five years since the initiation of Acamprosate®. The first prescription for Acamprosate® was designated the index date for follow-up. We used Cox Proportional Hazards model to help express the relationship between mortality and age, gender, social deprivation, co-morbidity and polydrug pharmacy as covariates. We included these covariates in the multivariate model used if we deemed them to be of clinical significance and/or if they had a univariable p value below 0.05.

We assessed the proportional hazards assumption by using trend tests of the Schoenfeld residuals. Those that failed the assumption or were deemed to be time dependent were entered as continuous time-dependent covariates. Outcomes will be mortality and morbidities within a 1 year period.

In a community-based, non-randomized study many known and unknown factors may determine who receives Acamprosate® which can potentially bias results. A propensity score estimating the probability of an individual receiving Acamprosate® was then calculated probabilities using a Logistic Regression model of receipt of Acamprosate (Yes, No) for age, gender, social deprivation, co-morbidity and polydrug pharmacy. This was added to the survival models to adjust for propensity to receive Acamprosate® and so reduce bias within the survival analytic model. We used SPSS version 21 and SAS

version 9.2 for all statistical analyses.

Results

Descriptive statistics

Data were obtained on 3319 individuals who were prescribed Acamprosate®. Table 1 shows the descriptive statistics for the study population. The mean age was 48.41 years old.

INSERT Table 1 here

Table 1: Characteristics of individuals medicated with Acamprosate®

	TOTAL
Number	3319
Ethnicity (White)	3319 (100%)
Mean Age (SD) in Years	48.41 (11.88)
Males	2047 (61%)
Females	1272 (39%)
SIMD	
1 Most deprived	529 (16.0%)
2	471 (14.0%)
3	430 (12.6%)
4	374 (10.6%)
5	237 (8.0%)
6+ Least deprived	1176 (35.5%)
Total number of deaths within the 10 year study period	617
Total number of Individuals who died within 1 year of starting Acamprosate®	252

SIMD=Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation; SD=Standard Deviation.

The number of individuals who had encashed their Acamprosate® prescription in the six months since initiation of treatment was 71% after the first month, 67% after the second month and then drops to less than 50% after the third month. At the end of the 6 months, only 6% of the original population encashed their Acamprosate® prescription.

The biochemistry characteristics of the individuals who died within one year are shown in Supplementary Table 1 showing biological homogeneity between good and low adherent individuals before Acamprosate® was prescribed. Additionally, the prescribing practice of this cohort of non- adherent population has not changed from that for the adherent populations as all NHS patients are all managed under local, regional and national treatment standards and guidelines for alcohol dependence.

INSERT Supplementary Table 1 here

Morbidities

Medical Admissions (SMR01)

A multivariate model was created to investigate the risks of hospital admissions within the first year of starting acamprosate. We associated this with type of morbidity as direct (31%) or not alcohol related (69%) as described in the method section. The results showed that low adherent individuals, were at significantly risk of attending hospital ($p=0.01$) and especially people with neoplasm and poisoning were at significantly risk of attending hospitals ($p<0.001$ and $p<0.01$). The results of the model are shown in Table 2.

Insert Table 2 here

Table 2. Multivariate association between covariates and all causes registered in SMR01 (medical admissions)) within the first year of starting Acamprosate®

Predictor	HR	95% CI	p-value
<i>Overall Attendance to Hospitals</i>			0.001
<i>Low Adherence</i>	1.001	1.0-1.002	0.01
Reasons			
Cardiovascular	1.049	0.765-1.44	0.802
Cerebrovascular	2.27	0.705-7.311	0.249
Neoplasm	4.104	2.277-7.075	<0.001
Poisoning	1.406	1.175-1.684	<0.01
Respiratory	1.428	0.99-2.062	0.11
Mental and behavior disorder	0.919	0.642-1.317	0.7
Hepatic	1.053	0.802-1.384	0.754
Gastrointestinal	1.375	1.0	1.892

HR= Hazards Ratio; CI=Confidence Interval, p= significance value of <0.05

Psychiatric Admissions (SMR04)

A multivariate model was created to investigate the risks of attending psychiatric hospitals within the first year of starting Acamprosate®. The results showed that low adherent individuals, were at significantly risk of admissions to psychiatric hospitals ($p= 0.02$). People who had more hazards were individuals who had acute stress ($p<0.001$), alcohol induced ($p<0.01$), schizophrenia ($p<0.001$), mental and behavior disorder secondary to the use of alcohol ($p<0.01$) and anxiety ($p<0.001$). The results of the model are shown in Table 3.

Insert Table 3 here

Table 3. Multivariate association between covariates and all causes of SMR04**(psychiatric admissions) within the first year of starting Acamprosate®**

Predictor	HR	95% CI	p-value
<i>Overall Attendance to Psychiatric Hospitals</i>			<0.001
<i>Low Adherence</i>	3.00	3.0-3.002	0.02
Reasons			
Acute stress	35.11	14.53-86.47	<0.001
Mood Disorder	2.463	1.11-5.65	0.063
Alcohol induced: withdrawal, harmful use, dependence, hallucinations	3.734	1.79-7.8	<0.01
Schizophrenia	5.580	3.1-10.1	<0.001
Mental and behavior disorder due to use of alcohol	7.412	2.43-22.67	<0.01
Anxiety Disorder, OCD, panic attack	647.3	181.93-2303.19	<0.001

Accident & Emergency (A&E) admissions

A multivariate model showed that low adherent individuals, were at significantly risk of attending (A&E) within the first year of starting Acamprosate® (HR: 1.0; p<0.05).

Other medication as proxy measure of morbidities

Results of multivariate modelling has shown that prescription of other medications (e.g. antidepressants), used as an additional proxy measure of comorbidities, did not show any significant association (p<0.089) with low adherence

Cause of death

Of the 3319 individuals identified, 252 (7.6%) died within one year during the study. The characteristics of the cohort are shown in Supplementary Table 2.

Insert Supplementary Table 2 here

The principal cause of death was: “mental and behavior disorder due to use of alcohol” followed by “alcohol liver disease”. Table 4 summaries the other principal causes of death.

INSERT Table 4 here

Table 4: Causes of death from General Registry Office of Scotland (GROS) death certificates (n=252) within a 1-year period

Causes	ICD-10 code	Examples	Number of deaths within a 1 year period (%)
Alcohol liver disease	K70-K77	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • cirrhosis • liver disease • chronic hepatitis 	30 (33)
Mental and behavior disorder due to use of alcohol	F10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • alcohol withdrawal state with delirium • mental and behaviour disorder due to use of alcohol and epilepsy 	53 (57)
Gastrointestinal	K40-K63	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • gastro-oesophageal reflux • gastrointestinal haemorrhage • gastroenteritis • colitis 	1 (2)
Cardiovascular	I00-I69	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • chronic ischaemic heart • cardiomyopathy • heart failure 	12 (5)
Neoplasm	C00-C72	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • neoplasm of pancreas • neoplasm of liver 	7 (3)

ICD10=International Statistical Classification of Disease and Related Health Problems Version 10; K70-K77= Diseases of liver; F10= Alcohol-related disorders; K40-K63= Diseases of the digestive system; I00-I69= Diseases of the circulatory system; C00-C72= Neoplasm

Multivariable associations between covariates and causes of mortality

A multivariate model showed that low adherent individuals were at significant risk of death than individuals who were high adherent ($p<0.001$). Older individuals were also significantly at an increased risk of death than younger individuals ($p<0.001$) (Supplementary Table 3).

INSERT Supplementary Table 3 here

Discussion

Randomized controlled trials have shown that Acamprosate® is an effective intervention, decreasing alcohol use, reducing withdrawal and increasing the percentage of alcohol-free days,[22,23]. The findings of our study show that increasing adherence of Acamprosate® is associated with reduced risk of death and morbidities related to alcohol-related causes. To our knowledge, this is the largest study of adherence to Acamprosate® in the general population utilizing a health informatics cross linkage digitalized approach. For example, a systematic review and meta-analysis showed that only eight studies have investigated mortality in relation to Acamprosate®. The total number of patients studied was 2677 participants, which included people with comorbid depression. They concluded that the strength of evidence of Acamprosate® in relation with mortality is poor due to the small sample size,[24].

We report three main findings. First, at six months of starting acamprosate only 6% of individuals followed the recommended minimal 6-month treatment. Second, individuals who were categorized as low adherent were significantly at higher risk of death and morbidities within 12 months of starting acamprosate. Third, causes of these deaths and

morbidity were highly associated with alcohol-related disorders. This is consistent with previous studies with naltrexone treatment also prescribing for alcohol dependence, reporting that there was a significant relationship between good adherence to medication and successful treatment outcomes,[22]. Additionally, consistent with our findings another study predicted that if Acamprosate® treatment coverage was increased to 40%, this would result in a significant decrease of alcohol-related mortality and morbidities in one year,[25,26].

There are several limitations to this study. We restricted our cohort to a specific timeline to avoid the possible influence that changing treatment philosophies and procedures might play in determining pharmacological provision and subsequent treatment outcomes. However as stated earlier the treatment guidelines and subsequent clinical practice has not changed throughout the study period with NHS Tayside and Fife Alcohol Services utilizing the same approved standard operating procedures and protocols to the treatment of alcohol dependence with Acamprosate®. A second limitation is that we identified people registered with Acamprosate® coverage prescriptions because it was important to use routinely collected data to provide extreme precision for the outcome related. This may represent a weakness of representativeness, although it covered both urban and rural population. However, we could have missed a proportion of people who were not registered with GPs or were moving residential status outside Scotland. However the number of such cases happening will be minimal. Thirdly this study did not have a control group (a) with another group of AD population prescribed another medication focused around relapse prevention such as Disulfiram (Antabuse®) and/or Naltrexone, (b) with an AD population prescribed Nalmefene® focused around a reduction in binge alcohol consumption or (c) an AD population not on any medication, actively heavily drinking and

attending for example accident and emergency services. This would have helped contextualize better the results,[27]. Additionally, one important point to highlight is that we did not have any proxy measures to indicate any health-related issues prior to collection of data that might have influenced susceptibility to increased mortality and morbidities during the 1 year follow up period. However, we used biological proxy measure to come close to understand this potential confounder by determining their liver function tests before initiation of acamprosate prescribing. Additionally we explored secondary outcomes for non-alcohol related medical and psychiatric comorbidities, hospital admissions, and co-prescribing during the first year after prescribing initiating Acamprosate®. This improves face validity of the results achieved utilizing disparate but closely linked datasets.

However, these results highlight important clinical implications. Many of the research models for interventions to improve medication adherence are both times- and staff-intensive and thus beyond the capacity of an individual clinician to implement,[28] . This study significantly adds to the evidence base for the effective implementation of the prescription of acamprosate for people with alcohol dependence who wish to remain abstinent. Currently, relapse to drinking alcohol is common with only a limited range of medication to offer as an adjunct to their psychosocial programme. Whilst many individuals benefit from them, not everyone does so, therefore understanding and maximising the delivery of these effective treatments is important. Devoting time to address adherence during the treatment episode is crucial to minimize added risk of mortality as a result of non-adherence.

Acknowledgements

We thank Duncan Heather and colleagues from the Health Informatics Centre (HIC) Services (NHS Fife and Tayside and the University of Dundee), for anonymization, record linkage and assistance. We also thank Dr Peter Rice, Professor Peter Donnan and Professor Keith Matthews for their helpful comments during the protocol writing and data collection stages of the study. Also, to Miss Petra Rauchaus and Mr Jacob Kroboth for their assistance during the early parts of the data input and analysis stage of this study.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that this study was fully funded by Investigator Sponsored Trial (ISTBAL26102012) provided by Merck Serono Ltd. The funding sources had no role in the design, conduct of the study and interpretation of the data.

AB has no conflicts of interest with regard to the current work. However unrelated to this project he has received educational grants from Schering Plough, Reckitt Benckiser and Indivior. ST has no conflicts of interest with the current work. However unrelated to this project she has received funding from Indivior, Lundbeck and Merck Serono.

References

1. Global status report on alcohol and health 2018: executive summary. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018 (WHO/ MSD/MSB/18.2). Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
2. World Health Organization. Division of Mental Health and Prevention of Substance Abuse. (1997). WHOQOL: measuring quality of life. World Health

Organization. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/63482> (accessed 28 Dec 2020).

3. Cohen E, Feinn R, Arias A et al. Alcohol treatment utilization: findings from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions, *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2007; 86: 214-21.
4. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA). [Alcohol Use Disorder](https://www.niaaa.nih.gov/alcohols-effects-health/alcohol-use-disorder). <https://www.niaaa.nih.gov/alcohols-effects-health/alcohol-use-disorder> (accessed 29 Dec 2020).
5. American Psychiatric Association, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM-5, Washington, District of Columbia London, England: American Psychiatric Publishing, (2013).
6. May C, Søgaard Nielsen A, Bilberg R. Barriers to treatment for alcohol dependence. *J Drug Alcohol Res.* 2019; 8. Article ID 236083, 17 pages doi:10.4303/jdar/236083 (accessed 21 Dec 2020).
7. Tuithof M, Ten Have M, van den Brink W, et al. Treatment seeking for alcohol use disorders: Treatment gap or adequate self-selection? *Eur. Addict. Res.* 2016; 22: 277–85.
8. Witkiewitz K, Litten RZ , Leggio L. Advances in the science and treatment of alcohol use disorder. *Sci. Adv.* 2019; 5(9): eaax4043 (accessed 20th December 2020).
9. The long-term cost-effectiveness of improving alcohol abstinence with adjuvant acamprosate [WWW Document], n.d. URL <https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/CRDWeb/ShowRecord.asp?ID=22000001847&ID=22000001847> (accessed 24 Dec 2020).

10. Thompson A, Ashcroft DM, Owens L, et al. Drug therapy for alcohol dependence in primary care in the UK: A Clinical Practice Research Datalink study. *PLoS One* 2017; 12: e0173272. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173272> (accessed 29 Dec 2020).
11. National Health Service (NHS). Community Health Index (CHI).
https://datadictionary.nhs.uk/attributes/community_health_index_number.html
(accessed 28 Dec 2020).
12. NHS Scotland. <https://www.scot.nhs.uk/about-nhs-scotland/> (accessed 28 Dec 2020).
13. SMR Datasets. <https://www.ndc.scot.nhs.uk/Data-Dictionary/SMR-Datasets/index.asp?Co=Y> (accessed 28 Dec 2020).
14. National Records of Scotland. <https://www.nrscotland.gov.uk/> (accessed 28 Dec 2020).
15. SIMD (Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation).
<https://simd.scot/#/simd2020/BTTTFTT/9/-4.0000/55.9000/> (accessed 28 Dec 2020).
16. Murthy V, Bharia G, Sarin R. Tamoxifen non-compliance: does it matter? *Lancet Oncol.* 2002; 3: 654.
17. Wei L, Wang J, Thompson P, et al. Adherence to statin treatment and readmission of patients after myocardial infarction: a six year follow up study. *Heart* 2002; 88: 229–33.
18. Partridge AH, Wang PS, Winer EP, et al Non adherence to adjuvant tamoxifen therapy in women with primary breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2003; 21: 602–06.
19. Tuncelli K, Iglay K, Zhao C, et al. Factors associated with adherence to oral antihyperglycemic monotherapy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in the

United Kingdom. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 2015; 109(3) E27-E31.

20. Donnan PT, MacDonald TM, Morris AD. Adherence to prescribed oral hypoglycaemic medication in a population of patients with Type 2 diabetes: A retrospective cohort study. *Diabet. Med* 2002; 19: 279–84.

21. NHS Business Service Authority. (NHSB). [Dispensing data.](https://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/prescription-data/dispensing-data)
<https://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/prescription-data/dispensing-data> (accessed 28 Dec 2020).

22. Baros AM, Latham PK, Moak DH, et al. What role does measuring medication compliance play in evaluating the efficacy of naltrexone? *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 2007; 31: 596–603.

23. Donoghue K, Elzerbi C, Saunders R, et al. The efficacy of acamprosate and naltrexone in the treatment of alcohol dependence, Europe versus the rest of the world: a meta-analysis. *Addiction* 2015; 110(6): 920–30.

24. Harris AHS, Oliva E, Bowe T, et al.. Pharmacotherapy of alcohol use disorders by the Veterans Health Administration: patterns of receipt and persistence. *Psychiatr. Serv.* 2012; 63: 679–85.

25. Veluchamy A, Ballerini L, Vitart V, et al. Novel genetic locus influencing retinal venular tortuosity is also associated with risk of coronary artery disease. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 2019; 39(12): 2542-552.

26. Palmer AJ, Neeser K, Weiss C, et al. The long-term cost-effectiveness of improving alcohol abstinence with adjuvant acamprosate. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 2000; 35(5): 478-92.

27. Baldacchino A, O'Rourke L, Humphris G. Investigating the effect of Alcohol Brief Interventions within accident and emergency departments using a data informatics methodology. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2018; 188: 47–52.

28. Weiss RD. Adherence to pharmacotherapy in patients with alcohol and opioid dependence. *Addiction* 2004; 99(11):1382-92.

Supplementary Table 1. Descriptive statistics of biochemistry at the baseline when Acamprosate® was initiated

Predictor	Reference range	Mean (SD)	p-value
<i>ALT</i>	F: \leq 34 IU/L	45 (2.9)	0.125
	M: \leq 45 IU/L	57 (3.4)	
<i>AST</i>	F: 6-34 IU/L	48 (1.2)	0.634
	M: 8-40 IU/L	57 (3.5)	
<i>GGT</i>	F: 5-55 IU/L	78 (6.5)	0.769
	M: 15-85 IU/L	97 (2.4)	

ALT=ALanine aminotransferase; AST=ASpartate aminotransferase; γ GT=Gamma-Glutamyl Transferase; SD= Standard Deviation; $p= <0.05$

Supplementary Table 2. Characteristics of individuals who died within 1 year of starting Acamprosate®.

	Total number and characteristics of individuals prescribed Acamprosate®	Individuals prescribed Acamprosate® and died within one year of the study
Number	3319	252
Mean Age (SD)	48.41 (11.8)	49.93 (12.1)
Males	2047 (61.0%)	179 (71.0%)
Females	1272 (39.0%)	72 (28.6%)
SIMD		
1	529 (16.0%)	88 (34.9%)
2	471 (14.0%)	65 (25.8%)
3	430 (12.6%)	41 (16.3%)
4	374 (10.6%)	23 (11.6%)
5	237 (8.0%)	28 (6.0%)
	1176 (35.5%)	
Adherence		
Low	2732 (87.0%)	217 (86.2%)
Good	587 (13.0%)	35 (13.8%)
Alcohol		
Direct	198 (31.0%)	101 (40.8%)
Not Direct	323 (69.0%)	151 (59.2%)

SD= Standard Deviation; SUIMD= Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation

Supplementary Table 3. Multivariate association between covariates and all cause mortality.

Predictor	HR	95% CI	p-value
<i>Age</i>	1.15	1.05-1.27	<0.001
<i>Sex</i>			0.001
M	0.082	0.013-0.53	0.027
F	0.104	0.02-0.67	0.046
<i>SIMD</i>	1.125	1.02-1.24	<0.05
<i>Low Adherence</i>	1.2	1.03-1.4	<0.001
<i>Due to Alcohol</i>	0.99	0.86-1.2	0.957
<i>Number of other medications</i>			
0	1.0		
1-2	0.75	0.46-1.23	n.s.
3-5	0.56	0.22-1.22	n.s.
6+	0.44	0.16-1.18	n.s.

n.s.= not significant; M=Male; F=Female; SIMD= Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation

HR=Hazard Ratio; CI= Confidence Interval