In vitro evaluation of structural factors favouring bacterial adhesion on orthodontic adhesive resins
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Abstract

Bacterial adhesion to the surface of the adhesive material is an important step in the formation of plaque and enamel demineralization. In order to correlate the material composition to the specific surface roughness of the resin and to the probable more favourable adhesion of bacteria, scanning electron microscopy, combined with focus ion beam micromachining, together with stylus profilometry analysis have been in vitro performed to reveal the structural nature of three orthodontic adhesive resins used for bracket bonding and, above all, to understand how compositional factors can influence specific pivotal properties such as material’s surface roughness and robustness. In particular, we speculated about the morphological features that determine an increase in the bacterial adhesion and we proposed focused ion beam technique as a valuable tool to compare the internal structures of the polymers and to determine the peculiar mechanical properties of the examined adhesive resins.
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Introduction

Enamel demineralization induced by organic acids, is commonly recognized as one of the orthodontic treatment complication, as it occurs in about 50% of patients undergoing fixed therapy.\textsuperscript{1,2}

Indeed, oral application of orthodontic appliances usually determines the increase dental biofilm accumulation, the change in oral microbiota with a growth in oral pathogens, such as cariogenic streptococci and periodontopathic gram-negative bacteria.\textsuperscript{3} Mutans Streptococci (MS) proliferation in dental plaque, thus providing an increase in cariogenic effects. In any case, these levels of MS, return to normal after a complete removal of the orthodontic device.\textsuperscript{4,5,6,7,8}

B.S. Lim reported that the orthodontic adhesives have a greater ability to retain cariogenic streptococci in the material which constitutes the bracket.\textsuperscript{9} In this perspective, orthodontic adhesives might have more favourable characteristics for bacterial adhesion than bracket materials.\textsuperscript{10}

A. Gwinnett noted that, one of the most common sites where demineralization occurs is the junction of adhesive resin and enamel.\textsuperscript{11}

To this end, bacterial adhesion to the surface of the adhesive is an important step in the formation of plaque and enamel demineralization.

Moreover, R. Weitman claimed that, the predisposing factor to demineralization lesions is the adhesive remaining on the enamel surface, right around the base of the bracket, since its rough surface can lead to a rapid adhesion and growth of oral micro-organisms.\textsuperscript{12}

Indeed, rough surfaces tend to harbor bacteria, promote pigment absorption and increase surface deterioration.\textsuperscript{13}

A rough surface, promoting bacterial colonization, increase in fact also the area of bacterial adherence.\textsuperscript{14}

Orthodontic adhesives differ from each other not only in the chemical composition of the polymeric matrix, for setting mechanisms and reactivity of the surface-level constituents but also in their surface features. As with real objects, orthodontic adhesives are usually quite rough displaying surface irregularities at the micro scale.

Surface roughness (SR) and surface free energy (SFE) are considered key elements in determining the demineralization of enamel when the excess adhesive material around the bracket favours the bacterial plaque accumulation.\textsuperscript{15,16,17}

According to findings reported in S. Ahn et al., the analysis of four orthodontic adhesive systems by confocal laser microscopy and tensiometer showed no statistically significant correlations between SR and adhesion of MS, although the SR values were different in all adhesive systems, thus suggesting that initial adherence of MS is influenced by SFE characteristics of the adhesives rather than SR.\textsuperscript{18} Conversely, M. Quirynen, recorded significant differences in the SFE values of the different adhesive materials and observed correlations between these values and bacterial plaque adhesion, suggesting that SR may not be the most important factor in affecting the adhesion of the MS to the surface of the adhesive material. The differences may be related instead to the chemical nature of the adhesive.\textsuperscript{15}

In particular, chemical-physical interactions, such as Van der Waals forces, or acid-base interactions, play an important role in the initial bacterial adherence and can be defined by SFE and its components.\textsuperscript{14}
The filling material contained in the formulation of the adhesive composite resin is, for a good percentage, constituted by inert glass, which seems to contribute to a lower SFE and polarity.\textsuperscript{19}

Since the higher the SFE, the greater the amount of bacteria, the greater adhesion of SM to resin-modified glass ionomer cements compared to composite resins can be explained by the respective SFEs of their surface.\textsuperscript{16}

Although it is difficult to establish whether, SFE predominates over SR (or vice versa) with regard to bacterial adhesion, there is scientific evidence of interaction between the two surface characteristics.\textsuperscript{20}

Thus, the clinical importance of the influence of SR on plaque formation justifies the need for smooth surfaces with a low SFE even with regard to adhesive resins. It is essential to establish the bacterial adhesion pattern to orthodontic adhesives, to prevent enamel demineralization.

Although this is also required for orthodontic adhesive resins, there are few recently published works in the literature.\textsuperscript{9,10,16,18,21,22}

Therefore, the present in vitro study aims to assess the structural properties of three light-cured orthodontic adhesive resins, used for bracket bonding, in order to shed light into the origin of morphological and mechanical characteristics of these resinous polymers such as the surface roughness and the robustness, respectively. In particular, in this work, we propose the focused ion beam (FIB) technique as a valuable tool to compare the internal structures of the polymers and to determine the peculiar mechanical properties of the examined resins, thus correlating the material composition to the specific surface roughness of the resin and to the probable more favourable adhesion of bacteria.

\textbf{Materials and Methods}

Three different light-cured orthodontic adhesive resins were considered in this study [Table 1].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orthodontic composite resin</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bisco Ortho Bracket Paste LC</td>
<td>Bisco, Schaumburg, Illinois, USA</td>
<td>UDMA (5-10%), TEGDMA (5-10%), molten silicon (50-75%). The substances contained in the remaining part of the adhesive are not specified by the supplier</td>
<td>Bisco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light-Cure Orthodontic Paste</td>
<td>Leone s.p.a., Sesto Fiorentino, FI, Italy</td>
<td>Bis-GMA, UDMA, TEGDMA, Silica and other inert fillers, catalysts and stabilizers (unknown percentages since not provided by the manufacturer)</td>
<td>Leone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transbond XT\textsuperscript{TM} Light Cure Adhesive</td>
<td>3M Unitek, Monrovia, CA, USA</td>
<td>Bis-GMA (5-10%), bis-HEMA (10-20%), TEGDMA (5-10%), reaction products with quartz (70-80%), reaction products with dichlorodimethylsilane with silica (&lt;2%)</td>
<td>TXT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textit{Table 1: Chemical composition of the light-cured orthodontic adhesive resins considered in the study.}

\textbf{Sample preparation}

Specimens of each adhesive material to be tested were obtained using thermo-formed polyurethane moulds, reproducing the negative shape of a disc. Three moulds have been completely filled with each of the three orthodontic resins considered in the study and, before the polymerization process, a
transparent strip (Hawe Neos Dental, Bioggio, Switzerland) has been placed on and pressed to create a smoother and more uniform surface as possible. Glass plates were placed on the top and bottom of the mould to provide flat surfaces.

A light-curing unit (LCU) (LED Starlight lamp) has been used, whose power density was previously measured with a curing radiometer (Model 100, Demetron Research Corp. Serial n. 129540) and then set at 400 mW/cm².

The orthodontic adhesive resins have been light-activated as recommended by each manufacturer, applying the LCU at the top and bottom surfaces, where the light tip was placed in contact with the glass plate at a distance of 1.0 mm from the specimens.

Eight test discs (10.0 mm in diameter and 4.0 mm in thick), made of each orthodontic resin in exam, have been obtained for a total of 24 specimens. No finishing and polishing were made.

**Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) characterization**

Before characterization, all samples have been cleaned with acetone to eliminate impurities, rinsed in deionized water, dehydrated, fixed on stubs and coated with a thin (few nanometres thick) gold film obtaining by sputtering. This, last step was made using Bio-Rad SEM Microscience Division Coating System.

Samples were divided into 3 randomly selected groups and stored in glass containers with distilled water at 37°C until they have been used for surface morphological investigations through SEM imaging with magnification from 5000 to 60000x, obtained by Leo Supra 35 FE-SEM Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (Carl Zeiss, Germany).

**Cross sectional Focus Ion Beam/ Scanning Electron Microscopy (FIB/SEM) analysis**

FIB milling was utilized to study the inner structure of the materials and to evaluate the use of this technique to obtain a qualitative assessment of the materials robustness. FIB processing employed a FEI-Helios Nanolab 600 dual-beam equipment with a Ga+ ion source operated at 30keV acceleration energy and 6.5 nA ion current. By using the built-in pattern generator, rectangular areas were treated at a fixed dwell time of 1 µs and ion dose values in the range 5 ~ 15 nC/µm². The field-emission SEM present in the same dual-beam vacuum chamber was employed for imaging using an acceleration voltage of 5 kV and a beam current of 680 pA. Both FIB milling and SEM imaging have been performed at room temperature. To reduce drifts due to sample charging, a 200 Å thick Chromium film has been deposited by evaporation using a shadow mask. Silver paint was then put on the sample surface to properly connect the chromium layer to the grounded holder. Electron imaging using scanning ions as probe was also performed to evidence differences in crystalline structure and density of the polymeric features thanks to the grain orientation contrast provided by this technique. Working at various tiled angles, the milling procedure was implemented to investigate both structural composition than samples hardness.

**Surface roughness test**

The opposing bases of the two hollow cylinders of a micrometer were first coated with an insulator material (Isopraim, Perident) and then used as a mould to make 12 discs of 2 mm in height and 6 mm
in diameter in each of the 3 orthodontic composite resins taken in exam [Tab.1]. The wheel of the instrument was turned, which allows the two cylinders to move closer together, until the micrometer measured 2 mm. Any excess material was manually removed with a Heidemann spatula and the sample was made more uniform by means of a transparent matrix. Each disk underwent to polymerization by a VALO curing light (Ultradent), according to the times indicated by the manufacturer. The 36 samples thus obtained were subjected to surface roughness test using Surftest SJ-210 Portable Surface Roughness Tester (Mitutoyo).

The instrument complied with ISO 4287: 1997 (Geometrical Product Specifications (GPS) - Surface texture: Profile method - Terms, definitions and surface texture parameters).

The roughness data were obtained by recording the vertical movements of a stylus (with a radius of 2 μm and an angle of 60°) sliding across the sample.

The parameters set for roughness evaluation were:

- cut off length: \( \lambda_c = 0.8 \text{ mm} \); \( \lambda_a = 2.5 \text{ μm} \);
- measurement force = 1N;
- measurement speed = 0.25 mm/s;
- range: AUTO.

Each sample was inserted on the appropriate support. The stylus tip was, positioned in the centre of the upper face of the disc before initiating surface roughness scan.

For each sample of resin, 12 measurements were performed, allowing to evaluate the following parameters:

- \( R_a \) - average roughness: the arithmetic average of the absolute values of the roughness profile ordinates;
- \( R_d \) - root-mean-square roughness: the root mean square along the sampling length;
- \( R_z \) - average depth: the average depth maximum peak to valley of five consecutive sampling lengths within the measuring length.

The collected data were subjected to statistical analysis.

### Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney-U tests; Bonferroni Scheffe, and Sidak multiple comparison tests were used, \( p \) values were computed and compared with statistical significance at the \( p=0.05 \) level. The data were analysed with the statistical software STATA (STATA Statistical Software release 12.1; Stata Corporation, College Station, TX).

### Results
**SEM results**

Figure 1 showed the tilted (52°) views of the sample surfaces obtained by SEM at a magnification of 20000x. It was apparent that the surface structures of Bisco (a) and Leone (b) resins were quite similar, showing a grainy like morphology with micron scale grains. Conversely, the TXT resin surface (c) appeared blunter.

![SEM images of the surfaces of (a) the Bisco Ortho Bracket Paste LC (Bisco), (b) Light-Cure Orthodontic Paste (Leone) and (c) Transbond XT Light Cure Adhesive (TXT).](image)

**Cross sectional FIB/SEM results**

FIB milling experiments were performed on the three different materials by scanning the FIB on 13µm x 16µm wide rectangular regions at a dose of 7.5 nC/µm². The milling process time was 180 seconds. After a very fast step in which the metallic coating of chromium is removed (about 2 seconds) as effect of the ion impact, the resin material is sputtered away producing a rectangular hollow with an irregular bottom surface. As visible in figure 2, the resulting average depth is strongly sample dependent, with an average milling rate that is almost 2x and 1.7x that of TXT and Leone resins, respectively.

![Morphological investigation of the resins after FIB milling tests. (a) for the Bisco Ortho Bracket Paste LC (Bisco), the milling rate is almost double respect the other two samples. At the centre of the milled region is possible to observe redeposited material sputtered from the side to the middle of the squared hole. (b) Light-Cure Orthodontic Paste (Leone) and (c) Transbond XT Light Cure Adhesive (TXT) after FIB milling process.](image)

Morphological characteristics and differences of the samples structure have been investigated in more detail by cross-sectional SEM analysis performed on the vertical sidewalls of the hollows created by FIB milling. SEM images, collected at a magnification of 60000x are shown in Fig. 3. Very large grains with light grey/white contrast are present in a matrix made of a main phase displaying dark contrast in the images and small features having size in the micro/sub-micro scale.
These findings are more clearly evidenced in the panels of figure 4. Fig 4a shows the image of the bottom surface of TXT resin obtained using the ion microscopy which is more effective in highlighting the different phases (see Fig 3c for comparison), and thus the grain boundaries, due to the different interaction of the beam with the materials. Indeed, ions are heavier and can produce a superior contrast in imaging different materials. More specifically, the very large grains now display a black contrast. As for the vertical sidewalls of the hollows produce by FIB milling, a finer polishing was made using FIB treatment at low ion current (i.e. below 100pA) producing smoother surfaces. In this condition the different features and phases are better defined especially those having nanoscale size. The cross sectional view of the Bisco resins reported in Fig 4b shows the presence of a very inhomogeneous crystalline structure (medium and large size features identified in Fig 4b with two and three arrows, respectively) embedded in a more homogenous matrix made by small grain with size of hundreds of nm (single arrow).

### Surface test results

Tables 2, 3 and 4 show the values relating to surface roughness of the orthodontic adhesive resins under examination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bisco measurements</th>
<th>$R_a$ (µm)</th>
<th>$R_q$ (µm)</th>
<th>$R_z$ (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>0.601</td>
<td>3.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>2.643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>4.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>2.307</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The collected data were subjected to statistical analysis, which allowed to obtain average measurements of $R_a$ equal to: $0.472 \pm 0.176 \, \mu m$ for TXT, $0.539 \pm 0.219 \, \mu m$ for Bisco and $0.543 \pm 0.232 \, \mu m$ for Leone.
The $R_a$ means of the three orthodontic adhesive resins were then inserted into a comparison graph (Fig. 5).

![Graph](image)

*Fig 5: The graph illustrates the $R_a$ means of the three examined orthodontic adhesive resins.*

**Discussion**

The analyses performed in this in vitro study allowed us to reveal the structural nature of the three orthodontic adhesive resins and, above all, to understand how this structure influences the properties of these resinous polymers, such as the surface roughness and robustness.

The SEM investigation reported in Figure 1 shows that the sample morphology and the surface structures of Bisco (Fig. 1a) and Leone (Fig. 1b) are quite similar. A numerous series of irregular grains, with a grain size of the order of few hundreds of nanometers, were inhomogeneous in shape and distribution and appeared incorporated in a matrix of a very similar shade of grey, more intense in the Bisco adhesive in which, moreover, the crystalline irregularities were sharper and better defined.

TXT resin surface (Fig.1c) appeared homogeneous and blunt instead: on a lighter grey polymeric matrix, there were sparse crystalline grains, of small, regular and roundish shape which, were sometimes interspersed randomly, around depressed points or areas.

These differences are even better observed at a greater magnification in the cross-sectional SEM images (Fig. 3) in which it is possible to understand that the grey tone, more or less intense, of the organic matrix of the Bisco (a) and Leone (b) resins is due to the presence in it of numerous and small roundish crystalline granules, which are uniformly distributed, probably mixed with it, so as to create a single granular mass in which there are also single white crystals of various irregular and polyhedral shapes of intermediate and large dimensions. The TXT adhesive (c) has instead revealed a different structure where, clear and clean, rare very small granules and some crystals, are randomly distributed in a homogeneous matrix of intense black. These granules are larger in size respect to the other polymers, from medium to large, with a clear polyhedral morphology and a bright white color.
As visible in Fig. 2, after FIB milling experiments, the resulting average depth is strongly sample dependent. More specifically, the average milling rate of Bisco is almost 2x that of TXT and 1.7x that of Leone.

The SEM images of the sample surfaces after FIB milling show the appearance of peculiar residual cavities that allowed to measure in situ not only the depth of the engraved volume and therefore the robustness of each orthodontic composite resin but also to observe the different internal structures characterized by at least two solid phases.

Moreover, the milled area is not homogenous, i.e. a rough surface is generally obtained after FIB milling, and apparent monolithic protruding features are present showing a characteristic contrast, as in the case in Leone and TXT samples.

Particularly, the milled surface of Bisco samples exhibited a less smooth surface with the presence of filamentary features likely due to a “plastic” rearrangement of redeposited material.

A composition of two different material patterns can be easily recognized in all the samples.

As an example, the scanning ion microscopy image of TXT material reported in figure 4a shows features with a sharp black contrast level on a smooth grey background: these features are clearly corresponding to the protruding structures visible in Fig. 2c, which are less sensitive to the ion milling respect to the polymeric matrix.

Moreover, the FIB/SEM cross sectional analysis reveals a similar inhomogeneous vertical structure in all the three samples (see figure 4b for the case of Bisco sample) with medium and large size features (bright contrast) embedded in a homogenous matrix in which small grains with size of hundreds of nm can be recognized.

Through surface test, it was possible to compare the surface structure of the three orthodontic composite resins with each other.

From a first comparative analysis it is evident that the parameters \( R_a \), \( R_z \) and \( R_q \) are similar in all three materials; specifically, an almost identical average is observed for Bisco and Leone resins, concerning all three parameters taken into consideration [Tab. 2 and 3].

On the other hand, the average values recorded for TXT are different [Tab. 4], which diverge from the previous ones, belonging to Bisco and Leone, on average about 0.1 \( \mu \)m.

In literature it is amply demonstrated that the roughness parameters, regarding composite resins, depend on various factors such as the size of the filler, the percentage of filler particles, the hardness and the degree of conversion of the polymer itself.\(^{23}\)

It has also been claimed that high \( R_a \) values are associated with materials with large filler particles and irregular in shapes.\(^{24}\)

Therefore, considering this information and transferring it to our in vitro study, we can infer that the Bisco and Leone resins, with superimposable surface test values and higher than those shown by TXT, have a rather similar structural and probably also chemical composition.

The similarities resulted in Bisco and Leone structures observed in SEM analysis, are also confirmed by a chemical composition declared by the manufacturers, which appear very similar in the two adhesive resins [Tab. 1]. In fact, TEGDMA and UDMA appear as components of the organic matrix in both Bisco and Leone, which includes Bis-GMA, also. In particular, UDMA is completely absent
in TXT, which is instead an orthodontic polymer based on TEGDMA, BisGMA and bis-EMA loaded with about 70-80% in crystalline fillers.

S.D. Murray argued that it is the high percentage of fillers that provides adequate bond strength.\textsuperscript{25} A.E. Papakonstantinou stated that adhesives formulated with UDMA monomers produce resins with viscosities comparable to Bis-GMA as in the case of TXT. Adhesives formulated with a high percentage of UDMA can be used to produce resins with higher viscosity and higher bond strength, potentially without affecting their degree of conversion.\textsuperscript{26}

From the safety data sheets [Tab.1], it is reported that TXT contains in its chemical composition, as fillers, mainly reaction products with quartz. In its crystalline form, quartz, hard and chemically inert, is usually used as a macro-filler (particles of 8-120 µm). The resins Bisco and Leone, on the other hand, encloses essentially silica, which in the pyrogenic form is in the shape of small spheres and is used as a filler with 0.04 µm micro-particles.

It has been observed that, the more regular and smaller the loading particles of the material, the greater the possibility of obtaining surface smoothness.\textsuperscript{27}

Despite the larger size of the fillers in TXT, the greater dispersion of the quartz particles in the polymeric matrix could be the reason at the basis of the lower surface roughness value obtained about it, after surface test.

$R_a$ values of less than 1 µm provide the material a visually smooth appearance, because of its Wavelength larger than that of the visible light.\textsuperscript{27}

But, roughness of 0.2 µm is considered as the initial limit for bacterial accumulation.\textsuperscript{28,29,30}

The purpose of four recently published studies was to search for a correlation between the surface characteristics of the resins (or other orthodontic materials under examination) and the adhesion of cariogenic streptococci.\textsuperscript{9,10,18,31}

These studies show that the mean roughness of the TXT, calculated by confocal laser scanning microscopy, was found to be 0.39 ± 0.02 µm [8]. It is important to underline that this value is very close to the result obtained in our in vitro study in which TXT was also characterized by having the lowest surface roughness value compared to Leone and Bisco resins. All the $R_a$ values obtained from the surface test on the three orthodontic adhesive resins have, on average, exceeded the initial limit of bacterial accumulation, on the other hand, N. Beyth reported that if the $R_a$ values exceed this limit, in addition to a greater accumulation of plaque, such uneven surfaces can acquire retention niches for bacteria and, act as shelters.\textsuperscript{32}

Furthermore, it has been observed that the higher the roughness of the artificial material surface, the more complicated its cleaning will be compared to materials with smoother surfaces.\textsuperscript{33,34,35,36} In the literature, it has also been reported that, surface roughness (SR) of biomaterials is able to influence biofilm formation and, that changing in surface properties, related to the orthodontic bonding procedure, may also meaningfully affect the bacterial biofilm proliferation just around the orthodontic appliances.\textsuperscript{37,38}

In fact, the development of a dysbiotic oral microbiota and the related growth of the biofilm create problems both for the natural tissues, hard or soft, of the oral cavity and for the artificial biomaterials inserted.\textsuperscript{39}
In light of our results obtained from the structural morphology evaluations and surface roughness measurements, we therefore believe that the three orthodontic adhesive polymers tested in our study can be all considered as possible bacteria receptacle materials.

Also the FIB measurements confirm the presence of inhomogeneous crystalline structures embedded in the polymer matrix for the three resins. These findings justify the peculiar shape of the surface roughness of the examined samples. Indeed, the FIB analysis can reveal the internal structure of these polymers and can explain the specific chemical and mechanical resistance to bacteria and acids giving information also on how the surface roughness can interact with bacteria (i.e. a different level of porosity). In our opinion, also FIB imaging can represent a complementary tool to better understand physical and chemical properties of orthodontic materials to be combined with mechanical tests and SEM microscopy.

**Conclusions**

With the intent of investigating if adhesives resins used in orthodontic appliances are prone to bacteria colonization, we analysed the surface roughness of these materials combining information with a novel methodology to observe the internal structures of composites. The study of the surface roughness has highlighted a morphological similarity in the structural organization of the two orthodontic resins with a similar chemical composition, Bisco and Leone, of which Ra parameters with higher values than the TXT were detected, despite the latter being characterized by larger fillers, or macro-fillers. The dimensions of the filler particles certainly play an important role in the surface irregularities observed through FIB imaging. In fact, the roughness values tend to increase as the size of the filler particles increases but, at the same time, Ra was also influenced by the different nature of the fillers, as well as by their more or less regular shape and by the concentration and percentage of particles dispersed in the organic matrix. Despite this morphological peculiarity, the milling rate of Bisco is almost double respect the other two polymers.

FIB milling used in the present in vitro study to investigate the internal structure of three orthodontic adhesive resins, allowed us to make some considerations about the different size and type of the crystalline granules dispersed into the polymeric matrix and the capability of resistance offered by each polymeric material to the ionic milling. The first information can justify the specific type of surface of the resin while the experimental data reported on the FIB milling speed allowed to acquire new information regarding the single ability demonstrated by the three adhesive resinous polymers to offer more or less resistance to scratching. Although it is not possible to directly correlate hardness measurements of materials, at least, the milling depth can be defined as a valuable method to validate the internal chemical and physical structure of the different adhesive resins. Until now FIB, as a destructive analysis, has been used mainly as a material preparation technique to create transmission electron microscopy (TEM) lamellae. Recently, M. Sezen has performed micro and nanostructural analysis of a human tooth using correlated FIB and TEM investigations to reveal different morphological characteristics of dental tissue via complementary imaging and diffraction analysis.40

In light of this finding, we believe FIB can be also implemented as a comparative technique to evaluate the internal structure of different polymers.

To this end, the investigations carried out in this study allow us to hypothesize the use of the focused ion beam as a possible technique for marking the hardness of dental biomaterials, in general. However, this hypothesis will have to be carefully corroborated, providing a systematic comparison with the hardness parameters obtainable with other commonly used techniques, such as Vickers
hardness test. It would be worthwhile to be able to deepen this technique, to establish the resistance values to ion milling or scratching among the various procedures of physical characterization of dental biomaterials.
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