The Green Business & Sustainable Development School – A Case Study for an Innovative Educational Concept to Prevent Big Ideas from Failure
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Abstract: This article addresses the question why initiatives in the field of green business and sustainable development often fail. Therefore, it dismantles some typical patterns of failure and shows – as a case study – how these patterns can be challenged through an innovative educational concept: the green business and sustainable development school. The applied methodology is a real-life project that is designed through blended, interdisciplinary elements from business model canvas, theory U, participation and design thinking. The results of the school initiative are discussed and evaluated by four distinctive stakeholder groups and outline the school’s supporting potential to overcome typical patterns of failure by the younger generation in the future. This article concludes with ideas to enhance the school concept reaching out to even more stakeholder-groups to increase its reliability and viability.
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1. Introduction

This article presents “The Green Business & Sustainable Development School” (in the following: “the school”) as a case study for the implementation of an innovative educational concept to prevent big ideas from failure and to activate the Youth by education and training as proclaimed in the European Commission’s Communication “The European Green Deal” [1] (pp. 18-20). Therefore, the authors yield more than ten years of experience in designing, executing and improving school programs on green business and sustainable development with more than 15 European universities and multiple key partners and stakeholders from public and private companies, ministries, universities, research-institutes, think-tanks, NGOs, foundations, associations, municipalities and finally civil society. The paper consists of five sections. The first section describes why ideas and initiatives in the field of green business and sustainable development often fail and what patterns of failure need to be overcome. In a second section, the question is explored which steps have proven helpful in planning, implementing and improving schools over the last decade to challenge the patterns of failure. These steps are presented to the reader in a step-by-step do-it-yourself toolkit. In a third section, the vision and mission of the school concept is described and the connection to the
patterns of failure is revealed. In a fourth section, the authors will disclose the structured, multi-layer feedback and learning curves from evaluations and discussions with four distinctive stakeholder groups. The last section of this article investigates the future and presents opportunities for expansion of the green business and sustainable development school.

2. Patterns of failure

Big ideas and initiatives in the field of green business and sustainable development often fail [2] (pp. 361-370). In order to make the younger generation’s initiatives in the field of green business and sustainable development and the school itself more robust and resilient, the authors of this article have started to design and execute green business and sustainable development school programs for multiple sponsors and disciplines.

In a pre-planning phase of the green business and sustainable development school initiative key reasons were identified why the above-mentioned failure is so common. The following five reasons were distilled by literature review and expert interviews:

1. Green business and sustainable development initiatives are multidimensional and interdisciplinary. Usually they include a technological dimension, an economic dimension, an institutional dimension and a cultural dimension [3] (pp. 39-40), [4] (pp. 155-183), [5] (pp. 301 et seqq.), [1] (p. 3). In connection with these dimensions many subjects and disciplines come into play. To take them all into account is a complex and sensitive task and bears the seeds of failure.

2. Green business and sustainable development initiatives involve inhomogeneous (small) stakeholder groups and individuals [6] (pp. 358-371), [7], (p. 11), [8] (49), [9] (p. 61). Contrary to other industries with a history of several decades to centuries, the field of green business and sustainable development is a comparatively young industry with unsettled structures, finance and framework conditions.

3. Green business and sustainable development initiatives used to have a reputation that they create intangible, non- or hardly-visible benefits, only. Therefore, they rarely rank among the top priorities of an institution or organization and need to gain identity [9] (p. 27), [10] (pp. 206-234), [11] (pp. 1-2, 12-14).

4. Green business and sustainable development initiatives experience insufficient funding [12] (p. 9), [13] (p. 1). Even though green products and services have been under development for the last couple of decades, the so-called green sector is still in its nascent stage in terms of commercialization and market acceptance [14] (p. 931). Mobilizing sustainable investment from all sources is needed [13] (pp. 4 et seqq.). Or as Otto Scharmer at MIT declares: “We have a system that accumulates oversupply of money in areas that produce high financial and low environmental and social returns, while at the same an undersupply of money in areas that serve important societal investment needs. [15] (p. 13), [16] (pp. 81-83).

5. Green business and sustainable development initiatives often rely more on intrinsic, self-driven motivation of individuals than on embedded capacities and value creation within organizations and systems [17] (pp. 147-156), [18] (pp. 61 – 162), [19] (pp. 11-13).

In a next step these key reasons were used to set up a business model canvas (see Figure 1) [20] (pp. 16 et seqq.), [21] (pp. 80-81). In this canvas the school initiators identified and clustered key stakeholders who were eligible and willing to join and contribute to the green business and sustainable development school initiative. Through this process public and private companies, ministries, universities, research-institutes, think-tanks, NGOs, foundations, associations, municipalities and finally civil society became engaged to overcome the identified patterns of failure. They stem from a variety of backgrounds and industries. The next section will present how key partners, who became members and delegates of a core team, commenced to plan, implement, and improve the green business and sustainable development school.
2. Preparing the Green business and Sustainable Development School through a step-by-step do-it-yourself toolkit

After a kick-off meeting of the core team that consisted of seven members and delegates from key partners the core team determined concrete steps to plan, implement and improve a green business and sustainable development school. These steps mature over time and have been structured using the “Theory U” approach depicted below [16] and [22]. The advantage of this approach is that the “Theory U” process encompassed all phases from idea generation, through planning and implementation, to revision of the school from the outset. Additionally, the underlying toolkit was designed to balance major setbacks (e.g. the unforeseeable departure of one or more key partners, or a COVID-19 pandemic) through preinstalled adaptation loops.

![Figure 2. The “Theory U” approach to plan and implement the school process (based on [16] and [23])](image-url)
Downloading: This step helped the core team to overcome the blind spot of an interdisciplinary, fragmented and yet highly experienced skillset of its members. To prevent team members from not sharing their experiences with a randomly assembled running mates, a focused approach that values the individuality and interdisciplinarity of each core team member was needed. This goal was reached through a journaling practice [16] (pp. 115-124), [24], [25] (pp. 216 et seqq.). A journaling practice sets up a quiet and protected space that allows each journaling participant to enter a process of self-reflection without an obligation to unveil respective answers. Participants were invited to journal their individual thoughts and experiences guided by the following questions.

- Footprints: What have you noticed about yourself that can support a green business and sustainable development school?
- Who have been your mentors and helpers in your personal and professional journey so far?
- Bird’s eye: Watch yourself from above. What can you do to make the green business and sustainable development school a success?
- Fast-forward: Imagine you could fast-forward to the very last moments of your professional tenure. Now look back on your professional journey. What would you want to see at that moment? How would you like to design the green business and sustainable development school? What advice do you give your current self that is now about to design the school?
- Crystallization: Now return to the present and crystallize what it is that you want to create: your vision and intention for the next 3-5 years for the green business and sustainable development school. Describe and draw as concretely as possible the images and elements that occur to you.
- Prototyping: Over next 3 months. How would your prototype of the green business and sustainable development school look like? Who can help you to make your highest aspiration regarding the green business and sustainable development school a reality? What practical first steps would you take over the next three days?

This step welcomes core team members to become aware of their prior experiences and expectations in a safeguarded setting, to articulate them for themselves, and then gradually share their points with the entire team. It laid the foundation for further action, in which the core team is prompted to approach the initiative with fresh eyes.

Seeing: This step is straightforward, although not always easy [16] (pp. 127-138). It is about shifting from the center of an organization or project, leaving its habits and routines, to the periphery, the edge of an organization’s or project’s boundary. Therefore, the following principles were applied:

- Members of the green business and sustainable development school core team were asked to question and clarify their individual and organizational intent joining the green business and sustainable development school initiative.
- In a second phase team members moved towards the contexts that matter most when conducting the green business and sustainable development school. For themselves, for their prospective students, for the industry and for all other stakeholders they wanted to address and activate.
- Last but not least the core team members were asked to suspend their judgement and positions to connect with and aim for the best green business and sustainable development school experience imaginable with value propositions they had already traced during the business model canvas process (see above, Figure 1).

With this step core team members started to open their mind to gain a wider idea on goals and effects of a successful school. This is an interim goal that can hardly be underestimated in order to successfully drive through the next steps.

Sensing: With that step members of the core team moved their focus from individual thinking (looking at the green business and sustainable development school from their individual or organizational viewpoint) beyond the organizational and project boundary towards a wider system view [16] (pp. 139-159), [26] (pp. 11-34). The perception of the core team members begin to realize their relationship towards the system of a green business and sustainable development school and how they collectively can shape it. Four main principles come into play when entering the collective field of sensing:
Charging the container: This tool stems from design thinking processes. A physical box or container is designed by core team members [21] (pp. 541-542), [22] (pp. 104-125), [27] (forthcoming), [28]. Therefore, the container provides a physical object, where members of the core teams craft images of the green business and sustainable development school in delimited spaces. What shall be created? In a time space participants create a timeline in which an agenda and milestones of the process flows into. The relational space holds (personal) relationship with each team member, clears roles and specifies the infrastructure used in the process.

Deep diving: This is the gateway towards a success story. Members of the core team become the user of the green business and sustainable development school [16] (pp. 146-148), [29] (pp. 9-12, 19-42). It is about living in the green business and sustainable development school – and collecting (long-time, life-changing) experiences with it. This is much more than reflecting on or creating dialogue with multiple stakeholders.

Redirecting attention: This step was reached when team members answered that the picture of the whole green business and sustainable development school include themselves when looking at it. Then perception happens from the whole, integrated field (with intention, body and mind), instead within a single and separate actor.

Open to enact through the heart: As UC Berkely cognitive psychologist Eleanor Rosch states this is not a sentimentality or an emotionality but a crucial shift into a deeper field of understanding and enactment for driving success. Almost always, when such a shift happens, there is often a moment of deep silence and / or a question that comes straight from the heart combined with a sigh of relief.

With this step core team members became committed and compassionate to the common school initiative building up trust towards each other. This is the starting point to establish collective will and power of an interdisciplinary, fragmented and yet highly experienced core team.

Presencing marks the bottom of the “Theory U” process. It is a blend of sensing and presence and marks the crucial “going through the eye of the needle” and “point of no return” moment of a project like the school initiative. To enable core team members to make a meaningful decision in their current situation they need to look at it from the emerging and envisioned future [16] (pp. 161-185). In a nutshell presencing consists of two shifts: The first shift (place) asks team members to step out of the core team perspective and to look at the school initiative from the outside. The second shift (time) calls the core team members to fast-forward into the future and look back to the initiative describing the perception from there. Three principles accompany these shifts:

- Letting go and surrender – this means that team members need to drop everything from their schedule that is not essential to the school initiative. Questions like: “Does the school allocate credits (ECTS) to the students? Will school partners create journal publications about the school initiative? Will lecturers reduce their teaching load through their school commitment? were suspended from the agenda as the core team members classified these questions as not essential for the school process. In design thinking this is also known as crafting the minimum viable product (MVP). A school that offers enough features to be useful for attracted students who can then provide feedback for the future [30] (pp. 92 et seqq.).

- Inversion describes a team turning the inside out and the outside in. In practice the core team members stopped asking the question what the school costs are (in terms of individual effort and institutional money). Rather they focused on why and how the school ease academic processes and will earn money in the future (e.g. through corporate and third-party funding). Another inversion was: “The school hinders us from taking on other projects” to “The school enables us to see and take on other projects”.

- Another principle deals with the power of place (also known as “war room”). This should not be underestimated. It is about leveraging the presence and power of certain places. For the core team members this place was a meeting room in the ministry for the environment – as a neutral ground. Every six weeks the core team met there for three hours to proceed the school initiative. Sometimes – due to the COVID-19 pandemic – these meetings took place on a virtual platform,
sometimes in a hybrid format with some team members meeting in the ministry whereas others were hooked up via teleconference-tools.

With this setup the core team members were eligible to work themselves through the eye-of-the needle and the task of presencing.

The next step deals with moving up the slope and is called crystallizing. Crystallizing means clarifying vision and intention [16] (pp. 188-193). To enter this step, it is decisive for the members of the core team to have gone through the presencing, eye-of-the needle process. Up to this point they have only felt the possibility of the future with a school. After a presencing moment team members are now poised to bring the conceived individual and collective potential into reality. From now on the notion shifts from “We can’t do it” to “We can’t not do it”. The first step in this upward movement is to put the vision and intention into a more clear and specific language. The following two principles come into play when stepping into the crystallizing process:

- Embracing the power of intention of the core team is crucial for the crystallizing process. Keep in mind Margaret Mead’s quote “Never doubt that a small group of thoughtful, committed participants can change the world. Indeed, it is thing that ever has.”
- Letting come is the other side of the power of intention. In other words: it means to turn to something new requires the core team to let go something old, e.g. an incumbent project. That is a reality. Most members of the core team had to quit with other “stuff” to have time and resources for the new school initiative. Since the “U” process had started with observations, team members now had the certainty of being able to make the right decisions as part of their reflection.

The crystallizing process paved the way towards crafting a prototype of the school as the vision and intention of the future keeps evolving.

Prototyping: Having went through the eye-of-the-needle and having clarified a sense of the future of the school initiative led the core team members into doing, which is also known as prototyping. Prototyping describes the first step into the real world through experimentation [16] (p. 201). The design industry incorporates a long history of prototyping as a necessity to endure relentless competition for ideas. Often this is summarized as “Fail often, fail early to succeed sooner” [31] (p. 232). It means to present a concept before it is perfected to allow fast-cycle feedback learning and adaptation. These are the principles that come along with prototyping:

- Fail early and learn quickly – The core team members aimed to develop a summer school that would provide in a first step 80 students with an interdisciplinary and international background a school platform over a two-week period. For this purpose, a prototype in form of a MVP was created within three months, which already contained the essential cornerstones of a school, but offered a program over a significantly shorter period (3-days). The goal behind this was to realize initial experiences and learning curves, which would then be considered in a larger, full-scale school run-up.
- From biology we know what happens when bacteria move into a new environment. The immune system starts running and does what it is designed to do: it kills bacteria, whether good or evil. Why? Because they are different. Because they challenge others and the entire system. Therefore, all new prototypes and projects need landing strips for future possibilities to safely emerge. In this secure space they are sheltered, supported and nurtured until it is time for them to step into and survive reality.

As a MVP prototype of the school, the “Winter School 2019 on Green Business and Sustainability” dedicated three consecutive days to the interfaces between ecology and economy, opened transdisciplinary perspectives to participants, presented current developments in science, design and business, and encouraged interdisciplinary discourse. The School was held at the German Aerospace Center (DLR) in Cologne, the resources competence centre: metabolon in Lindlar, the Zeche Zollverein in Essen and the Green Business Summit at Messe Essen trade fair.
Performing: After the successful prototype in 2019, the experience and learning curves were integrated into the steps leading to the school of 2020. Figure 4 shows impressions from winter school 2019 and summer school 2020. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the core team had to rewrite the school program into a virtual, two-week format under intense time pressure. This phase is called performing. Performing deals with taking a living prototype to the next level of its journey. It is about embedding the prototype in an institutional infrastructure that allows it to evolve by operating from the larger eco-system as opposed to operating from each institution's ego-system [16] (pp. 218-2020).

The underlying principle of this last step of the “Theory U” approach is to acknowledge that organizations like the school initiative are not one. They are multiple. And in order to live and breathe, they must emerge into a web of relationships connecting public and private companies, ministries, universities, research-institutes, think-tanks, NGOs, foundations, associations, municipalities and finally civil society. Remembering that exactly this was the starting point where the school initiative began (see above section 1. Introduction) and marks the starting point of a new and revised “Theory U” process to shape the school of 2021.
3. Connecting the toolkit to the patterns of failure

The previous section of this paper revealed the school’s concept and the toolkit which was used to bring the school to life. In this third section the authors will now connect the “tools” addressed in section two with the “patterns of failure” described in section one, to deepen the understanding about the underlying mechanisms operating “behind the scenes” of the school initiative.

### Table 1. Relationships between “tools”, “patterns of failure” and “mechanisms behind the scenes”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>“Tools” used to … challenge and change these “Patterns of Failure” by …</th>
<th>… applying these mechanisms and operations “behind the scenes”.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Downloading</strong></td>
<td>multidimensional, complex and interdisciplinary patterns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>self-driven motivation patterns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seeing</strong></td>
<td>inhomogeneous, individual stakeholder patterns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>intangible benefits patterns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sensing</strong></td>
<td>inhomogeneous, individual stakeholder patterns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>self-driven, intrinsic motivation patterns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presencing</strong></td>
<td>intangible benefits patterns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
themselves to what is necessary throughout the next stages.

| Crystallizing | → all patterns | With this step core team members step into a process of formulating and carrying out what has been conceived and developed during the before-mentioned phases. The attitude shifts from “we can’t do it” to “we can’t not do it”. |
| Prototyping | → all patterns | This phase leads core team members into doing. A culture of “fail often and fail early” can emerge. |
| Performing | → all patterns | During the performing process a viable prototype is taken to the next level. |

For future initiatives which intend to use this case study and toolkit it should be noted, that the above mentioned “tools”, “patterns of failure” and “mechanisms behind the scenes” do neither necessarily occur in every initiative nor can be solved in the same way that is depicted in this article. However, the authors, who yield an extensive and long experience with such initiatives, confess that this article reveals several patterns and repetitions they experienced so far.

4. Discussion and evaluation

In this section, the authors will disclose the structured, multi-layer feedback [34] (pp. 56 et seqq.) and learning curves from evaluations and discussions with four distinctive stakeholder groups:

- participating students and researchers
- members of the core team
- key partners and key stakeholders (ministries, companies, universities and institutes)
- a broader expert audience (e.g. participants of industry workshops and conferences).

The feedback structure with participating students and researchers was twofold. On the one hand an open discussion took place after the first week of the school (half-time feedback in a so-called room for wishes) and at the end of the school program (farewell feedback). The following five points shall be highlighted here:

- Inclusive and collaborative approach sparked high potential for individual and joint progress in the future.
- Repeated and redundant communication is key to recognize the full picture of complex and lengthy (virtual) programs.
- Knowledge can be generated through lectures (in the morning). Networking happens through joint workshops (in the afternoon). This mix designed and woven into the two-week school was excellent.
- A follow-up to put the results of the workshops into practice is desirable. Combined with an actual financing opportunity.
- A two-week program, comprising a work-load of more than 60 hours, should become eligible to credits in terms of ECTS. The school’s certificate of attendance is a good first step. However, the students’ effort and commitment should be made more rewarding.

On the other hand, an evaluation questionnaire was sent to all participants asking these questions: To which workshops did you enroll? What did you like about your workshops and what suggestions for improvement do you have? Do you have any other comments or feedback about the entire program? How did you like the school in general (e.g. regarding organization, moderation, lectures, structure, workload)? What can we do better next time? On a scale from 1 to 10 (1 is not likely, 10 is most likely): Would you recommend the school to others? On average the school received a nine out of ten regarding the latter question. However, the overall tone was, that the most important take-away from the school was the interdisciplinary experience students and researchers made during the program. Most participants noticed that implementing their ideas takes more than their
own expertise and excellence in their respect field of study. Rather, they now have the confidence to use the school’s network to implement their projects and start-up ideas more efficiently, for example in the field of natural sciences, through good communication design [35] (pp. 259-266).

The members of the core team met for a school two-hours review workshop. In this workshop three straightforward questions were asked: What worked well? What didn’t work so well? What does the next school need? The following three points seemed most significant to the core team members:

- Most core team members admitted, that they underestimated two things: First, the commitment and excellence of the participating students (most of them spent extra hours, exceeding the planned workshop hours). Secondly, they underestimated the re-planning effort from transcribing the school from an in-person format into a pandemic-safe virtual format.
- Most core team members reflected on the students’ desire for ECTS eligibility and committed themselves to examine with their respective university administration under which circumstances ECTS can be granted to students.
- Now that successful school initiatives have been planned and implemented repeatedly, the core team members and adjacent partners feel equipped to approach and involve additional supporters in the run-up to the next school to strategize lectures and workshop formats in an increasingly integrative way.

Key partners and key stakeholders received feedback calls to reflect on their individual impressions. The following questions were asked: How did you feel about the School? What worked well from your point of view or from the point of view of those you talked to about the school? What did not work so well from your point of view or from the point of view of those you talked to about the school? What wishes do you, or third parties with whom you have spoken about the school, articulate for the next school? On a scale from 1 to 10 (1 is not likely, 10 is most likely): Would you recommend the school to others? On average the school received a nine out of ten regarding the latter question. Additionally, the following three recommendations seemed most significant to key partners and key stakeholders:

- Key partners and key stakeholders pursue multi-layered goals by participating in the school initiative. These goals range from public relations and employee recruitment to testing and developing product ideas and solutions.
- In order to achieve these goals in the best possible way, key partners and key stakeholders need to be involved in the planning of the school at an early stage and have sufficient time to approach their internal processes professionally.
- Key partners and key stakeholders are aware that early involvement and coordination in the planning process of the school generates additional effort. They are willing to compensate for this additional effort. To this end, there should be a coordination meeting in advance of further school initiatives in order to achieve win-win solutions for all parties involved.

Finally, the school initiative was also presented and discussed in conferences and workshops with a broader group of experts to give recommendations from completely uninvolved parties from an outside perspective. One prominent workshop with a broader and distant group of experts took place during the Bundesverband Mediation Kongress 2020 [36]. There, the following strengths and weaknesses regarding the school initiative were anticipated:

- Green business and sustainable development are on the agenda of most companies and institutions and for most of them the topics are relatively new. A school like the one described can initiate a valuable leverage to the companies’ and institutions’ efforts towards green business and sustainable development.
- Summer and winter schools are well established in the international context, especially in the Anglo-Saxon culture. This may be a disadvantage if international students are also to be addressed by this new school initiative.
- If the school’s focus can be established and its profile gets sharpened, this initiative can become a flagship in the area of innovation labs, capacity building, and action research. Therefore, the partners need to work on their interests, interdisciplinarity and complementarity. Only if the
roles and tasks are clearly identified and divided on a permanent basis such a joint project can flourish for a long period of time.

**Figure 5.** Structured discussion and feedback collected during the Bundesverband Mediation Kongress 2020 [36].

### 5. Conclusions and Outlook

The initial goal of the school was to create an innovative educational concept, that prevent big ideas in the green business and sustainable development arena from failing. This goal was twofold. On the one hand, the school itself was to become an initiative that would not fail. On the other hand, the students and participants of the school should be supported in their initiatives in order to prevent them from failing. Consequently, a methodological concept was used and further developed during the creation of the school, which had a good probability of making the school a success story. This concept was then also made tangible, transparent and learnable for all interested students, partners and stakeholders within the school. In other words: participation in the school created initial personal references, and thus ensured real-time access to the concept.

As shown in the previous sections, the underlying concept has been successful. This is not surprising, as the concept itself provides a high degree of adaptability and even major setbacks (e.g. the unforeseeable departure of one or more key partners, or a COVID-19 pandemic) can be balanced through preinstalled adaptation loops. Based on the core team's experience and feedback with four stakeholder-groups outlined above, the following opportunities for expansion and improvement shall be mentioned in this outlook for the school:

- Intensify the virtual and hybrid platform experience to spend time with fellows as if in real life. Such a platform will foster global exchange and outreach through the school initiative minimizing financial and emission implications.
- Establish and maintain a vivid alumni network on social platforms and or a native school website. The alumni network will stabilize the school initiative and provide valuable supporters and impulse generators from its own ranks for the future and upcoming schools.
- Initiate a professional recruiting platform to increase both attractiveness for companies, supporters as sponsors as well as attractiveness for students who seek internships and jobs.
- Create a shuttle (double) loop between e.g. a student summer school (creating ideas and solutions) and a corporate winter school (reflecting ideas and solutions derived from previous summer schools and drafting assignments for upcoming summer schools). Through this added value for all stakeholders, financial independence and consolidation can be reached through sponsorships and service charges in a next step. In return a real-world knowledge sharing innovation network can evolve [37] (pp. 19-20).

With that the authors express their deep wish that multiple green business and sustainable development school initiatives will sparkle around the world to accompany the kind of generation that is needed to solve the most pressing challenges of our time.
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